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# INQUIRY INTO THE NATURE AND CAUSES on THE 

## WEALTII' OF NATIONS.

## INTRODUCTION AND PLAN OF THE WORK.

Tisk amnual labour of every mation is the fund which onginally supples it wath all the necervarice and conveniences of life whith it anulutly consump; and which consist always either in thsimmediate produge of that labour, or in what is purchavedonith that protuce tro wher uations.

Acconitig, tharefore as this produce, or what in purchased whith it, bears a greater or smatiar proportion to the number of those who are to consume it, the nation wall be Lettor or worse supplind with all the necesvaries and convenuncos for whish it has accatun.
a.... this proportion most, in esery nation, be eqpulated by tro different eirennistances; firuth by the shill, desterity, and judgment with whith it lntour is gencrally apphed; and, secon dh, by the pruphortion butween the number of those who are emplegid in useful habulus, and that uf thowe who are not so employed. Whatever be the soil, ciimate, of extent of tersitury of any particular mation. the aldundance or seantiness of its annual supply uust, in that particular situation, de pend upon thase two circumstances.

The abundance or scantuness of this supply, tono, sems to depend more upon the former of thnse two circumstances than upon the

I Tr. Smith hat not stated the preciem mennimg
 enamonty describes it an the is annoal produce of land and latuar ${ }^{\circ}$ lt has, howsier, been justly ahrected to this definution, that it refers to athe wounces of onealib, before we know what welith is, Enf that it inclurtes all the uweres producte of the earth, as well as trose that are appruprated and eamen joysi by man.
H'e sro molined to think that wealth should be connidiwed as comprosing oll the artirtes or pruducts that are unopssury, verulu. or an rewatole to man, and whieb at the same time poasess exohangeuble itale - modnafy by this quidity the powar or eapacity to evetarige for er biy some gumatity of latmoty. or of the or more comanodithes ar products calatanathe coiv by monas of libour. This defiettion distinquishes batketh peaith and such artirias as are bettow ed gratututialy in untiontwoll gamatities by Providernce on man. The later, thounth they masy be of the rery lugtuent retility, art meovemity diogether destintite of achangerabie valur; for, it is plaing no one will evfr exert any lathour, of gire any portoun nif ite produce, to ebtain what he muy

latter. A nong the savage nations of hunters and fishers cerery individual, who is ahb. is work, is more or lass emploved in uaful labour, and endeavours to proince, at well as he can, the necessaries and comenacrees of hife for hamself, or such of Lis famaly or tribe as are either too old, or too young. or tern intirm, to go shuntung and fibmig Sua nations, howeser, are wo miscrably yoor, that from mere wanh they are frequently reduced. or, at least, thank themselices reducod, to the necessity anmetunes of drectly destreving, and sonutimes of abandening, thetr mitants therr old people, and thove afficted with hagering dwases, to pernth with hunger, or to be devoured by wild bects Among cwined and thriving nations, en the emitrary, though a great number of people do, nut labour at all, many of wiotn concunce the produce of ten times, frequenty of a handrad times, more labour than the greater parit of those who wor: ; yet the produce of the whole labour of the somety is so arcat, that all are chen abundantls bupplad, and a workman, even of the lonest and poorest onder, if he is frugal and indurtrous may enjoy a greater share of the necessunes and conveniences of hie than it is poosthic for any make to acyure.
exerthon. The grounds on with a disinctaon us marle betopen wrath and articlos or produrts not postemsad of exchnanenate vaine, are, thermore, obFions, and have been universally rerreqused a Eian fa not said to the wealthy because ber mominami luexinnustible supplics of atrousphorge dir, this being a privitege ohtrli he enjogs in common with etpry one eloen, nid which hir that reisen, can finm no groturd of disimetwon; but he is sinul to be wrolthy gocosrthe to the degree in whing he can afturd to arcominand those ncoustaries, converiticices, and humantis which ennaif be procured oir preseatied

 thirh wath tre approprgared by one lndividu a, and apowid of hum to the exeusion of atheres. These articles or products are aloue puscossed of ex:changenble Falew ; and thay alone form what io puperiy puderstsod by we ith. The results of the indastiy of man are tha onlo objucts of which the
 misht, indced, to caded the wionue of vafurs; for whatryet whet mostessed of eschangeatite vatue, or Will mut bu receired as an equifrient for genaothing eiso sarch has requirod indrutir to froduce or ontads.


The causes of thas improvement, in the productive powers of Jabour, and the onder actording to which its produce is maturdly distributed annong the diffesent ranka and condtuons of men in the socicty, in she the stibject of the Finst book of this Inguity

Whatever be the actual state of the shall, dextority, ind judgment with which labour is apphed in any nation, the abundance or santuess of its amual supply must depend, dimus the continumee of that state, upon the propartion hets eap the number of those whethe mallwerninimed in usetal labour, and that of those who are not so employed The number of useful and productive labourera, it will hereafter appear, is every whete in proportion to the quantity of capital stock which is employed in setting them to work, and to the particular way in which it is so emploved The Second Book, therefore, treats of the nature of eapital stock, of the manner in which itis gradually aceumulated, and of the different quantities of labour which it puts into motion, according to the different ways in which it is employed.

Nations, tolerably well advanced as to skill, dexterity, and judgment, in the application of labour, have followed very different plans in the general conduct or drection of it; and those plans have not all been equally favouable to the greatness of its produce. The policy of some nations has given extranrdinary encouragement to the industiy of the country, that of others to the industry of towns. Searee any mation has dealt equally and impartially with every sort of industry. Since the downfal of the Roman empire, the policy of Europe has been more favoursble to arts, manefactures, and commerce, the industry of towns; than to agrienlture, the industry of the country. The circumstabers which seem to bave antrodued and establinhed thas policy are explained in the Third Book.

Though those difirent plans were, perhaps, first introduced by the private interests and paejudices of particular orders of men, without any regard to, or foresight of, their consequences upon the general welfare of the society; yet they have gived occasion to very different theories of poltical economy; of which some magnify the importance of that industry which is carred on in towns, others of that which is carned on in the country. Those theones have had a cunsiderable influence, not only upon the opinions of taen of learning, but upon the public conduct of promes and sovereign states. I have endeavoured, in the Fourth Book, to paphin, as fully and distinctly as I can, those different theories, and the principal effects they have produced in different ages and nations.

To explain in what hag consisted the revenue of the great budy of the geople, or
what has then the mature of thone fands, which, in different ares and whims, lase supphed their ammal emsunpmen, is the wheet of these four first book: The Iifh and last look treats of the revmuc of $t$, soverifn, or commonwealth In this boul. 1 have endiavoured to slow, fist, what are the neceswary expemes of the coverugn on commonwealth; which of there experases ought to be defrayed by the gene :l cont. bution of the whale saciety; and when of them, by that of some partucular pait only or of some particular mambers of it, secencili. what are the different methods in whin the whole society may be made to contrimute towards defrayng the evpenses monantont on the whole soncety, and what are the principal adoantages and incomonemes of each of those methods; and, thirdly dind latily, what are the reasons and cance, whech have induced amont all modera ;rovernments to mort gage - some part of the revenue, or to contract dubts, and a hat have been the effects of thuse dubts upon the rual wedth, the annual prorluce of the Land and Jabour of the socicty.

## BOOK 1.

OF THE CAUSES OF IMPAOVKMFNT IN THE PKODUCTIVE TOWERE OF LABOUK, AND OF TIF , ORDEG ACCORDING TO witicti IT\& PRMEI CR IS NATURALAY DI\&TRIBUTES AVENG TMT DIIFEAKNT BANKG OF TIIE PTOILE.

> CHAP. 1.
> Of the Divisiom of Lumer.

The greatent impronerrent in the produt tise powers of libour, and the friater part of the skill, desterity, and judiment, uith which it is any where dirceted or aplithd. seem to have been the effects of the cinswon: of labour

The effects of the division of hammr, in the funeral business of suctety, will be nutre easily undertood, by comalerng in what manner it operates in some particular manifactures. It is commonly supposed to be carried furthest in some very triflug on's; not, perhups, that it really is carried turther in them than in others of more impertance; but in thoce trifing manuactures whin are destmed to supply the smal wants of fute a small number of peophe, the whole mumber of workmen must nectsodrly be srid.l; and those employed in every different branch of the work can often be collected into the same workhouse, and placed at ome wack the view of the spoctator. In those greit mianutactures, on the contrary, whit are destined to supply the great wants of the great body of the people, every differ not branch of the woik eimploys so great. \&
number of workmen, that it is imposible to collet them all into the same workhouse. We can seldum see more, at one time, than those employed in one suggt branch. Though in such manofactures, therefore, the work may really be duvided into a mueh greater number of parta than in those of a more trifling uature the divison is not nedr so obrivis, and has accordingly been much less (w) served.

To the an example. therefore, from a very tuflog manulacture, but one in which the divinion of labour has been very often taken notise of, the traste of the pin-maker, a woikinan not educated to this business, (whel the division of labour has rendered n distinct trade, not acquainted with the use of the pachinery employed in it, (to the invention of which the same dirssion of labour has probably given occasion, could scarce, perhaps, with his utmost industry, make one pin in a day, and certainly could not make twenty. But, in the way in which this busin'ss is now carried on, not only the whole work is a pecular trade, but it is divaded into a number of branches, of which the grester part are likewise pecular trades. One man draws out the wire, another straights it, a third euts it, a fourth points it, a fifth grinds it at the top for receiving the head: to make the head requires two or three distinct operations : to put it on is a peculiar business, to whiten the pins is another; it is even a trade by itself to put them into the paper; and the mportant business of making a pin is, in this manner, davided into about eighteen distinct operations, which, in some manufartories, are all performed by distinet hands though in others the same man will somettmes perform two or three of them. I have seen a small manufactory of this kind where ten men only were employed, and where some of them, consequently, performed two or three distinct operations. But though they were very poor, and, therefore, but indiflerently accommodated with tho necessary machinery, they could, wheu they exerted themselves, make among them about twelve pounds of pins in a day. There are in a puind upwards of four thousand pins of a middiang sare. Those ten pervons, therefore, could renke among them upwards of forty-eight thousand pins in 2 day. Eách persols, thercfore, making a tenth part of furty-eight thousand pins, might be considered as making four thousand eight hundred pins in a day. But if they had all wrought separately and independently, and without athy of them having been edueated to this yeenlar businets, they certamly could not each of them have made twenty, perhaps, not one, pin in a day ; that is, certainly, not the two hundred and fortueth, perhaps not
four thousand eight hundredth, part of
"t they are at present capable of performing,
in consequence of a proper division and combunation of their diffirent operations.

In every ofther art aid manufactire the effects of the division of labour are simitar to what they are ut this very trithog one. though, in many of them, the latwor cati meither be so much subditided, nor reduced to so great a simpliesty of equeration. The division of labour, however, so tar as it can be introduced, occasions, in every art, a yrtrportionable incruase of the productive powers of labour. The separation of different trades and employments from one another, setms to have taken place in consequence or this advantage. Tris separation, too, is generail/ carned furthest in those countries which enjoy the highest degree of industry and improvement; what is the work of one man in a rude state of society bewg generally that of scueral in an impruted one. In every improved society, the farmer is generally nothing but a farmer, the manufacturer nothing but a manufacturer The labour, too, which is necessary to produce any one complete manufacture is slmost always divided among a great number of hands. How many different trades are employed in each branch of the linen and woollen manufictures, from the growers of the flax and the wool to the bleachers and smoothers of the linen, or to the dyers and dressers of the cloth! The nature of agriculture, indeed, does not admit of ow a.any subdivisions of labour, nor of so complete a separation of one business from another, as manufactures. It 19 impossible to separate so entirely the business of the grazier frum that of the cornfarmer, as the trade of the camenter is commonly separated from that of the smith. The syinner is almost plways a distinet person from the weaver; but the ploughman, the harrower. the sower of the seed, and the reaper of the corn, are often the same. The' oceasions for those different sorts of lubour ' returning with the different sedsons of the year, it is inpossible that one man stould be constantly employed in any one of them. This impossibulity of maling so completa and entire a separation of all the ditterenk branches of labour employed in agriculture, is, perhaps, the reason why the inprovement of the productive powers of labour in this art does not always keep pace with their infprovement in manufactures. The most opulent nations, indeed, gonerally exeel all their neighbours in agnculture as well as in manufictures; but they are commonly more distinguished by their superiority in the latter than in the former. Their lands are, in general, better cultivated, and, thaving more lalvur and expense bestowed upon them, produce more in proportion to the extent and natural fertility of the ground. But this supernority of produce is seldor mach more than in proportion to the supu
rourity of labour and experase. In agncuiture, the labour of the rieh enintry is not always nuch more productive than that of the poor, or, at least, it is never so much, noore productive, as it commonly is in manufactures. The ewrn of the rich country, therefore, will not aiways, in the same degree of goodness, come cheaper to maket than that of the poor. The corn of Poland, in the wame degree of goodness, is as cheap as that of France, notwithstanding the superior opulence and improvement of the latter wuntry. The corn of Fruce is, in the corn frenmel, fully as good, and, in most years, "urly alout the same price, with the carn of England, though, in opulence and improvement, France is, perhaps, inferior to England. The corn lands of Eagland, however, are better cultivated than those of France, and the corn lands of France are spid to lee nuch hetter cultisated than those of Poland. But though the parcountry, notwithstanding the interiontry of its cultivation, ean, in some measure, rival the rach in the cheapness and goodness of its corn, it can pretend to no such competstion in its manufactures; at least if those manufactures suat the soil, climate, and situation of the rich country. The salks of France are better and cheaper than those of Fuclaud, hecause the silk manufacture, at least under the present lugh duties upon the importation of raw sllk, does not so well anit the climate of England as that of Fiance. But the hardware and the coarse woollens of Lngland are, beyond all comparson, supertor to those of France, and much cheaper, too, in the same dearce of goodness. In Poland there are sad to be searce any manufactures of any kind, a tew of those coarser household mauufactures excepted, withont which no country can well subsist. ${ }^{\text {I }}$

This freat increase of the quantity of trork, which, in consequence of the division of labour, the ame number of people are capabie of performing, is owing to three idifferent circumstances; first, to the increase of dexterity in every particular workman;

1 The statement in the text, with respect to the hapossibility of carrying the dilision of labour to the same extent in agriculture as in enther manufactures of commerce, 13 undotitediv correct; but this circurnstance is not, as bwheh suppores, the only or even the pincipal reason why the price of corn, in bighly cultivated countries, is geperilly as high as in those that are comparatively fil-cnltivated and harbatons, and frequently much ingher. If superionty of agriculture, a greater sintadivion of rutal empliye ments, and a more extensive introduction of machinery into the labours of the fudd, were sufterent to determine the price of raw priduce, it would be sery dechtertly lower if Great Britan then ma Poland or Rusila Rin it is otivious that the pisee of corn in diterent conntifes depends enly parThaly on theirsistem of cultuvation, and tant it ia also very materially tef crudent on the comparative tertilutw of the bots they bave tuder ealifvation - an element which fomth bag. by some strange nierstant, tolaliy nerfected. The scantmiss of the popufiotion of rude nathous renderg it unnecessary fi'them to cuh vate ady but lanjs of the highest degree
secondly, to the san of the tume whint in commondy lose 16 gavmig from one specses of work to another ; and, lantly, to tise uventen of a great mumber of mathines whel furshtate and abridge laivor, and enable oret mon to do the work of many.

First, the miroverment of the dextorms of the workman necesarily mereates the quantity of the work be can lertionn; and the dnision of labentr, by reduchig exory man's business to some one supibioptran". and by mahing the operatum ibe soicemployment of his hif, neecsarr!y incredtes very much the dexterity of the workmon A common smath, who, thench ammotomid to handle the hammer, has never bu't us it
 casson, he wolliged to attempt it will carte I am assured, be ahle to make dune tw, or three hundred nals wa duy, and tione. too, very bad ones. A math, who has inen accurtomed to make nall, bat whose whe or prinepal business has not been that of a nater, can seldom, rubl hi, utront circelle. make more than eight hundred or a thousand nails in a day. 1 have scen fexith boys, under twenty years of age, wh, ban never exercised any ches traple but that of making nails, and who, when they extred themselves, could makt, each of then, upnards of two thousand thice huadred nuls in a day. The making of a mal, howner, is by no means one of the sumplect operat, The same person hlows the bellows, stirs or mends the fire as there is occasion, heat.s the iron, and forges cocry part of the nall. it forging the head, too, he is obliged to chan, 4 his tools. The different operatons into which the making of a pur, or of a metal button, is subdisided, are all of them wuch ruore simple; and the dexterity of the $p$ rain, of whose life it has been the sole but..nes to perform them, is uswally much greate: The rapidity with which some of the eperations of those manufactures are perfornind, exceeds what the buman hond roulh, ty those who had neser seen them, be supheral capable of acquring.
of fertility ; but ins quately aituances. and fic plifarin increases, it bcumes I ercasiry to rmart to lasn tartike solls, the prodice of whirh must, tacdixe of the greater arionnt of capital aud hatoott remiderel in their cultivatwa, be compratavely doar it was otated, by several of the witnpsies examiral ty a Committee of the Honse of Conmmons on the state of
 the lands under cultivation in Fnaland and W las⿻
 to eigint and thne busbris an wact the roquared cunphes of foot could not be ohtaine 1 with the mittvating these inferior lantis, and it is this neresesty
 fevility, that is the roal canse of the cumbarirively bigh pirice of com, and nther raw friontis, in bighty


 nurnta that are aja asstreing mide in it

 of the Volume.

Sreondly, the advantage which is gained, by sasing the time commonly lost in pasing from one sort of worh to another, is muck greater thau we should at first tiew be aft to tmagne it. It is imposible to pass very quickly frum one kind of work to another, that is carried on in a ditferent place, and with quite dufcrent tuds. A country weaver, who coiltuater a small farm, must lose a good deal of time in passing from his loom to the tield, and from the held to his loom. When the two trades can be carried on in the same wurk house, the loss of time is no doubt much less. It is even in this case, however, very considerable. A man commonly saunters a little in turning his hand fiom ane sort of employment to another. When he firct berins the new work, he is seldom very keen and hearty; his mind, as they say, dues not go to it, and for some time he ratber trities than apphes to good purpose. The liabt of sauntering, and of indulent careless appheation, which is naturally, or rather necessarily, acquired by every eountry workmom who is obliged to change his work and his tools every half hour, and to apply his hand in twenty different ways almost every day of his life, renders him almost always slothfil and lazy, and incapable of any vigorous application, even on the most prossing ocensions. Independent, therefore, of his deticiency in pont of dexterity, this cause alone must alwaye reduce considerably the quantity of wurk which he is capable of performing.

Thirdly, and lastly, esery body must be sensible how much labour is faclitated and abndged by the application of proper machs nery. It is unnecessary to give any example. I shall only observe, therefore, that the invention of all those machines, by which labour is so much facilitated and "abridged, seems to have been originally owing to the division of labour. Men are much more likely to discover easier and readier methods of atthining any object, when the whole attention of their minds is drected towards that single objiset, than when it is dissipated among a grrat variety of things. But, in consequence of the division of haloour, the whole of every man's attention comes naturally to be dirceted wowardin some one very simple objeet. It is naturally to be expected, therefure, that some one or other of those who are employed in each particular branch of labour should soon find out easier and readier methods of performing their own particular work, wherever the nature of at adrats of such improvement. A great part of the machines, made use of in those manufictures in which labour is most subdiuded, were originally the inventions of con'mon workmen, who, being each of them enployed in some very simple speration, uaturally turned their thoughts to wards finding out easier and readier methods
ot performing it. Whoever has been much accustomed to visit sucl manufictures, $11.3 y$ riequently have been shown very pietty machines, which ware the inventions of such workmen, in order to facilitate and quicken their own particular part of the work. In,: the first fire-engines, a boy was constantly employed to open and shut alternately the communication batween the boler and the cylinder, according as the piston either ascended or descended. One of those boy-, who loved to play with his compauions, observed that, by tying a striog fropa the handle of the valve whith opened this communication to another part of the machine, the valve would open and shat without has assistance, and leave him at liberty to duvert humself with his playfellows. One of the greatest improvements that has buen inade upon this machine, sinee it was frst is wetted. was, in this manner, the discovery of a boy) who wanted to save bis own labour.

All the improvements in machumery, how ever, have by no means been the inventiond of those who had occasion to use the machunes. Many improvements have been made by the angenuty of the makers of the machines, when to mike them became the business of a peculiar trade, and some ly that of those who are called philosophers, or men of speculation, whose trade it is not to do any thing, but to observe every thing, and who, upon that account, are often capable of combining together the powers of the moss distant and dissimiar objects. In the pron, gress of socicty, philosophy or speculation becomes, like every othre employment, the pinispal or sole trade and occupanon of $d$ particular class of citizens, Like eveтy other employment, too, it is oubdinded into a great number of different branches, each of which affords occupation to a peculas trike or class of phalosophers; and this subdivision of employment in phlosophy, as well as in every other business, inproves dexterity, and saves time. Each indindual becomes more expert in his own pecular bianeh; more work is done uron the whole, and the quantity of science is considerably increased by it.

It ia the great multiplication of the productions of all the different arts, in consequence of the division of labour, which vecasions, in a well-governed society, that universal opulence which extends atself to the lowest ranks of the people. Every workman has a great quantity of his own work to dispose ot, beyond what he himself has occasion* fur ; and, every other workman being exactly in the same situation, he is enabled to exchange a great quantity of his own goods for a great quantuty or, what comes to the kame thing, for the price of a great quantity of theirs. He supplies them abundantly with what they bave occasion for. and they an
commorate him as amply with what he has occaston for, and a general plenty diffises Itself through all the different ranks of the sweciety.

Obserte the accommodation of the most conmmon artsticer or day-labourer in a civill ed and thriving country, and you will perceive, thitat the number of people of whose industry a part, though but a small part, has beell employed in procuring him this accommondanon, exceeds all computation. The woullen cont, for example, which covers the div-labourer, as coarse and rough as it may appear, is the produce of the joint habour of a great multitude of workmen. The shepherd, the sorter of the wool, the woolcomber or carder, the dyer, the scribbler, the spinner, the weaver, the fuller, the dresser, with many others, must all join their different arts in onder to complete even this homely production. How many merchants and carriers, bésules, must have been employed in transporting the materials from some of those workmen to others, who often live in a very distant part of the country! How much commerce and navigation, in particular, how many shppuilders, sailors, salmakers, ropemakers, must have been employed, in order to bring together the different drugs made use of by the dyer, which often come from the remotest corners of the world! What a variety of labour, too, is necessury in order to produce the tools of the meanest of those workmen! To say nothing of such complicated machines as the ship of the sailor, the mill of the fuller, or even the loom of the weaver, let us consider, only, what a varety of labour is requisite, in order to form that very simplemachine the shears, with which the shepherd clips the wool. The muner, the bulder of the furnace for smelting the ore, the feller of the timber, the burner of the charcoal, to be made use of in the smelting-house, the brickmaker, the bricklayer, the worknen who attend the furnace, the millwright, the forger, the smith, must all of them join their different arts in order to produce them. Were we to examme, in the same manner, all the different parts of his dress and household furniture, the coarse linen shirt which be wears next his skin, the shoes which cover his feet, the bed which he lies on, and all the dufferent parts which compose it, the kitchen-grate at which be prepares his vietuals, the coals which he makes use of for that purpose, dug from the bowels of the earth, and brought to him perhans by a long sea and a long land carriage, all the other utensils of his hitchen, all the furniture of his table, the knives and forks, the earthen or pewter plates upor which he serves up and divides his victuals, the diffrent bands employed in preparing his bread and his beer, the glass window which lets in the heat and the light and
kecps ont the wind and the rain, with all the knowledge and art reyusiste fur preparing that beautiful and haspy insention, wifhout whinch these nothern parts of the world conld scarce have afforded a very confirtable habitation, to rether with the tools of all the different workmen employed in produting those defferent conveniencses; ff we examine, I say, all these things, and conster whin a variety of labour is employed about vach of them, we shall be sensible that, whthit the assistance and co-operation of mans thossands, the very meanest person ma cunladed country could not be provided, even according to, what we very filsely magne, the easy and simple manner in wheh he is ronumonly accommodated. Compared, mikn, with the more extravagant luxury of the great, his accommodation must no doubt appear extremely simple and casy; ard yet it may be true, perhaps, that the uccommon dation of an European prince does not always so much exceed that of an industrious aind frugal peasant, as the accommodation of thi" latter exceeds that of many an African kiug, the absolute master of the lives and hiberties of ten thousand naked savages.

## CHAP. $1 I$.

## Of the Branciple which gives Occasion to the Division of Labout.

This division of labour, from which so many advantages are derived, is not originally the effect of any human wisdom, which firesees and intends that general opulence to whith it gives occasion. It is the necessary, though very slow and gradual, consequence of a certain propensity in human nature which las in view no such extensive utihty, the propensaty to truck, barter, and exchange one thung for snother.

Whether this propensity be one of thore original principles in human nature, of which no further account can be given, or whether, as seems more probable, it be the necessary consequence of the facultic's of reason and speech, it belongs not to our present subject to inquire. It is common to all men, and to be found in no other race of animals, which seem to know neither this nor any other species of contracts. Tro greyhounds, in running down the same bare, have sometimes the appearance of acting in some sort of concert." "Each turns her towards his companion, or endeavours to intercept her when his companion turna her towards himEelf. This, bowever, is not the effect of any contract, but of the accidental concurrence of their passions in the same object at that particular time. Nobody cyer saw a dog make a fair and deliberate exchange of one bone for another with another dog. Nobody
ever saw one animal, by its gestures and natural enes, sugnity to another, this is mine, that yours. I mus willing to give thas for that. When an anumal wants to oltain something enther of a man or of another animaj , it has no oller means of persuacion but tu gain the divour of those whose service it requires. A puppy fawns upon its dam, and a praniel endeatours by a thousand attractions to engacye the attention of its master, who us at dimer, when it wants to be fed by him. Mun sourumea uses the same arts with his Iretineti; and, when he has no other means of engaging them to act according to his inchations, endeavours, by every servile and fuwnigg attention, to obtain their good will. He has not time, however, to do this upon every occasion In eivilised society, he stands at all times in need of the co-operation and assistance of great multitudes, while his whole life is scarce sufficient to gain the frendship of a few persons. In almost every other raee of animals, each inclividual, when it is grown up to maturity, is entirely independeut, and in its natural state has occasion for the ascibtanee of no other living creature; but man lias almost constant occasion for the help of his brethren, and it is in vain for him to expect it from their benevolence ouly. Hewill be more likely to preval, if he can interest their self-love in his favour, and show them that it is for their own advantage to do for him what he requires of them. Whoever offers to nnother a bargain of any kind proposes to do this. Give me that which I want, and you shall have this which you want, is the meaning of every such offer; and it is in this manner that we ohtain from one another the far greater part of those good offices which we stand in need of. It is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer, or the baher, that we expect our dinner, but from their regard to therr own interest. We address ourselves, not to their humanity but to thear selfflove, and never talk to them of our own necessities but of their advantages. Nobody tut a beggar chooses to depend chicfly upon the benevolence of his fellow-citizens. Even a heggar does not depend upon it entirely. The charity of well-disposed people, indeed supplies him with the whole fund of his sulsistence. But though this principle ultimantely provides him with all the necessaries of life which he has occasion for, it neither does nor can provide him with them as he has ocrasion fur them. The greater part of his ocoasional wants are supplied in the same mannet as those of other people, by treaty, by barter, and by purchase. With the money which one mann gives him he purchases food. The old elothes which another bestows upon him, he exchanges for other old elothes which suit bim better, or for lodging, or for food, or for money, with which he can buy
ather foorl, clothes, or fudging, as how hat oceasion.
$x$,
As it is by treaty, by barqur, and ${ }^{2}$ bes purchase that we obtan from one pocther the greater part of those mutual gok ${ }^{2}$-uffices. which we stand in need of, so it is thes siven " trucking disposition which orignally gives occasion to the division of lal hur. In a tuibe of hunters or shepheids, a particular person makes bows and arrows, for example, with more readiness and dexterity than any other. He fiequently exchanges thein wr cattle or for veuson with hus companions; and he finds, at last, that he can in this manner get more cattle and venison than if he hinself went to the field to catch them. From a regard to his own interest, therefore, the making of bows and arrows grows to be his chief busines, and he beeomes a sort of armourer. A nother excels in making the frames and covers of their luttle huts or moveable houses. He is accustomed to Le of use in this way to his netghbuers, who reward him, in the same manner, with cattle and with venison, till at last he finds it bis interest to dedicate bimself entirely to this einployment, and to become a sort of housecarpenter. In the same manner, a third becomes a smith or a brazier, a fourth, a tanner or dresser of hides or shins, the prancipal part of the elothing of savages. And thus the certainty of heing able to exchange all that surplus pact of the produce of his own labour, which is over und alove his own consumption, for such parts of the produce of other men's labour as he may have occasion for, encourages every man to apply himself to a particular occupation, and to cultivate and bring to perfection whatever talent or genius he may possess for that particular species of business.

The diference of natural talents in differ-1 ent men is, in reality, much less than we are aware of ; and the very different genius which appears to distinguish men of different professions, when grown up to maturity, is not. upon many occasions, so much the cause as the effect of the division of labour. The differenee between the most dissivilar characters, between a philosopher and a cormon street-porter, for example, seems to arise, not so much from nature, as from habit, custom, and education. When they came into the world, and for the first six or eight years of their existence, they were very mucti alike. and neither their parents nor play-fellows could perceive any remarkable difference. About that age, or soon after, they eome to be employed in very different oceupations, The difference of talents comes then to be taken notice of, and widens by degrees, till at hast, the vanity of the phasosopher is willing to acknowledge scarce any resemblance: But without the disposition to truck, barter, and exehange, every man must have procured to
himself cuery necussary and unsmiconcy of hes which the wanted all must have hat the sane duthes to perform, and the same work to do, and there cou'd have leen no such difierence of employnest as could alone give occason so any grat diference of talents.

As it is has ilsposition whish forms that difference of talents, so ruturhable among men of different professions, so it is this same dicposition whech renders that difference usefuh. Many tribes of anmal, ach nowledged to be all of the same species, derive from nature a much more remarkable dintuction of genus, than what, antecedent to custom and education, appears to take place among men Dy nature, a phalosopher is not in genius and dusponition half so different fiomi a street-poiter, as a mastiff is from a greyhound, or a greybumbl from a spaniel, or this last from a shephen's dog Those different inlbes of ammals, however, though all of the stme species, are of scarce any use to one another The strength of the mastiff is not, in the least, supported either by the swittness of the greyhound, or by the sagacity of the spaniel, or by the docility of the shepherd's dog. The effects of those different geniuscs and talents, for want of the power or disporition to barter and exchange, cantot be brought into a common stock, and do not in the least contribute to the better accommodution and conveniency of the species. Each animal is still obliped to support and defend itself, separately and iudependently, and derives no sort of advantage from that vanicty of talats with winch nature has ditinguished its fellows. Among saen, on the contrary, the most dissimilar gensuses are of use to one another; the defferent produces of their sespective talents, by the general dispostion to truck, barter, and exchange, being brought, as it were, into a common stock, where ewery man may purchase whatever part of the produce of other men's talents he has oecasion for. ${ }^{1}$

1 Dr Smith's specelaticns with respect to the principle which gives occasion to the diviston of fabour, thourh surficiently lageatous, do not seem to rest on any very solul fuundathon That dirision is planly a consequence of our being able to percejve, or, at all events, to cobjecture, with more or less accuracy, what is, under any given circumstabes, mist aishantapenus or useful for ourselves The saviges who are slow of font or lame have no thnate papensity to become makers of bows and arrows, and to truck on barter these articles nith thels tollow-sasage for gane: bat they have no dimalty in discoverng that it uill be very much for there nuterest to do so, and that sucts is, in fant the onif tcay by which they can expect to ubran supplieg of fond, witule, on the olber hand the aavagers who are swint-fouted perceive that it is fire their interent tu follow that species of undustry fior which thicy have a peculiar aptitude, and to exchange a portion of their prey with the others for the anjecinenis they stand ta necd of. The physical capatituts, taleats, and

## CIMA 111.

'Fhat the Darisiun of Lobsur iomitid ly the Eirtent if the Monket.

As it is the poker of aschangang liat giren urcesion to the division of labouis, se the extent of thindivsion muat anays the lumital by the extcit of that phowar, or, in oticer worls, by the estent of the narket. When the marhet is very smath, no jeerwitw hase any encouragement to deduate limas. 1 aturely to one enoployment, for wat if the power to exchange all th at surpius phat of the prorisce of his own habour, whidiw ous and ahore has own consumption, fur such parts of the produce of other men's lab ent as le has accasion for.

Chere are some worts of midustry, win of the lowest kind, which ean be cnirud ous now here biti in a great town. A pirir, tus example, ean find employment mad suishience in no other place A vilige is ly much too narrow a sphere for hin. und an ordinary market town is xarce hirge enough to atford him coristant vecuipthon In the lone houses ard viry ornall wha, wes which are, scattered about in $x$ disert 3 country as the Ilichlands of Scotland, eniry farner must be lutch."r, baker, and briact for his wwn family. In such situations, we can searce expuct to find even a sumbly, a carpenter, or a meson, within leso that, tatenty males of another of the same trade. Tue setttered fanities that live at eimit or tin arm es divance tinn the nearest of them, must : trin to perform themselses a great number of litile jifess uf work, for whish, in nere jopuluas countries, they would rall th the astistance of those workmen. Country workmen are, alnust everyubere, obliged to apyly themselves to all the duicnent brancien of indistry, that lase so whath aftinty to we another as to be employ d abu't the satno sort of muterials. A country carpeater dualy in cuery sort of work that is wade of word a country sinith in every wirt of work that is mude of iron. The former is not un'y a carpenter, but a joincr, a calsmet-maktrr, and
ingonstions, as well as the circumetances under wi in diffrreut men are placed, uffic excerditafly, an wothing can be more natural than the ia $h$ ind +1 dual should endeavour to apply humself in profurefte to those oceupations which, all thinps cutiad itrd, he reckons most suitable or bethefictal tor hino wif, os changing auch portiona of hie own pridere as fect his own consumption fir surh pertwhis of that firsduce of others as he nudy te decitrs to ofitin $n$ ard they may be willing to part with 1 he innatut ints of the Highlanda of Ferthatire do nut taike "o tice breeting of cantio, or thone of the tarse of forn rie to the culture of wheat, it thuse of the sief'and sisles to the catciung ot thsh, bestise an matimbtise propensily umpeh then to eng oxc in mich ampioymente, but becure they havel. sumb, from expericnce, that they will ebidin the ldarise suprity of then necescaries dod conventen es of numat lip, by con-
 prosecutbon of whict they have a di.. "fla wid Mesp, and birtering ther surplus pionuc.s wita others.
awon a catser in wuod, is we.ll as a whele wright, a ploughwright, a cart and wagon malier The emplingmens of the latter are atil more sartous. It is moposible there shouid we weh a trade as even that of a nuller an the remote and inland parts of the Mughlands of Scothnt. Such a workman, at the rate of a thousand mats a day, and three hundred working davs in the year, will male three hindrud thuusand nails in the year Hut in aich a siluation it would be impossibie to dispose of one thousand, that i., of one day's work, in the year.

A, hy arans of water-carriage, a more estusive murkit is opened to every sort of inelustry that what land-carriage alune can alford it, so it 19 upon the meacuast, and along the banks of navigable rivers, that industry of every kind naturally begins to subdivide and improve itself; and it is, frequentiy, not thll a long time after that those Hincovenents extend themselves to the inland parts of the eountry. A broad-wheeled bracron, attended by two men, and drawn by eypht horsch, in about six week at time carres and brings back, between ILondon and Edinburgh, near fuur tons' weigbt of goods. In about the same time, a ship, navyated by nis of eight men, ard adiling betreen the ports of Londun and Leith, frequently earmes and brings back two hundred tous' werght of gouds. Sis or eight men, therefore, by the help of water-earrage, cou carry and bring back, In the sume time, the stime quantity of goods between London and Edinburgh as fitty broad-wheeled wagons, attended by a hundred men, and drawn hy four hundred horses. Epon two hundred cons of goods, therefore, carried by the cheapest lund-carriage from London to Edinburgh, tiere must lue charged the menturenance of a hundred men for three weeks, and both the mantenance, and, what is nuarly equal to the maintunance, the wear und tear of four hundred horses, as well as of fifty great wagons. Whereas, upon the same quantity of guods carried by water, there is to be charged only the, mainterance of six or eight men, and the weur and tear of a ship of two hundred tons' burden, together with the value of the supurior nisk, or the difference of the insurance betreen land and water-carriage. Were there no otber communication between those two places, thereforey but by landcatriage, as no gonds could be transported from the one to the other; except such whose price wras very considerable in propurtion to their meight, they could carry on but a sinall part of that commerce which at present subaists between them, and, consequintly, could give Int a sunall part of that ewcoaragement Which they at prosent mutually afford to

The expenses attending the roorpramice of gnois \%V land bape wery much domushed since "the Wealib of A utimas " wou prublimhed, though they are
cach other's industry. Tpere could te little or no commerce of any kind teetween the different parts of the world. What giods conild thear the evimense of hund-carrase betwern London and Calcutia? Ur, if there were any so precurus as to be dble to 5.1 pport thas exprotes, with what safery could the be tramsported through the territorics of so many barbarous nations? Those two nitus however, at present cary on a rery consdersble commerce wich each other, and, by mutwally atfording a market, give a grod deal of encouragement to each other's industry.

Since such, theretiore, are the advantaces of watervearimige, it is natural that the firt improvements of art and industry bould te made where thas couvenuncy opeas the whole world for a market to the produce of every sort of labour, and that they should always. be much later in extendug thencelises into the iniand parts of the coruntry. The inland parts of the country can, for a long tirqe, bave no other market for the greater part of their goods but the country which lies round about them, and separates them from the sea coast, and the great navigable rivers. They extent of their market, therefore, must, for a long time, be in proportion to the riches and propulousness of that country, and, consequently, their mprovement must always be pusterior to the inaprovernent of that country. In our Nurth American colonies, the plantations have constantly followed eather the seacoast of the banks of the narigable rivers, and bave scarce anywhere cxtended themselves to any eonsiderable distance from botb.

The nations that, according to the best authenticated hiswry, appear to have lieen first cirilisud, were those that dwelt roundithe coast of the Mediterranean seth. That sea, by far the greatest inlet that is known in the world, having no tides, nor, consequen tly, any waves, except such as are eaused bo the wind only, was, by the smourt aess of its surface, as well as by the mulutude of its islands, and the proximity of its neughbouring shores, extromely favourable to the infant navigation of the wurld, when, from ther ignorance of the compass, men were afrail to quit the view of the crast, and, from the imperfection of the art of ship-building, to abandon themselves to the boistentus waves of the ocear. To pass heyond the fillars of Hercules, that is, to sail out of the straits of Gibraltar, was, in the ancient world, long considered as a most wonderful and dangerous exploit of navigation. It was late hefure evon the Phomicians and Carthaginians, the most skilful mavigators and shipbuilderm of those old times, atteanpted it, and they were for a long time the only nations that did attempt it

[^0] wane by pean

Of all the countries on the carst of the Mediterrancan sea, Egypt seems to have been the first in which either agriculture or manufuctures were culivated and improved to any constderable degree. Upper Egynt extends itself nowhere ahove a few miles from the Nile; and, in Lower Egrpt, that great rwer breaks itself into many different canals, which, with the assistance of a little aft, seem to have afforded a communication by watercarriage, not only between all the great towns, but between all the considerable villages, and even to many furm-houses in the conntry, nearly in the same manner as the Rhine and the Maese do in Holland at present. The extent and casiness of this inland navigation was, probibly, one of the principal causes of the early improvement of Egypt.

The improvements in aguculture and manufactures secm hkewise to have been of very great antiquity in the provinces of Lengal, in the East Indies, and in some of the eastern provinces of Clina; though the great extent of this antiquity is not authenticated by any bistories of whose authority we, in this part of the wor'd, are well assured. In Bengal, the Ganges and several other great rivers form a great number of navigable canals, in the same manner as the Nile does in Egypt. In the eastern provinces of China, too, several great rivers form, by their different branches, a multutude of canals, and, by communicating with one another, afford an inland navigation much more extensive than that either of the Nile or the Ganges, or, perhaps, than both of them put together. It is remarkable that neither the ancient Egyptians, nor the Indians, nor the Chinese, encouraged foreggn commerce, but seem all to have derived their great opulence from this inland navigation.

All the inland parts of Africa, and all that part of Asia which lies any considerable way north of the Euxine and Caspian seas, the ancient Scythia, the modern Tartary and Siberia, seem, in all ages of the world, to have been in the same barbarous and uncivilised state in which we find them at present. The sea of Tartary is the frozen ocean wheh admits of no navigation; and though some of the greatest rivers in the world run through that country, they are at too great a distance from one another to carry commerce and communication through the greater part of it. There are in Africa none of those great inlets, such as the Baltic and Adriatic seas in Europe, the Mediterranean and Euxine seas in both Europe and Asia, and the gulfs of Arabia, Persia India, Bengal, and Siam, in Asia, to carry maritume commerce into the interior parts of that great continent; and the great rivers of Afica are at too great a custance from one another to give oceasion to any considerable inland naiffation. The commerce, besides, which
any nation can earry on by means of a river which does not break itself into any great number of branches or canals, and wilish runs into another tertitory before it reaches the sea, can never be very consideratle; because it is always in the power of the nations who possess that other territory to obstruct the communication between the upper country and the sea. The navigation of the Danube is of very little we to the difierent states of Bavarich Austraa, and Hungary, in comparison of what it wrould be if any of them possessed the whole of its course till it falls into the Black Sea

## CIIAP. IV. Of the Origin and Use of Monry

Wers the division of labour has been once thoroughly established, it is but a very кemall part of a man's wants which the produce of his own labour can supply. He supplies the far greater part of them by exchanging that surplus part of the produce of his own labour, which is over and above his own consumption, for such parts of the produce of other men's labour as he has occasion for Every man thus lives by exchanging, or bucomes, in some mensure, a merchant; and the society itself grows to be what is prom perly a commercid socicty.

But, when the division of labour first began to talte place, this power of exchangiug must frequently have been very much clogired and emberrassed in its operations. One man, we shall suppose, has more of a certan commodity than he himself thas occason for, while another has less. The former, conwquently, would be glad to dispose of, and the latter to purchase, a part of Lisis superfluity. But, if this latter should chance to have nothing that the formet stands in need of, no exchange can be mada between them The butcher has more meat in has shop than he himself can consume, and the brewer and the baker would each of thein le wiling to purchase a part of it; but they have nothing to offer in exchange, except the difiremt productions of their respectse trales, and the butcher is already provided with all the bread and beer which be has immediate orcasion for. No exchange can, in this case, be made between them. He cannot be the ir merchant, nor they his customers; and they are all of them thus mutually less servicuble to one another. In order to avoid the incomveniency of such situations, every prudent man, in every period of society, after the first establishment of the division of labour, must naturally have endeavoured to manage bis affairs in such a manner, as to have at all times by him, bexides the peculiar produce of his own industry, a certain quantuty of some one commodity or other, such as he irnagined
few people would be likely to refure in exchange for the produce of their industry.

Many different comonodites, it is probable, were successively both thought of and employed fur this purpose. In the rude ages of mectety, catto are raid to have bean the common instrument of commerce; and, though they must have been a most ineonrencent one, yet in old times we find things were frequently valued according to the numbur of cattle which had been given in exchange for them. The armour of Diomede, says Homer, cost only nine oxen; bit that of Glaueus cost an hundred oxen. I Salt is said to be the common instrument of commarrce and exchanges in Abyssinia; a apectes of sholla in sume parts of the coast of India; drede cod at Newfoundland; tubaceo in Vurguid; sugar in some of our West ludis oolonies; hides or dressed deather in some other countries; and there is at this day a vilage in Sootland where it is not uncommon, I om told, for a workman to carry nails, instead of money, to the baker's shop or the ale-house.

In all countries, however, men geen at Last to have been deterinined by irresistible ressons to give the preference, for this employjmert, to metals above every other commodity. Metals uan aot only be kept with as little loss as any other commodity, soarce any thing being less perishable than they are, but they can likewise, without any loss, be divided into any number of parts, as by fosion those jparts can easily be reunited again; a quality which no other equally durable commodities possess, and which, more than any other qua lity renders thein fit to be the instruments of commerce and circulation. The man who wanted to buy salt, for example, and had nothing but cattle to give in exchange fior it, must have been obliged to buy salt to the value of a whole or or a whole sheep at a time. He could seldom buy less than this, because what he was to give for it could widom be divided without loss; and if he nad a mind to buy more, he must, foz the same reasons, have been obliged to buy double or triple the quantity, the value, to with, of two or three oxen, or of two or three sheep. If, on the contrary, instead of sheep or oxen, he had metals to give in exchange for it, he could easily proportion the quantity of the metal to the precise quantity of

- The Marquis Garuiar has attempled to controvort this statement in a note to his transtation of ${ }^{4}$ the Wealth of Nations," (Arichester des Nations, tom. $7 . p 18$ ed 1892 . ) He contends that the catte minsionaliy mertioned in ancrent tuthors, as having ; equ uacd as money, were really pleces of gold or I liver, or come, marked with the figuro of on ox, a iheep, se, aud that they derived their names of aunes, anes, sce, from that circomstance. Garmier fanpporte this opinion with great learwing and infrenuty. But, thourh there can be no quertion that igenuty. but, hough there simed with the Bgures of animals, and passing by their tumen, were current in entiguity, pasiling bys questiom rematn - Were mot cattie uned ao
the commodity which he had inmedrate occasion for.

Different metals have been made use of by different natioms for this purpose. Iron was the common instrument of commerce among the ancient Spartans; expper aunong the ancient Romans; and gold $r \cdot$ il silver among all rich and commercial nations.

Those metals seem origiually to have hept made use of for this purpose in rude bars, without any stamp or coinage. Thus wa are told by Pliny, ${ }^{8}$ upon the authority of Tinazus, an ancient bistoman, that, till the time of Servius Tullius, the Romans had no coined money, but made use of unstamped bars of copper to purchase whatever they had occasion for. These rude bars, therefore, performed at this time the function of money.

The use of metals in this rude stite was attended with two very considerable inconveniences ; first, with the trouble of weighing, and, secondly, with that of assaying them. In the precious metals, where a small difference in the quantity makes a great difference in the value, even the business of weighing, with proper exactness, requires at least very accurate weights and scales. The weighing of gold, in particular, is an operation of some nicety. In the coarser metals, indeed, where a small error would be of little consequence, less accuracy would, no doubt, be necessary. Yet we should find it excessively troublesome, if, every tine a poor man had occasion either to buy or sell a farthing's worth of goods, he was obliged to weigh the farthing. The operation of assaying is still more difficult, still more tedious, and, unless a part of the metal is farly melted in the crucible, with proper dissolvents, any conclusion that can be drawn from it is extremely uncertain. Before the institution of coined money, however, unless they went through this tedious and difficult operation, people must always have been lisble to the grossest frauds and impositions; and, instead of a pound weight of pure silver, or pure copper, might receive in exchange for their goods an adulterated composition of the coarsest and cheapest materials, which bad, however, in their outward appearance, been mede to resemble those metals. To prevent such abuses, to facilitate exchanges, and, thereby, to encourage all surts of industry and commerce,
money previously to the existence of these coins iand was it not from that circumastance that the coms were impressed with their figures? We apprehend Were impressed with their figures? We apprehend
there cas be little doubt that both these questions there cau be little doubt that both these questiona
must be answered in the affirnative ; and though, must be answered in the aftirmative; and though,
therefore, Garuler be perhaps right na gayng that the oxee Homer had in view, in crimparing the armour of Glaucus and Diomede, were really coins marked with the 8 gure of an ox, still there does not seem to to any goed grownd for uopesching the atatenuent of Smith, that, in early sges, cattie were used to money:

- Plin. Hist. Nat, Jib. xxxitit cay A
it has been found necessary, in all countries that have made any considerable advances - towards improvement, to affix a puble stamp upon certain quantities of such particular metals as were in those countries com-- monly made use of to purchase goods. - Hence the origin of coined money, and of those purtic offices called mints; mstitutions exactly of the same nature with those of the aulnagers and stampmasters of woolien and linen cloth. All of them are equally meant to asecrtain, by means of a public stamp, the quantity and umform goodness of those different commodities when brought to market.

The first public stamps of this kind that were affixed to the current metals, seem, in many cases, to have been intended to ascertain, what it was buth most difficult and most important to ascertain, the gooduess or
a fineness of the metal, and to have resembled the sterling mark, which is at present affixed to plate and bars of silver, or the Spanish mark, which is sometimes affixed to ingots of gold, and which, being struck only upon one side of the piece, and not covering the whole surface, ascertains the fineness but not the weigbt of the metal. Abraham weighs to Ephron the four huidred shekels of silver which he had agreed to pay for the field of Machpelah. They are said, however, to be the current money of the merchant, and yet are received by weight, and not by tale, in the same manner as ingots of gold and bars of silver are at present. The revenues of , the anceent Saxon kings of England are said to have been pand, not in money but in kind, that is, in vietuals and provisions of all sorts. William the Conqueror introduced the custom of paying them in money. This moncy, however, was, for a long time, received at the exchequer by weight and not by tale.

The inconvenieney and difficulty of weighing those metals with exactness gave orcasion to the institution of coins, of which the stamp, covering entirely both sides of the plece, and sometimes the edges too, was supposed to ascertain, not only the fineness but the weight of the metal. Such coins, therefore, were reccived by tale as at present, without the trouble of weighing.

The denominations of those coins seem originally to have expressed the weight or quantity of metal contained in them. In the tine of Servius Tullius, who first coined money at Rome, the Roman As or Pondo contained a Rloman pound of good copper. It was divided in the same manner as our Troyes pound, into twelve ounces, each of which contained a real ounce of good copper. The Eaghsh pound sterling, in the tine of Edward 1., contained a pound, Tower weight, of silver of a known fineness. The Tower pound seems to have been something more than the Roman pound, nod something
less than the Troges pound. This lase was not introduced into the mint of Lngland till the lsth of Ilenry VIII. The Irenth livre contained, in the time of Clarlemague, a pound, Troyes weight, of silver of a hnown fineness. The fair of Troyes an Champingne was at that tine frequented by all the nations of Europe, and the weights and tueasures of so famous a market were generally known and exteemed. The Scots money pound contained, from the time of Alexander the First to that of Rubert Bruce, a pound of silver of the same weight and fineness with the English pound sterling. Luglish, French, and Scots pennies, too, contumd all of them orginally a real peonyweight of silver, the twerticth part of an ounce, and the two hundred and fortieth part of a pound. The shilling, too, seems orignally to hate been the denomination of a weight. When wheat is at twelve shillings the quarter, suys an ancient statute of 11 enry III., then urastel bread of a farthing shall weigh eleven shilliags and four-pence. The proportion, however, between the shilling, and either the penny on the one hand, or the pound on the ohlicr, seems not to have been so constant and unform as that between the penny and the pound. During the first race of the hings of France, the Frencb sou or shalling appears, upon dufferent occasions, to have contained five, twelv, twenty, and forty jennies. Among the anctent Saxons, a shiling appears at one time to have containc d only five pennies ; and it is not improbable, that it may have been as variable anong them as among their neighbours, the ancieut Franks. From the time of Charlemagne among the French, and from that of William the Conqueror among the English, the proportion between the pound, the shilhng, and the penny, seems to have been unformily the same as at present, though the value of each has been very different. For in every country of the world, I believe, the avarice and injustice of princes and sovercign staten, abusing the confidence of their subject, have, by degrees, diminighed the real quattity of metal which had been originally contained in their coing The lloman an, in the latter ages of the republic, was raduced to the twenty-fourth part of its original value, and, instead of weighing a pound, came to weigh only half an ounce. The Engith pound and penny contann at present about a third only, the Scots pound and penny about a thisty-sixth, and the French pound and penny about a sixty-sisth, part of their origmal value. By means of those opcratioi o the princes and sovervign states which pe: formed them were endbled, in appearance, : : pay their debts, and to fulh their enfage ments, with a smaller quantity of sifver that. would otherwise have been refuisite. It reas, indeed, in sppearance orily; for they
creditors were really defrsuded of a part of what was due to them. All other debtors in the state were allowed the same privilege; and might pay with the same nommal sum of the new and debased coin whatever they had borrowed in the old. Such operations, theretione, have always proved farourable to the delotor sud ruinous to the creditor: and hace sunctiraes produced a greater and more unsersal revolution in the fortunes of private persons, than could have been occasioned bv a vers great public calamity. 1

It is in this manner that money has become, in all civilused nations,' the universal intrument of commerce, by the intervention of which grods of all kinds are bought and cold, or exchanged for one another.

What are the rules which men naturally observe 10 exchanging them either for money or for one another, I shall now proceed to exanus.e. These rules determine what may be called the relative or exchangeable value of goods.
'The word Valur, it is to be observed, has two diffirent meanings, and sometimes expresses the utility of some particular object, and sometumes the power of purchasing other goods, which the possession of that object conveys. The one may be called " value in use, " the other, " value in exchange." The things which have the greatest value in use have frequently hittle or the value in exchange: and, on the contrary, those which have the greatest value in exchange 'have frequently litile or mo calue in use. Nothing is more useful than water; but it will purchase scarce any thing; scarce any thing can be bad in exchange for it. A diamond, on the contrary, has scarce any value in use; but a very great quantity of other goods may frequently be had in ex. change for it

In order to investigate the principles which regulate the exchangeable value of connmodities I shall endeavour to show,
FHirst, What is the real measure of his exchanycable value, or wherein consists the real price of all commoditues;

Secomilly, What are the different parts of wnich this real price is composed or made up;

And, tastly, What are the different cirmonstance which sometimes raise some or all of these different parts of price above, and whetimes sink them below, their natural or ordinary rate; or what are the causes whech s* netimes hander the market price, that is, the actual pnce, of commoduties, from coinciding exactly whth what may be called their mitural price.

[^1] must inportant and duffieutt uquirias in thit acience.

I shall endearour to explain, as fully and distuctly as I can, those three sulyects in the three following chapters, for which I must very earnestly entreat both the patience and attention of the reader: hus patuence, in ordey to examine a detail which may, perhaps, in some places appear unnecessanly tedious; and lis attention, is order to understand what may, perhaps, after the fullest exphcation which I am capable of giving of it, appear still in some degree obscure. I am always willing to run some hazard of being tedious in order to be sure that I am perspicuous; and, after taling the utmost pains that I can to be rerspicuous, some obscunty may still appear to remain upon a subject in its own nature extremely alstracted.

CHAP. V.
Of the redil and nominal Price of Commodities. or of their Price in Labour, and thear Pres in Money. 2
Event man is rich or poor according to the degree in which he can afford to enyoy the necessaries, conveniences, and amusements of human life. But, after the division of labour has once thoroughly taken place, it is but a very small part of these with which a man's own labour can supply hm : the far greater part of them he must derive from the labour of other people, and he must be rich or poor according to the quantity of that labour which he can command, or wheh he can afford to purchase. The value of any commodity, therefore, to the person who possesses it, and who means not to use or consume it himself, but to exchange it for other commodities, is equal to the quantity. of labour which it enables him to purchase or command. Labour, therefore, is the reaid measure of the exchangeable value of all commodities.

The real price of every thing, what every thing really costs to the man who wants to aequire it, is the toil and trouble of acquiring , it What every thing is really worth to the man who has acquired it, and who wants to dispose of it or exchange it for something else, is the toil and trouble which it can save to himself, and which it can innpose upon other people. What is boughe with money or with goods is purchased by kabour as much as what we ncquire by the toil of our own body. That money or those gnods indeed save us this toil. They oontain the value of a eertain quantity of libbur, whish we exchange for what is supposed at the time

But, an mo consistent or intellugible few of what appears to be the true doctrine on the atabject could be prean by detached wotec, on those parts of this chapter giren wh detached motec, on those parts of this chaptet
which require modification, xe \& ave preferred givipg which require modification, ve fave preferved givigg
our own viecrs in relation to it in a connected form our own whews in relation to it in a comnected fol
is the note on Value at the end of the Valugag
to contain the value of an eyu.d guantity - Labour was the tirst price, the original purchase-money, that was paid for all things it was not by gold or by silver, but by labour, that all the wealth of the world wis ongrnally purchased; and its salue to those who possers tt, and who want to exchange it for some ngt productions, is precisely equal to the quantity of labour which it can enable them to puichase or command

Wealth, as Mr. Hobbes saya, is power. Hut the person who eather acquires or succeeds to a great fortune does not necessarily acquire or succeed to any polatical power, ether civil or military. Wis fortune may, perhaps, aftord him the means of acquiring both, but the mere possession of that fortune dines not necessarnly convey to him either. , The power which that posession inmediately and directly conveys to him is the power of purchasing; a certain command over all the labour, or over all the produce of labour, which is then in the market. His fortune is greater or less, precisely in proportion to the extent of this power, or to the quantity ether of other men's labour, or, what is the same thing, of the produce of other men's labour which it enables him to purchase or cominand. The exchangeable value of every thing must always be precisely equal to the extent of this power which it conveys to its owner.

But, though ldour be the real meavure of the exchangeable value of all commodities, it is not that by which their value is commonly estimated. It is often difficult to , ascertain the proportion between two different quantities of hbour. The time spent in two different sorts of work will not always alone determine this proportion. The different degrees of hardship endured, and of ingienuity exercised, must likewise be taken into account. There may be more labour in an hour's lard work than in two hours' easy busmess; or in an 'bour's application to a trade, which it cost ten years' labour to learn, than in a month's industry at an ordinary and obvious employment. But it is not - easy to find any accurate measure either of hardship or ingenuity. In exchanging, indeed, the different productions of different sorts of labour for one another, some allowance is commonly made for both. It is adjusted, however, not by any accurate measure, but by the higgling and barganing of the market, according to that sort of rough equality which, though not exact, is sulficrent for carrying on the business of common Lufe.

Every commodity, besides, is more frequenty evchanged tor, and therelsy compared with, other commudites than with labour. It is more natural, therefore, to estimate its exchangeable value by the guantity of some other commodity than by that of the lianour
which it can purenare. The greater prart of people, ton, undentand buter whit is masat by a quantity of a partheular commodity than by a quantity of labour. The utia as a phan palpable oblyect, the other an abstract notion, wheh, though it can be mads sufteconty intelligible, is not altogether so natural ahd obvious.

But when barter ceases, and nomy has: become the common instrument of tom merce, every particular commodity is more frequently exchanged for money than for athy other commodity. The butcine seldonn calries bis beef or his mutton to the baker or the brewer, in order to exchange them fir bread or for beer; but he carries them to, the market, where he exchanges them for money, and afterwards exchanges that money for bread and for beer. The quantity of moncy which he gets for them requlates, tho, the quantity of bread and beer whin he can afterwards purchase. It is more natural and obvious to him, therefure, to extimate their value by the guantity of money, the commodity for which he inmediately exchangets them, than by that of bread and betr, the commodities for which he can exchange then only by the intervention of another commodity; and rather to say, that has butcher's meat is worth threepence or fourpence a pound, than that it is worth thrce or four pounds of bread, or three or four quarta of small beer. Hence it comes to pasp, that the exchangeable value of every commodity is more frequently estimated by the guantity of money, than by the quantity either of batour or of any other commulity a hich can be had in cxchange for it.

Gold and silver, however, like every other commodity, vary in their value, are sometimes cheaper and sometimes dearer, sometimes of easier and sometimes of more duthult purchase. The quantity of labour whachang particular quantity of then can"purchase or command, or the quantity of other gexds which it will exchange fur, dipondy alnala upon the fertility or barrenness of the muns which happen to be known about the time when such exclanges are made. The dis. covery of tive abundant mines of Americs reduced, in the si steenth century, th: value of gold and siluer in Europe to athut a third of what it had been befure. is at cost lans labour to bring thove metals from the m, ne to the market, so, when they wore bronght thither, theg could porchase or command in as labour; and this revolution in thic salose, though perhaps the greateat, is by no means the only one of which histary gives sane account. But as a measure of quanety, such as the natural frot, futhom, or havelfus. which is continually varsing in trown qhan. tity, can never be an accurate meaviee of the quantity of other chings, so a cour ood ty whish is itself conthually varying in its own
value can never be an accurate measure of the value of other commodites. Eypal quantater of labour, at all times and places, may be sud to be of equal value to the labourn In his ordmary state of health, strungth, and spirits, in the ordmary degree of lin shill and dexterity, he must always lay down the sume portion of bis ease, his howty, and his happoness. The price which tre prets mast always be the same, whatever may be the quantity of goods which he recenes in return for it. Of thene, mend, It nuy smothmes purchase a greater and sorm tham a smelherquantity; but it is their bhue whel varies, not that of the labour "huh purchases them. At all tunes and pha's, that is dear wheh it is diflicult to come dt, or which it costs much labour to açure, and that cheap which is to be had tail, or with very hetle labour. Labour alom, thercfore, never varymor in its own volue, is alome the ultimate and real standard by whith the value of all commodities can at all tunes atod places be estimated and compared It is thear real price; money is then nomunal price only.

But though equal quantities of labour are aluay, of equal value to the labourer, yet, to the perton who emplays him, they appear somethmes to be of greater and sometimes of smaller value. He purchases them sometunes with a greater and sometimes with a smaller guantity of goods, and to him the priec of ldbour seems to vary like that of all other things. It appears to hin dear in the one case, and chesp in the other. In reality, honever, it is the goods wheh are cheap in thi one case, and dear in the other.

In tha popular sense, therefore, labour, lake commodites, may be sud to have a real and a nomund price. Its real price may be and to consist in the quantity of the necesartes and conveniences of hife which are Hiven for it, us nominal price in the quanuty of maney. The labourer is neh or poor, is well or ill rewarded, in propostion to the real, not to the nominal, price of his labour.

The dixtinction between the real and the nommal pice of commodities and labour, is not a matter of mere speculation, but may sometinus'be of considenable use in practice. The same red puce is always of the same walue; but, on acenunt of the variations in the value of gold and silver, the same ummind price is sometiones of very different values. "Whell a landed estate, therefore, is sold with a reservation of a perpetual rent, if it is mitended that thes rent thould always be of the same value, it is of mportance to the fambly in whe favour it is reserved, that at should not constet in a particular sum of
I $7 h^{3}$ thas arispm, not. es Dr Sulth errobpocisly Bupposes, trom the value of corn heing comparatively imaridis, but from its having a natural tendency to
moncy Its value would, in this case, be hable to valations of two different brads. first, to thome which aise fiom the diferent quantitues of gold and silver which are contaned at diffircit times in com of the wime denomination ; and, secondly, to those whinh arise from the dhterent values of equal quantuties of gold and sher at different thmes.

Princes and soveremg states have frequently fancied that they had a temporary interest to diminslis the quantity of pure metal contanned in their coms, but they selidom have fancied that they had any to augment it. The quantity of metal contained in the ' coins, I behese, of all nations, has, accodingly, been almust contmually dmmoshong, and hardly ever augmenting Such virhtions, therefure, tend almost always to dminish the value of a modey-rent.

The duscovery of the mmes of America dimmoshed the value of gold and shatem Europe. This dimmution, it is commonly supposed, though I apprebend without any certan proof, is still gomg on gradually, and is lukely to continue to do so for a long time. Upon this supposition, therefore, such variatious are more lihely to dimmish than to augment the value of a money-rent, even though it should be stppulated to be pad, not in such a quantity of colued money of such a denommation, (in so many pounds sterling, for example, but in so many ounces either of pare silver, or of shlver of a certain standard.

The rents which have been recerved in corn have preserved ther value much better than those which have been reserved in money, even where the denomiation of the coin has not been altered.' By the 18th of Elizabeth it was enacted, That a third of the rent of all college leases should be reserved in corn, to be pad either an hind or according to the current prices at the nearest public market. The money arising trom this corn rent, though oiginally but a thad of the whole, is, in the present times, according to Dr. Mlackstone, coramonly near double of what anses from the other two thirds. The old noney-rents of colleges, must, according to this account, have sunk almost to a fourth part of their ancient value, or are worth hittle more than a fourth part of the corn which they were furnerly worth. But, since the reign of Philp and Mary, the denomuation of the Englath coin has undergone little or no alteration; and the same number of pounds, shillings, and pence have contained very nearly the saine quantaty of pure sllier. This degradation, therefore, in the value of the money rents of colleges, has arisen altogether from the degradation in the value of salver.
rise su value or price, according as society advances, or poptulation het omes sdenorf -See nutes on Hemt and Wages, end of the Volume.

When the degradation itt the value of silver is combined with the dianinution of the quantity of it contained in the coin of the same denomination, the loss is frequently still greater. In Seotland, where the denomination of the cein has undergone much greater plterations than it ever did in England, and in France where it has undergone still greater than it ever did in Scotland, some ancient rents, originally of considerable value, have in this manner been reduced almost ta nothing.

Fqual quantities of habour will, at distant times, be purchased more nearly with equal quantaties of corn, the subsistence of the labourer, than with equal quantities of gold and sulver, or, perhaps, of any other commodity. Equal quantities of corn, therefore, (will, at distant tumes, be more nearly of the same real value, or enable the possessor to purchase or command more nearly the same quantity of the labour of other people. They will do this, I say, more nearly than equal quantities of almost any other comnodity; for even equal quantities of corn will not do it exactly. The subsistence of the labour, or the real price of labour, as I shall endeavour to show hereafter, is very different upon different occasions; miore liberal in a society advaucing to opulence than in one that is standing still, and in one that is standing still, than in one that is going bachwards. , Every other commodity, however, will, at any particular time, purchase a greater or smaller quantity of labour in proportion to the quantity of subsistence which it can purchase at that time. A rent, therefore, reserved in corn is liable only to the variations in the quantity of labour which a certain quantity of corn can purchase; but a rent reserved in any other commodity is liable, not only to the variations in the quantity of labour which any particular quantity of corn can purchase, but to the variations in the quantity of corn which can be purchased by any particular guantity of that commodity.

Though the real value of a corn-rent, it is to be cbserved, however, yaries much less from century to century than that of a money-rent, it varies much more from year to year. The money-price of labour, as I shall cndeavour to show hereafter, dues not fluctuate from year to year with the moneyprice of corn, but seems to be everywhere accommodated, not to the temporary or occasional, but to the average or ordinary price of that necessary of life. The average or ordinary price of corn, again, is regulated, as I shall likewise endeavour to show hereafter, by the value of silver, by the richness or barrenness of the mines which supply the market with that metal, or by the quantity of labour which must be employed, and consequently of cota which must be concumed, in reder to bring any particular quan-
tuty of silver from the mine to the markct. But the value of sitver, though it somatime varies greatly from century to century, seldom varies much from ycar to year, but frequently continues the same, or very nita arly the same, for half a century or a century together. The ordinary or average monevprice of cors, thercforc, may, duritg mo long a period, continue the sance, or very nearly the same, too, and along wah it the money-price of labour, provided, at leest, the society continues, in other resperts, in the same or nearly in the same cundituon. In the mean time, the temporary and ucrasional price of corn may frequentls lue double one year of what it had been the year before, or fluctuate, for example, foon theand-twinty to fifty shillings the quarter. - lut when corn is at the latter price, not only the nonmual but the real value of a corn-rent will be double of what it is when at the former, or will command double the quantity cutht $r$ of labonr or of the greater part of other commodities; the mosey-price of labour, and along with it that of moot other thin:ra, continuing the same during all thes fluetuations.

Labour, therefore", it uppeary evidently, is the only univerat, as well as the only arcurate, measure of value, or the only standad by which we can compare the valut of differeat commodities at all tumes and at all plates. We cannot eximate, it is allowed, the real value of different comoodties from century to century by the guantitne of silver which wrere gtoen for thath. We cannot estimate it from sear to ycar loy the quantities of corn. jiy the quantults of labour we can, with the greatest arcuracy, estimate it Loth from century to century, and from year $w$ year. Fron century to century, corn is a better measure than silver, because, from century to century, equal quantities of corn will command the same quantity of Jabour more nearly than equal quantities of silver. From year to year, on the contrary, silver is a better measure than com, because equal quantities of it will more nearly commend the same quantity of labour.

But though in establishing perpetual rents, or even in letting very long heases, it may be of use to distnguivh between real and nominal price, it is of none in buying and selling, the more common and ordinary transactions of human life.

At the same tine and place the real and the nominal price of all commodition are ex. actly in proportion to one another. The more or less money zou get for any conmodity, in the London marhet, for example, the more or less labour it will at that the and place enable you to purchase or command. At the same tume and place, therefore, monev is the exact medsure of the real
exchangeable value of all commoditica It is so, however, at the same time and place only.

Though, at distant placen, there is no regular proportion between the real and the money price of commoditics, yet the merchant who casries goods from the one to the other has nothing to consider but their money price, or the difference between the quantity of silver for which be buy them, and that fur which he is likely to sell them. Half an ounee of stlver at Canton in China, may command a greater quantity both of labour and of the necessaries and conveniencies of life, than an ounce at London. A commodity, therefors, which oclls for balf an ounce of silver at Canton may there be really dearer, of more reml importance to the man who poosesses it there, than a commodity which sella for en cunce at Landon is to the man who passessen it at London. If a London merchant, however, can buy at Canton for half an ounce of silver, a commodity which he can afterwarda sell at London for an ounce, he gains a hundred per cent. by the bargain, juat as much as if an ounce of silver was at London exactly of the sance value as at Canton. It is of no inuportance to him that half an ounee of wiver at Canton toould have given him the command of more labour and of a greater quantuty of the necessaries and convenienctes of hife than an ounce can do at London. An oance at London will always give him the command of double the quantuty of all these which half an ounce could have done there, and this is preoisely what he wants.

As it is the nominal or money price of goods, therefore, which finally determines the prudence or imprudence of all purchases and sales, and thereby regulates almost the whole business of eommon life in which iprice is concerned, we cannot wander that ; it should have been so much more attended $t$ to than the real price.

In such a work as this, howover, it may sometimes be of use to compare the different real values of a particular commodity at different tumes and places, or the different degrees of powar over the labour of other people which it may, upon different occan sions, have given to those who possessed it We must, in this case, compare, not 80 much the different quantrica of silver for which it was commonly sold, as the different quantities of labour which those different quantities of silver could have purchased. But the eurrent prices of labour at distant times and places aan scarce ever be known with any degree of exactness. Those of corn, though they have in few places been regulariy recorded, are in general better known and have been toore frequently taken notiee of by his tornans and other writers. We must generally, therefore, content ourselves with them, not as being alway exactiy in the mame pro-
portion as the current prices of labour, but as being the nearest approximation which can commonily be had to that proportion. I chall hereafter have occasion to make several comparisons of this kind.

In the progress of industry, commercial mations have found it convenient, to coin meveral different metals into money ; gold for) larger payments, silver for purchases of moderate value, and coppen or some other coarse metal, for those of still smaller con-1 sideration. They have always, however. considered one of those metals as more pecu. liarly the measure of value than any of the other two; and this preference seems generally to have been givell to the metal which they happened first to make use of as the instrument of commerce. Having once begun to use it as their standard, which they must have done when they had no other money, they have generally continued to do so, even when the necesity was not the same.

The Romans are said to have had nothing but copper money till within five gears before the first Punic war ${ }^{1}$, when they first began to coin silver. Copper, therefore, appears to have continued always the mossure of value in that republic. At Rome all accounts appear to have been kept, and the value of all estates to have been computed either in asose or in sestertii. The as was always the denomination of a copper coin The word Seatertius signities two Asers and a balf. Though the sessertius, thesefre, was originally a silver coin, its value was estimated in copper. At Rome, one who owed a great deal of money, was sald to have a great deal of other people's copper.

The northern nations who establshed themselves upor the ruins of the Roman empire, seem to bave had silver money from the first beginning of their settlements, and not to have known either gold or copper coins for several ages thercater. There were silver coins in England in the tirne of the Saxons; but there was little gold comed till the time of Edward III., nor any copper if till that of James I. of Great Brtain. In Eagland, therefore, and for the same reason, I believe, in all other modern nations of Europe, all accounts are kept, and the value of all goods and of all estates is generally computed in silver; and, when we mean to express the amount of a person's fortunc, we meldom mention the number of guineas, but the number of pounds sterling which we suppose would be given for it

Originally, in all countries, I believe, a legal tender of payment could be made only in the coin of that metal which was pectu. liazly considered as the standard or measure of value. In England, gold was not considered as a legal tender for a long time after it was coined into money. The proportion

between the values of gold and silver money was not fixed by any public law or proclam mation; but was left to be settled by the market. If a debtor offered payment in gold, the creditor might either reject such payment altogether, or accept of it at such a valuation of the gold as he and his debtor could agree upon. ${ }^{1}$ Copper is not at present a legal tender, except in the change of the sualler silver coins. In this state of things the distinction between the metal which was the standard, and that which was not the standard, was something more than a nominal distinction.

In process of time, and as people became gradually more familiar with the use of the different metals in coin, and, consequently, better acquainted with the proportion between their respective values, it has in most countries, I believe, been found convenient to 'ascertain tbis proportion, and to declare by a public law that a guinea, for example, of such a weight and fineness, should exchange for one-and-twenty shillings, or be a legal tender for a debt of that amount. In this state of things, and during the continuance of any one regulated proportion of this kind, the distinction between the metal which is the standard, and that which is not the standard, becomes little more than a nominal distinction.

In consequence of any change, however, in this regulated proportion, this distinction becomes, or at least seems to become, something more than nominal again, If the regulated value of a guinea, for example, was cither reduced to twenty or raised to two-and-twenty shillings, all accounts being kept, and almost all obligations for debt being expressed in silver money, the greater past of payments could, in either case, be made with the same quantity of silver money

1 According to the late Lord Liverpool, who has very carefully investigated this subject. (Trealise on Coins, p. 128), gold coins passed current at certasn rates fixed from tame to time by royal proclamation, I and have consequently been legal tender, from the time gold began to be coined in England in 1257, till 1664, when the guinea, which was then firgt coined, and the other gold conns, were permitted to pase current, without any valuation according to the relative worth of gold and sliver in the market. This practice continued till 1717, when the rate or v lue at which a grunea should exchange was fixer at (twentynone shillings. From this period till 1774; gold and sulver coins were equally legal tender ; but from the curcumstance of gold having been oversalued with respect to silver, in the proportion fixed In 1717, almost all large payments wero made in gold, silver coins of full weight being exported as soon ss they came from the mint, whle none but those that were worn and debased remanned in circulation. In 1774 it was enacted, that no tender made in sliver coin by trice should be legal for wore than 252 . ; and that any tender for a larger sum in bilver com must be made by weight at the rate of 5 s .2 d . an ounce. And finally, in 1816, the value of silver wan ralsed above its just proportion, as compared with gold, by coining sixty-six shillinge instead of aixty-fwo out 'of the pound troy, the additional four shillings being retained by government as a seignorage, or duty, of 614-31 per cent. on the coinage: but, in order to prevent this overvalued siliver currency from drip. ing the gold currency out of the country, and becom-
as before, but would require very dilfurent quantities of gold money; a greater in the one case, and a smaller in the other. Silver would appear to be more invariable in its value than gold. Silver would appear to measure the value of gold, and gold would not appear to measure the value of silver. The value of gold would seem to depend upon the quantity of silver which it would exchange for; and the value of silver would not seem to depend upon the quantity of gold which it would exchange for. Thas difference, however, would be altogether owing to the custom of keeping accounts, and of expressing the amount of all great and small sums rather in sulver than in gold money. One of Mr. Drummond's notes for five-and-twenty or fifty guineas woukd, after an alteration of this kind, be still payable with Give-and-twenty or fifty guincas in the same manner as before. It would, after such an alteration, be payable with the aante quantity of gold as before, but with very different quantitios of silver. In the payment of such a note, gold wrould appear to be more invariable in its value than sitver. Gold would appear to measure the value of silver, and silver would not appear to measure the value of gold. If the custom of kecping accounts, and of expressing promissory notes and other obligations for moncy in this manner, should ever become general, gold, and not silver, would be considered as the metal which was_peculiarly the standard or measure of value.

In reality, during the continuance of any one regulated proportion between the respective values of the different metals in coin, the value of the most precious metal regulates the value of the whole coin. ${ }^{2}$; Twelve copper pence contain half a pound,

Ing the sole medium of exchange, it was at the same time enacted, that silver should the legal tender to the extent of forty shillings only: white, to provent its, sinking in value from redundaney, the power to issua It was placed exclusavely in the hands of gorernment. Under these regulations, Bliver has become a merely subordinate species of currency, occupving the same place in relation to gold that copper occuples in relation to reself. This syatem bas been found to ans wer extremely well.
This is an error. The value of silver is in no respect, nor under any circumstances, dependent upon or regulated by the value of gold, and conversely; and the same principie holds with respent to the values of such gold and silver coins as are equally legal tender. The reason why the currency of some countries consists pnochpally of gold, whise that of others consists principally of silver, it mont cominonly to be found in their mint regulations The value of each of the precious tnetals being listile, like that of all other commodities, to perpetitil varnations, it necessanly follows that the proportion fixed by the government at which they shall be interchangeable, how accurately socver it may have corresponded with the proportion which chey actually bore to each other in the market, at the time when it was ixed, must aoon cease to express their real relatuon to each other. As scon, however, as thus discrepancy taks place, if Decomes the ouvious ithterest of all debtors to pay their debts in cons of that metal which is overvalued in the mint proportion: the undervalued metal being exported by tha bullice
arnirdupis of copper, of not the bert quality, which bufore it is coined, is seldom worth soveth-pence in ailver. But, as by the regulation twelve such pence are ordered to exchange for a challing, they are in the market considered as worth a shilung, and a shilling can at any time be had for them. Even before the late reformation of the gold coin of Great Britan, the gold, that part of it at least which creculated in London and its nelghbourhood, was in general less degraded below its standard weight than the greater part of the silver. One-and-twenty worn and defaced shullings, however, were considered as equivalent to a guinea, which, perhaps, indeed, was worn and defaced too, but seldom so much so. The late regula tions have brought the gold coin as near perhaps to its standard weight as it is possible to bring the current coin of any nation; and the order, to receive no gold at the public offioes but by weight, is helely to preserve it so long as that order is enforced. The silver coin still continues in the same worn and degraded state as before the reformation of the gold com. In the market, bowever, one-and-twenty shallings of this degraded silver cuin are still considered as worth a gunea of this excellent gold coin.

The reformation of the gold coin has evidently raised the value of the silver coin which can be exchanged for it.

In the English mint a pound weight of gold to coined into forty-four guineas and a half, which, at one-and-twenty shillings the primes, is equal to forty-six pounds fourteen shatlings and sirpence. An ounce of such gold coin, therefore, is worth $3 L$ 178. 10 d . in silver. In Eagland no duty or seignorage is pard upon the coinage, and he who carres a pound weight or an ounce weight of standard gold bultoon to the mint, gets back a pound weight or an ounce weight of gold in com, without any deduction. Three pounds seventeen shillings and ten-pence halfpenny an ounce, therefore, is sad to be the mint price of gold in England, or the quantuty of gold coin which the mint gives in return for standard gold bultion.

Hefore the reformation of the gold coin the price of standard gold bullion in the market
merchants to other countries The history of the connures of Framee sud England affords abomdant proois of the truth of this statement. In France, for Pxample, presicusty to the re-conage in 1785 , the inus d'or was rated to the mine proportion at only 24 hirres, when it was really worth 25 hive. 10 bols Those, therefore, who should hase discharged the obigations they had contracted, by payments of gold ather than of sliver, would plamit have lost 1 liv. 10 fola on evert sum of 24 lipres ! The consequeuce or that very few such parments wrere made - that wh, that very few such payments were made ; that Fitd Was neariy hapished irum circulation, and thas - luer braine atnost the only specieg of metallic cirrency used in France (Say. Tratte of Ecomomie
 orer-saiuation of gold with respect to silver in the mint proportion tived in 1717, produced, as has been atready seen ( a is ) a directly opposite effect. Thu
had for many gars been upwad of $3 l$ iss, sometimes $3 / .19 \mathrm{~s}$, and very trequatly $4 l$. an ounce; that sun, it is probsble, in the worn and degraded gold cisn, celdom contuming more than an ounce of standard guld. Suce the reformation of the gold com the marhet price of standurd gold bulhon seldom exceeds SL 17s. 7d. an ounce. Buture the reformation of the gold coin the marhut price was always more or less above the mint price. Since that reformation the market price bas been constantly below the mint prige. But that market price is the same whether it is pard in gold or in sulver com. The late reformation of the gold cotia, thendore, has raised not only the value of the gold conn, but likewise that of the silver coin in proportion to gold bullion, and probably too in proportion to all other commodutes ; though the price of the greater part of other commoduties being influenced by so many other causes, the rise in the value either of gold or slver coin in proportion to them, may not be so distunct and sensible.

In the English munt a pound weight of standard silver bulhon is cuned into surt!two shullings, contaning in the same mainer a pound weight of standard silver. Five shallings and two-pence an ounce, therefore, is said to be the mint price of salver in England, or the quantity of siver coin which the mint gives in return for standard salver bulhon. Before the reformation of the gold com, the marhet price of standard sulver bullion was, upou different occasions, five shullings and fourpence, five sbillings and five-pence, five shillings and sixpence, five shillings and sevenpence, and very often fire shillings and eight-pence an ounce. Five sluthings and seren-pence, however, seems to bave becn the most common price. Since the reformation of the gold coin the market price of standard silver bullion has fallen ocrasionally to five shillings and three-pence, five shillings and four-pence, and fire shillings and fivepence an ounce, which last price it has scarce ever exceeded. Though the market price of sulver bulloon has fallen considerably since the reformation in the gold conn, it has nos fallen so low as the mint price:
over-valuation was estimated by the late Lord Liver poot, to have been it the time about equal to fourpence on the guinex, or to $119-5 t$ per cent: and ad the real value of aliver, with respect to gold. conthued to increase during the greater part of last century, the advantage of paring in gold in prefpre ence to silfer became more dechled, and ulumatel led, as has beeo previously obeerred, to the universal use of pold in large paymeuts, and to the exportaficu of all silver coms of fuil weycht.

- Since \&\$16, a pound of standand alrer bulhom has been couned mio sixty-sin shalinges, but its mint proce is still fixed at five shilings and two-prace an ounce, the additional four shilling benng retimed as a semgthe adiutional four shilimgs be
orrge or dity on the coinage
orage or dity on the coinage of mulhon ance 1797 , see the note on Money, eind of the volume.

In the propertion between the different metals in the English coin, as copper is rated very much above its real value, so silver is rated somewhat below it. In the market of Europe, in the French coin and in the Dutch coin, an ounce of fine gold exchanges for about fourteen ounces of fine silver. In the English coin it exchanges for about fifteen ounces, that is, for more silver than it is worth according to the common estimation of Europe. But as the price of copper in bars is not, even in England, raised by the high price of copper in English coin, so the price of silver in bullion is not sunk by the low rate of silver in English coin. Silver in bullion still preserves its proper proportion to gold; for the same reason that copper in bars preserves its proper proportion to silver.

Upon the reformation of the silver coin in the reign of William III. the price of silver bullion still continued to be somewhat above the mint price. Mr. Locke imputed this high price to the permission of exporting silver bullion, and to the prohibition of exporting silver coin. ${ }^{3}$ This permission of exporting, he said, rendered the demand for silver bullion greater than the demand for silver coin. But the number of people who want silver coin for the common uses of buying and selling at home, is surely much greater than that of those who want silver bullion either for the use of exportation or for any other use. There subsists at present a like permission of exporting gold bullion, and a like prohibition of exporting gold coin; ${ }^{1}$ and yet the price of gold bullion has fallen below the mint price. But in the English cuin silver was then, in the same manner as now, under-rated in proportion to gold; and the gold coin (which at that time too was not supposed to require any reformation) regulated then, as well as now, the real value of the whole coin. As the reformation of the silver coin did not then reduce the price of silver bullion to the mint price, it is not very probable that a like reformation will do so now.

Were the silver coin brought back as near to its standard weight as the gold, a guinea, it is probable, would, according to the present proportion, exchange for more silver in coin than it would purchase in bullion. The silver coin containing its full standard weight, there would in this case be a profit in melting it down, in order, first, to sell the bullion for gold coin, and afterwards to exchange this gold coin for silver cuin to be melted down in the same manner. Some alteration in the present proportion seems to be the only method of preventing this inconveniency.
1 The act prohiblting the exportation of gold and silver com was repealed in 1819. Both may now be exported without check or control of any bort.

Thus suggestion has been acted upon; - filver is

The inconveniency perhaps would be less if silver was rated in the coin as nuuch abore its proper proportion to gold as it is at preeent rated below it; provided it was at the same time enacted that silver should not he a legal tender for more than the change of a guinca; in the same manner as copjer is not a legal tender for more than the chango of a shilling. 8 No credtor could in this case be cheated in consequence of the high valuation of silver in coin ; as no creditor can at present be cheated in consequence of the high valuation of copper. The bankers only would suffer by this regulation. When a run comes upon them, they sometimes endeavour to gain time by paying in sixpences, and they would be precluded by this regulation from this discreditable method of evadung immediate payment. They would be obliged in consequence to keep at all times in their coffers a greater quantity of cavh than at present; and though this right no douls be a considerable inconveniency to them, it would at the same time be a considerable security to their creditors,

Three pounds seventeen shillings and tenpence halfpenny (the mint price of gold) certainly does not contain, even in our present excellent gold coin, more than an ounce of standard gold, and it may be thought. therefore, should not purchase more standard bullion. But gold is coin is more convenient than gold in bullion; and, though in England the coinage is free, yet the gold which is carried in bullion to the mint, can seldom be returned in coin to the owner till after a delay of several weeks. In the preaent hurry of the mint, it could not be returnel till after a delay of several months. Thus delay is equivalent to a small duty, and renders gold in coin somewhat more valuable than an equal quantity of gold in bulhon. If in the English coin silver was rated according to its proper proportion to gold, the price of silver bullion would probably fall below the mint price even without any reformation of the silver coin; the value even of the present worn and defaced sulver coin being regulated by the value of the excellent gold coin for which it can be changed.

A small seignorage or duty upon the coinage of both gold and silver would probably increase still more the superiority of those metals in coin above an equal quantity of either of them in bullion. The coinage would in this case increase the value of the metal coined in proportion to the extent of this small duty; for the same reason that the fashion increases the value of plate in proportion to the price of that fashion. The superiority of coin above bullion would prevent now rated above ity proper proportion to gold, and In legal tender onif to the extent of 404 . Soe bota p. 18.
the melung down of the coin, and would decourage its exportation. If upon any public exirency it should become necessary to export the coin, the greater part of it veuld soon ruturn again of its own accord. Abroad it could sell ouly for ats weight in bullon. At home it would buy more than that westit. Thore would be a protit, therefore, in lraring it home again. In France a selriarsige of about eight per cent ${ }^{1}$ is impond upon the comage, and the French com, when exported, is said to return home again of its own accords?

The occasional fluctuations in the market pice of gold and silver bullion arise from the same causes as the hike fluctuations in thit of all other commoditics. The frequent lins of those metals from various accidents Ify sea and by land, the continual waste of thein in giding and platug, in lace and embroulery, in the wear and tear of coin, and tn that of plate, require, in all countries - lith possess no mines of their own, a conthenal importation, in order to repair this lows and this waste. The merchant inpwitter, hie all other merchants, we may biteve, endcavour, as well as they can, to surt their oceasional importations to what, they judge, is lihely to be the immedrate dumand. With all their attention, however, they sumetimes over-do the business, and 4metimes under-do it. When they import more bulhon than is wanted, rather than mour the riak and trouble of exporting it ayma, they are sometunes willing to sell a part of it for something less than the ordinary or avcrape price When, on the other hand, they mport less than ts wanted, they get winsthing more than this price. But when, undir all those occasional Buctuations, the marbet price ather of gold or silver bullion continue for several years together steadily and consisnty, either nore or less above, or more or lens bciow the mant prices we may be ansureo that this steady and constant, ether superiurty or tuferionty of price, is the effect of something in the state of the coin, which, at that turue renders a certan quantuty of con enther of more value or of less value than the precise quantity of bullion wheh it ought to contain. The constancy and steadines of the effect supposes a proportionable constancy and steaduness in the с.иче.

The moncy of any particular country is,

[^2]at any particular tume and place, more or lese an decurate measure of value according as the current conn is more or less exactly agreeable to its standard, or contains more or less exautly the precise quantity of pure gold or pure shiter whach at ought to contan. If in Lngland, for example, forty-fisur gumeas and a half contained exactly a pound wemgt of standard gold, or eleren ounces of the gold and one ounce of alloy, the gold con of England would be as accurate a measure of the actual value of goods at any particular time and place as the nature of the thing would admut. But if, by rubbing and wearing, fortg-four guineas and a balf generally contan less than a pound weight of standard gold, the diminution, however, being greater in some pieces than in otbers; the measure of value comes to be hable to the same sort of uncertainty to which all other weughts and measures are commonly exposed. As it rarely happens that these are exactly agreeable to thear standard, the merchant adjusts the price of his goods, as well as he can, not to what those weights and measures ought to be, but to what, upon an average, he finds by experience they actually are. In consequence of a like disorder in the coin the price of goods comes, in the same manner, to be adjusted, not to the quantity of pure gold or silver which the con ought to contain, but to that which, upon an average, it is found by experience it actually does contan.

By the money price of goods, it is to be observed, I understand always the quantity of pure gold or sulver for which they are sold, without any regard to the denommation of the coin. Six shullings and eught-pence, for example, in the tirne of Edward I., I consider as the sane money price with a pound sterling in the present tumes; because it contuned, as nearly as we can judge, the same quantity of pure sulver.

## CHAP. VI.

Of the Component Parts of the Prace of Commodities. ${ }^{3}$
In that early and rude state of society which precedes both the accumulation of stock and the appropriation of land, the proportion between the quantities of labour necessary for acquiring dufferent objects seems to be
ter, that the value of commodities in an advanced state of aoctecy varlet accurdinit to the varnations of rent, pront, and wagos, is fundamentally erroneous 7 bese varianoes merely affect the distribution of commadities, or the proporticas to which they are commadities, or the proporinus in shided they are divided among landlords, capitalists, and labourers. aud have pothing to do sith their value, or with theyr
power to exchange for, or buy earb other and labour. Fore a further eluridation of this principle, the reader is reffersed to the note at the eud of the volume, on the Fllent of Variations in the Rates of Wages and Protits on the Value of Commollites.
the only circumstance which can afford any rule for exchanging them for one another. If among a nation of hunters, for example, it usually costs twice the labour to kill a beaver which it does to kill a deer, one beaver sbould naturally exchange for or be worth two deer. It is natural chat what is usually the produce of two days' or two hours' labour, should be worth double of what is usually the produce of one day's or one hour's labour.

If the one species of labour should be more severe than the other, some allowance will naturally be made for this superior hardship; and the produce of one hour's labour in the one way may frequently exchange for that of two hours' labour in the other.

Or if the one species of labour requires an uncommon degree of dexterity and ingenuity, the esteem which men have for such talents will naturally give a value to their produce, superior to what would be due to the time employed about it. Such talents can seldom be acquired but in consequence of long application; and the superior value of their produce may frequently be no more than a reasonable compensation for the time and labour which must be spent in ecquiring them. In the advanced state of society, allowances of this kind, for superior hardship and superior skill, are commonly made in the wages of labour; and something of the same kind must probably have taken place in its earliest and rudest period.

In this state of things the whole produce of labour belongs to the labourer ; and the quantity of labour commonly employed in acquiring or producing any commodity, is the only circumstance which can regulate the quantity of labour which it ought commonly to purchase, command, or exchange for.

As soon as stock has accumulated in the nands of particular persons, some of them will naturally employ it in setting to work industrious people, whom they will supply with materials and subsistence, in order to make a profit by the sale of their work, or by what their labour adds to the value of the materials. In exchanging the complete manufacture either for money, for labour, or for other goods, over and above what may be sufficient to pay the price of the materials and the wages of the workmen, something must be given for the profits of the under. taker of the work, who hazards his stock in

[^3]this adventure. The value which the workmen add to the materials, therefore, resolves: itself in this case into two parts, of which the onoqays their wages, the other the profits of their employer upon the whole stock of materials and wages which he advanced. He cound have no interest to employ them, unless be expected from the sale of ther work something more than what was sufficient to replace his stock to him; and ho could have no interest to employ a great stock rather than a small one, unless his profits were to bear some proportion to the exten: of his stock.

The profits of stock, it may perhaps ba thought, are only a different name for the Wage of a particular sort of labour, the labour of inspection and direction. 1 They are, however, altogether different, are regulated by quite differeat principles, and lear no proportion to the quantity, the hardship, or the ingenuity of this supposed labour of inspection and direction. They are regulated altogether by the value of the stuck employed, and are greater or smaller in prow portion to the extent of this stock. ${ }^{2}$ Let us suppose, for example, that in some particular place, where the common annual profits of manufacturing stock are ten per cent, there are two different manufactures, in each of which twenty workmen are employed at the rate of fifteen pounds a year each, or at the expense of three hundred a year in each manufactory. Let us suppose too, that the coarse materials annually wrought up in the one cost only eeven hundred pounds, while the finer materials in the other cost meven thousand. The capital annually employed in the one will in this case amount only to one thousand pounds; whereas that employed in the other will amount to meven thousand three hundred pounds. At the rate of ten per ceat. therefore, the undertaker of the one will expect an yeariy profit of about one hundred pounds only; while that of the other will expect about seven hundred and thirty pounds. But though their profite are 00 very different ${ }^{3}$, their labour of inspection and direction may be either altogether or very nearly the same. In many great works almost the whole labour of this kind is committed to some principal clerk. Nis wages properly express the value of thas tabour of inspection and direction. Though in bettling them some regard is had commonly, not only to his labour and skill, bus to the trust which is reposed in him, yet they;
pended in the pormation of the captal, or that would now be regured to form an equal capital.
${ }^{2}$ The gross amownt of proctic depends, of courke, In such a case as this, on the andune of caprid employed; that the rate of prolit would aut to affected by that caytal being large or small.
3 The amount of their profits is different, becanse* the amount of their eaputais is different ; but the rate. of prolit accruing to each to precisely the same
never bear any regular proportion to the capital of which he ovensers the manage ment; and the owner of this capital, though he is thus discharged of almost all lebour, still expucts that his profits should Ear a regular proportion to his capital. In the price of commodities, therefore, the profits of stock constitute a component part altogether diflerent from the wages of labour, and regulnted by quite different principles.

In this state of things, the whole produce of labour does not always belong to the labourer. He must in most cases share it with the owner of the stock which employs lum. Nether is the quantity of labour comuouly employed in acquiring or producing any commodity, the only circumstance which can regulate the quantity which it ought commonly to purchase, command, or exchange for. An additional quantity, it is evident, must be due for the profits of the stock which advanced the wages and furmaked the materials of that labour. ${ }^{1}$

As soon as the land of any country has all become grivate property, the landlords, like , 41 other men, love to reap where they never sowed, and demand a rent even for its natural produce. The wood of the forest, the grass of the ficld, and all the natural fruits of the earth which, when land was in common, cott the lubourer only the trouble of gatherlug them, come, even to him, to have an additional price fixed upon them. He must then pay for the licence to gather them; and must give up to the landlord a portion of what his labour either collects or produces, This portion, or, what comes to the same thing, the price of this portion, constitutes the rent of land, and in the price of the greater part of commodities makes a third component part. ${ }^{2}$

The real value of all the dafferent component parts of price, it must be observed, is measured by the quantity of labour which they can, each of them purchase or command Labour measures the value, not only of that part of price which resolves itself into labour, but of that which resolves itself into rent, and of that which resolves itself intu proct.

In exery society the price of every commodity finally resolves itself into some one or other, or all of those three parts; and in every improved society all the three euter more or less, as component parts, into the price of the far greater part of commodities.

In the price of corn, for example, ore part pays the rent of the landlord, another pays the wages or maintenance of the lajourers and labouring cattle employed in producin's it, and the third pays the profit of the farmer. These thre parts seem either inmpediately or ultunately to make up the whole price of colu. A fourth part, it many perhaps be (See note S, P 21.
thought, is necessary for replacing the stock of the farmer, or for compensating the wear and tear of his labouring cattle, and other: instruments of husbandry. But it must be consudered that the price of any mstrurient of husbandry, such as a labouring horse, is itself made up of the same three parts; the rent of the land upon which be is reared, the labour of tending and rearing him, and the profits of the farmer who advances both the rent of this land and the wages of this labour. Though the price of the corn, thenefore. mav pay the price as well as the maintenarce of the horse, the whole price still resclves itself ether inmmedately or ultimately into the same three parts of rent, of labour and profit.

In the price of flour or meal, we naust add to the price of the corn the profits of the miller and the wages of his servants; in the price of bread, the profits of the baker and the wages of his servants; and in the price of both, the labour of transporting the corn from the house of the farmer to thal of the miller, and from that of the miller to that ot the baker, together with the profits of those who advance the wages of that labour.

The price of flax resolves itself into the same threc parts as that of corn. In the price of linen we must add to this price the wages of the flax-dresser, of the spinner, of the weaver, of the bleacher, \&c., together with the profits of therr respective employers.

As any particular commodity comes to be more manufactured, that part of the price which resolves itself into wages and profit, comes to be greater in proportion to that which resolves itself into rent. In the progress of the manufacture, not only the number of profits increase, but every subsequent profit is greater than the foregoing; because the capital from which it is denved must always be greater. The capital which employs the weavers, for example, must be greater than that which employs the spinners, because it not only replaces that captal writh its profits, but pays, besides, the wages of the weavers; and the profits must always bear some proportion to the capital.

In the most improved socleties, however, there are always a few commoditues of which: the price resolves itself into two parts only, the wages of labour, and the profits of stock; and a sull smaller number, in whoh it consists altogether in the wages of labour. In the price of sea-fish, for example, one part pays the labour of the fishermen, and the other the profits of the capital employed in the fishery. Rent very seldom makes any part of it, though it does sometimes, as I shall show hereafter. It is otherwise, at least through the greater part of Europe, in river fisheries. A salmon fishery pays a rent; and reut, though it cannot well be called the

[^4]rent of lund, makes a part of the price of a salmon as well as wages and profit. In some parts of Scotland a few poor people make a trade of gathering, along the seaI shore, those little variegated stones commonly known by the name of Scotch Pebbles. The price which is paid to them by the stone-cutter is altogether the wages of ther labour; neither rent nor profit make any part of it. -

But the whole price of any commodity must still finally resolve itself into some one or other, or all of those three parts ; as whatever part of it remains after paying the rent of the land, and the price of the whole labour employed in raising, manufacturing, and bringing it to market, must necessarily be profit to somebody.

As the price or exchangeable value of every particular commodity, taken separately, resolves itself into some one or other or all of those three parts; so that of all the commodities which compose the whole annual produce of the labour of every country, taken complexly, must resolve itself into the same three parts, and be parcelled out among different inhabitants of the country, either as the wages of their labour, the profits of their stock, or the rent of their land; the whole of what is annually either collected or produced by the labour of every society, or what comes to the same thing, the whole price of it, is in this manner originally distributed among some of its different members. Wages, profit, and rent, are the three original sources of all revenue as well as of all exchangeable value. All other revenue is ultimately derived from some one or other of these.

Whoever derives his revenue from a fund which is his own, must draw it either from his labour, from his stock, or from his land. 'The revenue derived from labour is called wages. That derived from stock, by the person who manages or employs it, is called profit. That derived from it by the person who does not employ it himself, but lends it to another, is called the interest or the use of money. It is the compensation which the borrower pays to the lender, for the profit which he has an opportunity of making by the use of the money. Part of that profit naturally belongs to the borrower, who runs the risk and takes the trouble of employing it; and part to the lender, who affords him the opportunity of making this profit. The interest of money is always a derivative revenue, which, ${ }^{f}$ it is not paid from the profit which is made by the use of the money, must be paid from some other source of revenue, unless perhaps the borrower is a spendthrift, who contracts a second debt in order to pay the interest of the first. The revenue which proceeds altogether from land, is called rent, and belongs to the landlord. The revenue of the farmer is derived partly
from his labour, and partly from his stock. To him, land is only the instrument which enables him to earn the wages of this labour, and to make the profits of this stock. All taxe, and all the revenue which is fuunded upon them, all salaries, pensions, and annuities of every kind, are ultimately derived from some one or other of those three ortginal sources of revenue, and are paid either immediately or mediately from the wages of labour, the profits of stock, or the rent of land.

When those three different sorts of revenue belong to different persons, they are readily distinguished; but when they belong to the same they are sometimes confounded with one another, at least in common language.

A gentleman who farms a part of his own estate, after paying the expense of cultivation, should gain both the rent of the landiord and the profit of the farmer. He is apt to denominate, however, his whole gain, profit, and thus confounds rent with profit, at least in common language. The greater part of our North American and Weat Indian planters are in this situation. They farm, the greater part of them, their own estates, and accordingly we seldom hear of the rent of a plantation, but frequently of its profit.

Common farmers seldom employ any overseer to direct the general operations of the farm. They generally too work a good deal with their own hands, as ploughmen, harrowers, \&ce. What remains of the crop after paying the rent, therefore, should not only replace to them their stock employed in cultivation, together with its ordinary profits, but pay them the wages which are due to them, both as labourers and overseers. Whatever remains, however, after paying the rent and keeping up the stock, is called protit. But wages evidently make a part of it. The farmer, by saving these wages, must necessarily gain them. Wages, therefore, are in this case confounded with profit.

An independent manufacturer, who has stock enough both to purchase materials, and to maintain himself till he can carry his work to market, should gain both the wages of a journeyman who works under a master, and the profit which that master makes by the sale of the journeyman's work. His whole gains, however, are commonly called profit, and wages are, in this case too, confounded with profit.

A gardener who cultivates his own garden with his own hands, unites in his own person the three different characters of landlord, farmer, and labourer. His produce, therefore, should pay him the rent of the first, the profit of the second, and the wages of the third. The whole, however, is commonly considered as the earnings of his labour. Both rent and profit are, in this case, confounded with wages.

As in a civilised eountry there are but few commodities of which the exchangeable value arises from labour only, rent and profit contributing largely to that of the far greater 'part of them ${ }^{1}$; so the annual produce of its labour will always be sufficient to purchase or command a much greater quantity of labour than what was employed in rasing, preparing, and bringing that produce to market. If the society were annually to employ all the labour which it can annually purchase, as the quantuty of labour would uncleave greatly every year, so the produce of every succeeding year would be of vastly greater value than that of the foregoing. liut there is no country in which the whole anmual produce is employed in maintaining the industrious The idle every whare consume a great part of it; and according to the different proportions in which it is annually duided between those two different orders of people, its ordinary or average value must either annually increase, or diminish, or continue the same from one year to another.

## CHAP. VII.

Of the Natural and Market Price of Conmodities. ${ }^{2}$
There is in every society or neighbourhood an ordinary or average rate both of wages and profit in every different employment of labour and stock. This rate is naturally regulated, as I shall show hereafter, partly by the general circumstances of the saciety, their riches or poverty, ther advancing, stationary, or declining condation; and partly by the particular nature of each employment.

There is likewise in every society or neighbourhood an ordinary or average rate of rent, which is regulated too, as I shall show hereafter, partly by the general circumstances of the society or neighbourhood in which the land is situated, and partly by the natural or improved fertulity of the land.

These ordmary or average rates may be called the natural rates of wages, profit, and rent, at the time and place in which they commonly preval. '
When the price of any commodity is neither more nor less than what is sufficient to pay the rent of the land, the wages of the labour, and the profits of the stock employed in raising, preparing, and bringing it to market according to their natural rates, the commodity is then sold for what may be called its natural price.

The commodity is then sold precisely for

[^5]what it is worth, or for what it really costs the person who brings it to market, for though in common language what is called the prime cost of any commodity does not comprehend the profit of the person who $1 s$ to sell it again, yet if he sells it at a price which does not allow him the ordirary rate of profit in his neighbourhood, he is evidently a looser by the trade; smee by employing his stock in some other way he might have made that profit. His profit, besides, is his revenue. the proper fund of his subsistence. As, while he is preparing and bringing the goods to market, he advances to his workmen their wages, or their subsistence; so he advances to himself, in the same manner, his own subsistence, which is generally suitable to the profit which he may reasonably expect from the sale of his goods. Unless they yield him thas profit, therefore, they do not repay him what they may very properly be said to have really cost him.

Though the price, therefore, which leaves him this profit, us not always the lowest at which a dealer may sometimes sell his goods, it is the lowest at which he is lukely to sell them for any considerable time; at least where there is perfect liberty, or where he may change his trade as often as he pleases.

The actual price at which any commodity is commonly sold is called its manket price. It may either be above, or below, of exactly the same with its natural price.

The market prive of every particuldr commodity is regulated by the proportion between the quantity which is actually brought to market, and the demand of those who are willing to pay the natural price of the commodity, or the whole value of the rent, labour, and profit, which must be paid in order to bring it thither. Such people may be called the effectual demanders, and their demand the effectual demand; since it may be sufficient to effectuate the bringing of the commodity to market. It is deferent from the absolute demand. $A$ very poor man may be said in some sense to hare a demand for a coach and six ; he might like to have it ; but his demand is not an effectual demand, as the commodity can never be brought to market in order to satisfy it.

When the quantity of any commodity which is brought to market falls short of the effectual demand, all those who are wllling to pay the whole value of the rent, wages, and profit, which must be paid in order to bring it thither, cannot be supphed with the quantity which they want. Rather than want it altogether, some of them will be willing to give more. A competition will
that their market price is perpetually gravitatiog towards, and cannot, generally speahing, ever diverge conssderably from their natural price, or cost of produrtrom, is equally true and important For 6 one tarther illustratuons of thes principle, see Prmopied of Poldicol Economy, 2 d ed . pp, 306 -314.
immediately begin among them, and the market price will rise more or less above the natural price, according as either the greatness of the deficiency, or the wealth and wanton luxury of the competitors, happen to animate more or less the eagerness of the competrion. Armong competitors of equal wealth and luxury the same deficiency will generally occasion a more or less eager competition, according as the acquisition of the commodity happens to be of more or less importance to them. Hence the exorbitant price of the necessaries of life during the blockade of a town or in a famine.

When the quantity brought to market exceeds the effectual demand, it cannot be all sold to those who are willing to pay the whole value of the rent, wages, and profit, which must be paid in order to bring it thither. Some part must be sold to those who are willing to pay less, and the low ,price which they give for it must reduce the price of the whole. The market price will sink more or less below the natural price, according as the greatness of the excess increases more or less the competition of the sellers, or according as it happens to be more or less important to them to get immediately rid of the commodity. The same excess in the importation of perishable, will occasion a much greater competition than in that of dirable commodities; in the importation of oranges, for example, than in that of old iron.

When the quantity brought to market is just sufficient to supply the effectual demand and no more, the market price naturally comes to be either exactly, or as nearly as can be judged of, the same with the natural price. The whole quantity upon hand can be disposed of for this price and cannot be disposed of for more. The competition of the different dealers obliges them all to accept of this price, but does not oblige them to accept of less.

The quantity of every commodity brought to market naturally suits itself to the effectual demand. It is the interest of all those who employ their land, labour, or stock, in bringing any commodity to market, that the quantity never should exceed the effectual demand; and it is the interest of all other people that it never should fall short of that demand.

If at any time it exceeds the effectual demand, some of the component parts of its price nust be paid below their natural rate. If it is rent, the interest of the landlords will immediately prompt them to withdraw a part of their land; and if it is wages or profit, the interest of the labourers in the one case, and of their employers in the other, will prompt them to withdraw a part of their labour or stock from this employment. The quantity brought to market will soon
be no more than sufficient to supply the effectual demand. All the different parts of its price will rise to their natural rate, and the whole price to its natural price.

If, "on the contrary, the quantity brought to market should at any time fall short of the effectual demand, some of the component parts of its price must rise above their natural rate. If it is rent, the interest of all other landlords will naturally prompt them to prepare more land for the rasing of this commodity; if it is wages or profit, the interest of all other labourers and dealers will soon prompt them to employ more labour and stock in preparing and bringing it to market. The quantity brought thither will soon be sufficient to supply the effectual demand. All the different parts of its price will soon sink to their natural rate, and the whole price to its natural price.

The natural price, therefore, is, as it were, the central price, to which the prices of all commodities are continually gravitating. Different accidents may sometimes keep them suspended a good deal above it, and sometimes force them down even somew hat below it. But whatever may be the obstacles which hinder them from settling in this centre of repose and continuance, they are constantly tending towards it.

The whole quantity of industry annually employed in order to bring any commodity to market, naturally suits itself in thid manner to the effectual demand. It naturally aims at bringing always that precise quantity thither which may be aufficient to supply, and no more than supply, that demand.

But in some employments the same quantity of industry will in different years produce very different quantities of commodities; while in others it will produce always the same, or very nearly the same. The same number of labourers in hushandry will, in different years, produce very different quantities of corn, wine, oll, hops, \&c. But the same number of spinners and weavers will every year produce the same or very nearly the same quantity of linen and woollen cloth. It is only the average produce of the) one species of industry which can be suited; in any respect to the effectual demand; and as its actual produce is frequently much greater and frequently much less than its average produce, the quantity of the commodities brought to market will sometimes exceed a good deal, and sometimes fall short a good deal, of the effectual demand. Even! though that demand, therefore, should con- ? tinue always the same, their market price, will be liable to great fluctuations, will ? sometines fall a good deal below, and sometimes rise a good deal above their natural price. In the other species of industry, the produce of equal quantities of labor*
being always the same, or very nearly the same, it can be more exactly suited to the cffectual dumand. Whale that demand conunurs the same, therefore, the market price of the commodities is likely to do so too, and to be either altogether, or as nearly as can be judged of, the same with the natural price. That the price of linen and woollen cloth is hable neither to such frequent nor to such great vartations as the price of com, every man's experience will inform him. The price of the one species of commodities - varnes only with the variations in the demand; that of the other varies, not only with the variations in the demand, but with the much greater and more frequent varations in the quantity of what is brought to market in order to supply that demand.

The occasional and temporary fluctuations in the market price of any commodity fall chiefly upon those parts of its price which resolve themselves into wages and profit. That part which resolves itself into rent is less affected by them. A rent certain in mondy is not in the least affected by them ether in its rate or in its value. A rent which consists etther in a certain proportion or in a certain quantity of the rude produce, is no doubt affected in its yearly value by all the occasional and temporary fluctuations in the market price of that rude produce: but it is seldom affected by them in its yearly rate. In settling the terms of the lease, the landlord and farmer endeavour, according to their best judgrment, to adjust that rate, not to the temporary and occasional, but to the average and ordinary price of the produce.

Such fluctuations affect both the value and the rate either of wages or of profit, according as the market happens to be either overstocked or under-stocked with commodities or with labour; with work done, or with work to be done. A public mourning raises the price of black cloth (with which the market is almost always under-stocked upon such occasions), and augments the profits of the merchants who possess any considerable quantity of it. It has no effect upon the wages of the weavers. The market is understocked with commodities, not with labour; with work done, not with work to be done. It ruises the wages of journeymen tailors. The market is here under-stocked with labour. There is an effectual demand for more labour, for more work to be done than can be had. It sinks the price of coloured silhs and cloths, and thereby reduces the profits of the merchants who have any considerable quantity of them upon hand. It

1'They really at ise from the monopoty he enjoys of his discovery, or from his being able to avall humself vot it whthoit being exposed to the competition of wthere This gises ham the power of atling articlet
sinks, too, the wages of the workmen em. ployed in preparing such commodities, for which all demand is stopped for six months, perhaps for a twelvemonth. The market is here overstocked both with commodities and with labour.

But, though the market price of every particular commodity is in this manner continually gravitating, if one may say so, to. wards the natural price, yet sometimes particular accidents, sometimes natural causes, and sometimes particular regulations of police, may, in many commodties, keep up the market price, for a long time togetber a good deal above the natural price.

When by an increase in the effectual demand, the market price of some particular commodity happens to rise a good deal above the natural price, those who employ their stocks in supplying that market, are generally careful to conceal this change. If it was commonly known, their great profit would tempt so many new rivals to employ their stocks in the same way, that, the effectual demand being fully supplied, the market price would soon be reduced to the natural price, and perhaps for some time even below it. If the market is at a great distance from the residence of those who supply it, they may sometimes be able to kecp the secret for several years together, and may so long enjoy their extraordinary profits without any new rivals. Secrets of this kind, however, it must be acknowledged, can seldom be long kept ; and the extraordinary profit can last very little longer than they aie kept.

Secrets in manufactures are capable of: being longer kept than secrets in trade. A dyer who has found the means of producing a particular colour with materials which cost only half the price of those commonly made use of, may, with good management, enjoy the advantage of his discovery as long as he lives, and even leave it as a legacy to his posterity. His extraordinary gans arise from the high price which is paid for his private labour. 1 They properly consist in the high wages of that labour. But as they are repeated upon every part of his stock, and as their whole amount bears, upon that account, a regular proportion to it, they are commonly considered as extraordinary profits of stock.

Such enhancements of the market price are evidently the effects of particular accidents, of which, however, the operation may sometimes last for many years together.

Some natural productions require such a! singularity of soll and situation, that all the land in a great country, which is fit for producing them, may not be sufficient to supply
produced at a comparatively small expense, st the tame price at those produced by a more contly process.
t the effectual demand. The whole quantity brought to narket, therefore, may be disposed of to those who are willing to give more than what is sufficient to pay the rent of the land which produced them, together with the wages of the labour, and the profits of the stock which were employed in preparing and bringing them to market, according to their natural rates. Such commodities may continue for whole centlu ries together to be sold at this high price; and that part of it which resolves itself into the rent of land is in this case the part which is generally paid above its natural 1ate. The rent of the land which affords such singular and esteemed productions, like the rent of some vineyards in France of a peculiarly happy soil and situation, bears no regular proportion to the rent of other equally fertile and equally well-cultivated - land in its neighbourhood. The wages of the labour and the profits of the stock employed in bringing such commodities to market, on the contrary, are seldom out of their natural proportion to those of the other employments of labour and stock in their neighbourhood.

Such enhancements of the market price are evidently the effect of natural causes which may hinder the effectual demand from ever being fully supplied, and which may continue, therefore, to operate for ever.

A monopoly granted either to an individual or to a trading company has the same effect as a secret in trade or manufactures. The monopolists, by keeping the market constantly understocked, by never fully supplying the effectual demand, sell their commodities much above the natural price, and raise their emoluments, whether they consist in wages or profit, greatly above their natural rate.

The price of monopoly is, upon every occasion, the highest which can be got. ${ }^{1}$ The natural price, or the price of free com' petition, on the contrary, is the lowest which can be taken, not upon every occasion, indeed, but for any considerable time together. The one is upon every occasion the highest, which can be squeezed out of the buyers, or which, it is supposed, they will consent to give: the other is the lowest which the ? bellers can commonly afford to take, and at - the same time continue their business.

The exclusive privileges of corporations, statutes of apprenticeship, and all those laws which restrain, in particular employments, the competition to a smaller number than might otherwise go into them, have the same

[^6]tendency, thisugh in a less degree. They are a sort of enlarged monopolies, and may frequently, for ages together, and in whole classes of employments, keep up the market price of particular commodities above the natural price, and maintain both the wages of the labour and the profits of the stock employed about them somewhat above their natural rate. ${ }^{2}$

Such enhancements of the market price may last as long as the regulations of police which give occasion to them.

The market price of any particular com-modity, though it may continue long above, can seldom continue long below its natural; price. Whatever part of it was paid below the natural rate, the persons whose interest it affected would immediately feel the loss, and would immediately withdraw either so much land, or so much labour, or so much stock, from being eraployed about it, that the quantity brought to market would soon be no more than sufficient to supply the effectual demand. Its market price, therefore, would soon rise to the natural price. This at least would be the case where there was perfect liberty.

The same statutes of apprenticeship and other corporation laws indeed, which, when a manufacture is in prosperity, enable the, workman to rase his wages a good deal above their natural rate, wometimes oblige him, when it decays, to let them down a good deal below it. As in the one case they exclude many people from his employ ment, so in the other they exclude him from many employments. The eflect of such regulations, however, is not near so durable in sinking the workman's wages below, as in raising them above their natural ratTheir operation in the one way may endure for manyenturies, but in the other it can last no longer than the lives of some of the workmen who were bred to the business in tbe time of its prosperity. When they are gone, the number of those who are afterwards educated to the trade will naturally suit itself to the effectual demand. The police must be as violent as that of Indostan or ancient Egypt, where every man was bound by a principle of religion to follow the occupation of his father, (and was supposed to commit the most horrid sacrilege if he changed it for another,) which can in any particular employment, and for several generations together, sink etther the wages of labour or the profits of stock pelow their natural rate.

This is all that I think necessary to be observed at present concerning the devi-

[^7]ations, whether occasional or permanent, of the mark et price of commodities from the natural price.

The natural price itself varies with the natural rate of each of its component parts, of wages, profit, and rent; and in every societv this rate vanies according to their circumstances, according to their riches or pourty, therr advancing, stationary, of dec'mung condituon. I slaall, in the four following chapters, endeavour to explain, as fully and distinctly as I can, the causes of thore dufferent variations.

Firn, I shall endeavour to explain what are the circumstances which naturally determune the rate of wages, and in what manner those circumstances are affected by the riches or porerty, by the advancing, stationary, or dechnug state of the society.

Secondly, I shall endeavour to show what are the creumstances which naturally determue the rate of profit, and in what manner too those circumstances are affected by the lihe variations in the state of the society.

Though pecumary wages and profit are very different in the different employments of labour and stock, yet a certain proportion setms commonly to take place between both the pecuniary wages in all the different employments of labour, and the pecuniary protits in all the dafferent employments of stock. This proportion, it will appear hereafter, depends partly upon the nature of the dufferent employ ments, and partly upon the different laws and policy of the society in which they are carried on. But though in many rerpects dependent upon the laws and policy, this proportion seems to be little affected by the raches or poverty of that society; by its adıanciug, statzonary, or dechning condition; but to reman the same, or very nearly the sime, in all those different states. I shall, in the thud place, endeavour to explain all the different circumstances which regulate thus proportion.

In the fourth and last place, I shall endeavour to show what are the circumstances which regulate the rent of land, and which euther rase or lower the real price of all the different substances which it produces

## CHAP. VIII.

Of the Fages of Labour. 1
The produce of labour constitutes the natural recompence or wages of labour.

In that original state of things, which precedes both the appropriation of tand and the accumulation of stock, the whole produce of labour belongs to the labourer. He has neither landlurd nor master to share with him.

[^8]Had this state continued, the wages of labour would have augmented with all those mmprovements in its productive powers, to which the division of labour gives occasion. All things would gradually have become cheaper. They would have been produced by a smaller quantity of labour; and as the commodities produced by equal quantities of labour would naturally in this state of things be exchanged for one another, they would bave been purchased likewise with the produce of a smaller quantity.

But though all things would have become cheaper in reality, in appearance many things migbt have become dearer than before, or have been exchanged for a greater quantity of other goods. Let us suppose, for evample, that in the greater part of employments the productive powers of labour had been mn . proved to tenfold, or that a day's labour could produce ten tumes the quantity of work which it had done originally; but that in a particular employment they had been improved only to double, or that a day's labour could produce only twice thie quantity of work which it had done before. In exchanging the produce of day's labour in the greater part of employments, for that of a day's labour in ths particular one, ten times the orignal quantity of work in them would purchase only twice the orignal quantity in it. Any partacular quantity in it, therefore, a pound weight, for example, would appear to be five tumes dearer than before In reality, however, it would be twice as cheap. Though it required five times the quantity of other goods to purchase it, it would requare only balf the quantity of labour either to purchase or to produce it. The acquistion, therefore, would be twice as easy as before.

But this original state of things, in which the labourer enjoyed the whole produce of his own labour, cond not last beyond the first introduction of the appropriation of land and the accumulation of stock. It was at an end, therefore, long before the most considerable improvements were made in the productive powers of labour, and is would be to no purpose to trace further what might have been its effeets upon the recompence or wages of labour.

As soon as land becomes private property, the landlord demands a share of alnost all the produce which the labourer can cither. raise, or collect from it. His rent makes; the first deduction from the produce of the labour which is employed upon the land.

It seldom happens that the person wl o tills the ground has whenewthal to maintain himself till he reaps the harvest. His mamtenance is generally advanced to him trum the stock of a master, the farmer who em-
clusive. A forp supplimental ohervations hate be en added in the note on W ages at the cad of the volumes.
ploys him, and who would have no interest to employ him, unless he was to share in the produce of bis labour, or unless his stock was to be replaced to him with a profit. This profit makes a second deduction from the produce of the labour which is employed upou land.

The produce of almost all other labour is liable to the like deduction of profit. In all arts and manufactures the greater part of the workmen stand in need of a master to advance them the materials of their work, and their wages and maintenance till it be completed. He shares in the produce of their labour, or in the value which it adds to the materials upon which it is bestowed; and in this share consists his profit.

It sometimes happens, indeed, that a single independent workman has stock sufficient both to purchase the materials of bis work, and to maintain himself till it be completed. ( He is both master and workman, and enjoys the whole produce of his own labour, or the owhole value which it adds to the materials upon which it is bestowed. It includes what are usually two distinct revenues, belonging to two distinct persons, the profits of stock, and the wages of labour. ${ }^{1}$

Such cases, however, are not very frequent and in every part of Europe, twenty workmen serve under a master for one that is independent; and the wages of labour are every where understood to be, what they usually are, when the labourer is one person, and the owner of the stock which employs him another.

What are the common wages of labour, depends every where ùpon the contract usually made between those two parties, whose interests are by no means the same. The workmen desire to get as much, the masters to give as little as possible. The former are disposed to combine in order to raise, the latter in order to lower the wages of labour.

It is not, however, difficult to foresee which of the two parties must, upon all ordinary occasions, have the advantage in the dispute, and force the other into a compliance with thelr terms. The masters, being fewer in number, can combine much more easily; and the law, besides, authorises, or at least does not prohibit their combinations, while it prohibits those of the workmen. ${ }^{2}$ We bave no acts of parliament against combining to lower the price of work; but many against combining to raise it. In all such disputes the masters can hold out much

[^9]longer. A landlord, a farmer, a mastep manufacturer, or merchant, though they did not employ a single workman, could generally live a year or two upon the stocks which they have already acquired. Many workmen could not subsist a week, fer could subsist a month, and scarce any a year without em. ployment. In the long-run the workman may be as necessary to his master as his master is to him; but the necessity is not so immediate.

We rarely hear, it bas been said, of the combinations of masters, though frequently of those of workmen. But whoever imagine, upon this account, that masters rarely combine, is as ignorant of the world as of the subject. Masters are always and every where in a sort of tacit, but constant and uniform combination, not to raise the wages of labour above their actual rate. To violate this combination is every where a most unpopular action, and a sort of reproach to a master among his neighbours and equals. We seldom, indeed, hear of this combination, because it is the usual, and one may say, the natural state of things, which nobody ever hears of. Masters too sometimes enter into particular combinations to sink the wages of labour even below this rate. These are always conducted with the utmost silence and secrecy, till the moment of execution, and when the workmen yield, as they sometimes do, without resistance, though severely felt by them, they are never heard of by other people. Such combinations, however, are frequently resisted by a contrary defensive combination of the workmen; who sometimes too, without any provocation of this kind, combine of their own accord to raise the price of their labour. Their usual pretences are sometimes the high price of provisions; sornetimes the great profit which their masters make by their work. But whether their combinations be offensive or defensive, they are always abundantly heard of. In order to bring the point to a speedy decision they have always recourse to the loudest clamour, and sometimes to the most shocking violence and outrage. They are desperate, and act with the folly and extravagance of desperate men, who must either starve, or frighten their masters into an immediate compliance with their demands. 3 The masters upon these occasions are just as cla morous upon the other side, and never cease to call aloud for the assistance of the civil magistrate, and the rigorous execution of those laws which have been enacted with so much
bour, were repealed, in 1824 by the atat. 5 Ceo. 4 cap. 95.
cap. Thia, though not unfrequentry, in very far in deed fron bering al waya the case. On the contraty the $p$ woceedings of some of the most olnatinate comthe proceedings or some of the most overnations for an advance of wagen have been distir. bunationt for an advance of waget have been distirn-
guished by the most periect abstinence from ann thing approaching to violence.
secenty argunt the emmbinations of servants, Lethourery and journeymen. The workmen, accordary', very seldom derive any adrantaze from tive notence of those tumultuous combnations, wheh, partiy from the interpimition of the cisul magistrate, pardy from the superior steadiness of the masters partly from the necessity which the greater part of the workmen are under of submitung for the sahe of present subsustence generally end in nothing but the punishment or mun of the nongeadirs.

But though in disputes with their workmen, masters must penerally have the adsantage, there is however a certan rate In low which it seems impusible to reduce, for any considerable tume, the ordinary wages eren of the lowest species of habour.

A man mut always live by his work, and his wages must at least be sufficient tu llustitan hom. They must even upon most occaunns be someahat more; otherwise it would be impossible for him to bring up a Gimily, and the race of such workmen could not last begond the first generation. Mr. Cantullon seems upon this account, to sulplose that the lowest species of common Ithourers must every whire earn at least double their own manntenance, in order that one with another they may be enabled to bring up two children; the labour of the a if. on account of her necessary attendance on the chaldren, bxing supposed no more than suffient to provide fur herself But onehalf the ehuldren born, it is computed, die before the age of manhood. The poorest labourers, therefore, according to this account, must, one with another, attempt to rear at least four chaldren, in order that two may have an equal chance of living to that age. Wut the necessary maintenance of four a hidren, it is supposed, may be nearly equal to that of one man. The labour of an ablebodued slave, the some author adds, is computed to be worth doulle his maintenance; and that of the meanest labourer, he thinks, camnot be worth less than that of an ablefurned slave. Thus far at least seems certun, that in order to bring up a famuly, the labour of the husband and wife together must, even in the lowest species of common libour, be able to ean something more than what is precisely neesssary for their own mantenance; but in what proportion, whether in that above mentioned or in any other, I shall not take upon me to ditirmine.

There are certain circumstances bowever, mhach sometimes give the labourers an swantage, and ensble them to raise their wages considerably above this rate; endently the lowest which is consistent with common humanity.

When in any country the demand for those who live by wages- Labourers, jour-
neymen, servant: of crocs lind-is sonuaurlly meresung, whenery var furnshes employment fire a freater number than had ben emploced the gear before, the workmen have no occanon to corabme in order to rais ther wages. The scarcty of hands occasions a competution among macters, who bid against one anuther, in order to get workmen, aid thus voluntarily break through the natural combinatuon of masters not to raise wages.

The dernand for those who live by wates it is evident, cannot increase but in proportion to the increase of the funds wiuch are dos sined for the parment of wages These funds t are of two kinds; first, the revenue whathis over and above what is necessary for the mantenance; and, secondly, the stock which is orer and above what is necessary for the employment of their musters.

When the landlord, anmutant, or monied man, has a greater revenue than what he judges sufficient to maintain his own family, he employs either the whole or a part of the surplus in maintaining one or more mental servants. Increase thus surplus, and he will naturally increase the number of thuse serrants.
When an independent workman, such as a weaver or shoemaker, has got more suck than what is sufficient to purchase the materials of his own work, and wormatntain himself till be can drepose of it, he naturally enplors one or more journeymen with the surplus, in order to make a profit by their work. Increase this surplus, and he will naturally increase the number of hus journeytuen
The demand for those who live by wayes therefore, necessanly wocreases with the increase of the revenue and stock of every country, and cannot possably increase with-i out it The increase of revenue and stoch is the increase of national wealch. The demand for those who live by wages, therefure, naturally increases with the increace of national wealth, and cannot possibly increase without it
It is not the actual greatness of national wealth, but its continual increase, which cecasions a rise in the wages of labour. It is, not, accordingly, in the nchest countries, but in the most thincing, or in those which are growing rich the fastest, that the wages of labour are hughest. England is certainly, in the present times, a much richer country than any part of North America. The wapes if labour, bowever, are much hicher in North America than in any part of England. In the prorince of New York, common labourers earn' three shillings and sirpence currency, equal to two shilhngs sterhagg, a day; shap earpenters, ten shilhings and sixpence currency, with a pint of rum vorth sippence sterling,

1 This was winten in 1573 , before the commencement of the hate dolurbubces, , Nute by the authom-t
equal in all to six shillings and sixpence sterling ; house carpenters and bricklayers, eight shillings currency, equal to four shillings and sixpence sterling; journeymen tailors, five shillings currency, equal to about two shillings and ten pence sterling. These prices are all above the London prece; and wages are said to be as high in the other colonies as in New York, The price of provisions is every where in North America much lower than in England. A dearth has never been known there. In the worst seasons, they have always had a sufficiency for themselves, though less for exportation. If the money price of labour, therefore, be higher than it is any where in the mother country, its real price, the real command of the necessaries and conveniences of life which it conveys to the labourer, must be I higher in a still greater proportion.

But though Norti Anerica is not yet so rich as England, it is much more thriving, and advancing with much greater rapidity to the further acquisition of riches. The most decisive mark of the prosperity of any country is the increase of the number of its inhabit. ants. In Great Britain, and most other European countries, they are not supposed to double in less than five hundred years: In the British colonies in North America, it has been found, that they double in twenty or five-and-twenty years. Nor in the present times is this increase principally owing to the continual importation of new inhabitants, but to the great multiplication of the species. Those who live to old age, it is said, frequently see there from fify to a hundred, and sometimes many more, descendants from their own body. Labour is there so well rewarded that a numerous family of children, instead of being a burthen, is a source of opulence and prosperity to the parents. The labour of each child, before it can leave their house, is computed to be worth a hundred pounds clear gain to them. A young widow with four or five young children, who, among the middling or inferior ranks of people in Europe, would have so little chance for a second husband, is there frequently courted as a sort of fortune. The value of children is the greatest of all encouragements to marriage. We cannot, therefore, wonder that the people in North Amerira should generally marry very young. , Notwithstanding the great increase occasioned by such early marriages, there is a
continual complaint of the scarcity of hands in North America. The demand for labourers, the funds destined for maintaining them, increase, it seems, still faster than they cas find labourers to employ. -

Though the wealth of a country should I be very great, yet if it has been long station-

[^10]ary, $=0$ must not expect to find the wiages of ${ }^{\prime}$ labour very high in it. The funds destived fur the samment of wages, the revenue and stock of inhabitants, may be of the great. est extent, but if they have continued for several cen. uries of tho same, or very nearly of the same axisnt, the number of habourers employed evary year could easily supply, and even more thun supply, the number $\%$ inted the following, ycar. There could seldom be any scarcity of hands, nor could the masters be obliged to bid agaunst one another in order to get them. The hands, on the contrary, would, in this ease, naturally multiply beyond their amployment There would the a cunstant suarcity of employment, and the labour. ers wouid be obliged to bid aganst one another in order to get it. If in such a country the vages of labour had ever been more than sufherent to mantain the labourer, and to envble his to bring up a tamily, the competition of the labourers and the interest of the masters wout soon reduce them to this lowest rate which is consistent with common bumanity. Chins las been long one of the richest, that is, one of the must fertule, best cultivated, most industrious, and most populous countries in the world. It seems, however, to have been longstationary. Marco Polo, who visited it more than five hundred years ago, describes its cultivation, industry, and populousness, almost in the same terms in which they are described by travellers in the present times. It bad perhaps, even long before his time, acquired that full complement of riches which the nature of its laws and institutions permits it to acquire. The accounts of all travellers, inconsistent in many other respects, agree in the low wages of labour, and in the difficulty which a labourer finds in bringing up a family in China. If by digging the ground a whole day be can get what will purchase a small quantity of rice in the evening, he is contented. The condition of artificers is, if poesible, statl worse. Instead of waiting indolently in their work-houses, for the calls of thur customers, as in Europe, they are cont1nually running about the streets with the tools of their respective trades, offering their service, and as it were begging employment. The poverty of the lower ranks of people in China far surpasses that of the most beggarly nations in Europe. In the neighbourhood of Canton many hundreds, it is commonly said, many thousand families have no habitation on the land, but live constantly in hittle fishing bouts upon the rivers and canals. The subsistence which they find there is so scanty that they are eager to fish up the nasticst garbage thrown overboard from any European ship. Any carrion, the carcass of a dead dog or cat, fur
of Nations was pulilshed. See tables in the pote on Population at the end
a muphe, though half putud and stinking, is at wellome to them as the most wholenome food to the greople of ather countrite Marrage is encouraged in China, not by the prohtablench of cluidren, but by the liberty of dentroyng them. In all great towns, scoral ase every might expoed in the strect, or drowned like pupples in the water. The pithormance of thas horrid office is even s.ud to be the avowed bumess by which some perple am their submstence.

Chima, howevar, though it may perhaps stand still, dows not sem to go back wards. If tonsis are nouhere deverted by therr Hhatutants. The lands which had onee been cultuated tre nowhere noglected. The name on wy nearly the same anmal labour must the chore contanue to be performed, and the fumbs dahmed fur mantaming it must not, consequently, be scmably dumaished. The linutal clan of labourcrs, therefore, notwith-- amblag therr sianty subsintence, nust some w.i) or mather make bluft to continue theme 1,ue wo dar ay to keep up ther usual numbris

But it a ould be otherwiw in a country where the funds destined for the maintenance of lithour wore senvibly dernying. Every yoar the demand for servants and labourers "ould, will the ditferent clases of employments, be lios than it lad been the year betore. Many who had been bred in the supator chasser, not being able to tind em-phonment-in their own business, would be ghad tu scek it in the lowest The lowest clas luag not only overstoched withits own worhnem, but with the overliowings of all the other chisese, the competition for employment would be so great in it, as to reduce the ward of habour to the most miserable and wennts wimbtence of the labaurer. Many would wot be able to find emplorment even upon these hard terme, but would enther starve or be drwen to seek a subsistence etther by berineng, on by the perpetration perhaps of the gicatert enormaties Want, famme, and mortahty would immediately prevail in that class, and fiom thence extend themselves to ull the superior clases, till tho number of inhabitants in tho contery was reduced to what could easily be mamtained by the revente and stock which remanued in it, and which had excoped etther the tyramy or calanuty which had destroyed the rest This perhips is nearly the present state of Dengat, and of nome other of the Caglish settlements in the Fint ludies In a fertule country "hath had before been much depopulated, where shbstence, consequently, should not be wery dilhe alt, and where, notwithstanding three or tour hundred thousand people die of hunger $m$ one ycar, we may be assured that the funds deatined for the maintenance of the labouring poor are fast decaying. The differeuce betoeen the genius of the British

 whel oppressers and dumnitores the tast Indes, cannot perhips be bettirymidequgd than by the different state of thouc countraes.

The liberal reward of habour, cherefore, as it is the necunary effect, so it is the natural symptom of moreasing national wealth. The scanty meintenance of the Jabourng poor, on the other hand, is the natural symptom that thingy are at a stand, and thicir sturving condition that they are gong fast back wards

In Gre it Britain the wages of labour seem, in the present times, to be evidently moro than what is precisely necessany to enable the labourer to bring up a fannily. In order to sutisfy ourselves upon this point, it will not be necessary to enter into any tedous or doubtful calculation of what nasy be the lonest sum upon which it is possible to do this. There are many plan symptoms that the wages of labour ae nowhere in this country regulated by this lowest rate, which is consistent with common humanty,
lirst, In almost every part of Grat Britain there is a distanction, even in the lowest species of labour, between summer and witterwages, Summernages ate aluas lughest. But on accomet of the extraodinary expense of fuel, the maintinance of a family is nost expersuse in winter. Wages, therefore, being highest when this expense is lowest, it seems evident that thry are not regulated by what is necessury for this expense ; but by the quantity and supposed value of the work. A laboutcr, it may be said indeed, ought to save part of his summer wages in order to dufray his winter expense; and that throngh the whole year they do not exceed what is neetsary to manitun his family through the whole year. A slave, however, or one absolitely dependent on us for imnediate subsistenc, would not be treated in this manner. Ilis daly subsistence nould be proportioned to his daly necessutics.

Secondly, The wages of labour do not in Great Britain fluctuate with the price of provisions. These vary everywhere from year to year, frequently from moth to month. But in many qulaces the money price of labour renanas umformly the same sometines for half a century together. If an these places, therefore, the labouning poor can maintain their famlics in dear ycars, they must be at ther ease in times of moderate plenty, and in affuence in those of extraordinary cheapness. The high price of provisions during these ten ycars past has not in many parts of the kingdom been accompanied with any sensible rise in the money price of labour. It has, indecd, if some: owing probably more to the increase of the demand for hakour than to that of the price of provisions.

Thirdfy, As the price of provisions varies more from year to year than tive wages of lahour, so, on the other hand, the wages of labour vary more from place to place than / the price of provisions. The prices of bread and butcher s meat are generally the same, or very nearly the same, through the greater part of the United Kingdom. These and most other things which are sold by retail, the way in which the labouring poor buy all things, are generally fully as choap or cheaper in great towns than in the remoter parts of the country, for reasons which I shall have occasion to explain hereafter. But the wages of libbour in a great town and its neighbourhood are frequently a fourth or a fifth part, : twenty or five-and-twenty per cent. higher than at a few miles distance. Eighteen pence a day may be reckoned the common price of labour in London and its neighbourhood. At a few miles distance it falls to fourteen and fifteen pence. Tenpence may be reckoned its price in Edinburgh and its neighbourhood. At a few miles distance it falls to eight pence, the usual price of common labour through the greater part of the low country of Scotland, where it varies a good deal less than in England. 1 Such a dufference of prices, which it seems is not always sufficient to transport a man from one parist to another, would necessarily occasion so great a transportation of the most bulky commodities, not only from one parish to another, but from one end of the kingdom, alnost from one end of the world to the other, as would soon reduce them more nearly to a level. After all that has been said of the levity and inconstancy of human nature, it appears evidently froma experience, that a man is of all sorts of luggage the most defficult to be transported. If the labouring poor, therefore, can maintain their families in those parts of the kingdom where the price of labour is lowest, they must be in affluence where it is highest.

Fourthly, The variations in the price of labour not only do not correspond either in place or time with those in the price of provisions, but they are frequently quite opposite.

Grain, the food of the common people, is dearer in Scotland than in England, whence Scothand recelves almost every year very large supplies. ${ }^{2}$ But English corn must be sold dearer in Scotland, the country to which it

[^11]is brought, than in England the sountry frum which it comes; and in proportion to its quality it cannot be sold dearer in Scutland than the Scotch corn that comes to the same market iu competition with it. The quality of grain depends chiefly upon the quanhty of flour or meal which at jields at the muli, and in this respect Eughth grain is so much superior to the Scotch, that, though ofen dearer in appearance, or in proportion to the measure of its bulk, it is generally cheaper iu reality, or in proportion to its quality, or even to the measure of its weight. The price of lathour, on the contrary, is dearer in England than in Scotland. If the lubutring poor, therefore, can maintain ther fambles in the one part of the United Kingdom, they must be in affluence in the other. Oatmed indeed supplies the common people in Scotland with the greatest and the bext part of their foud, which is in general mulh mferice to that of their neighbours of the same rank in Eugland. This difference, however, in the mode of their subsistence, is not the cause but the effect of the difference in their wages; though, by a strange misapprehension, I have frequently heard it represented as the cause. It is not because one man kecps a coach while his neighbour walks a-foot, that the one is rich and the other poor; but because the one is rich he keeps a coach, and because the other is poor he walks a-foot.

During the course of the last century, taking one year with another, , gran was dearer in both parts of the United Kingdom than during that of the present. ${ }^{2}$ Thus is a matter of fact which cannot now adinit of any reasonable doubt; and the proof of it is, if possible, still more decisive with regard to Scotland than with regard to England. It is in Scotland supported by the evidence of the public fiars, annual valuation made upon oath, according to the actual state of the markets, of all the different sorts of grain in every different county of Scotland. If suchs direct proof could require any collateral evidence to confirm it, I would oberve that this has likewise been the case in France, and probably in most other parts of Europe. With regard to France there is the clearent proof. But though it is certain that in both parts of the United Kingdom grain was somewhat dearer in the last century than in the present, it is equally certain that labour was, muci cheaper. If the labouring poor,

But except where this pernicions practice han prevailed, they ere vearly the name all aver the ernuntry. and may, perhaps, be estimated, on a rough average, at from 20d. to 35, a day.
3 The case is now precisely the rexprse: graia is not, generally apeaking, so dear in scualand an in England: and there is, pretty uniormiy, at exceas of exports from the former to the latter.
$\$$ I bis is true of the cighteenth centurp (the prearne
 prices of all sorts of grain in Great Britain were, at an average, higher than at any former penod. blince Its20 they have fallen back to nearly thers old leved
therefrors contd bing up their famites them, Hoy must be much more at their ase now. In the let century the mont usual day-wages of commonl habour through the gicater part of Suotland were sixpence in summer and finepuce 11 winter. Three shillings aweek, the sance proce very nearly, still continues to fo poud in some parts of the Highlands and Nintirn lshinds. Through the greater part of the low country the most usual wages of common labuui are now cigritpence a day; tetipencr, sometimes a shalling about Edinlurgh, in the countes which border upon Enghand, probably on account of that nemphoushoud, and in a few other places "Here there has lately been a consilemable rise in the demand for lubon, about Glasgow, C'irron, Ayrshure, \&c. In England the maphements of agriculture, manufactures, anf eommere began much earlicr than in sualmu. The demand for libour, and conserfuently its price, must necessurily have inuraved with thone improvements. In the list ecoutury, accordingly, as well as in the pecent, the wages of labour were higher in I'norlund than meotland. They have risen two considerably since that time, though, on account of the greater variety of wages paid there in ditlenent places, it is more diffecult to ascestain how much. In 1614, the pay of a foot solder was the same as in the present tmes, eightpence a day. ${ }^{2}$ When it was first eatablinhed, it would naturally be regulated by the unalil wases of common laboturers, the ratak of prople from which toot soldiers are commonls diswn. Lord Chief Justice Males, who wrete in the time of Charles II., computcs the necessary expense of a labourer's finuly, consintugg of six persons, the father and mother, ewo children able to do someching, and two not able, at ten shillings a week, or tuenty-six pounds a year. If they amot carn thes by them labour, they must make it up, he suppoues, either by begging or stidang. Me appears to have inquired wry cuctully mato this subject. 3 In 1688 , Mr. Gegury King, whose shill in political anthmetic is so thuch extolled by Doctor Whunant, computed the ordinary income of linourers and ont-striants to be fifteen pormds a year to a funily, whel be supposed to cunsint, one wh another, of three and a hatt persons. Ilis calculation, therefore, though diftient ut apperance, corresponds vely nearl at buttom with that of Judge II bus Juth suppose the weekly expense of suab fimises to be abont twenty pence a head. Woth the pecunary income and expence of such fambles have inereased consudurably sume that time through the greater

[^12]* It is now 1 if $x$ if: from the time he is eimolted
part of the kingdom; til some phatis nute, and in some loss; though perbaps searce anywhere so much as some exargerated acconints of the present wagen of labour have lately depresented them to the public. The price of labou, it munt be obserned, cannot be ascertaned bery accurately anywhere, different prices beng often paid at the same place and for the same sort of labour, net only according to the different abilities of the workmen, but according to the easiness or hardness of the masters. Where wages are not regulated by law, all that we can pretend to determine is what are the most usual ; and expericnce secms to show that law can never regulate them properly, though it has often pretended to do so.

The real recompence of labour, the read quantity of the necessalks and conveniences, of life which it can procure to the labourer, has, during the course of the piesent century, increased perhaps in a still greater proportion than its noney price. Not only gram has become somewhat cheaper, but many other things from wheh the industrious poor deive an aroreable and wholesome vaicty of food, have become a great dual cheaper. Potatoes, for example, do not at presert, through the greater part of the hingdom, cost half the price which they used to do thirty or forty years ago. The same thing may be sand of turnips, carrots, cabbages, things wheh were formerly never aised but I $y$ the spade, but whach are now commonly raised by the plough. All sort of garden stuff too has become cheaper. The greater part of the apples, and even of the onions consumed in Great Eritan, were in the last century imported from Flanders. The great emprosements in the coarser manufactures of both linen and woollen eloth firmsh the labourers $w$ ith cheaper and better clothitg, and those in the manufactures of the coarser metals, with cheaper and better instruments of trade, as well as with many ayreeable and convenient picces of houschoid furniture. Soup, salt, candles, Jeather, and fermented liquors lave, inderd, become a good deal dearer ; chicfly from the taves wbich have been laid upon then. The quititity of these, however, which the labouring poor are under any necessity of conouming, is so very small, that the increase in their prich does not compensate the duminution in that of so many other things The common complant that luxury extends itself even to the lowest ranks of the people, and that the labouring pour will not now be contented with the same food, elothing, aud lodging which satisfied them in former times, may

Increasing to $14 d$ after seren vears' sersice, and tu I xd. alter fourteen gears' servica
${ }^{3}$ See his schome for the $m$ itfenance of the poor. in Bures hetory of the Powr-lans. (Note by the atheior)
convince us that it is not the money price of habour only, but its real recompence, which has uugmented.

Is this improvement in the circumstances of the lower ranks of the people to be regarded as an advantage or as an inconveniency to the society? The answer seems at first sight abundantly plain. Servants, labourers, and workmen of different hinds, make up the far greater part of every great political socicty. But what improves the circumstances of the greater part can never be regarded as an inconveniency to the whole. No socicty can surely be flourishing and happy, of which the far greater part of the members are poor and miserable. It is but equity, besides, that they who feed, clothe, and lodge the whole body of the people, should have such a share of the produce of their own labour as to be themselves tolerably well fed, clothed, and lodged.

Poverty, though it no doubt discourages, 1 does not always prevent marriage. It seemas even to be favourable to generation. A half staryed Inghland woman frequently bears more than twenty children, while a pampered fine lady is often incapable of bearing any, and is generally exhausted by two or three. Barrenness, so frequent among women of fashion, is very rare among those of inferior station, luxury in the fair sex, while it inflames perhaps the passion for enjoyment, seems always to weaken, and fiequently to destroy altogether, the powers of generation

But poverty, though it docs not prevent the generation, is extremely unfavourable to the rearing of children. The tender plant is produced, but in so cold a soil and so severe a climate, soon withers and dies. It is not uncommon, I have been frequently told, in the Highlands of Scotland, for a mother who has borne twenty children not to have two alive. Several officers of great experience have assured me, that so far from recruiting their regiment, they have never been able to supply it with drums and fifes from all the soldiers' children that were born in it. A greater number of fine children, however, is seldom seen anywhere in about a barrack of soldiers. Very few of them, it seerns, arrive at the age of thirteen or fourteen. In some places one half the children born die before they are four years of age; in many places before they are seven; and in almost all places before they are nine or tell. This great mortality, however, will everywhere be found chiefly among the children of the common

[^13]people, who cannot afford to tend then with the same care as those of bettur station. Though therr marriages are gencrally mure fruitful than those of people of Gubtion, a smaller proportion of their children arrive at maturity. In foundling hospita's, and among the chaldren brought up by parish charites. the mortality is atill grester than among those of the common people. ${ }^{1}$

Every spectes of ammals naturally multiplies in proportion to the means of their subsistence, and no species can ever multiply beyond it. But in civilized socicty it is ouly among the inferior ranks of people that the seantiness of sulsistence can set homits to the further multiplication of the human species; and it can do $w$ in no other way than by destroying a great part of the chuldren which their fruitful marriages produce. 8

The Liberal reward of labour, by enabing them to provide better for their chaldrus, and consequently to bring up a greater numiber, naturally tends to widen and extend those limits. It deserves to be remarhed, too, that it necessarily does this as nearly an possible in the proportion which the demand for labour requires. If this demand is continually increasing, the reward of labour must necessarily encourage in such a manner the marriage and multiplication of labourers, as may enable them to supply that continually increasing demand by a continually increasing population. If the reward should at any time be less than what was requiste for this purpose, the definiency of hands would soon raise it; and if it should at any time be more, their excessive multiplication would soon lower it to this necessary rate. The market would be so much under-stocked with labour in the one casc, and so much over-stocked in the other, as would soon force back its price to that proper rate which the circumstances of the society required. It is in this manner that the demand for men, like that for any other commodity, necessarily regulates the production of men; quickens it when it goes on tos slowly, and stops it when it advances too fast. It is this demand which regulates and determines the state of propagation in all the different countries of the world, in North America, in Europe, and in China; which renders it rapidly progressive in the firt, slow and gradual in the second, and altogether stationary in the last. ${ }^{3}$

The wear and tear of a slave, it has been said, is at the expense of his master, but that of a free servant is at his own expense.
chapur, with respect to the cassen whirh stmulate, and which also set thounds to the progrexs of pmptiation, are most commonif arcurate. Kut the rescarches of Mr, Malthus and othere have ahed a rescarthes of mif liglithos this rery important department of the science. seestupplemuatal sote on Pipulation.

The weir and tear of the latter, however, is an rudit, at mum at the expense of his monter an that of the former. The wages pad to journevaen and servants of every kind must be such as mas enable then, one urh another, to continue the rate of journeymin and sereanta, accordung as the mereang, dinmmbhag, or stathonary demand of the sowy naty hoppen to require. But though the wear nod tear of a free servant be equally at the eximense of his mastir, it ecmerally cost hom much less than that of a slave The tund dentued for replacing or repairmg, If I may say so, the wear and tuar of the slanc, is cummonly managed by a negligent nuster or carcless overscer. That dostund tor peiferming the same ofhce k ith rigurd to the free man, is managed by the Irece man humself. The disorders whinh generally preval in the economy of the rich, antuidly antroduce themselves noto the manargenent of the former - the suict frugality and parsmonteus attention of the poor as nothathy enhiblosh thenselves in that of the latter. Cinder such diffirsit manafement, he same porpose nust require very different dutrecs of expense wexecute it. It appears, arcordugh, from the experience of all ages and natoms, I helieve, that the work dune by themen eumes ehoupre in the end than that perturned by slaves $1 t$ is found to do on esen at botion, New Y'ork, and Phodedphat where the wages of common labour are to very hight.

The liberal reward of labour, therefore, ' $x$ it is the ettict of increasing wealh, so it is the cause of incroasng popalation. To cmpplan of it is to lament over the necesary effict and cauce of the greatest public properity.

It denares to be remarked, perhaps, that it is in the progresmestate, whule the sochety is whanemg to the further acquastion, rather than when it has atquired its full comple ment of riches, that the condition of the lahourug poor, of the great body of the people, seems to be the happest and the most comfirtable. It is hard in the stationary, and muserable in the decliming state. The proglesone stare is in reality the cheerful and the hearty state to all the duldurent orders of the suchety. The stationary is dull, the dathuner, melancholy.

The literal reward of labour, as it encmarates the propugation, so it meroses the andintry of the common prople. The wages of lubour are the encourarement of maductry, whin, like mery other humdn quality, mpronts 10 proportion to the encouragement it heremen A plemtitul stobistence in reases the bahly strength of the labourer; and the condartable hope of thittring lus condtion, and of ending has days ferhus in case and plenty, sanmates turn to exert that strengeth
to the utmost. Where wares are $\mathrm{hr}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ac. cordingly, we shall always thad the workmen mure whe, diligent, and expeditious, than where they are low; in Logland, for eaample, than in Scotland; in the naghbourhood of great towns than in romate country places. Some worhmen, indsed, when they can earn in four days $n$ hat will mantim thern through the neek, will be idle the other three. This, however, is by no means the case with the greater part. Workmen, on the contrary, when they are hberally paid by the prece, are very apt to orerwork thenselves, and to ruin their health and constututhon in a fuw years. A carpenter in Londun, and in some other places, is not supposed to last in his utmost vigour above enght years. Something of the same hind happens an many other trades, in which the workmen wre paid by the prece; as they gencrally are in manufactures, and even in country habour, whirever wages are ligher than ordmary. Almost every class of arthicers is sulject to some pecular infirmity occastoned by excesolie application to their pecubar species of work Ramazzim, an cmment ltalian physuian, has written a pirticular book concerning such diseases. We do not rechon our solaners the most industrions set of people among to. Yet when solders have been employed in some particular sonts of nork, and liberally pard by the prece, their officers have frequenty been obliged to stipulate with the undertaher, that they hould not be allowed to carn aloore a certun sum cerery day, according to the rate at which they were paid. Till this stipulathon $n a 9$ made, mutual emulation and the desire of greater gan frequently prompted :lent to overwork themselves and to liust their bealth by excessive lubour. Exesssive appheation during four days of the wetk, is trequently the real cause of the alleuess of the other three, so much and so luadly complaned of. Gieat hbour, eather of mind or body, contunued for several diss torether, is in most men naturally fullowed by a great desire of relaxatuon, $n$ hash, if not remtraned by force, or by sume strung inconity, is almust arresistable. It is the call of nature, which requares to be relseved by sume indulgence, sometunes of case onls. but sometumes too of disspation and dincrsion. If it is not comptied whh, the consequences are often dangerous, and sometumes fatal, and such as ahnowt alwavs, somer or later, bring on the peenliar mationty of the trade. If masters would always listen to the detates of reason and humanity, they have frequently occaion rather to moderate, than to animate the application of many of them workmen. lt will be foudd, 1 leheve, in esory sort of trade, that the matin whow orhs es noderatels as to be date to surk antamiy, met orly
preserves his health the longest, but, in the course of the year, executes the greatest quantity of work.

In cheap years, it is pretended, workmen are generally more idle, and in dear ones more industrious than ordinary. A plentiful subsistence, therefore, it has been concluded, relaxes, and a scanty one quickens their industry. That-a little more plenty than urdinary may render some workmen idle, cannot well be doulted; but that it should have this effoct upon the greater part, or that men in general should work better when they are ill fed than when they are well fed, when they are disheartened than when they are in good spirits, when they are frequently sick than when they are generally in good bealth, seems not very probable. Years of dearth, it is to be observed, are generally among the common people years of sickness and mortality, which cannot fail to diminish the produce of their industry.

In years of plenty, servants frequently leave their masters, and trust their subsistence to what they can make by their own industry. Hut the same cheapness of provisions, by increasing the fund which is destined for the maintenance of servants, encourages masters, farmers especially, to temploy a greater number. Farmers upon such oceasions expect more profit from their corn by maintaining a few more labouring servants, than by selling it at a low price in the market. The demand for servants increases, while the number of those who offer to supply that demand diminishes. The eprice of labour, therefore, frequently rises in lcheap years.

In years of scarcity, the difficulty and uncertainty of subsistence make all such people eager to return to service. But the lugh price of provisions, by diminishing the funds destined for the maintenance of servants, disposes masters rather to diminish than to increase the number of those they have. In dear years, too, pour independent workinen frequently consume the little stocks with which they bad used to supply themselves with the materials of their work, and ave bbliged to become journeymen for subsistence. More people want employment than can easily get it; many are willing to take it upon lower terms than ordinary, and the wages of both servants and journeymen frequently sink in dear years.

Masters of all sorts, therefore, frequently make better bargains with their servants in dear than in cheap years, and find them more humble and dependent in the former than in the latter. They naturally, therefore, commend the former as more favourable to indestry. Landlords and farmers, besides, two of the largest classes of masters, have anotic: reason for bing pleased with dear
yeals. The rents of the one and the profits of the other depend very much upon the price of provisions. Nothing can be more absurd, however, than to imarine that men in general should work lens when they work for themselves, than when they work fur other people. A poor independent workman will generally be more industrious than even a journeyman who works by the piece. The one enjoys the whole produce of his own industry; the other shares it with his master. The one, in his separate independent state, is less liable to the temptations of bad company, which in large manufactorics so frequently ruin the morals of the other. The superiority of the independent workinal" over those servants who are hired by the month or by the year, and whose wages and maintenance are the same whether they do much or do little, is likely to be still greater. Cheap years tend to increase the proportion of independent workmen to journeymen and servants of all kinds, and dear years to diminish it.

- A French author of great knowledge and ingenuity, Mr. Messance, receiver of the taillies in the election of St. Etienne, endeavours to show that the poor do more work in cheap than in dear years, by comparing the quantity and value of the goods made upon those different occasions in three different manufactures; one of coarse woollens carried on at Elbeuf; one of Jinen, and another of silk, both which extend through the whole generality of Rouen. It appears from his account, which is copied from the registers of the pubic offices, that the quantity and value of the goods made in all those three manufactures has gencrally been greater in cheap than in dear years; and that it has, always been greatest in the cheapest, and least in the dearcst years. All the thre seem to be stationary manufactures, or which, though their produce may vary somewhat from year to year, are upon the whole neither going backwards nut furwards.

The manufacture of linen in Scotland, and that of coarse woollens in the west riding of Yorkshire, are growing manufactures, of which the produce is generally, though with some variations, increasing both in quantity and value. Upon examining, however, the afcounts which have been published of their annual produce, I have not been alle to observe that its variations have had any sensible connection with the dearness or cheapness of the seasons. In 1740, a year of great scarcity, both manufactures, inded. appear to have declined very considerably. But in 1756, another year of yreat scarcity, the Scotch manufacture made more ciana ordinary advances. The Yonkshire manufacture, indeed, declined, and its produce did not rise to what it had teen in 175.5 till

1760, after the repeal of the American stamp act. In that and the following year it greatly exceeded what it had ever been befure and it has commated to advance ever ame
The produce of all great manufactures for distant sule must necessarily depend, not so much upon the dearness or cheapness of the setwons in the countres where they are carrid on, is upon the circumstances which affict the demand in the countries where they are consumed; upon pace or war, upon the prosperity or declenston of other rival manulatures, and upon the good or had hamaur of that prinelpal customers A great part of the extraordinary work, besideq, whin is probably done in cheap yeurs, mever enters the public registers of manufictures. The men sirvants who leave thers maters become independent labourers. The women return to their parents, and commonly spin in order to make clothes fur themselves nall the ir fambies. Even the independent workmen do not always work for public sale, but are employd hr some of their neighbours in manufactures for family use. The produce of tha lathour, therefore, frequently makes no figure in those publie registers of which the records are sometimes pubhohed with so mith parade, and from whichour merchants and manufacturers would often vainly pretend to announce the prospenty or declension of the gratest empres,

Though the varutions in the price of la. bour not only do not alu as sorrespond with thove in the price of provistons, but are friquently quite opposite, we must not, upon this account, imagne that the price of provinums hus no influence upon that of labrar. The money price of labour is necersarily rugulated by two circumstanres; the demand for labour, and the price of the necessancs and conventenciev of life The demand for 'latrour, according as it happens to be incriaung, stathonary, of dechang, or to requare an mereamy, stationdry, or dectiung populition, determines the quantaty of the ateesaries and convemencies of life which must be given to the labourer; and the money prue of labour is determaned by what is requisite for purchang this quantity. Though the money price of labour, therefure, - cometmes high w bere the price of provistons is low, it nould be still higher, the dernand continung the same, if the price of provinons ans hiph.
It s) becauce the demand for labour increasia in years of sudden and extraordinery thent, and dummisies in these of sudden mid extraurdmary sartity, that the money priec of hamor semetimes nises in the one, and sumbin the other.
In a var of sudden and extrandinary "plont, there are funds in the hands of many of the emplesen of industry, suflement to
mantain and employ a gratcr number of industrious people than had been emploved the year before; and this extraordinary num-: ber cannot always be had. Those masters, therefure, who want more workmen, bid aganst one another, in order to get them, which sometimes rases buth the reai and the moncy price of ther labour.

The contrary of this happens in a year of sudden and extraordinary scarcity. The; funds desuned for employ ung industry are less than they had been the ycar before. A consudetable number of people are thrown out of employmunt, who bid against one another, in order to get it, which sometimes luwers both the real and the money price of labour. In 1740, a year of extraordinary scarcity, many people were willing to work for bare subsistence. In the succeedugg yeas of plenty, it was more difhcult to get labourers and servants.

The scarcity of a dear year, by diminisbrag the demand for labour, tends to lower its price, as the high price of provisions tends to ralse it. The plenty of a cheap year, on the contrary, by iucteasing the demand, tends to raise the price of habour, as the cheapness of provisions tends to lower it. In the ordinary variations of the price of provisions, those two opposite causes seem to counterbalance one another; whuch is probably in part the reason why the wages of labour are every where so much more steady and permanent than the price of provisions.

The merease in the wages of labour nerssarily increases the price of many commodities, by increasing that part of it which resolves itself into wages, and so far tends to dimamsh their consumption both at home and abruad. The sathe cause, however, which rases the wages of labour, the increave of stock, tends to increave its productive powirs, and to make a smaller quantity of lahour produce a greater quantity of work. The owner of the stock which employs a great number of labourers, neensarily endeavours, for his own adv antaje, to make such a proper dirision and distribution of employ ment, that they may be enabled to produce the greatest quantity of work possible. For the came reason, he codeasours to supply them with the best machunery which either he or they can think of. What tales place, among the labourers in a particular workhouse, takes place, fur the same nason, among those of a great socicty The greater thenr number, the more they uaturally dnide themselica into different classes and sublivesions of employment. More heads are occupicd in inventing the most proper mathinery for cyecuung the work of each, and is is, therctore, nore hihely to be mented. There are many commonties, therelure, whith, in consequence of these murovements erme to
be produced by so much less labour than ben fore, that the increase of its price is more than compensated by the diminution of its quantity.

## CHAP. IX. <br> Of the Profits of Stock.

Tur rise and fall in the profits of stock depend upon the same causes with the rise and fall in the wages of labour, the increasing or declining state of the wealth of the society ; but those causes affect the one and the other very differently.
The increase of stock, which raises wages, tends to lower profit. When the stocks of many rich merchants are turned into the same trade, their mutual competition naturally tends to lower its profit; and when there is a like increase of stock in all the different trades carried on in the same society, the same comprition must produce the same effect in them all. 1

It is not easy, it has already been observed, to ascertain what are the average wages of labour even in a particular place, and at a particular time. We can, even in this case, seldom determine more than what are the most usual wages. But even this can seldom be done with regard to the profits of stock. Profit is so very fluctuating that the person who carries on a particular trade cannot always tell you himself what is the average of his annual profit. It is affected, not only by every variation of price in the commodities which he deals in, but by the good or bad fortune both of his rivals and of his customers, and by a thousand other aceidents to which goods, when carried either by sea or by land, or even when stored in a warehouse, are liable. It varies, therefore, not only from year to year, but from day to day, and almost from hour to hour. To ascertain what is the average profit of all the different trades carried on in a great kingdom, must be much more difficult; and to judge of what it may have been formerly, or in remote periods of time, with any degree of precision, must be altogether impossible.

But though it may be impossible to determine, with any degree of precision, what are or were the average profits of stock, either in the present or in ancient times, some notion may be formed of them from the interest of money. It may be laid down as a maxim, that wherever a great deal can be made by the use of money, a great deal will commonly be given for the use of it;
${ }^{1}$ The fall in the rate of profit that almost Invariably takes place in the progrens of socipty is not, as Dr. Smith supposes, a consequence of the increasing competition of enpitaists caused by the merense of fthelr capitals, hut is a coisequence of a dimminghed f power to empiry capital with ejud advantage,
and that whercyer latie can bo made by it, less will commonly be given for it. According, therefure, as the usual market rate of interest varies in any country, we may be assured that the ordinary profits of stock must vary with it, must sink as it sunhs, and rise as it rises. The progress of interest, therefore, may lead us to form some notion of the progress of profit.

By the 37th of Hebry VIII., all intercst above ten per cent. was declared undawful. More, it scems, had sometimes been taken before that. In the reign of Edward VI. religious zeal prohibited all interest. This prohibition however, like all others of the same kind, is said to have produced no effect, and probably rather increased than diminished the evil of usury. The statute of Henry VIII. was revived by the 13 th of Elizabeth, cap. 8, and ten per cent. continued to be the legal rate of interest thl the 21st of James I., when it was restricted to eight per cent. It was reduced to six per cent. soon after the Restoration, and by the 12th of Queen Aune, to five per cent. All these different statutory regulations seem to have been made with great propriety. They seem to have followed, and not to have gone before the market rate of interest, or the rate at which people of good credit usually borrowed. Since the time of Qucen Anne, five per cent. seems to have been rather above than below the market rate. Hefore the late war, the government borrowed at three per cent.; and people of good credit in the capital, and in many other parts of the lingdom, at three and a half, four, and four and a half per cent.

Since the time of IIenry VIII, the wealth and revenue of the country have been continually advancing, and, in the course of their progress, their pace seems rather to have been gradually accelerated than retarded. They seem, not only to have been gong on, but to have been going on fuster and faster. The wages of labour bave been continually increasing during the same period; and in the greater part of the different branches of trade and manufactures the profitu of stock have been diminishing.

It generally requires a greater stock to carry on any sort of trade in a great town than in a country villace. The great stocks employed in every branch of trade, and the number of rich competitors, gencrally reduce the rate of profit in the former below whatit is in the latter. But the wages of labour are generally higher in a great town than in a country village. In a thriving town the people who have great stochs to

[^14]"pyos. fiequenty eannot gut the number of worknen they want, and theretore bed apanst one another in order to get as many as they can, whin fata, the wases of habour, and loners the profits of stich In the remote parts of the country there is fiequently not suach suthcient to employ all the people, who therefore bud agamst ane another in order to get employment, whath lowers the weires of labour, and ruses the protits of therh

In scotiand, though the leg.d rate of interent is the ame as an England, the market rate is rather higher. J'eople of the leest credat there soldom borrow under the per cent. Ewen private bankers in Edinburgh gre four per cent. upun ther promissory nutes, of wheh payment, either in whole or in part, may be demanded at pleasure. Priwate bunkers in Landon give no anterest for the moncy which is deposited with them There are few trades which cannot be carreed on with a smaller stock in Scotland than in Englud. The conmon rate of profit, therefore, must be somewhat greater. The wapes of labous, it has already been obscrved, aro lower in Scotland than in England. the comntry, too, is not only much poorer, but the steps by wheh it advances to a bettor condition, for it is evdentily adanomg, seen to be much slower and more tardy. 1

The legal rate of mesest in France has not, dumg the course of the present century, been aluays regulated by the market rate. ${ }^{2}$ In 1720 interent was reduced from the twentuth to the filueth peuny, or fiom five to two per cent. In 17:4 it was ralsed to the tharcasth penny, or to $3 \frac{1}{5}$ per cent. In 1725 it was urain rased to the twenteth penny, on to twe per cent. In 1766, during the nimmstration of Mr. Laverdy, at was reduced -o the trenty-fitth penny, or wo four per cent. The Abbe Terray raised it afterwards to the old rate of tise per cent. The supposed purpose of many of those violent reductions of interest was to prepare the way for redusung that of the public debts; a purpose which has sometnmes been executcd. Irance is purh pis in the present times not so rick a country as England; and though the legal rate of interest has in France frequently been lower than in England, the market rate has generally been higher; for there, as in other sountrie, they have sever.d very safe and eany

- Shece the smertenn $\boldsymbol{\text { ar }}$, the progress if improvemetit wh coulhand hat be a decidevly more raphd than 11 Furd und, or perhapa in ans other coumery. See the st count of agriculture, aud the chapter En ime prome meats in foobl, fintime. See in A Culloch's -f itintles at the Eritiah Timpre
©te Doussart Arthele Irux des Interets tom lia 1:1*
; The low rate of profits in Hollams is $n$ buity or atmant wholly, ancribidite to the eppreastionisias of
 cixathon
$21 . \times 1 \mathrm{p}$
41 m


methoris of evading the law. The frofics of thade, I have ben assured by Britheh merchants who had traded in both comatiess, are Hogher in Lamee dian in England; and it is no doubt upon this acrount that mave Bitish subjects choose rather to emplo" theer capttals in a country where tr.de is in dagrace, than in one where it is hionly reapected The wages of labour are luwer in France than in England. When :ou go trim Scotland to England, the difforance wheth you may remark betueen the dies and oun. tenance of the common people in the one country and in the other, sufficiendy ind. cates the difference in ther condition. The contrast is stll greater when you roturn fiom France. France, though no dualt a wher country than Scothand, scems not to be grong forward so fast. It is a common and cien a popular opimon in the country, thit it is going bachards; an opinion whel, I apurehend, is ill founded even with reg urd to France, but wheh oobody can posibly entertun with regard to Scolland, who see; the country now, and who saw it twenty or tlirty years ago.

The province of Molland, on the other hand, in proportion to the extent of its teristory and the number of its people, is a richer country than England The governmen. there borrow at two per cant, and prisate. people of good credit at three. The waged of habour are said to be hurher in Holland than in England, and the Dutch, it is weli known, trade upon lower protits than any people in Europe. The trade of Hollan? it has been pretended by some pecple, is decaying, and it may perbips be true that some partacular branches of it ase so. But these symptoms seem to inducate sufficiently that there is no genurd decas. When prot diminishes, merchants are very apt to com.: plain that trade decays; though the dimmation of profit is the natural eftect of ats pros. perity, or of a greater stoch being employed in it than befores.s During the late war the Dutch gamed the whole carrying trade of France, of wheh they still retinn a sery large share. The great property wheh they possess both in the French and Enghish funds, alout forty millons, it is cad, in the latter (in which I suppect, however, there is a cousiderable exaggeranon ${ }^{4}$;) the great sums which they lend to private people, in coun-
that the porthon of the eeveral funis thentransferah'e at the Hank of 1 ngiand, staoding in the names of toretpers, or their agents, amoulted to outs $t i 4$. 9630 This accoubt dives not malude the formen property in the South Sea ston $k$ and ammulaes, and in the Fast lndia stoch; but at we estumate the whole amonnt of property in the Brish funds then hed ty all descriptions of toremgers at $\boldsymbol{f}$ (s, (ax), wow, we shall certanly exceed the mark
In lnob, the propert in the Brithin fubds held by furcmers, as accertathed hy meaus on the exemprom. allowed them from asy charge on wown of the
 oxclusive ut the baink stexk, and tormmabic tumatize
tries where the rate of interest is higher than in their own, are cireumstaness which no doubt demonstrate the redundancy of their stock, or that it has increased beyond what they can employ with tolerable profit in the proper business of their own country : but they do not demonstrate that that business has decreased. As the capital of a private man, though acquired by a particular trade, may increase beyond what he can employ in it, and yet that trade continue to increase too, so may likewise the capital of a great nation.

In our North American and West Indian colonies, not only the wages of labour, but the interest of money, and consequently the profits of stock, are higher than in England. In the different colonies both the legal and the market rate of interest run from six to eight per cent. High wages of labour and high profits of stock, however, are things, perhaps, which scarce ever go together, except in the peculiar circumstances of new colonies.

A new colony must always for some time be more under-stocked in proportion to the extent of its territory, and more underpeopled in proportion to the extent of its stock. than the greater part of other countries. They have more land than they have stock to cultivate. What they have, therefore, is applied to the cultivation only of what is most fertile and most favourably situated, the land near the sea shore, and along the banks of navigable rivers. Such land too is frequently purchased at a price below the value even of its natural produce. Stock employed in the purchase and improvement of such lands must yield a very large profit, and consequently afford to pay a very large interest. Its rapid accumulation in so profitable an employment enables the planter to increase the number of his hands faster than he can find them in a new settlement. Those whom he can find, therefore, are very libe) rally rewarded. As the colony increases, the profits of stock gradually diminish. When 'the most firtile and best situated lands have been all occupied, less profit can be made by the cultivation of what is inferior both in soll and situation, and less interest can be afforded for the stock which is so employed. In the greater part of our colomes, accordingly, both the legal and the market rate of iuterest have been considerably reduced during the course of the present
whith on a large estimate might amount to, perhaps, $\mathcal{E}, 000,000$ addtional. Since the peace, the amouns of stock held by forelgners bas been rapidly decreasing. In August, 181s, it amointed to $812,4 \times 6$ ( $(k)$. and in not surposed at this moment (183s) to exceed fes, inowno. Farman on the funds, 7 th ed p. $2 \times 9$.
1 Interest his derlinea because profis have declined; and profity have declined partly becanse the mereace of popuintion and the exclusion of foreign corn have forced recourse to soils that ywh a less quantify of produce in return for the sarue a hess quantiry of produce in return for the same
outhy ot capital and labour ; partls because taxes
century. As riches, improvement, and population have increased, miterest has dechned.' The wages of labour do not sink with the profits of stock. The detnand fur labrus increases with the increase of stuck, whatever be its profits; and after these are dimiuished, stock may not only continue to increase, but to increase much faster than beforc. It is with industrious nations, who are advancing in the acquisition of richea, as with indu*trious individuals. A great stock, though with small profits, generally increasea fisuer than a small stock with great profits. Money, says the proverb, makes money. When you have got a little, it is oflen easy to get more. The great difficulty is to get that little. The connection between the increase of stock and that of industry, or of the demand for uscful labour, has partly been explained already, but will be explamed more fully hercafter in treating of the accumulation of stock.

The acguisition of new territory. or of: new branches of trade, may sometimes raise : the profits of stock, and with them the ultercst of money, even in a country wnich is fust advancing in the acquisition of riches. * The stock of the country not being sulficient for the whole accession of business, which such acquisitions present to the different people among whom it is divided, is applied to those particular branches only whin $b$ afford the greatest profit. Part of what had before been employed in other tradis is nccessarily withdrawn from them, and turned into some of the new and more profitab'e ones. In all those old trades, thercfure, the competition comes to be less than befure. the market comes to be less fully supplied with many different sorts of good4. Their price necessarily rises more or less, and yields a gieater profit to those who deal in them, who can, therefore, afford to borruw at a higher interest. For some time aftur the conclusion of the late war, not only private people of the best credit, but some of the greatest companies in London, commonly borrowed at five per cent. who before that had not been used to pay more than fuur, and four and a half per cont. The great accession both of territory and trade by our acquisitions in North America and the West Indies, will sufficiently account for thas, without supposing any diraination in the capital stock of the society. So great an accession of new business to be carried on by
have been increated; and partly, and prifctinaly ; perhups, because wages have been maticially aug-: mented
: It will raise them, provided the new terrionry be $:$ more fertile than the land latithrought under thloge in the cotintry that bas maxe the açuastion, of provided the no wrameres ef erace enathe eorn, or such other articles as eniser toto the consumption of the liabourer, to te obtained at a cheaper rate, buit uot otherw ine see note on the firecumstances fisk detertane the late of Proft, end of the volume
the oid stexk, must necersarity have deme mobied die yathity erophod ta a gieat numbur of particalar branches in what the conpent: 10 b thg lesw the profits must have beat areater 1 shatl hereafter have occastun to mention the reawna whels dopose me to th here that the eaptal stoch of Great Bhtarn was not dumashied even by the enornous expense of the late war.

The domastion of the capital stock of the soults or of the finds da-used for the , natimpance of industig, however, as it lowery the woges of labour, oo it rases the profits of $t \cdot x$ h, and, cona quently, the miterett of muncy liy the wases of labour bing low ired, the on ners of what atock reban is m the sencty can bring thetr goonts at las evpense to marhet than befure, and lese stoch being employed in suppting the market than before, they can sell- them dearer. 1 Thear grods cost them less, and they get more for them. Ther profits, therefure, being augmented at lwoth ends, can well afford a large interest. The groat fortumes so suddenly and so casily acqumed in Bues ${ }^{1}$, and the other Briush settlements in the 1 at lodies, may satufy us that, Rs the wities of labour are very low, so the profits af stock are very high in those ruined courti es. Tbe interest of money is propurtion ibly so. In Intugal, money is frequantly tent to the farmers at forty, tify, and anty per cent, and the succeeding crop is mortgaved for the payment Acthe profits which cun atford such an moterent must eat up aimust the whole rent of the landord, so such enommous urury, must, in it, turn, eat ip the grester giart of thow profits Before the f.ll of the Luman republic, a usury of the cume kind seems to have been common In the protnees, under the manous administration of thetr prowonsuls The virtuous Btutuv lent money, in Cyprus, at cestandfurty per cent as we learu from the letters of Cicern.

In a country which had acquired that fill complement of ribles what the nature of is soll and chmate, and ths stuation with fosi et to other countries allowed it to sequire, which could, therefore, advance no further, and whict was not goung lachwards both The wasco of hamotr and the profits of stock thould, probath!, be very low. In a country fully peopled in proportion to what enther ns termury could mantan or its stuck empiov, the competition for eniploy ment would neerssarily be so preat, as to redure the wages of labour to what was barely sufficient to keep up the number of lamourers, and, the country betng alreaity fully peopied, that number could never be augmented. In a couniry fally stocked in proportion the all the lusmes it had to trainats, as grest a
quantily of wow would be empioyed in ctery particular branch as the nothre and extent of the trude wruld adiut The c mpetition, therefure, wablel evary where bee as great, and consequant! the ordmary frofit as low as porsible.
llut, perhops, no country has evar vet ar. rived at this degree of opulatice. China seems to have butn lung s+atomare, and had, probably, long ago acyured mat full corn plement of ricbes whath is comssistent with the nature of its laws and institutions But this complement may tee much inferior to n liat, with other laws and institutions, the nature of its soil, clmate, and situation, might admut of A country which negle,ts or despises forergu commerce, and which admuts the vessels of foregn nations into one or tro of its ports only, cannot transact the same quabtity of bumess whath it might do with different laws and institutiors. In a country, too, where, though the neh or the owners of large capults enay a good deal of serurity, the poor or the owners of small capitalis enjoy scarre any, but are luable, under the pretence of juvice, to te piliazed and plundered at any ume by the infenor mandarnes, the quanuty of stoch emplosed in all the differeat branches of busmess transacted within it, can never be cqual to what the nature and (xt, it of that bustacs: mistht adiut. In every different branch, the oppression of the pour must establuh the monopoly of the rich, who, by engronsita the whole trade to thernalece, will be able to make very large prefits. Twelve per cont. accordingly, is sand to be the commin, merent of money in Chise, and the ordinary profits of stock must be sutfictent to affird thas large irtersat.

A detiect in the 1.0 mar somentaes ranse the rate of anturest const derably alvore $x$ ha. the condition of the country, as tw wealth ir puverty, would require When the law dues not enforse the performance of contract, it puts all lorrowers nearly upon the cime fouting with bank rupts or peopie of di whit cradit in better regulated countrics. Te uncertanty of recosering his morer nial es the lender exact the same usunous interest whith is usuaily required from taidrums Among the barbarous ratuous alo overrun the western provinces of the Ruman emme, the performaice of contracts was lefi fur many ages to the fitth of the contracurg parties. The courts of jurtuce of their hirec seldun intermeddlad in it. The high rate of shtirest which toik place in those anciens umes may perhaps be partly accounted for from this calie.

When the law prolubits interest altoge ther, it does not prevent is. Manv reole mut borrow, and nobody nill herd whowe suct
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a consideration for the use of their money as is suitable, not only tu what can be made by the use of it, but to the dificulty and danger of evading the law. The high rate of inverest among all Mahometan nations, is accounted for by M. Montesquieu, not from their porerty, but partly from this, and partly from the difficulty of recovering the money.

- The lowest ordinary rate of profit must dalways be something more than what is suf-- ficient to compensate the occasional losses to which every employment of stock is exposed. , It is this surplus only which is neat or clear iprofit. What is called gross profit compre bends frequently, not only this surplus, but ! what is retained for compensating such exiraordinary losses. The interest which the borrower can afford to pay is in proportion to the clear profit ouly.

The lowest ordinary rate of interest must, in the same manner, be something more than sufficient to compensate the occasional losses to which lending, even with tolerable prudence, is exposed. Were it not more, charity or friendship could be the only motives for lending.

In a country which had acquired its full complement of riches where in every par. ticular branch of busmess there was the greatest quantity of stock that could be em. ployed in it, as the ordinary rate of clear profit would be very small, so the usual market rate of interest which could be afforded out of it, would be so low as to render it impossible fur any but the very wealthiest people to live upon the interest of their money. All people of small or middling fortunes would be obliged to superintend themselves the employment of their own stochs. It would be necessary that almost every man should be a man of business, or engage in some sort of trade. The province of Holland seems to be approaching near to this state. It is there unfashionable not to be a man of busiuess. Necessity makes it usual for almost every man to be so, and custom everywhere regulates fashion. As it is ridiculous not to dress, so is it, in some measure, not to be employed, like other people. As a man of a civil profession seems awkward in a camp or a garrison, and is even in some danger of being despised there, so dues an idle man among men of business.

The highest ordinary rate of profit may be such as, in the price of the greater part of commodities, eats up the whole of what should go to the rent of the land, and leaves only what is sufficient to pay the labour of preparing and bringing then to market, according to the lowest rate at which labour

[^15]can any where be paid, the bare subsistence of the labourer. The workman must always have been fed in some way of other while he was about the work; but the landlord may not always have been paid. The protits of the trado which the servants of the last India Company carry on in Bengal may not perhaps be very far from this rate.

The proportion wheh the usual market! rate of interest ought to bear to the ordinary : rate of clear profit, necessarily vaices as prohit rises or falls. Double interest is in Great ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Britain reckoned, what the merchants call a good, moderate, reasonable profit; terms which I apprehend mean no more than a common and usual profit. In a country where the ordinary rate of clear profit is eight or ten per cent., it may be reasonable that one half of it should go to interest Wherever business iscarried on with borrowed money, the stock is at the risk of the borrower, who, as it were, insures it to the lender; and fur or five per cent. may, in the greater part of trades, be both a sufficient profit upon the risk of this insurance, and a sufficient recompence for the trouble of employing the stock. But the proportion between interest and clear profit might not be the same in countries where the ordinary rate of profit was either a good deal lower or a good deal higher. If it were a gova deal lower, one half of it perhaps could not be aflorded for interest ; and more might be afforded if it were a good deal hygher.

In countries which are fast advanung to riches, the low rate of profit may, in the price of many cominoditics, compensate the high wages of labour, and enable those countries to sell as cheap as their less thriving neighbours, a, ong whom the wages of labour may be lower.

In reality high profits tend much more to raise the price of work than bigh wage. If in the linen manufacture, for example, the wages of the different working people, the flax-dressers, the spinner, the weavera, \&c., should, all of them, be advanced two-pence a day; it would be necessary to heighten the price of a piece of linen only by a number of two-pences equal to the number of people that had been employed about it, multuplied by the number of days during whith they had been so employed. That part of the price of commodity wheh resolved itself into wares would, through all the different stages of the manufacture, rise only in arithmetical proportion to this rise of wages. But if the profits of all the different employers of thuse working people should be rassed five per cent., that part of the price of the commodity which resolved itself into profit, would,
cormondities are dasided brtwern copitalists and labourers. See supplemental note on the $t$ tif et of V artations in the Gates of Wages mad Yrobt ca Irices.
through all the diflement stages of the manufacture, rise in geometrical proportion to this rise of profit. The employer of the flax-itresers would, in selling his flax, require an addathal five per cent. upon the whole value of the materials and wages which he advaced to his worhmen. The employer of the spmers would require an additional five per cent., both upon the advanced price of the flax and upon the wages of the spinn(1) And the employer of the weavers would regure a like five per cent., both upon the advanced pice of the luen yarn and upon the wages of the weavers. In raning the price of commodutics the rise of "ares operates in the same manner as ample interst does in the accumalation of debt. The rise of profit opastes like compound miterest. Our merehants and master manufueturers complan much of the bad effects of hach wages in rasing the price, and thereby lessentig the sate of their goods both at home and abroad They say nothing concerming the bad effects of ligh profits. They are silent with regard to the pernicious effects of thear own gans. They complain only of thase of other people.

## CHAP. X.

Of Wages and Profit in the dufferent Employments of Lubour and Stock.
'l'ine whole of the advantages and disadvantages of the diflerent employments of labour and stock must, in the same neighbourhood, be erther perfectly equal or continually tendmg to equality. It in the same neighbourhool, thire was any employment evidently either more or less advantageous than the rest, so many people would crowd into it in the one case, and so many would desert it in the other, that its advantages would soon return to the level of other employments. This at least would be the case in a society where things were left to follow their natural course, where there was perfect liberty, and where every man was perfectly free both to choose what occupation be thought proper, and to change it as often as he thought proper. Every man's interest would prompt hime to seek the adiantageous, and to shun the disadvantageous employment.

Pectumary wages and profit, andeed, are cverywhere in Europe extremely different, accordug to the different employments of labour and stock. But this difference arises partly from certain circumstances in the employments themselves, wheh either really, for at least in the maginations of men, make up for a small pecuniary gan un sume, and
I Thls is an important and valtable chaptor. With bery few exeaptions the prumples and redsuninme are equatly sound and conclusive.
countribalance a great one in others; and partly from the polley of Europe, which nowhere laves things at perfect hberty

The partucular consideration of those circumstances and of that policy will divide the chapter into two parts.

## Part I.

## Inequalities arising from the Nature of the Employments themscles.

Tue five following are the principal carcumstances wheh, so far as I have been able to observe, make up for a small pecunary gain in some employments, and counterbalance a great one in others: First, The agrecableness or disagreealleness of the employments themselves; Secondly, The easiness and cheapness, or the difteculty and expense of learning them; Thirdly, The constancy or anconstancy of employment in them; Fourthly, The amall or great tu ust which must be reposed in those who exercise them; and, Fifthly, The probabilty or improbablity of success in them.

First, The wages of labour vary with the' ease or hardship, the cleanliness or dirtmess, the honourableness or dishonouralleness of the employment. Thus in most places, tahe the year round, a journeyman tallor earns less than a journeyman wedver His work is much easier. A journeyman weaver earns less than a journcyman snuth. Ilis work is not always easier, but it is much cleanlier. A journeyman blackswith, though an artuficer, seldom earns so much in thelse hours as a collier, who is only a labourer, does in erght His work is not quite so dorty, is less dangerous, and is carried on in day-light, and above ground. IIonour makes a great part of the rewad of all honourable pro-i fessions. In point of pecumary gain, all things considered, they are generally under-* recompensed, as I shall endeavou to show by and by. Disgrace has the contrary effect. The trade of a butcher is a brutal and an odious business; but it is in most places more profitable than the greater part of common trades. The most detestabie of al employments, that of public executioner, s, in proportion to the quantity of work dose, better pard than any common trade whaterer.

Hunting and fishing, the most important employments of mankund in the rude state of society, become in its adianced state therr most agrecalle amusements, and they pursue for pleasure what they once followed from necessity. In the advanced state of suciety, therefore, they are all very poor people who follow as a trade what other people pursue as a pastime. Fishermen have been so since the time of Theocritus. ${ }^{2}$ A poacher is
everywhere a very poor man in Great Britain. In conntries where the rigour of the law suffers on poachers, the licenced hunter is not in a much better condition. The natural taste for those employments makes more people follow them than can live comfortably by them, and the produce of their labour, in proportion to its quantity, comes always too cheap to market to afford any thing but the most scanty subsistence to the labourers.

Disagrecableness and disgrace affect the profits of stock in the same mamer as the ' wages of labour. The keeper of an inn or tavern, who is never master of his own house, and who is exposed to the brutality of every drunkard, exercises neither a very agreeable nor a very creditable business. But there is scarce any common trade in which a small stock yields so great a profit.
; Secondly, The wares of labour vary with the easiness and chcapness, or the difficulty and expense of learning the business.

When any expensive machine is erected, the extraordinary work to be performed by it before it is worn out, it must be expected, will replace the capital laid out by it, with at least the ordinary profits. A man educated at the expense of much labour and time to any of those employments which require extraordinary dexterity and skill, may be compared to one of those expensive machines. The work which he learns to perform, it must be expected, over and above the usnal wages of common labour, will replace to him the whole cxpense of his education, with at least the ordinary profits of an equally vahable captal. It must do this too in a reasonable time, regard being had to the very uncertaio duration of human life, in the same manner as to the more certain duration of the machine.

The difference between the wages of shilled labour and those of common labour, is founded upon this principle

The policy of Europe considers the labour of all mechanics, artificers, and manufucturers, as skilled labour; and that of all country labourers as common labour. It seems to suppose that of the former to be of a more nice and delicate nature than that of the latter. It is so perhaps in some cases; but in the greater part it is quite otherwise, as I shall endeavour to show by and by. The laws and customs of Europe, therefore, in order to qualify any person for exercising the one species of labour, impose the necessity of an apprenticeship, though with different degrees of rigour in different places. They leave the other free and open to every body. During the contimuance of the apprenticeship, the whole labour of the apprentice belongs to his master. In the mean time he must, in many edses, be maintained by his parents or relations, and
in almost all cases muct be clothed by theur. Surne money, too, is commonly given to the master for teaching him his trade. They who cannot give money give time, or beenme bound for more than the usual number of years; a consideration wheh, though it is not always advantageous to the master, on account of the usual idleness of apprentice, is always disadvantageous to the apprentice. In country labour, on the contrary, the labourer, while he is employed obout the easier, learns the more difficult parts of his business, and his own labour maintains him through all the diffirent stages of his ennployment. It is reasomable, therefore, that in Europe the wages of mechanics, artificers, and manufacturers, should be somewhat higher than those of cominon labourers. They are so accordingly, and their superior gains make them in most places be considered as a superior rank of people. Thia superiority, however, is generally very amall; the daily or weekly earnings of joumeymen in the more common sorts of manufactures, such as those of plain linen and woollen cloth, computed at an average, are, in most places, veny little more than the day wages of common labourers. Therr employment, indeed, is more steady and unform, and the superiority of their earninga, taking the whole year together, may be soncwhat greater. It seems evidently, however, to be no greater than what is sufficient to compensate the superior erpense of their education.

Education in the ingenious arts and nt \} the liberal profesciuns, is still more tedious, and expensive. The pecuniary recompence, ${ }^{*}$ therefore, of painters and sculptors, of law-: yers and physicians, ought to be much more liberal : and it is so accordingly.

The profits of stock seem to be very little affected by the easiness or difficulty of learn. ing the trade in which it is employed. All the different ways in which stock is commonly employed in great towns seem, in reality, to be almost equally easy and equally difficult to learn. One brauch cither of foreign or domestic trade, cannot well be a much more intricate business than another.

Thirdly, The wages of labour, in differ-1 ent occupations vary with the constancy or inconstancy of employment.

Employment is much more constant in ${ }^{\circ}$ some trades than in others. In the granter part of manufactures, a journeyman may be pretty sure of employment almost every day in the year that he is able to work. A mason or bricklayer, on the cuntrary, can work neither in hard frost nor in fuul weaticer, and inis employment at all other times depends upon the oceaswnal calls of his customers. He is liable, in consequence, to the frequentiy: without any. What lie carns, therefurc, while he is employed, must mot unly mano:
tun hinn white he is whe, but make lam some compensation for those anxious and desfoming moments which the thought of so precartois a sutuation must sumetimes occason. Where the computed earmings of the greater part of manatacturers, accordangly, are ueurly upon a level with the day wages of common labourer, those of masons and bricklayery are generally from one half more to duuble those wares. Where common latwoters earn four and five shillings a neck, musons and brichlayers frequently earn seven and eight; where the furner earn six, the hatter often earn mine and ten; and where the former ean mone atd ten, as no London, the tattur commonly earn fifteen and elghteen. ' Nu species of shilled labour, however, beems more easy to learn than that of masons and brhahlayers. Charmen in London, duriag the summer schon, are said sumetumes to be emphined as brikhayers. The high wages of thowe workmen, therefore, are not so much the recompence of ther shill, as the compenation for the inconstancy of ther employment.

I house curpenter stems to everebe rather a hecer and more ingensous trade than a masom. In most places, however, fur it is not universally we, his day-wages are somewhat lower. this employment, though it depends math, does not dejend so entrely upon the oceastonal calls of his customers; aid it is not lable to be interrupted by the weather.
$W$ hen the trades which generally afford constant employment, happers ma particular place nut to do so, the wages of the workmen always rase to a good deal above their ondhary proportion to those of common labour. In London almost all journeymen rutheers are hable to be called upon and dismased by there masters from day to day, and hom week to week, in the same manner as day labourers in other places. The lowest order of artificers, journeymen tailors, accordungh, earn thetr half a crown a day, though eyghteen pence may be reckoned the wages of common labour. 2 In small towns and country villages, the wages of journeymen talors frequenty scarce equal those of comnon labour; but in London they are often many nechs without employment, particularly during the summer.

When the inconstancy of employment is combued with the hardshp, disagreeableness, and dirtuness of the work, it sometmes rakes the wages of the must common labour above those of the mot skalful artificers. A eoller working by the prece is supposed, at Neweastle, to carn commonly about double, and in many parts of Scothand, about three tanes the sages of common labour. His
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high wagos arise dltugether from the hardthep, disagrecablemos, and dirthess of hos work. Ihis employ ment may, upon movt occasions, be ar cunstant as he pleases. The cual-heavers in London exercise a trade, which in hardship, dirtness, a th disugreeableness, almost equals that of collurs, and from the unavordable arregularity in the artivals of coal-ships, the employment of the greater part of them is neccusarily very 10 constant. If collers, therefure, commonly ean double and triple the wages of common libwur, it ought not to secm unreasonable that coal-heavers should nomctimes earn four and five times those wages In the inquiry made moto their condition a fiw years ago, it was found that at the rate at wheh they were then paid, they could earn from sax to ten shalimgs a day. Six shillings are about fur tines the nages of common latbour in Loudon, and in wery partucular trade, the lowest common tarmigs may always te constlered as those of the far greater nuniber How extravagant sower those earnings may appear, if they were more than sufluitat to compensate all the disagretable circumstances of the busmess, there would soon be so great a number of competitors as, in a trade which has no exclusive privilege, would quichly reduce them to a lower rate.

The constancy or meonstancy of employment camot affect the ordmary prothts of stock in any particular trade. Whether the stock is or is not constantly employed depends, not upou the trade, but the trader

Fourthly, The wages of labour waly accordug to the suall or great trust which must be reposed in the woikmen

The wages of goldstaths and jewellers are everywhere superior to thoue of wany other workmen, not only of equal, but ot much superior angenuty; on account of the precious materials with whith they are utrusted.

We trust our health to the physician; our ${ }^{\text {t }}$ fortune, and sometimes our life and reputstion, to the lawyer and attorney. Such confidence could not safely be reposed in people of a very mean or low condition. Their reward must be such, therefore, as may giee them that rank in the society whinh so important a trust requires The long tume and the great expense which must be hand out in therr education, when combined with this circumstance, necessarily enhance still further the price of their hibour.

When a person employs only his own stock in trade, there is no trust; and the credit which he may get from other people, depends, not upon the nature of has trade, but upon their opinion of has fortune, pro. bity, and prudence. The difierent rates of
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profis, therefore, in the different branches of trade, cannot arise from the different degrees of trust reposed in the traders.
/ Futhly, The wages of habour in different employments vary according to the probaLility or improbability of success in them.

The probabihty that any particular person shall ever be qualified for the employment to which he is educated, is very different in different occupations. In the greater part of mechanic trades, success is almost certain; but very uncertan in ti $i$ liberal professions. Put your son apprentice to a shoemaker, there is little doubt of his learning to make a parr of shoes: but send him to study the law, it is at least twenty to one if ever he makes such proliciency as will enable him to live by the business. In a perfectly far lottery, those who draw the prizes ought to gam all that is lost by those who draw the blanks. In a profession where twenty fail for one that succeeds, that one ought to gain all that should have been gained by the unsuccessful twenty. The counsellor at law who, perhaps, at near forty years of age, begins to make something by his profession, ought to receive the retribution, not only of his own so tedious and expensive education, but of that of more than twenty others who are never likely to rake any thing by it. How extravagant soever the fees of counsellors at law may sometimes appear, their real retribution is never equal to this. Compute in any particular place, what is likely to be annually gained, and what is likely to be annually spent, by all the different workmen in any common trade, such as that of shoemakers or weavers, and you will find that the former sum will generally exceed the latter. But make the same computation with regard to all the counsellors and students of law, in a'l the different inns of court, and you will find that their annual gains bear but a very small proportion to their annual expense, even though you rate the former as bigh, and the latter as low, as can well be donc. The lottery of the law, therefore, is very far ; from beng a perfectly fair lottery ; and that, ias well as many other liberal and honour, able professions, are, in point of pecuniary gain, evidently under-recompensed.

Those professions keep their level, however, with other occupations, and, notwithstanding these discouragements, all the most generous and liberal spirits are eager to crowd into them. Two different causes contribute to recommend them. First, the desire of the reputation which attends upon superior excellence in any of them; and, secondly, the natural confidence which every man has, more or less, not only in his own abulties, but in his own good fortune.

To excel in any profession, in which but (few arrive at mediocrity, is the must decisive conark of what is called genius or superior
taleds. The public admiration which at tends upon such distiuguished abilitien, nuakes always a part of their reward; a greatur or smaller in proportion as it is higher or lower in degree. It makes a considerable part of that reward in the profession of phywe; a still greater perhaps in that of law; in poetry and philosophy it makes alnost the whole.

There are some very agreeable and beantiful talents of which the possession commands a certain sort of admiration; but of whuh the exercise for the sake of gain is comidered, whether from reason or prejudice, an a mort of public prostrtution. The pecuniary recompence, therefure, of those who exercise them in this manner, must be sufficient, not only to pay for the time, labour, and expense of acquiring the talents, but for the dixcredit which attends the employment of them as the means of subxistence. The exorbitant rewards of players, operimsingery, operadancers, \&c., are founded upon thuse two principles; the rarity and beauty of the talents, and the discredit of employing theus iu this manner. It seems alsurd at first sight that we should deppise their persons, and yet reward their talents with the most profuse liberality. While we do the one, however, we must of necessty do the other Should the public opinion or prejudice ever alter with regard to such occupations, their pecuniary recompence would quickly diminish. More people would apply to them, and the competition would quickly reduce the price of their lubour. Such talents, though far from being common, are by no means so rare as is imagined. Many poople possess them in great perfection, who disdan to make this use of them; and many more are capable of acquiring them, if any thing could be made honourably by them.

The overweening ennceit which the greatcr part of men bave of their own abilities, is an ancient evil remarked lig the philosophers and moralisto of all ages Their absurd presumption in their own good fortune has been less taken notice of. It is, however, if possible, still more universal. There is no man living whi, when in tolerable liealth and spirits, has nut some share of it. The chance of gain is by every man mare or lew overvalued, and the chance of loss is by most men undervalued, and by scarce any man, who is in tulurable health and spints, valued more than it is worth.

That the chance of gain is naturally overvalued, we nay learn from the univeral success of lutteries. The world neither ever saw, nor ever will see, a perfectly far lotter): or one in $w$ hich the whole gain compensated the whole loss; bucause the undertuler could make nothing by it. In the state jotteries the tickets are really not worth the price which is paid by the original subscribers, and yet commonly sell in the market for twents.
thirty, and sometimes forty per cent advance. The vain hope of gainng some of the great prizes is the sole cause of this demund. The suberest people scarce fook upon 11 as a folly to pay a sinall suin for the chance of gaming ten or twenty thousaud pounds; though they know that even that small sum is perhaps twenty or thirty per cent, more than the chance is worth. In a lottery in which no prite exceeded twenty pounds, though in other respects it approached much nearer to a perfectly farr one than the common state lotteries, there would not be the same demand for tickets. In ordep to have a better chance for some of the great prizes, some people purchase several tackets, and others, small sharcs in a still greater number. There is not, however, a more certan proposition in mathematics, than that the more tickets you adventure upon, the more likely you are to be a luser. Adventure upon all the tickets in the lottery, and you lose for certain; and the greater the number of your thekets, the nearer you approach to this certainty.

That the chance of loss is frequently undervalucd, und scarce ever valued more than it is worth, we may learn from the very moderate profit of insurers. In order to make insurance, ether from fire or sea-risk, a trade at all, the common premium must be sufheient to compensate the common losses, to pay the expense of mandgement, and to alford such a profit as might have been drawn from an equal capital employed in any common trade. The person who pays no more than this, evidently pays no more than the real value of the risk, or the lowest puce at which he can reasonably expect to insure it. But though many people have made a little money by insurance, very few have made a great fortune; and from this consideration alone, it seems evident enough, that the ordmary balance of profit and loss is not more advantageous in this, than in other common trades by which so many people make fortuncs. Muderate, however, as the premium of insurance commonly is, many people despise the risk too much to care to pay it. Taking the whole kingdom at an average, nineteen houses in twenty, or rather, perhaps, nuncty-nine in a hundred, are not msured from fire. 1 Sea risk is more alarining to the greater part of people, and the proportion of ships iusured to those not insured is much greater. Many sail, however, at all seasons, and even in time of war, without any insurance. This may sometunes, perhaps, be done without any mprudence. When a great company, or even a great merchant, has twenty or thirty shups at sea, they mav, as it were, insure one another. The premium saved upon them fall, may more than compensate such losses
i The proportion of bouses insured to the total number, is now vastly greater than when the Wealth of Nations was published.
as they are likely to meet with in the common course of chances. The neglect of insurance upon shipping, however, in the same manuer as upon buuses, is, in most cases, the effect of no such nice calculation, but of mere thoughtless rashness and presumptuous contempt of the risk.

The contempt of risk and the presumptuous hope of success are in no period of life more active than at the age at which young people choose their professions. How little the fear of misfortunc is then capable of balancing the hope of good luck, appears still more evidently in the readiness of the common people to enlist as soldiers, or to go to sea, than in the eagerness of those of better fashion to enter into what are called the liberal professions.

What a common soldier may lose is obvious enough. Without regarding the danger, however, young volunteers never enlist so readly as at the beginning of a new war; and though they have scarce any chance of preferment, they figure to themselves, in their youthful fancers, a thousand occasions of acquirng honour and distinction which never occur. These romantic hopes make the whole price of their blood. Their pay is less than that of common labourers, and in actual service their fatigues are much greater.

The lottery of the sea is not altogether so disadvantageous as that of the army. The son of a creditable labourer or artificer may frequently go to sea with his father's consent; but if he enlists as a soldier, it is always without it. Other people see some chance of his making something by the one trade, nobody but humself sees any of his making any thing by the other. The great admirai is less the object of public admiration than the great general ; and the highest success in the sea service promises a less brilliant fortune and reputation than equal success in the land. The same difference runs through all the inferior degrees of preferment in both. By the rules of precedency a captain in the navy ranks with a colonel in the army: but he does not rank with him in the common estimation. As the great prizes in the lottery are less, the smaller ones must be more numerous. Common sallors, therefore, more frequently get some fortune and preferment than common soldiers; and the hope of those prizes is what prmeipally recommends the trade. Though ther skill and dexterity are much supenor to that of almost any artificers, and though their whole life is one continual scene of bardship and danger, yet for all this dexterity and skill, for all those hardships and dangers, while they remain in the condition of common sailors, they receive scarce any other recompence but the pleasure of exercismg the one and of surmounting the other. Their wages wre wut
$E$
greater than those of common labourers at the port which regulates the rate of seamen's wages. As they are continually going from port to port, the monthly pay of those who sail from all the different ports of Great Britain, is more nearly upon a level than that of any other workmen in those different places; and the rate of the port to and from which the greatest number sail, that is, the port of London, regulates that of all the rest. At London the wages of the greater part of the different classes of workmen are about double those of the same classes at Edinburgh. ${ }^{1}$ But the sailors who sail from the port of London seldom earn above three or four shillings a month more than those who sail from the port of Leith, and the difference is frequently not so great. In time of peace, and in the merchant service, the London price is from a guinea to about seven-and-twenty shillings the calendar month. 8 A cormmon labourer in London, at the rate of nine or ten shillings a week, may earn in the calendar month from forty to five-and-forty shillings. The sailor, indeed, over and above his pay, is supplied with provisions. Their value, however, may not, perhaps, always exceed the difference between his pay and that of the common labourer ; and though it sometimes should, the excess will not be clear gain to the sailor, because he cannot share it with his wife and family, whom he must maintain out of his wages at home. ${ }^{3}$

The dangers and hair-breadth escapes of a life of adventures, instead of disheartening young people, seem frequently to recommend a trade to them. A tender mother, among the inferior ranks of people, is often afraid to send her son to school at a sea-port town, lest the sight of the ships and the conversation and adventures of the sailors should entice him to go to sea. The distant prospect of hazards, from which we can hope to extricate ourselves by courage and address, is not disagreeable to us, and does not raise the wages of labour in any employment., It is otherwise with those in which courage and address can be of no avail. In trades which are known to be very unwholesome, the wages of labour are always remarkably high.
Unwholesomeness is a species of disagreeableness, and its effects upon the wages of labour are to be ranked under that general head.

In all the different employmente of stock, the ordmary rate of profit varies more or less with the certainty or uncertainty of the returns. These are in general less uncertain in the inland than in the foreign trade, and in some branches of foreign trade than

[^16]in others; in the trade to Nurth America, for example, than in that to Jamaica. The ordinary rate of profit always rises more or less with the risk. It dues not, however, seem to rise in proportion to it, or so as to compensate it completely. Bankruptcies are most frequent in the most hazardous trades. The most hazardous of all trades, that of a amuggler, though when the adventure succeeds it is hkewise the most profitable, is the infallible road to bankruptey. The presumptuous hope of success seems to act here as upon all other occasions, and to entice so many adventures into those hazardous trades, that theis competition reduces their profis below what is sufficient to compensate the risk. To compensate it completely, the common returns ought, over and above the ordinary profits of stock, not only to make up for all oecasional losses, but to affurd a surphus profit to the adventurers of the same nature with the profit of insurers. But if the common returns were suffieient for all this, bankruptcies would not be more frequent in these than in other trades.

Of the five circumstances, therefore, which vary the wages of labour, two only affect the: profits of stock; the agreeableness or disagreeableness of the business, and the risk or security with which it is attended. In point of agreeableness or disagreeableness, there is little or no difference in the far greater part of the different employments of stock, but a great deal in those of labour; and the ordtnary profit of stock, though it rises with the risk, does not always seem to rise in propors tion to it. It should follow from all this, that, in the same society or neighbourhood, the average and ordinary rates of profit in the different employments of stock, should be mose nearly upon a level than the pecuniary wages of the dufferent sorts of labour. They are so accordingly. The difference between the earnings of a common labourer and those of a well-employed lawyer or physician, is evidently much greater than that between the ordinary profits in any two different branches of trade. The apparent difference, besides, in the profits of different trades, is generally a deception arising from our not always distinguishing what ought to be considered as wages, from what ought to be cousidered as profit.

Apothecaries' profit is hecome a by-word, denoting something uncommonly extravagant. This great apparent profit, however. is frequently no more than the reasonable wages of labour. Tbe skill of an apothecary is a much nicer and more delicate matter than that of any artuicer whatever; and the trust whieh is reposed in him is of much

[^17]greater importance. Ile is the physicun of the poor in all cases, and of the rich when the dutress or danger is not very great. His reuard, therefore, ought to be sutable to his skill and his truat, and it arises generally from the price at which he sells his drugs. But the whole drugs which the best employed apothecary, in a large market town, will sell in a year, may not perhaps cost him nbove thartv or forty pounds. Though he should sell them, therefore, for three or four hundred, or at a thousand per cent. profit, this may frequently be no more than the reasonable wages of his labour, charged, in the only way in whinh he can charge them, upon the price of hus drugs. The greater part of the apparent profit is real wages disgrised In the garb of profit.

In a small sea-port town, a little grocer will make fonty or fifty per cent. upon a stock of a sungle ${ }^{\circ}$ hundred pounds, white a consuderable wholesale merchant in the same place will scarce make eight or ten per cent. upon a stock of ten thousand. The trade of the grocer may be necessary for the conveniency of the ithabitants, and the narrowness of the market may not admit the employment of a larger capital in the business. The man, howeser, must not only live by bis trade, but live by it suitably to the qualitications which it requires Besides possessing a little capital, he must be able to read, write, and account, and must be a tolerable judge too of, perhals, fifty or sixty different sorts of goods, theur prices, qualities, and the markets where they are to be had cheapest. He must have all the knowledge, in short, that 19 necessaly for a great merchant, which nothing huders ham from becoming but the want of a sufficent capital. Thirty or forty pounds a year cannot be considered as too great a recompence for the labour of a person so accomplished. Deduct this from the seeningly great profits of his capital, and little mere will remam, perhaps, than the ordmary profits of stock. The greater part of the apparent profit is, in this case too, real wages

The diference between the apparent profit of the retal and that of the ohulesale trade, is much less in the capital than m small towns and country villages. Where ten thousund pounds can be employed in the grocery tuade, the wages of the grocer's labour make but a very triting addition to the real profits of so great a stock. The apparent profits of the wealthy retailer, therefore, are there more nearly upon a level with those of the wholesale merchant. It is upon this account that goods sold by retal are generally as cheap and frequently much cheaper in the capital than in small towns and country villages. Grocery goods, for taample, aze generdly muct cheaper; bread and butchers meat fiequently as chnay It
costs no more to bring grocery goods to the great town than to the country village; but it costs a great deal more to bring corn and cattle, as the greater part of them must be brought from a much greater distance. The prume cost of grocery goods, therefore, being the same in both places, they are cheapest where the least profit is charged upon them. The prime cost of bread and butcher's meat is greater in the great town than in the country village; and though the proft is less, therefore, they are not always cheaper there, but often equally cheap. In such articles as bread and butcher's meat, the same cause, which diminishes apparent profit, increases prime cost. The extent of the market, by giving employment to greater stochs, diminishes apparent profit; but by requiring supphes from a greater distance, it increases prime cost. This diminution of the one and increase of the other seem, in most cases, nearly to counterbalance one another; wheh is probably the reason that, though the prices of corn and cattle are commonly very different in dufferent parts of the kingdom, those of bread and butcher's meat are generally very nearly the same through the greater part of 1 .

Though the profits of stock both in the wholesale and retall trade are generally less in the capital than in sreall towns and. country villages, yet great fortunes are frequently acquired from small beginnmess in the former, and scarce ever in the latter. In small towns and country villages, on account of the narrowness of the market, trade cannot always be extended as stock extends. In such places, therefore, though the rate of a particular person's profits may be very high, the sum or amount of them can never be very great, nor consequently that of bis annual accumulation. In great towns, on the contrary, trade can be extended as stock m creases, and the credit of a frugal and thriving man increases much faster thian his stock His trade is extended in proportion to the amount of both, and the sum or amount of his profits is in proportion to the extent of his trade, and bis annual accumulation in proportion to the amount of his profits. It seldom happens, however, that great foituner are made even in great towns by any one regular, estabhshed, and well-hnown branch of business, but in consequence of a long life of industry, frugality, and attention. Sudden fortunes, indeed, are sometrmes inade in such places by what is called the tiade on speculation. The speculative merchant ex-; ercises no one regular, establisined, ur well known branch of business. He is a coin merchant thus year, and a $\pi$ ine merchant the next, and a sugar, tobacco, or tea metchant the year after. He enters into every trade when he foresees that it is bhely to be more than commonly prefitable and he quirs it
when he foresees that its profits are likely to return to the level of other trades. His profits and losses, therefore, can bear no regular proportion to those of any one established and well-known branch of business. A bold adventurer may sometimes acquire a considefable fortune by two or three success. ful speculations; but is just as likely to lose one by two or three unsuccessful ones. This trade can be carried on nowhere but in great towns. It is only in places of the most extensive commerce and correspondence, that the intelligence requisite for it can be had.

The five circumstances above mentioned, though they occasion considerable inequalities in the wages of labour and profits of stock, occasion none in the whole of the advantages and disadvantages, real or imaginary, of the different employments of either. The nature of those circumstances is such, that they make up for a small pecuniary gain in some, and counterbalance a great one in others.

In order, however, that this equality may take place in the whole of their advantages or disadvantages, three things are requisite, even where there is the most perfect freedom. First, the employments must be well known and long established in the neighbourhood; secondly, they must be in their ordinary, or what may be called their natural state; and, thirdly, they must be the sole or principal employments of those who occupy them.

First, This equality can take place only in those employments which are well known, and have been long established in the neighbourhood.

Where all other circumstances are equal, wages are generally higher in new than in old trades. When a projector attempts to establish a new manufacture, he must at first entice his workmen from other employments by higber wages than they can either earn in their own trades, or than the nature of his work would otherwise require, and a considerable time must pass away before he can venture to reduce them to the common level. Manufactures for which the demand arises altogether from fashion and fancy, are continually changing, and seldom last long enough to be considered as old established manufactures. Those, on the contrary, for which the demand arises chiefly from use or necessity, are less liable to change, and the same form or fabric may continue in demand for whole centuries together. The wages
\} of labour, therefore, are likely to be higher in manufactures of the former, than in those of the latter kind. Birmingham deals chiefly in manufactures of the former kind; Sheffield in those of the latter; and the wages of labour in those two different places, are said to be suitable to this difference in the bature of their manufactures.

The establishment of any new manuface
tire, of any new branch of commerce, of of any new practice in agriculture, is alwaya a speculation, from which the projector promises himself extraordinary profits. These profits sometimes are very great, and sometimes, more frequently perhaps, they are quite otherwise; but in general they bear no regular proportion to those of other old trades in the neighbourhood. If the project succeeds, they are commonly at first very high. When the trade or practice becomes thoroughly established and well known, the competition reduces them to the level of other trades.

Secondly, This equality in the whole of the advantages and disadvantages of the dif-: ferent employments of labour and stock, can take place only in the ordinary, or what may be called the natural, state of those employ- : ments

The demand for almost every different species of labour is sometimes greater and sometimes less than usual. In the one case the advantages of the employment rise above, in the other they fall below the common level. The demand for country labour is greater at hay time and harvest than during the greater part of the year; and wages rise with the demand. In time of war, when forty or fifty thousand sailors are forced from the merchant service into that of the king, the demand for sailors to merchant ships necessarily rises with their searcity; and their wages upon such occasions commonly rise from a guinea and seven-and-twenty shillings, to forty shillings and three pounds a month. In a decaying manufacture, on the contrary, many workmen, rathet than quit their old trade, are contented with smaller wages than would otherwise be suitable to the nature of their employment.

The profits of stock vary with the price of the commodities in which it is employed As the price of any commodity rises above the ordinary or average rate, the profits of at least some part of the stock that is employed in bringing it to market, rise above their proper level, and as it falls they sink below it. All commodities are more or less luable to variations of price, but some are much more so than others. In all comnodities which are produced by human industry, the quantity of industry annually employed is necessarily regulated by the annual demand, in such a manner that the arerage annual produce may, as nearly as possible, be equal to the average annual consumption. In some employments it bas already been observed, the same quantity of industry will always produce the same, or very nearly the same, quantity of commodities. In the linen or woolleu manufactures, for example, the same number of hands will annually work up very mearly the same quantity of linen and woollen cloth. The variations is tha
narket price of url commodttes thercfore. sum arise only from some accolental arhation in the demond A public mourning rabs the proce of block eloth; but as the demand for mont sorts of plan linen and woollen cloth is pritty unform, so is likewe the price but there are other emplogments in which the same quanty of modustry will not alpays produce the surue quantity of commoditus The same quantity of madustry, fior example. woll, in different years, produce very different quantities of corn, wine, hopa, nugrar, tobacen, dic. The prace of such commodithe, therefore, vanes not only with the varations of demand, but nith the much greater and more frefuent vartations of quantity, and is consequently extremely fluctuatug, but the proft of sume of the dedera must necesurily fluctuate with the price of the commodites. The operations of the speculative meronant are prinemally employed about such commodities. IIe endeavuirs to buy them up when he foresees that ther price is hkely to rise, and to sell thera -hen it is likely to full.

Thirdly, This equality in the * hole of the adiantares and disadvantages of the different employments of labour and stock, can tahe place only in sucts as are the sole or prineipal employ ments of those who occupy them.

When a person derives his subsistence frum one employment, which does not occupy the greater part of his time, in the uitervals of his levure he is often wilhng to work at another for less wages than would otherwise suit the nature of the employment

There mill subusts in many parts of Scotland a set of people called Coters or Cottugers, though they were more frepuent wome sars ago than they are now. 1 They are a sort of out-servants of the laudtords and farmers. The usual reward whech they receive from their mastcrs is a house, a small garden for pot-lierlis, as much grass as will feed a cow, and perhaps an acre or two of bad arable land. When therr master has occason for their labour, he gives them, besides tno perts of oanneyl a week, worth about mixten peuce sterling. During a great part of the juar he has little or no occasion for their lubour, and the culturation of their own littie possesuon is not sufficient to occupy the tune which sh left at thar own disposal. When such occupiers were more numerous than they are at present, they are said to have been wallong to give their spare time for a very small recompence to any body, and to have wrought for less wages than other habourbrs. In ancient times they seem to bue buen common all over Eurone. In countries ill-cultinated and worse mhabuted, the greater part of landlords and farmers could
not otherwise provide themselves with the extraorduary number of hands whel eduntry tabour requires at certain seasons. The dally or weekly recompence which such labourt occastonally recelved from their masters, wat evidently not the whole price of their labour. Their small tenement made a comiderable part of it. This dally or weehly recompence, however, seems to have, benn collstdered as the whole of $1 t$, by many writers who bave collected the prices of labour and provisons in ancieat times, and who have taken pleasure in representing both as wonderfully low.

The produce of such labour comes frequently cheaper to market than would otherwise be sutable to its nature. Stochmigs in many parts of Scotland are knit numit cheaper than they can anywhere be wroughis upon the loom. They are the work of servants and labourers, who derive the principal part of their subsistence from some other employment. More than a thousand par of Shetland stockings are annually imported into Letth, of which the price is from tive pence to seven pence a pair. At Learwick, the small capital of the Shetlind islands, ten pence a day, I have been assured, is a common price of common labour. In the same islands. they knit worsted stochngs to the value of a gumea a parr and upwards.

The spaning of luen yarn is carried ou in Scotland nearly in the same way as the knitung of stochings by servants, who are chiefly hired for other purposes. They earn but a very seanty subsistence, who endeavour to get their whole hivelthood by etther of those trades. In most parts of Scotiand she is a good spmon who can earn twenty pence a week. ${ }^{8}$

In opulent countras the market is generally so extensive, that any one trade s, suff. cient to emplay the whole labour and stuck of those atho occupy it. Inveances of people's living by une employment, and at the saine ume deriugg some little advantage from another, oceur chetly in poor countres. The following instance, however, of something of the same hind is to be found in the capital of a very rich one. There is no city in Europe, I beltere, in which house-rent is dearer than in London, and yet I hnow no capital in which a furmbed apartment c.an tre hired so cheap. Ladging is not only much cheaper in Iondon than in Paris; it is much cheaper than in Edinburgh of the same degree of goodues; and u hat may seem extraordnary, the dearmess of house-rent is the cause of the cheapness of lodging. The dearness of huuse-rent in London arices, nut only from those causes which render it der in all great capitals - the dearness of labo.r. the dearness of all the materials of buildic:-

[^18]which mist generally be brought from a great dist+nce, and above all the dearness of ground-rent, every landlord acting the part of a monopolist, and frequentiy exacting a higher rent for a single acre of bad land in a town, than can be had for a hundred of the best in the country; but it arises in part from the peculiar manners and customs of the people, which oblige every master of a family to hire a whole house from top to bottorn. A dwelling-house in England means every thing that is contained under the same roof. In France, Scotland, and many other parts of Europe, it frequently means no more than a single story. A tradesman in London is obliged to hire a whole house in that part of the town where his customers live. His shop 19 upon the ground-floor, and he and his family sleep in the garret; and he endeavours to pay a part of his house-rent by letting the two middle stories to lodgers. He expects to maintain his family by his trade, and not by his lodgers. Whereas, at Parss and Edinburgh, the people who let lodgings have commonly no other means of subsistence; and the price of the lodging must pay, not only the rent of the house, but the whole expense of the family.

## Part II. <br> Inequalities oceasioned by the Policy of Eu'ope.

Suct are the inequalities in the whole of the advantages and disadvantages of the different employments of labour and stock, which the defect of any of the three requisites above mentioned must occasion, even where there is the most perfect liberty. But the policy of Europe, by not leaving things at perfect liberty, occasions other inequalities of much greater importance.

It does this chiefy in the three following ways. First, by restraining the competition in some employments to a smaller number than would otherwise be disposed to enter into them; secondly, by increasing it in others beyond what it naturally would be; and, thirdly, by obstructing the free circulation of labour and stock, both from employment to employment, and from place to place.

First, the policy of Europe occasions a very important inequality in the whole of the advantages and disadvantages of the different employments of labour and stock. by restraining the competition in some employments to a smaller number than might otherwise be disposed to enter into them.
|The exclusive privileges of corporations

[^19] Competition fo always free among the members of
are the principal means is makes une of fin this purpose.

The exclusive privilege $c f$ an incorporated ; trade necessarily reatrains the computition, in the town where it is established, w these who are free of the trade. 1 To have served an apprenticeship in the cown, under a master properly qualified, 38 commonly the necessary requsite for obtaning this freedom. The by-laws of the corporation regulate sometimes the number of apprenticea whinh any master is allowed to have, and almont always the number of years which each apprentice is obliged to serve. The intention of both regulations is to restratn the competition to a much smaller number than might otherwise be dosposed to enter into the trade. The limitation of the number of apprentices restrans it directly. A long term of apprenticeship restrans it more mhirectly, but as effectually, by increasing the expense of education.

In Sheffield no master cutler can have more than one apprentice at a time, by a by-law of the corporation. In Norfolk and Norwich no master weaver can have more than two apprentices, under pain of forfeiting five pounds a month to the king. No master hatter can have more than two apprenticea anywhere in England, or in the English plantations, under pain of forfeiting five pounds a month, half to the king, and balf to him who shall aue in any court of record. Both these regulations, though they have been confirmed by a public law of the kingdotm, are evidently dictated by the same corporation spirtt which enacted the by-law of Sheffield. The silk-weavers in London had scarce been incorporated a year when they enacted a by-law, restraining any master from having more than two apprentices at a time. It required a particular act of parlhament to rescud this by-law.

Seven years seem anciently to have been, all over Europe, the usual term established for the duration of apprenticesbips in the greater part of incorporated trades. All' such incorporations were anciently called ; yniversities; which indeed is the proper Latin name for any incorporation whatever. The university of emiths, the university of tailors, \&c. are expressions which we commonly meet with in the old charters of ancient towns. When those particular incorporations, which are now peculiarly called unversities, were first established, the term of years which it was necessary to study, in! order to obtain the degree of master of arts; appears evadently to have been copied from the term of apprenticeship in common
every corporation; and there are bot few in which therr number is not so considerable an to pralude the chance of their forming any effectual eomblnation for a rise of prices.
trudes of which the incorporations vere mash mure anctent. As to have a rought senen yeas under a maverer properly qualited, *as nectsisary, in order to entite any persun to beone a mater, and wh bave himulf a!prenticts in a common tralt: so to have stadied sesen years under a m witer properis qualdted, was necxury to thtule him to bucome a mather, tiachar or doctor (nords ancienely synoigmone) in the hberal arts, and to have shoturs or apprentuces (words libewise onginaly syonsmous) to study under him

By the 5th of Elimateth, commonly called the statute of Apprenticiohp, it was enacted, that no perion thould for the future exercise 2i, y tradt, eraft, of myrery at that tume cerused in England, unluss he had prewourly served to it an apprenticenhtp of scoen yens at luav; and what before had been the by law of many particular corporathons becane in Fingland the general and puhbe law of all the trades carried on in marhet towns Fur though the words of the statife are very general, and seem plamly to include the whole hingdom, by interpretatton tis operation has been hmuted to market towns it having been held that in eountry whlages a purion may exercize several difierent trades though he has not served a seren jears appronticeshity to cach, they theng nocesary for the convenency of the whabriants, and the number of prople frequently not being suffictent to supply ean in with a parturular ent of hathiv,
liy a strict interpretstion of the words, toin, the operation of this statute has been hamed to thase trades wheh were establinhed in Lngind before the tith of Elizaleth, and bis meter been evtended to surh as have ben mitroduced since tiat tme. Dhes bumbation has grien occasion to seteral davonetions a hich consdered as ruler of polue, appear as frulbh as can well be magmet. It has been adjudged, fur example, that a coachomber can neather himwif mate nor emplov joume men to make lus walt wheel; but must buy them of a maner whelwright; thes latter trade haugg Inen crercised in Ingland befure the 5th of Eliatbith. But a wheelaright, though be hats newer served an apprenticeshap to a coabmaker, may eather lumself moke or emphoy journcy men to make coathes, the rade of a couchmaker not beres withon the atatute, beratuse net exercised in England at the tume when th was made Tle manufictura of Nathetober, Lirmanghan, and Wol, eh hatintor, are many of thens, upan this acevont, not wather the statute; not baviog

[^20]Been exerctsed in England before the 5th of Sluzabeth.

In Frabce the duration of apprenticerhys is different in different tow ins and in dificient trades. In Paris five gears is the term required in a great number: but before any perton ean be qualitied to exeruse the trade as a master, lie must, in many of them, scre five years more as a journeyman During thas latter term he ts called the companion of has master, and the term atself as called has companion-hep.

In scothand there is no general law which regul ites ummerally the duration of apprentwechups. The term is different in dafferent corporations: Whare it as long, a part of it may geteratly be redeemed by paying a small tine In nost tuxnston a very small fine is sutherent to purehase the frecilum of any corporation. The weavers of linen and herupen eloth, the primepel manuidetures of the country, as well as all wher artiteers subservent to them, wheelmakers, reenmaker; Rc. may exerche their trades in any town corporate without paing any fine. In all towns corporate all persons are free to sell butcher's meat upona any lanful day of the weck. Three years is in Scotland a common term of appenticushp, even in sume very mee trades, and in general, I hnow of no country in Lurope in which corporation laws are so litile oppressive.

The property whith every man has in has own labour, is it is the ongetual fandation of all wher propert?, of it is the most sacred and miolable The patrimony of a poor man hus wa the srength and dexterity of hiv hands, and to lunder hin from cm ploying thas strength and dexterity in whit manner he thans proper without injury to lus nei hitour, is a plan valatum of thes nuost sacred properts. It is a mamiafest en croacimeat upon the just himerty both of the morkman and of tho w whomeght be diaponed to employ him. A, it hiders the one trim working at what be thons proper, so at hunders the others frum cmploying whom they thank proper. To mide widedicr he is fit to be employtd, may suruly be trusited to the diseretion of the employers whose interent it so muth comerns. The affected anstery of the largwer lest they should emplor an muproper person, is evidently as impertacot an it is oppressive.

The unststution of long apprenticeships ant give no weurty that msuthetent warkmomshap shall nut trequently be exposed to : publie sale. When this is dune it is gencrally the effect of fraud, and not of inability ; and the longest apprenticeship can give no
emuitruted corporations, but wherever these do nut alit. A 11 the taz. the tormation of zrif renticeshlys, and their dimation, is now enurely itit wheradunted l) the parties themselvis
speurity against fraud. Quite different reguiations are necessary to prevent this abuse. The sterling mark upon plate, and the stamps upon linen and woollen cloth, give the purchaser much greater security than any statute of apprenticeship. He generally looks at these, bat never thinks it worth while to inquire whether the workman had served a seven years' apprenticeship.

The institution of long apprenticeships has no tendency to form young people to industry. A journeyman who works by the piece is likely to be industrious, because he derives a benefit from every exertion of his
industry. An apprentice is likely to be idle, and almost always is so, because he has no immediate interest to be otherwise. In the inferior employments, the sweets of habour consist altogether in the recompence of lahour. They who are soonest in a condition to enjoy the sweets of it, are likely soonest to conceive a relish for it, and to acquire the early habit of industry. A young man naturally conceives an aversion to labour, when for a long time he receives no benefit from iit. The boys who are put out apprentices from public charities are generally bound for more than the usual number of years, and they generally turn out very idle and worthless.

Apprenticeships were altogether unknown to the ancients. The reciprocal duties of master and apprentice make a considerable article in every modern code. The Roman law is perfectly silent with regard to them. I know no Greek or Latin word (I might venture, 1 believe, to assert that there is none) which expresses the idea we now annex to the word Apprentice, a servant bound to work at a particular trade for the benefit of a master, during a term of years, upon condition that the master shall teach him that trade.

Long apprenticeships are altogether unnecessary. The arts, which are much superior to common trades, such as those of making clocks and watebes, contain no such mystrey as to require a long course of instruction. The firstinvention of such beautiful machines, indeed, and even that of some of the instruments employed in making them, must, no doubt, have been the work of deep thought and long time, and may justly be considered as among the happiest efforts of human ingenuity. But when both have been fairly invented and are well understood, to explain to any young man, in the completest manner, how to apply the instruments and how to construct the machines, cannot well require more than the lessons of a few weeks: perhaps those of a a few days might be sufficient. In the common mechanic trades, those of a few days might certainly be sufficient. The dexterity of hand, indeed, even in common trades, cannot be acqured without much practice and experience, But a young man
would practise with much more diligence ! and attention, if fiom the begrning he wrought as a journeyman, being paid in proportion to the little work which be could execute, and paying in his turn fur the materials which he might sometimes spoil through awkwardness and inexperience. His education would generally in this way be more effectual, and always less tedious and expensive. The master, indeed, would be a luser.) He would lose all the wages of the apprentice, which he now saves, for seven yeara, together. In the end, perhaps, the apprentice himself would be a loser. In a trade so easily learnt he would have more competitors, and his wages, when he came to be a complete workman, would be much less than at present. The same increase of competition would reduce the profits of the masters as well as the wages of the workmen. The; trades, the crafts, the mysteries, would all be losers. But the public would be a gainer, the work of all artificers coming in this way much cheaper to market.

It is to prevent this reduction of price, and consequently of wages and profit, by restraining that free competition which would most certainly occasion it, that all corporations, and the greater part of corporation laws, have been established. In order to erect a corporation, no other authority in ancient times was requisite in many parts of Europe, but that of the town corporate in which it was established. In Eingland, indeed, a charter from the king was hikewise necessary. But this prerogative of the crown seems to have been reserved rather for extorting money from the subject, than for the defence of the common liberty against such, oppressive monopolies. Upon paying a the to the king, the charter seems generally to have been readily granted; and when any particular class of artificers or traders thought proper to act as a corporation without a charter, such adulterine guilds, as they were called, were not always disfranchsed upon that account, but obliged to fine annually to the king for permission to exercise therr usurped privileges. ${ }^{1}$ The inmedate inspection of all corporations, and of the by-lawg which they might think proper to enact for their own government, belonged to the town corporate in which they were established ; and whatever discipline was exercised over them, proceeded commonly not from the king, but from that greater incorporation of which those subordinate ones were only parts or members.

The government of towns corporate was; altogether in the hands of traders and artifcers; and it was the manfest interest of ; evers particular class of them to prevent the market from being over-stocked, as they commonly express it, with their own parti-

1 See Madox Furma Burgi, p \%i hec
cular species of industry; which is in reality to keep it always under-stocked. Each class was eager to establish regulations proper for this purpose, and, provided it was allowed to do so, was wiling to consent that every other class should do the same. In consequence of such reguldions, indeed, each class was obliged to buy the goods they had occasion for from every other withn the town, somewhat dearer than they otherwise might have done. But in recompence, they were enabled to sell thenr own just as much dearer; so that so far it was as broad as long, as they say; and in the dealings of the different classes within the town with one another, none of them were losers by these regulations. But in their dealnge with the country they were all great gaincrs; and in these latter dealings consists the whole trade which supports and enriches every town.

Every town draws its vhole subsistence, fand all the materials of ics industry, from the frountiy. It pays for these chetly in two ways: first, by sending back to the country a part of those materials wrought up and manufactured; in which case their prace is augmerted by the wages of the workmen, and the profits of their masters or innmediate employers: secondly, by sending to it a part both of the rude and manufactured produce, ecther of other countries, or of distant parts of the same country, imported into the town; ${ }_{i}$ in whel case too the origmal price of those , goods is augmented by the wages of the cartriers or sailors, and by the profits of the a merchants who employ them. In what is ganed upon the first of those two branches of commerce, consists the advantage which the town makes by its manufactures; m what is gained upon the second, the advantage of its suland and foreign trade. The wages of the workmen, and the profits of their different employers, make up the whole of what is gained upon both. Whatever regulations, therefore, tend to increase those wages and profits beyond what they otherwise would be, tend to enable the town to purchase, with a smaller quantity of its labour, the produce of a greater quantity of the labour of the country. They give the traders and artificers in the town an advantage over the landlords, farmers, and labourers in the country, and break down that natural equality wheh would otherwise take place in the commerce which is carred on between them. The whole annual produce of the labour of the soclety is annually davided between those two different sets of people. By means of those regulations a greater share of it is given to the imhabitants of the town than would otherwise tall to them; and a less to those $f$ of the coustry.

I Industry is not really, upon an average, better |reuaried in towas than in the country, bun traders dind natuatactumers residing in a town have, is Itr I Sinuth las already explaned, a granar hilif tor the

The price a hich the town really pays for the provisions and materials annually maported into it, is the quantity of manulactures and other goods annually exported from it. The dearer the latter are sold, the cheaper the former are bought. The industry of the town becomes more, and that of the country less advantageous.

That the industry which is carried on in towns is, everywhere in Europe, more advantageous than that which is carried on in the country, without entering into any very mice computations, we may satusfy ourselves.by one very smple and obvious observation. In every country of Europe we find, at least, a hundred people who have acquired great fortunes from small begmangs: by trade and manufactures, the industry which properly belongs to towns, for one who has done so by that which properly belongs to the country, the rasing of rude produce by the improve. ment and cultivation of land. Industry, therefore, must be better rewarded, the wages of labour and the profits of stock must evsdently be greater in the one situation than in the other ${ }^{1}$ But stock and labour naturally seek the most advantageous employment. They naturally, therefore, resort as much as they can to the town, and desert the country.

The inhabitants of a town, being collected into one place, can eaxily combine together. The most msignificant trades carrsed on in towns have accordingly, in some place or other, been incorporated; and even where they have never been incorporated, yet the corporation spirit, the jealousy of strangers, the aversion to take apprentiees, or to communicate the secret of their trade, generally prevall in them, and often teach them, by voluntary associations and agremients, is' prevent that free competition which they cannot prohibit by by-laws. The trades which employ but a small number of hands, run most eassly into such combinations. Half a dozen woolcombers, perhaps, are necessary to keep a thousand spinners and wearers at work. By combining not to take apprentices they can not only engross the employment, but reduce the whole manufacture into a sort of slavery to themselves, and raise the price of their labour much above what is due to the nature of their work.

The inhabitants of the country, dupersed in distant places, cannot easily combine to. gether. They have not only never been ancorporated, but the corporation spirit never has prevaled among them. No apprentice-f ship has ever been thought necessary to qualify for hushandry, the great trade of the countiy. Afier what are called the fine arts and the hiberal professions, however, there is
pronectition of their industry, or greater opportumitiss fur makiug a fortune by the employment of a large; captial.
perhaps no trade which requires so great a variety of knowledge and experience. The innumerable volumes which have been written upon it in all languages, may satisfy us, that among the wisest and most learned nations, it has never been regarded as a matter veryoeasly understood. And from all those volumes we shall in vain attempt to collect that knowledge of its various and complicated operations, which is commonly possessed even by the common farmer; how contemptuously soever the very contemptible authors of some of them may sometimes affect to speak of him. There is scarce any common mechanic trade, on the contrary, of which all the operations may not be as com. pletely and distinctly explained in a pamphlet of a very few pages, as it is possible for words illustrated by figures to explain them. In the hastory of the arts, now pullishing by the French academy of sciences, several of them are actually explained in this manner. The direction of operations, besides, which must be varied with every change of the weather, as well as with many other accidents, requires much more judgment and discretion than that of those which are always the same or very nearly the same.

Not only the art of the farmer, the general direction of the operations of husbandry, but many inferior branches of country labour require much more skill and experience than the greater part of mechanic trades. The man who works upon brass and iron, works with instruments, and upon materials of which the temper is always the same, or very nearly the same. But the man who ploughs the ground with a team of horses or oven, works with instruments of which the health, strength, and temper are very different upon different occasions. The condition of the materials which he works upon, too, is as

[^21]variable as that of the instruments which be works with, and both require to be managed with much judgment and discretion. The common ploughman, though generally regarded as the pattern of stupidity and ignorance, is seldom defective in this judgment and discretion. He is less accustomed, indeed, to social intercourse than the mechanic who lives in a town. His voice and language are more uncouth and more dithcult to be understood by those who are not used to them. His understanding, however, being accustomed to consider a greater variety of objects, is generally much superior to that of the other, whose whole attention from morning till night is commonly occupied in performing one or two very simple operations. How much the lower ranks of people in the country are really superior to those of the town, is well known to esery man whom either business or curiosity has led to converse much with both. 1 In Cbina and Indostan, accordingly, both the rank and the wages of country labourcra are suid to be superior to those of the greater part of artificers and manufacturers. They would, probably, be so everywhere, if corporation laws and the corporation spirit did not prevent it.

The superiority which the industry of the towns has everywhere in Europe over that of the country, is not altogether owing to corporations and corporation laws. It is supported by many other regulations, The high duties upon foresgn manufactures, and upon all goods imported by alien merchants, all tend to the same purpose. Corporational laws enable the inhabitants of towns to raise their prices, without fearing to be undersold by the free competition of their own country ${ }^{6}$ men. Those other regulations secure the in equally against that of foreigners. $\%$ The

They are thus driven to seek for recreation in mental excitement ; and the circumstances under which they are placed afford them avery possible fachity for gratffying themselves in this manner By working together is considerable numbers they have what the agriculturiats generalif want, constant opportunitics of discussing every topic of interest or inportance: they are thus gradtrally tranged to babits of thinbing and reftection; eheir titellects are eharpened hy the colluwn of conficting ophnons, and a small contricollubn of conaticting opinions, and a smal contrisupplies of newspapers and of the cheaper class of periodical publications But whatever diderence of opinion may exist respecting the cause there can be no doubt of the fact, that the inteligence of manutacturing and commerchal workment has hocreaved according as their numbers bave increased, and as their emplorments have been more and more subdivided. We doubt whether there be any gond divided. We doubt whether there be any gond
grounds for supposing that they were ever less ingrounds for buppoang that they were ever cess lo-
teligerit that the agrculturist ; thongh, wbatever may bave been the cuse a crotury or two ance, frw will now venture to afhrm that they are interiop to them in intellecthal acquirements, or that they are mere machunes without sentiment or reason.
2 These regulations have not been productive of any real advantage to the tosms, they have attracted a greater portion of captal and fodustry to rertan a griogments than what properis belonged to them: but as comptition bas been always free among the inhabitante on the tuwns, it is quitc impossotie chev
enhamement of price occasioned by both is ewryubere finally pand by the landonds, tarmets, and labourer, of the country, who buse shlom apposed the estabishament of such monopolies. They have commonly unthicr melination nor fithess to enter moto combinations ${ }^{1}$, and the clamour and sophistry of menchants and manufucturers casoly perounde thein that the private interest of a part, and of a subordmate part of the soctety, is the general interest of the whole.
! In Ureat 13riatia the superiority of the industry of the tuwns over that of the country, seems to have been greater formerly thin in the present tumes. The wages of country labour approach nearer to those of thamatactung labour, and the profits of stoek employed in agrieulture to those of tradng and manufacturing stock, than they are sad to have dane in the last century, or in the iegranim of the present. Thas change may be regarded as the necessary, though very late consequence of the extithordthay encourdgement given to the industry ot the towns. The stock accumulated in them connes in thme to be so great, that It ean no longer be employed with the anctem profit, in that speeses of miduatry which 14 pecthar to them. That indusiry has ats limats like every other; and the increase of stoch, by increasug the competition, necessarily reduces the profit. The lowerng of protit in the town furces out stock to the (country, where, by creatug a new demand tor country labour, it necessarily raises it, Iwages. It then speads itcelf, if I may say sa, over the the of the land, ard by beng employed in agreculture is, in pait, restored It the country, at the expense of which, in a great measuie, it had originally been accua mulated in the town. That everywhere in lurope the greatest improvements of the conntry have been owing to such overfowunge of the stock originally accumulated in the ton in, I shall endeavour to show hereafter, and at the same time to demonstrate, that though some countracs have, by this course, attamed to a consuderable degree of opulence, it is, in itwelf, necessarnly slow, uncertan, liable to be disturbed and interrupted by amumerable accidents, and in every respect contrary to the order of nature and of reason. The interests, prejudices, laws and customs which have given occasion to it, I shall endeavour to explain as fully and distinetly as I can in the third and fourth. twoks of this inquiry.
leople of the same trade seldom meet together, even for merrment and diversion,
sin hava raised thelr profits sensibly above the foninion and ordamary rate of protit in the country at large

- Iiud In Smith witnessed the procectinge that have tahen plate since 1791 with reapect to tha corn Cawb, he would pertips, have somewhat nodified this etatpment.
but the conversation endy is a conspir uy against the public, or in some contriname to rase prices? It as impossible, indeed, to prevent such meetinge, by any law which ether could be executed, or would be consistent with liherty and justice. But though the law cannot hinder people of the same trade from sometimes assembling together it ous ht to do nothong to tacilitate such as sembles; much less to remier them necessary.

A regulation which obliges all those of the same trade in a particular town to enter then names and places of abode in a public repister, facilitates such assembles It connects individuals who maght never otherwase be known to one another, and gives every man of the trade a direction where to find every other man of tt .

A regulation which enables those of the same trade to tax themselves in oder to provide for their poor, therr suk, ther widens and orphans, by giving them a common mterest to manage, renders such assembles necessary.

An incorporation not only renders them necessary, but makes the aet of the majority lunding upon the whole. In a frec trade an effectual combination cannot be established but by the unanimous consent of every sungle tader, and it camot last longer than every sungle trader continues of tie same aund. The majority of a corporstion can enact a by-law with proper penalter, wheh will limit the competition more effectually and more duably than any volun tary combanation whatever.

The pretence that corporitiona are nceessary kor the better government of the trade, is without any foundation. The real and effectual diserpline which is exeressed oner a woikman, is not that of his colporation, but that of his customers. It is the har of losing their employ ment wheh restrans his frauds and corrects his negligence. An exclusive corporation necessarily yeakens the force of thas discipline. A particular set of workmen must then be emplaged, let them behave well or ill. It is upon this account that in many large incorporated towns no tolerable workmen are to be found even in some of the most necessary trades. If you would have your work tolerably exccuted, it must be done in the suburbs, where' the workmen, having no excluswe privilege, have nothang but ther character to depend upon; and you must then smuggle it unto the town as well as you can.

It is in this manner that the policy of Europe, by restraning the competition in

[^22]some employments to a smaller number than would otherwise be disposed to enter into them, occasions a very important inequality in the whole of the advantages and disadvantages of the different employments of labour and stock.

Secondiy, The policy of Europe, by int areasing the competition in some employments beyond what it naturally would be, occasions another inequality of an opposite kind in the whole of the adrantages and disadvantages of the different employments of labsur and stock.

It has been considered as of so much importance that a proper number of young people should be educated for certain professions, that sometimes the public and sometimes the piety of private founders have established many pensions, scholarships, exhibitions, bursaries, \&c. for this purpose, which draw many more people into those trades than could otherwise pretend to follow them. In all Christian countries, I believe, the education of the greater part of churchmen is paid for in this manner. Very few of them are educated altogether at their own expense. The long, tedious, and expensive education, therefore, of those who are, will not always procure then a suitable reward, the church being crowded with people who, in order to get employment, are willing to accept of a much smaller recompence than what such an education would otherwise have entitled them to; and in this
| manner the competition of the poor takes away the reward of the rich. It would be indecent, no doubt, to compare either a curate or a chaplain with a journeyman in any common trade. The pay of a curate or chaplain, however, may very properly be considered as of the same nature with the wages of a journeyman. They are, all three, paid for their work according to the contract which they may happen to make with their respective superiors. Till after the middle of the fourteenth century, five merks, containing about as much silver as ten pounds of our present money, was in England the usual pay of a curate or a stipendiary parish priest, as we find it regulated by the decrees of several different national councils. At the same period four pence a day, containing the same quantity of silver as a shilling of our present money, was declared to be the pay of a master mason, and three pence a day, equal to nine pence of our present money, that of a journeyman mason.' The wages of both these labourers, therefore, supposing them to bave been constantly employed, were mach superior to those of

[^23]the curate. The warea of the master maswn, supposing him to have been without emplovment one-third of the year, would have fully equalled thero. By the 12 th of Queen Anne, c. 12, it is declared, "That whereas for want of sufficient mantenance and encouragement to eurates, the cures have in several places been meanly supplied, the bishop is, therefore, empowered to appoint by writing under bis hand and seal a sufficient certain stipend or allowance, not exceeding fifty and not less than twenty pounds a year." Furty pounds' a year is reckoned at present very good pay for a curate, and not w thstanding this act of parliament, there are many curactes under twenty pounds a year. 2 There are journey. men shoemakers in London who earn forty pounds a year, and there is scarce an industrious workman of any hind in that metropolis who does not earn more than twenty. This last sum indeed does not exceed what is frequently earned by common labourers in many country parishes. Whenever the law has attempted to regulate the wages of workmen, it bas always been rather to lower thern than to raise them. But the law has upon many occasions attempted to ranse the wages of curates, and for the dignity of the church, to oblige the rectors of parishes to give them more than the wretched maintenance which they themselves might be willing to accept of. And in both cases the law seems to have been equally ineffectual, and has never either been able to raive the wages of curateq, or to sink those of labourers to the degree that was inteuded; becausa it has never been able to hinder either the one from being willing to accept of less than the legal allowance, on account of the in-: digence of their situation and the multitude ${ }^{4}$ of their competitors; or the other from receiving more, on account of the contrary competition of those who expected to derive either profit or pleasure from employing ; them.

The great benefices and other ecclesiastical dignities support the bonour of the churct, notwithstanding the mean carcumastances of some of its inferior members. The respect paid to the profession too makes some compensation even to them for the meanness of their pecuniary recompence. In England, and in all Roman Catholic countries, the lottery of the church is in reality much more advantageous than is ne cessary. The example of the churches of Scotland, of Geneva, and of several other protestant churches, may satisfy us that in so creditable a profession, in which education is so easily procured, the hopes of much

[^24]more moderate benctnces will draw a aufficient number of learned, decent, and respectable men into holy orders. ${ }^{1}$

In profestions in which there are no henefices, such as law and physic, if an equal proportion of people were educated at the public expense, the competition would soon be so great, as to sink very much their' pecuniary reward. It might then not be worth any mans while to educate his son to ether of those piofessions at his own expense. They would be entirely abandoned to such as had been educated by those public charithes, whose numbers and necessities would oblige thein in general to content themselves with a very miserable recompence, to the entire degradation of the now respectable protessions of law and physic.

Ihat unprosperous race of men, commonly called men of Jetters, are pretty much in the stuation which lawyers and physicians probably would be in upon the foregoing supposition. In every part of Europe the greater part of thern have been educated for the church, but have been hundered by diffenent reasons from entering into holy orders. They have generally, therefore, been educated at the public expence, and their numbers are everywhere so great, as commonly to reduce the price of their labour to a very paltry recompence.

Before the invention of the art of printing, the onl employment by which a man of letters could inake any thing by his talents, was that of a public or private teacher, or by communicating to other people the curious and useful knowledge which he had acf quired himself: and this is stall surely a more honourable, a more useful, and in general even a more profitable employment, than that other, of writung for a bookseller, to which the art of printing has given occasion. The time and study, the genus, knowledge, and application requisite to qualify an eminent teacher of the sciences, are at least equal to what is necessary for the greatest practitoners in law and physic. But the usual reward of the eminent teacher bears no proportion to that of the lawyer or physician; because the trade of the one is crowded with indigent people, who have ? been brought up to it at the public expense; whercas those of the other two are incumbered with very few who have not been educated at their osy. The usual recompence, however, of public and private teachers, small as it may appear, would undoubtedly be less than it is, if the competition of those

[^25]yet more indigent men of letters, who wite for bread, was not taken out of the maket. Before the invention of the art of printing, a scholar and a beggar seem to have been terms very nearly synonymous. The different governors of the unversities, before that time, appear to have often granted licences to therr scholars to beg.

In ancient times, before any charities of this knd bad been establashed for the education of indugent people to the learned yrofessions, the rewards of eminent teachers appear to have been much more considerable. Isocrates, in what is called his discource against the sophists, reproaches the teachers of his own times with meonsistency. "They make the most magnificent promses to their scholars," says he, " and undertake to teach them to be wise, to be happy, and to be just, and in return for so important a service they stupulate the paltry reward of tour or five mine. They who teach wisdom," continues he, "ought certainly to be wise themselves; but if any man were to sell such a bargan fo, such a price, he would be convicted of the most evident folly." He certumly does not mean here to exaggerate the reward, and we may be assured that it was not less than he represents it. Four minx were equal to thirteen pounds, six shillings and eght pence; five mine to sixteen pounds thirteen shallings and four pence.' Something not less than the largest of these two sums, therefore, must at that time have been usually pard to the most eminent teachers at Athens. Isocrates himself demanded ter minse, or thirty-three pounds six shilhngs and eight pence, from each scholar. Whan he taught at Athens, he is sadd to have had an hundred scholars, I understand thas to be the number whom he taught at one $t$ me, or who attended what we would call one course of lectures, a number which will not appear extraordinary, from so great a city to so famous a teacher, who taught too what was at that time the most fashonable of all sciences, rhetoric. He must have made, therefore, by each course of lectures, a thousand minæ, or 38331. 6s. 8d. A thousand minx, accordingly, is said by Plutarch in another place, to have been his didactron, or usual price of teaching. Many other eminent teachers in those times appear to have acquired great fortunes. Gorgias made a present to the temple of Delphi of his own statue in solid gold. We must not, I presume, suppose that it was as large as the life. His way of living, as well as
${ }^{2}$ Dr Staith has followed the estimate of A rbuthnot, who supposes the Attic mina to have been equivalent to $t^{* 3} 68$ d There are very cogent reasubs, how ever, for supposing that this estimate is greatly enaggerated, and that very litile reliance can ba plucd on Arbuthnot's Tables Spe Garmier, Arehesse des Natoms, tome $v$ p $40 x$, and the article Moneg in the Encycluperia Britanmed.
that of Hippias and Protagoras, two other eminent teachers of those times, is represented by Plato as splendid even to ostentacion. Plato bimself is said to have lived with a good deal of maguificence. Aristotle, after having been tutor to Alexander, and most munficently rewarded, as it is universally agreed, both by him and his father Pliilp, thought it worth while, notwithstanding, to return to Athens, in order to resume the teaching of his school. Teachers of the sciences were probably in those times less common than they came to be in an age or two afterwards, when the competition had probably somewhat reduced both the price of their labour and the admiration for their persons. The most eminent of them, however, anpear always to have enjoyed a degree of consideration much superior to any of the like profession in the piesent times. The Athenians sent Carneades the academic, and Diogenes the stoic, upon a solemn embassy to Rome; and though their city had then declined from its former grandeur, it was stall an independent and considerable nepublic. Carneades, too, was a Babylonian by birth; and as there never was a people more jealous of admitting foreigners to pubhe offices than the Athenians, their consideration for him must have been very great.

This inequality is, upon the whole, per-- haps rather advantageous than hurtful to the ipublic. It may somewhat degrade the profession of a public teacher; but the cheapness of literary education is surely an advantage which greatly overbalances this trifling inconveniency. The public too, might derive still greater benefit from it, if the constitution of those schools and colleges in which education is carried on, was more reasonable than it is at present through the greater part of Europe.

Thirdly, The polacy of Europe, by obstructing the free circulation of labour and stock both from employment to employment, and from place to place, occasions in some cases a very inconvenient inequality in the ${ }^{-}$ whole of the advantages and disadvantages of their different employments.

The statute of apprenticeship obstructs ! the free circulation of labour from one em' ployment to another, even in the same place.
The exclusive privileges of corporations obstruct it from one place to another, even in ' the same employment.

It frequently happens that while high wages are given to the workmen in one manufacture, those in another are obliged to content them,elves with bare subsistence. The one is in an adrancing state, and has, therefore, a continual demand for new hands : the other is in a declining state, and the superabundance of hands is continually in. creasing. Those two manufactures may
sometiones be in the same town, and mone. times in the same neighbourhood, whinont being able to lend the least assistance to one another, The statute of apprenticeship may oppose it in the one case, and both that and an exclusive corporation in the other. In ; many different manufactures, however, the operations are sn much alike, that the workmen couid easily change trades with one another, if those absurd laws did not hinder them. The arts of weaving plain linen and plain silk, for example, are almoot entirely the same. That of weaving plan woollen is somewhat different; but the difference is so insignificant, that either a linen or a silk weaver might become a tolerable workman in a very few days. If any of those three capital manufactures, therefore, were decaying, the workmen might find a resource in one of the other two which was in a more prosperous condition, and their wages wouh neither rise too high in the thriving, nor sink too low in the decaying manufacture The linen manufacture indeed is, in England, by a particular statute, open to every body; but as it is not much cultivated through the greater part of the country, it can afford no general resource to the workmen of other decaying manufactures, who, wherever the statute of apprenticeship takes place, have no other choice but either to come upon the parish, or to work as common labourers, for which, by thitir habits, they are much worse qualified than for any sort of manufarture that bears any resemblance to their own. They generally, there-) fore, choose to come upon the parish.

Whatever obstructs the free circulation of labour from one employment to another, ob-? structs that of stock likewise; the quantity of stock which can be employed in any branch of business depending very much upon that of the labour which can be employed in it. Corporation laws, however, give less obstrue: tion to the free circulation of stock from one place to another than to that of labour. It is every where much easier for a wealthy merehant to obtain the privilege of trading in a town corporate, than for a poor artificer to obtain that of working in it.

The obstruction which corporation laws give to the free circulation of labour is common, I believe, to every part of Europa That which is given to it by the poor law's is, so far as L know, peculiar to England. It consists in the difficulty which a poor man finds in obtainng a settlement, or even in being allowed to exercise bis induatry in any parish but that to which he belongs. It is the labour of artificers and manufacturers only of which the free circulation is obstructed by corporation laws. The dificuity of obtaining settlements ubstructs even that of common labour. It may be worth while to give some account of the nise progreas
and present state of this dismder. the greatest, perbaps, of any in the police of England

When by the deatruction of monasteries the poor had been deprived of the clarity of those relighous houses, after some other meflictual attempts for their reluef, it was enacted by the $43 d$ of Ehazeth, c. 2., that every parish slould be bound to provide for us own poor; and that overveers of the poor should be annually apponted, who, with the churchwardens, should raise by a parish rate competent sums tor this purpose.

By this statute, the necessity of providing for their own poor was indispensably imposed upon every parish. Who were to be conssdered as the poor of each paish, became, therefore, a question of some importance. This quection, after some varidtion, was at last determmed by the 13th and 14 th of Ch.rries II., when it was enacted, that forty days' undisturbed residence should gain any person a settlement in any parish, but that within that the it should be lawful for two justices of the peace, upon complant made by the churchwardens or oversteers of the poor, to remove any new inhabitant to the parish where he was last legally setrlod, unless he euther rented a tenematat of ten pounds a year, or con'd give such security for the diseljarge of the parish where he was then inving, as those justices should judge sufficient. .

Some frauds, it is said, were committed in consequence of this statute; parish officers sometumes bribing their own poor to go chandestmely to another parsh, and by keeping themselves concealed for forty days to gain a settlement there, to the dischange of that to whech they properly belonged. It was enu ted, therefore, by the Ist of James II. that the forty days' undisturbed residence of any person necessary to gan a settlement, should be accounted only from the time of his delivering notice in writing, of the place of his abode and the number of has family, to one of the churchatardens or overseers of the parish where he came to dwell.

But parsh officers, it seems, were not alwas 5 more honest wh regard to their own, than they had been with regard to other parishes, and sometimes connived at such intrusions, receiving the notice, and aking no proper steps in consequence of it. As every person in a parish, therefore, was upposed to have an interest to prevent as much as possible their beng burdened by such intruders, it was further enacted by the Sd of Wilham III. that the forty days' resudence should be accounted only fiom the publication of such notuce in writing on Sunday in the cluurch, ammedately after divine service.
"After all," says Doctor Burn, "this kind of settlement, by continuing forty das after
publicarion of nutice in writiog, is wery seldom obtained; and the design of the acts is not so much for gaming of settlements, as for the avording of them, by persons coming into a parash clandestinely. for the giving of notice is only putting a force upon the parish to remove. But if a person's shtuation is such, that it is doubtful whether he is actually removable or not, he shall by giving of notice compel the parish either to allow him a settlement uneontested, by suffering him to continue forty days; or, by removing him, to try the right."

This statute, therefore, rendered it almost impracticable for a poor man to gain a new settlement in the ofd way, by forty ddys inhabitancy. But that it might not appear to preclude altogether the common people of one parish from ever establishing theinselves with security in another, it apponted four other ways by which a settlement might be gamed without any notice delivered or pubished. The first was, by,beng taxed to parish rates and paying them: the second, by being elected into an annual parish othce, and serving in it a yoz: , the theus, by serving an nurenticeship in the parish; the iourth, by being hired into service there for a sear, and continuing in the same scrvice during the whole of it.

Nobody can gain a settlement by ether or the two first ways, but by the public deed ot the whole parish, who are too well aware ot the consequences to adopt any new comer who has nothing but his labour to support him, etther by taxing hin to parish rates, or by electing him into a parsh office.

No married man can well gan any settlement in etther of the two last ways. An apprentice is scarce ever married; and it is expressly enacted, that no married servant shall gan any settlenent by being hared for a year. The proncipal cflect of introducing settlement by service, has been to put out in a great measure the old fashion of hiring for a year, which before had been so customary in England, that even at this day, if no partucular term is agreed upon, the law intends that every servant is hred for a year. Hut masters are not always willing to give thers sem vants a settlement by hiring them in this manner; and servants are not always willing to be so hred, because, as every last settlement discharges all the foregoing, they might thereby lose their orifmal settlement in the places of therr nativity, the habuation of their parents and relations.

No independent workman ${ }_{2}$ it is evident, whether labourer or artificer, is thely to gau any new settlement ether by apprenticeship or by sertice. When such a person, therefore, carried his industry to a new parish, he was hable to be removed, how healthy and industrious soever, at the caprice of ar ${ }_{j}$ churchwarden or overseer, unlees the either
rented a tenement of ten pounds a year, a thing impossibie for one who has nuthing but his labour to live by; or could give such security for the duscharge of the parish as two justices of the peace should judge sufficient. What security they shall require, indeed; is left altogether to their discretion; but they cannot well require less than thirty pounds, it having been enacted, that the purchase even of a freehold estate of less than thirty pounds value, shall not gain any person a settlement, as not being sufficient for the discharge of the parish. But this is a security which scarce any man who lives by labour can give; and much greater security is frequently demanded.

In order to restore in some measure that free circulation of labour which those different statutes had almost entirely taken away, ithe invention of certificates was fallen upon. By the 8th and 9th of William III. it was enacted, that if any person should bring a certificate from the parish where he was last legally settled, subscribed by the churchwardens and overseers of the poor, and allowed by two justices of the peace, that every other parish should be obliged to receive him; that he should not be removable merely upon account of his being likely to become chargeable, but only upon his becoming actually chargeable, and that then the parish which granted the certificate should be obliged to pay the expense both of his maintenance and of his removal. And in order to give the more perfect security to the parish where such certificated man should come to reside, it was further enacted by the same statute, that he should gain no settlement there by any means whatever, escept either by renting a tenement of ten pounds a year, or by serving upon his own account in an annual parish office for one whole year ; and consequently neither by notice, nor by sercice, nor by apprenticeship, nor by paying parish rates. By the 12 th of Queen Anne too, stat. 1. c. 18., it was further enacted, that neither the servants nor apprentices of such certificated man should gain any settlement in the parish where he resided under such certificate.

How far this invention has restored that free circulation of labour which the preceding statutes had almost entirely taken away, we may learn from the following very judicious observation of Doctor Burn. "It is obvious" says he, "that there are divers good reasons for requiring certificates with persons coming to settle in any place; namely, that persons residing under them can gain no settlement, neither by apprenticeship, nor by service, nor by giving notice,

[^26]nor by paying parish rateq; that they cav settle nether apprentices ror servants; that if they become chargeable, it is certanny known whither to remove them, and the parish shall be paid for the removal, and tor there maintenance in the mean thme; and that if they fall sick, and cannot be removed, the parish which gave the certificate must maintain them; none of all which can be without a certificate. Which reasons will hold proportionably for parishes not granting certificates in ordinary cases; for it is far more than an equal chance, but that they will have the certificated persons again, and in a worse condition." The moral of thas observation seems to be, that certificates ought always to be required by the parish where any foor man comes to reside, and that they ought very seldom to be granted by that which be proposes to leave. "There is somewhat of hardship in this matter of certificates," says the same very intelligent author in his History of the Poor Laws, " by putting it in the power of a parish off. cer to imprison a man as it were for life; however inconvenient it may be for him to continue at that place where he has had the misfortune to acquire what is called a settlement, or whatever advantage he may propuse to himself by living elsewhere." ${ }^{1}$

Though a certificate carries along with it no testimonial of good behaviour, and certifies nothing but that the person belongs to the parish to which he really does belong, it is altogether discretionary in the parish officers either to grant or to refuse it. A mandamus was once moved for, says Doctor Burn, to compel the churchwardens and overseers to sign a certificate, but the Court of King's Beach rejected the motion as a very strange attempt.

The very unequal price of labour which we frequently find in England in places at no great distance from one another, is probably owing to the obstruction which the law of settlements gives to a poor man who would carry his industry from one parish to another without a certificate. A single man, indeed, who is healthy and industrous, may sometimes reside by sufferance without one; but a man with a wife and famuly who should attempt to do so, would in most parishes be sure of being removed; and if the single man should afterwards marry, he would generally be removed likewise. The scarcity of hands in one parish, therefore, cannot always be relieved by their superabundance in another, as it is constantly in Scotland, and, I believe, in all other countries where there is no difficulty of settlement. In such countries, though wages may sometimes
afterwards be removable from the parish or place Which they inhabited, to the place of thetr inct Jfgal settiement, uneil they accuaily becprane charreoable.
rise a little in the neighbourhood of a great town, or wherever else there is an extraordinary demoud for labour, and sink gradually as the dhtance from such places increases, till they fall back to the cominon rate of the country; yet we never meet with those sudden and unaccomatable differcaces in the wages of neighbouring places which we sometimes find in England, where it is often more difficult for a poor man to pass the artificial boundary of a parbh, than an arm of the sca or a ridge of high mountains, natural bonndarles which sumetomes separate very divtinetly different rates of wages in other countrics.

To remose a man who has committed no misdemeanour from the parish where he chooves to reside, is an evadent violation of natural hiberty and jusiace. The common people of England, however, so jealous of ther hiberty, but like the common people of most other countrics, never rightly understanding wherein it consists, have now for more than a century torether suffured themselves to be exposed to this oppression with out a remedy. Though men of reflection, too, hase sometumes complained of the law of settlements as a public gricvance, yet it has never been the object of any general popular clamour, such as that against general warrants, an abusive practice undoubtedly, but such a one as was not likely to occasion any gencial oppression. There is scarce a poor man in England of forty years of age I will venture to say, who has not in some part of his life felt himself most cruelly oppresed by this ill-contrived law of settlements:

I shall conclude this long chapter with obse ving, that though anciently it was usual to rate wage-s, first by general laws extending over the whole kmgitom, and afterwards by particular orders of the justices of peace in every particular county, both these practices have now gone entircly into dianse. "By the experience of above four hundred years," sals Doctor Burn, "it seems time to lay aivide all endeavours to briag under strict regulations what in its own nature seems incepable of minute limitation: for if all persons in the sume kind of work were to receive equal wages, there would be no emulation, nud no room left for industry or ingenuity ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
l'artheular acts of Parliament, however, still attempt sometimes to regulate wages in partrular trades and in particular places.

1 Dr Smith his buen accused of exaqperiting the permismenseffects of the laws respecting settenents, prad the thase is perthus, to a certain extent, well
 orutical But botwithstanding the mprovement thered in these laws by the act of circhicatis, and prevetiting the rorctisle remenal of the poor uitil they actually bet une chargeathe, they crutineed to give rise to a sayt doal of litigation. Thi expences of actions at law rog widug eetulements and remorals, presionsly to the change in the poor wwe in 1ss4, were sediom less thea trom $\boldsymbol{x}^{3} 300,000$ to

Thus the Bth of George III. prohibuts under heavy penalties, all master talors in London, and five males round 1 t, from givug, and their workmen from accepting, more than two shillings and seven-pence halfpenny a day, except in the case of a general mourning Whenever the legislature attempts to regulate the differences between masters and their workmen, its counsellors are always the masters. When the regulation, therefore, is in favour of the workmen, it is alwaye just and equitable; but it is sometimes otherwise when in favour of the masters. Thus the law which obliges the masters in several different trades to pay their workmen in money and not in goods, is quite just and equiable. It imposes no real hardship upon the masters; it only obliges them to pay that value in money. which they pietended to pay, but did not always really pay, in goods. This law is in favour of the workmen; but the 8th of George III. is in favour of the masters. When masters combine together in order to reduce the wages of their workmen, they commonly enter into a private bond or agreement, not to give more than a certain wage under a certain penalty. Were the workmen to enter into a contrary combination of the same kind, not to accept of a certain wage under a certain penalty, the law would punish them very severely; and if it cealt impartially, it would treat the masters in the same manner. But the sth of George 1II. enforces by law that very regulation which masters sometnos attempt to establish by such combinations. The complaint of the worknen, that it puts the ablest and most industrious upon the same footing with an ordinary workman, scems perfectly well founded. ${ }^{2}$

In ancient tumes, too, it nas usual to attempt to regulate the profits of merchants and other dealers, by rating the price both of provisions and other goods. The assize of bread is, so far as I know, the only remnant of this ancient usage. Whete there is an exclusive corporation, it may, perhaps, be proper to regulate the price of the first necessary of lite; but where there is none, the competition wall requlate it much better than any assize. The method of fixing the assure of bread, established by the 31st of George II , could not be put in practice in Scotland, on account of a defect in the law; its exccution depending upon the office of elerk of the market, whach does not exist
f350, MO a year! So long indeed as a srstem of compulsory proviston for the support of the poor exists, parisbes will naturally be exceedingiv averse frum allowing a poor man to nbtain a bettlement. and wid be dasposed to throw every obstacie in his \#ay
ay ruese, and all other lawy for the regulation of wapes, were repealed by the $\overline{5}$ Geo IV cap 95 : mastres and workmen may now enter into voluntary cominnations for the purpose of depressing or ratsing wages.
there. This defect was not remedied till the Sd of George III. The, want of en assize occasioned no sensible inconveniency, and the establishment of one, in the few places where it has yet taken place, has produced no sensible advantage. In the greater part of the towns of Scotland, however, there is an incorporation of bakers who claim exclusive privileges, though they are not very strictly guarded. ${ }^{1}$

The proportion between the different rates both of wages and profit in the different employments of labour and stock, seems not to be much affected, as has already been observed, by the riches or poverty, the advancing, stationary, or declining state of the society. Such revolutions in the public welfare, though they affect the general rates both of wages and profit, must in the end affect them equally in all different employments. The proportion between them, therefore, must remain the same, and cannot well be altered, at least for any considerable time, by any such revolutions.

CHAP. XI.
Of the Rent of Land. ${ }^{2}$
Rent, considered as the price paid for the use of land, is naturally the highesr which the tenant can afford to pay in the actual $\mid$ circumstances of the land. In adjusting the terms of the lease, the landlord endeavours to leave him no greater share of the produce than what is sufficient to keep up the stock from which he furnishes the seed, pays the labour, and purchases and maintains the cattle and other instruments of husbandry, together with the ordinary profits of farming stock in the neighbourhood. This is evidently the smallest share with which the tenant can content himself without being a loser, and the landlord seldom means to leave him any more. Whatever part of the
${ }^{1}$ The laws relating to the assize and price of bread In London and its environs, were repealed by a local set passed in 1815 ( 55 Geo III. cap 19). And those relating to the asbise and price of bread out of London, are now very rarely acted upon.
2 The variety and interest of its detalls and disquisitions render this chapter well worthy of an attentive perusal; but, considered as an exposition of the nature, origin, and causes of rent, it is altogether defective The qundamental position ladd down by Dr. Smith, that there are certain species of produce that always yield reat, is contradicted by The widest and most comprehensive experience. Were such the case, rents would always exist, whereas they are minformig unknown in the earker stages of soelety The truth is, that rent is eotirely a consequence of the decressing productiveness of the sonls successively brought under cultavation as anciety advances, or rather of the decreasing productiveness of the capitals auccessively applied to them. It is never heard of in newly settled couptries, ouch as Now lloLand, lihmois, or Indiana, nor in any country where none but the best of the good soils are cultivated it onty begins to appear when cultivation has been extended to inferior lands; and it increases aveording to the extent to which they are brought
produce, or, what is the same thing, whatever part of its price, is over and above this share, he naturally endeavours to reserve to himself as the rent of his land, which is evidently the highest the tenant can affurd to pay in the actual circumstanets of the land. Sometimes, indeed, the liberality, more frequently the ignorance, of the landlord, makes him accept of somewhat leas than this portion; and sometimes too, though more rarely, the ignotance of the tenant makes him undertake to pay some, what more, or to content himself with somewhat less than the ordinary profite of farmug stock in the neighbourhood. Thas portion, however, may still be considered as the natural rent of land, or the rent for which it is naturally meant that land should for the most part be let.

The rent of land, it may be thought, is. frequently no more than a reasondble profit: or interest for the stock laid out by the landlord upon its improvement. This, no doubt, may be partly the case upon some occasions, for it can scarce ever be more than partly the case. 3 The landlord demands a rent even for unimproved land, and the suppused in-1 terest or profit upon the expense of improvement is generally an addition to this orginal ! rent. Those improvements, besides, are not always made by the stock of the landord, but sometimes by that of the tenant. When the lease comes to be renewed, however, the landlord commonly demands the same aug-* mentation of rent af if they had been all made by his own.

He sometimes demands rent for what is altogether incapable of human improvement. Kelp is a species of sea-weed, wheh, when burnt, yields an alkaline salt, useful fur making glass, soap, and for several other purposes. It grows in several parts of Great Britain, particularly in Scotland, upon such rocks only as lie within the high water mark, which are twice every day covered with the sea, and of which the produce, therefore, was
under tillage, and diminishet secording an their under tiliage, and diminishet scomoting as their
culture is relimquished. Nether id it true, gat in culture is relimquished. Nother is it true, gh if
assumed in every part of the Wealth of Nations, thas rent enters into and forms a constituent part of ehe cost or price of raw produce; for that is determitued by the cost of producing that portion of the reguired supply that is raised under the most unfavoriratila circurrstances, or by the agency of the capital last applied to the land i and it bas been shown over and over again that neither this capital, nop its fromituce ever pays any reot. But the reader is referred for fall exposition of what appear to be the truedoctrines fall exposition of what appear to be the true doctriop
with respect to rent, to the note on that subject ot the end of the volume.
s The rent of land, property $t o$ called, is the etrm paid for the use of the nataral and trherent powert of the soil, and is entirely distinct from the mim pard on account of buildinge, roads fences, or orthey tm provements eflected upon it The latter is plannly the profit on, or return tor, the capital lami out on the pront on, or seturn tor, the capita
and. Practiodily these sums are uniformis almost confounded, as they bave been in this instance by Dr Smith, wnder the general terms rent. But they are essentially distinct, and should be wo comarles. ed in every inquiry of this eort.
never augmented by human industry. The landlord, however, whose estate is bounded
I by a kelp shore of this kind, demands a rent for it as much as for his corn felds.

The sea in the neighbourhood of the islands of Shetland is more than commonly abundant in fish, which make a great part of the subsustence of their inhabitants. But it. order to profte by the produce of the water, they must have a habitation upon the neghbourting land The rent of the lundlord is 10 proportion, not to what the farmer cant make by the land, but to what he can mahe both by the land and by the water. It is partly pard m sea-fich; and one of the vely few instances in which rent makes a part of the price of that commodity, is to be fuund in that country.
1 The rent of Jand, therefore, considered as the price pald for the use of the land, is , naturally a monopoly price It is not at all proportioned to what the landiond may have lad out uion the mprovement of the land, or to what he can afford to take; but to what ithe farmer can afford to give.

Such parts only of the produce of land can conmonly be brouglit to market, of whech the ondinary price is sufficient to replace the stock whach must be employed in oringing them thither, together with its ordinary protits. If the ordinary price is more than thas, the surplus part of it will naturally go to the rent of the land. If it is not mone, though the commodity may be brought to market, it can afford no rent to the landlord. Whether the price is, or is not more, depends upon the demand.

There are some parts of the produce of land for whel the demand must always be such is to afford a greater price than what is suthewent to bring them to market; and there are others for which it etther may or may not be such as to afford this greater price. T The former must always afford a rent to the landlord - the latter sometimes may, and sometumes may not, according to different excumbtances.

Rent, it is to be observed, therefore, enters into the composition of the price of commodities in a different way from wages and profit. High or low wages and profit are the causes of higls or low price; high or low rent is the effert of it, 1 It is because high or low wages and profit must be pard in order to bring a partucular commodity to market, that its price is high or low. But it is because ats price is high or low, a great deal more, or very little more, or no more, than what is sufticient to pay those wayes and profit, that it aftords a high rent, or a low rent, ur no rent at all.

The particular consideration, first, of those
1 That high or low rent is the eflict and ant the fause of hugh or low price, is a true and most imI portatit privicyple.
parts of the produce of land wheh always afford some rent; secondly, of those which sonietimes may and sometimes may not afforid rent; and thardly, of the varations which, in the different periods of improvement, naturally take place, in the relative value of those two different sorts of rude produce, when compared both with one another and with manufactured commodities, will divide this chapter into three parts.

## Part I.

Of the Produce of Land uhich always affords Rent.
As men, like all other anmals, naturully multiply in proportion to the means of their subsistence, food is always, more or less, in demand. It can always purchase or command a greater or smaller quantity of labour, and somebody can always be found who is willing to do something in order to obtann it. The quantity of labour, mdeed, which it can purchase, is not alvays equal to what it could maintain, if managed in the roost economical manner, on account of the high wages which are sometimes given to labour But it can always purchase such a quantity of labour as it can maintann, according to the rate at which that sort of labour 14 commonly mantaned in the nerghbourhood.

But land, in almost any situation, produces a greater quantity of food than what is sufficent to maintain all the labour necessary for bringing it to market, in the, most liberal way in which that labour isever maintained. The surplus, too, is always, more than sufficient to replace the stock which employed that labour, together with, its profits. Something, therefore, always. remains for a rent to the landlord.

The most desert moors in Norway and Scotland produce some sort of pasture for cattle, of which the malk and the merease are always more than sufficient, not only to maintain all the labour necessary for tending them, and to pay the ordinary proft to the farmer or owner of the herd or flock, but to afford some small rent to the landlord. The rent increases in proportion to the goodness of the pasture. The same extent * of ground not only maintans a greater number of cattle, but as they are brought within a smaller compass, less labour becomes requisite to tend them, and to collect their produce. The landlord gains both ways; by the increase of the produce, and by the diminution of the labour which must be maintained out of it.

The rent of land not only varies with its! fertility, whatever be its produce, but with ? its situation, whatever be its fertility. Land

* For some observations on tins statement, sea supplenaental note on Fient.
in the neighbourhood of a wown gives a greater rent than land equally fertile in a distant part of the country. Though it may cost no more labour to cultivate the one than the other, it must always cost more to bring the produce of the distant land to markrt. A greater quantity of labour, therefore, must be maintained out of it; and the surplus, from which are drawn both the profit of the farmer and the rent of the landlord, must be diminished. But in remote parts of the country the rate of profits, as has already been shown, is generally higher than in the neighbourhood of a large town. A smaller proportion of this dimınished surplus, therefore, must belong to the landlord.

Good roads, canals, and navigable rivers, by diminishing the expense of carriage, put the remote parts of the country more near'; upon a level with those in the neighbourhood of the town. They are upon that account the greatest of all improvements. They encourage the cultivation of the remote, which must always be the most extensive, circle of the country. They are advantageous to the town, by breaking down the monopoly of the country in its neighbourhood. They are advantageous even to that part of the country. Though they introduce some rival commodities into the old market, they open many new markets to its produce. Monopoly, besides, is a great enemy to good management, which can never be universally established but in consequence of that free and universal competition which forces every body to have recourse to it for the sake of self-defence. It is not more than fifty years ago, that some of the counties in the neighbourhood of London petitioned the parliament against the extension of the turapike roads into the remoter counties. Those remoter counties, they pretended, from the cheapness of labour, would be able to sell their grass and corn cheaper in the London market than themselves, and would thereby reduce their rents, and ruin their cultivation. Theis rents, however, have risen, and their Eultivation has been improved since that time.

A corn field of moderate fertility produces 3 much greater quantity of food for man than the best pasture of equal extent. Though its cultivation requires much more labour, yet the surplus which remains after replacing the seed and maintaining all that labour, is likewise much greater. If a pound of butcher's meat, therefore, was never supposed to be worth more than a pound of bread, this greater surplus would everywhere be of greater value, and constitute a greater fund both for the profit of the farmer and the rent of the landlord. It seems to have done so universally in the rude beginnings of agriculture.

But the relative values of thees two different species of food, bread ana butcher's meat, are very dufferent in the different periods of agriculture. In ats rude beginumgs the unimproved wilds, which then occupy the far greater part of the country, are all abandoned to cattle. There is more but. cher's meat than bread, and bread, therefore, is the food for which there is the greatent competition, and which consequently brings the greatest price. At Buenos Ayreq, we are told by Ulloa, four reals (one-and-twenty pence halfpeuny sterling), was, forty or fifty years ago, the ordinary price of an ox, chosen from a herd of two or three hundred. He says nothing of the price of bread, probably because he found nothing remarkable about it. An ox there, he says, costs littie more than the labour of catching him. But corn can nowhere be raised without a great deal of labour ; and in a country which hers upon the river Plate, at that tune the direct road from Europe to the silver mines of Potosi, the money price of labour could not be very cheap. It is otherwise when cultivation is extended over the greater part of the country. There is then more bread than butcher's meat. The competition changes its direction, and the price of butcher's meat becomes greater than the price of bread

By the extension besides of cultivation, the unimproved wilds become insufficient to supply the demand for butcher's meat. A great part of the cultivated lands must be employed in rearing and fattening catle, of which the price, therefore, must be sufficient to pay, not only the labour necessary for tending them, but the rent which the landlord and the profit which the farmer could have drawn from such land employed in tillage. The cattle bred upon the most uncultivated moors, when brought to the same market, are, in proportion to their weight or goodness, sold at the same price as thooe which are reared upon the most improved land. The proprietors of those moors profit by it, and raise the rent of their land in proportion to the price of their cattle. It is not more than a century ago that in many parts of the highlands of Scotiand, butcher's meat was as cheap or cheaper than even bread made of oatmeal. The Union opened the market of England to the highland cattle. Their ordinary price is at present about three times greater than at . the beginning of the century, and the rents of many highland estates have been truled and quadrupled in the same tume. In almost every part of Great Britain a pound of the best buteher's meat is, in the present times, genemaly worth more than two pounds of the best white bread; end in plentiful yeans it is sometimes worth three of four pounds

It is thus that in the progress of improve. ment the rent and profit of unmproved pasture come to be regulated in some measure by the rent and profit of what is improved, and these again by the rent and profit of corn. Corn is an annual crop; butcher's meat a crop which requics four or five years to grow. As an acre of land, therefore, will produce a much smaller quantity of the one spectes of food than of the other, the infertority of the quantity must be compensated by the superionty of the price. If it was more than compensated, more corn land would be turned uto pasture; and if it was not compensated, part of what was in pasture would be brought back into corn.

This equality, however, between the rent and profit of grass and those of corn, of the land of which the immediate produce is food for cattle, and of that of which the immediate produce is food for men, wust be understood to take place only through the greater part of the mproved lands of a great country. In some particular local situations it is quite otherwise, and the rent and profit of grass are much superior to what can be made by corn.

Thus in the neighbourhood of a great town the demand for milk and for forage to hoises frequently contribute, together with the high price of butcher's meat, to raise the value of grass above what may be called its inatural proportion to that of com. This local advantage, it is evdent, camot be communicated to the lands at a distance.

Particular carcumstances have sometines rendered some countries so populous, that the whole territory, like the lands in the netghbourhood of a great town, has not been sufhcient to produce both the grass and the corn necessary for the subsistence of their uhabitants. Their lands, therefore, have been principally employed in the production of grass, the more bulky commodity, and which cnnnot be so easily brought from a great distance; and corn, the food of the great body of the people, has been chiefly inponted from foreign countries. Holland is at present in this situation, and a considerable part of ancient Italy seems to have been so during the prosperity of the Romans. To feed well, old Cato said, as we are told by Cicero, was the first and most prohtable thing in the management of a private estate; to feed tolerably nell, the second; and to feed ill, the third. To pluugt, he ranked oniy in the founth place of protit and advantare. Tillage, indeed, in that part of ancient Italy which lay in the neighbouthood of Rome, must have becn wiy wuch disconrased by the distributions of corn which were frequently made to the peoples etther gratuitouly, or at a very low price Thus conn was brought trom the conquered provneces, of which several, instead of taxe, were obliged
to furnish a tenth part of their produce at a stated price, about sixpence a peck, to the republic. The low price at which thes corn was distributed to the people, must necessarily have sunk the pige of whit cuuld be brought to the I'oman maket from Latium, or the ancient territary of Roms, and must have dise suraged ass cultivation in that country.

In an open country too, of which the principal produce is corn, a well-inelosed' piece of giass will frequently rent h.gher than any corn field in its nerghbourhood. It is conveaient for the mamtenarice of the cattle erraployed in the cultivation of the corn, and its high rent is, in this case, not so properly paid from the value of its own produce, as from that of the corn lands which are cultivated by means of it. It is likely to fall, if ever the neighbouring lands are completely inclosed. The present high rent of melosed land in Scotland seems owing to the scarcty of inclosure, and will probably last no longer than that scarcity. The advantage of inclosure is greater for pasture than for com. It saves the labour of guarding the cattle, which feed bettet too when they are not liable to be distubed by their heeper or his dog.

But where there is no local advantage of this kind, the rent and profit of corn, or whatever else is the common vegetable food of the people, must naturally regulate, upon the land which is fit for producing it, the rent and profit of pasture.

The use of the artificial grasses, of turnips, carrots, cabbages, and the other expedients which have been fallen upon to make an equal quantity of land feed a greater number of cattle than when in datural grass, should somewhat reduce, it might be expected, the superiority which, in an improsed country, the price of butcher's meat naturally has over that of bread. It seems accordingly to have done so ; and there is some reason for beleving that, at least in the London market, the prue of butcher's meat, in proportion to the price of bread, is a good deal lower in the present times than it was in the begmning of the last century.

In the appendix to the life of prince Henry, Doctor Birch bas given us an account of the prices of butcher's meat as commonly pard by that prince. It is there sald, that the four quarters of an ox werghing six bundred pounds usually cost hm nine pounds ten shulings, or thereabouts; that is, thirty-one shillings and eight-pence per hundred pounds weight. Prince Henry died on the 6 th of November 1612, in the nineteenth year of his age.

In march 1764 there was a parliamentary inquiry into the causes of the high price of provisions at that tume. It was then, among other proof to the same purpose, given in
evidence by a Virginia merchant, that in March 1763 he had victualled his ships for twenty-four or twenty-five shillings the hundred weight of beef, which he considered as the ordinary price; 'whereas, in that dear year, he had paid twenty-seven shillings for the s.ime weight and sort. This high price in 1764 is, however, four shillings and eightpence cheaper than the ordinary price paid by prince Henry; and it is the best beef only, it must be observed, which is fit to be salted for those distant voyages.

The price paid by prince Henry amounts to $3 z_{3} d$. per pound weight of the whole carcase, coarse and choice pieces taken together; and at that rate the choice pieces could not have been sold by retail for less than $4 \frac{1}{2} d$, or 50 the pound.

In the pariamentary inquiry in 1764, the witnesses stated the price of the choice pieces of the best beef to be to the consumer $4 d$. and $4 \frac{d}{d}$. the pound, and the coarse pieces in general to be from seven farthings to 21 d . and 23 d ; and this they said was in general one halfpenny dearer than the same sort of pieces had usually been sold in the month of March. But even this high price is still a good deal cheaper than what we can well suppose the ordinary retail price to have been in the time of prince Henry.

During the twelve first years of the last century, the average price of the best wheat at the Windsor market was IL. I8s. 3fd. the quarter, of nine Winchester bushels.

But in the twelve years preceding 1764, including that year, the average price of the same measure of the lest wheat in the same market was 2L. 1s. 9fd.
In the twelve first years of the last century, therefore, wheat appears to have been a good deal cheaper, and butcher's meat a good desl dearer, than in the twelve years preceding 1764 , including that year.

In all great countries the greater part of the cultivated lands are employed in producing either food for men or food for catthe. The rent and profit of these mgulate the rent and profit of all other cultivated land. If any particular produce afforded less, the land would soon be turned into corn or pasture; and if any afforded more, some part of the lands in corn or pasture would soon be turned to that produce.
Those productions, indeed, which require either a greater original expense of improvement, or a greater annual expense of cultivation, in order to fit the land for them, ap; pear commonly to afford, the one a greater rent, the $\rho$ ther a greater profit, than corn or

- pasture. This superiority, however, will seldom be found to amount to more than a reasonable interest or compensation for this superior expense.

In a hop garden, a fruit garden, a kitchen garden, both the rent of the landlord, and
the profit of the farmer, are generally greate than in a corn or grass beld. But te bring the ground into this condition to quires more expense. Hence a greater ren becomes due to the landlord. It require too a more attentive and skulful manage ment. Hence a greater profit becomes du to the farmer. The croy, too, at least il the hop and fruit garden, is more precurious. Its price, therefore, besides comperssating all occasional losses, muat afford something like the profit of insurance. The circumstances of gardeners, generully mean, and always moderate,' may satisfy us that their great ingenuity is not commonly overrecompensed. Their delightful art is practised by so many rich people for annusement, that little advantage is to be made by those who practise it for profit; because the persons who should naturally be their best customers, supply themselves with all their most precious productions

The advantage which the landlord derives from such improvemente swems at no time to have been greater than what was sufficient to compensate the original expense of making them. In the ancient husbandry, after the vineyard, a well-watered kitchen garden seeras to have been the part of the farm which was aupposed to yield the most valuable produce. But Democritus, who Wrote upon husbandry about two thousand years ago, and who was regarded by the ancients as one of the fathers of the art, thought they did not act wisoly who inclosed a kitchen garden. The profit, he aad, would not compensate the expense of a stone wall; and bricks (he meant, I suppose, bricks baked in the sun) mouldered with the rain, and the winter storm, and required continual repairs. Columella, who reports this judgment of Democritus, does not controvert it; but proposes a very frugal method of enclosing with a hedge of brambles and briars which, he says, he had found by experience to be both a lasting and an impenetrable fence; but which, it seems, was not commonly known in the time of Demoentus, Palladius adopts the opinion of Columeila, which had before been recommended by Varro. In the judgraent of those ancient improvers, the produce of a kitchen garden had, it seems, been little more than sufhcient to pey the extraordinary culture and the ex. pense of watering; for in countries so near the sun, it was thought proper, in those times as in the present, to have the cotrmand of a stream of water, which could be conducted to every bed in the garden. Through the greater part of Europe, a kitchen garden is not at present supposed $\omega$ deserve a better enclosure than that recondmended by Columella. In Great Britain, and some other northern countrics, the finer fruits cannot be brought to perfection but
by the assistance of a wall. Their price, therefore, in such countries must be suftcont to pay the expense of buiding and mantanng what they cannot be hed without. The fruit-wall frequently surrounds tie kitchen garden, which thus enjoys the benefit of an anclusure which its own produce could seldom pay for.

That the viney ord, when properly planted and brought to perfection, was the most valuable part of the tirm, seems to have been an undoubted maxim in the ancient agnculture, as it is the modern through all the wne countries. But whether it was sdvantogeous to plant a new vineyard, was a matter of dospute among the ancient Italian Invbandmen, as we learn from Colunella Ite diodes hke a true lover of all currous cultastion, in favour of the vineyard, and endeavours to show, by a comparison of the proht and expense, that it was a most advantigeous improvement. Such comparisons, however, bitween the profit and expense of new projects, are commonly very fallacious, and in nothing more so than in agreulture. Had the gan actually made by such plantatuons been commonly as great as he imaguted it might have been, there could have been no dispute about it. The same point is frequently at this day a matter of controversy in the wine countries. Their writers on arriculture, indeed, the lovers and promoters of high cultasation, seem generally disposed to decide with Columella, m favour of the vuncyard. In France the anxicty of the propretors of the old nneyards to prevent the planting of myy new ones, seems to hivour their opmion, and to indicate a consciunsness in those who must have the experience, that thes spectes of cultavation is at present in that country nore profitable than any other. It scems at the same time, however, to mdicate another opimon, that this superior profit can last no longer than the laws which at present restran the free cultivation of the vine. In 1731, they obtanned an order of council, prohbiting both the planting of new vineyards, and the renewal of those old ones, of which the cultavation had been mterrupted for two years, without a particular permussion from the king, to be granted only in consequence of an uformation from the intendant of the province, certifytag that he had examuned the land, and that it was incapable of any other culture. The pretence of thas order was the scancity of corn and pasture, and the superatumdance of wite liut had this superabundance been real, it would, without any order of counchl, have effectually presented the plantation of new van vards, by reducing the profits of this speces of cultivation below thear nam tural propurtion to those of corn and pasture. With regard to the supposed searctiy of corn uccasioned by the multiolication of
vintyards, corn is nothere fingrancedripe.
 vinces, where the land is tof for prodising
 Languedoc. The numerous handstentindy in the one species of cultivation necessarily encourage the other, by affording $\varepsilon$ ready market for its produce. To dimmish the number of those who are capable of paying for 1t, is surely a most unprommsing expedient for encouraging the cultivation of corn It is lihe che policy which would promote agriculture by discouraging manufactures.

The rent and protit of tbose productions, therefore, which require ether a greater ortginal expense of improvement in order to fit the land for them, or a greater annual expense of cultivation, though often much supernor to those of corn and pasture, yet when they do no more than compencate such extraordnary expense, are in reality rogulated by the rent and profit of those coummon crops:

It sometimes happens, indeed, that the quantity of land which can be fitted for some particular produce, is too small to supply the effectual demand. The whole produce can be disposed of to those who are willing to give somewhat more than what is sufficient to pay the whole rent, wages, and profit neeessary for rasing and bringing it to market according to their natural rates, or accordng to the rates at which they are pand in tlie greater part of other cultivated land. The surplus part of the price which remanns ater defraying the whole expense of improvement and cularation may commonly, in this case, and in this case only, bear no regular proportion to the like surplus in eorn or pasture, but may exceed it in almost any degree; and the greater part of this excess naturally goes to the rent of the landlord.

The usual and matural proportion, for example, between the rent and profit of wine and those of corn and pasture, must be understood to take place only with regard to those vneyards which produce nothing but good common wine, such as can be rased almost any Where, upon any light, gravelly, or sandy soil, and which has nothing to recommend it but its strength and wholesomeness. It is with such vineyards only that the common land of the country can be brought into competition; for with those of a peculiar quality it is evident that it camnot.

The vine is more affected by the dafference of soils than any other frust tree. From some it denves a flavour which no culture or management can equal, it is supposed, upon any other. This thavour, real or magmary, is sometmes peculiar to the produce ot a few vineyards; sometimes it extends through the greater part of a small district, and sometimes through a considerable part of a large province. The whole quantity of such wines
that is brought to market falls short of the effectual demand, or the demand of those who would be willing to pay the whole rent, profit and wages necessary for preparing and bringing them thither, according to the ordinary rate, or according to the rate at which they afe paid in common vineyards. The whole quantity, therefore, can be disposed of to those who are willing to pay more, which necessarily raises the price above that of common wine. The difference is greater or less, according as the fashionableness and scarcity of the wine render the competition of the buyers more or less eager. Whatever it be, the greater part of it goes to the rent of the landlord. For though such vineyards are in general more carefully cultivated than most others, the high price of the wine seems to be, not so much the effect, as the cause of this careful cultivation. In so valuable a produce the loss occasioned by negligence is so great as to force even the most careless to attention. A small part of this high price, therefore, is sufficient to pay the wages of the extraordinary labour bestowed upon their cultivation, and the profits of the extraordinary stock which puts that labour into motion.

The sugar colonies, possessed by the European nations in the West Indies, may be compared to those precious vineyards. The whole produce falls short of the effectual demand of Europe, and can be disposed of to those who are willing to give more than what is sufficient to pay the wbole rent, profit. and wages necessary for preparing and bringing it to market, according to the rate at which they are commonly paid by any other produce. In Cochin-china the finest white sugar commonly sells for three piastres the quintal, about thirteen shillings and sixpence of our money, as we are told by Mr. Poivre ${ }^{1}$, a very careful observer of the agriculture of that country. What is there called the quintal weighs from a hundred and fifty to two bundred Paris pounds, or a hundred and seventy-five Paris pounds at a medium, which reduces the price of the hundred weight English to about eight shillings sterling; not a fourth part of what is commonly paid for the brown or muskavada sugars imported from our colonies, and not a sixth part of what is paid for the finest white sugar. The greater part of the cultivated lands in Cochin-china are employed in producing corn and rice, the food of the great body of the people. The respective prices of corn, rice, and sugar, are there probably in the natural proportion, or in that which naturally takes place in the different crops of

[^27]the greater part of cultivated land, and which recompenses the landlord and farmer, as nearly as can be computacia according to what is usually the onginal expense of 1 m provement and the annual expense of cultivation. But in our sugar colones the price of sugar bears no such proportion to that of the produce of a rice or corn field either in Europe or in America. It is commonly said, that a sugar planter expects that the rum and the molasses should defray the whole expense of his cultivation, and that his sugar should be all clear profit. 2 If this: be true, for 1 pretend not to affirm it, it is as if a corn farmer expected to defray the expense of bis cultivation with the chaff and the straw, and that the grain should be all clear profit. We see frequently societies of merchants in London and other trading towns, purchase waste lands in our sugar colonies, which they expect to improve and cultivate with profit by means of factors and agents; notwithstandung the great distance and the uncertain returns, from the defective administration of justice in those countrieq. Nobody will attempt to improve and cultivate in the same manner the most fertile lands of Scotland, Ireland, or the carn provinces of North America; though from the more exact administration of justice in these countries, more regular returns might be espected.

In Virginia and Maryland the cultivation of tobacco is preferred, as more profitable, to that of corn. Tobacco might be culivated with advantage through the greater part of Europe; but in almost every part of Europe it has become a principal subject of taxation; and to collect a tax from every different farm in the country where this plant might happen to be cultivated, would be more difficult, it has been supposed, than to levy one upon its importation at the custom house. The cultivation of tobacco has, upon this account, been most absurdly prohibited through the greater part of Europe, which necessarily gives a sort of monopoly to the countries where it is allowed; and as Virginia and Maryland produce the greatest quantity of it, they share largely. though with some competitors, in the ad. vantage of this monopoly. The cultivation of tobacco, however, seems not to be so advantageous as that of sugar. I have never even heard of any tobacco plantation that was improved and cultivated by the capital of merchants who resided in Great Britann; and our tobacco colonies send us home no such wealthy planters as we see frequently arrive from our sugar islands Though from
of a sugar planter has been, during the last thirty ycari generally very much the reverse. Cultivation hat been too far extended, and the quantity of prixluce brought to market has ben so great as Irequently to reduce the price to luttle more than the mum required to defray the expensen of calivation and the duty
the preference given in those colomes to the cultivation of tobacco above that of corn, it would appear that the effectual demand of Europe fur tobacco is not completely supphed, it probably is mure nearly so than that for sugar; and though the present price of tobacco is probably, more than suffictent to pay the whole rent, wayes and profit necessary for preparing and bringing it to market, accordung to the rate at which they are commonly paid in corn land; it must not be so much more as the present price of sugar Our tobacco planters, accordingly, have shown the same fear of the superabundance of tobacco, wheth the proprietors of the old vineyards in France have of the superabundance of wine. By act of assembly they have restramed its cultivation to sux thousand plants, supposed to yield a thousand weight of tobacco, for every negro between sutern and sixty years of age. Such a negro, over and above this quantity of tobacco, cau manage, they reckon, four acres of Indian corn. To prevent the narket fiom being overstoched, too, they have sometuncs, in plentifal years, we are told by Dr. Douglas ', (I suspeet he has been ill anformed) burnt a certan quantity of tobacco for every negro, in the same manner as the Dutch are said to do of spices. If such violent methods are necessary to keep up the present price of tobacco, the superior advantage of its culture over that of corn, if it still has any, will not, probably, be of tong continuance.

It is in this manner that the rent of the cultivated land, of Which the produce is luman food, regulates the rent of the greater part of other cultivated land. No particular produce can long afford less, because the land would immediately be turned to another use. and if any particular produce commonly affords more, it is because the quantity of land wheh can be fitted for it is too small to supply the effiectual demand.

In Europe corn is the principal produce of land, which serves mmediately for human food. Except in particular situations, therefore, the rent of corn land regulates in Europe that of all other cultivated land. Britain need envy nether the vineyards of France nor the olive plantations of Italy. Except in particular situations, the value of these is regulated by that of corn, in which the ferthity of Britan is not much inferior to that of either of those two countries.

If in any country the common and favourite vegetabie food of the people should be drawn from a plant of which the most common land, with the same or nearly the

[^28]same culture, produced a much greates quantity than the most fertile does of corn, the rent of the landlord, or the surplus quantity of food which would reman to him, after paying the labour and rephaeng the stock of the farmer together with its ordinary profit,, would necessarily be much greater. Whatever was the rate at which labour was commonly maintaned in that country, this greater surplus could alия!s mantan a greater quantity of it, and consequently enable the landlord to purchase or command a greater quantity of it. The real value of his rent, his real power and authority, bis command of the necessaries and conveniencies of life with which the labour of other people could supply him, would necessarily be much greater.

A rice field produces a much greater quan. tity of food than the most fertile corn held Two crops in the year from thirty to sixty bushels each, are sand to be the ordinary produce of an acre. Though its cultivation, therefore, requires more labour, a much greater surplus remains after maintanning all that labour. In thase rice countries, therefore, where rice is the common and favourite vegetable food of the people, and where the cultivators are chiefly mantaned with it, a greater share of this greater surplus should belong to the landlord than ta corn countries. ${ }^{2}$ In Carolina, where the planters, as in other Brstish colonies, are generally both farmers and landlords, and where rent consequently is confounded with profit, the cultivation of nice is found to be more profitable than that of corn, though therr fields produce only one crap in the year, and though, from the prevalence of the customs of Europe, rice is not there the common and favourite vegetable food of the people.

A good rice field is a bog at all scasons, and at one season a bog covered wath water. It is unfit etther for corn, or pasture, or vineyard, or, indeed, for any other vegetable produce that is very useful to men. and the lands whach are fit for those purposes, are not fit for rice. Even in the rice countries, therefore, the rent of rice lands cannot regulate the rent of the other cultuated land which can never be turned to that produce.

The food produced by a field of potatoes is not inferior in quantuty to that produced by a field of rice, and much superior to what is produced by a feld of wheat. Twelve thousand weight of potatoes from an acre of land is not a greater produce than two thousand weight of wheat. The food or solid nourishment, indeed, which can be drawn
the best lands in East Lorhian, and yet they greld no surphas in the shape of rent to the proprietor: nor mill thev ever yieta any, unless interior lands be taken tato culiske.
from each of those two plants, is not aitogether in proportion to their weight, on account of the watery nature of potatoes. Allowing, however, half the weight of this root to go to water, a very large allowance, such an acre of potatoes will still produce six thousand weight of solid nourishment, three times the quantity produced by the acre of wheat. An acre of potatoes is cultivated with less expense than an acre of wheat ; the fallow, which generally precedes the sowing of wheat, more than compensating the hoeing and other extraordinary culture which is always given to potatoes. Should thes root ever become in any part of Europe, like rice in some rice countries, the common and favourite vegetable food of the people, so as to occupy the same proportion of the lands in tillage which wheat and other sorts of grain for human food do at present, the same quantity of cultivated land would maintain a much greater number of people; and the labourers being generally fed with potatoes, a greater surplus would remain, after replacing all the stock, and maintaining all the labour employed in cultivation. A greater share of this surplus, too, would belong to the landlord. Population would increase, and rents would rise much beyond what they are at present.

The land which is fit for potatoes, is fit ior almost every other useful vegetable. If they , occupied the same proportion of cultivated ${ }^{\prime}$ land which corn does at present, they would
iregulate in the same manner the rent of the 'greater part of other cultivated land.

In some parts of Lancashire, it is pretended, I have been told, that bread of oatmeal is a heartier food for labouring people than wheaten bread; and I have frequently heard the same doctrine held in Scotland. I am, however, somewhat doubtful of the truth of it. The common people in Scotland, who are fed with oatmeal, are in general neither $s o$ strong nor so handsome as the same rank of people in England, who are fed with wheaten bread. They neither work so well nor look so well; and as there is not the same difference between the people of fashion in the two countrics, experience would seem to show that the food of the common people in Scotland is not so suitable to the human constatution as that of their neighbours of the same rank in England; but it seems to be otherwise with potatoes. The chairmen, porters, and coalheavers in London, and those unfortunate women who live by prostitution, the strongest men and the most beautuful women perhaps in the British dominions, are said to be, the greater part of them, from the lowest rank of people in Ireland, who are generally fed with this

1 The mquiry with respect to the profitable effect of eubstituting the potato for corv in the food of the lower classes, is one of great importance. See some
root. No food can afford a more decisive proof of its noursthing quality, or of itsis being peculiarly suitable to the health of the) human constitution.

It is dafficult to preserve potators through the year, and impossible to store them hike corn, for two or three years together. The fear of not being able to sell them before they rot, discourages their cultivation, and is, perhaps, the chief obstacle to their ever becoming in any great country, hke bread, the principal vegetable food of all the different ranks of the people. ${ }^{2}$

## Pabt II.

Of the Produce of Land which sometimes doce, and tometimes does not, afford Rent.
Human food seems to be the only produce of land which always and necessarily affords some rent to the landlord. Other sorts of produce sometimes may and sometimes may not, according to different circumstances.

After food, clothing and lodging are the / two great wants of mankind.

Land in its original rude state can afford the materials of clothing and lodging to 1 much greater number of people than it can feed. In its improved state it can sometimes feed a greater number of people than it can supply whth those materials; at least in the way in which they require them, and are willing to pay for them. In the one state, therefore, there is always a superabundance of those materials, which are frequently, upon that account, of little or no value. In the other there is often a scarcity, which necessarily augments their value. In the oue state a great part of them is thrown away as useless, and the price of what is used is considered as equal only to the labour and expense of fitting it for use, and can, therefore, afford no rent to the landlord. In the other they are all made use of, and there is frequently a demand for more than can be had. Somebody is always willing to give more for every part of them than what is sufficient to pay the expense of bringing them to market. Their price, thercfore, can always afford some rent to the landlord.

The skins of the larger animals were the original materials of clothing. Among nations of hunters and shepherds, therefore, whose food consists chiefly in the flesh of those animals, every man, by providing hmself with food, provides himself with the materials of more clothing than he can wear. If there was no foreign commerce, the greater part of them would be thrown away as things of no value. This was probably the case among the bunting nations of North America, before their country was discovered by
observations on this subject in the note on the Use or the Potato as an Article of Food at the end of the volurae.
the Europeans, with whom they now exchange their surplus peltry, for blankets, fire-arms, and brandy, which givol it some , alue In the present commertial state of the known world, the most barbarous nations 1 bulteve, anong $\boldsymbol{w}$ ham land property is establinhed, have some foreign commerce of this kind, and find among there wealdier neighbours such a demand for all the materiads of clothing, whech their land produces, and whieh can nether be wrought up nor consumed at home, as raises ther price above what it costs to send them to those wealthier neighbours. It alfords, therefore, some rent to the landlord. When the greater part of the highland cattle were consumed on their own hills, the exportation of therr hides made the most considerable article of the commerce of that country, and what they were exchanged for afforded some addition to the rent of the highland estates. The wool of England, which in old times could neither be consumed nor wrought up at home, found a market in the then wealther and more industrious country of Flanders, and its price alfurded something to the rent of the land which produced it. In countries not better cultuvated than England nas then, or than the hughands of Scotland are now, and which had no fureign commerce, the materals of clathing wbuld evidently be so superabundant, that a great part of them would be thrown away as useless, and no part could afford any rent to the landlord.

The materals of lodging cannot always be transported to so great a distance as those of clothing, and do not so readly become an obyect of foreign commerce. When they are superabundant in the country which produces them, it frequently bappens, even in the present commercial state of the world, that they are of no value to the landlord. A good stone quarry in the neighbourbood of London would atford a considerable rent. In many parts of Scothand and Wales it affords none Barren tumber for building is of great value in a populous and well-cultivated country, and the land which produces it affords a considerable rent. But in many parts of North America the landlord would be much obhged to any body who would carry away the greater part of his large trees. In some parts of the highlands of Scothand the lark is the only part of the wood wheh, for want of roads and watercarrage, can be sent to market. The timber is left to rot upon the ground. When the materials of lodging are so superabundant, the part made use of is worth ouly the labour and expense of fitting it for that use. It affords no rent to the landlord, who generally grants the use of it to whoever takes the trouble of ashing it. The demand of wealther nations, however, sometimes enables bun to get a rent for it. The paving
of the strcets of London has enabled the owners of sume barren rocks on the coast of Scotland to draw a rent from what neves afforded any before. The woods of Norway and of the coasts of the Baltie find a market in many parts of Great Britain which they could not find at home, and thereby afford some rent to their propretors.

Countries are populous, not in proportion to the number of people whom their produce can clothe and lodge, but in proportion to that of those whom it can feed. When food is provided, it is easy to find the necessary clothing and lodging. But though these are at hand, it may often be difficult to find food. In some parts even of the British dommions what is called a house, may be built by one day's labour of one man. The simplest species of clothing, the skins of animals, require somewhat more labour to dress and prepare them for use They do not, however, require a griat deal. Among savage and barbarous nations, a hundredth or little more than a hundredth part of the labour of the whole ycar, will be sufficient to provide them with such clothing and lodging as satisfy the greater part of the people. All the other minety-nine parts are frequently no more than enough to provide them with food.

But when by the improvement and cultivation of land, the labour of one family can provide fcod for two, the labour of half the society becomes sufficient to provide ford for the whole. The other half, therefore, or at least the greater part of them, can be employed in providng other thangs, or in satisfying the other wants and fancues of mankind. Clothing and lodging, household furniture, and what is called equipage, are the principal objects of the greater part of those wants and fancies. The rich man consumes no more food than his poor neighbour. In quality it may be very different, and to select and prepare it may require more labour and art; but in quantity it is very nearly the same. But compare the spacious palace and great wardrobe of the one with the hovel and the few rags of the other, and you will be sensible that the difference between their cluthng, lodging, and household furniture, is almost as great in quantity as it is in quality. The desire of food is linited in every man by the narrow capacity of the human stomach; but the destre of the convenencies and ornaments of building, dress, equipage, and bouseholo furnuture, seems to have no limit or certar boundary Those, therefore, who have the command of more food than they themselies can consume, are always willing to exchange the surplus, or, what is the same thing, the prese of it, for graufications of this other knud. What is over and above satisfying the linuited desire, is given for the amusement
of those desires which cannot be satisfied, but seem to be altogether endless. The poor, in order to obtain food, exert themselves to gratify those fancies of the rich; and to obtain it more certainly, they vie with one anpther in the cheapness and perfection of their work. The number of workmen increases with the increasing quantity of food, or with the growing improvement and cultivation of the lands; and as the nature of their busuness admits of the utmost subdivision of labour, the quantity of materials which they can work up, increases in a much greater proportion than their numbers. Hence arises a demand for every sort of material which human invention can employ, either usefully or ornamentally, in building, dress, equipage, or household furniture; for the fossils and minerals contained in the bowels of the earth, the precious metals, and the precious stones.

Food is in this manner not only the original source of rent, but every other part of the produce of land which afterwards affords rent, derives that part of its value from the improvement of the powers of labour in producing food by means of the improvement and cultivation of land.

Those other parts of the produce of land, however, which afterwards afford rent, do not afford it always. Even in improved and cultivated countries, the demand for them is not always such as to afford a greater price than what is sufficient to pay the labour, and replace, together with its ordinary profits, the stock which must be employed in bringing them to market. Whether it is or is not such, depends upon different circumstances.

Whether a coal mine, for example, can afford any rent, depends partly upon its fertility, and partly upon its situation.

A mine of any kind may be said to be either fertile or barren, according as the quantity of mineral which can be brought from it by a certain quantity of labour, is greater or less than what can be brought by an equal quantity from the greater part of other mines of the same kind.

Some coal mines, advantageously situated, cannot be wrought on account of their barrenness. The produce does not pay the expense. They can afford neither profit nor rent.

There are some of which the produce is barely sufficient to pay the labour, and replace, together with its ordinary profits, the stock employed in working them. They afford some profit to the undertaker of the work, but no rent to the landlord. They can be wrought advantageously by nobody but the landlord, who being himself undertaker of the work, gets the ordinary profit of the capital which he employs in it. Many eoal mines in Scotland are wrought in this
manner, and can be wrought in no other. The landlord will allow nobody else to work thein without paying some rent, and nobody can afford to pay any.

Other coal mines in the same country, sufficiently fertile, cannot be wrought on account of their situation. A quantity of mineral sufficient to defray the expense of working, could be brought from the mine by the ordinary, or even less than the ordinary quantity of labour : but in an inland country, thinly inhabited, and without either good roads or water-carriage, this quantity could not be sold.

Coals are a less agreeable fuel than wood: they are said to be less wholesome. The expense of coals, therefore, at the place where they are consumed, must generally be somewhat lees than that- of wood.

The price of wood again varies with the state of agriculture, nearly in the same manner, and exactly for the same reason, as the price of cattle. Is its rude beginninga the greater part of every country is covered with wood, which is then a mere incumbrance, of no value to the landlord, who would gladly give it to any body for the cutting. As agriculture advances, the woods are partly cleared by the progrees of tillage. and partly go to decay in consequence of the increased number of cattle. These, though they do not increase in the same proportion as corn, which is altogether the acquisition of human industry, yet multiply under the care and protection of men, who store up in the season of plenty what may maintain them in that of scarcity; who through the whole year furnush them with a greater quantity of food than uncultivated nature provides for then; and who by destroying and extirpating their enemies, secure them in the free enjoyment of all that she provides. Numerous herds of cattle, when allowed to wander through the woods, though they do not destroy the old trees, hinder any young ones from coroing up, so that in the course of a century or two the whole forest goes to ruin. The scarcity of wood then raises its price. It affords a good rent ; and the landlord sometimes finds that be can scarce employ his best lands more advanta geously than in growing barren timber, of which the greatness of the profit often compensates the lateness of the returns. This seems in the present times to be nearly the state of things in several parts of Great Britain, where the profit of planting is found to be equal to that of either of corn or pasture. The advantage which the landlord derives from planting, can nowhere exceed, at least for any considerable time, the rent which these could afford him; and in an inland country which is highly cultivated, it will frequently not fall much short of this rent Upon the sea-coast of a well-improved coun-
try, indeed, if coals can conveniently be had for fuel, it may sometimes be cheaper to bragg barren tunber for building from less cultivated forenga countrien, than to raise it ut home. In the new town of Edinburgh, built within these fuw years, there is not, perlaps, a single stuck of Scotch timber.

Whatever may be the price of wood, if that of coals is such that the expense of a coal fire is nearly equal to that of a wood one, we may be asured, that at that place, and 13 there circumstances, the price of coals is as light as it can be. It seems to be so m some of the mland parts of England, parncularly in Oxfordhare, where it is usual, even 13 the fires of the common people, to mux coals and wood together, and where the differeme $u$ the expence of those two sorts of thel cannot, therefore, be very great.

Cuals, in the conl countrics, are everya here much below this highest price. If they were not, they could not bear the expense of a distant carriage, etther by land or by water. A small quantity only could be sold ; and the coal masters and coal proprietorv find it more for their unterest to sell a great quantity at a price somewhat above the lowest, than a suall quantity at the highest. The move fertule coal mine, too, regulates the pace of coals at all the other manes $m$ its uelighburhood.' Both the proprietor and the undertaher of the work find, the one that he can get a greater rent, the other that he can qet a greater profit, by somewhat underselling all their neghbours. Their neighbours are soon obliged to sell at the sane price, though they cannot so well afford it, and thourh it always dimmeshes, and sometines tahes away altogether both their rent and ther profit. Some works are ubandoned altorether ; others can afford no rent, and can be arought only by the propretor.

The louest price at which coals can be sold for any considerable tume, is, hhe that of all other commoditues, the price which is barely sutficient to replace, together with its orduary protits, the stock whoth must be employed in bringing them to market. At a coal ume for wheh the landlord can get no rent, but wheh he must ether work hmself or let it alone altogether, the price of coals must generally be nearly about this price.
lient, even where coals nfford one, has generally a smaller share in their price than in that of most other parts of the rude produce of land. The reat of an estate above

1 This is an errer The price of the codestracted from the teast tertine mine ohich it is nucesoary to work, in oriler to supply the di mand, will determine the pricy of the toal extracted fram all the other mines for fi, on the one habd, the coal extricted from the poore it mine drd not sall at a price suincleint to detray the cost of its production with e reasonable probt to the undertakers, it rould
ground, commonly amounts to what is cupposed to be a third of the gross produce; and it is generally a rent certan, and undependent of the occasional variations in the crop. In coal manes a fifth of the gross produce is a very great rent, a foath the common rent : and it is seldom a rent certein, but depends upon the occasional variations in the produce. These are wo great, that in a country where thirty years' purchass is considered as a moderate price for the property of a landed estate, ten years' purchase is regarded as a good price for that of a coal mune
The value of a coal mine to the proprictor frequently depends as much upon its situation as upon its fertility. That of a metallic mine depends more upon its fertility and less upon its situation. The coarte, and still more the prectous metals, when scparated from the ore, are so valuable that they can generally bear the expense of a very long land, and of the most distant sea carriage. Their market is not confined to the countries in the nelghbourhood of the mine, but extends to the whole world. The copper of Japan makes an article of contmerce in Europe; the iron of Span in that of Chil and Peru. The silver of Peru finds its way, not only to Europe, but trom Europe to China.

The price of coals in Westmorelanci or Shropshire can have little effect on their price at Neweastle; and their price ir the Libnnois can have none at alj. The productions of such distant coal mine; can never be brought into comptution wi.h one another. But the productions of the most distant metallic mines frequentiy m. y , and in fact commonly are. The price, thercfore, of the coarse, and still more that of the pricious metuls, at the most fertile mines in the world, must necessarily more or 1 iss affict their price at every other in it. 'ihe price of copper in Japan must have some influence upon its price at the copper mines in Fumpe. The price of silver in Pera, or the quantity enther of labour or of other goor's wheh it will purchase there, must have some minuence on its price, not only at the suliser mmes of Europe, but at those of China After the discovery of the manes of Peri, the silver mines of Europe were, the greater part of them, abandoned. The value of sulver was so much reduced that thei- produce could no longer pay the expo ase of working them, or replace, with a prott, the food, clothes, lodging, and other neecisaries which were consumed in that opiration.
not be brought to market, and the required suppis would no lenger be obtanged, while if, on the othir hand, it were to sebl for more than this, aulditiorial hand, it were to sest for more than this, auditiorial capital would be emploved in working is and other minns, untilthe price of conal had sunk, in consequetace of the incredse of its quantity, to the price nexe staty
to field the common and abartue rate of proht, and no anore, to the owners of the mine in questun.

This was the case, too, with the miues of Cuba and St. Domingo, and even with the ancient mines of Peru, after the discovery of those of Potosi.

The price of every metal at every mine, therefore, being regulated in some measure by its price at the most fertile mine in the world that is actually wrought, it can at the greater part of mines do very little more than pay the expense of working, and can seldom afford a very high rent to the landlord. Rent, accordingly, seems at the greater part of mines to have but a small share in the price of the coarse, and a still smaller in that of the precious metals. Labour and profit make up the greater part of both.

A sixth of the gross produce may be reckoned the average rent of the tin mines of Cornwall, the most fertile that are known in the world, as we are told by the reverend Mr. Borlace, vice-warden of the stannaries. Some, he says, afford more, and some do not afford so much. A sixth part of the gross produce"is the rent too of several very fertile lead mines in Scotland.

In the silver mines of Peru, we are told by Frezier and Ulloa, the proprietor frequently exacts no other acknowledgment from the undertaker of the mine, but that he will grind the ore at his mill, paying him the ordinary mulcture or price of grinding. Till 1736, indeed, the tax of the king of Spain amounted to one-fifth of the standard silver, which till then might be considered as the real rent of the greater part of the silver mines of Peru, the richest which have been known in the world. If there had been no tax this fifth would naturally have belonged to the landlord, and many mines might have been wrought which could not then be wrought, because they could not afford this tax. The tax of the duke of Cornwall upon tin is supposed to amount to more than five per cent. or one-twentieth part of the value; and whatever may be his proportion, it would naturally too belong to the proprietor of the mine, if tin was duty free. But if you add one-twentieth to one-sixth, you will find that the whole average rent of the tin mines of Cornwall, was to the whole average rent of

I In Mexico, previously to the revolutionary war, speculators in mines were usually persons of fortune and distinction, who could afford to make large advances from their own funds for carrying on their works; and the business of mining is stated to have beed considered, when under such management, as safe as either manufactures or commerce But in Peru, to which Smith's observations particularly upply, speculators in mines were of a very different class ; being commonly persans in necessitous circumbtances, whose capital was all borrowed at an exorbitant interest, and who were, in fact. almost entirely at the mercy of theur creditors and the buliton entirely at the mercy of theur creditors and the builion
merchants. Neither prudence nor economy could be expected from individuals placed under such unfavourable circumatances: and the great majority of them are described as having been at once dishonest, poos, and prodigal SSee details extracted from the
the silver mines of Peru, an thirteen to twelve. But the silver mines of P'eru are not now able to pay even this low rent, and the tax upon silver was, in 1796, reduced from one-fifth to oneatenth. Even this tax upon silver too gives more temptation to smuggling than the tax of one-twentieth upon tin; and smuggling must be much easicr in the precious than in the bulky commodity. The tax of the king of Span accordingly is said to be very ill paid, and that of the duke of Cornwall very well.
Rent, therefore, it is probable, makes a greater part of the price of tin at the most fertile tin mines, than it does of silver at the must fertile silver mines in the world. After replacing the stock employed in working those different mines, together with its ordsnary profits, the residue which remaina to the proprietor, is greater it seems in the coarse than in the preciuus metal.

Neither are the profits of the undertakers of silver mines commonly very great in l'uru. The same most respectable and well-informed authors acquaint us, that when any person undertakes to worls a new mine in Peru, he is unversally looked upon as a man destined to bankruptcy and ruin, and is upon that account shunned and avoided by every body. Mining, it seems, is considered there in the same light as here, as a lottery, in which the prizes do not compensate the blanks, though the greatness of some tempts many adrenturers to throw away their fortunes in such unprosperous projects. ${ }^{1}$
As the sovereign, however, derives a cornsiderable part of his revenue from the produce of silver mines, the law in Peru gives every possible encouragement to the discovery and working of new ones. Whoever discovers a new mine, is entitled to measure off two hundred and forty-six feet in length, according to what he supposes to be the direction of the vein, and half as much in breadth. He becomes proprietor of this portion of the mine, and can work it without paying any acknowledgment to the landlord. The interest of the duke of Cornwall has given occasion to a regulation nearly of the same kind in that ancient duchy. In waste and uninclosed lands any person who discovers a tin mine,

Mercurio Pertuno, a periodical paper publixhed as Lima from 1791 to 1794 , io the Edinburgh Review, vol ix. P. 444)
The associations formed in this country during IR24 and 1825 for carrying on the businest of mining in A merica have been rery unsuccessfill and have udered been mostly abandoned. This bowever io onig what might have bepn anticipated from the outart. The greater number were sat on foot, without sny accurate information having been previonsly obtained with respect to the actual state of the mines, the merits and defects of the modes in which they had merits and defects of the modes in which they had been wrought, or the susceptiblitues they possecsecd for the advantagenus introduction of the machnoery and processes made nse of in Europe. A vast loss bas in consequence been incurred; and it seems to be in the last degree problemazical whether any consuler able portion of it will ever be repard.
may mark out its limits to a certain extent, which is called bounding a mine. The bounder becones the real proprietor of the mine, and may either work is humself, or give it in lease to another, without the conseut of the owner of the land, to whom, however, a very small acknowledgoment must be paid upon working it, In both regulations the sacred rughts of private property are sricriticed to the supposed interests of public revenue

The same encouragement is given in Peru to the dheovery and working of new gold mines; and mgold the king's tar amounts only to a twentecth part of the standard mutal. It was once a fifth, and afterwards a tunth, as in silvcr; but it was found that the work could not bear even the lowest of these two taxes. If it is rare, however, say the same authors, Fiezier and Ulloa, to find a person who has made his fortune by a silver, it is still much rarer to find one who has done so by a gold mine. This twentieth part seems to be the whole rent which is pand by the greater part of the gold mines in Chili and Pexu Gold too as much more liable to be sanuggled than even salver; not only on account of the superior value of the metal in proportion to its bulk, but on account of the peculiar way in which nature produces it. Silver is very seldom found virgin, but, like most other metals is generally maneralized with some other body, from which it 18 mopossible to separate it in such quantities as will pay for the expense, but by a very laborious and tedious operation, which cannot well be carried on but in workhouses erected for the purpose, and therefore exposed to the inspection of the king's oflicens. Gold, on the contrary, is almost always found virgin. It is sometimes found in pieces of some bulk; and even when mived in small and almost insensible particles with sand, earth, and other extraneous bodies, it can be separated from them by a very short and simple operation, which can be carned on in any prinate house by any body who is possessed of a small quantity of mereury. If the hing's tax, therefore, is but ill pard upon sulver, it is likely to be much worse pad upon gold; and rent must make a muchesmailer part of the price of gold, than even of that of silver.

The lonest price at which the precious metals can be sold, or the smallest quantity of other goods for which they can be exchanged during any consuderable time, is regulated by the same prinerples which fix the lowest ordinary price of all other goods. The stock which mast commonly be employed, the food, elothes, and lodging which must commonly be consumed in bringing them from tne mine to the market, determine it. It must at least be sufficient to r. place that stock, wath the ordinary profits.

Their highest price, however, seems not to be necessarily determined by any thang but the actual scarcity or plenty of those nuetals themselves. It is not determmed ly that of any other commodity, in the same manner as the price of coals is by that of wood, beyond which no scarcity can ever rase it Increase the scarcity of gold to a certain degree, and the smallest bit of it may become more precious than a diamond, and exchange for a greater guantity of other goods.

The demand for those metals arises partly from their utility, and partly from their beauty. If you except iron, they are more useful than, perhaps, any other metal. As they are less liable to rust and monpurity, they can more easily be kept clean; and the utensils ether of the table or the kitchen are often upon that account more agreeable when made of them. A silver boiler is more cleanly than a lead, copper, or tin one; and the same quality would render a gold boiler stall better than a slver one. Their princtpal merit, however, anses from their beauty, which renders them pecularly fit for the ornaments of dress and furniture No paint or dye can give so splendid a colour as gilding. The merit of their beauty 15 greatly enhanced by their scarcity. With the greater part of rich people, the chuf enjoyment of riches consists in the parade of riches, wheb in their eye is never so complete as when they appear to possess those decisive marks of opulence which nobody can possess but themselves. In their eyes the merit of an object which is in any degree etther useful or beautuful, is greatly enhanced by its scarcity, or by the great labour which it requires to collect any considerable quantity of it, a labour which nobody can afford to pay but themselves. Such objects they are willing to purchase at a higher price than things much more beautuful and useful, but more common. These qualitues of uthlity, beauty, and scarcity, are the origmal foundation of the high price of those metals, or of the great quantity of other goods for which they can everywhere be exchanged. This value was antecedent to and undependent of thear being employed as coin, and was the quality which fitted them for that emploment. That employment, however, by occastoning a new demand, and by diminisling the quantity which could be employed in any other way, may have afterwards contributed to keep up or increase their value.

The demand for the precious stones arises altogether from their beauty. They are of no use, but as ornaments ; and the merit of their beauty is greatly enhanced by thear searcity, or by the difficulty and expense of getting thern from the mane. Wages and profit, accordingly, make up, upon mast occasions, almost the whole of therr high price.

Rent comes in but for a very small share; Erequently for no share; and the most fertile mines only afford any considerable rent. When Tavernier, a jeweller, visited the diamond mines of Golconda and Visiapour, he was informed that the sovereign of the country, for whose benefit they were wrought, had ordered all of them to be shut up, except those which yield the largest and finest stones. The others, it seems, were to the proprietor not worth the working.

As the price both of the precious metas and of the precious stones is regulated all over the world by their price at the most fertile mine in it, the rent which a mine of either can afford to its proprietor is in proportion, not to its absolute, but to what may be called its relative fertility, or to its superiority over other mines of the same kind. If new mines were discovered as much superior to those of Potosi as they were superior to those of Europe, the value of silver might be so much degraded as to render even the mines of Potosi not worth the working. Before the discovery of the Spanish West Indies, the most fertule mines in Europe may have afforded as great a rent to their proprietor as the richest mines in Peru do at present. Though the quantity of silver was much less, it might have exchanged for an equal quantity of other goods, and the proprietor's share might have enabled him to purchase or command an equal quantity either of labour or commodities. The value both of the produce and of the rent, the real revenue which they afforded both to the public and to the proprietor, might have been the same.

The most abundant mines, either of the precious metals or of the precious stones, could add hittle to the wealth of the world. A produce of which the value is principally derived from its scarcity, is necessarily degraded by its abundance. A service of plate, and the other frivolous ornaments of dress and furniture, could be purchased for a smaller quantity of labour, or for a smaller quantity of commodities; and in this would consist the sole advantage which the world could derive from that abundance.

It is otherwise in estates above ground. The value both of their produce and of their rent is in proportion to their absolute, and not to their relative fertility. The land which produces a certain quantity of food, clothes, and lodging, can always feed, clothe, and lodge a certan number of people; and whatever may be the proportion of the landlord, it will always give him a proporionable command of the labour of those people, and of the commodities with which that labour can supply hin. The value of the most barren lands is not diminished by the neighbourhood of the most fertile. On the contrary, it is geverally increased by it.

The great number of people maintained by the fertule lands arford a market to many parts of the produce of the barren, which they could never have found among those whom their own produce could mantain.

Whatever increases the fertility of land in producing food, incresses not only the value of the lauds upon which the improvement is bestowed, but contributes hkewise to increase that of many other lands, by creating a new demand for their produce. That abundance of food, of which, in consequence of the improvement of land, many people have the disposal beyond what they themselves can consume, is the great cause of the demand both for the precious matals and the precious stones, as well as for every other conveniency and ornament of dress, lodging, household furniture, and equipage. Food not only constitutes the principal part of the riches of the world, but it is the abundance of food which gives the principal part of their value to many other sorts of riches The poor inhabitants of Cuba and St. Domingo, when they were first discovered by the Spaniards, used to wear little bits of gold, as ornaments, in their hair, and other parts of their dress. They seemed to value them as we would do any little pebbles of somewhat more than ordinary beauty, and to consider them as just worth the picking up, but not worth the refusing to any body who asked them. They gave them to their new guests at the first request, without seeming to think that they had made them any very valuable present. They were astonished to observe the rage of the Spaniaris to obtain them; and had no notion that there could anywhere be a country in whach many people had the disposal of so great a superfluity of food, so scanty always among themselves, that for a very small quantity of those glittering baubles they would willingly give as much as might maintatn a whole family for many years. Could they have been made to understand this, the passion of the Spaniards would not have surprised them.

Part III.
Of the Variations in the Prgportion beticeen the respective Values of that Sort of Produce which ahoays affords Rent, and of that which sometimes does and sometames does not afford Rent.
The increasing abundance of food, in consequence of increasing improvement and cultivation, must necessarily increase the demand for every part of the produce of land which is not food, and which can be applied either to use or to ornament. In the whole progress of improvement, it might therefore be expected there should be only one vartation in the comparative values of those two
diffient sorts of produce. The value of that sort whel sometimes dow nond sometimes does not afford rent, should constantly rise in proportion to that which always affurds some rent. As art and industry ahanec, the materials of clothing and lodgang, the useful fossils and mmerals of the carth, the prectous metals and the prectous stones should gradually come to be more and more in demand, should gradually exchange for a greater and a greater quantity of food, or, in other words, should gradually becone dearer and dearer. This accordingly bas been the case with most of these things upou must occasions, and would have been the case with all of them upon all occasions, if partucular accudents had not upon some occasions increased the supply of some of them in a still greater proportion than the demand.

The value of a free-stone quarry, for example, will necessarily increase with the mercasing improvement and population of the country round about it; espectally if it should be theonly one in the neighbourhood. But the value of a sulver mine, even though there should not be another within a thousumd miles of it, will not necessarily increase with the improvement of the country in wheh it is situated. The narket for the produce of a frec-stone quarry can seldom evtend more than a few miles round about it, and the demand must generally be in proportion to the improvement and population of that small district. But the market for the produce of a silver mine may extend over the whole known world. Unless the world in general, therufore, be advancing in improvement and population, the demand for shiver might not be at all uncreased by the mprovement even of a large country in the neughbourhood of the mine. Even though the woild in general were improving, yet If, in the course of its improvement, new mines should be discovered, much more fertile than any which had been known before, though the demand for slver would necessurnly increase, yet the supply might inctease in so mueh a greater phoportion, that the real puce of that metal might gradually fall; that is, any given quantuty, a pound weight of at, for example, nught gradually purchase or command a smaller and a smaller quantity of labour, or exchange for a smaller and a smather quantity of corn, the principal part of the subsistence of the labourcr.
The great market for silver is the commerearal and civilized part of the world.

If by the general progress of improvement the demand of this market should incrase, while at the same tome the supply did not increase in the same proportion, the value of silver would gradually rise in proportion to that of com. Any given quantity of sitver woald exchange for a greater and
a greater duantity of com or, ill other words, the average money pnee of corn would gradually become cheaper and cleaper.

If, on the contrary, the supply by some accident should merease for many years together in a greater proportion than the demand, that metal would gradually become cheaper and cheaper; on, in other words, the average money price of corn would, in spite of all improvements, gradually become dearer and dearer.

But if, on the other hand, the supply of the metal should increase ncurly in the same proportion as the demand, it would contmue to purchase or exchange for nearly the same quantity of corn, and the average moncy price of corn would, in spite of all mprove ments, continue very nearly the same.

These three seem to exhaust all the poqsible combinations of events which can happen in the progress of improvement ; and during the course of the tour centuries preceding the present, if we may judge by what has happened both in France and Great Britain, each of those three diffuent combinations seem to have tahen place in the European market, and nearly in the same order too in which I have here to them down.

Digression concerning the Varmatuons in the Value of Silver during the Course of the four ast Centuries.

## rirst pleiod.

In 1350 , and for some time before, the aserage price of the quarter of wheat on Lurland sems not to have been estinated lower than four ounces of slver, Tower-weygt, equal to about twenty shillings of our prasent money. From this price it seems to bare fallen gradually to two ounces of silver, equal to about ten shillings of our present money, the price at which we find it estimated in the beginning of the sixtcenth century, and at wheh it ceems to have continued to he estimated till about 1570 .

In 1350, being the 25 th of Edward III, was enacted what is called, The statute of labourers. In the preamble it complams much of the insolence of scrvants, who endeavoured to rase th.ir wages upon thetr masters. It therefore ordans, that all servants and labourers should for the future be contented with the same wages and liveries (liverles in those times sagnufied, not only clothes, but provisions) which they had been accustomed to recelve in the 20th year of the king, and the four preceding years; thit upon this account their livery wheat should nowhere be estimated higher than tenpunce a bushel, and that it should always be in the option of the master to duliver them either the nleat or the money. Teupence a bushel, therture, had, in the 25th of Fodward III.
been reckoned a very moderate price of wheat, since it required a particular statute to oblige servants to accept of it in exchange for their usual livery of provisiors; and it had been reckoned a reasonable price ten years before that, or in the 16 th year of the king the term to which the statute refers. But in the 16 th year of Edward III, tenpence contained about half an ounce of silver, Tower-weight, and was nearly equal to half a crown of our present money. Four ounces of silver, Tower-weight, therefore, equal to six shillings and eightpence of the money of those times, and to near twenty shillings of that of the present, must have been reckoned a moderate price for the quarter of eight bushels.

This statute is surely a better evidence of what was reckoned in those times a moderate price of grain, than the prices of some particular years which have generally been recorded by historians and other writers, on account of their extraordinary dearness or cheapness, and from which, therefore, it is difficult to form any judgment concerning what may have been the ordinary price. There are, besides, other reasons for beheving that in the beginniug of the fourteenth contury, and for some time before, the common price of wheat was not less than four ounces of silver the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion.

In 1309, Ralph de Born, prior of St. Augustine's, Canterbury gave a feast upon his installation day, of which Wilham Chorn has preserved, not only the bill of fare, but the prices of many particulars. In that feast were consumed, ist, fifty-three quarters of wheat, which cost nineteen pounds, or seven shillings and twopence a quarter, equal to about one-and-twenty shillings and sixpence of our present money: 2dly, fifty-eight quarters of malt, which cost seventeen pounds ten shillings, or six shillings a quarter, equal to about eighteen shillings of our present money: 3dly, twenty quarters of oats, which cost four pounds, or four shillings a quarter, equal to about twelve shillings of our present money. The prices of malt and oats seem here to be higher than their ordinary proportion to the price of wheat.

These prices are not recorded on account of their extraordinary dearness or cheapness, but are mentioned accidentally, as the prices actually paid for large quantities of grain consumed at a feast which was famous for its magnificence.

In 1262, being the 51 st of Henry III., was revived an ancient statute, called, The Assize of Bread and Ale, which, the king says in the preamble, had been made in the times of his progenitors, sometime kings of Encland. It is probably, therefore, as old at linst as the time of his grandfather, Henry II., and may have been as old as
the Conquest. It regulates the price of bread according as the prices of wheat may happen to be, from one shilling to twenty shulings the quarter of the moncy of thuse times. But statutes of this kind are generally presumed to provide with equal care for all deviations from the middle priee, for those below it as well as for those alove it. Ten shillinga, therefore, containing asx ounces of silver, Tower-weight, and equil to about thirty shillings of our present money, must, upon this supposition, have been reckoned the moddle price of the quarter of wheat when this statute was first enacted, and must have continued to be so in the 51st of Henry III. We cannot, therefore, be very wrong in supposing that the middle price was not less than one-third of the highest price at which this statute regulate the price of bread, or than sux shilhngs and eightpence of the money of those tincts. containing four ounces of sslver. Tuwerweight.

From these different ficts, therefure, we seem to have some reason to conclude, that about the middle of the fourteenth century, and for a considerable time before, the average or ordinary price of the quarter of wheat was not supposed to be less than four ounces of silvcr, Tower-weight.

From about the middle of the fourteenth to the beginning of the sisteenth century, what was reckoned the reasonable aud moderate, that is, the ordinary or average price of wheat, seems to have sunk gradually to about one-half of thia price; so as at last to have fallen to about two ounces of silver, Tower-weight, equal to alout ten shllings of our present money. It continued to be estimated at this price till about 1570.

In the houschold book of Henry, the fith earl of Northumberland, drawn up in 1512 , there are two different estimations of wheat. In one of them it is computed at six shillings and eightpence the quarter, in the other at five shillings and eightpence only. In 1512, six shillungs and eightpence contained only two ounces of slver, Towerweight, and were equal to about ten shublings of our present money.

From the 25th of Edward III. to the beginning of the reign of Eluabeth, during the space of more than two hundred years, six shillings and eightpence, it appears from several different statutes, had continued to be considered as what is called the moderate and reasonable, that is, the ordinary or average price of wheat. The quantity of silver, however, contaned in that nommal sum was, during the course of this period, continually diminisbing, in conseguence of some alterations which were made in the coin. But the increase of the value of anver had, it seems, 90 far compensated the diminution of the quantity of it emtanald
in the same moninal sum, that the legithature did not thank it worth while to atternd to this circumstabice.

Thus in 1436 it was enacted, that what might be exported without a licence when the price was so low as sux shillung nad - $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{h}}$ pernce. and in 1463 it was enacted, that no $x$ heat should be imported if the price was not above sax shallings and enghtuence the quarter. The lepislature had imagined, that when the price was so low, there could be no inconveniency in exportation, but that when it rose higher, it became prudent to allow of importation. Six shillings and esphtpenee, ther fore, containing about the same quantity of sulver as thirteen shallings and fuurpence of our present moncy, (one third part less than the same nommal sum contained in the time of Edward III.) had in those times been consudered as what is called the moderate and reasonable pice of whene.

In 15.54 , by the 1 st and 2 d of Philip and Mary, und in 1558, by the 1 st of Elizabeth, the exportation of wheat was in the same manner prohibited, whenever the price of the quarter should exceed sty shillings and eiglitpence, which did not then contain two penny worth more silver than the same nommal sum does at present. But it had soon been found that to restrain the exportation of theat thll the price was so very low, was, in reality, to prohibit it altogether. In 1562 , therefore, by the 5 th of Elizabeth, the exportation of wheat was allowed from certain ports whenever the price of the quarter shoutd not exceed ten shallinge, containing nearly the same quantity of silver as the Like nominal sum does at present. This price had at this time, therctore, been considered as what is called the moderate and rensonable price of wheat. It aqrees nearly with the estimation of the Northumberland book in 1512.

That in France the average price of gran was, in the same manner, much lower in the end of the fifeenth and beginning of the sixteenth century, than in the two centuries preceding, has been oloserved both by M. Disprè de St. Maur, and by the elegant author of the Essay on the Police of Gram. Its price, during the same period, had probuhly sunk in the same manner through the greater part of Europe.

This rise in the value of silver in proportion to that of corn, may cither have been owny altogether to the increase of the demand for that metal, in consequence of inereaning mprovenent and cultwation, the suppl in the mevn time contunuing the satne as butore, or, the demand contuuing the sane as before, it may have been owing altoguther to the gradial dimuntion of the supply; the greater part of the manes which were then known in the world being muth
e houstal, ant consequently the exerse it wonking then much merested; or it max have been owing partly to the one, and partly to the other of those two ecreumstamecs. In the end of the tifteenth and begining of the sixteenth centurie;, the greater part of Europe was approabing towards a more siftled form of government, than it had enjoyed for several ages before. The increase of security would naturally increase induvtry and moprovenent; and the demand for the pricious motals, as will as for every ohher luxury and ornament, would naturally meresse wath the increas of 1 whes A greater annual produce would requice a greater quantity of coin to crreulate it ; and a greater number of rich people would require a greater quantity of plate and other ornaments of sulver. It is natural to suppose, too, that the greater part of the mines which then suppled the European market with silver, might be a good deal exhausted, and have become more expensive in the working. They had been wrought, many of them, from the time of the Romans

It has been the opmon, however, of the greater part of those who have writeen upon the prices of cominodities in ancient tinus, that, from the Conquest, perhaps from the invasion of Jultus Casar till the discovery of the mines of America, the value of sifver was continually dimushing. This opinion they seem to have been led into, partly $1, \mathrm{y}$ the olservations which they had occasion to make upon the prices both of corn and of some other parts of the rude produce of land; and partly by the popular notion, that as the quantity of sulver naturally increases in every country with the increase of wealth, so its value dimmishes as its quantity increases.

In ther observations upon the prices of corn, three diferent crrcumstances seem frequently to have misled them.

First, In ancient times almost all rents were paid in kind; in a certain quantity of corn, cattle, poultry, \&c. It sumetinies hap pened, however, that the landiord would stipulate that he should be at hberty to demand of the tenant ether the annual payment in kind, or a certain sum of money instead of it. The price at which the payment in kind was in this manner exchanged for a certan sum of money, is in Scotland called the conversion price. As the opton is always in the landlord to take either the substance or the price, it is necescary for the sufety of the tenant, that the conversion price should rather be below than above the average marhet price. In many places, accordingly, it is not much above one half of this price. Through the greater part of Scotland this custom still continues with regard to poultry, and in some places witlrigurd to cattle It mught probably have
continued to take place, too, with regard $t_{0}$ corn, had not the institution of the public fiars put an end to it. These are annual valuations, according to the judgment of an assise, of the average price of all the different sorts of grain, and of all the different quakties of each, according to the actual market price in every different county. This institution rendered it sufficiently safe for the tenant, and much more convenient for the landlord, to convert, as they call it, the corn rent, rather at what should happen to be the price of the fiars of each year, than at any certain fixed price; but the writers who have collected the prices of corn in ancient times, seem frequently to have mistaken what is called in Scotland the conversion price for the actual market price. Fleetwood acknowledges, upon one occasion, that he bad made this mistake. As he wrote his book, however, for a particular purpose, he does not think proper to make this acknowledgment till after transcribing this conversion price fifteen times. The price is eight shillings the quarter of wheat. This sum in 1423, the year at which he begins with it, contained the same quantity of silver as sixteen shillings of our present money; but in 1562 , the year at which he ends with it, it contained no more than the same nominal sum does at present.

Secondly, They have been misled by the slovenly manner in which some ancient statutes of assize had been sometimes transcribed by lazy copiers; and sometimes, perhaps, actually composed by the legislature.

The ancient statutes of assize seen to have begun always with determining what ought to be the price of bread and ale when the price of wheat and barley were at the lowest, and to have proceeded gradually to determine what it ought to be, according as the prices of those two sorts of grain should gradually rise above this lowest price. But the transcribers of those statutes seem frequently to have thought it sufficient to copy the regulation as far as the three or four first and lowest prices; saving in this manner their own labour, and judging, I suppose, that this was enough to show what proportion ought to be observed in all higher prices.

Thus in the assize of bread and ale, of the 51st of Henry III., the price of bread was regulated according to the different prices of wheat, from one slulling to twenty shillings the quarter, of the money of those times. But in the manuseripts from which all the different editions of the statutes, preceding that of Mr. Ruffhead, were printed, the copiers had never transcribed this regulation beyond the price of twelve shillings Several writers, therefore, being misled by this faulty transcription, very naturally concluded that the middle price, or six shillings
the quarter, equal to about eightees shillings of our present money, was the ardinary or average price of wheat at that time.

In the statute of Tumbrel and Pillory, enacted nearly about the same time, the price of ale is regulated according to every sixpence rise in the price of barley, fiom two shillings to four shillings the quarter. That four shillings, however, was not considered as the bighest price to which barky might frequently rise in those times, and that these prices were only given as an example of the proportion which ought to be observed in all other prices, whether highor or lower, we may infer from the last words of the statute; "et sic deinceps crescetur vel diminuetur per sex denarios." The expression is very slovenly, but the meaning is plain enough: "That the price of ale is in this manner to be increased or diminished according to every sixpence rise or fall in the price of barley." In the composition of thas statute the legislature itself seems to have been as negligent as the copiers were in the transeription of the other.

In an ancient maduscript of the Regiam Majestatem, an old Scotch law book, there is a statute of assize, in which the price of bread is regulated according to all the different prices of wheat, from teupence to three shillings the Scotch boll, equal to about half an English quarter. Three shlulings Scotch, at the time when this assize is supposed to have been enacted, were equal to about nine shillings sterling of out present money. Mr. Ruddiman seems' to conclude from this, that three shillinge was the highest price to which wheat ever rose in those times, and that tenpence, a shalling, or at most two shillings, were the ordinary prices. Upon consulting the manuseript, however, it appears evidently, that all these prices are only set down as examples of the proportion which ought to be observed between the respective prices of wheat and bread. The last words of the statute are, "reliqua judicabis secundum prascripta habendo respectum ad pretium bladi." "You shall judge of the remaining cases according to what is above written, baving a respect to the price of corn."

Thirdly, They seem to have been misled too by the very low price at which wheat was sometimes soid in very ancient times; and to have imagined, that as its lowest price was then much lower than in later times, its ordinary price must likewise have been much lower. They might have found, however, that in those ancient times, its highest price was fully as much above, as its lowest price was below, any thing that had ever been known in later times. Thus in 1270, Fleetwood gives us two prices of the quarter of wheat. The one is four pounds sixteen

[^29]shilling of the money of those tunce, equal to fourteca pounds eight shilhugs of that of the present; the other is sis pounds eyght shilhings, equal to mineten pounds four shillings of our present money. 1 No price can be found in the end of the fiftecuti, or beguming of the sixteenth century, which approaches to the extravagance of these. The price of corn, thourh at all times lable to Burkation, varics most in those turbulent and diworderly socitues, in whech the interruption of all commerce and communtcation hiuders the plenty of one part of the country from relaeving the scarcity of another. In the disorderly state of England under the Ilantagenets, who governed it from about the mudle of the twelfth, till towards the cad of the fifteenth century, one district might be in plenty, while anothcr, at no great distance, by having its crop destroyed etther by some acerdent of the seasons, or by the incurstion of some netgbbourung baron, might be suffering all the horrors of a fas mine; and yet if the lands of same hostule ford were inturposed between them, the one mutht not be able to give the least assivtance to the other Under the vigorous administrition of the Tudors, who governed England during the latter part of the hfteenth, and through the whole of the sixteenth centurv, no baron was powerful enough to dare to disturb the pubhe security.

The reader will find at the end of this chapter all the prices of wheat which have then collected by Fletwood, from 1202 to 1797, both melusive, reduced to the money of the present tunes, and digested according to the ofder of tume, into seven divisions of trelve years each. At the end of each divistion too, he will find the sverage price of the twelve years of which it consists. In that long period of tume, Fleetwood has been able to collect the prices of no more than eighty years, so that four years are wanting to make out the last twelve years. I hove added, thercfore, from the accounts of Eton college, the prices of 1598,1599, 1600 , and 1601 . It is the only addition Which I have made. The reader will see, that from the beginning of the thirteenth, till after the middle of the sisteenth century, the average price of each twelve years grows gradually lower and lower; and that towards the and of the sixtecath century it begins to rise again. The prices, indeed, which Flectrond has been able to collect, setin to have been those chuefy which were remarkahle for extraurdinary dearness or cheapness; aud I do not pretend that any very certam couclusions can be drawn from them. So far, however, as they prove any thing at all,
I Very little dependence can bo placed on the scruants of prices at this remote bera It is, indeed, fiute imposaible that the prices mentwoned in the
they confirn the actount which I wae be.. endeavourng to give. Flectwood hmell", however, seems, with most other writers, to have beheved, that during all this period the value of silver, in consequence of its ancreasing abundance, was contunually diminishung The prices of corn wheh he humselt has collected, certanly do not arree with this opinion. They agree perfectly with that of Mr. Duprè de St. Maur, and with that wheh I have been endeavourng to explain. Bishop Fleetwood and Mr. Dupre de St. Maur are the two authors who seem to have collected, with the greatest diligence and fidelity, the prices of things in ancient times It is somewhat curious that, though their opinions are so very different, their facts, so far as they relate to the price of corn at least, should coinctede so very exactly.

It is not, however, so much from the low price of corn, as from that of some other parts of the rude produce of land, that the most judicious writers have mferred the great value of silver in those very ancient times. Corn, it has been said, being a sort of manulacture, was, in those rude ages, much dearer in proportion than the greater part of other commodities; it is meant, I suppose, than the greater part of unmanufactured commodities; such as cattle, poultry, game of all kinds, \&c. That in thos: times of poverty and barbarism these were propertionably much eheaper than corn, is undoubtedly true. But this cheapness was not the effect of the high value of silver, but of the low value of those commodities. It was not because siver would in such tumes purchase or represent a greater quantity of labour, but because such commodities would purchase or represent a much smaller quantity than on times of more opulence and improvement. Shlver must certaunly be cheaper in Spanish America than in Europe; in the country where it is produced, than in the country to which it is brought, at the expense of a long carrage both by land and by sea, of a freight and an insurance. One-and-twenty pence halfpenny sterling, however, we are told by Llloa, was, not many years ago, at Buenos Ayres, the price of an ox chosen from a herd of three or four hundred. Sixteen shillings stering, we are told by Mr. Byron, was the price of a good horse in the capital of Chin. In a country naturally fertule, but of which the far greater part is altogether uncultuated, cattle, poultry, game of all kınds, \&c., as they can be acquired with a vers small quantity of labour, so they will purchase or command but a very small quantity. The Luw money price for which they may be sold,
text thoutd have been pad by any considerable munber of persoas.
is no proof that the real value of silver is there very high. but that the real value of those commodities is very low.

Labour, it must always be remembered, and not any particular commodity or set of commodities, is the real measure of the value both of silver and of all other commoditias.

But in countries almost waste, or but thinly inhabited, cattle, poultry, game of all kinds, \&c., as they are the spontaneous productions of nature, so she frequently produces them in much greater quantities thath the consumption of the inhabitants requires. In such a state of things the supply commonly exceeds the demand. In different states of society, in different stages of improvement, therefore, such commodities will represent, or be equivalent to, very different quantities of labour.

In every state of society, in every stage of improvement, corn is the production of human industry. But the average produce of every sort of industry is always suited, more or less exactly, to the average consumption; the average supply to the average demand. In every different stage of improvement, besides, the raising of equal quantities of corn in the same soil and climate, will, at an average, require nearly equal quantities of labour; or what comes to the same thing, the price of nearly equal quantities; the continual increase of the productive powers of labour in an improving state of cultivation, being more or less counterbalanced by the continually increasing price of cattle, the principal instruments of agriculture. 1 Upon all these accounts, therefore, we may rest assured, that equal quantities of corn will, in every state of society, in every stage of improvement, more nearly represent, or be equivalent to, equal quantities of labour, than equal quantities of any other part of the rude produce of land. Corn, accordingly, it has already been observed, is, in all the different stages of wealth and improvement, a more accurate measure of value than any other commodity or set of commodities. In all those different stages, therefore, we can judge better of the real value of silver, by comparing it with corn, than by comparing It with any other commodity, or set of commodities.

Corn, besides, or whatever else is the common and favourite vegetable food of the people, constitutes, in every civilized country, the principal part of the subsistence of the labourer. In consequence of the extension of agriculture, the land of every country produces a much greater quantity of vegetable than of animal food, and the labourer everywhere lives chiefly upon the wholesome food that is cheapest and most abundant.

- For an exposition of the fillary of this doctrine, see notes on Corn laws and fent, end of the

Eutcher's meat, except in the most thriving countries, or where labour is most hughly rewarded, makes but an insignificant part o. his subsistence; poultry makes a still sinaller part of it, and game no part of it. In France, and even in Scotland, where labour is somewhat better rewarded than in France, the labouring poor seldom eat butcher's meat, except upon holidays, and other extraordinary occasions. The money price of latwour, therefore, depends much more upon the average money price of corn, the subsistence of the labourer, than upon that of butcher's meat, or of any other part of the rude produce of land. The real value of gold and silver, therefore, the real quantity of labour which they can purchase or command, deprends much more upon the quantity of corn which they can purchase or command, than upon that of butcher's meat, or any other part of the rude produce of land.

Such slight observations, however, upon the prices etther of corn or of other commodities, would not, probably, have misied so many intelligent authors, had they not been influenced, at the same time, by the popular notion, that as the quantity of silver naturally increases in every country with the increase of wealth, so its value diminishes as its quantity increases. This notion, however, secms to be altogether groundless.

The quantity of the precious metals may increase in any country from two different causes: either, first, from the increased abundance of the mines which supply it; or, secondly, from the increased wealth of the people, from the increased produce of their annual labour. The first of these causes is, no doubt, necessarily connected with the diminution of the value of the precious metals; but the second is not.

When more abundant mines are discovered, a greater quantity of the precious metals is brought to market, and the quantity of the necessaries and convenieuces of life for which they must be exchanged being the same as before, equal quantities of the metals must be exchanged for smaller quantities of commodities. So far, therefore, as the increase of the quantity of the precious metals in any country arises from the increased abundance of the mines, it is necessarily connected with some diminution of their value.

When, on the contrary, the wealth of any country increases, when the annual produce of its labour becomes gradually greater and greater, a greater quantity of coin becomes necessary, in order to circulate a greater quantity of commodities; and the people. as they can afford it, as they have more commodities to give for it, will naturally purchase a greater and a greater quantity of plate. The quantity of their eoin will in-
crase from necessity, the quantity of their plate trom vaiuty and outcntation, or from the wome reason that the quantity of fine bthitues, pictures, and of evcry other luxury and curionty, is likely to increase among them. But as statuaries and panters are not likely to be worse rewarded in times of wealth and frosperity, than in times of powrity and deprewsion, so gold and salver are not likely to le worse pad for

The price of gold and silver, when the aectental discovery of note abund ant manes ders not hecp it down, as it naturally rises will the wealth of every country, so, whatwer be the state of the manes, it is at all tumes naturally higher in a rich than in a poos country Gold and silver, like all wher commolitieq, naturally seek the marhet where the best price is given for them, and the best price 18 commonly given for every thing in the country which can best afford it Labour, it must be remombered, is the ultimate price which is paid for every thing, and in countries whete labour is equally well rewarded, the money price of labour will be in proportion to that of the subsistence of the dabourer. Dut gold and silver will niturally exchange for a greater quantity of subsistence in a rich than in a poor country, in a countiy which abounds with subsintence, than on one which is but indiffierently supplied with it. If the two countries are at a great distance, the difference may be very great; because though the methls nuturally fly from the worse to the better marhet, yet it may be difficult to to anpoit them in such quantitus as to briug their price nearly to a level in both. If the countrits are near, the diflerence will be smaller, and may sometumes bo searce percuptible ; because in this case the transportation will be easy. Chma is a much richer country than any part of Europe, and the difference between the price of subisistence in China and in Europe is very great. ${ }^{1}$ Rice in Chma iq much cheaper than wheat is anywhere in Europe. Enghand is a much richer country than Scotland : but the difference between the money price of corn ilithove two countries is much smaller, and is but just perceptible. In proportion to the quantity or neasure, Scotch corn geneally appears to be a good deal eheaper than 1.nchish; but in proportion to its quality, it is cutamly, somewhat dearer. Scothand rucelec, almost every year, very large supphes trom England, and every commodity runst comnonly be somen bat dearer in the country to which it is brought than in that from which it comes. ${ }^{3}$ English corn, there-

- Dr Sottth indet has formed hiv opibion of the richez of Chata irom the represtent stions of the earlier
 th tod acoobuts shon that Chama, haste at of be bese a rich is reatly 1 forip illeculebated county the

fore, must be dearer in Scoland than in England, and yct in proportion to 1 ts quality, or to the quantity and goodness of the flour or meal which can be made from it, it cannot commonly be sold higher there than the Scotch cort, wheh comes to market in competition with it.

The difference between the money price of labour in China and in Europe is still greater than that between the monty price of subsistence; because the real recompence of hatour is higher in Europe than in Chna, the greater part of Europe beng in an improving state, while China seems to be stanilng still. The money price of latour is lower in Scotland than in England, because the real ricompence of labour is much lower; Scotland, though advaneing to greater wealth, is advaneing mueh more slowly than Englaud ${ }^{5}$ The frequency of emigration fiom Scothand, and the rarity of it from England, sufficently prove that the demand for labour is vely different in the two countries. The proportion between the real iecompence of labour in different countries, it must be semenbered, is naturally regulated, not by their actual wealth or poverty, but by their advancing, stationary, or declining condition.

Gold and shlier, as they are naturally or the greatest value among the ricbest, so they are naturally of the least value among the poorest nations Among savages, the poorest of all nations, they are of scarce any value.

In great towns corn is always dearer than in remote parts of the country. This, howcver, is the effect not of the real cheapness of shlier, but of the real dearness of corn. It does not cost has labour to bring slver to the great town than to the remote parts of the country, but it costs a great deal more to bring corn

In some verv rich and commercial countries, such as Holland and the territory of Genos, corn is dear for the same reason that it is dear in great towns. They do not produce enough to mantain therr inhabitants They are rich in the industry and skill of their artificers and manufacturers; in every sort of machnery which can facelitate and abrulge labour; in shipping, and in all the other instruments and means of carriage and commerce; but they are poor in corn, which, as it must be brought to them fiom distant counties, must, by an adiltion to its price, pay for the carriage from those countries. It does not cost less labour to bring silver to Austerdam than to Dintzick; but it costs a great deal more to bring corn. The real
and misery prexail to an extent pnknown any where in turope, bith the exception of aneland
y Ihid, "W Was prestiously observert, is no Joncer the tae The evports of corn from Scoltand ta Fng! ind are now gran rill, wreiter than toe umpurs. - 4re butasarta, l'p 34 and at
cost of siiver must be nesrly the same in both places ; but that of corn must be very different. Diminish the real opulence either of Holland or of the territory of Genoa, while the number of their inhabitants remains the same: diminish their power of supplying themselves from distant countries; and the price of corn, instead of sinking with that diminution in the quantity of their silver, which must necessarily accompany this declension either as its cause or as its effect, will rise to the price of a famine. When we are in want of necessaries, we must part with all superfluities, of which the value, as it rises in times of opulence and prosperity, so it sinks in times of poverty and distress. It is otherwise with necessaries. Their real price, the quantity of labour which they can purchase or command, rises in times of poverty and distress, and sinks in times of opulence and prosperity, which are always tumes of great abundance; for they could not otherwise be times of opulence and prosperity. Corn is a necessary, silver is only a superfluity.

Whatever, therefore, may have been the increase in the quantity of the precious metals, which, during the period between the middle of the fourteenth and that of the sixteenth century, arose from the increase of wealth and improvement, it could have no tendency to diminish their value either in Great Britain or in any other part of Europe. If those who have collected the prices of things in ancient times, therefore, had, during this period, no reason to infer the diminution of the value of silver, from any observations which they had made upon the prices either of corn or of other commodities, they had still less reason to infer it from any supposed increase of wealth and improvement.

## GECOND PEBIOD.

But how various soever may have been the opinions of the learned concerning the progress of the value of silver during the first period, they are unanimous concerning it during the second.

From about 1570 to about 1640, during a period of about seventy years, the variation in the proportion between the value of silver and that of corn, held a quite opposite course. Silver sunk in its real value, or would exchange for a smaller quantity of labour than before; and corn rose in its nominal price, and instead of being commonly sold for about two ounces of silver the quarter, or about ten shillings of our present money, came to be sold for six and eight ounces of silver the quarter, or about tbirty and forty shillings of our present money.

The discovery of the alhundant mines of America seems to have been the sole cause
of this diminution in the value of sulver in proportion to that of corn. It is accomented for accordingly in the same manner by every body; and there never has been any dispute etther about the fact, or about the cause of it. The greater part of Europe was, during this period, advancing in industry and improvement, and the demand for silver must consequently have been increasing. But the increase of the supply had, it seems, so far exceeded that of the demand, that the value of that metal sunk considerably. The discovery of the mines of America, it is to be observed, does not seem to have bad any very sensible cffect upon the prices of things in England till after 1570; though even the mines of Potosi had been discovered more than twenty years before.

From 1595 to 1620 , both inclusive, the average price of the quarter of nine bushels of the best wheat at Windsor market, appears, from the accounts of Eton College, to have been $22^{21 g}$. 68d. From which sum, neglecting the fraction, and deducting as ninth, or $4 e$. $7 \frac{1}{d .}$, the price of the quarter of eight bushels comes out to have been $\pm 1$ 16s. $10 \mathrm{~g} d$. And from this sum, neglecting likewise the fraction, and deducting a ninth, or $48.1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~d}$., for the difference between the price of the best wheat and that of the middle wheat, the price of the middle wheat comes out to have been about $\mathbb{E 1} 12 a$. $88 d$. or about six ounces and one-third of an ounce of silver.

From 1621 to 1636, both inclusive, the average price of the same measure of the best wheat at the same market appears, from the same accounts, to have heen $\nless 210 \mathrm{a}$; from which, making the like deductions as in the foregoing case, the average price of the quarter of eight bushels of middle wheat comes out to have been $\mathcal{E 1} 19 \mathrm{~s}$. 6d., or about seven ounces and two-thirds of an ounce of silver.

## THIAD PRAIOD.

Between 1650 and 1640, or about 1696. the effect of the discovery of the mines of America in reducing the value of silver, appears to have been completed; and the value of that metal seems never to have sunk lower in proportion to that of corn than it was about that time. It geems to bave ristn somewhat in the course of the present century, and it had probably begun to do so even some time before the end of the last.

From 1697 to 1700, both inclusive, being the sixty-four last yeare of the last century, the average price of the quarter of nine bushels of the best wheat at Windsor markut appears, from the same accounts, to have been $t 211 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{O}_{3}^{\prime} d$; which is only $1 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~d}$. dearer than it had been during the sixteen years before. But in the course of theer
sinty-four years here happened too evonts which must bave produced a much greatur wataty of corn than what the courve of the ariwnis would otherwise have occasionied, Aud wheh, therefore, without supposing ant further redsetion in the value of siver, will much more than acount for this very small enhancement of price.

The tirst of there events was the cwil war, which, by diwouraging tullste and interrupting commerce, must have rased the faice of corn much above what the course of the seasons would otherwise have occawond. It must have bad this effect more or liss at all the different markcts in the kinghiom, but particularly at those in the neighbourhood of london, which require to l... mupplicd from the greatest distance In li, in, decordingly, the price of the best $n$ heat at IV indsor marhet appears, from the same arcuunts, to have heen 42.58 , and in 1649 to have been $4 L$ the quarter of nine bushels. The uncess of thone two years above 21.108 (the averace price of the suxteen years precoding 1037 ) is $5 l$. 54 ; which, divided among the sirty-four last years of the last antury, will alune very niarly account for that small enhancement of price which seems to have taken place in them. These, howaser, though the highest, are by no means the ouly hinh prices which seem to have been ucasioned liy the chal wars.

The second ew at was the bounty upon the exportation of corn, granted in 1685.1 The twunty, it has been thought by many people, by encourasing tullare, may, an a long course of yarshave orasioned a greater abundance, and constquently a greater cheapness of corn in the home marhit than a hat would otheru se have taken place there. How far the bounty could produce this effect at any time, I slisll ex mome hereafter; I shall only observe at present, that between 1688 and 1700 at had not time to proluce any such effect. During this chort persod its only effect must have bexn by encouraging the exportation of the surplus produce of every year, and thereby limdering the abundance of one year from comprensating the scarcity of another, to rase the price in the home market. The scarcity which prevailed in England from 1093 to 1699 , both inclusive, though no doubt principally owing to the badness of the stasons, and, therefore, xtending through a considerable part of Europe, must have been somewhat enhaticed by the bounty. In lign, accordngly, the further exportation of corn was prohubited for nue months.

Hhere as a thad event wheh occurred in the course of the same period, and which, though it could not occaswon any scarcity of corn, nor, perhaps, any augmentation in the resi quantity of silver wheh was uavally

[^30]patul for $1 t$, must neessurily have oceasmand some augnentation in the nommal sum. This ewent was the great debasement of the sulver com, by clipping and wearing This esil had begun in the reign of Charles II , and had gone on contmially ineruasing whl 1095; at which tume, as we may learn freso Mr. Lowndes, the current silvir con a is at an average, near five-and-twenty per cent beluw its standard value. But the nommal sum which constitutes the market price of every commodity is necisnarily requlated, not so much by the quantity of sulver, which, according to the standard, ought to be contaned in it, as by that whib, it is found liv experience, actually is contaned in it. This nominal sum, thercfore, is necesarily higher When the coin is much debased by clippiag and wearing, than when near to its stambard value.

In the course of the present century, the wher com has not at any tume been more below its standard weiglit than it is at present. But though wery much difaeci, its wilue has been kept up by that of the gold coin for which it is exchanged. For though before the late reconage, the gold com was a good deal defaced too, it was less so than the solver. In 1695, on the contrary, the value of the silver com was not hept up by the gold coin; a guinea then commonly exchangmir for thirty shallings of the worn and chipt wher. Batore the late recomage of the Fold, the price of silver bullion was saldom lugher than five shllungs and sevenpence an ounce, which is but fiveponce above the mant price. But in 1605 , the common price of silver bultion was six shilings and fiverence an ounce, 8 which is fifteen pance above the mint price. Even before the late recomage of the gold, theretore, the coin, gold and silver together, when compared with silier bulhon, was not supposed to be more than cight per cent. below its standard value. In 1695, on the contrary, it had been suppowed to be near fiveand-twenty per cent. below that value. But in the beginning of the present century, that is immediately after the great recoinage in King Willam's time, the greater part of the current silver com must have leen still nearer to its standard wight than it is at present. In the course of the present century too there has been no great public calamity, such as the cual war, which could either discourage tillage, or anterrupt the interior commerce of the country. And though the bounty, whah has talen place through the greater part of this century, must aluays rase the proce of corn somewhat higher than it otherwise would be in the actual state of thllafe, get as, in the course of this century, the bounty his had full tune to produce all the grod

[^31]effects commonly imputed to it, to encourage tillage, and thereby to increase the quantity of corn in the home market, it may, upon the principles of a system which I shall explain and examine hereafter, be supposed to have done something to lower the price of that commodity the one way, as well as to raise it the other. It is by many people supposed to have done more. In the sixtyfour first years of the present century accordingly, the average price of the quarter of nine bushels of the best wheat at Windsor market, appears, by the accounts of Eton College, to bave been 2l. Os. $6 \frac{19}{32} d$., which is about ten ahillings and sixpence, or more than five-andtwenty per cent. cheaper than it had been during the sixty-four last years of the last century; and about nine shillings and sixpence cheaper than it had been during the sixteen years preceding 1636, when the discovery of the abundant mines of America may be supposed to have produced its full effect; and about one shilling cheaper than it had been in the twenty-six years preceding 1620, before that discovery can well be supposed to have produced its full effect. According to this account, the average price of middle wheat, during these sixty-four first years of the present century, comes out to have been about thirty-two shillings the quarter of eight bushels.

The valuc of silver, therefore, seems to have risen somewhat in proportion to that of corn during the course of the present century, and it had probably begun to do so even some time before the end of the last.

In 1687, the price of the quarter of nine bushels of the best wheat at Windsor market was 1l. 5s. 2d., the lowest price at which it had ever been from 1595.

In 1688, Mr. Gregory King, a man famous for his knowledge of matters of this kind, estimated the average price of wheat in years of moderate plenty to be to the grower 3s. 6d. the bushel, or eight-andtwenty shillings the quarter. The grower's price $I$ understand to be the same with what is sometimes called the contract price, or the price at which a farmer contracts for a certain number of years to deliver a certain quantity of corn to a dealer. As a contract of this kind saves the farmaer the expense and trouble of marketing, the contract price is generally lower than what is supposed to be the average market price. Mr. King bad judged eight-and-twenty shillings the quarter to be at that time the ordinary contract price in years of moderate plenty. Before the scarcity occasioned by the late extraordinary course of bad seasons, it was, 1 have been assured, the ordinary contract price in all common years.

In 1688 was granted the pariamentary bounty upon the exportation of corn. The eountre gentlemen, who then composed a
till greater proportion of the legislature than they do at present, had felt that the tooney price of corn was falling. The bounty was an expedient to raise it artuficially to the high price at which it had frequently been sold in the times of Charles 1. and II. It was to take place, therefore, tull wheat was so high as forty-eight shillings the quarter; that is twenty shillings, or fthe dearer than Mr. King had in that very year estimated the grower's price to be in times of moderate plenty. If his calculations deserve any part of the reputation which they have obtained very universally, eight-andforty shillings the quarter was a price which, without some such expedient as the bounty, could not at that time be expected, except in years of extraordinary scarcity. Hut the government of King Willam was not then fully settled. It was in no condition to refuse any thing to the country gentlemen, from whom it was at that very time soliciting the first establishment of the annual land-tax.

The value of silver, therefore, in proportion to that of corn, had probably risen somewhat before the end of the last century; and it seems to have continued to do so during the course of the greater part of the present; though the necessary operation of the bounty must have hindered that ri4e from being so sensille as it otherwise would have been in the actual state of tillage.

In plentiful years the bounty, by occasioning an extraordinary exportation, necessarily raises the price of corn alove what it otherwise would be in those years. To encourage tillage, by keeping up the price of corn even in the most plentiful years, was the avowed end of the institution.

In years of great scarcity, indeed, the bounty has generally been suspended. It must, however, have had some effect even upen the prices of many of those years, 1 y the extraordinary exportation which it occasions in years of plenty, it must frequently hinder the plenty of one year from compensating the scarcity of another.

Both in years of plenty and in years of scarcity, therefore, the bounty raisea the price of corn above what it naturally would be in the actual state of tillage. If, during the sixty-four first years of the present century, therefore, the average price has been lower than during the sixty-four last gears of the last century, it must, in the same state of tillage, have been much more so, had it not been for this operation of the bounty.

But without tise bounty, it may be sad, the state of tullage would not have been the same. What may have been the effects of this ingtitution upon the agriculture of the country, I shall endeavour to explain hereafter, when I conie to treat particuiarly of bounties. I shall only observe at prexinh that this rise in the value of solver. in prom
portion to that of corn, has not been pecular to England. It has been observed to have tuken phete in France durng the same prond, and nearly in the same proportion then, by three very fathful, dibgent, and lattraiuns collecturs of the priess of eorn, M. Dupre de St. Maur, Mr Monsance, and the sultur of the Easay on the Police of Grain. Hut in France, till 1761, the cxportation of gram was by law probubited; and it is somewhat diflicult to suppose, that nealy the same dimunution of price whelit took plee in one country, notwithstanding the phohbition, huruld in another loe owing to the extrandhary encouragement given to - Pwortation.

It would be more pooper, puhaps, to cumeder this varation in the average money pure of corn as the ctfert rather of some pradual rise un the ral value of stlver mine European marhet, than of any fall in the real average valus of corn. Conn, it has wheady been olserved, is at distant periods of thine a more accurate measure of value that wher siles, or perhaps any other commodity. When, after the discovery of the ahoundmet manes of America, corn rose to three and four times ats former money price, thas change was universally ascribed, not to hay ine $m$ the real value of corn, but to a $f$ fall in the real value of silver. If, during the sixty-four fist years of the present century, therefore, the avernge money price of corn has fallen somewhat below what it had been during the greater part of the last century, we slonald in the same manner mapute thus chanes, not to any tall in the real value of corn, but to sume sise in the real value of vilur an the Eucpean narket.
'I'he hifh puice of corn during these ten or twelve geare past ', moded, has occasioned a suypiem that the real value of silver still eco timues to fall in the European market. This high price of corn, however, seems evidently to have been the effect of the ex(riordmary unfavourableness of the seasons, nut ourht thircfore to be regarded, not as a permanent, but as a transitory and occasional event. The seasons for these ten or twelle years past have been unfavourable through the greater part of Europe; and the disorders of Poland have vary mach increased the starety in all those countrics whelt, in dear years, used to be supphed fion that maket. So long a course of bad sasous, though not a very common event, is by no means a sugular one; and whoever has wquired much into the history of the pices of corn in former times, will be at no luse to recollect several other examples of the sume huth, Ten years of extraordamary scieity be sudes are not more wonderful than t'n gears of extraordanary plenty. The low price of corn fioin 1741 to 17 .0, , both melu-

That is, the ta nor twehe yeara muding with 1775 .
sive, may very woll be sct in epponition to its high price durng these last eught or ten years. From 1711 to 1750 , the average puce of the anarter of nune bustiels of the beat wheat at Windror manet, 11 apptars from the accounts of Eton Collige, w'as only 11. 13s. $9 d^{\prime} d$, which is ncarly $6 s$. . $3 d$ below the average price of the sixty-four first years of the present century The average price of the quarter of ugnt bushels of maddle wheat cones out, according to this account, to have been, during these ten years, only $1 /$ 6s. 81.

Between 1741 and 1750, however, the bounty must have hondered the price of corn from filling so low in the home market as it naturally trould bave done. During these ten years the quantity of all surts of gran exported, it appears fiom the custom-louse houks, amounted to no less than eqght melhons twenty-mine thonsand one hundred and fifty-six quarters one bualicl. The bounty pad fur this amounted to $1,514,962 l .17 \mathrm{~s} .41 \mathrm{~d}$. In 1749 accordingly, Mr. Pelham, at that time prime mimster, observed to the Ilouse of Commons, that for the three years preceding, a vely extraot duary sum had been pard as bounty for the exportation of corn. He had good reavon to make this observation, and in the following year he might have had still better. In that single year the bounty paid mounted to no less than $324,1762.10$. 6. $\mathbf{d}^{2}$ It is unnecessary to observe how much this furced exportation must have rased the price of corn above what it otherwise would have been in the home market.

At the end of the accounts annered to this chapter the reader will find the particular account of those ten years separated from the rest. He will find there too the partheular account of the preceiling ten years, of whel the aserage is hikewase below, though not so much below, the general average of the sixty-four first years of the century. The year 1740, however, was a 3 war of extraordinary scarety. These tweoty years preceding 1750, jnay very well be set in opposition to the twenty preceding $17 \%$. As the former were a good deal below the general nverage of the century, notwithstanding the intervention of one or two dear ycars; so the latter have been a good deal above it, notwithstanding the intervention of one or two cheap ones, of 1759 , for example. If the former have not been so much below the general averige, as the latter have been above it, we ought proinabig to impute it to the hounty. The change has evidently been too sudden to be ascribed to any change in the value of silver, which is aluyys slow and gradual. The suddenness of the effict can be accounted fur only by a cause aheh can operate suddenly, the acudcotal variation of the seasons.

[^32]The money piice of labour in Great Britain has, indeed, risen during the course of the present century. This, however, seems to be the effect, not so much of any diminution in the value of silver in the European market, as of an increase in the demand for labour in Great Britain, arising from the great and almost universal prosperity of the country. In France, a country not altogether so prosperous, the money price of labour has, since the middle of the last century, been observed to sink gradually with the average money price of corn. Both in the last century and in the present, the daywages of common labour are there said to have been pretty uniformly about the twentieth part of the average price of the septier of wheat, a measure which contains a little more than four Winchester bushels. In Great Britain the real recompence of labour, it has already been shown, the real quantities of the necessaries and conveniences of life which are given to the labourer, has increased considerably during the course of the present century. The rise in its money price seems to have been the effect, not of any diminution of the value of silver in the general market of Europe, but of a rise in the real price of labour in the particular market of Great Britain, owing to the peculiarly happy oircumstances of the country.

For some time after the first discovery of America, silver would continue to sell at its former, or not much below its former price. The profits of mining would for some time be very great, and much above their natural rate. Those who imported that metal into Europe, however, would soon find that the whole annual importation could not be disposed of at this high price. Silver would gradually exciange for a smaller and a smaller quantity of goods. Its price would sink gradually lower and lower till it fell to its natural price; or to what was just sufficient to pay, according to their natural rates, the wages of the labour, the profits of the stock, and the rent of the land, which must be paid in order to bring it from the mine to the market. In the greater part of the silver mines of Peru, the tax of the king of Spain, amounting to a tenth of the gross produce, eats up, it has already been observed, the whole rent of the land. This tax was originally a half; it soon afterwards fell to a third, then to a fifth, and at last to a tenth, at which rate it still continues. In the greater part of the silver mines of Peru this, it seems, is all that remains, after replacing the stock of the undertaker of the work, together with its ordinary profits; and it seems to be universally acknowledged that these profits, which were once very

[^33]high, are now as low as they can well be, consistently with carrying on their works.

The tax of the king of Spain was reduced to a fifth part of the registered silver in 15042, one-and-forty yeary before 1545, the date of the discovery of the mines of Potoai. In the course of ninety years, or before 1636, these mines, the most fertile in all America ${ }^{2}$, had time sufficient to produce their full effect, or to reduce the value of silver in the European market as low as it could well fall, while it continued to pay this tar to the king of Spain. Ninety years is time sufficient to reduce any commodity, of which there is no monopoly, to its natural price, or to the lowest price at which, while it pays a particular tax, it can continue to be sold for any considerable time together.

The price of sulver in the European market might, perhaps, have fallen still lower, and it might have become necessary either to reduce the tax upon it, not only to onetenth, as in 1736, but to one-twentieth, in the same manner as that upon gold, or to give up working the greater part of the American mines which are now wrought. The gradual increase of the demand for silver, or the gradual enlargement of the market for the produce of the silver mines of America, is probably the cause which has ${ }^{\text {. }}$ prevented this from bappening, and which has not only kept up the value of sulver in the European market, but has perhaps even raised it somewhat higher than it was about the middle of the last century.

Since the first discovery of America, the market for the produce of its silver mines has been growing gradually more and more extensive.

First, The market of Europe has become gradually more and more extensive. Since the discovery of America, the greater part of Europe has been much improved. England, Ilolland, France, and Germany ; even Sweden, Denmark, and Russia, have all advanced considerably both in agriculture and in maz nufactures. Italy seems not to have gone backwards. The fall of Italy preceded the conquest of Peru. Since that time it seems rather to have recovered a little. Spain and Portugal, indeed, are supposed to have gone backwards. Portugal, however, is but a very small part of Europe, and the declension of Spain is not, perbaps, so great as is commonly imagined. In the beginning of the sixteenth century, Spain was a very poor country, even in comparison with France, which has been so much improved since that time. It was the well-known remark of the Emperor Charles V., who had travelled so frequently through both countries, that every thing abounded in France, but that every
have been nearly twice as preductive as those of PotosL See Humboidt, Essad Pohtifque rur Le Nouvelle Espagne, tome ili p 377 .
thing was wantung in Spain The uncreasing produce of the agrictilure and manufactures of Europe must necessarily have required a gradual ucrefise in the quantity of olver con to circulate it; and the inereasing number of wealthy indivadualy must have required the like ancrease in the quantiry of their plateand other ornaments of silver.
sermadly, America is itself a new market tor the produce of its own silver mines; and as its advances in agriculture, inductry, and population are much more rapid than those of the most thriving countries in Europe, its demand must merease much more rapudly. The Enghsh colonies are altogether a new market, which, partly for con and partly for plate, requares a contunually augmenting supply of sslver through a great contment where there never was any demand before. The greater part, too, of the Spansh and Portugnese colonies are altogether new markets. New Grenad, the Yucatan, Paraguay, and the Brazils were, before discovered by the Europeans, inhabited by savage nations, who had nether arts nor agriculture. A considerable degree of both has now been introduced unto all of them. Even Mexico and Peru, though they cannot be considered as altogether new marhets, are certainly much more entensive ones than they ever were before. Anter all the wonderful tales which have been publinhed concerning the splendid state of those countrics in ancient times, whoever reads, with any degree of sober judgment, the history of them first discovery and conquest, will evidently discern that in arts, agriculture, and commerce, their inhabitants were much more ignorant than the Tartars of the Uhrame are at present. Even the Peruvialus, the more civalized nation of the (wo, though they made use of gold and silver as orumments, had no corned money of any $k$ ind. Their whole commerce was carried on by bartu, and there was accordingly scarce any duston of labour among them. Those who cultivated the ground were obliged to buld therr own houses, to make their own houst hold furniture, their own clothes, shoes, and instruments of agriculture. The few artificers antong them are sad to have been all mantained by the soverugn, the nobles, and the priests, and were probably their servants or slaves. All the ancient arts of Mexico and Peru have never furnished one single manufacture to Europe. The Spanish armes, though they scarce ever exceeded five huadred men, and frequently did not amount (o) hilf that number, found almost everywhere great difficulty in procurng subsistence. The fammers which they are sadd to have occastoned almost wherever they went, in countries, too, wheli at the same tume are raprosented as very populous and wellcultavated, sufhecently demonstrate that the
story of this populousness and high cultudtion is in a great measure fabulous The Spanish colones are under a government in many respects les favourable to agriculture, improvement, and population, than that of the Eaghish colonics. Thev suem however, to be advaneng in all these much more rapidly than any country in Euripu. In a furtile soil and bappy chmate, the great abundance and cheapness of land, a circum. stance common to all new colonics, is, it seems, so great an advantage as to compensate many deficts in civil govermment. Frezier, who visited l'eru in 1713, represents Lima as containing between twentyfive and twenty-erght thousand inhabitants. Ulloa, who resided in the same country between 1740 and 1746 , represents it as containing more than fifty thousand. The difference in therr nccounts of the populonsness of several other principal towns in Cbula and Peru is nearly the same; and as there seems to be no reason to doubt of the good information of either, it marks an increase which is scarce inferior to that of the English colonies. America, thercfore, is a new market for the produce of its own siver mines, of which the demand must increase much more rapidly than that of the most thrivir 'country in Europe.

Th i $u$, The East Indies is another market $f$ she produce of the silver mines of Am, a, and a market wheh, from the timj ot the first discovery of those mines, has beell continuaily taking off a grevect and a greater quantity of siver. Suce that time, the direct trade between America and the East Indies, which is carried on by means of the Acapulco ships, has been continually augmenting, and the indirect intercourse by the way of Europe, has been augmenting in a still greater proportion. During the sixteenth century, the Portuguese were the only European nation who carried on any regular trade to the East Indies. In the last years of that century the Dutch began to enctoach upon this monopolv, and in a few years expelled them from their prinerpal settlements in India. During the greater part of the last century those two nations divided the most constiderable part of the East India trade between them; the trade of the Dutch contimually augmentug in a still greater proportuon than that of the Portugucse declined. The English and French carried on some trade with India in the last century, but it has been greatly augmented in the course of the present. The East Inda trade of the Swedes and Danes began in the course of the present century. Esen the Muscovites now trade regularly with China by a sort of caravans whech go over land throngh Suberia and Tartary to Pekin The East Indla trede of all thes natoms, if ne eneept that
of the French, which the last war had well nigh armhinated, bas been almost continually augmenting. The increasing consumption of East India goods in Europe is, it scems, so great, as to atford a gradual merease of employment to them all. Tea, for example, was a r rug very hattle used in Europe before the maddie of the last century. At present the value of the tea annually imported by the English East India Company, for the use of their own countrymen, amounts to more than a millinn and a half a year; and even this is not enough; a great deal more being constantly sinuggled into the country from the ports of Holland, from Gottenburg in Sweden, and from the coast of France too, as long as the French East India Company was in prosperity. ${ }^{1}$ The consumption of the porcelain of China, of the spiceries of the Moluccas, of the piece goods of Bengal, and of innumerable other articles, has increased very nearly in a like proportion. The tonnage accordingly of all the European shipping employed in the East Indıa trade, at any one tume during the last century, was not, perhaps, much greater than that of the English East India Company before the late reduction of therr shipping.

But in the East Indies, particularly in China and Indostan, the value of the precious metals, when the Europeans first began to trade to those countries, was mugh higher than in Europe; and it still continy to be so. In rice countries, which gener, yield two, sometimes three crops in the yh each of them more plentuful than any di mon crop of corn, the abundance of foou liust be much greater than in any corn countey of equal extent. Such countries are accordingiy much more populous. In them too the rich, having a greater superabundance of food to dispose of beyond what they theraselves can consume, have the means of purcbasing a much greater quantity of the labour of other people. The retinue of a grandee in China or Indostan accordingly is, by all accounts, much more numerous and

1 The consumption of tex has been greatly increased gince 1775. The quantity legally imported during the 3 years ending with 1783 , amounted to about $5 / 1 /$ milions lbs. a year; but in adrumon to this, a farther quantity of above $7 \%$ milhons lbs . was clan destinely imported, making the whole annual imports amount to about 13 millions lbs. This excess of smuggling was enturely a consequence of the toc high duties on tea : and in 1784, after every other resource had in vain been tried for $1 t s$ suppression, Mr. Pitt reduced the dutiee from 119 to $121 / 2$ per cent. ad valorem. Thif measure was eminently auce-ssful. smuggling having ceased to be lucrative, was immedistely abandoned; and the legal imports of tea were trebled in less than 2 yeare: Its consumption continued to increase with considerable rapidity until 1800 ; but from that period to 1817 it remained pretty atationary, a consequence princrpally of the great additions made to the duty during the interval a but parthy, also, of the way $2 n$ which the E. I. Company supplied the market. From 1817, when the consumption of Great Britain and Ireland amounted to about 24 militons pounds, it gradualiy went on increasing till 1833, when it amounted to $31,829,620 \mathrm{lbs}$. In 1834 the trade with Chus was thrown open; and when thus was done
splendid than that of the richest subjects in Europe. The same superabundance of food of which they have the dosposal, enables them to give a greaker quantaty of it lor all those singular and rete productions which nature furnishes but in yery small quantities; such as the precious metals and the precoous stones, the great objects of the comptituon of the nich. Tbough the mines, ther fore, which supplied the Indian market had been as abundant as those which auppled the European, such commoditues would naturally exchange for a greater quantity of fool in India than in Europe. But the mines wheh supplied the Indian market whth the precious metals seem to have been a good deal less abundant, and those nhich supplied it with the precious stones a good deal more so, than the mines which supplied the European. The precious metals, therefore, would naturally exchange in Inda for somewhat a greater quantity of the precious stones and for a much greater quantty of food than in Europe. The money price of diamonds, the greatest of all superfluities, would be somewhat lower, and that of food, the firit of all necessaries, a great deal lower in the one country than in the other. But the real price of labour, the real quantity of the necessanes of hife which is given to the labourer, it has already been observed, is lower both in China and Indostan, the two great markets of India, than it is through the greater part of Europe. The wages of the Labourer woll there purchase a smaller guantity of food; and as the money price of food is much lower in India than in Europe, the money price of labour 19 there lowir upon a double account; upon account both of the small quantity of food which it will purchase, and of the low price of that food. But in countries of equal art and industry, the money price of the greater part of manufactures will be in proportion to the money price of labour; and in manufactuning art and industry, China and Indostan, though inferior, seem not to be much inferior to any part of Europe. The moncy price of
the duties were fixed at 18 fid., $282 d .$, and $8 s$. per lb, according to qualttv. But it was alleged that it way practicalty impossible to ducrmanate betpeen the teas an which diffirent duties ahould be ctiarged, and that sometimes the inferior quantret were made to pay the high, and the superor qualities the low duty. To obviate these objections it was deternine d, in 1836 , to mpose s duty of 2 s id., mereated in 1840 , to $2 s .24 d$. on all teas without regard to tietr quality or value. But though at may have bees necesary to equaline the dulter, nothing can extrise the magnitude of this single duty by which they were replaced, whit $h$ was begond a duubt the noost oppresgive in our tariff. It was, however, reduced in 1852 ; and from 1857 it amounted to 18. 5d. ptt ib. down to the present year (IsC3), when it wat reduced to $1 g$, whinch is as low ar can well be deared. The entries fir consumption bave tncreased from $36,574,9041 \mathrm{bs}$, in 1635 to $51,172,382 \mathrm{drp}$. in fth 0 , and to $77, \$ 27,749$ do. in 1441 , produceng $5,519,92 l$ nett revenue, bemg the most extrawdisary intunce in the history of the world of the all but unver tal consumption of an article brought from a forena and a distant country.- (For fuil detaits with rexpert to the hostory of the tea trade and the consumptum of tea, see Article 1 ea in Commercual Dictionary)
the greater part of manufactures, therefore, wall naturally be mach lower in thoue grat empires than it is anywhere in turope. 'Ihrough thw greater pint of Durope, too, the expenve of land-campase mereanes verymuch both the rual and noturnal price of most manafuctures. It costs more hibeur, and therefire nore mone v, to brong fiost the maternds, andafterwards the completemanufactureto market. In Chana and Industan the extent aud wariety of mhand nasigationg vave the greater part of thes labom, and consequently of thas money, and thereby reduce went low both the real and the nommal price of the greater fart of theme mabulactures. Uponall these accounts, the prechous metals are a commodity which it always bas been, and still continuca to be, extremely ndvantageous to carry from Europe to Indas There as scarce any commorlity which brams abetter price theie, or which, it proportion to the quantity of labour and commodities which it costs in Europe, will purchase or commind a greator quantity of labour and commoditied is Indad. It is more advanthgeous tiso to carry silver thither than gold; bercause in Chma, and the greater part of the other markets of India, the proportion between fore silver and tine gold is but as ten, or at inust as twelve to one; whercas in Lurope it is as fourters or fifteren to one. In Chima and the greater part of the other markets of Ithin, ten, or at most twelve, ounces of silver will purchase an ounce of gold in Lurope it requires from tourceen to hifteen ounces. In the cargoes, theretort, of the greater part of lurophati shise which sal to Inda, sulver has getnerally been one of the most a a uable articles. It is the movt valuable article in the Ac.ppuleo ships which sall to Manhla. The silver of the new contment sems in thas manner to be one of the principal commodities by which the comnerce between the two extremities of the old one is carried on, and it is by means of it, in a great measure, that those distant parts of the world are connected with one nnother.

In order to supply so very widely extended a maket, the quantity of siver annumbly bronghe trom the mines must not only be salliezent to support that continual increase both of conn and of plate whith is regured in all thiving countres; but to repar that contintal waste and connumption of silver which takes place in all countries n here that metal is used.

The continual consumption of the precious metals in con by wearing, and in plate both by wearmg and cleanug, is iery sensibli; and in commodities of which the use is so very widely extended, would alone re-

1 Humboldt eatimates, that of the aim of 43,570000 dathars et goht and afluer annualiy brought from Amprtin to lurope previmusiy th the revohn tonary convilatatis in the former, pu ies than 20,0 , were subsequentiv exporrent the the Lavant : 17,50 , ont by the route




Guire a very great alinual suppir the consumption of those metas in some particular munufictures, though it may not perhaps be gicater uport the whol than this gradual cunstumption, is, however, much more sensible, as it is much moie raph. In the manulatares of Birmongham alons, the quantity of gold and siver anmually entployed in gildmg and platios, and thereby disqualificd Irom ever aticrwards appearing in the shape of those metals, is sasd to amount to more than fitty thouand pounds stering. We may from thence forn some notion how great must be the ammal consumption in all the diffirent parts of the wordd, etther in manufactures of the same kind with thone of Ibiriningham, or 1 leces, embroiderics, gold and silver stuffs, the filfing of bouks, furniture, \&e. A considerable quantity too must be annually lost in thassporting those metals from one place to another both by sea and by land. In the gleater part of the goveroments of Asia, besides, the almost unversal custom of concealing treasures in the bowels of the carth, of which the knowiedge trequently dies with the person tho makes the concealment, must occasion the loss of a sull greater quantsty.

The quantity of gold and silver imported at both ('adiz and lisbon (including not only what comes under register, but what may be supposed to be sunuggled) anounts, aecording to the best accounts, to about six milhons stering a year.

According to Mr Meggens, ${ }^{1}$ the annual imporiation of the precious metals intompain, at ar average of six years, siz from 1748 to 1733, both inclusire, and into Portagal, at an average of seven years, vis. from 1747 to 175\%, both inclusise; amounted in silver to 1,101,lu7 pounds weight; and in guld to 49,940 pounds weight. The siver at sixtytwo shilings the pound Troy, amounts to $3,413,431 l$. IOs. sterling. The gold, at fortyfour gumeas and a half the pound Iroy, amounts to $2,333,446 l$. 14s. sterling. Both together amount to $5,744,878 l$ 4s. sterling. The account of what was imported under register, he assures us is exact. He gives us the detarl of the particular places from which the gold and silver nere brought, and of the particular quantity of each metal, which, accordung to the register, each of them aftorded. He makes an allowance too for the quantity of each metal which he supposes may have been stougegled. The great expersence of this judicious merchant renders has opmion of considerable weight

According to the eloquent and sometumes well-inforned author of the Phalovopheal and Political History of the Listablishment of the Europesins in the two Indes, the annual importation of registered gold and sulver into Spain, at an average or eleven
${ }^{1}$ Postacript to the Universal Merchont, $p 15$ and 15. This postecript was not proned tititus, three vears after the pubtiction of the bouk, which has never hada second ecturn. The postecript is, theregire, to be found in tew copres; it corrects several errurs in the bouk.-(Aote by the Auchor)
years, viz. from 1754 to 1764, both inclusive, amounted to $18,984,1851$ piasters of ten reals. On account of what may have leen smuygled, however, the whole annual importation, he supposes, may have amounted to seventeen milhons of piasters; which at 4 s . $6 d$. the pirster, is equal to $3,325,000 \mathrm{~L}$ sterling. He gives the detal too of the particular places from which the gold and silver were brought, and of the particular quantities of each metal which, according to the register, each of them afforded. He informs us too, that of we were to judge of the quantity of gold annually imported from the Brazils into Lisbon by the amount of the tax paid to the king of Portugal, which it seems is one-fifth of the standard metal, we might value it at enghteen millions of cruzadoes, or forty-five millions of French livres, equal to about two millions sterling. On account of what may have been smuggled, however, we may safely, he says, add to this sum an eighth nore, or 250,000 . sterling, so that the whole will amount to $2,250,000 \mathrm{~L}$ sterling. According to this account, therefore, the whole annual importation of the precious metals into both Spain and Portugal, amounts to about $6,075,000$. sterling.

Several other very well authenticated, though manuscript, accounta, I bave been assured, agree, in making this whole annual importation amount at an average to about six millions sterling; sometimes a little more, sometimes a little less.
${ }^{1}$ The researches of M. Humboldt thow that the importation of gold and allver from Americs wis considerably greater when the "Wealth of Nallons" was publisher (1776) than Smith suppored, and that it continued to increase down to the commencement of the revolutionary disturbances.

Acorting to humboldt, the annual produce of the American mines, at the commencement of the present century, amounted to 17.291 kilog. ( 46,349 lbs Troy) of gold, and 795,581 kilog. ( $2,182,157$ ibs. Trov) of anver, the yalue of the two being 43,500000 dollars; or taking the dollar at 4s. 3a.) $9,213,700$. Humbildt farther eatimated the annual produce of the European mines and thoae of N. Asta at about a mil ion more.
Bu* the revolutionary struggips which began in 1810 to diaturb S. America and Mexico occasioned a great falling off in the supphics of the prectous metala from these countries; tliese beng estimated in 1829 ar less than half the quantity mentroned by Humboldt. (Jacob's Histarical Inquiry into the Consumption of the Precious Metais, 1s. p. 267.) This falling off was in part compensated by a large increase in the gold and silver obtained from Ruasia; and there wan also a considerable decrease to the exports to the East.

But more recently, or ance 1848 and 1551, when the Califormian and Australian gold fields were discovered, there has been an extraorainary increake in the supply of the precious metals. The nchness of the filds in question has exceeded anything that could prewously have been imagned. The supplues from both sources have in some years considerabiy exceeded $22,000,0 \mathrm{nOL}$; and thouch they sre now a good deal reduced, they may stih (1863) amount to is or 16 millions, to which bave to be added the suppliea from other parta of America, Rusain (why supphea from other parta of America, Rusas (Whyme declined), and other parts of Europen.

Considering the lengthened period durnis which this immense infux of bullion has been going on, it might have been supposed that it wuld have had a powciful infuence over prices, and that they pould have been greatly increased. But suct does nof

The annual importation of the precious metals anto Cadiz and Lisbon, inteed, is not equal to the whole anaual produce of the mines of America. Some part is sent annually by the Acapulco ahps to Manilla; some part is employed in the contrabound trade which the epansh colonies carry or with those of other Luropean nationt; and some part, no doubt, remains in the cuntiry. The mines of America, besides, are by no means the only gold and siver minos in the world. They ere, however, by far the most abundant. The produce of all the other mines which are known, is insignificant, it is acknowledged, in cormparison with thetry; and the far greater part of their produce, it is hkewise acknowledged, is amaually mm ported into Cadiz and Lisbon. But the consumption of Birmugham alone, at the rate of hify thousand pounds a ycar, is equal to the hundred-and-twentueth part of 1has annual importation at the rate of six mullsons 8 year. The whole annual consumption of gold and silver, therefore, in all the dilfer*it countries of the world where those motals are used, may perhaps be nearly equal to the whole annual produce. The reinainder may be no more than sufficient to supply the increasing demand of all thriving countries. It may even have fallen so far short of thas demand as somewhat to raise the price of those metals in the European marker. ${ }^{1}$

The quantity of brass and iron annualiy brought from the mine to the market is out
appear to be the case, and no suffictent evilence has yet been, or, we believf, can be prouticed to aho $=$ thet the value of gold has falien, or that price have risen. This unexpectod resilt it to be accounted fot partly by the greatly mereawed consumpitun of the precrous metals, owing to the vast extermion of the ficld over which they are now opread, and partly, and princupally, to the ummense dernand for them mincis and China, India has alwaym formed, ad to wi re, an abyes in which the precinus metals have buen engulphed, and from which they have never after wards edcaped; and owng to the inporte ircm that cuuntry and Chins having of late ycars larkely exteeded the exports of ordinary merchandioe to them, there bas buen a correspondung dernuni fur bulluon.

In prool of what bas now been tated, we heg to gubjain an account, which ts believed to be nearly accurate, of the respectave and total valucs of the gold and silver exported from the United Kingtom and the Mediterramean ports to the east, duruig each of the 10 years endiag winh lvun:

| Years. | Gold. | Sulver. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1853 | 973,736 | 5.554 | $6,5 \sqrt{2} 75$ |
| 1854 | 1,222,7,5 | 4, Mb, 017 | 6 \% 6 (1) 771 |
| 1453 | 1,141,511 | 7.9 ${ }^{\text {\% }} 185$ | 9.1356 6ty |
| 1456 | 478.7494 | 14.tina, 9f1 | 14,547.549 |
| 1857 | B49,361 | 20145,541 | 20 fich 142 |
| 159 | 331,535 | 5.658,906 | 6.120 501 |
| 1559 | 930,413 | 16,3-4, 5.41 | 17,204, 914 |
| 1500 | 2,434,484 |  | 13,217214 |
| 1 ln 64 | 1,42x,477 | 8 A>9 454 | 14,2-7 8. 5 |
|  | 3,1, 6,6353 | 14,037,734 | 17,104, 60 |
| Cotalt | 12,644, 017) | 105,073,806 | 120,717,473* |

- From the repurt of Mir. James "Lav, 2tin De. cember laba
of all proportion greater than that of gold and silver We do not, however, unon this account, imagme that those coarse metals are likely to muluply beyond the demind, or to become gradually cheaper and cheaper, Why should we magine that the precious metals are likely to do so? The coarse metals, indeed, though harder, are put to much harder uses; and, as they are of less valuc, la care is emploged in their preservation The prectous metals, hoveser, are not necessarily immortal any more than they, but are hasble too to bo lost, wasted, and consumed in a great varicty of ways.

The price of all metals, though lisble to slow and gradual variations, vames less from year to year than that of almost any other part of the rude produce of land; and the price of the preciuus metals is even less hiable to sudilen variations than that of the coarse once. The durableness of metals is the foundation of this extraordinary steadiness of pince. The corn which was brought to marhet last year, will be all or almost all consumed long belore the end of this year But somne part of the iron which was brourht from the mine two or three bundred yeary ago, may be still in use, and perhaps sume part of the gold which was brought from it two or three thousand years afo. The different masses of corn which 1 l dillerent years muse supply the consumpison of the worid, will alwhys be neatly in proportoon to the respective produce of those diff rent ycars. But the proportion between the different masses of iron which may be in use ill two different years will be very little affected by any accidental difference in the produce of the iron mines of those two years; and the proportion betueen the masses of gold wull be still less affected by anv such dtherence in the produce of the gold mines. Though the produce of the greater part of metallic mines, therefore, vartes perhaps stull more trom year to year than that of the greater part of corn felds, those variations have not the same effect upon the price of the one species of commoditues us upon that of the other.

## Fariations in the Propntion betueen the respective Values of Gold and Silver.

Brfons the discovery of the mines of America, the value of the gold to tine shlier nas

And this is exclusive of conoderable quantities And this is exciusive of condind their way the the destina inn throush that find their way to the sime destinainn throush
Rusua and ruikev, and direct from Caltornam and Rusua and Fuikev, and direct from Cahtorma and
Aubtraliz
In hatever oxay explamed, it may he anherai-
eatingly athrmed that hitherto the extramanary increise in thit impiata of golitand wiluet, cspocizally the former, bis bad vers hitlee if ally, nduence over thear valtas. Hut it mimgrasolble to eav how long thet equatet, of walue may be preserved $W$ hen, however, Enonev talis in value, all tixed parments, gin has rints, chatas, Inatitios, the the erest of boinds and mortuaves, and ou forth, which bave to be made b) the industrial ciases, are propor tionally reduced,
regulated in the different mints of Europe, between the proportions of one to ten and one to twelve, that is, an ounce of fine gold was supposed to be worth from ten to twelve ounces of tine silver. About the middle of the last century, it came to be regulated, between the proportions of one to lo'irtcen and one to fitteen; that is, an ounce of fine gold came to be supposed worth between fourteen and fitteen ounces ot the silver. Gold rose in its nommal value, or in the guantity of silver which was given fur it. Moth metals sunk in therr red value, or in the quantity of labour which they could purcase, but sulver sunk more than gold. Though both the gold and silver manes of America exceeded in tertinty all those wheh had ever been known betore, the tertulty of the silver milues had, it seems, becn proportsonably still greater than that of the gold ones.

The great quantites of silver carried annually from Europe to Inda, have, in some of the Enghsh settlements, gradually reduced the value of that metal in proportion to gold. In the mint of Calcutta, an ounce of ime gold is supposed to be worth fittecn ounces of fine stiver, in the same manner as in Eurupe It is in the mint, perhaps, rated too hit is for the value which it bears in the make of Bengal. In Cluna, the proportion of gol o silver still contunues as one to ten, or on , 10 twelve. In Japan, it is said to be as one to eught.

The proportion between the quantuties of gold and silver annually imported into Eurc pe, according to Mr, Meggains's account, ns as one to twenty-two nearly, that is, for one cunce of gold there are imported a little mor than twenty-two ounces of silver. The grea quantuty of silver sent annually to the East lidies, reduc ss, he supposes, the guanthes of those metals which remain in Eunpe to the proportion of one to tourteen or fittren, the proportion of their values. The proportion bitween therr values, be seems to think, must necessaraly be the same as thit between their quantities, and would thereiore be as one to twenty-two, were is not for this greater expertation of sitwer.

But the ordanary propertion between the respective values of two commoditits, w not necescauly the same as that between the quantites of them wheb are commonly in the market. ${ }^{1}$ The price of an ox, reckuned at ten guineas, is about three score times the

Whle the prices of their pr rduc* a and services are pruportionallvincrea ed. Ihey, thertfire, are certaingainers by suct a criange, and there prosperity being uinf. rimy held to be identical ait." badt o. the communty, stesults thas, if the preculas metals shoutd creatually fall m value, throupt the c A, mened anncre ase of the supply, siten tall wall be puint iv ad-
 in the whedition of the Largeiopedan Bri antus. and the 1 reanse on Taxation by the editor of tuas and the 1 realise on Taxati
Wurk, 3 rd ed., pp. $387-339$ )

- The pminithon between the aver.ce vuluea of any


price of a lamb, reckoned at 3s. 6d. It would be absurd, however, to infer from thence, that there are commonly in the market threescore lambs for one ox; and it would be just as absurd to infer, because an ounce of gold will commonly purchase from fourteen to fifteen ounces of silver, that there are commonly in the market only fourteen or fifteen ounces of silver for one ounce of gold.

The quantity of silver commonly in the market, it is probable, is much greater in proportion to that of gold, than the value of a certain quantity of gold is to that of an equal quantity of silver. The whole quantity of a cheap commodity brought to market, is commonly not only greater, but of greater value, than the whole quantity of a dear one. The whole quantity of bread annually brought to market, is not only greater, but of greater value than the whole quantity of butcher's meat; the whole quantity of butcher's meat than the whole quantity of poultry; and the whole quantry of poultry than the whole quantity of wild fowl. There are so many more purchasers for the cheap than for the dear conmodity, that, not only a greater quantity of , but a greater value, can commonly be disi sed of. The whole quantity, therefore, of th, cheap commodity must commonly be greater in proportion to the whole quantity of the dear one, than the value of a certain quantaty of the dear one is to the value of an equal quantity of the cheap one. When wid compare the precious metals with one art, ther silver is a chear, and gold a dear commidity. We ought naturally to expect, thefefore, that there should always be in the market, not only a greater quantity, but a greater value of silver than of gold. Let any man, who has a little of both, compare his own silver with his gold plate, and he will probably find, that not only the quantity, but the value of the former greatly exceeds that of the latter. Many people, besides, have a good deal of silver who have no gold plate, which; even with those who have it, is generally confined to watch-cases, snuff-boxes, and such like trinkets, of which the whole amount is seldom of great value. In the British coin, indeed, the value of the gold preponderates greatly, but it is not so in that of all countries. In the coin of some countrics, the value of the two metals is nearly equal. In the Scotch coin, before the union with England, the gold preponderated very little, though it did somewhat, ${ }^{1}$ as it appears by the accounts of the mint. In the coin of many countries, the silver

[^34]preponderates. In France, the largest sums are commonly paid in that metal, and it 19 there difficult to get more gold than what is necessary to carry about in your pocket The superior value, however, of the silver plate above that of the gold, whech takes place in all countries, will much more than compensate the preponslerancy of the gold coin above the silver, which takes place only in some countries.

Though, in one sense of the word, stlver always has been, and probably always will be, much cheaper than gold, yet, in another sense, gold may, perhaps, in the prevent state of the Spanish market, be sdid to be somewhat cheaper than silver. A commodity may be said to be dear or cheap, nut only according to the absolute greatness or smallness of its usual price, but according as that price is more or less above the lowest for which it is possible to bring it to market for any consuderable time together. This lowest price is that which barely replaces, with a moderate profit, the stock which must be employed in brimging the commodity thither. It is the price which affords nothing to the landlord, of which rent makes not any component part, but which resolves itself altogether into wages and profit. But, in the present state of the Spanish market, gold is certainly somewhat nearer to this lowest price than sulver. The tax of the King of Spain upon gold is only one-twentieth part of the standard metal, or five per cent.; whereas his tax upon silver amounts to one-tenth part of it, or to ten per cent. In these taxes, too, it has already been observed, consists the whole rent of the greater part of the gold and silver mines of Spanish America; and that upon gold is still worse paid than that upon silver. The profits of the undertakers of gold mines, too, as they more rarely make a fortune, must, in general, be still more moderate than those of the undertakers of silver mines. The price of Spansh gold, therefore, as it affords both less rent and less profit, must, in the Spanish market, be somewhat nearer to the lowest price for which it is possible to bring it thither, than the price of Spanish silver. When all expenses are computed, the whole quantity of the one metal, it would seem, cannot in the Spanish market, be disposed of so advantageously as the whole quantity of the othar. The tar, indeed, of the King of Portugal upon the gold of the Brazils, is the same with the ancient tax of the King of Spain upon the silver of Mexico and Peru; or one-fift part of the standard metal. It
quantity of stlyer brought to marict should be ten or twenty times more or less than the quantity of gold. See Armenples of Political Ecomomy, D 810,24 edis.
: See Ruddiman's Freface to Ancerson'0 Diblomata *e. Scolim.
may, therefure, be uncertain whether to the general market of Europe the whole mass of American gold comes at a price nearer to the lowest for which it is possible to bring it thuther, than the whole nass of American silver.

The price of diamonds and other precious stones, may, perhaps, be still nearer to the lowest price at which it is possible to bring them to market, than even the price of gold.

Though it is not very probable that any part of a tax, which is not only imposed upon one of the most proper subjects of taxation, a mere luxury and superfluity, but which affords so very important a revenue, as the tax upon sulver, will ever be given up as long as it is possible to pay it; yet the same impossibility of paying it, which, in 1736, made it necessary to reduce it from one-fifth to one-tenth, may, in time, make it necessary to reduce it still further; in the same manner as it made it necessary to reduce the tax upon gold to one-twentieth. That the silver mines of Spanish America, like all other mines, become gradually more expensive in the working, on account of the preater depths at which it is neecssary to carry on the works, and of the greater expense of drawing out the water and of supplying them with fiesh air at those depths, is acknowledged by every body who has inquired into the state of those mines.

These causes, which are equivalent to a growing scarcity of salver (for a commodity may be sad to grow scarcer when it becomes more difficult and expensive to collect a certain quantity of it , must, in time, produce one or other of the three following events. The increase of the expense must etther, first, be compensated altogether by a proportionable increase in the price of the metal; or, secondly, it must be compensated altogether by a proportionable diminution of the tux upon silver; or, thirdly, it must be compensated partly by the one, and partly by the other of those two expedients. This third evont is very possible. As gold rohe in its price in proportion to silver, notwithstanding a great diminution of the tax upon gold; so stlver might rise in its price in proportion to labour and commodities, notwithstanding an equal diminution of the tax upon sliver.

Suth successive reductions of the tax, however, though they may not prevent altogether, must, certainly, retard more or less the rise of the value of silver in the European market. In consequence of such reductions, many mines may be wrought which could not be wrought before, because they could not afford to pay the old tax; and the quantity of silver annually brought to market must always be somewhat greater, and, therefore, the value of any given quan-
tity somewhat less, than it otherwise would have been. In consequence of the reduction in 1796, the value of silver in the European market, though it may not at this day be lower than before that reduction, 15 , probably, at least ten per cent. lower than it would have been, had the Court of Spain continued to exact the old tax

That, notwithstanding this reduction, the value of silver has, during the course of the present century, begun to nse somewhat in the European market, the ficts and arguments which have been alleged above, dispose me to belheve, or more properly to suspect and conjecture; for the best oprion which I can form upon this subject scarce, perhaps, deserves the name of belicf. The rise, indeed, supposing there has been any, has hitherto been so very small, that after all that has been said, it may, perhaps, appear to many people uncertain, not only whether this event has actually taken place; but wh ther the contrary may not have taken ] tee, or whether the value of silver may no ' still continue to fall in the European market.

It must be observed, however, that whatever may be the supposed annual importation of goid and silver, there must be a certan period, at which the annual consumption of those metals will be equal to that annual impotation. Their consumption must increase as their mass increases, or rather in a mucly greater proportion. As their mass inerc ases, their value diminishes. They are more used, and less cared for, and their consumption consequently increases in a greater proportion than their mass. After a certain period, therefore, the annual consumption of those metals must, in this manner, become equal to their annual importation, provided that importation is not continually increasing; which, in the present times, is not supposed to be the case.

If, when the annual consumption has become equal to the annual importation, the annual importation should gradually diminish, the annual consumption may, for some time, exceed the annual importation. The mass of those metals may gradually and insensibly diminish, and their value gradually and insensibly rise, till the annual importation becoming again stationary, the annual consumption will gradually and insensibly accommodate itself to what that annual importation can maintain.

## Grounds of the Suspicion that the Falue of Silver still contentes to decrease.

Tus increase of the wealth of Europe, and the popular nution that, as the quantity of the precious metals naturally increases with the increase of wealth, so their value dimimushes as therr quantity increases, may, per-
haps, dispose many people to belitve that therr value still continues to fall in the European market; and the still gradually increasing price of many parts of the rude produce of land may confirm them still furthen in this opimon.

That that increse in the quantity of the precious metals, which arises in any country from the increase of wealth, has no tendency to diminish their value, I have endeavoured to show already. Gold and silver naturally resort to a rich country, for the same reason that all sorts of lururies and curiosities resort to it; not because they are cheaper there than in poorer countries, but because they are dearer, or because a better price is given for them. It is the superiority of price which attracts them, and as soon as that superiority ceases, they necessarily cease to go thither.

If you except corn and such cther vegetables as are raised altogether hy human industry, that all other sorts of rude produce, cattle, poultry, game of all kinds, $\}$ a useful fossils and minerals of the earth, $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{4}$. naturally grow dearer as the society advances in wealth and improvement, $I$ have endeavoured to show already. Though such commodities, therefore, come to exchange for a greater quantity of silver than before, it will not from thence follow that sily $t$ has become really cheaper, or will purchas, less labour than before, but that such eonimodities have become really dearer, or will purchase more labour than-before. It is not their nominal price only, but their real price which rises in the progress of improvement. The rise of their nominal price is the effect, not of any degradation of the value of silver, but of the rise in their real price.

## Different Effects of the Progress of Improvement upon three different Sorts of rude Produce.

These different sorts of rude produce may be divided into three classes The first comprehends those which it is scarce in the power of human industry to multiply at all. The second, those which it can multiply in proportion to the demand. The third, those in which the efficacy of industry is either limited or uncertain. In the progress of wealth and improvement, the real price of the first may rise to any degree of extravagance, and seems not to be limited by sny certain boundary. That of the second, though it may rise greatly, has, however, a certain boundary beyond which it cannot well pass for any considerable time together. That of the third, though its natural tendency is to rise in the progress of improvement, yet in the same degree of improvement it may sometimes happen even
to fall, sometimes to continue the same, and someturnes to rise more or less, according as daffereut acendents render the efforts of human industry, in multuplying this sort of rude produce, more or less successful.

## Firgt Sart.

The first sort of rude produce of which the price rises in the progress of improvement, is that which it as scarce in the power of buman industry to multiply at ail. Is consists in those things which nature pro duces only in certain quantitics, and wibul being of a very perishable nature, it is m possible to accumulate together the produce of many different seasons. Such are the greater part of rare and singular birds and fishes, many different sorts of game, almost all wild fowl, all birds of passage in particular, as well as many other things When wealth and the luxury whicb accompanies it increase, the demand for these is likely to increase with them, and no effort of human industry may be able to incroase the supply much beyond what it was before this increase of the demand. The quantuty of such commodities, thercfore, remanung the same, or nearly the same, while the competution to purchase them is continually in creasing, their price may rise to any degree of extravagance, and seems not to be limited by any certain boundary. If woodeocks should become so fashionable as to sell for $t$ wenty guineas a-piece, no effort of human industry could increase the number of those brought to market, much beyond what it is at present. The high price paid by the Romans, in the time of their greatest gran deur, for rare birds and fishes, may in this manner easily be accounted for. These prices were not the effects of the low value of sulver in those times, but of the bigh value of such rarities and curiositues as buman industry could not multiply at plem. sure. The real ralue of silver was higher at Rome, for some time before and atter the fall of the republic, than it is through the greater part of Europe at present. Three sestertii, equal to about sixpence sterling, was the price which the republic paid for the modus or peck of the tithe wheat of Sicily. This price, however, was probably below the average market price, the obligation to deliver their wheat at this rate being considered as a tar upon the Sicilian farmers When the Romans, therefore, had oceasion to order more com than the tithe of wheat amounted to, they were bound by eapitulalation to pay for the surplys at the rate of fout sestertii, or eightpence sterling, the peck; and this had probably been reckoned the moderate and reasenable, that is, the ordinary or average contract price of throse timee; it is equal to about one and-twenty
shillings the quarter. Eight-and-twenty shilling the quarter was, before the late years of ccarcity, the ordinary contract price of Enghoh what, which in quality is inferoor to the Suchan, and generally sells for a lower pries in the European market. The value of silver, therefore, in those ancent tums, must have been to its value in the presint, as thrie to four insersely; that is, three ounces of silver would then have purchased the stime quantity of labour and commodities wheh four ounces will do at present. When we read in Pliny, therefore, that Sums 1 bought a white noghtingale, as a present for the empress Agrippina, at the price of six thousand sesterti, equal to about fifty pounds of our present money; and that Asanus Celer ${ }^{2}$ purchased a surmullet at the price of eight thousand sestertu, equal to about sixty-six pounds thirtcen shllhings and fourpence of our present moncy; the extravagance of those prices, how much soescr it may surprise us, is apt, notwithstanding, to appear to us about onethrd less than it really was. Their real price, the quantity of labour and subsistence which was given away for them, was about ove-thrd more than therr nominal price is apt to express to us in the present tames. Scurs gave for the nightingale the command of a quantity of labour and subsistence equal to what $\mathcal{L} 66$ 13s, $4 d$. would purchase in the present times; and Asmius Celer gave for the surmullet the command of a quantity equal to what $£ 8817 \mathrm{~s}$. 9d. would purchase. What oecasioned the extravagance of those high prees was, not so much the abundance of silver, as the abundance of labour and subsistence, of which those Romans had the disposal, beyond what was necessary for their own use. The quantity of silver, of wheh they had the disposal, was a good deal less than what the command of the same quantity of labour and subsistence would have procured to them in the presint times. 3

## Sccond Sort.

The second sort of rude produce of which the price rises in the progress of impruvement, is that wheh human industry can multuply in proportion to the demand. It consests in those useful plants and animals whech, in uncultivated countrics, nature produces with such profuse abundance, that they are of Jittle or no value, and which, as culthvation advances, are therefore furced to give place to some more profitable produce. Durung a long period in the progress of improvement, the quantity of these is continually diminishing, while at the same time the demand for them is contmually increasing. Thear real value, therefore, the real quantity
of labour a lich they will purchase or command, gradually rise9, till at last it gets so high as to render them as profitable a produce as any thing else which human industry can raise upon the most fertile and best cultivated land. When it has got so high it cannot well go tigher. If it did, more land and more industry would soon be employed to increase their quantity.

When the price of cattle, for example, rises so high that it is as profitable to cultsvate land in order to rase food for them, as in order to raise food for man, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more corn land would soon be turned into pasture. The extension of tillage, by diminshing the quantity of wild pasture, diminishes the quantity of butcher's meat which the country naturallv produces without labour or cultivation, and by increasing the number of those who have ether corn, or, what comes to the same thing, the price of corn, to give in exchange for it, increases the demand. The price of butcher's meat, therefore, and consequently of cattle, must gradually rise till it gets so high, that it becomes as profitable to employ the most fertile and best cultivated lands in rasing food for them as in rasing corn. But it must always be late in the progress of improvement before tullage can be sal far extended as to ratse the price of cattle to this heght; and tull it has got to this height, if the country is advancing at all, their price must be contmually nsing. Ther are, perhaps, some parts of Europe in whicl; the price of cattle has not yet got to this leight. It had not got to thas height in any part of Scotland before the Unon. Had the Scotch cattle been always confined to the market of Scotland, in a country in which the quantity of land, which can be applied to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, is 60 great in proportion to what can be applied to other purposes, it is searce possuble, perhape, that their proce could ever have risen so high as to render it profitable to cultivate land for the sake of feeding them. In England, the price of cattle, it has already been observed, seems, in the neighhourhood of London, to have got to this height about the beginning of the last century; but it was much later probably before it got to it through the greater part of the remoter counties; in some of which, perhaps, it may scarce yet have got to it. Of all the different substances, however, which compose thas secund sort of rude produce, cattle is, perhaps, that of which the price, in the progress of improvement, first rises to this height.
Till the price of cattle, indeed, has got to this height, it seems searce possible that the greater part, even of those lands which are capuble of the highest cultivation, can be
${ }^{3}$ See note, ande, p. 61
completely cultivated. In all farms too distant from any town to carry manure from it, that is, in the far greater part of those of every extensive country, the quantity of well-cultivated land must be in proportion to the quantity of manure which the farm itself produces; and this again must be in proportion to the stock of cattle which are maintained upon it. The land is manured either by pasturing the cattle upon it, or by feeding them in the stable, and from thence carrying out their dung to it. But unless the price of the cattle be sufficient to pay both the rent and profit of cultivated land, the farmer cannot afford to pasture them upon it; and he can still less afford to feed them in the stable. It is with the produce of improved and cultivated land only, that cattle can be fed in the stable; because to collect the scanty and scattered produce of waste and unimproved lands would require too much labour and be too expensive. If the price of the cattle, therefore, is not sufricient to pay for the produce of improved and cultivated land, when they are allowed to pasture it, that price will be still less sufficient to pay for that produce when it must be collected with a good deal of additional labour, and brought into the stable to them. In these circumstances, therefore, no more cattle can, with profit, be fed in the stable than what are necessary for tillage, But these can never afford manure enough for keeping constantly in good condition all the lands which they are capable of cultivmeting. What they afford, being insufficient for the whole farm, will naturally be reserved for the lands to which it can be most advantageously or conveniently applied; the most fertile, or those, perhaps, in the neighbourhood of the farm-yard. These, therefore, will be kept constantly in good condition and fit for tillage. The rest will, the greater part of them, be allowed to lie waste, producing scarce any thing but some miserable pasture, just sufficient to keep alive a few straggling, half-starved cattle; the farm, though much understocked in proportion to what mould be necessary for its complete cultivation, being very frequently overstocked in proportion to its actual produce. A portion of this waste land, however, after having been pastured in this wretched manner for six or seven years together, may be ploughed up, when it will yield perhaps, a poor crop or two of bad oats, or of some other coarse grain, and then, being entirely exhausted, it must be rested and pastured again as before, and another portion ploughed up, to be in the same manner exhausted and rested again in its turn. Such accordingly was the general system of management all over

[^35]the low country of Scotland before the Union. The lands which were kept constantly well manured and in good condition, seldom exceeded a third or a fourth part of the whole farm, and sometimes did not amount to a fifth or a sixth part of it. The rest were never manured, but a certain portion of them was in its turn, notwithstanding, regularly cultivated and exhausted. Under this system of management, it is evident, even that part of the lands of Scolland which is capable of good cultivation, could produce but little in comparison of what it may be capable of produeing. But how disadvantageous soever this system may appear, yet before the Union the low price of cattle seems to have rendered it almost unavoidable. If, notwithstanding a great nse in their price, it still contınues to prevail through a considerable part of the country. it is owing, in many places, no doubt, to ignorance and attachment to old customs, but in most places to the unavoidable ob. structions which the natural course of things opposes to the immediate or speedy estan blishment of a better system: first, to the poverty of the tenants, to their not having yet had time to acquire a stock of cattlo sufficient to cultivate their lands more completely, the same rise of price which would render it advantageous for thern to maintain a greater stock, rendering it more difficult for them to acquire it; and, secondly, to their not having yet had time to put their lands in condition to maintain this greater stock properly, supposing they were capable of acquiring it. The increase of stock and the improvement of land are two events which must go hand in hand, and of which the one can nowhere much outrun the other. Without some increase of stock, thers can be scarce any improvement of land, but there can be no considerable increase of stock but in consequence of a considerable improvement of land; because otherwise the land could not maintain it. These natural obstructions to the establishment of a better system cannot be removed but by a long course of frugality and industry; and half a century, or a century more, perhaps, must pass away before the old system, which is wearing out gradually, can be completely abolished through all the different parts of the country. Of all the commercial advantages, however, which Scotland has derived from the union with England, this rise in the price of cattle is, perhaps, the greatest. It has not only raised the value of all highland estates, but it has, perbaps, been the principal cause of the improvement of the low country. ${ }^{1}$

In all new colonies the great quantity of
sully equal, If is be not auperior to that of England See Statistical Accousnt of the Brituth Emparc, io p. 652.
waste lund, which can for many years be appled to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, soon readers thern extremely abundant, and in every thing great cheapneqs is the necusary consequence of great abundance. Though all the cattle of the European colones in America were originally carried from Furope, they soon multiphed so much there, and became of so little value, that even horses were alluwed to run wild in the woods whout any owner thinking it worth while to clam them. It must be a lung time after the first establishment of such colontes, before it can hecome profitable to feed cattle upon the produce of cultivated lund The sume causes, therefore, the want of manure, and the disproportion between the stok emploved in cultivation, and the land which it is destined to cultisate, are likely to introduce there a system of husbondry not unlike that which still continues to take place in so many parts of Scotland. Mr. Kalin, the Swedish traveller, when he gives an account of the husbandry of some of the Enghh colomes in North Amersa, as he found it in 1749, observes, accordingly, that he can with difficulty discover there the character of the English nation, so well shilled in all the differeut branches of agriculture. They make scarce any manure for therr corn fields, he says; but when one ptece of ground has been exhausted by continual cropping, they clear and cultivate another piece of fresh land, and when that is exhausted, proceed to a third. Their cattle are allowed to wander through the woods and other uncultivated grounds, where they are half-starved; laving long ago exturpated nhmont all the annual grasses by cropping them tuo early in the spring, before they bad tome to firm their Howels, or to shed their seeds. The annual grasses were, it setems, the beet natural grasses in that part of North America ; and when the Europeans hirst settled there, they used to grow very thuh, and to rise three or four fiet hugh. A piece of ground which, when he urote, could not maintan one cow, would in former times, he was assured, have maintained fuur, each of wheh would have given four tumes the quantry of milh which that one was capable of giving. The poorness of the pasture had, in his opinion, occasioned the degradation of their cattle, whieh degenerated senshly from one generation to another. They were probably not unlike that stunted breed wheh was common all over Scotland tharty or fonty years agro, and which is now so much mended through the greater part of the low country, not so much by a change of the breed, though that expedient has been employed in some places, as by a more plentuful method of feeding them

Though it is late, therefore, in the prom ${ }^{1}$ Kalm's Travels, vol. 1. p. 343, 344
gress of improvement before cattle can bring such a price as to render it proftable to cultwate land for the suke of feedrig them; yet of all the different parts whirh compose this second sort of rude prodace, they are perbaps the first which bring this price; because till they bring it, it seems imposssble that improvement can be brought near even to that degree of perfection to which it has arrived in many parts of Europe.

As cattle are among the first, so perbaps venison is among the last parts of this sort of rude produce which brings this price. The price of venison in Great Britain, how extravagant soever it may appear, is not near sufferent to compensate the expense of a dcer park, as is well known to all those who have had any experience in the feeding of deer. If it $u$ as otherwise, the feeding of deer would soon bicome an article of common farming; in the same manner as the feeding of those small birds called Turds was amo g the ancient Romans. Varro and Columella assure us that it was a most profitable article. The fattening of ortolans, burds of passage which arruve lean in the country, is said to be so in some parts of France, If venison continues in fashoon, and thr wealth and luxury of Great Britain increase as they have done for some tume past, its price may very probably rise still higher than it is at present.

Betwien that period in the progress of improvement which brings to its herght the price of so nccessary an artucle as cattle, and that which brings to it the price of such a superfluity as venison, there is a very long interval, in the course of which many other sorts of rude produce gradually arrive at their bighest price, sume sooner and some later, according to different circumstances.

Thus in every farm the offals of the barn and stables will maintain a certan number of poultry. These, as they are fed with what would otherwise be lost, are a mere save-all; and as they cost the farmer scarce any thing, so he can afford to sell them for very little. Almost all that he gets is pure gam, and therr price can scarce be so low as to discourage him from feeding this number. But in countries ill cultwated, and, therefore, but thinly inhabited, the poultry which are thus raised without expense, are often fully sufficient to supply the whole demand. In this state of things, thercfore, they are often as cheap as butcher's meat, or any other sort of animal food. But the whole quantity of poultry, which the farm in thus manner produces without eapense, must always be much smaller than the uthole quantity of butcher's meat which is reared upon it, and in times of wealth and luxury what is mara with only nearly equal merit, is always preferred to $u$ hat is common. As wealth and luxury increase, therefore, in consequence of
improvemeat and cultivation, the price of poultry gradually ris s.s alove that of butcher's meat, thll at last it grets so high that it becomes profitable to cultivate land for the sake of fueding them, When it has got to this height, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more land would soon be turned to this purpose. In several provinces of France, the feeding of poultry is considered as a very important article in rural economy, and sufficiently profitable to encourage the farmer to raise a considerable quantity of Indian corn and buck-wheat for this purpose. $\mathbf{A}$ middling farmer will there sometimes have four hundred fowls in his yard. The feeding of poultry seems scarce yet to be generally considered as a matter of so much importance in England. They are certainly, however, dearer in England than in France, as England receives considerable supplies from France. In the progress of improvement, the period at which every particular sort of animal food is dearest, must maturally be that which immediately precedes the general practice of cultivating land for the sake of raising it. For some tim'e before this practice becomes general, the scarcity must necessardy raise the price. After it has become general, new methods of feeding are commonly fallen upon, which enable the farmer to raise upon the same quartity of ground a much greater quantity of that particular sort of animal food. The plen-y not only obliges him to sell cheaper, but in consequence of these improvements he can afford to sell cheaper; for if he could not afford it, the plenty would not be of long continuance. It has been probably in this manner that the introduction of clover, turmips, carrots, cabbages, \&ce. has contributed to sink the common price of butcher's meat in the London market somewhat below what it was about the beginning of the last century.

The hog, that finds his food among ordure and greedily devours many things rejected by every other useful animal, is, like poultry, orginally kept as a save-all. As long as the number of such animals, which ean thus be reared at little or no expense, is fully sufficient to supply the demand, this sort of butcher's meat comes to market at a much lower price than any other. But when the demand rises beyond what this quantity can supply, when it becomes necessary to raise food on purpose for feeding and fattening hogs, in the same manner as for feeding and fattening other cattle, the price necessarily rises, and becomes proportionably either higher or Iower than that of other butcher's meat, according as the nature of the country and the state of its agriculture, bappen to render the feeding of hogs more or less expensive than that of other cattle. In France, according to M. Buffon, the price of pork
is nearly equal to that of becf. In moxt parts of Great Britan it is at present somowhat higher.

The great rise in the price both of hogs and poultry has in Great Britain been frequently imputed to the diminution of the number of cottagers and other small occupiers of land; an event which bas in every part of Europe been the immediate forerunner of improvernent and better cultivation, but which at the same time may have contributed to raise the price of those artscles, both somewhat sooner and somewhat faster than it would otherwise have tisen. As the poorest fimily can often maintain a cat or a dog, without any expense, so the poorest occupiers of land can commonly maintain a few poultry, or a sow and a few pigs, at very little. The little offals of their own table, their whey, skimmed milk and butter-milk, supply those animals with a part of their food, and they find the rest in the neighbouring fields without doing any sensible damage to any body. By diminishing the number of those small occupiers, therefore, the quantity of this sort of provisions which is thus produced at little or no expense, must certainly have been a good deal diminished, and their price must consequently have been raised both sooner and faster than it would otherwise have risen. Sooner or later, however, in the progress of improvement, it must at any rate have risen to the utmost height to which it is capable of rising; or to the price which pays the labour and expense of cultivating the land which furnishes them with food as well as these are paid upon the greater part of other cultivated land.

The busincss of the dairy, like the feeding of hogs and poultry, is originally carried on as a save-all. The cattle necessarily kept upon the farm, produce more milk than either the rearing of their own young or the consumption of the farmer's family requires; and they produce most at one particular season. But of all the productions of land, milk is, perhaps, the most perishable. In the warm season, when it is most abundant, it will scarce keep four-andtwenty bours. The farmer, by making it into fresh butter, stores a emall part of it for a week; by making it into salt butter, for a year; and by making it into cheese, he stores a much greater part of it for several years. Part of all these is reserved for the use of bis own family. The rest goes to market, in order to find the best price which is to be had, and which can scarce be so low as to discourage him from sending thither whatever is over and above the use of his own family. If it is very low, indeed, he will be likely to manage bis dairy in a very slovenly and dirty manner, and will searce, perhaps think it worth while to have a
partucular room or buiding on purpose for It, put will suffer the busuess to be carmed on amud the smoke, filth, and navtmess of lis own kitchen; as was the case of almost ull the farmers' daries in Scotland thirty or forty jears ago, and as is the case of many of them still. The same causes which gradually rane the price of butcher's meat, the increase of the demand, and, in consequence of the improvement of the country, the diminution of the quantity which can be fed at little or no expense, rase, in the same manner, that of the produce of the darry, of which the price naturally connects with that of butcher's meat. or with the expense of feeding cattle. The increase of price pays for more labour, care, nad cleanhmess. The dury becomes more worthy of the tarmers attention, and the quality of its produce gradually improves. The price at last gets so high, that it becomes worth while to employ some of the most fertile and best cultirated lands in feeding eattle merely for the purpose of the dairy; and when it has got to this height, it cannot well go higher. If it did, more land would soon be turned to this purpose. It seems to have got to this heiglit through the greater part of England, where much good land is commonly employed in this manncr. If you except the neighbourhood of a few considerable towns, it seems not yet to have got to this height any where in Scotland, where common farmers seldom employ much good land in rasing food for cattle merely for the purpose of the dary. The price of the produce, though it has risen very considerably wathan these few years, is, probably, still too low to admit of it. The inferiority of the qualite, indeed, compared with that of the produce of Enghsh darres, is fully equal to that of the price. But this memeriority of quality is perhaps, rather the effect of this lonness of price than the cause of it. Though the quality was much better, the greater part of what is brought to market could not, I apprehend, in the present circumstances of the country, be disposed of at a much better price; and the present price, it is probable, would not pay the expense of the land and labour necessary for producing a much better quality. Through the greater part of England, notwithstanding the superiority of price, the dairy is not reckoned a more profitable employment of land than the rasing of corn, or the fattening of cattle, the two great objects of agriculture. Through the greater part of Scotland, therefore, it cannot yet be even so protitable.

The Jands of no country, it is evident, can ever be completely cultivated and improved, thll once the price of every produce, which buman industry is obliged to raise upon them, has got so high as to pay for
the expense of complete improsement and cultivation. In order to do this, the price of each particular produce must be sufficeent, first, to pay the rent of good corn land, as it is that which regulates the rent of the greater part of other cultivated land', and, secondly, to pay the labour and expense of the farmer as well as they are commonly paid upon good corn land; or, mother words, to replace, with the ordinary profits, the stock which he employs about it. Thus rase in the price of each particular produce must evidently be previous to the improvement and cultivation of the land which is destined for rasing it. Gan is the end of all umprovement, and nothing could destrve that name of whuch loss was to be the necessary consequence. But lose must be the necessary consequence of improving land for the sake of a produce of which the price could never bring back the expense. If the complete improvement and cultivation of the country be, as it most certanly is, the greatest of all public advantages, this rise in the price of all those different sorts of rude produce, instead of being considered as a public calamity, ought to be regarded as the necessary forerunner and attendant of the greatest of all pubic advantages.

This rise, too, in the nomual or moncy price of all those dafferent sorts of rude produce has been the effect, not of any degradation'in the value of silver, but of a rise in their real price. They have become worth not only a greater quantity of silver, but a greater quantity of labour and subsistenee than before. As it costs a greater quantity of labour and subsistence to bring them 'o marhct, so, when they are brought thither, they represent or are equalalent to a greater quantity.

## Third Sort.

The third and last sort of rude produce, of whicl the price naturally rises in the progress of improvement, is that in which the efficacy of human mdustry, in augmenting the quantity, is either limited or uncertain. Though the real price of this sort of rude produce, therefore, naturally teads to rise in the progress of improvement, yet, according as different accidents bappen to render the efforts of human industry more or less successful in augmenting the quantry, it may happen sometimes even to fall, sometimes to continue the same in very different periods of improvement, and sometimes to rise more or less in the same period. 1

There are some sorts of rude prodace which nature bas rendered a kind of appendages to other sorts; so that the quantity

[^36]of the one which any country can afford, is necessanily limited by that of the other. The quantity of wool or of raw hides, for example, which any country can afford, is necessarily limited by the number of great and stnall cattle that are kept in it. The state of its improvement, and the nature of its agriculture, again necessarily determine this number.

The same causes which, in the progress of improvernent, gradually raise the price of butcher's meat, should have the same effect, it may be thought, upon the prices of wool and raw hides, and raise them too nearly in the same proportion. It probally would be so, if in the rude beginnings of improvement the market for the latter commodities was confined within as narrow bounds as that for the former. But the extent of their respective markets is commonly extremely different.

The market for butcher's meat is almost every where confined to the country which produces it. Ireland and same part of British America, indeed, carry on a considerable trade in salt provisions; but they are, I believe, the only countries in the commercial world which do so, or which export to other countries any considerable part of their butcher's meat.

The market for wool and raw hides,, n the contrary, is in the rude beginnings ff improvement very seldom confined to the country which produces them. They can easily be transported to distant countries, wool without any preparation, and raw hides with very little: and as they are the materials of many manufactures, the industry of other countries may occasion a demand for them, though that of the country which produces them might not occasion any.

In countries ill cultivated, and therefore but thinly inhabited, the price of the wool and the hide bears always a much greater proportion to that of the whole beast, than in countries where, improvement and population being further advanced, there is more demand for butcher's meat. Mr. Hume observes, that in the Saxon times, the fleece was estimated at two fifths of the value of the whole sheep, and that this was much above the proportion of its present estimation. In some provinces of Spain, I have been assured, the sheep is frequently killed merely for the sake of the fleece and the tallow. The carcass is often left to rot upon the ground, or to be devoured by beasts and birds of prey. If this sometimes happens even in Spain, it happens almost constantly in Chili, at Buenos Ayres, and in many other parts of Spanish America, where the horned cattle are almost constantly killed merely for the sake of the hide and the tallow. This too used to happen almost conctantly in Hispaniola, while it was infested
by the Buccaneers, and before the settlement, improvement, and populousness of the French plantations (which now extend round the coast of almost the whole western half of the island) had given some value to the cattle of the Spaniards, who still continue to possess, not only the eastern part of the coast, but the whole inland and mountainous part of the country.

Though in the progress of improvement and population, the price of the whole beast necessarily rises, yet the price of the carcass is likely to be much more affected by this rise than that of the wool and the bide. The market for the carcass, being in the rude state o: society confined always to the country which produces it, must necessarily be extended in proportion to the improvement and population of that country. But the market for the wool and the hides even of a barbarous country often extending to the whole commercial world, it can very seldorn be enlarged in the same proportion. The state of the whole commercial world can seldom be tuuch affected by the improvement of any particular country; and the market for such commodities may remain the same or very nearly the same, after such improvements, as before. It should, however, in the natural course of things rather upon the whole be somewhat extended in consequence of them. If the manufactures, especially, of which those commodities are the materials, should ever come to flourish in the country, the market, though it might not be much enlarged, would at least be brought much nearer to the place of growth than before; and the price of those materials might at least be increased by what had usually been the expense of transporting them to distant countries. Though it might not rise therefore in the same proportion as that of butcher's meat, it ought naturally to rise somewhat, and it ought certainly not to fall.

In England, however, notwithstanding the fourishing state of its woollen manufacture, the price of Englsh wool ias Eallen very considerably since the time of Edward III. There are many authentic records which demonstrate that during the reign of that prince (towards the middle of the fourteenth century, or about 1339) what was reckoned the moderate and reasonable price of the tod or twenty-eight pounds of Euglish wool was not less than ten shullings of the money of those times ${ }^{1}$, containing at the rate of twenty pence the ounce, six ounces of silver Tower weight, equal to about thirty sbillings of our present money. In the present times, one-and-twenty shillings the tod may be reckoned a good price for very good English wool. The money price of wool, therefore, in the tume of Edward
(See Smith"t Memoirs of Wool, vol. 1. c. b, 6, and 7. $;$ also vol. in. c. 176. (note by the author)
111. wan to its money price in the present times as ten to seven. The superionty of its real price was still greater. At the rate of six shillings and eughtpence the quarter, ton shulhngs was in those ancient times the price of taclve bushels of wheat. At the rate of twenty-erght shallings the quarter, one-andtwenty shilling is in the present times the price of ax bushels only. The proportion between the real prices of ancient and modern times, therefore, is as twelve to six, or as two to one. In thuse ancient times a tod of wool would have purchased twice the quantity of subsustence which it will purchase at present; and consequently twace the quantity of las bour, if the real recompence of labour had been the same in both periods.
This degradation, both in the real and nominal value of wool, could never have bappened in consequence of the natural course of things. It has accordingly been the effect of volence and artifice: first, of the absolute prohubition of exporting wool from England; secondly, of the permission of importing it from Spain duty free; thardly, of the probabition of exporting it from Ircland to any other country but England. In consequence of these regulations, the market for Enghsh wool, instead of being somewhat extended in consequence of the improvement of England, has been confined to the Eome market, where the wool of sercral other countries is allowed to come into competition with it, and where that of Ireland is forced into competition with it. 1 As the woollen manufactures, too, of Ircland ure fully as much dincouraged as is consistent with justice and fair dealung, the Irish can woik up but a small part of their own wool at home, and are, therefore, obliged to send a gicater proportion of it to Great Britain, the only market they are allowed. ${ }^{2}$

I have not been able to find any such authentic records concerning the price of raw bdes in ancent times. Wool was commonly paid as a subsudy to the king, and its valuation in that subsidy ascertans, at least in some degree, what was its ordmary price. But this seems not to have been the case with raw hides. Fleetwood, however, from an account in 1425, between the prior of Burcester Oxford and one of his canons, gives us their price, at least as it was stated, upon that partucular occasion; viz., five ox hides at twelve shulungs; five cow hides at seven shillings and threepence; thirty-5jx sheep shins of two years' old at mine shid-

I There is reason to think that Dr Snith bas exayketrated the in furence of the restrictions on the trade in a ool It is probbuble they had somo effect in depressing the price of the very long wool used in the minnufacture of worstexts, which is reckoned of a pacularly fine quality in England. But the tact that large quantities of German, Dpanish, Austradsan, and other forelgn wool, used in the priacipal branches of the cloth manufacture, have been regularly umported Into this country for a long serizs of years, shows that the prices of the great bulk of British wool have
lings; sixteen calf skins at two shilliugs In 1425 , twelve shilings contained about the same quantity of silver as four-andtwenty slallings of our present money. An ox hide, therefore, was in this account valued at the same quantity of silver as 4 s . z the of our present money. Its nominal price was a good deal lower than at present; but at the rate of sux shillings and eightpence the quarter, twelve shillings would in those times have purchased fourteen bushels and four-fifths of a bushel of wheat, which, at three and sixpence the bushel, would, in the present times cost fifty-one shilings and tourpence. An ox hide, therefore, would in those times have purchased as much corn as ten shillings and threepence would purchase at present. Its real value was equal to ten shillings and threepence of our preseut money. ${ }^{3}$ In those ancient tunes, when the cattle were half-starved during the greater part of the winter, we cannot suppose that they were of a very large size. An ox bide which weighs four stone of sixteen pounds averdupois, is not in the present times reckoned a bad one; and in those ancient times would probably have been reckoned : very good one. Jut at half-a-crown the stone, wheh at this moment (February, 1773) I understand to be the common price, such a hide would at present cost only ten shillings. Though ats nominal price, therefore, is higher in the present than it was in those anctent tumes, its real price, the real quantity of subsistence which it will purchase or command, is rather somewhat lower. The price of cow bides, as stated in the above account, is nearly in the common proportion to that of ox hides. That of sheep skins is a good deal above it. They had probably been sold with the wool. That of calves' skins, on the contrary, is greatly below it. In countries where the price of cattle is very low, the calves which are not intended to be reared in order to keep up the stock, are generally killed very young; as was the case in Scotland twenty or thirty years ago. It saves the milk, which their price would not pay for. Their skins, therefore, are commonly good for little.

The price of raw hides 15 a good deal lower at present than it was a few years ago, owing probably to the taking off the duty upon seal shins, and to the allowing, for a limited time, the importation of raw hides from Ireland and from the plantations dutyfree, which was done in 1769. Take the
been, at an sverage, higher than the prices of Continental wool

8 The prohibition of the export of astive wrol was repented in 1825, and low duties amposed in its atead, which ceased in 1833 . The duties on foreagn wool imported, origitully umpased in $1 y(1)$, after undergoing various moditications, were hadly repealed in lewt.
a Ihat is on the assumption that corn 18 a measure of rend value, which it certuinly wat. gee notes op Value and Rent, end of volume.
whole of the present century at an avcrage, their real price has probably been somewhat higher than it was in those ancient timas. The nature of the commodity renders it not quite so proper for being transported to distant markets as wool. It suffers more by keeping A salted hide is reckoned inferior to a fiesh one, and sells for a lower price. This circunustance must necessarily have some tendency to sink the price of raw hides produced in a country which does not manufacture them, but is obliged to export them ; and comparatively to raise that of those produced in a country which does manufacture them. It must have some tendency to sink their price in a barbarous, and to raise it in an improved and manufacturing country. It must have had some tendency, therefore, to sonk it in ancient, and to raise it in modern times. Our tanners besides have not been quite so successful as our clothiers, in convincing the wisdom of the nation, that the safety of the commonwealth depends upon the prosperity of their particular manufacture. They have accordingly been much less favoured. The exportation of raw hides has, indeed, been prohibited and declared a nuisance; but their importation from foreign countries bas been subjected to a duty; and though this duty has been taken off from those of Ireland and the plantations (for the limited time of five years only), yet Ireland has not beca confined to the market of Great Britain for the sale of its surplus hides, or of those which are not manufactured at home. The hides of common cattle have but within these few years been put among the enumerated commodities which the plantations can send nowhere but to the mother country; neither has the commerce of Ireland been in this case oppressed hitherto, in order to support the manufactures of Great Britain.

Whatever regulations tend to sink the price either of wool or of raw hides below what it naturally would be, must, in an improved and cultivated country, have some tendency to raise the price of butcher's meat. The price both of the great and small cattle which are fed on improved and cultivated land, must be sufficient to pay the rent which the landlord, and the profit which the farmer has reason to expect from improved and cultivated land. If it is not, they will soon cease to feed them. Whatever part of this price, therefore, is not paid by the wool and the hide, must be paid by the carcass. The less there is paid for the one, the more must be paid for the other. In what manner this price is to be divided upon the different parts of the beast, is indifferent to the landlords and farmers, provided it is all paid to them. In an improved and cultivated country, therefore, their interest as landlords and farraers cannot be much affected by such
regulations, though their interest as consumers may, by the rise in the price of provisions. It would be quite otherwise, however, in an unimproved and uncultivated country, where the greater part of the lands could be applied to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, and where the wool and the hide made the principal part of the value of those cattle. Their interest as landlords and farmers would in this case be very deeply affected by such regulations, and their interest as consumers very little. The fall in the price of the wool and the hide, would not in this case raise the price of the carcass ; because the greater part of the lands of the country being applicable to no other purpose but the feeding of cattle, the same number would still continue to be fed. The same quantity of butcher's raeat would stall come to market. The demand for it would be no greater than before. Its price, therefore, would be the same as before. The whole price of cattle would fall, and along with it both the rent and the profit of all those lands of which cattle was the principal produce, that is, of the greater part of the lands of the country. The perpetual prohibition of the exportation of wool, which is commonly, but very falsely, ascribed to Edward III., would, in the then circumstances of the country, have been the most destructive regulation which could well have been thought of. It would not only have reduced the actual value of the greater part of the lands of the kingdom, but by reducing the price of the most imporant species of small cattle, it would have retarded very much its subsequent improvement.

The wool of Scotland fell very considerably in its price in consequence of the unton with England, by which it was excluded from the great market of Europe, and confined to the narrow one of Great Dritain. The value of the greater part of the lands in the southern counties of Scotland, which are chiefly a sheep country, would have been very deeply affected by this event, had not the rise in the price of butcher's meat fully compensated the fall in the price of wool.

As the efficacy of human industry, in increasing the quantuty eithet of wool or of raw hides, is limited, so far as it depends upon the produce of the country where it is exerted; so it is uncertan so far as it depends upon the produce of other countries. It so far depends, not so much upon the quantity which they produce, as upon that which they do not manufacture; and upon the restraints which they may or may not think proper to impose upon the exportation of this sort of rude produce. These circumstances, se they are altogether independent of domestic industry, so they necessarily reader the efficacy of its efforts more or less uncertain. In multiplying this sort
of rude produce, therefiore, the efficacy of humen industry is not only limited, hut unesitain.

In multiplying another very important sort of rude produce, the quantity of fish that is brought to market, it is heewise both limited and uncertans. It is limited by the local situation of the country, by the proximity or distance of ats different provinees from the sea, by the number of the lakes and revers, and by what may be called the furtilHy or barrenness of those seas, lakes and eners, as to this sort of rude produce. As population sucreases, as the annud produce of the land and lubour of the country grows grenter and gicater, there como to be more huyens of fish, and thuse buyers too have a greater quantity and variety of other goods, or, what is the same thing, the price of a greater quantity and varicty of other goods, to buy with. But it will generally be impossoble to supply the great and extended minket without employing a quantity of labour greater than in proportion to what had been requiste for supplying the narrow and confined ote A market whel, from regrusing only one thousand, comes to require amually ten thousand ton of fish, can seldom be supplied without employing more than ten tumes the quantity of labour which had befone been cuffictent to supply tt. The fish must generally be sought for at a greater distance, larger vessels must be employed, and more expensuve machmery of every kind made use of. The real pruce of this commodity, therefore, naturally rises in the progiess of improvement. It has accordangly done so, 1 believe, more or less in every country.

Though the success of a particular day's fishing may be a very uncertam matter, yet, the local situation of the country beng supposed, the general eflomy of industry in biluging a certan qua aty of fish to marhet, tabing the course of the year, or of seveldl years together, it may perhaps be thought, is certan enough; and it, no doubt, is so. As it depends more, howeser, upon upon the losal situation of the country, than upon the state of its wealth and industry; $\alpha s$ upon thas account it may in different countiles be the same in different periods of improvernent, and very diflerent in the same period; its connection with the state of improvement is uncertain, and it is of this sort of uncertainty that I am here speaking.
In increasing the quantity of the different mincrals and metals wheh are drawn from the bowels of the earth, that of the more precous ones particularly, the efficacy of hunim industry seems not to be lumited, but to be altogether uncertain.
The quantity of the preecous metals which is to le found in any country is not limited by any thang in its local situation, such as
the fertility or barrenness of its own mume. Those metals figquently abound in countriey wheh possess no mings. Their quantity ill every particular country secms to dopend upoa two different cincumstances, tisht, upor its power of purchasing, upon the statc it its industry, upon the annual produce of its land and labour, in consequente op which it can afford to employ a greater or a smaller quantity of labour and sulisistence in bringing or purchasiog such superfluities as gold or stiver, ether from its own mates or fiom those of other countries, and, secondly, upm the ferthity or barrenness of the mines which may happen at any particular time to supply the commercal world with those metals. The quantity of those metals $m$ the countries most remote from the mans must be more or less affected by this fertility or barremnes, on account of the easy and cheap transportation of those metals, of their small bulk and great value. Their quantity in China and Indostan must have been more or less affected by the abundance of the mints of America.

So far as their quantity in any particular country depends upon the former of those: two circumstances (the power of purchasing), their real price, like that of all other luxuries and superfluties, is hately to rise with the wealth and improvement of the country, and to fall with its porerty and depression. Countrien whel have a great quantity of laberir and subsistence to spare, can aflord to purchase any particular quantity of those metals at the expense of a greater quantity of labour and subsistence, than countries which have less to spare.

So fir as theor quantity $m$ any particular country depends upon the latter of those two circumstances (the fertulty or barrenness of the mines wheh happen to supply the commercial world), their real price, the real quantity of labour and subsistence which they will purchase or exchange for, will, no doubt, sink more or less $m$ proportion to the fertility, and rise in proportion to the barrenness, of those mines

The fertility or barrenness of the mines, however, which may happen at any partucular tume to supply the commereial norld, is a circumstance which, it is evident, may have no sort of conncetion wath the state of industry in a particular country. It seems even to have no very necessury connection with that of the world in general As arts and commerce, moced, gradually spread themselves over a greater and a greater part of the earth, the seareh for new mines, bemg extended over a wider surface, may have somewhat a better chance for betng stieressful, than when confinced within narouser hounds The discovery of new nates, however, as the old ones cone to be gradually exhausted, is a matter of the greatest uncer-
tainty, and such as no human skill or industry can insure. All indications, it is acknowledged, are doubtful, and the actual discovery and successful working of a new mine can alone ascertain the reality of its value, or even of its existence. In this search there seems to be no certain limis either to the possible success, or to the possible disappointment of human industry. In the course of a century or two, it is possible that new mines may be discovered more fertile than any that have ever yet been known; and it is just equally possible that the most fertile mine then known may be more barren than any that was wrought before the discovery of the mines of America. Whether the one or the other of those two events may happen to take place, is of very little importance to the real wealth and prosperity of the world, to the real value of the annual produce of the land and labour of mankind. Its nominal value, the quantity of gold and silver by which this annual produce could be expressed or repreeented, would, no doubt, be very different; but its real value, the real quantity of labour which it could purchase or command, would be precisely the same. A shilling might in the one case represent no more labour than a penny does at present; and a penny in the other might represent as much as a shilling loes now. But in the one case, he who had a shilling in his pocket would be nof richer than he who has a penny at present ; and in the other, he who had a penny would be just as rich as he who has a shilling now. The cheapness and abundance of gold and silver plate would be the sole advantage which the world could derive from the one event, and the dearness and scarcity of those trifling superfluities the only inconveniency it could suffer from the other.

## Conclusion of the Digression concerning the Variations in the Value of Silver.

Thi greater part of the writers who have collected the money prices of things in ancient times, seem to have considered the low money price of corn, and of goods in general, or, in other words, the high value of gold and silver, as a proof, not only of the scarcity of those metals, but of the poverty and barbarism of the country at the time when it took place. This notion is connected with the system of political economy which represents national wealth as consisting in the abundance, and national poverty in the scarcity of gold and silver; a system which I shall endeavour to explain snd examine at great length in the fourth book of this inquiry. I shall only observe at present, that the high value of the precious metals can be no proof of the poverty or barbarism
of any particular country at the time when it took place. It is a proof onlv of the barrenness of the mines which happened at that time to supply the commercial world. A poor country, as it cannot afford to buy more, so it can as little affurd to pay deares for gold and silver than a rich one; and the value of those metals, therefore, is not likely to be higher in the former than in the latter. In China, a country much richer than any part of Europe, the value of the precious metals is much higher than in any part of Europe. ${ }^{1}$ As the wealth of Europe, indeed, has increased greatly since the discovery of the mines of America, so the value of gold and silver has gradually diminished. This diminution of their value, bowever, has not been owing to the increase of the real wealth of Europe, of the annual produce of its land and labour, but to the accidental discovery of more abundant mines than any that were known before. The increase of the quantity of gold and silver in Europe, and the increase of its manufactures and agriculture, are two events which, though they have happened nearly about the same time, yet have arisen from very different causes, and have scarce any natural connection with one another. The one has arisen from a mere accident, in which neither produce nor policy either had or could have any share; the other from the fall of the feudal system, and from the establishment of a government which afforded to industry the only encouragement which it requires, some tolerable security that it shall enjoy the fruits of its own labour, Poland, where the feudal system stull continues to take place, is at this day as beggarly a country us it was before the discovery of America. The money price of corn, however, has risen ; the real value of the precious metals has fallen in Poland, in the same manner as in other parts of Europe. Their quantity, thercfore, must have increased there as in other places, and nearly in the same proportion to the annual produce of its land and labour. This increase of the quantity of those metals, however, has not, it seems, increased that annual produce, has neither improved the manufactures and agriculture of the country, nor mended the circumstances of its inhabitants. Spain and Portugal, the countries which possess the mines, are, after Poland, perhaps, the two most beggarly countries in Europe. The value of the precious metals, however, must be lower in Spain and Portugal than any other part of Europe; as they come froun those countries to all otber parts of Europe, loaded not only with a freight and an insurance, but with the expense of smuggling, their exportation being either prohibited or subjected to a duty. In proportion to the annual produce of the land and labour 1 see arls P 87.
thercfure, their quantity must be greater in thuse countries than in any other part of Europe. Those countris, bowever, are poorer than the greater part of Europe. Though the feudal system has been abohshed in Spain and Portugal, it has not been succecded by a much better.

As the low value of gold and silver, therefore, is no proof of the wealth and flourishing ntate of the country where it takes place; so nether is their hagh value, or the low moncy price etther of goods in general, or of corn in particular, any proof of its poverty and barbarim.

But though the low money price either of goods in general, or of corn in particular, be no proof of the poverty or barbarsm of the times, the low money price of some particular sorts of goods, such as cattle, poultry, g.me of all kinds, \&c. in proportion to that of corn, is a most decisive one. It clearly demonstrates, first, their great abundance in proportion to that of corn, and consequently the great extent of the land which they occuped in proportion to what was occupied by corn; and, sccondly, the low value of this land in proportion to that of corn land, and consequently the uncultivated and unimproved state of the far greater part of the lands of the country. It clearly demonstrates that the stock and population of the country did not bear the same proportion to the extent of its territory, which they commonly do in covidsed countrics, and that occiety was at that time, and in that country, but in its infancy. From the high of luw money price ether of goods in general, or of corn in particular, we can infer only that the mines which at that time happened to supply the commercial world with gold and salver, were fertile or burren, not that the country was rich or poor. But from the lugh or low money price of some sorts of goods in proportion to that of others, we can infer, wath a degree of probability that approaches almost to certainty, that it was rich or poor, that the greater part of its lands were improved or ummproved, and that it was either in a more or has barbarous state, or in a more or less civilised one.

Any rise in the money price of goods Wheh procecded altogether from the degradation of the value of silver, would affict all sorts of goods cqually, and rase their price unversally a third, or a fourth, or a fitth part higher, according as silver happened to lose a third, or a fourth, or a fifth part of its former value. But the rise in the price of provisions, which has been the sulject of so much reasoning and conversation, does not affict all sorts of provisions equally. Taking the course of the present century at an average, the price of corn, it is acknowledged, even by those who account for this rise by the degradation of the value
of silver, has risen much less than that of other sorts of provisions. The fise in the price of those other sorts of provisions, thercfore, cannot be owing altogether to the degradation of the value of silver. Some other causes must be taken into the account, ald those which bave been above assigned, will, perhaps, without having recourse to the supposed degradation of the value of salver, sufferently explan this rase in those particular sorts of provisions of wh the the price has actually risen in proportion to that of corn.

As to the price of corn itself, it has, during the sality-four first years of the prescut cotitury, and before the late extraordinary course of bad seasons, been somewhat lower than it was during the sixty four last years of the preceding century. This fact is attinted, not ouly by the accounts of Windsor market. but by the public fiars of all the diffient counties of Scotland, and by the accounts ot several different markets in France, which have been collected wath great daligenee and fidelity by Mr Messance, and by Mr Dupré de St. Maur. The evidence is more complete than could well have been expected in a matter which is naturally so very difficult to be ascertained.

As so the bigh price of corn during these last ten or twelve jears, it can be sufficiently accounted for from the badness of the stasons, without supposing any degradation in the value of silver.
The opinion, therefore, that silver is continually simkmg in its value, seems not to be founded upon any good observations, ether upon the prices of corn, or upon those of other provisions.

The same quantity of silver, it may perhaps be sad, will in the present times, even according to the account which has leen bere given, purchase a much smaller quantity of several sorts of provisions than it could have done during some part of the last century ; and to ascertan whether this change be owing to a rise in the value of those goods, or to a fall in the value of silver, is only to establish a vain and uselecs distinction, which can be of no use of service to the man sho has only a certan quantity of silver to go to markit with, or a cerran fixed revenue in money. I certainly do not pretend that the knowledge of this distinction will enable him to buy cheaper. It may not, however, upon that account be altogether useless.

It may be of some use to the public ly afforling an easy proof of the prosperours condition of the country. If the rise in the price of some sorts of prosisions be owing altogether to a fall in the value of silver, it is owing to a circumstance from. which nothing can be inferred but the firthity of the Amorican mancs. The real
wealth of the country, the ammual produce of its land and labour, may, notwithstandung this circumstance, be either gradually declining, as in Portugal and Poland, or gradually advancing, as in most other parts of Europe. But if this rise in the price of some sorts of provisions be owing to a rise in the real salue of the land which produses them, to its increased fertility; or, in consequence of more extended improvement and good cultivation, to its having been rendered fit for producing corn; it is owing to a circumstance which indicates in the clearest manner the prosperous and advancing state of the country. The land constitutes by far the greatest, the most important, and the most durable part of the wealth of every extensive country. It may surely be of some use, or, at least, it may give some satisfaction to the public, to have so decisive a proof of the increasing value of by far the greatest, the most important, and the most durable part of its wealth.

It may, too, be of some use to the public in regulating the pecuniary reward of some of its inferior servants. If this rise in the price of some sorts of provisions be owing to a fall in the value of silver, their pecuniary reward, provided it was not too large before, ought certainly to be augmented. in proportion to the extent of this fall. If it is not augmented, their real recompence will evidently be so much dimmished. But if this rise of price is owing to the increased value, in consequence of the improved fertility of the land which produces such provisions, it becomes a much nicer matter to judge either in what proportion any pecuniary reward ought to be augmented, or whether it ought to be augmented at all. The extension of improvement and cultivation, as it necessarily raises, more or less, in proportion to the price of corn, that of every sort of animal food, so it as necessarily lowers that of, 1 believe, every sort of vegetable food. It raises the price of animal food; because a great part of the land which produces it, being rendered fit for producing corn, must afford to the landlord and farmer the rent and profit of corn-land. It lowers the price of vegetable food; because, by increasing the fertility of the land, it increases its abundance. The improvements of agriculture, too, introduce many sorts of vegetable food, which, requiring less land and not more labour than corn, come much cheaper to market. Such are potatoes and maze, or what is called Indian corn, the two most important improvements which the agriculture of Europe, perhaps which Europe itself, has received from the great extension of its commerce and navigation. Many sorts of vegutable foad besides, which in the rude state of agriculture, are coofined
to the kitclien garden, and raised only by the spade, come in its improved state to be introduced into common fields, and to be rased by the plough; such an turnips, carrots, cabbages, \&c. If in the progrexs of improvement, therefore, the real price of one species of food necessarily rises, that of another as necessarily falls, and it becomes a matter of more nicety to judge how far the rise in the one may be compensated by the fall in the other. When the real price of butcher's meat has once got to its heught, (which, with regard to every sort, except, perhaps, that of hog's flesh, it seems to have done through a great part of England, more than a century ago), any rise which can afterwards happen in that of any other sort of ammal food, cannot mueh aflect the circumstances of the inferior ranks of people. The circumstances of the poor through a great part of England cannot surely be so much distressed by any rise in the price of poultry, fish, wild fowi, or venison, as they must be relieved by the fall in that of potatoes.

In the present season of seareity the high price of corn no doubt distresses the poor. But in times of moderate plenty, when corn is at its ordinary or average price, the natural rise in the price of any other sort of rude produce cannot much affect them. They suffer more, perhaps, by the artificial rise which has peen occasioned by taxes in the price of some manufactured commodities; as of salt, soap, leather, candles, malt, beer, and ale, \&c.

## Effects of the Progress of Improvement upon the Real Price of Munufactures.

Ir is the natural effect of improvement. however, to diminish gradually the real price of almost all manufactures. That of the manufacturing workmanship dimidishes, perhaps, in all of them without exception. In consequence of better machnary, of greater dexterity, and of a more proper division and distribution of work, all of which are the natural effects of improvement, a much smaller quantity of labour becomes requisite for executing any particular piece of work; and though, in consequence of the flourishing circumstances of the societs, the real price of labour should riae very considerably, yet the great diminution of the quantity will generally much more than compensate the greatest rise which can happen in the price.

There are, indeed, a few manufactures, in whinh the necessary rise in the real price of the rude materials will more than compensate all the advantages whik improve. ment can introduce into the executuon of the work. In carpenter's and joiter's worl, and in the coarger sort of cabinet work, the
necessary rise in the real price of barmen timber, in consequence of the improvennont of land, will more than compensite all the advantageq which can be derivid from the bueb machinery, the areatest dexterity, and the most proper division and dastribution of work.

But in all cases in whath the real price of the rude materials ether doe not rise at all, or does not rise very much, that of the manufuctured comnoudity sinks very conssderably.
'llus diminution of price has, in the course of the present and preceding century, ben most remarkable in those manufactures of which the materials are the coarser metals. A better movement of a watch, than about the middle of the last century, could hate been trought for twenty pounds, may now, perhaps, be had for twenty shillings. In the work of cutlers and locksmitlis in ail the toys whith are made of the coarser metals, nud in all those goods phich are commonly known by the name of Birmingham and Shefficd ware, there has been, during the same period, a very great reductoon of price, though not altogether so great as in watch-worh. It has however, been suffecent to astonish the workmen of every other part of Europe, who, in many cases, aknowledge that they ean produce no work of equal grodness for double, or even for tiple the price. There are, perhaps, no manufactures in wheh the division of labour can be carried further, or in which the maclimery employed admits of a greater variety of mprovements, than those of which the materials are the coarser metals.

In the clothing manufacture there has, during the same period, been no such seltsable reduction of pice. The pruce of buperine cloth, I havo been ansured, on the contrary, las, withon these five-and-twenty or thirty gears, risen somewhat in proportion to its quality ; owing, it was said, to a consulerable 1 ise in the price of the material, which consists altogether of Spaniah wool. That of the Yorkshire cloth, which is nuade atogether of English wool, is sad, indeed, during the course of the present century, to have tallen a good deal dil proportion to its quality. Quality, however, is so very disputable a matter, that I look upon all informstion of this kind as somewhat uncertain. In the clothug manuficture the division of labour is nearly the same now as it was a contury agn, and the machmery employed is not very difierent. There may, however, have been some small mprovenents in both, wheh may have occasioned some reduction of price

But the reduction wall appear much more strosble and undemable, if we compare the price of this matmufacture in the present theles with whut it nas in a mula remota
period, toward, the end of the nttienth century, when the labour was, probably. muca lus subdivided, and the mar linery employed much more imperfect that it is at present

In 1487, being the 4 th of Henry VII., it was enactel, that " whosoever sliall sell by retall a broad yard of the fincst sarlet grainud, or of other grataed cloth of the finest niaking above sixtecn shilhnge, shall forfeit fortv whllings for every y urd so sold." Sixteen shulings, thertore, contanimg about the same quantity of silver as four-andtwenty shallings of our presint monev, was, at that time, rechoned not an unrea, mable price for a yard of the finest cloth; and as this is a sumptuary law, such cloth, it is probable, had usually been sold somewhat duarer, A gunea may be reckoned the highest price in the present tunes. Even though the quality of the cloths, therefore, should be supposed equal, and that of the present times is most probably, much superior, yet, even upon this supposition, the money price of the finest cloth appears to have been considerably reduced sace the end of the fifteenth century. But its real price has been much more reduced. Six shallings and cightpence was then, and long afterwards, reckoned the average price of a quarter of wheat. Sixteen sbillings, therefore, was the price of two quarters, and more than three bushels of wheat. Valuing a quaraer of wheat in the prosent times at eight-and-twenty shillinge, the real price of a yaril of fine cloth must, in those times, have been equal to at least three pounds six slitlings and sixpence of our present money. The man who bought it must hase parted with the command of a quantity of labour and subsistence equal to what that sum would purchase in the present times.

The reduction in the real price of the coarse manufacture, though conuderable, has not been so great as in that of the fine

In 1463, bengg the 3 d of Edwurd IV , it was enacted, that " no servant in husbandry, nor common latourer, nor servant to any artificer mhabiting out of a city or burgh. shall use or wear in their clothing any cloth above two slullinits the broad jard." In the Sd of Edword IV. tuo shilings contaned very nearly the same quantity of silver as four of our present moner But the Yorkshire cloth whinh is now sold at four shlllings the sard is, probably, much superior to any that was then made for the wearing of the very poorest order of common servants. Even the money price of their clothing, therefore, may, in proportion to the quality, be somewhat cheaper in the present than it wus in those ancient times. The real price is, certannly, a good deal cheaper. Tenpence was then rechoned what is called the molerate and reasonable prue of a bushel of wheat. Two shullinge
I.
thercfore, was the price of two bushels and near two pecks of wheat; which, in the present times, at three shillings and sixpence the bushel, would be worth eight shilhngs and ninepence. For a yard of thes cloth the poor servant must have parted with the power of purchasing a quantity of subsistence equal to what eight shillings and ninepence would purchase in the present times. This is a sumptuary law, tos, restranning the luxury and extravagance of the poor. Their clothing, therefore, had commonly been much more expensive.

The same order of people are, by the same law, prohibited from wearing hose, of which the price should exceed fourteen pence the pair, equal to about eight-and-twenty pence of our present money. But fourteen pence was in those times the price of a bushel and near two pecks of wheat; which, in the present times, at three and sixpence the bushel, would cost five shillings and threepence. We should in the present times consider this as a very higb price for a pair of stockings to a servant of the poorest and lowest order. He must, however, in those times have paid what was really equivalent to this price for them.

In the time of Edward IV. the hrt of knitting stockings was probably not known in any part of Europe. Their hose were made of common cloth, which may have been one of the causes of their dearness. The first person that wore stookings in England is said to have been Queen Elizateth: she received them as a present from the Spanish ambassador.

Both in the coarse and in the fine woollen manufacture, the machinery employed was much more imperfect in those ancient than it is in the present times. It has since received three very capital improvements, besides, probably, many smaller ones, of which it may be difficult to ascertain either the number or the importance. The three capital improvements are, first, the exchange of the rock and spindle for the spinning wheel, which, with the same quantity of labour, will perform more than double the quantity of work. Secondly, the use of several very ingenious machines which facilitate and abridge in a still greater proportion the winding of the worsted and woollen yarn, or the proper arrangement of the warp and woof before they are put into the loom; an operation which, previous to the invention of those machines, must have been extremely tedious and troublesome. Thirdly, the employment of the fulhng mill for thick. ening the cloth, instead of treading it in

[^37]water. Neither wind nor water mills of any kind were known in England so early as the beginning of the sixteenth century, nor, so far as I know, in any other part of Europe north of the Alps. They had hewen introduced into Italy some time before.

The consideration of these crrcumstances may, perhaps, in sone measure explain to us why the real price both of the coarse and of the fine manufacture was so much higher in those ancient than it is in the present times. It cost a greater quantity of labour to bring the goods to market. When they were brought thither, therefore, they must bave purchased or exchanged for the price of a greater quantity.

The coarse manufacture probably was, in those ancient times, carried on in England in the same manner as it always has been in countries where arts and manufactures are in their infancy. It was probably a household manufacture, in which every different part of the work was occasionally performed by all the different members of almost every private family; but so as to be their woris only when they had nothing else to do, and not to be the priserpal business from which any of them derived the greater part of their subsistence. The work which is performed is this manner, it has already been observed, comes always much cheaper to market than that which is the principal or sole fund of the workman's subsistence. The fine manufacture, on the other hand, was not in thove t-mes carried on in England, but in the rich and commercial country of Clanders; and it was probably conducted the $n$, in the same manner as now, by people whe derived the whole, or the principal part of thesr subsistence from it. It was, besidea, a foreign marufacture, and must have paid some duty, the ancient custom of tonnage and pound age at least, to the king. Thas duty, indued, would not probably be very great. It was not then the policy of Europe to restrain, by high duties, the importation of foreiga manufactures, but rather to encourage 1t, $m$ order that merchants might be enabled to supply, at as easy a rate as possible, the great men with the conveniences and luxuries which they wanted, and which the industry of their own country could not afford them.

The consideration of these circumatances may, perhaps, in some measure explain to us why, in those ancient times, the real price of the coarse manufacture was, in proportion to that of the fine, so much lower than in the present times.:

## CONCLUSION OP THE CHAPTER

I shall conclude this very long chapter with ubserving that every improvement in the ecreumstances of the nowity teads either directly or indirectly to rawe the rial reat of land, to increase the real wealth of the landlord, his pouer of purchasing the laboar, or the produce of the labour of other pecple.

The extension of improvement and cultivation tends to rase it directly. The landlord's share of the produce necessarily increases with the increase of the produce.
That rise in the real price of those parts of the rude produce of land, which is first the eflect of extendel umprovement and cultivation. and afterwards the cause of their being still further extended, the rise in the price of cattle, for example, tends too to rase the rent of land directly, and in a still preater proportion. The real value of the thmdlord's slare, his real command of the habour of other people, not only rises with the renl value of the produce, but the propoition of has share to the whole produce rises with it. That produce, after the rise in its real price, requireg no more labour to collect it than before. A smaller proportion of it will, thereGore, be sulfictent to seplace, with the oidinary profit, the stock whach employs that labour A greater proportion of it must, consequently, bulong to the landlord.

All those improvements in the productive powers of labour, whel tend directly to reduce the real price of manufactures, tend mdirectly to rase the real rent of land The landlord exchanges that part of his rude produce which is over and above his own consumption, or what contes to the same thing, the price of that part of it, for manulactured produce. Whatever reduces the real price of the latter, raises that of the former. An equal quantity of the former becomes theriby equivalint to a greiter quantity of the latter; and the landlord is cinabled to purchase a greater quantits of the convenienece, ornaments, or luxurics, whech he h.s occasion for. 1

Every merease in the real wealth of the socects, every increase in the quantity of useful labour emplos ed within it, tends andireetly to rame the real rent of hand. A certan
Mr Butws of Leedis has puhliahed an interesting and ahle work off the histurv and prevent st tee of the cotton munutac tura, and these tuptreare, alon, protty
 the htatiotit al A count of the britisk kmpure by the (ditur bl tivis work

- The conclition of all other classes Ia quite as mincti improsed by a dechne in the value ot matuiuthesed pouds, as that of the lumbiurds The reata of the latter gos tarther in pure having manumetarr 4 सmals anfer thay have diclised in price, but they
 they may ve producted in larger quantitice
proportion of this labour naturally groes to the land. A greater number of men and cattle are employed in its cultivation, the produce increases with the micrease of the stock which is thus employed in ratsing it, and the rent hucreases with the produce.

The contrary circumstances, the neglect of cultivation and improvement, the fall in the real price of any part of the rude produce of land, the rise in the real price of manutac. tures from the decay of manufacturing art and industry, the declension of the real wealth of the soctety, all tend, on the other hand, to lower the real rent of land, to reduce the real wealth of the landlord, to diminish his power of purchasing eitber the labour or the produce of the labour of other people.

The whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country, or what comes to the same thing, the whole price of that annuil prodice, naturally divides atself, it has already been observed, moto three parts; the rent of land, the wages of labour, and the profits of atock ; and constitutes a revenue to thiee different orders of people; to those who ive by rent, to those who live by wages, rind to those who live by profit Tluse are the three great, oryginal and constituent ordens of every civilised society, from whose revelue that of every other order is ultumately de aved.

The interest of the first of those three great orders, it appears from what has been just know said, is strictly and inseparahly connected with the general interest of the soncty \& Whatever either promotes or obstructs the one, necessarily promotes or chstructs the other. When the public deliberates concerning any regulation of commerce or pulice, the proprietors of land never can maslead it, with a view to promote the interest of their own partacular order, at kant if the $y$ have any tolerable knowledge of that interest. 9 They are, indeed, too often defective in this tolerable knowledge. They are the only one of the three orders whose revenue costs them neither labour nor care, but comes to them, as it were, of its own accord, and indepentent of any plan or project of their own That modelence, whish is the natural effect of the ease and security of thers situation, renders them too often, not only gnorant, but incapable of that applua-

[^38]tion of mind which is necessary in order to foresee and understand the consequences of any public regulation.

The interest of the second order, that of those who live by wages, is as strictly connected with the interest of the society as that of the first. The wages of the labourer, it has already been shown, are never so high as when the demand for labour is continually rising, or when the quantity employed is every year increasing considerably. When this real wealth of the society becomes station. ary, his wages are soon reduced to what is barely enough to enable him to bring up a family, or to continue the race of labourers. When the society declines, they fall even below this. The order of proprietors may, perhaps, gain more by the prosperity of the society than that of labourers; but there is no order that suffers so cruelly from its decline. But though the interest of the labourer is strictly connected with that of the society, he is incapable either of comprehending that interest, or of understanding it. connexion with his own. His condition leaves him no time to receive the necessary information, and his education and habits are commonly such as to render him unfit to judge even though he was fully informed. ${ }^{1}$ In the public deliberations, therefore, his voice is little heard and less regarded, except upon some particular occasions, when his clamour is animated, set on, and supp srted by his employers, not for his, but their own particular purposes.

His employers constitute the third order, that of those who live by profit. It ', the stock that is employed for the sake of profit, which puts into motion the greater part of the useful labour of every society. The plans and projects of the employers of stock regulate and direct all the most important operations of labour, and profit is the end proposed by all those plans and projects. But the rate of profit does not, like rent and wages, rise with the prosperity, and fall with the declension of the society. On the contrary, it is naturally low in rich, and high in poor countries, and it is always highest in the countries which are going fastest to ruin. 2 The interest of this third order, therefore, has not the same connexion with the general interest of society as that of the other two. Merchants and master manufacturers are,

[^39]in this order, the two classes of people who commonly employ the largest capitals, and who by their wealth draw to themselves the greatest share of the public consideration. As during their whole lives they are engaged in plans and projects, they have frequently more acuteness of understanding than the greater part of country gentlemen. As their thoughts, however, are commonly exercised rather about the interest of their own particular branch of business, than about that of the society, their judgment, even when givent with the greatest candour, (which it has not been upon every occasion, is much more to be depended upon with regard to the former of those two objects, than with regard to the latter Their superiority over the country gentleman is, not so much in their knowledge of the public interest, as in their baving a better knowledge of their own interevt than he has of hus. . It is by this superior knowledge of their own interest that they have frequently imposed upon his generosity, and persuaded him to give up both his own interest and that of the public, from a very simple but honest conviction, that their interest, and not his, was the interest of the public. The interest of the dealers, however, in any particular branch of trade or manufacturee, is always in some respects different from, and even opposite to, that of the public. To widen the market and to narrow the competition, is always the interest of the dealers. To widen the market may frequently be agreeable enough to the interest of the public; but to narrow the competition must always be against it, and can serve only to enable the dealers, by raising their profits above what they naturally would be, to levy, for their own benefit, an absurd tax upon the rest of their fellow-citizens. The proposal of any new law or regulation of commerce which comes from this order, ought always to be listened to with great precaution, and ought never to be adopted till after having been long and carefully examined, not only with the mast scrupulous, but with the most suspicious attention. It comes from an order of men, whose interest is never exactly the same with that of the public; who have generally an interest to deceive and even to oppress the public, and who accordingly have, upon many occasions, both deceived and oppressed it.
reverse of what Dr. Smith has stated in true. Profite are always highest in those countries that are advancing lastest in the career of improvement; and if they ever appear to be high in countnes that are dectining, it is hecause of the want of security, and of the premium required to guarantee the capital frore loss being confounded with profit. See M'Culloch't Principlet of Poltical Economg, P. 109. 2d ed.


[^40]| $\begin{gathered} \text { Y:arm } \\ \lambda \rightarrow 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price of the } \\ & \text { Quarris of Wheat } \\ & \text { ean Yexu } \end{aligned}$ | 1 A verage of the dillorent Prico of the cimate 1 ear | The A verese Prece of anh lear it Mumy on the $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1423 |  | ¢ 2 d | $7_{6}^{\prime} 60$ |
| 1426 | 040 |  | 0 0 0 |
| 1434 | 168 | . | 2134 |
| 1435 | 0 O 4 | . . . | 010 |
| 1439 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 8\end{array}\right\}$ | 134 | 26 |
| 1440 | 140 | - | 28 |
| 1444 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ | 042 | 084 |
| 1445 | 0.6 |  | 09 |
| 1447 | 080 |  | 016 |
| 1444 | 068 | $\because:$ | 0134 |
| 1449 | ${ }^{6} 0$ |  | 0100 |
| 145! | 080 |  | 016 |
|  |  | Total | 1215 |
| Average Price - 114 |  |  |  |
| 1453 | 0 5 4 |  | 010 |
| 1455 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & 7 & \end{array}$ | - - | ${ }^{0} 24$ |
| 1457 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 7 & 8 \\ 0 & 5 & 0\end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 18 \\ 0 & 10\end{array}$ |
| 1459 $14(4)$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ |  | 0110 0 0 16 |
| 146 | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & \end{array}\right.$ | 0110 | 016 |
| 1463 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ | 0110 | 088 |
| 1464 | 068 | - - | 010 |
| $14 \times 6$ | 140 | - . | 17 |
| 1494 | 0148 | - | 80 |
| $14 \times 1$ | 040 | - $\cdot$ | 060 |
| 14! 7 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 \\ 1 & 8 & \\ 0\end{array}$ | : : | 1110 |
| 1 |  | Total | 83 |
| Avarage Price - 014 I |  |  |  |
| 149 | 40 |  |  |
| 1604 | 088 | - | 086 |
| 1591 | 100 |  | 1100 |
| 1681 | 080 | . . . | 080 |
| 123 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 154 | 080 | - | 080 |
| 1526 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \end{array}\right)$ | - - | 0 |
| 1557 | $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 13 & 4\end{array}\right\}$ | 0178 | 0178 |
| 1 l 58 | 080 | - - | 000 |
| 1549 1560 | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{array}$ | - . . | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ |
|  |  | Total | 6024 |
| Average Price - 01003 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1561 \\ & 1688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 0\end{array}$ | : $:$ | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 1574 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}9160 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 200 | 0 |
| 1547 | 340 | . . | . |
| 1244 | 2160 | , | 2160 |
| $1 \times 45$ | 2130 | - - . | 2130 |
| 1356 | 100 | * | 10 |
| 1597 | $\left.\begin{array}{lll}5 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right\}$ | 4120 | 4120 |
| 1598 | 9168 | - . | 216 |
| 1599 | 1198 | - . | 1192 |
| 1600 | 1178 | - . | 1178 |
| 1601 | 11410 | - . - | 11410 |
|  |  | Total | 2394 |
|  | Average Price - 2 |  |  |

could have been paid for any considerable quantity of corn in a countr so peor as $\mathbf{F}$ ngland in the Uarternth century In order to ohtatu a more accurate the thum prife, Garnier has proposed to exclude thas and several other yearg w here the prices quoted are ent her ver, bigh or very low. before striking an average -


Prices of the Quarter of nine Bushels of the heat or highest priced Wheat at Windsor Market, on Lady-Day and Michaelmas, from 1595 to 1764, both inclusive; the Price of each Year being the Medium between the highest Prices of those Two Market days.


[^41]

For a conthnuation of this table, and for arcounts of the prices of the other species of grain, with the quantities imported and exparted, dowa to lxh7, we the tables annexed to the note on the Corn Laws at the end of the volume.

## BOOK II.

OFTEE NATURE, ACCOMUEATION, ATD LMRIOT* MENT OF ETOCK

IMTRODUCTION.
In that rude state of society in which there is no division of labour, in which exchanges are seldom made, and in which every man provides every thing for himself, it is nof necessary that any stock should be accumulated or stored up beforehand in order to carry on the business of the society. Every
man endeavours to supply ly has own industry his own occisional adnts as they occul. When he is bungry, he goes to the fort it to hunt: when has cost is worn cut, he cluthes hamself with the shin of the firut large animal he hilo; and when has hut begrens to go to inim, he ropairs it, as well as he can, woth the trees and the turf that art nearest it.

But when the divison of habour has once lwen thoroughly mintrodue eh, the produce of a man's own labour can supply but a very shadl part of his orcasional wante The far fucter part of them are suppled by the produce of other men's labour, wheh lie pulchases wath the produce, of, what is the same that, with the prese of the produce of buewn. But the purchase cunnot be made thll such tume as the produce of has own talour has not only been completed, bat sold. A stock of goods of different kinds, therefore, must be stored up somewhere sulfuent to mantan him, and to supply hum with the materuls and tools of his work till such time, at least, as both these events can be hnought about. A weaver cannot apply bomsdrentrely to his pecular bushmos, unhss there is heforehand stored up rumenhere, etther in his own possession or In that of some other percon, a stoch suffieat to maneam hom, and to sujply him with the moterials and tools of has work, wll he his not only completed, but sold his web. Thas accumulatoon must, evidently, be prewous to lis apply migh haduatry for so long a tome to such a pectular buancs.

As the uecumalation of stoch must, in the nature of thures, be previous to the division of habour, so labour can be mone and more aubluadid in proporition only as stork is preriouly more and more accumulated. The quantity of materiak whith the cane number of people can woik up, hacreases in a great propoition as labour comes to be more and more subdivided; and as the oprations of eali wonkman are grailually ruduced to a greater degree of smphicity, a arnety of now machincs come to be invented for fixilitating and abridging those operations. As the divison of labour advances, therefore, in order to give constint employment to an equal number of norkmen, an equal stork of provicous, and a greater stock of materiuls and tools thon what would have been necensory in a ruder state of thangs, mout be accumalated beforelund But the number of woikmen in every branch of baunuse generally increases with the diviston of labour in that hranch, or mather it is the ancrase of their number whech enables then to class and subdivide themelves in this manner.

As the accumulation of stock is previously necessary for carrying on this great improve-
ment in the productive powers of habour, so that accumulation natur illy leads to thes amprovement. The person "ho emplovs his stock in mantanung labour, uceessarily wishes to employ it in such a manner as to produce as great a quantity of woik' as possible. He endeavours, thercfore, both to make amoug his aorhmen the most proper distribution of employment, and to furashed them $x$ th the best machmes which he can cither insent or alford to purchase. His ubiltics in both these respects are generally in proportion to the extent of his stock, or to the number of prople whom it can employ. The quantity of industry, thencforc. not only wereases in every country with the . increase of the stock which employs it, but, in consequence of that merease, the same quantity of industry moduces a mucb greater quantity of work.

Such are in general the effects of the increase of stoch upon industry and its pro ductive powers.

In the following book I have endeavoured to erplam the nature of stock, the efficts of its accumulation into capitals of different hinds, and the elfects of the different employments of those capitals. Thus book is divided uito five chapters. In the first chapter, I hav, endwoured to sbow what are the dillierent parts or branches into which the stoc., either of an individual or of a great socirty, naturally divides itself. In the secon, I have endedoured to explan the nature and operation of money considered as a particular branch of the gencral stock of the socicty. The stock which is accumulated into a capital, may either be employed by the person to whom it belongs or it may be $l$, to some other person. In the third and thurth chapte:c, I have endeavoured to examne the mannes in wheh it operates in both these atuations. The fith and last chapter theats of the different efficts which the difierent enploy ments of capital immedsately produce upon the quantity beth of national industiy, and of the annual pro 'ure of land and labour.

CIIAP. I.
Of the Diviston of Stock.
$W_{\text {hinn }}$ the stock which a man possenses is no more than sufficient to mantain hm for a few dass or a few wecks, he seldum thinks of derinting any reverue from it. He consumes it as cparingly as he can, and endeavoura by his labqur to acquire something which may supply ats place before it be consumed altogether. His revenue $s \mathrm{~s}$, in this case, derised from tis labour only. This is
the atate of the greater part of the labouring mon in ail countries. ${ }^{1}$

But when he possesses stock sufficient to maintain him for months or years, he naturally endeavours to derive a revenue from the greater part of it; reserving only so much for his immediate consumption as may mantain him till this revenue begins to : come in. His whole stock, therefore, is dis. tinguished into two parts. That part which He expects is to afford him this revenue, is colled his capital. The other is that which sapplies his immediate consumption, and which consists enther, first, in that portion of his whole stock whick was originally reserved fir this purpose; or, secondly, in his revenue, from whatever source derived, as it gradually comes in; or, thirdly, in such things as had been purchased by either of these in former years, and which are not yet entirely consumed; such as a stock of clothes, household furniture, and the like. In one, or other, or all of these three articles, consists the stock which men commonly reserve for their own immediate consumption.

There are two different ways in which a capital may be employed so as to yield a revenue or profit to its employer.
First, It may be employed in raising, manufacturing, or purchasing goods, and 'selling them again with a profit. Thecapital employed in this manner gields no revenue or profit to its employer, while it ether remains in his possession, or con inues in the same shape. The goods of the mer$c$ ant yield him no revenue or profit t.ll he selis them for money, and the money yields him as Tittle till it is again exchanged for goods. Hes capital is continually going from him in one shape, and returning o him in another, and it is only by means of such circulation, or successive exchanges, that it can yield him any profit. Such capitals, thercfore, may very properly be called circulating capitals.

Secondly, It may be employed in the imb provement of land, in the purchase of useful machnes and instruments of trade, or in suchhke things as yield a revenue or profit without changing masters, or circulating any

[^42]further. Such capituls, therefure, may very properly be called fixed capitals.

Different occupations require very differten proportions between the fixed and circulatugg capitals employed in them.

The capital of a merchant, for example, in altogether a circulating capital. Ile has occasion for no machines or instruments of trade, unless bie shop or warehouse be considered as such.

Some part of the capital of every master artificer or manufacturer must be fixed in the instruments of his trade. This part, however, is very small in some, and very great in others. A master talor requires no other instruments of trade but a parcel of needles. Those of the master shormaket are a little, though but a very little, more expensive. Those of the weayer rise a good deal above those of the iboemaker. The far greater part of the capital of all such master artificers, however, is circulated either in the wages of their workmen or in the price of their materials, and repaid with a profit by the price of the work.

In other works a much greater fixed capital is required. In a great iron-work, for example, the furnace for melting the ore, the forge, the slitt-mill, are instruments of trade which cannot be erected without a very great expense In coal-works and mines of every kind, the machinery necessary both for drawing out the water and for other purposes, is frequently still more expensive.

That part of the capital of the Carmer which is employed in the instruments of agriculture, is a fixed, that which is employed in the wages and moaintenance of his labouring serpants is a circulating eapital. He makes a profit of the one by keeping it in his own possession, and of the other by parting with it. The price or value of his labouring cattle is a fixed capital in the same manner as that of the instruments of husbandry; their maintenance is a curculating capital in the same manner as that of the labouring servants. The farmer makes hus profit by keeping the labouring cattle, and by parting with their maintenance Buth
a subyect that is otherwife abumdanty imple. In our view of the matter, it epough to make an ar. ticle be regarled as capital, that it can either directls contribute to the support of man, or assist him in eppriprtating or produciog commodities. it may But, it la true, be employed for either of thene purposes; but the quertion as to the monte of emplorving an article is perfactly distinat from the quisticn whet her it be capital. For ant thing trecan. a proort Whet her it be capita. For any khing to the contrary, a borse yolind to a gentlerean's coach may be productively emplared as if he were yoked to a brewer's dray. But thatever difprence may really citraun in the two casta, the dontity of the horse is not affected - be is equally possessed in the ofte case and the other, of che capactig to amist in production; and, ghould therefore to the twerext, inderendently of all other considerationt the a presion of the cripifai of the country - Dee frymezyhes of Pulnticuil bamomy, p. 9. 2d ed
the pruce and the mantenance of the cattle alich are bought in and fattened, not for f.trour, but for sale, are a circulating eapital. I lie tanner makes his protit by parting with thom A flock of shecp or a herd of eattle, I that, in a breeding country is bought in, nether for labour nor for sale, but in order to make a proht by their wool, by their ' mulk, and by ther merease, is a fixed capital. Iheir profit is made by keeping them. Their maintenanee is a circulating capital. 'lhe profit is made by parting with it, and it comes back with buth its own profit and the profit upon the whole price of the cattle, in the price of thie wool, the milk, and the incruase. The whole value of the seed, too,
1 is properly a fixed capital. Though it goes backwards and fouwards between the ground and the granary, it never changes masters, and therefore does not properly circulate. The farmer makes hus profit, not by its sale, but by its increase.

The general stock of any country or suctety is the same with that of all its inhabitants or members, and therefore naturally divides itself into the same three portions, ench of whel has a distinct function or whee.

The first is that portion which is reserved for unmediate consumption, and of whach the characteristic $1 s$, that it affords no revemue or profit. 1 It consists in the stock of food, clothes, houschold furniture, \&re., which have been purchased by their proper consumsers, but which are not yet entirely consumed. The whole stock of mere dwellinghiouses, too, subsisting at any one time in the country, make a part of this first portion. The stock that is laid out in a bouse, if it is to be the dwelling-house of the proprictor, cuases from that moment to serve in the function of a capital, or to afford any revenue to its owner. A dwelling-house, as sucis, contributes nothing to the revenue of its mhabitant; and though it is, no doubt, extremely useful to him, it is as his clothes and household furniture are useful to him, whwh, however, make a part of his expense, und not of his revenue. ${ }^{9}$ If it is to be let to a tenant for reat, as the house itself can produce nothing, the tenant must always pay the rent out of some other revenue which he ditrives either from labour, or stock, or land. Though a house, therefore, may yield a revenue to its propretor, and thereby serve in the function of a capital to him, it cannot vield any to the public, nor serve in the furution of a capital to it, and the revenue

[^43]of the whole body of the people can never Le in the smallest degree increased by it Clothes and household furniture, in the same manise, sometumes yicld a reveluc, and thereby serve in the function of a capital to partseular persons. In countries 'where' masquerades are common, it is a trade to let out masquerade dresses for a night. Ujuholsterers frequently let furniture by the month or by the year. Undertakers let the furntture of funerals by the day and by the weck. Many people let furnished houses and get a rent, not only for the use of the house, but for that of the furniture. The revenue, however, which is derived from such things, must always be ultmately drawn from some other souree of revenue. Of all parts of the stock, either of an individual or of a society reserved for immediate consumption, what is lasd out in houses is most slowly consumed. A stock of clothes may last several years; a stock of furniture half a century or a century; but a stock of houses, well bult and properly taken care of, may last many centurice. Though the period of therr tutal consuniption, however, is more distant, they are stihl as really a stock reserved for immediate consumption as either clothes or household 1 urniture.

The second of the three portions into which it eneral stock of the socrety divides $i^{\prime}$ "the fixed capital ; of which the ch.ew. io is, that it affords a revenue or preffit, carculating or changang masters 1 , unsists chefly of the four following articles:

First,, Of all uscful machines and instruments of trade which facilitate and abridge) labour:

Secondl?, Of all those profitable buildingsi which are ithe means of procuring a revenur, not only to "heir proprietor who lets them for a rent, 2 hut to the person who possesses them and pa.vs that rent for them; such as shops, wareho pises, workhouses, farm-bouses, whth all their; necessary buldings; stables, granaries, \&c. Tbese are very dilferent from mere dwelling;-houses. They are a sort of instruments of trade, and may be considered in the same lig hit:

Thirdly, Of the improvements of land, of ; what hes been pri qfitably land out in clearing, draining, enclosing, smanuring, and reducing , it into the condition must ${ }^{2}$ nroper for thllage and culture. An improved farm annv very justly be regarded in the same light as those useful machines which facilitate and abridge labour, and by means of which, an equal
in the tools or instruments they make use of in their: respective businesses. The possession of a bouse na quite as necessary to enable a neaver, for exdrople, to prosecute bis business, as the possesston of a loon, and if the lattor be said to be a productive mastrun ent, becarse it iacilitatea the labour of the waser, the other must, tor the same reason, th por ductionalan
circulating eapital ann alford a much greater revenue to ats employer. An improved farm is equally advantageous and more durable than any of those machines, frequently requiring no other repars than the most profitable application of the farmer's capital employed in cultivating it :

Fourthly, Of the acquired and useful ablities of all the inhabitants or members of the society. The acquisition of such talents, by the maintenance of the acquirer during his education, study, or apprenticeship, always costs a real expense, which is a capital fixed and reallsed, as it were, in his person. Those talents, as they make a part of his furtune, so do they likewise of that of the society to which he belongs. The improved dexterity of a workman may he considered in the same light as a machine or instrument of trade which facilitates and abridges labour, and which, thought it costs a certain expense, repays that experise with a profit.

The third and last of the three piortions into which the general stock of the socrety naturally divides atself, is the circulating capital ; of which the cbaracteristic $1 \leqslant$, that it affords a revenue only by circulating, or changing masters. It is camposed lik'ewise of four parts:

Fust, Of the money $1 \frac{2}{4} 5_{0}$ of which all the other three arcie buted to their proper 9

Seconslly, Of the stock are in the possession of ct. 'sions which a. Jutcher, the grazier, the farmer, the corn-merchy $n t$, the brewer, \&c., and from the sale of wbich they expect to derive a profit :

Therdly, Of the materials, whe her altogether rude, or more or less man ufactured, of" clothes, furniture, and builiching, which are not yet made up into a/ny. of those three shapes, but which remain $/ 1$ in the hands of the growers, the manuffacturers, the mercers and drapers, the timetrer-merchants, the carpenters and joners, the brickmakers, sc.
Fourthly, and lastly, Of the work which is made up and completed, bu t which is still in the hands of the merelde'nt or manufacturer, and not yet disposed of or distributed to the proper consumerers; such as the fimshed work which - we frequently find ready-made in "twe shops of the stnith, the cabinotzmaker, the goldsmith, the jeweller, the china-merchant, \&c. The circulating erpital consists in this manner, of the provisions, materials, and finished work of all Linds that are in the hands of their respective dealers, and of the money that is necessary for circulating and distributing them to those who are finally to use or to consume them.

Of these four parts three, provisions, materials, and finished work, are, either
annually, or in a longer or shorter period, regularly withdrawn from it, and phecer ether in the fixed capital or in the stuck reserved for inamediate consumption.

Every fixed capital is both originally derived from, and requires to be continuaily supported by a circulating capital. Ail useful machines and-instruments of trade are originally derived from a circulating capital, which furnishes the materials of which they are made, and the maintenance of the workmen who make thein. They require, too, a capital of the same kind to keep them in constant repair.

No fixed capital can yield any revenue but by means of a circulating capital The most useful machines and instruments of trade will produce nothing without the circulating eapital which aflords the materials they are employed upon, and the maintenance of the workmen who employ them. Land, however improved, will yield no revenue without a circulating capital, which maintains the labourers who cultivate and collect its produce.

To maintain and augment the stock which may be reserved for inmediate consumption, is the sole end and purpose both of the fix.d and circulating capitals. It is this stock uhich feeds, clothes, and lodges the people. Their riches or poverty depends upon the abundant or sparing supplies which those two capitals can affurd to the stock reserved for immediate consumption.

So great a part of the circulating eapital being continually withdrawn from it, in order to be placed in the other two branches of the general stock of the society; it must in its turn require contanual supplies, withbut which it would soon cease to exist. These supplies are principally drawa from three sources, the produce of land, of mines, and of fisheries. These affurd continual supplies of provisions and materials of whel part is afterwards wrought up into fimslied work, and by which are replaced the provisions, materials, and finished work continually withdrawn from the crrculating capital. From mines, too, is drawn what is necessary for maintaining and augmenting that part of it which consists in money. For though, in the ordinary course of business, this part is not, like the other thiree, necesarily withdrawn from is in order to be placed in the other two branches of the general stock of the society, it must, however, like all other things, be wasted and worn out at last, and sometimes, too, be either lost or sent abroad, and must, thertfore, require continual, though, no doubt, much smaller supplies.

Land, mines, snd fi beries, requireall both a fixed and a circulating capital to cultivate them; and their produce replaces with a profit, not only those capitals, but all the
whers in the rucety Thus the farmer anambly rephacs to the manufacturer the pronnons wheh ho had consumed, and the materuls whech he had wrought up the ysar bfore; and the manafactuer replaces to the farmer the finished work whech he had wasted and worn out in the same time. This ss the real exchange that is annually made between those tho ordirs of people, thangh it seldom haplous that the rude prodnee of the one and the manufactured produce of the other, are directly bartered for one another; beeanse it seldom happens that the farmer sills bis corn and his cattle, his flax and his wool, to the very same penson of whom be chooses to pur hase the chothes, furmoture, and iostruments of trade whith he wants. IIE sell, therefore, his ride produce for money, with whish he cas purchase, wherever it is to be had, the manulictured produce he his occavon for. Land even replacea, in part at liast, the caplals with wheh Gisherits and mines are enltivated. It is the produce of land which draws the fioh from the watcrs; and it is the produce of the surtace of the earth whin atracts the muerals from its bowels.

The produee of hand, manes, and fisheries, When their natural ferthity is equal, is in propution to the extent and proper applecition of the copitals employed about them. When the capitals are equal and equally well appled, it is in proportion to their natural fitility.

In all comitries where there is tolerable security, every man of common understandmg wall endeatour to employ whatever stock he can command in procuring either prowent enjoyment or future profit. If it is employed 11 precuing present enjoyment, it is a stock reserved for mmediate consumption. If it is employed in procuring future profit, it must procure this profit etther by staving with him or by going from him. In the one case it is a fixed, in the other it is a circulating enpital. A man must be perfectly crazy who, where there is tolerable sccurity, does not employ all the stock which he commands, Whether it be his own or borrywed of other people, in some one or other of those three ways.

In those unfortunate countries, indeed, where men are continually afraid of the violence of their superiors, they frequently bury mud conced a gieat part of their stock, morder to have it always at hand to carry - with them to some place of safoty, in case of then being threatened with any of those dasisters to which they consider themselves as at all thenes exposed. This is suid to be a common practice in Turkey, in Indostan, and, I beleve, in most other governments of Asd. It seems to have been a rommon phactive anong our ancestors during the
vwlence of the feudal government Trea-sure-trove was in those tumes cousdered as no contemptible part of the revenue of the greatest sovcreigns in Europe It consisted in such treasure as was fuund concealed in the earth, and to which no pasticular person could prove any right This was regarded in thove times as so mportant an object that it was always considerd as belonging to the soveremig, and neither to the findicr nor to the proprator of the land, unless the right to it had been convejed to the jatter by an express clause in his charter. It was put upon the same footing with gold and silver manes, which, without a special clause in the charter, were nover surpored to be comprelended in the general grant of the lands, though mines of lead, coprer, the, and coulwere, as thangs of smallis consequence.

CHAP. II.
Of Money considered as a particular Branch of the General Stock of the Socrety, or of the Experse of mantuamg the Natonal Capital.

Ir has been shown in the first Book, that the price of the greater part of commodities resolves itself into three parts, of whith one, pays the rages of the labour, another the profits of the stock, and a third the rent of the land which had been employed in pro, ducung and bringang them to market; that: there are, indeed, some commodities of which: the price is made up of two of those parts only, the wages of labour, and the profits of stoxk; and a very few in which it consits altogether in one, the wages of labour: but that the price of every conmodity necessarily resolves tiself into some one or other, or all of these three parts, every part of it which goes nether to rent nor to wages, being necessarily profit to somebouly.

Since this is the case, it has be n observed. with regard to every particular commodity, taken separately ; it must be so with regard to all the commodities which compose the whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country, taken complesly. The whole price or exchangcable value of that annual produce must resolve itself into the same three parts, and be parcelled out among the different inhabitants of the country, etther as the wages of their lubour, the profits of their stock, or the rent of their land.

But though the whole value of the annual produce of the land and labour of every country is thus divided among and constitutes a revenue to its different inhabitants, yet as in the rent of a proate estate, we distuggrish between the orm.
neat rent, so may we likewise in the revenue of all the inhabitants of a great country.

The gross rent of a private estate comprehends whatever is paid by the farmer; the neat rent, what remains free to the landlord, after deducting the expense of management, of repairs, and all other necessary charges; or what, without hurting his estate, he can afford to place in his stock reserved for immediate consumption, or to spend upon his table, equipage, the ornaments of his house and furniture, his private enjoyments and amusements. His real wealth is in proportion, not to his gross, but to his neat rent.

The gross revenue of all the inhabitants fof a great country comprehends the whole annual produce of their land and labour; the neat revenue, what remains free to them after deducting the expense of maintaining, first, their fixed, and secondly, their circulating capital ; or what, without encroaching upon their capital, they can place in their stock reserved for immediate consumption, or spend upon their subsistence, conveniencies, and amusements. Their real wealth, ! too, is in proportion, not to their gross, but to their neat revenue.

The whole expense of maintaining the fixed capital must evidently be excluded from the neat revenue of the society. Neither the materials necessary for supporting their useful machines and instruments of trade, their profitable buildings, \&ce., nor the produce of the labour necessary for fashioning those materials into the proper form, can ever make any part of it. The price of that labour may, indeed, make a part of it; as the workmen so employed may place the whole value of their wages in their stock reserved for immediate consumption. But in other sorts of labour, both the price and the produce go to this stock - the price to that of the workmen, the produce to that of other people, whose subsistence, convenieneies, and amusements, are augmented by the labour of those workmen.

The intention of the fixed capital is to increase the productive powers of labour, or to enable the same number of labourers to perform a much greater quantity of work. In a farm where all the necessary buildings, fences, drains, communications, 8ce., are in the most perfect good order, the same number of labourers and labouring cattle will raise a much greater produce than in one of equal extent and equally good ground, but not furnished with equal conveniencies. In manufuctures the same number of hands, assisted with the best machinery, will work up a much greater quantity of goods than with more imperfect instruments of trade. The expense which is properly laid out upon a fixed capital of any kind, is always repaid with great profit, and increases the annual produce loy a much greatcr value than that
of the support which such improvemente require. This support, however, still requires a certain portion of that produce, a certain quantity of materials, and the labour of a certan number of workmen, both of which might have been immedately em. ployed to augment the food, clothing, and lodging, the subsistence and conventencues of the society, are thus diverted to another employment, highly advautageous indeed, but still different from this one. It is upon this account that all such improvements in mechanics, as enable the same number of workmen to perform an equal quantity of work with cheaper and simpler machnery than had been usual before, are always regarded as advantageous to every society. A certain quantity of materials, and the labous of a certain number of workmen, which had before been employed in supporting a more complex and expensive machinery, can afterwards be applied to augment the quantity of work which that or any other machinery is useful only for performing. The undertaker of some great manufactory, who employs a thousand a year in the maintenance of his machinery, if he can reduce this expense to five hundred, will naturally employ the other five hundred in purchasing an additional quantity of materials to be wrought up by an additional number of workmen. The quantity of that work, therefore, which his machinery was useful only for performing, will naturally be augmented, and with it all the advantage and conveniency which the society can derive from that work.

The expense of maintaining the fixed capital in a great country, may very properly be compared to that of repairs in a private estate. The expense of repairs may frequently be necessary for supporting the produce of the estate, and consequently both the gross and the neat rent of the landlord. When by a more proper durection, however, it can be diminished without occasioning any diminution of produce, the gross rent remains at least the same as before, and the neat rent is necessarily augmented.

But though the whole expense of $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{in}$ taining the fixed capital is thus necessanily excluded from the neat revenue of the society, it is not the same case with that of maintaning the circulating capital. Of the four parts of which this latter capital is composed, money, provisions, materials, and finished work, the three last, it has already been observed, are regularly withdrawn fruni it, and placed either in the fixed capital of the society, or in their stock reserved for immediate consumption. Whatever portion : of those consumable goods is not employed in maintaining the former, goes all to the latter, and makes a part of the neat revenue of the society. The mantenance of chose
threc parts of the circulating capital, therefore, withdraws no portion of the annual produce from the neat revenue of the soctety, besides what us necessary for maintaining the fixed capital.

The circulating capital of a society is in this respeet different from that of an indhvidual. That of an individual is totally excluded from making any part of his neat revenuc, which must consist altogether in lis profits. But though the corculating capital of every individual makes a part of that of the society to which he belonge, it is not upon that account totally excluded from making a part likewise of their neat revenue. Though the whole goods in a merchant's shop must by no means be placed in his own stock reserved for immediate consumption, they may in that of other people, who, from a revenue derived from other funds, may regularly replace their value to him, together with its profits, without occasioning any dimanution ether of his capital or of theirs.
Money, therefore, is the only part of the circulating capital of a soceety of which the mantenance can occasion any diminution in therr neat revenue.

The fixed capital, and that part of the creulating capital which consists in money, so far as they affuct the revenue of the society, bear a very great resemblance to ol e another.

First, As those machines and instruments of trade, \&ce., require a certain expense, first to erect them, nad afterwards to support them, both which expenses, though they make a part of the gross, are deductions from the neat revenue of the society; so the stock of money which curculates in any country must require a certain expense, first to collect it, and afterwards to support it, both which expenses, though they make a part of the gross, are, in the same manner, deductions from the neat revenue of the soclety. A certain quantity of very valuable materials, gold and sliver, and of very curous labour, instead of augmenting the stock reserved for immediate consumption, the subsistence, conveniencies, and amusements of individuals, is employed in supporting that great but expensive instrument of commerce, by means of which every individual in the society has lus subsistence, conveniencies, and amusements regularly distributed to him in their proper proportions.

Secondly, As the machines and instruments of trade, ike. which compose the fixed capital etther of an individual or of a society, mahe no part either of the gross or of the neat revenue of either; so money, by means of wheh the whole revenue of the society is regularly distributed among all its different members, makes itself no part of that revenue. The great wheel of circulation is altogether difterent from the goods which
are circulated by means of it. The revelue ; of the society consists altogether in those goods, and not in the whecl whin erreulates them. In computing either the gross or the neat revenue of any society, we must always, from their whole annual circulation of money and goods, deduet the whole value of the money, of which not a single farthing can ever make any part of either.

It is the ambiguity of language only which can make this proposition appear ether doubtful or paradoxical. When properly explained and understood, it is almost self-evident.

When we talk of any particular sum of money, we sometimes mean nothing but the metal preces of which it is composed, and sometimes we include in our meaning some obscure reference to the goods which can be had in exchange for $1 t$, or to the power of purchasing which the possession of it conveys. Thus when we say that the circulating moncy of England has been computed at enghteen millions, we mean only to express the , ount of the metal preces which some wri have computed, or rather have supposec creulate in that country. But when w that a man is worth fifty or a hunder Ads a year, we mean commonly to expre st only the amount of the metal pieces w, in are annually pard to him, but the value of the goods which he can annually purchase or consume. We mean commonly to ascertain what is or ought to be his way of living, or the quantity and quality of the necessaries and conveniencies of hife in which he can with propriety indulge hmself.

When, by any partacular sum of money, we mean not only to express the amount of the metal pieces of which it is composed, but to include in its s:gmfication some ob: scure reference to the goods which can be had in exchange for them, the wealth of revenue which it in this case denotes, ts equal only to one of the two values which are thus intimated somewhat ambiguously by the same word, and to the latter nore properly than to the former, to the moley's worth more properly than to the money.

Thus if a gunea be the weekly pension of a particular person, he can in the course of the week purchase with it a certain quantity of subsistence, conventencies, and amusements. In proportion as this quantity is great or small, so are his real riches, his real weehly revenue. His weekly revenue is certainl, not equal both to the guinea, and to what can be purchased with it, but only to one or other of those two equal values; and to the latter more properly than to the former, to the guinea's worth rather than to the guinea.

If the pension of such a person was paid to him, not in gold, but in a weekly bill for a gainea, his revenue surely would not oo properly consist in the piece of paper. sc in
what he could get for it. A guinea may be considered as a bill for a certain qeantity of necessaries and conveniencics upon ati the tradesmen in the neighbourbood. The revenue of the person to whom it is paid, does not se properly consist in the piece of gold, as in what he can get for $2 t$, or in what he can exchange it for. If it could be exchanged for nothing, it would, hike a bill upon a bankrupt, be of no more value than the most useless piece of paper.

Though the weekty or yearly revenue of all the different inhabitants of any country, in the same manner, may be, and in reality frequently is paid to them in money, their real riches, however, the real weekly or vearly revenue of all of them taken together, 1 must always be great or small in proportion to the quantity of consumable goods which they can all of them purchase with this mo-
ney. The whole revenue of all of them taken together is evidently not equal to both the money and the consumable goods; but ouly to one or other of those two values, inn to the latter more properly than to the' 'mer.

Though we fiequently, there' ' express a person's revenue by the metal! $\varepsilon$ ' $\$$ which are annually paid to him , it is
se the amount of those pieces regulatd 'eextent of his power of purchasing, or talue of the goods which he can annually, ford to consume. We still consider his retenue as consisting in this power of purchasing or consuming, and not in the pieces which convey it.

But if this is sufficiently evident even with regard to an individual, it is stilh more so with regard to a socicty The amount of the metal pieces whick are annually paid to an induvidual, is often precisely equal to his revenue, and is upon that account the shortest and best expression of ats value. But the amount of the motal pieces waich circulate in a society, can never be equal to the revenue of all its members. As the same guinea which pays the weekly pension of one man to-day, may pay that of another to-morrow, and that of a third the day thereafter, the amount of the metal pieces which annually circulate in any country, must always be of much less value than the whole money pensions annually paid with them. But the power of purchasing, or the goods which can successively be bought with the whole of those money pensions as they are successively paid, must always be precisely of the same value with those pensions; as must likewise be the revenue of the different persons to whom they are paid. That revenue, therefore, canuot consist in those metal pieces, of which the amount is so much inferior to its value, but in the power of purchasing, in the goods which can successively be bought with them as they circulate from hand to hand.
, Moncy, therefore, the great wheel of cir-
culation, the great instrument of commerce, Whe all other instruments of trade, thoughit. makes a part, and a very valuable part of the capital, makes no part of the revenue of the society to which it halongs ; and thongh thes metal pieces of which it is composed, in the course of their annual circulation, distribute to every man the revenue which properly belongs to him, they make thenselves no part of that revenue.
Thirdly, and lastly, The machines and instruments of trade, \&c. which cornpose the fixed capital, bear this further resemblance to that part of the circulating capital wheh consists in money; that as ceery qaving in the expense of erecting and supporting those machines, which does not dimminh the productive powers of labour, is an improvement of the neat revenue of the society; so every saving in the expense of collecting and supporting that part of the circulating capital which consists in money, is an improvement of exactly the same kind.

It is sufficiently obvious, and it has partly too been explained already, in what manner every saving in the expense of supporting the fixed capital is an improvement of the nest f revenue of the society. The whole capital' of the undertaker of every work is necessarily divided between his fixed and his circulating capital. While his whole capital remains the same, the smaller the one part, the greater must necessarily be the other. It is the circulating capital which furnishea the materials and wages of labour, and puts industry into motion. Every saving, therefure, in the expense of raintaining the fixed capital, which does not dimnish the productive powers of labour, must increase the fund which puts industry into motion, and consequently the annual produce of land and labour, the real revenue of every society.

The subsitution of paper in the room of gold and suiver money, replaces a very expensive instrument of commerce with one muh less costly, and sometimes cqually convenient. Circulation comes to be carrict on by 3 new wheel, which it costs less both to ereet and to maintain than the old one. But in what manner this operation is performed, and in what manner it tends to increase either the gross or the neat revenue of the socicty, in not altogether so obvious, and may therefore require some further explication.

There are suveral different sorts of paper money; but the circulating notes of bank and bankers are the species which is liest nnown, and which scems best adapted fur this purpose.

When the people of any particular country have such confidency is the fortune, probity, and prudence of a particular banker. : as to believe that he is always ready to pay upon demand such of his promissory notis as are likely to be at any time presented to
hum, those notes cume to have the same cumency as gold and sther money, from the confidence that such money can at any thme be had fur them.

A particular banker hombamong his customers his own fromeswary nutes, to the exthet, we find suppose, of a hundred thousamd pounts As thuse notcastive all the purpouci of mones, his dehtorg pay han the wane uiterest as it he had kent then so much moste: This thtarest is the source of his gati. Thongh whis of those notes are continually coming bat upon han for payment, part of them continue to circulate for montibs and years together. Though he has generally in crrculation, therefore, notes to the extent of a hundred thou whd pounds, twenty thou-nd pounds in gold and silver may frequatuty be a suffictent provision for answering ounanout demands liy this operation, therchres, twenty thousand pounds in gold and sileer perform all the functiong whina humded thonsand could otherwise have perfurmed The mume exchanges may be made, the same quantsty of consutable goods may be circulated and distributed to their proper con unders, by means of has promssory notes, to the value of a hundred thousand pounds. as hy an etulual value of gold and salver money. Eighty thousand pounds of gold and silecr, therefure can, in this manner, be spared fiom the circulation of the country : and if different operations of the same hind should, at the same tume, be carracd on by many different banksand bankers, the whole circulation may thus be conducted writi a fifth purt only of the gold and sher whach would otherwise have been requisite.

Let us suppove, for example, that the a hale cir ulating money of some particular countrs amounted, at a particular time, to one mollion sterlang, that su a being thea sufterent for corculating the wtole ammal produce of therr land and laloour. Let us supp, we too, that some tume thereafter, dufferent banks and bankers iscued promistory noterpasable to the bearer, to the extent of one mithon, rescrung in there different coften two hundred thousand ponnd for answering oceasonal denands. There would remain,
-therffure, in circulation, uryt hundred thousund pounds in gold and silver, and a milhon of bank notes or eaghteen hundred thousand pounds of paper and monev together But the annual produce of the land and labour of the country had before nequired only one molron to cimulate and domibute it to ats proper consumers and that annual produce cannot be momednately sugmented by those operations of hankurg One mithon, therefore, wil be sutternt to circulate it after them The gools to be bought and sold

[^44]Wing precselv the sumd as beliof uite wine quantity of money with be bowlitat ? buving and selling them a The Gikind of carculation, it I may be allowed such an gitpresswn, wall reman precisely same as befure. One million we bave supposed sufficient to till that chanoel 1 hatwer, therefore, is poured into it beroncit ihis sum, cunnot run in it, but must overtlc.w. 1 One malition tygle hundred thousan's pounds are poured mito it. Eight hundred thousand pounds, therefore, must overfiow, that sum being over and above what can be employed in the circulation of the country. But though thes sum cannot be employed at home, it is too valuable to be allowed to he adle It whll, therefore, be dent abroad, in order to seck that prohtabl, employment which it cannot find at horie. But the paper cannot go abroad, ber ause at a distance from the banks which 1se de it, and frum the country in which payment of it can be axacted by law, it wall no ; be recenced in common payir ents Gold ani ither, therefore, to the amount of etyht headred thousand pounds will be sent abioad, and the , hannel of home urculation will $n$ mann filled wath a nullion of paper, instea $d$ of the milluol of those metais uhich filled it before.

But thougls so great a quantity of guld and s1, iver is thus sent abruad, we must not imagi ne that it is sent abroad tor wothand, or that its proprietors make a preent of it to foregn natons. They will exchange ut for foreng goods of some hind or another, in order to supply the consumption cither of some othe $r$ foreign country of of thar own

If the) employ it in purcham, goods in one iervigl, coactry in ordar to supply the consumptie $n$ of another, or in what is called the carry $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}$ trade, whitever profit thev make will Le an adation to the neat revenue of their own country. It is lihe a new fund, crestud for carreing on a new trade, domesthe busters beung now tramated by paper, and the golo' and siver being converted now a fund for th is now trade.

If they emp loy it in purchasang fureign goods for hol ne couvumption, they may enther, first, pure tase suah goods as are likely to be consumed lag idle people a ho produre nothmg, such as th aretgn wines foregen suhs, \&e. ; or, secondly, they may purchase an ad. dithonal stoul of materials, uols, and prounons, iu order $t$ o mantiu aod employ an addutonal number of industrious people, who reproduce, wath a proft, the value of their annual consumptic. . .

So far as it is em . Dloyed in the first war. it. promotes prodicalit!: increases elpense and consumption withor at increasing production, or establishing any rermanent fund fur sup-
the ratue of naper fili l relow that of gatid the a din
 wwuld te propertional thicriaseal.
porting that expense, and is in every respect hurtful to the society. 1

So far as it is employed in the second way, it promotes industry; and though it increases the consumption of the society, it (provides a permanent fund for supportung that consump ion, the people who consume re-producing, with a profit, the whole value of their annual eonsumption. The gross revenue of the societ $y$, the annual produce of their land and labour; is increased by the whole value which the $\$ abour of those workmen adds to the materialy upon which they are employed; and their neat revenue by what remains of this value, after deducting what is necessary for supportivig the tools and instruments of their trade.

That the greater part of the gold and silver which, being forced abrctad by those operations of banking, is emploged in purchasing foreign goods for home coirsumption, is and must be employed in purchading those of this second kind, seems not only probable, but almost unavoidable. Though sonne particular men may sometimes incred se their expense very considerably, though their revenue does not increase at all, we hay be assured that no class or order of men ever does so; because, though the principlles of common prudence do not always govisrn the conduct of every individual, they "always influence that of the majority of everv class or order; but the revenue of idle people, considered as a class or order, cannot, in the stallest degree, be increased by thr se operations of banling. Their expense in general, therefore, cannot be much indseased by them, though that of a few fudividuals among them reay, and in realit/s sometimes is. The demand of idle people, therefore, for foreign goods being the same, or very nearly the same, as before, a vexy small part of the money, which being forced abroad by those operations of banking, is mployed in purchasing foreign goods for honi ie consumpthon, is fikely to be employed in purchasing those for their use. The grea ter part of it will naturally be destined for the employment of industry, and not for the maintenance of idleness.

When we compute the quantity of industry which the circulating capital of any society can employ, we must always have regard to those parts of it only which constst in provisions, mater, ials, and finished work : the other, which $c$ onsists in money, and which serves only io circulate those three, must always be ded ducted. In order to put industry into motion, three things are requisite: materials to,' work upon, tools to work with, and the wast es or recompence for

[^45]the suke of which the work is done. Money is neither a material to work upon, nor a tool to work with; and though lie wages of the workment are comunonly paid to him in money, his real revenur, hike that of all other men, consists not in the money, but in the money's worth; not in the metal piecer, but) in what can be got for thero.

The quantity of industry which any capital can employ, must evidently be equal to the number of workmen whon it can supply with materials, tools, and a mamenatce suitable to the nature of the work. Money may be requisite for purchasing the maternits and tools of the work, as well as the maintenance of the workmen; but the quantity of industry which the whole capital can employ. is certainly not-equal both to the monig which purchases, and to the materials, two's, and maintenance which are purchased with; it; but only to one or other of those two values, and to the latter more properly than to the former.
When paper is substituted in the room of gold and silver money, the quantity of the materials, tools, and maintenance which the whole circulating capital can supply, may be increased by the whole value of gold and silver which used to be employed in purchasing them. The whole value of the great wheel of circulation and distribution is added to the goods which are circulated and distributed by means of it. The operation, in some measure, resembles that of the undertaker of some great work, who, in consequence of some improvement is mechanits, takes down his old machinery, and adds the difference between its price and that of the new to his circulating capital, to the fund from which he furnishes materials and wages to his workmen.

What is the proportion which the circulating money of any country bears to the whole value of the annual produce circulated by means of it, it is, perhaps, impossible to determine. It has been computed by difter. ent authors at a fifth, at a tenth, at a twentieth, and at a thirtieth part of that value: but how small soever the proportion which the circulating money may bear to the whole value of the annual produce, as but a part, and frequently but a small part of that produce is ever destined for the maintenance of industry, it must always bear a yery considerable proportion to that pari. When, therefore, by the substitution of paper. the gold and silver necessary for circulation an reduced to, perhaps, a fifth part of the former quantity, if the salue of only the greater part of the other four-fifths be added to the funds which are destined for the maintenaise
burtiul to the society than theje consumprion of ary other equal armount of wealth We shall athersar is endeavour to ponnt out the fallary $0^{*}$ Dr Smuth's theorp with respect to prodnctive and nipirnductive exponditure
of industry, it must make a very consider. thle addition to the quantity of that indur tiy, and conseguently to the salue of the ammal porduce of land and labour

An operation of this kind has, withen thene five-and-twenty on tharty yeirs, been performed in Scutiand, by the erection of new banhing companius in almost every convideable town, and even in some country wllagra The eflects of at have been precrely those above dencribed The busmess of the country is almost entindy carried on by means of the paper of those different trathing companes, with which purchases and payments of all kinds are commonly made. Silver very seldom appears except an the changre of a twerty shilings bank note, and gold still seldomer. But though the conduct of all thuse different companes has s.ot been thexecpttonable, and has necordingly required an act of parlament to regulate it, the conntry, nutwithstanding, has evidently dewed great benefit from them trade. I have heard it usserted, that the trade of the city of Glasgow doubled in about fiftern years after the hrst erection of the I: nks there; and that the trade of Scotland has more than quadrupled since the first prueton of the two public banks at Edinburgh, of which the one, called the Bank of 1 Scotland, was entablished by act of parHin ent in 1695 ; the other, called the Royal Bank, by roval charter in 1727 . Whether the trabe, ether of Scothand in general, or of the city of Glasgow in paticular, has really m-reased in so great a proportion durnigg so slurt a period, I do not pretend to know. It cither of them has increased in this proportion, it seems to be an eflect too great to ho accounted for by the sole operation of this muse. That the trade and industry of ScotIand, however, have increased very considerably during thas period, and that the banks have contributed a good deal to this increase, cannot be doubted.

The value of the sulver moncy which circulated in Scotland befire the Union, in 1707, and which, immediately after it, was lirourht usto the Bank of Scotiand in order to be re-comed, amounted to 411,1172 10s. 9d. stering. No account has been got of the gond coin; but it appears from the ancient accounts of the inint of Scotland, that the value of the gold annually coined somewhat exceeded that of the silver. 1 There were a good many people too upon this eccusion, who, from a diffidence of repaysnent, did not brang ther silver, into the Bank of Scotlund: and there was, besides,
1 See IRuddiman's Preface to Anderson's Diphomata \&c. Ncutia
mata \&c. Scutiat of the paper eurrency of Scotland, tis 1 I te amount of the paper currency of sed hy the Conomitter of the




some English comp, which was net called in. The whole value of the gold and sllver, therefore, which circulated in Scotland before the Unson, cannut be estmated at leas than a millum sterlug. It seems to have constituted almost the whole circulation of that country; for though the enrculation of the Bank of Scotland, which had then no rival, was considerable, it seems to hase made but a very small part of the wiole. In the present times the whole carculation of Scotland cannot be estimated at less than two millions, of which that part which consists in gold and silver, most probably, does not amount to half a mallion. 2 But though the circulating gold and sulver of Scotland bave suffened so freat a diminution during this period, its real riches and prosperity do not appear to have sulfered any. Its agriculture, manufactures, and trade, on the contrary, the annual produce of its land and labour, have evidently been augmented.

It is chiefly by discounting bills of exchange, that is, by advaneing money upon them before they are due, that the greater part of banks and bankers asue their promissury no es. They deduct always, upon whatever sum they advance, the legal interevt $t i l$ the bill shall become due. The payment of the bill, when it becomes due, ruplaces to the bank the value of what had been advance $J$, together with a clear profit of the interest. The banker who adiances to the merciant whose bill he discounts, not gold and siser, but his own promis ry notes, has the acprastage of beng able to dincount to a greater amount, by the whole value of his promisory notes, which he finds by experience, are cominonly in circulation. He is thereby enabled to make his elear gain of interest on so much a larger sum.

The commerce of Scotland, which at present is not very great, was stall more inconsiderable when the two first bauking compames were establushed; and those companics would have had but litele trado, had thev confined their busmes to the discounting of bills of exchange. They invented, theretore, another method of issung their promssury notes, - by granting what they called cash accounts, that is, by giving eredit to the extent of a certail sum (two or three thousand pounds ${ }^{3}$, for example, to any individual who could procure two persons of undoubted credit and good landed estate to become surety for him, that whatever money should be advanced to him, within the sum for which the credit bad been given, should be repad upon demand, together with the leg.a inte-
culation ; and their value and that of the siver culns Ciken together. is not supponed to amount to Su0,000l Perhaps the entire curretsey of that part of the momire may now (Jnti) be estimated at from

'3 ash accounts are now frequent!) ghel for sums of owly lent, zik), de
rest. Credits of this kind are, I believe, commonly granted by banks and bankers in all different parts of the world. But the easy terms upon which the Scotch banking compames accept of repayment are, so far as I know, peculiar to them, and have perhaps been the principal cause, both of the great trade of those companies, and of the benefit which the country has received from it.

Whoever has a credit of this kind with one of those companies, and borraws a thousand pounds upon it, for example, may repay this sum piecemeal, by twenty and thirty pounds at a time, the company discounting a proportionable part of the interest of the great sum from the day on which each of those small sums is paid in, till the whole be in this manner repsid. All merchants, therefore, and almost all men of business, find it convenient to keep such cash accounts with them, and are thereby interested to promote the trade of those companies, by readily receiving their notes in all payments, and by encouraging all those with whom they have any influence to do the same. The banks, when their customers apply to them for money, generally advance it to them in their own promissory notes. These the merchants pay away to the manufacturers for goods, the manufacturery to the farmers for materials and provisions, the farmers to their landlords for rent, the landlords wepay them to the merchants for the conveniencies and luxuries with which they supply them, and the merchants again return them fo the banks, in order to balance their cain accounts, or to replace what they may have borrowed of them; and thus almost the whole money business of the country is transacted by means of them. Hence the great trade of those companies.

By means of those cash accounts every mercbant can, without imprudence, carry on a greater trade than he otherwise could do. If there are two merchants, one in Londion, and the other in Edinburgh, who employ equal stocks in the same branch of trade, the Edinburgh merchant can, without imprudence, carry on a greater trade, and give employment to a greater number of people than the London merchant. The London merchant must always keep by him

- Mr. Ricardo has denied this position, and, as it seems, on good grounds. "Cash accounts," he observes, "are credits given by the Scotch bankers to their customers, in gidition to the bults which they discuunt for them; but as the bankers, in proportion as they advance money one way, and send it unto ciras they advance money one way, and send it mito cir-
culstion, are debarred from sending so mucb in the culation, are debarted from sendigg so much in the
other, it is difficult to perceive in what the advantage consists, If the whole circulation will bear only one million of paper, one milion onty can be crrculated; and th can he of no real moportance ether to the bankers or the merchants, whether the whole be paid in discounting bilis, or a part be 60 pald, and the remainder be tosued by means of these cash ac-counts."-Prtsompes of Polstical Economy, first cdit. p. 815 .
The pecular advantage of a cash account doed not
a considerable sum of mones, either in his own coffers, or in those of his banker, who gives him no interest for $i t$, in order to enswer the demands contınurlly coming upon hitn for payment of the goods which he purchases upon credit. Let the ordinary amount of this sum be supposed five hundred pounds. The value of the goods in his warehouse must always be less by five hundred pounds than it would have been, had lie not been olsiged to keep such a sum unemployed. Let us suppose that he generally disposes of his whole stock upon hand, or of goods to the value of hia whole stock upon hand, once in the year. By being obliged to keep so great a sum unemplojed, he must sell in a year five hundred pounds worth less goods than he might otherwise have done. Ilis annual profits must be less by all that he could have made by the sale of five hundred pounds worth more goods; and the number of people employed in preparing his goods for the market must be less by all those that five hundred pounds more stock could have employed. The merchant in Edinburgh, on the other hand, keeps no money unemployed for answering such occasional demands. When they actually come upon him, he satisfies them from his cash account with the bank, and gradually replaces the sum borrowed with the money or paper which comes in from the occasional salis of his goods. With the same stock, thercfore, he can, without amprudence, have at alt times in his warehouse a larger quantity of goods than the London merchant; and can thereby both make a greater profit himself, and g. 'e constant employment to a greater number of industrious people who prepare those goods for the market. Hence the great benefit which the country has derived from this trade.

The facility of discounting bills of exchange, it may be thought indeed, gives the English merchants a convenicncy equivalent to the cash accounts of the Scotch merchants. But the Scotch merchants, it must be remembered, can discount their bills of excbange as easily as the English merchants; and have, besides, the additional conveniency of their cash accounts.

The whole paper money of every kind
really consist in its enabling a hanker to enlarge his advances to his customers; but it consiate m- what Mr. Ricardo has not adverted to- the extreme tacility it affurds of making them. A merchant, of trader, who bas obtarned such an accuunt, may opsrate upon it at any time he pleases, and by drafts for sums of any amonint; an advantage he could ese enjoy to any thug like the same excent, whithut an infonite deal of trouble and expense, were the loans or advances made to hin thruugh the discount of bulte.
It 18 singular, howeret, notwithstanding the advantage of cash accounss, that the amount of the notes of the scotch banks in circulation, bsaued by theif means. is but very tmall, perhaps not more thata an etghst or a tenth part of thosee lisibed in tue discount of bulls. See Eridence of Mr. Culur-st an
whel can easily erreulate in any country never cun exceed the value of the gold and silver, of which st supples the place, or whieh (the connturce bang supposed the same) a ould eirenlate there, if there way no piper money If twenty shilling notes, for exanple, are the lowest paper money current in Sootand, the whole of that currency which can casily circulate there cannot exceed the sum of gold and anlyer which would be neecs sary for transactang the anuud exchanges of twenty slillimga value and upu ards usually tr maseted withon that comitry. Should the circulatiag paper at any time exceed that sum, as the excess could nesther be sent athrand nor be employed in the carculation of the country, it must inmediately roturn upon the banks to be cxchanged for gold and silver. Many people would immediately percese that they had move of this paper then was mecsaary for transacting there buaness at home, and as they could not send it ibroad, they would immediately demand payment of it from the banks. When this supertluous paper was converted into pold and wlver, they could easily find a use for it by sendeng it abroad; but they could find none whule it remaned in the shape of paper. There would immediately, therefore, be a rin ipon the bauks to the whole extent of thas superfuous paper, and, if they showed any difliculty or bokwardnes in payment, to a much greater extent; the alarm whach thes would oceason mecesarily increasing the run.
(Wer and above the expenses which are common to every branch of trade,--such as the expense of house rent, the wages of servants, elerks, becountants, \&e., the expenses pecular to a bank consist chutly in two articles. First, in the expenac of keeping at all times in its coffers, for answering the oceavonal demands of the holders of its notes, a large sum of money, of which it loves the interest and, stcondly, in the expense of replenishang those coffers as fast as they are enpthed by answering such oceasional demands.

1 bunking company which issues more paper than can be employed in the circulatoon of the country, and of which the excens is contunally returning upon them for payment, ought to increase the quantity of tuld and silver wheh they keep at all tmes in their collers, not only in proportion to this excessive inerease of their curculation, but in a mugh greater proportion; their motes seturnung upon them much fonter than an proportion to the excess of then quanlity. Such a company, therefore, ought to marate the first article of their capense, not only in proportion to this fored increase of
the Appetulix to the Commons' Report on the 1. vimite ua y of the Bath's renuming Cehl larments

thair busincus, hut in a much gredter pro portion

The cofferg of sech a conipany too, though they ouphit to be tilled nuch fuller, yet must empty thenselses much fister than if their bustocs was confued within more reasonable bounds, and must regure, not only a more volent, but a more constant and uninterrupted exertion of expense in order to replemsh them. The coin ton, wheh is thus contimally drawn in such large quantities from their coffirs, cannot be employed in the circulation of the country. It comes in place of a paper which is over and alove what can be employed in that circulation, and is therefore over and above what an be employed in it too. Jut as that com will not be allowed to lie idle, it must, m one shape or another, be sent abroad, in order to find that profitable emplogment which it cannot find at home; and this continual exportation of gold and silver, by culaneing the difficulty, must necessarily enhance stall further the expense of the biak, in finding new gold and salver in order to replenish those coffers, which empty themesines so very rapitly. Such a company, thersfore, must, in proportion to this forced increase of their busuness, increase the second article of their expense still more than the tirst.

Le', us suppose that all the paper of $u$ particular lank, which the circulation of the ountry can easily absorb and emplon, amounts exactly to forty thousand pounds; and that for answering occasional demands, this bank is obliged to keep at all tmes in its cotrers ten thousand pounds in gold and silver. Should this bank attempt to circulate furty-four thousand pounds, the four thousand pounds whict: are over and above what the circulation can easily absorl and employ, will return upon it almost as fist as they are issued. loor answering occastonal demands, therefore, this bank ought to keep at all tumes in its coffers, not elesen thousand pounds only, but fourteen thousand pounds. It will thus gann nothing by the interest of the four thousand pounds excessivecirculation; and it will lose the whole expense of continually collecting four thousand pounds in gold and sulver, which will be contmually gong out of its coffirs as fust as they are brouglit into them.

Had every partacular banking company alwiys understood and attended to its own particular iutcrest, the circulation never could have been over-stocked with paper money. But every particular banding company has not alwass understoud or attended to its own particular interest, and the circulation has frequeutly been overstocked with paper money
the Appendix to the lisport of the Comnitter on the lromisoory Autes of sothand, se. In 182. p 140.

By issuing too great a quantity of paper, of which the excess was continually returning, in order to be exchanged for gold and silver, the Bank of Eugland was for many years together obliged to coin gold to the extent of between eight hundred thousand pounds and a million a year; or at an average, about eight hundred and fifty thousand pounds. For this great coinage the bank (in consequence of the worn and degrided state into which the gold coin had fallen a few years ago) was frequently obliged to purchase gold bullion at the high price of four pounds an ounce, which it soon after issued in coin at 31.17 s . $10 \frac{1}{2} d$, an ounce, losing in this manner between two and a half and three per cent. upon the coinage of so very large a sum. Though the bank, therefore, paid no seignorage, though the government was properly at the expense of the coinage, this tiberality of government did not prevent altogether the expense of the bank.:

The Scotch banks, in consequence of an excess of the same kind, were all ohliged to employ constantly agents at London to collect money for them, at an expense which was seldom below one and a half or two per cent. This money was sent down by the waggon, and insured by the carriers at an additional expense of three quarters per cent. or fifteen shillings on the hundred pr inds. Those agents were not always able ty replenish the coffers of their employers sc, fust as they were emptied. In this case the resource of the banks was, to draw upon, their correspondents in London bills of exchange to the extent of the sum which they wented. When those correspondents afterwards drew upon them for the payment of this sum, together with the interest and a commission, some of those banks, from the distress into which their excessive circulation had thrown them, had sometimes no other means of satisfying this draught but by drawing a second set of bills either upon the same, or upon some other correspondents in London; and the same sum, or rather bills for the same sum, would in this manner make sometimes more than two or three journeys; the debtor bank paying always the interest and commission upon the whole accumulated sum. Even those Scotch banks which never distinguished themselves by their extreme imprudence, were sometimes obliged to employ this ruinous resource.

The gold coin which was paid out either by the Bank of England, or by the Scotcl! banks, in exchange for that part of their paper which was over and above what could

[^46]be employed in the circulation of the country. being likewise over and above what couid br employed in that circulation, was sometames sent abroad in the shape of coin, sometumes melted down and sent abroad in the shape of bullion, and sometimes melted down and sold to the Bank of England at the high price of four pounds an ounce. It was the newest, the heaviest, and the best pieces only which were carefully picked out of the whole com, and either sent abroad or melted down. At home, and while they remained in the shape of coin, those heavy pieces were of no more value than the light: but they were of more value abroad, or when melted down into bullion, at home. The Bank of England, notwithstanding their great annual conaye, found to their astonishment, that there was every year the same scarcity of coin as there had been the year before; and that, notwithstanding the great quantity of good and new coin which was every year issued from the bank, the state of the coin, instead of grewing better and better, became every ycar worse and worse. Every year they fuund themselves under the necessity of coining nearly the same quantity of gold as they had coined the year before, and from the continual rise in the price of gold bullion, in consequence of the continual wearing and clipping of the coin, the expense of thas great annual coinage becsme every ycar greater end greater. The Bank of Englas $\dot{c}$, it is to be observed, by supplying its own coffers with coin, is indirectly obliged to supply the whale kingdom, into which coin is continually flowing from those coffers in a great variety of ways. Whatever PAll, therefore, was wanted to support ibis exces. sive circulation, both of Scoteh end Enghsh paper money, whatever vacricies this excessive circulation occasionra in the necessary coin of the kingdom. the Bank of England was obliged to supply thern. The Scotch banks, no doubt, paid all of them very deally for their owt imprudence and inattention. But the Pank of England paid very dearly, not only for its own imprudence, but for the much greater imprudence of almost all the Scotcb banks.

The over-trading of some bold projectors in 'oth parts of the United Kingdom, was the original cause of this excessive eurculation cl paper money.

What a bank can with propriety advance to a merchant or undertaker of any kind, is not, either the whole capital with whicb he trades, or even any considerable part of that capital; but that part of it only which be

[^47]would otherwise be ohliged to keep by him usemployed and un ready money for answer. thg oceasional demands. If the paper monoy a hich the bank adsances never excocts this value, it can never exceed the value of the gold and silver which would nucossarily circulate in the country of there wns no paper money ; it can never exceed the quantity whech the circulation of the conntry can easaly absorb and employ.

When a bank discounts to a merchant a wal bill of exchange drawn by a real creditor urun a real debtor, and which, as soon as it beromes due, is really paid by that debtor, It only advance to ham a part of the value which he would otherwise be obliged to keep by him unemployed and in ready money for answering occavonal demands. The payment of the bill, when it becomes due, replaces to the bank the value of what it had advanced, together with the interest. 'Ihe cotlers of the bank, so far as its dealinge are confined to such customers, resemble a water pond, fion which, though a stream is contunully runuing out, yet another is contunually ruming in, fully equal to that which rins out; so that without any further carc or attention, the pond keeps always equally, or very near equally full. Little or no expense can ever be neeessary for replenishing the coffers of such a bank.

A merchant, without over-trading, may frequently have o"casion for a sum of ready money, even when he has no bills to discount. When a bank, besides discounting has bills, adrances him likewise upon such occasions, such sums upon his cash account, and uccepts of a piecemeal repayment as the money comes in from the occasional sale of his goods, tyon the easy terms of the banking compranies of Scotland; it dispenses him entirely from the necessity of keeping any part of his stock by him unemployed and in leady money for answering occasional domands. When such demands actually come upon him, he can answur them sufficiently from his cash account. The bank, however, in dealing with such customers, ought to observe with great attention, whether in the course of sume short period (of four, five, sux, or extht months, for example) the sum of the repay ments which it commonly receives from them, is, or is not, fully equal to that of the adsances which it commonly makes to them. If, within the course of such short periods, the sum of the repayments from certan customers $L$, upon most occasions, fully equal to that of the advances, it may sifely continut to deal with such customers. Though the streain which is 10 this case conturually ranning out from its coffers may be very large, that wheh is continually runnugg into them must be at least equally layge; so that without uny further care or uttention thove coflurs are likely to lo aluays
equally or very near cqually full, and scarce ever to require any extraurdmary expense to replensh them. If, on the contrary, the sum of the repayments from certain other customers falls connmonly very much short of the advances wheh it makes to them, it cannot with any safety continue to deal with such customers, at least if they continue to deal with it in this manner. The strean wheh is in this case continually running out from its coffers is necessarily much larger than that which is continually running in, so that, unless they are replenished by some great and continual effort of expense, thuse coffers muxt soon be exhausted altogether.

The banking companues of Scothand, aceordingly, were for a long time very careful to require frequent and regular repityments from all their customers, and did not care to deal with any person, whatever mught be his fortune or eredit, who did not make what they call frequent and regular operations with them. By this atteution, berides saving olmost entirely the extraordinary expense of replenishang their coffers, they ganed two other very considerable advantuges.

Furst, By this attention they were enabled to make some tolerable judgment concernimy the thriving or dechning carcumstances of their debtors, without being obliged to look out for any other evidence besides what their owis rooks afforded them; men being for the most part either regular or irregular in their repayments, according as their circuinstarces are either thriving or declming. A private man who lends out his money to perhaps half a dozen or a dozen of debtors, may, either by himself or his agents, observe and inquire both constantly and carefully into the conduct and situation of each of them. But a banking company, which lends money to perhaps tive hundred different peopie, and of which the attention is contunually occupied by objects of a very different kind, can have no regular information concerning the conduct and circumstances of the greater part of 3 ts debtors beyond what its own books afford it. In requiring frequent and regular repayments from all their customers, the banking companies of Scotland had probably this advantage in ziew.

Secondly, By this attention they secured themselves from the possubulity of issung more paper money then what the circulation of the country could eastly absorb and enuploy. When they observed, that within moderate periods of time the repayments of a particular customer were upon most occasions fully equal to the advances which they had made to hm, they mught be as sured that the paper money whin they had advanced to him had not at any time exceeded the quantity of gold and silver wheh he wuuld otherwise have been ohliged to keep by ham for answering ocorsional de-
mands; and that consequently the paper money which they had circulated by his means, had not at any time exceeded the quantity of gold and silver which would have circulated in the country, had there been no paper money. The frequency, regularity, and amount of his repayments would sufficiently demonstrate that the amount of their advances had at no time exceeded that part of his capital which he would otherwise have been obliged to keep by him unemployed and in ready money for answering occasional demands; that is, for the purpose of keeping the rest of his capital in constant employment. It is this part of his capital only which, within moderate periods of time, is continually returning to every dealer in the shape of money, whether paper or coin, and continually going from him in the same shape. If the advances of the bank had commonly exceeded this part of his capital, the ordinary amount of his repayments could not, within moderate periods of time, have equalled the ordinary amount of its advances. The stream which, by means of his dealings, was continually running into the coffers of the bank, could not have been equal to the stream which, by means of the same dealings, was continually running out. The advances of the bank paper, by exceeding the quantity of gold and silver which, had there bees no such advances, he would have been obliged to keep by him for answering occasi,nal demands, might soon come to exceed the whole quantity of gold and silver which (the commerce being supposed the some) would have circulated in the country had there been no paper money, and conse quently to exceed the quantity which the circulation of the country could easily absorb and craploy; and the excess of this paper money would immediately have returned upon the bank in order to be exchanged for gold and silver. This second advantage, though equally real, was not, perhaps, so well understood by all the different banking companies of Scotiand as the first.

When, partly by the conveniency of discounting bills, and partly by that of cash accounts, the creditable traders of any country can be dispensed from the necessity of keeping any part of their stock by them unemployed and in ready money for answering occasional demands, they can reasonably expect no farther assistance from banks and bankers, who, when they have gone thus far, cannot, consistently with their owa interest and safety, go farther. A bank cannot, consistently with its own interest, advance to a trader the whole or even the greater part of the circulating capital with which he trades; because, though that capital is continually returning to him in the shape of money, and going from him in the
same shaps, yet the whole of the returus is too distan: from the whole of the outgongrs and the sum of his repayments could not equal the sum of its advances withon such moderate periods of time as suit the conveniency of a bank. Still less could a bank afford to advance him any considerable part of his fixed capital ; of the capital wheh the undertaker of an iron forge, for exsmple, employa in erecting his forge and smeltiughouse, his workhouses and warehouses, the dwelling-houses of his workmen, \&c.; of the capital whinh the undertaker of a mine employs in sinking his shafts, in erectung engines for drawing out the water, in making roads and waggon-ways, \&c.; of the capital which the person who undertakes to improve land employs in clearing, draining, enclosing, manuring and ploughing waste and uncultivated ficlds, in buildung farmhouses, with all their necessary appendage of stables, granaries, \&c. The returns of the fixed capital are, in almost all cases, much slower than those of the circulating capital; and such expenses, even when laid out with the greatest prudence and judgment, very seldom return to the undertaker till after a period of many years, a period by far too distant to suit the conveniency of a bank. Traders and other undertakers may, no doubt, with great propriety, carry on a very considerable part of their projects with borrowed money. In justice to their creditore, however, their own capital oupht, in ths case, to be sufficient to ensure, if I may say so, the capital of those creditors; or to render it extremely improbable that thuse creditors should incur any loss, even though the success of the project should fall very much short of the expectation of the projectors. Even with this precaution, too, the money which is borrowed, and which it is meant should not be repaid till after a period of several years, ought not to be borrowed of a bank, but ought to be borrowed upon bond or mortgage, of uch private people as propose to live upon the interest of their money, without taking the trouble themselves to employ the capital; and who are, upon that account, willing to lend that capital to such people of good credit as are likely to keep it for several years. A bank, indeed, which lends its money without the expense of stamped pa per or of attorncys' fees for drawing bonds and mortgages, and which accepts of repayment upon the easy ternas of the banking companies of Scotland, would, no doubt, be a very convenient creditor to such traders and undertakers. But such traders and undertakers would, surely, be most inconvenient debtors to such a bank.

It is now more than five-and-twenty years since the paper money issued by the different tanking eompanies of Scotland was fully.
equal, or rather was same what more than tully equal, to what the corculation of the conintry could exsily dborb and employ. I hove companiss, thersfore, had so long uyo Hiven all the asontance to the trader* and other undertakers of Scothand which it is promble for banks and bankere, consistantly with their own miterint, to give. They had even dune somer hat more They had overtamed a hathe, and had hrousht upon thenGilves that lims, or at least that dimmution of protit, which in thas particulai business never folv tu attond the smallent degree of over-tiading 'lhose traders and other undertakers, having fot so much awnintance from lianh, and bankers, wholied to get still more. 'I the banks, the $y$ hem to have thought, could otend their cetits to whatever sum might be wanted, witheut incurring any other exprose beutden that of a few reams of paper I hey comphused of the contracted views and daniardly spirit of the drectors of those banks, which did not, they sad, extend thear eredita in proportion to the extension of the trale of the equntry; meanug, no doubt, by the extension of that trade, the extension of there own projects beyond what they could carry on. eather with their own capital, or with what they land credit to borrow of fruate people in the usual way of bond oi hoortgaye. The banks, they seem to have thought, wore m honour bound to supply the deficienev, and to provide them with all the empital wheh they wanted to trade with. The butuks, however, were of a dif. frent opmon, and upon their refunang to evtemd llate eredits, some of those tradirs liad rucourse to an expedient which, for a tume, served their purpose, though at a much grenter cxpense, yet as eflectually as the utino-t extension of bank credits could have done This expedient was no other than the well-known shif of drawing and redawng: the shift to which uifortanate crader have sometames recourse when they are upon the brink of bankruptey. The practice of raisug money in this manner had been long known in England, and during the course of the liate war, when the high prohits of trade afforded a great temptation to wer-truding, is said to have been carried on to a very grest extent. Froin England it was brought moto Scotland, where, in proportion to the very limited conmeree, and to the sery moderate capital of the country, 1t xus soon earried on to a much greater extent than it ever had been in Englad.

The practice of drawing and re-drawing us well known to all men of busuess, that it mas perhaps be thought unnecessary to give an account of it. But as this book may come into the hands of many beople who are not men of business, and as the eftets of this proctice upon the banking trate are not perhaps generally understood
even b) men of besiness themsufees, 1 shall endeavour to explani it as distunctly as I can.

The extoms of merchants, wheh were estallished when the hatbarous laus of Eirope did not enforce the performance of their contracts, and which during the cuurs. of the two last centuries have been alopted into the laws of all European nutions, hase given such extraordinary privileges to buls of exchange, that monty is more riadsly advanced upon them than upou any other epeces of obligration; espectally when they are made payable within so short a period as two or three months after thear date If, when the bill becomes due, the acceptor does not pay it as soon as it is preselited, he be. comes from that noment a bankrupt. The bill is protest $d$, and returns upon the drawer, who, if he doss not immediately pay it, becomes likewise a bankrupt it, before it came to the person who prisents it to the acceptor for payment, it had passed through the hands of several other persons, who had successively advaned to one another the contents of it asther in money or goods, and who to express that cach of them had in his turn recerved those contents, had all of them in their order endursed, that is , written thicir names upon the back of the bill, cach endorser becomes in his turn hable to the ownur of the bull for thuse contents, and, it he fals to pay, he becomes too from that moment a banhrupt. Though the drawer, acceptor, and endorsers of the bill should all of them be persons of doubtful credit, yot stall the shortness of the date gries some security to the owner of the bull. Though all of them nuay be very likely to beconce bankrupts, it is a chance of they all become so $1 n$ so short a time. The house is craty says a weary traveller to himself, and wis not stand very long, but it is a chance of if falls tonnght, and I wall venture, therefore, to slecp in it to-mght.

The trader A. in Edinburgh, we shall suppose, draws a bill upon 13, in Lundon payable tro munths after date. In reality 13. in London ones nothing to A. in Edinburgh; but he agrees to accept of $A$.'s bull, upon condition that bufore the term of payment he shall redraw upon A. in Edmburgh for the same sum, togetter with the interest and a commission, another bill, payalle hinewise two months aterdate. B. accordingly, before the expiration of the first two monthes redraws this bill upon A. in Lduburgh, who agan, before the expiration of the second two months, draws a second bill upin B. un London, payable hhew ise two mouths atter date; and before the expiration of the third two months, B. in London redraws upon A. in Edinhurgh another bill, payable also two months after date. This practice has sometimes gone on, not only for several months, but fur several ycurs together, the bill always
returning upon A. in Edinburgh, with the accumulated interest and commission of all the former bills. The interest was five per cent. in the year, and the commission was never less than one half per cent. on each draught. This commission being repeated more than six times in the year, whatever money A. might raise by this expedient must necessarily have cost him something more than eight per cent. in the year, and sometimes a great deal more, when either the price of the commission happened to rise, or when he was obliged to pay compound interest upon the interest and commission of former bills. This practice was called raising money by circulation. ${ }^{1}$

In a country where the ordinary profits of stock in the greater part of meercantile projects are supposed to run between six and ten per cent., it must have been a very fortunate speculation of which the returns could not only repay the enormous expense at which the money was thus borrowed for carrying it on, but afford, besides, a good surplus profit to the projector. Many vast and extensive projects, however, were undertaken, and for several years carried on without any other fund to support them beside: what was raised at this enormous expense. The projectors, no doubt, had in their golden dreams the most distinct vision of this great profit. Upon their awaking, however, either at the end of their projects, or when they were no longer able to carry them on, they very seldom, I believe, had the good fortune to find it. ${ }^{2}$

The bills which A. in Edinburgh drew upon B. in London, he regularly discounted two months before they were due with some bank or banker in Edinburgh; and the bills which $\mathbf{B}$. in London redrew upon A. in

1 Mr. H. Thornton has ahown, in his Essay on Paper Credit (p 34.), that Dr. Smith has overrated the expense of raising money by drawing and re drawing blls. The faet is, that transactions in fictitious bils are, in the vast majority of instances, carried on for the joint benefit of the parties. When A. draws on B, he pays him a commisbion; but when B. is the drawer, A. gets the commission. On the whole, therefore, each party may be supposed to receive abouk as much as he pays in the shape of comrnission: and the discount in turning the bill into money, which can hardly exceed five per cent. per annum, must, generally speaking, be considered as the whole expense incurred, exclusive of the stamps.
${ }^{2}$ The method described in the text was by no means either the most common or the most expensive one in which those adventurers sometimes ratsed money by circulation. It frequently happened that A. in Edinburgh would enable B. $m$ London to pay the first bll of exchange Dy drawing, a few days before it became due, a second bill at three months date upon the same B. In London. This bill, being payable to his own order, A. sold in Edinburgb at par; and with its contents purchased bulls upon London payable at anght to the order of B., to whom he sent them by the post. Towards the end of the late war, the exchange between Edioburgh and Londun was frequently three per cent agamst Edinburgh, and those bills at sight must frequently nave cost a that premiurs This transaction therefore being repeated at least four turnes in the year,

Edinburgh, he as regularly dincounted either with the Bank of England, or with some other bankers un London. Whatever was advanced upon such circulating bills, was, in Edinburgh, advanced in the paper of the Scotch banks, and in London, when they were discounted at the Bank of England, in the paper of that bank. Though the bills upon which this paper had been advanced, were all of them repaid in their turn as soon as they became due, yet the value which had been really advanced upon the first bill was never really returned to the banks which advanced it; because, before each bill became due, another bill was always drawn to somewhat a greater amount than the bill whin was soon to be paid; and the discounting of this other bill was essentially necessary towards the payment of that which was soon to be due. This payment, therefore, was altogether fictitious The stream, which, by means of those circulating bills of exchange, had once been made to run out from the coffers of the banks, was never replaced by any stream which really run into thern.

The paper which was assued upon those circulating bills of exchange, amounted, upon many occasions, to the whole fund destined for carrying on some vast and extensive project of agriculture, commerce, or manufactures; and not merely to that part of it which, had there been no paper money, the projector would have been obliged to keep by him, unemployed and in ready money for answering occasional demands. The greater part of this paper was, consequently, over and above the value of the gold and sulver which would have circulated in the country, had there been no paper money. It was over and above, therefore, what the circulation of the country could easily absorb and em-
and being loaded with a commission of at least one half per cent upon each repetition, must at that period have cont A. at least fourteen per cent in the year. At other times A. would enable B $\omega$ discharge the first bill of exchange by drawiog. a frw days before it became due, a second bill at two montit date; not upon B., but upon some third persos, C., for example, in London Thls other bill was tade payable to the order of 8 , who, upon the being ac cepted by C., discounted it with some banker in London; and A. enabled C. to discharge it hy drawing, a few days before at became due, a third bill, likewise at two months date, sometimes upon by first correspondent B., aud sometames upon some fourth or fifth person, D. or E., for example. This third bill was made payable to the order of C., who, as soon as it was accepted, discounted it in the same manner with some banker in London. Such operathons bring repeated at least sis times in the gear, and beng Joaded with a commasion of at least one half per ceot. upon each repetition, engether with the legal interest of five per cent., thla method of raising money, in the aame manner as that described in the text, must have cost A. tometing more than eight per cent. By saving, however, the exchange between Edinburgh and Loudon, it was less espensive than that mentioned in the foregoing yart of this note; but then it required an established credit whit more houses than one in London, an sivantage which matoy of these adventurers coulu not al way: fisd it easy to proture (Note bs the Author)
ploy, and upon that account, immediately returned upon the banks 11 order to be exchanged for gold and silver, which they ware to find us they could. It was a capital which thove projectors had very artilly contrived to draw from those banks, not only whinout their knowledge or deliberate consent, but for some time, perhaps, without their having the mont distant sugpicion that they had really advanced it.

When two people, who are contunually drawng and redrawing upon one another, discount their bulls always with the same banker, he must mmediately discover what they are about, and see clearly that they are trading, not with any capual of their own, but with the capital which he advances to them. Bat this discovery iv not altogether so easy when they discount their bills sometimes with one bunker, and sometimes with another, and when the anme two persons do not constantly draw and redraw upon one another, but ocenstonally run the round of a great eirele of projectors, who find it for therr niterest to assist one anotber in this method of rasing money, and to render it, upon that account, as difisult as possible to distuggush betweena real and a fictitious bill of exchange; between a bill drawn by a real creditor upon a real debtor, and a bull for which there was properly no real creditor but the bank which discounted it, nor any real debtor but the projector who made use of the money. When a lanker had even made thes discovery, he mught sometumes make it too late, and might find that he had already discounted the bills of those projectors to so great an extent, that, by refusing to discount any more, he would necessarily make them all bankrupts, and thus, by runing then, might perhaps ruin himself. For his own interest and safety, therefore, he might find it necesary, in this very perilous situation, to go on for some tume, endeavourmg, kowever, to withdraw gradually, and upon that account mahing every day greater and greater dithcultes about discounting, in order to force those projectors by degrees to have recourse, ether to other bankers, or to other methods of rasing money; so as that he humself nught, as soon as possible, get out of the circle. The difficultues, accordingly, wheh the Bank of Eugland, which the principal bankerv in London, and which even the more prudent Scotch banks begau, after a certain time, and when all of them bad already gone too tar, to make about discounting, not only alarned, but enraged in the highest degree those projectors. Their own distress, of
$t$ This bant commenced business at Ayr, in November 176\%, under the firm of Douglas. Heron, \& ( 0 , and thad branches at Fthoburgh and Dumfrea. It susponded payments on the 2ath of June 1772, when, as staturl in the tex b though its pian up capital only



which this prodent and necessary reserse of the banks was, no doubt, the smmedsate occason, they called the distress of the country, and this distress of the country, they said, was altogether oning to the ignoranee, pusillanimity, and bad $c$ mduct of the banks, which did not give a sufticiently liberal and to the spirited undertahings of those who exerted themselves morder to beautify, improve, and enrich the country. It was the duty of the banks, they seemed to thank, to lend for as long a time, and to as great an extent as they might wish to borrow. The banks, however, by refusing in this manner to give more credit to those, to whom they had alrcady given a great deal too much, took the only method by which it was now possible to save either their own credit or the public credit of the country

In the midst of this clamour and dastress, a new bank was estabhehed in Scolland, for the express purpose of relieving the distress of the country.! The design a as generous, but the execution was imprudent, and the nature and causes of the distress which it meant to relieve were not, perhaps, well understood. This baik nas more hiveral than any other had ever been, both in granting cavh accounts, and in discounting bills of exchange. With regard to the latter, it seems to have made scarce any distinction between real and errculating bills, but to havc discounted all equaliy. It was the avowed principle of this bank to adrance. upon any reasonable security, the whole capital which was to be employed in those improvements of which the returns are the most slow and distant, such as the improvements of land. To promote such improvements was even said to be the chicf of the public-spirited purposes for which it was instututed. By its liberality in granting cash accounts, and in discounting bills of exchange, it, no doubt, issued great quantithes of its bank notes. But those bank notes being, the greater part of them, over and above what the circulation of the country could easily absorb and employ, returned upon it, in order to be exchanged for gold and silver, as fast as thev were issued. Its coffers were never well filled. The capital which had been subscribed to the bank at two different subscriptions, amounted to one hundred and suxty thousand pounds, of which eighty per cent. only was pard up. This sum ought to have been pad in at several different instalments. A great part of the proprietors, when they paid in their first instalment, opened a cash account with the
of outstanding notes ! The large pnvate fortunes of the partners enabled them, in the end, to dincbayge the partners enabled them, in the end, to dibcbayfe before its affars were bualiy oound uy is satd to have
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bank, and the directors, thinking themselves obliged to treat their own proprictors with the same liberality with which they treated all other men, allowed many of them to borrow upon this cash account what they paid in upon all their subsequent instalments. Such payments, therefore, only put into oue coffer, what had the moment before been taken out of another. But bad the coffers of this bank been filled ever so well, its exeessive crrculation must have emptied them $f_{i s t e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e y ~ c o u l d ~ h a v e ~ b e e n ~ r e p l e n i s h e d ~}^{\text {a }}$ by any other expedicnt but the ruinous one of drawing upon London, and when the bill became due, paving it, together with interest and commassion, by another draught upon the same place. Its coffers baving been filled so very ill, it is said to have been driven to this resource within a very few months after it began to do business. The estates of the proprietors of this bank were worth several millions, and by their subscription to the original bond or contract of the bank, were really pledged for answering all its engagements. By means of the great credit which so great a pledge necessarily gave it, it was, notwithstanding its too hberal conduct, enabled to carry on business for more than two years. When it was obliged to stop, it bad in the circulation about two hundred thousand pounds in bank notes. In order to support the circulation of those notes, which were continually returning upon it as fast as they were issued, it had been constantly in the practice of drawing bills of exchange upon London, of which the number and value were continually increasing, and, when it stopt, amounted to upwards of six hundred thousand pounds. This bank, therefore, had, in little more than the course of -two years, advanced to different people upwards of eight hundred thousand pounds at five per cent. Upon the two hundred thousand pounds which it circulated in bank notes, this five per cent. might, perhaps, be considered as clear gain, without any other deduction besides the expense of management. But upon upwards of six hundred thousand pounds, for which it was continually drawing bills of exchange upon London, it was paying, in the way of interest and commission, upwards of eight per cent., and was consequently losing more than three per cent. upon xoore than three fourths of all its dealings.

The operations of this bank seem to have produced effects quite opposite to those which were intended by the particular persons who planned and directed it. They seem to have intended to support the spirited undertakings, fur as such they considered them, which were at that time carrying on in different parts of the country; and at the same time, by drawing the whole banking business to themselves, to supplant all the other Scotcis banks; particularly those established at

Edinburgh, whose back wardness in discount. ing bills of exchange had given some offence. This bank, no doult, gave some temporary relief to those projectors and enabled them to carry on their projects for about two years longer than they could other wise have done Jut it thereby only enabled them to get so much deeper into debt, so that when ruin came, it fell so much the heavier both upon them and upon their creditors. The operations of this bank, therefore, instead of relieving, in reality aggravated in the long-run the distress ahich those projectors had brought both upon themselves and upon their country. It would have been much better for themelves, their creditors, and their country, had the grester part of them been obliged to stop two yearn sooner than they actually did. The temporary relief, however, which this bank afforded to those projectors, proved a real and permanent rehef to the other Scotrh banks. All the dealers in circulating bills of exchange, which those other banks had become so back ward in discounting, had recourse to this new bank, where they were received with open arms. Those other bank, therefore, were enabled to get very casily out of that fatal circle, from which they could not otherwise have disengaged themselves, without incurring a considcrable loss, and perhaps too even some degree of discredit.

In the long-run, therefore, the operations of this bank increased the real distress of the country which it meant to relieve; and effectually relieved from a very great distress those rivals whom it meant to supplant.

At the first setting out of this bank, it was the opinion of some people, that how fast soever its coffers might be empticd, it might easily replenish thein by raising money upon the securities of those to whom it hat advanced its paper. Experience, 1 beheve, soon consinced them that this method of raising money was by much too slow to answer their purpose; and that coffers which originally were so ill filled, and which emptied themselves so very fast, could be replenished by no other expedient but the ruinous one of drawing bulls upon London, and when they became due, paying them by other draughts upon the same place with accumulated interest and commussion. But though they had been able by this method to raise money as fast as they wanted it, yet, instead of making a profit, they must have suffared a loss by every such operation; so that in the long-run they must have ruined themselves as a mercantile company, though, perhaps, not so soon as by the more expensive practice of drawing and redrawing. They could still bave made nothing by the interest of the paper, which, hemg over and above what the circulation of the country could absorb and employ, returned upon them, in order to be exchanged for Rold
and suiter, fas fas they iscued un, and tir the fisment of wheh they were thens. lots chatanatly obliged to torrow mons So the conarare, the whele expense of tans dortriwing, of employing agents to hook out for piple who liad noney to lend, of nezo tatry $f$ with tho perople, and of drawing the proper bond of awisnment, must have fahter up on them, and have been so much ch. 15 how upon the balane of their aceountas. The priget of replentshang their coflers in thax manaer may tee empared to that of a man who hied a water pond, from whob a stresmas was eontmual'y ruantife ont, and into which mo strealy was continually runsing, hat who propord to heep it always equally fult by emploning a number of people to go conthially with buchets to a we!l at sume mila dotince, in order to bring water to riplenk it

But thourh thas operation had proved, not only practicable, but profitable to the Buak, as a mercantile company, yet the country cou'd have derived no benefit from it, but, on the contrary, must have sufferem a very considerable loss by it. This operd won could not augment in the smallest degree the quantity of money to the lent. It coud unls have crected thas bank into a sort of geverta lown ottire fur the whule country 'l hime who wanted to borrow, must have apphed $t$ this bank, instead of applying to the prisate perans who had lent it therr mous Hut a bauk wheh lend, money, perhaps, wo tire hundred different people, the greater part of whon its directors can know very little about, is not likely to be more judicwus $2:$ the chose of its debtors, than a pnvate perwo who lends out his money amons a few peyple whom he knows, and in whose sober and frugal conduct he thonks he has good reason to confile. The debtors of such a bahh, as that whose conduct I have been gring some account of, were hkely, the greater part of them, to be chimencal projectors, the drawers and redrawers of circulating billa of excbange, who would emplus the nknit in extravagant undertakings, which, with all the assistance that coull be Fiven them. they would probably muer be able $\omega$ complete, and wheh, if they should be completed, would never repay the expense whach they had really cost, would never afford a fund capable of mantannog a quantuty of habour equal to that which had been employed atout them. Tve sobber and frugal debtora of private persons, on the contrary, would the more likely to employ the money horrowed in suber underthimps, which were proportwoned to their capitais and whoth, though they nught have lisn of the grand and the manellous, would f.ate mare of the solid and the profitable, whuh would repay with a large profis whaserer had bren laud out upon thom, and
whin would thus aford a fund canable of mantamane a muth preater quantry of labur than t'ant ahoch had bean emy hoyd about them. The uacess of this operatich,
 degree the capital of the conntry, would only have transterred a great yart of it from pradent and profisable, woprudest and unprofitable undertakings.

That the industry of scotland largushed for want of money to employ it, was the c phnion of the famous Mr Lav By establithmig a bank of a particular hird, whinh ie sterns to have umagined might hsue fafer to the amount of the whole ralue of ali the lands in the country, be proposed to remedy this want of money The pariament of Scotland, when he first proposed bis prujuch, did not thulik proper to adopt it It wat afterwards adopted, with some rariations, by the Duhe of Orleans, at that tume regent of France. The adea of the posubuaty of mul. tiplying paper money to almust any extent, was the real foundation of what is callid the Messissippi scheme, the mext extravarant project both of banking and stock-johbing that, perhaps, the world ever saw The dolerent operations of this se heme are explaned so fully, so clearly, and with so much order and distinctness by Mr. Du Verney, in las Examinazon of the Poltucal Kethertions umon Commerce and Finances of Mr Du 'Ioh that I shall not geve any account of them The prociplea upon whach it was found.d are explained by Mr. Law himself, to a dos course concerning moncy and trade, which he published in Scotland, when te first proposed his project. The spleuduh, but ismut. ary, udeas which are set furth in that and some other works upon the same principles sull continue to make an imprestion uponmany people, and have perbaps, til part, contnbutcd to that excess of banhing whet tan of late been complained of boch in Scotland and in other places.

The Bank of England is the greatest banh of eirculation in Europe. It was incorporaced, in pursuance of an act of pariament, by a charter under the great seal, dated the 27 th of July, 1694 . It at that tume adranced to government the sum of ose milhon two bundred thousand pounds, for an annuity of one hundred thousand pounds; or fur 96,0104 a year interest, at the rate of eight per ecnt, and $40 \cap 0$ : e year for the expense of management. The credut of the new gorernment, established by the Revolution, we may be heve, must bave been very low, when it was obliged to borrow at so high an uterest.

In 1697 the bank was allowed to enlarge us cantul stock by an engratment of 1,001,171/ lus lts whole capital stock, therefore amonted at tha ame to $2,201,1712$ 100 This ergraftment is $\mathbf{a} d$ to have been fur the innert of pubicic credut. In 1690, tathers
had been at forty, and 6 fity, and sixty per cent. discount, and bank notes at twenty per cent. ${ }^{1}$ Dunng the great recoinage of the silver, which was going on at tlis tume, the bank had thought proper to discontinue the piyment of its notes, which necessarily occasioned their discredit.

In pursuance of the 7th Anne, c. vii. the bank advanced and paid inte the exthequer the sum of $400,000<$; making in all the sum of $1,600,0 c 0 l$. which it had advanced upon its original annuity of $96,000 \mathrm{~L}$ interest, and 4,0012 for expense of management. In 1708, therefore, the credit of government was as good as that of private persons, since it could borrow at aix per cent interest, the common legal and market rate of those times In pursuance of the same act, the bank cancelled exchequer bills to the amount of 1,775,027l. 17s. $10 \frac{1}{2} d$ at sin pez cent. interest, and was at the same time allowed to take in subscriptions for doubling its capial. In 1708, therefore, the capital of the bank amounted to $4,402,3431$; and it bad advanced to government the sum of 3,975,027L. 17s. 104 $d$.

By a call of thteen per cent. in 1709, there was paid in and made stock $656,204 \mathrm{~L}$. 18. 9 . ; and by another of ten per cent. in 1710 , $501,448 \mathrm{~L} .128 \mathrm{I} 1 \mathrm{~d}$. In consequence of those twocalls, therefore, the bank capitalamounted (t) $5,559,9951$. 14s. $8 d$.

In pursuance of the $\mathrm{Srd}^{\text {I }}$ George 1. c. 8. the bank delivered up two millions of exchequer bills to be cancelled. It had at this time, therefure, advanced to government $5,375,027 \mathrm{l}$ 17s. 10 . In pursuance of the 8th Goorge I. c. 2l. the bank purchased of the South Sen Company, stock to the amount of 4000,0001 . and in 1728 , in consequence of the subscriptions which if had taken in for enabling it to make this purchase, its capital stock was increased by $\mathbf{3 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0 L}$ At this time, ther, fore, the bank had advanced to the public $9,375,02{ }^{2} l$. 17a $10 \frac{1}{3} d$;

1 Jamer Pontiethwaite's History of the Public Re-
venue, p. 201 . venue, $p .201$.
In 1800 the bank agreed to advance $8,000,0001$. to governmeot for six jears, without interest, in consideration of which the charter was prolonged till 12 months' notice, after the hrst of August. 1833. In 1802 the hank agreed to continue thas loan of 3000,0004 , without interest, fill ax monthe after a definitive treaty of peace; and in 1816 she farther agreed to contunue it cull 18,3 , st I per cent. iriterest, The permanent debt due by the publuc to the bania from 18000 to 1833 was consequently $14,6-6,8006$. ; but from $18(0)$ to 1816, the bank only secceved interest on 11, tio6, zoN. In 1633 the charier was continued till 18 montha" nctice arter the lst of August 1855 , with the proviso that it might be terminated on 12 montus' nouse after the ist of August 1845. On this occas non the public paid ofi hin part, or 3671,7001 . of the sum then due to the bank, making the total debt now (1863) due to her $11,015,1001$. exclusive, of course, of any sums she may be entitiled to on account of the desd Weplit and other public mecuritice in her possesuon

In lis44 it was enacted, by the 7 and 8 Vict. $c 82$, that the rharter of the bank might be terminated at any tume after the lst of August 1855 , on 12 months notice being given. For ali account of the varnous important alterations effected by this act in the consti. cution, dic., of the bark, see Supplemental Mute on Money.
In 1782 the bank capital, or the sum on which
and its capital stock antounicil only to 8,950,9951. 14e. $8 d$. It was upon this occasion that the sum which the hank had advanced to the public, and for which it recented interest, began first to exceed rts capntal stock, or the sum for which it paid a dividend to the proprictors of bank stock; or, in other words, that the bank began to have an undvided capital, over and above its divided one. It has continued to have an undivided capital of the same kind ever since. Ia 1746, the bank bad, upon dilferent occaaions, advanced to the public $11,6 \times 6.800 l_{\text {. }}$ and lis divided capital had been rased by diffetent calls and subscriptions to $10,780,000$. The state of those two sums hat continued to be the same ever mince. In pursuance of the 4th of George 11L. c. 25. the bank agrecd to pay to government for the renewal of its charter 110,0004 , without interest or rejay. ment. This sum, therefore, did not increase ether of those two other sums.?

The dividend of the bank has varied according to the variations in the rate of the interest which it has, at different umes, received for the money, it had advanced to the public, as well as according to other circuinstances. This rate of interest has gradually been reduced from cught to three per cent. For some years past the bank dividend has been at five and a half per cent.:

The stability of the Bank of England is equal to that of the British government. All that it has advanced to the pubhe must be lost befure its creditors can sustam any loss. No other banking company in England can be established by act of parlamedt, of can consist of more than six members. It acts, not only as an ordinary bank, but as a great engine of state. It recenvet and pays the greater part of the annulties which are due to the credstors of the public, ${ }^{4}$ it cirm culates exchequer bills, and is advances to government the annual amount of the land and malt taxes, which are frequently not pard
 the amount mentioned by smith, $211,6+2.41 \times \mathrm{N}$; and, in 1816, it was further increased to $14.353,0 \mathrm{omon}$, It wai intended that the sum of $3,671,7$ ons, repasd by the public to ihe bank in ikit, should the dedurted from her capitsl. But this injention mens achardoned, the sum referred to wat veated in an annuly which tern inated in lowo
9 The dividende on bank atock from 1767 to the presebt 1 ime, bave been :- From 1767 to 1781 , s 4 per cent. per amaum; from Iixt wo 1784,6 per crot froms 1788 to 1607,7 per cent. $;$ from 1807 to 1 ats 16 per cent.; from 1823 to $1 \times 29,8$ per cent. ; from $1_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{S}$ to 1849,7 per cent: a and in 1461 and $14,2,10$ and s 6 per cent. The sums paid as dividende are excusiva of those which have occamonally beea advanced th bonuse and of meome tax.

- The sum padd by the public to the Bank of Enaland for mamaging the puble debt, amounted, precto ously to 1833, to about 270,0001 . a jear. But the ace for renewing the charter in that year ( 3 and $4 W$ ith. IV., e. 9.) directed that i 20000 . a year should we deducted from the cume she was prevounly autho. rized to charge for auch management, and twat were still farther reduced in IsAt. In Intil-6A the total mum pad tor the managy ment of the funted and anfundrad debt of the empire amnunted to 214,336 For finil detals in regard to the bistory and prement atate of the Bank of Eogland, the $r$ ader is referred to the art on that estabishment in the Commerceat Dictionory.
up till some years thercafter. In those diffirent operations, its duty to the public may sometimes have obliged it, without any fault of its directors, to overatork the carculation with paper money. It lakewise dincounts merc hants' bills, and has, upon several different occastona, supported the credit of the princupal hauses, not only of England, but of Hamburgh and Holland. Upon one occation, in 1763, it is sald to have advanced for this purpost, in one week, about $1,600,0001$; a great part of it in bullion. I do not, however, pretend to warrant ether the greatness of the sum or the shortness of the trme. Upon other occasions, this great company has heen reduced to the necessity of paying in suxpences. 1

It is not by augmenting the capital of the country, but by rendering a greater part of that cupital active and productive than would otherwise be so, that the most judicious operations of banking can merease the induatiy of the country. Tbat part of his capital wheh a dealer is obliged to keep by him unerployed, and in ready moncy for ansonerung occasional demands, is so much dead stack, wheh, so long as it remains in this situation, produces nothing either to him or to his country. The judicious operations of bathing enable him to convert this dead stock into actwe and productive stock; into materials to work upon, into tods to work with, and into provisoons and subsistence to work for; into stock which produces somethug both to hmoself and to his country. The gold and silver moncy which cinculates in any country, and by means of which the produce of its land and labour is annually curculated and distributed to the proper consumers, is, in the same manner as the ready money of the dealer, all dead stock. It is a very valuable part of the capital of the country, which produces nothing to the country. ${ }^{2}$ The judicious operations of bankmg, by substituting paper in the room of a great part of this gold and silver, enables the country to convert a great part of this dead stock into active and productive stock; into stock which produces something to the country. ${ }^{3}$ The gold and silver money wheh circulates in any country may very properly be compared to a highway, which, while it circulates and carries to market all the grase and corn of the country, produces itself not a single pile of etther. The judicious operations of banhing, ly providing, if I may be allowed so violent a metaphor, a sort of Waggon-way though the arr, enable the

4 For an arcount of the circumstances Fhich led to the restrition on cash parments in 1797, and the sinbsequent hatory of the Bank of Engidnd, sce Note on Yoney, end of the volume
2 It is a capital error to allim. that the gald and silice used as monev produce nothing On the colto trary, it is quite obrtous that ing tarilutang exchaibes, abd evatimg the division of labour to be
sountry to convert, as it were, a great part of its hyghways into good pastures and cornfields, and thereby to increase very considerably the annual produce of its land and labour. The commerce and industry of the country, however, it must be acknowledged, though they may be somewhat augmented, cannot be altogether so secure, when thicy are thus, as it were, suspended upon the Dadalian wings of paper money, as when they travel alout upon the sold ground of gold and silver. Over and above the acendents to which they are exposed from the unshilfulness of the conductors of this paper money, they are hable to several others, from which no prudence or skill of those conductors can guard them.

An unsucecssful war, for example, in which the enemy got possession of the capttal, and consequently of that treasure aheh supported the credit of the paper moncy, would occasion a much greater confusion in a country where the whole circulation was carried on by paper, than in one where the greater part of it was carred on by gold and salver. The usual instrument of commerce having lost its value, no exchanges could be made but either by barter or upon credit. All taxes having been usually paid in paper money, the prince would not bave wheiewithal either to pay his troops or to furnish his magazines: and the state of the country would be much more aretrievable than if the greater part of its curculation had con sisted in gold and silver. A prince anxious to manntain his dommions at all tumes $n$ the state in which he can most easily defe ad them, ought, upon this account, to guard, not only against that excessive multuplication of paper money which ruins the very banks which issue it; but even against that miltrplication of it which enables them to fill the greater part of the circulation of the country with it.

The circulation of every country may be considered as divided into two different branches: the circulation of the dealers with one another, and the circulation between the dealers and the consumers. Though the same pieces of money, whether paper or metal, may be employed sometimes in the one circulation and sometimes in the other, yet as both are constantly going on at the same time, each requires a certan stock of money of one kind or another, to carry it on. The value of the goods circulated between the different dealers never can exceed the value of those circulated between the dealers and
carried to much greater extent than 15 fould be under a sistem of barter, they are in no ordinary demee productive

The operations of banking do not really turn anv dend stock into active and productive stock. Their etfect is mereiy to subatitute cheap in the piace of dear 'nstriments of exchange
the consumers; whatever is bought by the dealers being ultimately destined to be sold to the consumers. The circulation between the dealers, as it is carried on by wholesale, requires generally a pretty large sum for every particular transaction. That between the dealers and the consumers, on the contrary, as it is generally carried on by retail, fiequently requires but very small ones, a shilling, or even a halfpenny, being otten sufficient. But small sums circulate much faster than large ones. A shilling changes masters more frequently than a guinea, and a halfpenny more frequently than a shilling. Though the annual purchases of all the consumers, therefore, are at least equal in value to those of all the dealers, they can generally be transacted with a much smaller quantity of money; the same pieces, by a more rapid circulation, serving as the instrument of many more purchases of the one kind than of the other.

Paper money may be so regulated, as either to confine itself very much to the circulation between the different dealers, or to extend itself likewise to a great part of that between the dealers and the consumers. Where no bank notes are circulated under ten pounds value, as in London, paper money confines itself very much to the circuldtion between the dealers. When a ten pound bank note comes into the hands of a consumer, he is generally obliged to change it at the first shop where he has occasion to p'rechase five shillings' worth of goods, so that it often returns into the hands of a dealer, before the consumer has spent the fortieth part of the money. Where bank botes are issued for so small sums as twenty shillings, as in Scotland, paper money extends itself to a considerable part of the circulation between dealers and consumers. Before the act of parliament, which put a stop to the circulation of ten and five shilling notes, it filled a still greater part of that circulation. In the currencies of North America, paper was commonly issued for so small a sum as a shilling, and filled almost the whole of that circulation In some paper currencies of Yorkshire, it was issued even for so small a sum as a sixpence.

Where the issuing of bank notes for such very small sums is allowed and commonly practised, many mean people are both enabled and encouraged to become bankers. A person whose promissory note for five pounds, or even for twenty shillings, would be rejected by every body, will get it to be received without scruple when it is issued for so small a sum as a sixpence. But the

[^48]frequent bankruptcies to which such beggriy bankers must be liable, may occasion a very considerable inconveniency, and sometimes even a very great calaunity to many poor people who had received their notes in payment.

It were better, perhaps, that no hauk notes were issued in any part of the kingdon for a smaller sum than five pounds. Paper money would then, probably, confine itself, in every part of the kingdom, to the circulation between the different dealers, as much as it does at present in London, where no bank notes are issued under ten pounds value; five pounds being, in most parts of the kingdom, a sum which, though it will purchase, perhaps, little more than half the quantity of goods, is as much considored, and is as seldom spent all at once, as tell pounds are amidst the profuse expense of London. 1

Where paper money, it is to be obsenved, is pretty much confined to the circulation between dealers and dealers, as in Londom, there is always plenty of gold and sulver. Where it extends itself to a considirable part of the circulation between dealers and consumers, as in Scotland, and still more in North America, it banshes gold and sher almost entirely from the country; almost all the ordinary transactions of its interior commerce being thus carried on by paper. The suppression of ten and five shining bank notes, some what relieved the scarcity of gold and silver in Scotland; and the suppressun of twenty shilling notes would probabiy relieve it still more. Those metals are sad to have become more abundant in America since the suppression of some of their paper currencies. They are said, likewire, to have been more abundant before the institution of those currencies

Though paper money should be pretty much confined to the circulation between dealers and dealers, yet banks and bankers might still be able to give nearly the same assistance to the industry and commerce of the country, as they had done when parer money filled almost the whole circulation. The ready money which a dealer is oblized to keep by him, for answering occasiontal demands, is destined altogether for the circulation between himself and other dealers, of whom he buys goods. He has no occantun to keep any by him for the curculation between himself and the concumors, who are his customers, and who bring ready money to him, instead of taking any from him. Though no paper money, therefure, was allowed to be issued, but for such sums as
of about $1,500,000$ one pmand notet ; but a larce proportion of these very goon fougd their way batk to the bant In Llee inas, only tris, (oon une pound notes were onntanding, moot of whuth have co sloult luen lost ar deatreyed.
wrofil emine at proty much to the circulawhin between dealers and dealers, yet, partly ly discounteng real bills of exchange, and partly by lending upon cash accounts, banks and bankers might stall be able to relieve the grester part of those dealers from the necossty of ku pring any consuderable part of their atoch by thein, uncmployed and in ready money, for anweing occasonal demands. They mught still be able to give the utmost awnance shath lanks and bankers can whit propriety, give to traders of every hind.

To restran prisate people, it may be said, from receiving in payment the promssory notes of a banker, for any sum whether grent or small, when they themselves are withing to ruceive them, or, to restrum a banker trum wumg sub notes, when ald his neighbours ate willing to accept of them, is a mumfent volation of that natural liberty which it is the proper busmess of law not to infringe, but to support. Such regulations mas, no doult, be considered as in some respect a violation of natural liberty; but those exertions of the natural hiberty of a fow individuals, which might endanger the security of the whole soceth, are, and ought to be, restramed by the laws of all governments; of the mast free, as well as of the most debpotical. The obligation of building party walls in order to prevent the communication of fire, is a volation of natural liberty, exactly of the same kind with the recrulations of the banking trade which are here proposed.

A p.apir money consisting in bank notes, issud by people of undoubted eredit, payable upon demand wothout any condition, and in tact always readily pand as soon as prevented', is in every respect, equal in value to gold and salver monev; since gold and slier money can at any time be had for it. Whatever is ether bought or sold for such paper, must necessarily be bought or sold as cheap as it could have been for gold and alver.

The increase of paper money, it has been said, by augmenting the quantity, and consequently dimmislung the value of the whole currency, necessurily augments the money price of commodities. But as the quantury of gold and stiver wheh is taken from the currems, is ulways equal to the guantity of paper which is added to it, paper money. dow not necessarily increase the quantity of the whole currency. Irom the beginning of the last century to the present time, prowons never were cheaper in Scotland than in 1759, though, fiom the circulation of ten and five slulling bank notes, there was then
1 The compelling of barkers to give security for the furs thent of their notrs, secms to be the, oabs nut ins hy which it is possible eifectually to protert the fubic agdinat their insolirnig. That, however.
more paper money in the country than at present. The proportion between the price of provisions in Scotland and that in Eng. land, is the sanne now as before the great multiplication of banking compantes in sotland. Corn is, upon moot occasions, fully as cheap in England as in Irance; though there is a great deal of paper money in England, and scarce any in France In 17.51 and in 1752, when Mr Hume published lus Polstial Discourses, and soon after the great multiplication of paper money in Scotland there was a very sensible rise in the price of provisions, ow:ng, probably, to the badness of the scasons, and not to the multiplication of paper money.

It would be otherwise, indeed, with a papur money consisting in promissory notes, of which the immediate payment depended, in any respeet, eather upon the good will of those who assued them, or upon a condition which the holder of the notes might not alwass have it in his power to fulfil; or of ahch the payment was not exigible till after a certan number of years, and whith in the mean tume bore no interest. Such a paper money would, no doubt, fall more or less below the value of gold and siser, according as the difbeulty or uncertainty of obtanung immediate payment was supposed to be greater or less; or according to the greater or less distance of time at which payment was expgible

Some years ago the diflerent banking coinpanies of Scotland were in the practice ot inserting into thetr bank notes what they called an Optional Clause, by wheh they promised pasment to the bearer, ether as soon as the note should te presented, or, in the option of the directors, six months after such presentment, tugether with the legal interest for the said six months. The directors of some of those banks sometimes took advantage of this optional clause, and sometumes threatened those who demanded gold and silver in exchange for a considerable nuinber of ther notes, that they would take advantage of it, unless such demanders would content themselves with a part of what thes demanded. The promissory notes of those banking compancs constituted at that time the far greater part of the currency of Scotland, which this uncertainty of -payment necessarily degraded below the salue of gols! and silver money During the continuance of this abuse, (which prevaled chetly in 1762, 1763, and 1764 ,) while rhe exchange between London and Curisle was at par, that between London and Dumfries would sametrmes he four per cent. sganst Dumfres, though this town is not tharty males dastant from Cartisle.
would not obvinte thase fluctuations in the supply of monet th it must alwags orrur solong as it is sup . phet by dajerini issuers, Rad which are in the liat degree murrous. See supplemental note on Noney.

But at Carlisle, bills were paid in gold and silver; whereas at Dunifus they were pand in Scotch bank notes, and the uncertanty of getting those bank notes exchanged for gold and silver coin had thus degraded them four per cent. below the value of that coin. The same act of parliament which suppressed ten and five shining bank notes, suppressed likewise this optional clause, and thereby restored the exchange between England and Scotland to its natural rate, or to what the course of trade and remistances might happen to make it.

In the paper currencies of Xorkshire, the payment of so small a cum as a sixpence sometimes depended upon the condition that the holder of the note should bring the change of a guinea to the person who issued It; a condition, which the holders of such notes might frequently find it very difficult to fulfil, and which must have degraded this currency below the value of gold and silver money. An act of parliament, accordingly, declared all such clauses unlawful, and suppressed, in the same manner as in Scotland, all promissory notes, payable to the bearer, under twenty shillings value.

The paper currencies of North America consisted, not in bank notes payable to the bearer on demand, but in a government paper, of which the payment was not exigible tull several years aftur it was issued : and though the colony governments paid no interest to the holders of this paper, they declared it to be, and in fact rendered it, a legal tender of payment for the full value for which it was issued. But allowing the colony security to be perfectly good, a hundred pounds payable fifteen years hence, for example, in a country where interest is at six per cent. is worth little more than forty pounds ready money. To oblige a creditor, therefore, to accept of this as full payment for a debt of a hundred pounds actually paid down in ready moncy, was an act of such violent injustice, as has scarce, perhaps, been attempted by the government of any other country which pretended to be free. It bears the evident marks of having originally been, what the honest and downright Dr. Douglas assures us it whs, a scheme of fraudulent debtors to cheat their creditors. The govemment of Pennsylvania, indeed, pretended, upon their first emission of paper money, in 1722 , to render their paper of equal value with gold and silver, by enacting penalties against all those who made any difference in the price ot their goods when they sold them for a colony paper, and when they sold them for gold and silver: a regulation equally tyranciial, but much less effectual than that which it was meant to support. A posituve law may render a shilling a legal tender for a guinea; because it may direct the courts of
justice to discharge the debtor who has made that tender. But no positive law can obline a person who sells goods, and who is at ifberty to sell or not to sell as be pleaset, to accept of a shilling as equivalent to a gutne in the price of them. Notwithstanding any regulation of this kind, it appeared by the course of exchange with Great Britain, that a hundred pounds sterhng was occasionally considered as equivalent, in some of the colonies, to a hundred and thirty pounds, anl in others to so great a sum as eleven husdred pounds currency ; this difference in the value arising from the difference in the quantity of paper emitted in the different colonies, and in the distance and probability of the term of its final discbarge and redemption.

No law, therefore, couid be more equitable than the act of parliament, so unjucily complained of in the colonies, which declare 1 that no paper currency to be emitud thene in time coming, should be a legal tender of payment.

Pennsylvania was always more modurate in its emissions of paper money than ans other of our colonies. Its paper currency accordingly is said never to have sunk lelow the value of the gold and silver whicus was current in the colony before the first emissoon of its paper money. Befure that emisson, the colony had raised the denomination of its coin, and had, by act of assembly, oresered five shillings sterling to pass in the colony for six and threepence, and ofterwards for six and eigbtpence. A pound colony currency, therefore, even when that currency was gold and silver, was more than thirty per cent. below the value of a pound sterling, and when that currency was turned into paper, it was seldom much more than thirty per cent. below that ralue. The pretence fur raising the denomination of the conn, was to prevent the exportation of gold and miser, by making equal quantities of those meta's pass for greater sums in the colony than they did in the mother country. It was found, however, that the price of all goods from the mother country rose exactly in propertion as they raised the denomination of their coin, so that their gold and silver were exported as fast as ever.

The paper of each colony being receivro in the payment of the proviacial taxer, fire the full value for which it had been istued, it necessarily derived from this use some additional ralue, over and above what it would have had, from the real or suppossed distance of the term of its final discharge and redemption. This additional value was greater or less, according as the quansity of paper issued was more or less above what could be employed in the payment of the taxes of the partieular colony whuch issued it It was in all the colonies rery touch
above wint could be employed in this untuer
A pronee, who should enact that a certain proportom of has taxes should be pard in a piper money of a certaun kind, mught thercby give a certann value th thes paper money, even thengh the term of its thal discharge and redimption should depend altogether "ןon the witl of the prince. It the bank whinh mued thas paper was careful to keep the quantity of it always ronewhat below what could easily be employed in this mamir, the den und for it mught be such as to mathe it even bear a premum, or sell for somewhat more in the market than the quantity of gold or silver currency for which it wan mued Some peopleaccount in this masmer for $w$ hat is cisled the Agoo of the bank of Amaterdan, or for the superiority of lank money over curront money, though tha bath mones, as they pretend, cannot be tahen out of the bink at the wall of the awner The greater part of forcign bills of exclange must be pard m bank money, that in, by a transer in the books of the bank, and the directors of the bank, they allege, nie caruful to keep the whole quantity of bunk money always below what thas use occasoous a demand for. It as upon thas actount, they say, that bank money sells for a premam, or bears an agho of tivur or five pur cent. abote the same nommal sum of the goll and whir eurrency of the country. Ths accomm of the Lank of Amsterdam, however, it will apptar hercafter, is in a Ere it mestaure chinuencal.

A paper currency which falls below the Dalue of gold and silver com, does not thereby shak the salue of those metals, or occasion erpu.1 quantities of them to exchange for a .inther quantuty of goods of any other had. The phoprortion between the value of gold and shere and that of goods of any other hind, deqends in all cases, not upon the natue or quantity of any particular paper mone, which may be current in any parth-- ular wonatry, but upon the richness or pwoly of the munc, which happen at any pultular time to supply the great market of the commercial norid with those metals. It inpends upon the proportion between the (finantit) of labour whin is necessary in order wh lume a ceitam quantity of gold and salver to mathet, and that which is necessary in orderto bring thither a certan quantity of any other sort of roouds.

If banhers are restraned from issuing any earculating bank notes, or notes pagable to

1 Con irthanty lito guld or stlver, at the plensture of the hold. $r$, is mut, is br manth supproets, necers
 an te ficalifutior lis value nia, be hept on a par

 Na its ethit of the bounte

- int in order to mane the obligation of mmatdiate

The bearer, fiur less than a certain sum, and if they are subjucted to the obligation of an immedtate and unconditional paymett of such bank notes as amon as presented, the ir trade may, wath salcty to the publec, be rendered in all other rispects perfectly free. The late multuphativin of banbing cumpanacs in buth parts of the Cumted Kurgdom, an event by which many perple bave betn much alarmed, matcud of dimunshang, inercases the security of the public. It obliges all of them to be more circumspect in theta condaet, and, by met extending their currency beyond its due proportion to their cash, io guard themselves afanst thuse malictous runs which the rivalishp of to many competiturs is alu ays ready to bring upon them. It restrums the circulation of cach particular conpany within a narrowercirele, and reduces thetr enculating notes to a smaller number lly dividing the whole circulation into a greater number of parts, the fulure of any one company - an accident whilh, in the course of things, must sometimes happen becomes of lexs consequence to the public. I his free competition too obliges all banherg to be more liberal in their doalings with their customers, lest thur risuls should carry them away. In general, if any branch of trade or any divinon of latoor, be advantageous to the pubhe, the freer and more genera! the competition, it will aln nys be lie more su

## CHAP. III. <br> Of the Accumulation of Capthl, or of produr. tave and unproductice Labonr.

' ${ }^{\text {nemer }}$ is ane sort of labour wheh adds to: the value of the subject upon whin at is blstuwed; there is another whach has no such eflect. The former, as it produces a whe, may be called productive, the latter uniproductuve ${ }^{3}$, labour. Thus the tabour of a manufacturer adds generally to the value of the maternals which he worhs upon, that of his own mantenance, and of has master e profit. The labour of a meutal servant, on the contrary, adds to the value of nothng. Though tl e manufacturer has his wages adianced to him by his master, he in reality costs hum no expense, the value of those wages being geluerally restored, together with a profit in the improved value of the subject $u, 100$ which has labour is bestowed; but the man-
and uuconditional payment effectual, it is mudispenasbie that securuy should be taken frum the banks

3 borne French authors of grest learning and infenuith have usted those nords in a diliterent an use. In the last chifiter of the lowirth bouk I shatil etnies rour to show that their eense is an impruper ond (Mute ly the author)
tenance of a menial servant never is restored. A man grows rich by employing a multitude of manufacturers; he grows poor by maintainng a multtude of menial servants. The labour of the latter, however, has its value, and deserves its reward as well as that of the former; but the labour of the manuficturer fixes and realises itself in some particular subject or vendible commodity, which lasts for some time at least after that labour is past. It is, as it were, a certain quantity of labour stocked and stored up, to be employed, if necessary, upou some other occasion. That subject, or, what is the same thing, the price of that subject, can afterwards, if necessary, put into motion a quantity of labour equal to that which had originally produced it. The labour of the menial servant, on the contrary, does not fix or reatisé ítself in any particular subject or vendible commodity. His services generally perish in the very instant of their performance, and seldom leave any trace or value behind them, for which an equal quantity of service could afterwards be procured.

The labour of some of the most respectable orders in the society is, like that of menial servants, unproductive of any value, and does not fix or realise itself in any permanent subject or vendible commodity which endures after that labour is past, and for which an equal quantity of labour could afterwards be procured. 'The sovereign, for example, with all the officers both of justice and war who serve under him, the whole army and navy, are unproductive labourers. They are the servants of the public, and are main-
1 It has heen already observed (Introductory Discourse, sect 2.) that Dr. Smith's distrnction between productive and unproductive labour, did not rest on any pood foundation. All sorts of labour ahould $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { any good roundation. All sorts of labour ahould } \\ & \text { plan be regarded as productive, which occasion, }\end{aligned}\right.$ planity be regarded ag productive, which occasion,
whether directly or andirectiy, the productaon of a greater amount of value than was expended in carrying them on, or even if they only occasion
ithe production of the same amount. Dr. Smith looks upon every sort of labour as unproduce tuve whirh does not directly contribute to the production of socae material and vendible prodtct that may be stocked and stored up for a shortor or longer period. But even if it were true (and Smith has humself admitted that it is not ') that wealth conaists only of material and venuible producta, his denmion would, notwithstanding, be essentially defective. If the multiplication of matersal olyects be the only means of increabing national wealth, it follows that whatever tends (whether directly or ndirectly is of ao consequence) to facilitate their production must be productive. It is now universally admitted, that agriculturists, manufacturers, and merchants, are productive labourers ; and that when they increase their own capitals, they at the same time ncrease the camtal of the country to which they belong. But what is it that enables thero to devote all their energles to their respective callings, to prosecute them without interruption, and thus to produce an incomparably greator supply of commo-

See antd, p 46, where Dr. Smith justly compares a man educated to a dificult and nice trade, at the expenae of much labour and time, to an expenbive muchine, the owner of which is cactied to greater protits on account of the grester capital expended upon and embodied in it.
tained ly a part of the annual produce of the industry of other people. Their service, how honourable, bow uscfut, or how pecessary soever, produces nothing for which an equal quantity of service can afterwards be procured. The protection, security, and defence of the commonwealth, the effect of theis labour thas year, will not purchase its protection, security, and defence fur the year to come. In the same class must be ranked, some both of the gravest and moat inyertant, and some of the most frivolous profer sions; churchmen, lawyers, physwans, men of letters of all kinds; players, bulfions musicians, opern-singers, opera-dancerv, \&e. The labour of the meanest of these has a certain value, regulated by the very same principles which regulate that of every other sort of labour; and that of the noblest and most useful produces nothing which could afterwards purchase or procure an equal quantity of labour. Like the declamatjon of the actor, the harangue of the orator, or the tune of the musician, the work of alt of them perishes in the very instant of its paw duction. ${ }^{1}$

Both productive and unproductive laboarera, and those who do not labour at all, are all equally maintained by the annual produce of the land and labour of the country. This produce, how great soever, can never be infinite, but must have certain lumits Accordingly, therefore, as a smaller or greater proportion of it is in any one ytar employed in maintaining unproductive bands, the more in the one case and the less in the other will remain fur the productive, and the
dities than if they were distracted by a muliphicity of avocations? We answer, the services and cooperation of those set down by Dr. emith la the unproductire class! Security is essential to the exercise of every species of Industry, and without it no society can emerge from barbartsm. A ad, pucb $\{$ being the case, on what pretence can it bu nad that | the magisurates and public functionarres, by whose exertions intermal peace to preseryph, and the soldiers and sailors by whom we are protected from foreign insult and aggression, are unprodurtirely employed? so far from this beng trie, it in certan employed? Sofar from this bemp trie, it it certam
that when these persons properly disi harge their that when these persons properly discharge their
high functions, had are not imnecessanly pas or multiplied they have a right to be classed amang those who art most productive. With rerpect to menid sersants, the case ts equally clear a manufacturer, neerchant, or banker, who is making a large sum by his business, is afso, perhape, paying a larpa sum to hil sersants; now suppose he triet ta save this sum ; it is evident he cannot do this otheraise this sum; it is evident he cannot do this otheraise than by turning bin servonts adrift, and mocouning bimself ; and if he do this, it is pretty obvous that, instead of increasing his gains, he will rednce them to a wretched pittance. A man will undouthtedly the rumed if he keep too many servanta or too many horses: but then the fault is in the excres. and not in the species of labour he emphoye. Ur smitn's observations in this charcer are quite inconsestent with his statempents as to the divison of harour i fir it is his statements as to tho divisig hs advantargeous thit there should be separate ctasses to pertorm the functions of triwistrates, law yers, phystiant, memials, toe. as that chiere should be separate classes emplofed un the differ: it departinents of industry. For a further diacus: gion of this question, see Princuules of Palitual Lconomy, 2d ech p. 523-524
next year's produce will be greater or smaller accordingly; the whole annual produce, if we except the spontanenus productions of the earth, being the effiect of productive Jaborur.

Though the whole annual produce of the land and labour of every country is, no duubt, ultnoately deatined fur supplying the consumption of its inhalstants and for procuring a revelue to them, yet when it first comes either from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, it naturally divides itself into two parts. One of 'them, and frequently the largest, is, in the first place, destoned tor replaemg a capital, or Gor renewing the poovisions, materials, and fushed work, wheli had been withdrawn from a capital; the other for conatituting a revenue ether to the owner of this capital, as the profit of his stock, or to sorne uther person, as the rent of his land. Thus, of the produce of land, one part replaces the enyital of the farmer; the other pays his prolit and the rent of the landlord; and thus constitutes a revenue both to the owner of this capital, as the profits of his stock, and to some other person, es the rent of his land. Of the produce of a great manufactory, in the same manner, one part, and that alwass the largest, replaces the capital of the undertaker of the work; the other pays his profit, and thus constitutes a revenue to the owner of this capital.

That part of the annual produce of the land and labour of any country which re$\boldsymbol{\mu}$ uees a capital, never is inmediately employed to maintan any but productive hands. It pays the wages of productive labour only. That $u$ hich is immediately destined for constituting a revenue, either as profit or as rent, may mantain indifirently either productive or improductuse hands.

Whatever part of his stock a man employs as a capital, he always expects it to be replaced to him with a profit. IIe employs it, thertfore, in mantainang productive hands cnily, and after having served in the function of a capital to hm , it constitutes a revenue to them. Whenever be employs any part of it in maintaimeng unproductive hands of any hind, that part is from that moment withdrawn fiven hes capital, and placed in has stock reserved for immediate consumption.

Unproductive habuurers, and those who do not habour at all, are all maintained by revenue; elther, first, by that part of the annud produce whach is originally destined for constituting a revenue to some particular persons, ether as the rent of hand, or as the proties of stock; or, scoondls, by that part nhich, though orisinally desumed for replacing a eiputal, and for matitainug productive latourcivenly, yet when it comes into their hands, whatever part of at is over and above wear necendary sulonstence, may be emplovet
in mantaining mdifferently either produca tive or unprotuctive bands. Thus, not only the great landiord or the rich merchant, but ever the common workman, if his wages are conside rable, may mantan a merial servant, or he may sometumes go to a play or a pup-put-show, and so contribute his share towards mantaning one set of unproductive labourers; or he may pay some taxes, and thus help to maintain another set, more honourable and useful, indeed, but equally unproductive. No part of the annual produce, however, which had been originally destined to replace a capital, is ever directed towards maintaning unproductive hands, till after it has put into motion its full complement of productive labour, or all that it could put into motion in the way in which it was employed. The workman must have earned his wages by work done, before he can employ any part of them in this manner. That part, too, is generally but a small one. It is his spare revenue only, of which productive labourers have seldom a great deal. They generally have some, however, and in the payment of taxes the greatness of their number may compensate, in some measure, the smallness of their contribution. The rent of land and the profits of stock are everywhere, therefore, the prinelpal sources frum which unproductive hands derive their subsistence. These are the two sorts of resenue of which the owners bave generally most to spare. They might both maintam indsfferently either productive or unproductive hands. They seem, however, to have some predslection for the latter. The expensc of a great lord feeds generally more idle than industrous people. The rich merchant, thourh with his capital he mantains industrious people only, yet by has expense, that is, by the employment of his revenue, he feeds commonly the very same sort as the great lord.

The proportion, therefore, between the productive and unproductive hands depends very much in every country upon the proportion between that part of the annual produce which, as soon as it comes enther from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, is destined for rephacing a capital, and that which is destuned for constituting a revenue, ether as rent or as profit. This proportion is very defferent in rich frum ${ }^{\prime}$ what it is in poor countries.

Thus, at present, in the opulent countries of Europe, a very large, frequently the largest, portion of the produce of the land is destined for replacing the capital of the rioh and indenendent farmer; the other for pasing his profits, and the rent of the landlord. But anciently, during the prevalency of the feudal government, a vcry small portion of the produce was sutheient to re place the eapital employed in cultratior

It consisted commonly in a few wretched cattle, maintained altogether by the spontaneous produce of uncultivated land, and which might, thercfore, be considered as a part of that spontaneous produce. It generally, too, belonged to the landlord, and was by bim advanced to the occupiers of the land. All the rest of the produce properly belonged to him too, either as rent for his land, or as profit upon this paltry capital. The occupiers of land were generally bondmen, whose persons and effects were equally his property. Those who were not bondmen were tenants at will; and though the rent which they paid was often nominally little more than a quit-rent, it really amounted to the whole produce of the land. Their lord could at all times command their labour in peace, and their service in war. Though they lived at a distance from his bouse, they were equally dependant upon him as his retainers who lived in it. But the whole produce of the land undoubtedly belongs to him, who can dispose of the labour and service of all those whom it maintains. In the present state of Europe, the share of the landlord seldom exceeds a third, sometimes not a fourth, part of the whole produce of the land. The rent of land, however, in all the improved parts of the country, has been tripled and quadrupled since those ancient times; and this third or fourth part of the annual produce is, it seems, three or four times greater than the whole had been before. In the progress of improvement, rent, though it increases in proportion to the extent, diminishes in proportion to the produce of the land.

In the opulent countries of Europe, great capitals are at present employed in trade and manufactures. In the ancient state, the little trade that was stirring, and the few homely and coarse manufactures that were carried on, required but very small capitals. These, however, must have yielded very large profits. The rate of interest was nowhere less than ten per cent., and their prohts must have been sufficient to afford this great interest. At present, the rate of interest, in the improved parts of Europe, is nowhere higher than six per cent.; and in some of the most improved it is so low as four, three, and two per cent. Though that part of the revenue of the inhabitants which is derived from the profits of stock, is always much greater in rich than in poor countries, it is because the stock is much greater: in proportion to the stock, the profits are generally t much less.

That part of the annual produce, therefore, which, as soon as it comes either from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, is destined for replacing a capital, is not only much greater in rich than in poor countries, but bears a much greater
proportion to that which is immediately destined for constituting a reventse either as rent or as profit. The funds destined for the maintenance of productive lubour are not only much greater in the former than in the; latter, but bear a much greater proportion to those which, though they may be employed to maintain either productive or unproductive hands, have generally a predulection for the latter.

The proportion between those differetit funde necessarity determines in every country the general character of the inhabitants as to indusery ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ tideness. We are more industrious than our forefathers, because, in the present times, the funds destined for the maintenance of industry are much greater in proportion to thove which are likely to be employed in the maintenance of idleness, than they were two or three centuries ago. Our ancestors were idle for want of a cufficient encouragement to industry. It is better, says the proverb, to play for nothing, than to work for nothing. In mercantile and manufacturing towns, where the inferior ranks of people are chicfly maintained by the employment of capital, they are in general industrious, sober, and thriving; as in many English, and in most Dutch towns. In those towns which are principally supported by the constant or occasional resideuce of a court, and in which the inferior rauks of people are chiefly maintained by the spending of revenue, they are in general idle, dissolute, and poor; as at Rome, Versailles, Compiegne, and Fontainbleat. If you except Rouen and Hordeaux, there is lattle trade or industry in any of the parliament towns of France; and the inferior ranks of people, being chiefly maintained by the expense of the members of the courts of justice, and of those who come to plead before them, are in general idle and poor. The great trade of Kouen and Bordeaux seems to be altogether the effect of their situation. Rouen is necessarily the entreput of almost all the goods which are brought either from foreign countries, or frota the maritune provinces of France, for the consumption of the great city of Paris. Bordeaux is, in the same manner, the entrepot of the wines which grow upon the bank of the Garonne, and of the rivers which run into it, one of the richest wine countries in the world, and which seems to produce the wine fittest for. exportation, or best suited to the taste of foreign nations. Such adrantageous situations necessarily attract a great capital by the great employment which they aflurd it; and the employment of this capital is the cause of the industry of those two citics. In the other parliament cowns of France, very little more capital seems to be employed than what is necessary for supplying the'r own consumption; that is, little more than
the smallest capital which can be eroployed in them. The same thing may be said of l'aris, Madrid, and Vienna Of those three eiticy, Paris is by far the most industrous; but Pan is itself is the prinepal market of all the manutactures established at Paris, and its own consumption is the prinepal obyect of all the trade which it carres on. London, Labbun, and Copenhagen, are, perhaps, the only three citres in Europe, which are both the constant residence of a court, and can at the same time be considered as trading cities, or as eities which trade not only for there own consumption, but for that of other citics and countrics. The situation of all the three is axtremely advantageous, and naturally fits them to be the entrepôts of a great part of the goods destmed for the consumption of destant places. In a city where a great revenue is sjent, to employ with advantage a capital for any other purpose than for supplying the consumption of that city, is probably more dafficult than in one in thath the mferior ratiks of people have no other maintenance but what they derive fiom the employment of such a capital. The 1dleness of the greater part of the people who are mantaned by the expense of revenue, corrupts, it is probable, the industry of those who ought to be mantained by the employment of capital, and renders it less advantageous to employ a capual there than in other places. There was little trade or modustry in Edinburgh before the Union. When the Scotch parliameut was no longer to be ascmbled in 1t, when it ceased to be the necessary residence of the principal nobility and gentry of Scotland, it became a city of some trade and industry. It still continucs, however, to be the residence of the principal couts of justice in Scotland, of the boards of customs and excise, \&e. A considerable rewenue, therefore, still contmues to be spent in it. In trade and industry it is much infiluor to Glasgow, of which the inhabitants are chiefly mantaned by the employment of enpital. The mhabitauts of a large village, it has sometimes been observed, after having made considerable progress in manufactures, have become idle and poor, in consequence of a great lord's having taken up his residence m thear neighbourhood.
'I he proportion between capital and revenuc, therefore, seems every where to regulate the proportion betueen iadustry and idleneis. Wherever capital predominates, industey prevails; wherever revenue, idleness. Fivery merease or dimmution of capital, therefore, naturally tends to increase or damunsh the real quantity of industry, the number of productive hands, and conseques ty the evchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country. the red wealth and revenue of all us mhabu'ants.

Capitals are increased by parsimony, and dimmished by prodigality and misconduct.

Whatever a person saves from his revenue he adds to his capital, and either employs it himself in maintaining an additional number of productive hands, or enables some other person to do so, by lending it to hom for aw interest, that is, for a share of the profits. As the capital of an individual can be increased only by what he saves fiom his annual revenue or his annual gains, so the capital of a society, which is the same with that of all the individuals who compose it, can be increased only in the same manner.

Parsimony, and not industry, is the immediate cause of the increase of capital. In-' dustry, indeed, provides the subject whelt parsmony accumulates. But whatever industry might acquire, if parsumony did not save and store up, the capital would never be the greater.

Parsmony, by increasing the fund which is destaned for the maintenance of productive hands, tends to increase the number of those bands whose labour adds to the value of the subject upan which it is bestowed. It tends, therefore, to increase the exchangeable value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country. It puts into motion an additional quantity of industry, which gives an additional value to the annual produce.

Whitt is annually saved is as regularly con sumed as what is annually spent, and nearly in the same time too; but it is consumed by a different set of people. That portion of bis revenue which a rich man annually spends, is, in most cases, consumed by adle guests and menial servants, who leave nothang behand them in return for their consumption. That portion which he annually saves, as, for the sake of the profit, it is immediately emploged as a capital, is consumed in the same manner, and nearly in the same tume too, but by a different set of people; by labourers, manuficturers, and artificers, who reproduce, with a proft, the value of ther annual consumption. His revenue, we shall suppose, is paid him in money. Had be spent the whole, the food, clothing, and lodging, which the whole could have purchased, would have been distributed among the former set of people. By saving a part of 1 , as that part is, for the sake of the profit, immediately employed as a capital, either by himself or by some other person, the food, clothing, and lodging, which may be purchased with it, are necessarily reserved for the latter. The consumption is the same, but the consumers are different.

By uhat a frugal man annually saves, he not only affiords maintenance to an additional num. ber of productive hands, for that or the ensurg year, but, like the founder of a public workhounc, he establishes, as it were, a perpetual fund for the mantenance of an equal nunaber
in oll times to come. The perpetual allotment and destination of this fund, indeed, is not always guarded by any positive law, by any trust right of deed of mortmain. It is always guarded, however, by a very powerful principle, the plain and evident interest of every individual to whom any share of it shall ever betong. No part of it can ever afterwards be employed to maintain any but productive hands, without an evident loss to the person who thus perverts it from its proper destination.

The prodigal perverts it in this manner : By not confining his expense within his income, he encroaches upon his capital. Like him who perverts the revenues of some pious foundation to profane purposes, he pays the wages of idleness with those funds which the frugality of his forefathers had, as it were, consecrated to the maintenance of industry. By diminishing the funds destined for the employment of productive labour, he necessarily diminishes, so far as it depends upon him, the quantity of that labour whech adds a value to the subject upon which it is bestowed, and, consequently, the value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the whole country, the real wealth and revenue of its inhabitants. If the prodigality of some was not compensated by the frugality of others, the conduct of every prodigal, by feeding the idle with the bread of the industrious, tends not only to beggar himself, but to impoverish his country.
'Though the expense of the prodigal should he altogether in home-made, and no part of it in foreign commodities, its effect upon the productive funds of the society would still be the same. Every year there would still be a certain quantity of food and clothing, which ought to have maintained productive, employed in maintaining unproductive hands. Every year, therefore, there would still be some duminution in what would otherwise have been the value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country.

This expense, it may be said indeed, not being in foreign goods, and not occasioning any exportation of gold and silver, the same quantity of money would remain in the country as before. But if the quantity of food and clothing which were thus consumed by unproductive, had been distributed among productive hands, they would have reproduced, together with a profit, the full value of their consumption. The same quantity of money would, in this case, equally have remained in the country, and there would, besides, have been a reproduction of an equal value of consumable goods. There would have been two values instead of one.

The same quantity of money, besides, cannot long remain in any country in which the value of the annual produce diminishes. .The sole use of money is to creulate con-
sumuble goods. By means of it, provisions materials, and finished work, are bought and sold, and distributed to their proper consumers. The quantity of money, therefore, whicl can be amnually employed in any country, must be determined by the value of the consumable goods annually circulated within it. These must consist ether in the immediate produce of the land and lalour of the country itself, or in something which had been purchased with some part of that produce. Their value, therefure, saust diminith as the value of that produce diminusher, and along with it the quantity of money wheh can be eraployed in circulating them. Dut the money wheh by this annual diminution of produce, is annually thrown out of domestic circulation, will not be allowed to lie idle. The interest of whoever possesses it requires that it should be employed. But baving no employment at home, it whll, in spite of all laws and prohibutions, be sent abroad, and employed in purchasing consumable goods which may be of some use at home. Its annual exportation will, in this manner, continue for some time to add something to the annual consumption of the country beyond the value of its own annual produce. What in the days of its prosperity had been saved from that annual produce, and employed in purchasing gold and silver, will contribute, for some little time, to support its consumption in adversity. The exportation of gold and silver is, in this case. not the cause, but the effect, of its declension, and may even, for some little time, alleviste the misery of that declension.

The quantity of money, on the contrary, must in every country naturally increase as the value of the anrual produce increases. The value of the consumable goods annually circulated within the socicty being greater, will require a greater quantity of money to curculate them. A part of the increased produce, therefore, will naturally be employed in purchasing, wherever it is to be had, the additional quantity of gold and silver necessary for circulating the rest. The increase of those metals will, in this case, be the effect, not the cause of the public prosperity. Gold and silver are purchased every where in the same manner. The food, clothing, and lodging, the revenue and maintenance, of all those whose labour or stock is employed in bringing them from the mine to the mas. ket, is the price paid for them in Peru as well as in England. The country which has this price to pay, will never be long without the quantity of those metals whach it has occasion for; and no country will ever long retain a quantity which it has no occasion for.

Whatever, therefore, we may imagine the real wealth and revenue of a country to consist in, whether in the value of the annual
produce of its lind and labou, as phan reason seems to dictate, or 11 the quanuty of the precious metals which circulate uathin it, as vulgar prejudices suppone; in either view of the matter, every prodigal appears to be a public enemy, and every frugal man a public bentactor.

The effects of msonduct are often the same as those of produgality Every mjudictous and unsucceroful project in agriculture, manes, fisherses, trads, or manufactures, temals in the same manner to dummish the funds destuned for the maintenance of produetive labour. In every such project, though the capitul is consumed by producwe hands only, get as, by the muducious manaer in wheh they are employed, they do not reproduce the tull value of their consumption, thare must always be some dominution in whit would otherwise have been the productive funds of the socisty.

It can seldom happen, indeed, that the circumatances of a great nation can be much affected eather by the prodigality or nuscon. duct of indoiduals; the profusion or im. prudence of sume being always more than compensuted by the frugality and good conduct of others

With regard to profiniun, the priuciple when prompts to experse, is the pasion for present enjoyment; wheh, though some times violent and very difficult to be restraned, is in general only momentary and occasional. But the princible whib prompts to sue, is the desire of hetterng our conduon; a desire whinh, though generally Eitim and dispassonate, cones with us from the womb, and never liaves us till we go mo the grase In the whole interval which scparates those two moments, there is scarce, perhap, a single matant, in which any man is so perfiectly and comphetely satisfied with his situation, as to be nithout any wish of alteration or improvement of any kind. An augnentation of firtune is the means by whel the greater part of men propove and wish to better thacir condition. It is the means the mont vulgar and the most obvous; and the wost likely way of augmenting ther fortune, is th save and accunulate some part of wh they acquire, euher regularly and annually, or upon some ex'raoramary occasoon. Though the prine ple of expense, therefore, prevails in aluust all men upon

- Tise captal error of Dr Smith, in considering the la rour of the fuoctionaries empinved to mantain uternal peace and priliteal hodependence as tain uternal peare and peritceat independebre as unpr whuctive, has tieen alnumity pointed out ( $p$ 146.).
It ti e number of such functionartes be too much It tl e number of such functionaries be too much mulaphed, or if they he ter liberally rewarded a

 spriats pxresatve salaries, or emplot more than are riquiured for the parpoots of security aud gond gom vernumeit, it is their own rall. Thetr conduct ts Antilat so that of a mancumeturer who pays his

some occasons, and in some men upou almost all nccasions, yet in the greater part of men, tahing the whole course of their life at an average, the promesple of frugality seems nut only to predomanate, but to predommate very greatly.

With regard to misconduct, the numiner of prudent and succeoffill undertakings is everywhere much greater than that of injudicious and unsuccessful anes. After all our complaints of the frequency of bankrupteies, the unhappy men who fall into this masfortune make but a very small part of the whole number engaged in trade, and all other sorts of businass; not much more, perlips, than one in a thousand. Bankruptey is perhaps the greatest and most humilutuag calamity which can befall an innu cent man The greater part of men, therefore, are sufficiently careful to avoid it. Some indeed do not avond it ; as some do not avoid the gallows.

Great nations are never inpovershed by private, though they sometmes are by pullic, prodigahty and misconduct. The whole, or almost the whole, public revenue is, in most countries, employed in maintaining unproductive hands. Such are the people who compose a numerous and splendid court, a great ecclesiastical estabhshment, great fleets and armies, who in time of peace produce nothing, and in time of war acquire no thing which can compensate the expense of maintanang them, even while the war lasts. Such people, as they themselves produce nothing, are all maintained by the produce of other men's labour. When multiphed, therefore, to an unnecessary number, they may in a particular year consume so great a share of this produce, as not to leave a sufficiency for muntaming the productre labourers, who should reproduce it next year 1 The next jear's produce, therefore, will be less than that of the foregong; and if the same disorter should continue, that of the thard year will be still less than that of the second. Those unproductive hand, who should be manntined bv a part only of the spare revenue of the people, may consume so great a share of their whole revenue, and thereby oblige so great a number to elleroach upon their capitais, upon the funds dertaned for the mantenance of productive lalour, that all the frugality and good conduct of
more than be has occasion for. But though a state of an modivinal mar act in this abudnd manner, it would be rather rash thence to conclude, that all public servants, and all manufacturing labourers are pubic servants, and al manuiacturing labourers are
unproductinel if the estabishments whin provide securityand protertion be formed on an extrasayint
 scale-If there be more judges and mastatraters, more
sulduers and saturs than necessarf, or if thetr salarte sulduers and saturs than necessarf, of if thest salarted
be latger than would suthce to procure the services be larger than woild suthce to prucure the services
of orhers. let borth be reduced The excess, If of others, let buth be reduced The excess, If
there the ans, fa not of the esserice of such estalisgh. there tee ang, fan not of the peseince a such estabisha-
ments, but yrows out of the too hiberal ecale wh which they tiave beeo alrungal.
individuals may not be able to compensate the waste and degradation of produce occasioned by this violent and forced encroachment.

This frugality and good conduct, however, is upon most occasions, it appears from experience, sufficient to compensate, not only the private prodigality and misconduct of individuals, but the public extravagance of government. The uniform, constant, and uninterrupted effort of every man to better his condition, the principle from which public and national, as well as private opulence, is onginally derived, is frequently powerful enough to maintain the natural progress of things toward improvement, in spite both of the extravagance of government, and of the greatest errors of administration. Like the unknown principle of animal life, it frequently restores health and vigour to the constitution, in spite not only of the disease, but of the absurd prescriptions of the doctor.

The annual produce of the land and labour of any nation can be increased in its value by no other means but by increasing either the number of its productive labourers, or the productive powers of those labourers who had before been employed. The number of its productive labourers, it is evident, can never be much increased, but in consequence of an increase of capital, or of the funds destined for maintaining them. The productive powers of the same, number of labourers cannot be increased, but in consequence either of some addition and improve ment to those machines and instruments which facilitate and abridge labour, or of a more proper division and distribution of employment. In either case an additional capital is almost always required. It is by means of an additional capital only, that the undertaker of any work can either provide his workmen with better machinery, or make a more proper distribution of employment among them. When the work to be done consists of a number of parts, to keep every man constantly employed in one way, requires m much greater capital than where every man is occasionally employed in every dif ferent part of the work When we compare, therefore, the state of a nation at two different periods, and find that the annual produce of its land and labour is evidently greater at the latter than at the former, that its lands are better cultivated, its manufactures more numerous and more flourishing, and its trade more extensive; we may be assured that its capital must have increased during the interval between those two periods, and that more must have been added to it by the good conduct of some, than had been taken from it either by the private misconduct of others, or by the public extravagance of government. But we shall find this to have been the case
of almost all nations, in all tolerably quet and peaceable times, even of those who have not enjoyed the most prudent and parsimonious governments. To form a right judg; ment of it, indeed, we must compare the state of the country at periods somewhat distant from one another. The progress is frequently so gradual, that, at near peroods, the improvement is not only not sensible, but, from the deciension either of certats branches of industry or of certain districts of the country, things which sometimes happen though the country in general be in great prosperity, there frequently arisea a suspicion that the riches and industry of the whole are decaying.

The annual produce of the land and labour of Englend, for example, is certainly much greater than it was a little more than a celltury ago, at the restoration of Charles It. Though at present few people, I believe, doubt of this, yet during this period five years have seldom passed away, in which some book or pamphlet has not been pulblished, written too with such abilities as to gain some authority with the public, and pretending to dempnstrate that the wealth of the nation was fast declining, that the country was depopulated, agriculture neglected, manufactures decaying, and trade uadone. Nor have these publications been all party pamphlets, the wretched offspring of talsehood and venality: many of them have been written by very candid and very intelligent people, who wrote nothing but what they believed, and for no other reason but because they believed it.

The annual produce of the land and labour of England, again, was certainly much geeater at the Restoration than we can suppose it to bave been about an hundred years before, at the accession of Elizabeth. At this period, too, we have all reason to believe the country was much more advanced in improvernent than it had been about a century before, towards the close of the dissensions between the houses of York and Lancaster. Even then it was probably in a better condition than it had been at the Norman conquest; and at the Norman conquest than during the confusion of the Saxon heptarchy. Even at this early period, it was certainly a more improved country than at the invasion of Julus Casar, when its inhabitants were nearly in the same state with the sarages in North America

In each of those periods, bowever, there was not only much private and public profusion, many expensive and unnecessary wars, great perversion of the annual prom duce from maintaining productive to maintain unproductive hands; but sometimes, in the confusion of civil diseord, such absolute waste and destruction of stock, as might be supposed, not only to retard, as it certainly
did, the natural accumulation of riches. but to have left the country at the end of the period poorer than at the beginning. Thus, in the happiest and most fortunate period of them all, that which has passed since the Hestoration, how many disorders and misfortunes have occurred, which, could they have been forcseen, not only the impoverishment, but the total run of the country would have beco expected from them? The fire and the plague of London, the two Dutch wars, the disorders of the Revolution, the war in lreland, the four expensive French wars of 1688 , 1702,1749 , and 1756 , together with the two rebellions of 1715 and 1745 . In the course of the four French wars, the nation has contracted more than a hundred and forty-five mullions of debt, over and above all the other extraordinary annual expense which they occasioned; so that the wholo cannot be computed at less than two hundred milions. So great a share of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country has, since the Revolution, been employed upon different occasions, in maintaining an extraordinary number of unproductive hands. But had not those wars given this particular direction to so large a capital, the greater part of it would naturally have been employed in mantanning procluctive hands, whose labour would have replaced, wath a profit, the whole value

1 The American war and the late French war oncasioned an expenditure of bloud and treasure that bas no parallel id the hestory of the wurd. The primepal of the unredetmed funded and untunced pubit debt, which arnounted to about 145 mitions is 1772 a mounts at present ( 186.3 ) to about soo millious, and, in additiun to whe immense aums ratied by borrowing, the gross product of the taxes hivied by borrowing, the gross produce of the taxes livied ili breat Brian and Ireland during the tate war yat the iaprd increase of population; the extenuln and miptovement of agricuture, manuhactures, and and improvement of africulture, manulactures, and
comnemerce, the formation of ao many new docks, comberee, the formathon of so many new docks,
runds, and canals, and the infirite variety of exrunds, and canals, and the infirite vanety of ex-
penmive undertakinks entered upun and completed penivive undertakinks entered upun and completed
during the continunnce of hostiunes, show clearly during the continuance of hostinues, show theariy that the savings of the mass of the people greaty and the upprofitable expenditure of individuals. It may be sntely athimed, that no other country could have made sucb extraordinary exertinne whthout being rumin; and we owe the power to make them to a varlety of causes ; but chetly, perhapa, to that secunty of property and freedom of indtastry which we enjoy in a greater degree than any other EuroWe enjov in a greater degree than any other European nathon, and to that unversal dinusion of antelbience whels enables those who carry on any antusirial undertaking to preas all the powers of nature into their ser sce, and to avall themselves of productive encrgies, wi wheh a less fnstructed people would be wholly ignorant.

It has been doubted vy some whether the existing eaptal of the country would have been really greater than it is, had the late warnot occurrod. It is difticuit to come to an exact conclution upon sucba pount, but we nre viry far trom supposing, had there been no war, that all, or even the gleater part of the vast sums expended in crrrying it on, would have been added to the national capital. The gradually mineasing pressure of taxamonstanulated the industhous portion of the commuraty to make correapondang ettorss to preatroe their place in soctety, and ave a spur to industry and inventir n, and produced $g$ gea por economy that we should have in van atcempted to excte by anv lets puwerful means. Had Lasation been very oppresile, it would not
of their consumption. The value of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country would have been considerably increased by it every year, and every year's increase would have augmented still more that of the following year. More houses would have been built, more lands would have been improved, and those which had been improved before would have been better cultfvated; more manufactures would have been established, and those which bad been established before would have been more extended; and to what height the real wealth and revenue of the country might by this time have been rased, it is not perhaps very easy even to amagine. ${ }^{1}$

But though the profusion of government must undoubtedly have retarded the natural progress of England towards wealth and improvement, it has not been able to stop it. The annual produce of 1 ts land and habour is undoubtedly much greater at present than it was either at the Restoration or at the Revolution. The capital, therefure, annually employed in cultivating this land, and in maintaning this labour, must likewise be much greater. In the midst of all the exactions of government, this capital has been silently and gradually accumblated by the private frugality and good conduct of indsviduals, by thear universal, contınual, and
have had this effect ; but it was not so high as to produce dejection or deopair, though it was at the same time sutficiently heavy to render a considerable micrease of exertion and parsimony necessary to pres vent it from encroachung on the fortunes of indy $1-$ dusis, or at all events from diminshing the rate at which they had previously been increas ng To thit exciternent afforded by the desire of rwing in the world, the fear of falling superadded an addite na and powerful stimulus, and the two produced re sults that could not have been produced by tre unassisted operauon of euther. Without the war, there would have been less induatry and less frugality and, therefore, it may, perhapm, be concluded that the comforts of the mass of the people would have been greater had peace been prewerved. But with respect to the capital of the country, it is extreme's doubtul whether it would have been maternily greater than it is, had tranquillity bean maintamed without interruption from litis to the prevent time
It would be altogether torcung from the object of this work to enter minto any dacuagion with espuect to the moral and polatical infuence of the late cantest, or of nare in gencral Perhaps, however, were the subject properly investigated, it would be found that war, how atilicting soever to bumanity, is not so untavourable as is commonly :upposed to uybization and the arts. But whatever opintion may be enter tained on this point it is certmin that the privatiuns cained on this pomit, $1 t$ dertruative cone privare but occasioned whe mared with the lose of politual iit dep ndelice and national exirtence And no peuple dep ndelice and national exintence And no pewple who set a proper value on their best intercsts or
entertain a just gense of what they owe to thementertann a just sense of what they owe to them-
selves, wal ever hevite about resorting to armis to selves, wul ever hebtate about resorting to armis to
reped and avenge forelgn aggreasion, to vindicite repel and avenge forelgn aggreasion, 10 mindicite
their honcur, or to detend ther nghts and liber. ing from oulrage and attack.
Summum crede nefbs, anumam preferre pudori,
Et propter vitam, vivendi perdere causas.
For an able and ungenious difcusain of this im oortant subject, wee the Discours Prelimmatre pre bxed by Anulion to the frat vulume of bis Tableat det Revoletioms.

- serrupted effort to better their own conunaun. It is this effort, protected by law, and allowed by hberty to exert itself in the manner that is most advantageous, which has maintained the progress of England towards opulence and improvement in almost all former times, and which, it is to be boped, will do so in all future times. England, however, as it has never been blessed with a very parsimonious government, so parsimony has at no time been the characteristical virtue of its inhabitants. It is the highest impertinence and presumption, therefore, in kings and ministers, to pretend to watch over the ecounmy of private people, and to restrain their expense, either by sumptuary laws or by prohbiting the importation of foreign luxuries. They are themselves always, and without any exception, the greatest spendthrifts in the society. Let thern look well after their own expense, and they may safely trust private people with theirs. If their own extravagance does not ruin the state, that of their subjects never will.
As frugality increases, and prodigality diminishes the public capital, so the conduct of those whose expense just equals their revenue, without either accumalating or encroaching, neither increases nor diminishes it. Some modes of expense, however, seem to contribute more to the growth of public tpulence than others.

The revenue of an individual may be spent, either in things which are consumed immediately, and in which one day's expense can neither alleviate nor support that of another ; or it may be spent in things more durable, which can therefore be accumulated, and in which every day's expense may, as he chooses, either alleviate or support and heighten the effect of that of the following day. A man of fortune, for example, may either spend his revenue in a profuse and sumptuous table, and in maintaining a great number of menial servants, and a multitude of dogs and horses; or, contenting himself with a frugal table and few attendants, he may lay out the greater part of it in adorning his house or his country villa, in useful or ornamental buildings, in useful or ornamental furniture, in collecting books, sta cues, pictures; or in things more frivolousjewels, baubles, ingenious triukets of different kinds; or, what is most trifling of all, in amassing a great wardrobe of fine clothes, like the favourite and minister of a great prince who died a few years ago. Were two men of equal fortune to spend their revenue, the one chiefly in the one way, the other in the other, the magnificence of the person whose expense had been chiefly in durable commodities, would be continually increasing: every day's expense contributing something to support and beighten the effect of that of the following day; that of the other,
on the contrary, would be ng greater at the end of the period than at the beginnin:The former too would, at the end of the period, be the richer man of the two; he would have a stock of goods of some kind or other, which, though it might not be worth all that it cost, would almays be worth somothing. No trace or veatige of the expens of the latter would remain, and the effects of ten or twenty years profusion would be as completely annihilated as if they had never existed.

As the one mode of expense is more favourable than the other to the opulence of an individual, so is it likewise to that of a nation. The houses, the furniture, the clotising of the rich, in a little time, bocome useful to the inferior and middling ranks of people. They are able to purchase them when their superiors grow weary of them: and the general accommodation of the whole people is thus gradually improved, when thas mode of expense becomes universal among men of fortune. In countries which have long been rich, you will frequently find the inferior ranks of people in possession both of bouses and furniture perfectly good and entire, but of which neither the one could have been built, nor the other have been made for their use. What was formerly a seat of the family of Seymour, is now an inn upon the Bath road. The marriage-bed of James the First of Great Britain, which his queen brought with her from Denmark as a present fit for a sovereign to make to a sovereign, was, a few years ago, the ornament of an alehouse at Dunfermine. In some aucient cities, which either have been long stationary or have gone somewhat to decay, yon will sometimes scarce find a single bouse which could have been built for its present inhabitants. If you go into those houses, too, you will frequently find many excellent, though antiquated pieces of furniture, which are still very fit for use, and wheh could am little have been made for them. Nuble palaces, magnificent villas, great collections of books, statues, pictures, and other curiosities are frequently both an ornament and an honour, not only to the neighbourbood. but to the whole country to which they belong. Versalles is an ornament and an honour to France, Stowe and Wilton to England. Italy still continues to command sonie sort of veneration by the number of monuments of this kind which it possessen, though the wealth which produced them has decajed, and though the genius which planned them seems to $\cdot$ be extinguished, perhaps from-not, having the same employtrent.

The expense too, which is laid out in dursble commodities, is दavourable not only to accumulation, but to frugality. If a person should at any time exced in it, he cad
egsuly reform a thout exposing hamself to the censure of the public. To reduce very much the number of his servants, to reform his table from great profucion to great frugality, to lay down his equipage after he has once set it up, are changes which cannot escape the olssiration of his, neighbours, and ohech are supposed to imply some ack nowledgment of preceding lad conduct. Few, thercfure, of those who have once been so unfortunate as to launch out too far into this surt of expence, bave afterwards the courage to reform, t,ll ruin and bankruptcy oblige them. But If a person has, at any tume, been at too great an experse in buiding, in furniture, in books, or puturcs, no imprudence can be mincred from his changing his conduct. These are things in which further expense is frequently rendered unnecessary by former expense; and $u$ hen a person stops short, he appear, to do so, not because he has exceeded bis fortune, but because be has satisfied his fancy.

I he exponce, bosides, that is laid out in durable commodities, gives maintenance, commonly, to a greater number of people than that which is employed in the most profuse hospitality. Of two or three hundred weight of provisions, whith may sometimes be served up at a great festival, one half, perhaps, is throu n to the dunghill, and there is always a great deal nasted and abused. But if the expence of this entertamment had been employed in sutting to work masons, carpenters, uphulsterers, mechanics, \&c, a quantity of provisions of equal value would have been dostributed among a still greater number of people, who would have bought them in pennyworths and pound weights, and not have lost or thrown away a single ounce of them. In the one way, besides, this erpense namitans productive, in the other unproductse hands. In the one way, therefore, it increases, in the other it does not increase, the exchangeable value of the annual prodnce of tise land und labour of the country.

1 would not, l owever, by all this, be understood to mean, that the one species of expence always betohens a more liberal or gencrous spirit than the other. When a man of fortune spends his revenue chetty in hoypitality, he shares the greater part of it With his friends and companions; but when dee employs it in purchasing such durable commodities, he often spends the whole upon bis own person, and gives nothing to any body without an equivalent. The latter species of expense, therefore, espectalIy when dircted towards frivolous objects, the little omaments of dress and furniture, jewels, trinkets, gewgaws, frequently indicates, not only a triting, but a base and selfish dispocition. All that I mean is, that the one sort of expense, as it always occasions some accumalation of valuable commodities, te it is more favourable to private frugslity.
and, consequently, to the increase of the pub. lic capital, and as it maintains productise rather than unprodactive bands, conduces mone than the other to the growth of pablic opulence.

## CHAP. IV. <br> Of Stock lent at Interest.

The stock wheh is lent at iuterest is alwavs considered as a capital by the luader Ife expects that in due time it is to be restored to hum, and that in the mean time the borrower is to pay him a certan annual rent for the use of it. The borrower roay use it either as a capital, or as a stock reserved for immedtate consumption. If he uses it as a capital, he emploss it in the mantenance of productive labourers, who reproduce the value with a profic. He can, in this case, both restore the capital and pay the interest, without ahenating or encroaching upon any other source of revenue. If he uses it as a stock reserved for immediate consumption, he acts the part of a prodigal, and dissipates, in the mantenance of the idle, what was destined for the support of the industrious. He can, in tinis case, neither restore the capital nor pay the interest, without either alenating or encroaching upon some other source of revenue, such as the property or the rent of land.

The stock which is lent at interest is, no doubt, occasionally employed in both these ways, but in the former much more frequently than in the latter. The man who borrows in order to spend, will soon be runed, and be who lends to him will gene* rally have occasion to repent of liss fully To borrow or to lend for such a purposc, thercfore, is, in all cases, where gross usury is out of the question, contrary to the interest of both parties; and though it no doubt happens sometimes that people do both the one and the other, yet, from the regard that all men have for their own interest, we may be assured that it cannot happen so very frequently as we are sometimes apt to imagine. Ask any pich man of common prudence, to which of the two sorts of people he bas lent the greater part of his stock, to those who he thanks will employ it profitably, or to those who will spend it idy, and be will laugh at you for proposing the question. Esen among borrowers, therefore not the people in the world mose famous for frugality, the number of the frugal and industrous surpanses considerably tiat of the prodigal and idle.

The only people to whom stock is commonly lent without their being expected to make any very profitable use of tt , are country gentimen who borrour upon mortgage Even they scarce ever borrow merely to spend. What ties borruw, one may say, is
commonly spent before they borrow it They have generally consumed so great a quantity of goods, advanced to them upon credit by shopkeepers and tradesmen, that they find it necessary to borrow at interest in order to pay the debt. The capital borrowed replaces the capitals of those shopkeepers and tradesmen, which the country gentlemen could not have replaced from the rents of their estates. It is not properly borrowed in order to be spent, but in order to replace a capital which had been spent before

Almost all loans at interest are made in money, cither of paper, or of gold and silver; but what the borrower really wants, and what the lender readily supplies him with, is not the money, but the money's worth, or the goods which it can purchase. If he wants it as a stock for immediate consumption, it is those goods only which he can place in that stock. If he wants it es a capital for employing industry, it is from those goods only that the industrious can be furnished with the tools, materials, and maintenance, necessary for carrying on their work. By means of the loan, the lender, as it were, assigns to the borrower bis right to a certain portion of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, to be employed as the borrower pleases.

The quantity of stock, therefore, or, as it is commonly expressed, of money which can be lent at interest in any country, is not regulated by the value of the money, whether paper or coin, which serves as the instrument of the diffrent loans made in that country, but by the value of that part of the annual produce which, as soon as it comes either from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, is des. tined, not only for replacing a capital, but such a capital as the owner does not care to be at the trouble of employing himelf. As such capitals are commonly lent out and paid back in money, they constitute what is called the monied interest. It is distinct, not only from the landed, but from the trading and manufacturing interests, as in these last the owners themselves employ their own capitals. Even in the noned interest, however, the money is, as it were, but the deed of assignment, which conveys from one hand to another those capitals which the owners do not care to employ tbemselves. Those capitals may be greater, in almost any proportion, than the amount of the money which serves as the instrument of their conveyance; the same pieces of money successively serving for many different loans, as well as for many different purchases. A., for example, lends to W. a thousand pounds, with which W. immediately purchases of B. a thousand pounds' worth of goods. B. having no occasion for the money himself, lends the iden-
tical pieces to X., with which X. immoli,te.ly purchases of $C$. another thousand paunds worth of goods. C., in the same manner, and for the same reason, tends them to $Y$., who again purchases goods with them of 1 . In this manner, the same pieces exther of coin or of paper, may in the course of a few days serve as the instrument of three diffirent loans, and of three different purchases each of which is, in value, equal to the whole amount of those pieces. What the three monied men, A., B., and C., assign to the three borrowers, W., X., and Y., is the power of making those purchases. In this power consist both the value and the use of the loana The stock lent by the three monied men is equal to the value of the goods which can be purchased with it, and is three times greater than that of the money with which the pirchases are made. Those loans, however, may be all perfectly well secured, the goods purchased by the different debtors being ${ }^{\text {so }}$ em. ployed, es, in due time, to bring back, with a protit, an equal value either of coin or of paper. And as the same pieces of money can thus serve as the instrument of diffirent loans to three, or, for the same reason, to thirty times their value, so they may likewi-e successively serve as the instrument of repayment.
A. capital lent at interest may, in this manner, be considered as an assignment, from the lender to the borrower, of a certain considerable portion of the annual produce; upon condition that the borrower in return shall, during the continuance of the Toan, annually assign to the lender a smaller portion, called the interest; and at the end of it a portion equally considerable with that which had originally been assigned to him, called the repayment. Though money, either coin or paper, serves gencrally as the deed of assignment both to the smaller and to the more considerable portion, it is itself altogether different from what is assigned by it.

In proportion as that share of the annual produce which, as soon as it comes either from the ground or from the hands of the productive labourers, is destined for replacing a capital, increases in any country, what is called the monied interest naturally increase: with it. The increase of those particular capitals from which the owners wish to ilerive a revenue, without being at the troulle of employing them themselves, naturally aocompanies the general increase of capitala; or, in other words, as stoct increases, tie quantity of stock to be lent af interest grow: gradually greater and greater.

- As the quantity of stock to be lent at irtereat increases, the interest, or the pricu which muse be paid for the use of that shook, necessarily diminishes, not only from thusy general cuuses which make the market price
of thung commonly diminish as their quantity increases, but from other causes which are peculiar to this particular case As apitals increase in any country, the protits wheh can be made by employing them necessarily diminush. It becomes gradually more and more difficult to find within the country a profitable method of employing any new caphal. There anses, in consefuence, a competition between different capitals, the owner of one endeavouring to get possession of that employment whech is secuped by another. But, upon most occarons, he can hope to justle that other out of thas employment, by no other means but by dealing upon more reasonable terms. He must not only sell what he deals in somewhat cheaper, but, in order to get it to sell, he must sometimes too buy it dearer. The demand for productive labour, by the increase of the funds which are destmed for maintaning it, grows every day greater and grater. Labourers easily find employment, but the owners of capitals find it dithcult to get labousers to employ. Their competition raises the wages of labour, and 'smas the profist of stock. But when the profits which can be made by the use of a caputal are in this manner diminished, as it were, at both ends, the price which can be pand for the use of it, that is, the rate of miterest, must necessarily be diminished with thein.

Mr. Locke, Mr. Law, and Mr. Montesqued, as well as many oher writers, seem to have imagined that the increase of the quantrty of gold and silver, in consequence of the discovery of the Spanish West Indies, was the real cause of the lowering of the rate of interest through the greater part of Europe. Those metals, they say, having become of hess value themselves, the use of any partucular portion of them necessarily became of less value too, and consequently the price whech could be paid for it. Thes notion, whech at first stght seems so plausible, has been so fully expored by Mr. Hume, that it is perlaps innccessary to say any thing nore about it. The following very slort and plan argument, however, may serve to explain more dintunctly the fullacy wheh seems to have nusled thove gentlemen.

Belore the discovery of the Spanish West Indes, ten per cent. seems to have been the common rate of interest through the greater

- part of Europe. It bas sume that time, in different countries sunk to six, five, four, and three per cent. 1 Let us suppose that in every partucular country the value of sulver

The rate of interest inciudes not onls a return for the use ot the captat tent, but atso a farther sum or mentain, to fuciemnity the lender tor any risk he mit ratin miking the losa. Durisig the period to whith ler smith reters, this premitin owtag to the undethed and turiadeng state of the theies, and the

lias sumk preciscly in the same proportion as the rate of niterest, and that in those countries, for example, where inten ist has been reduced from ten to five por cent. the same quantity of silver can now purchase just hatf the quantity of goods whieh it could hate purchaned before. This supposition wall not. I believe, be found any where agrgcable to the truth; but it is the most favourable to the opinion which we are going to examine; and, even upon this supposition, it is utterly impossible that the lowerng of the value of silver could have the smadlest tendency to lower the rate of interest. If a hundred pounds are in those countries now of no more value than fifty pounds were then, ten pounds must now be of no more value than five pounds were then. Whatever whe the causes which lowered the value of the capian, the same must necesharily have lowered that of the interest, and cuatly in the same proportion. The proportuon between the value of the capital and that of the interest must have remained the sume, though the rate had never been altered By alteling the rate, on the contray the propurtion betwech those two values is necessarily altered. If a hundred pounds now are worth no more than fifty were then, five pounds now can be worth no more than two pounds ten shillangs were then. By reducing the rate of interest, therefore, from ten to five per cent., we give for the use of a capital, which is supposed to be equal to one half of its former value, an interest which is equal to one fourth only of the value of the former interest.

Any increase in the quantity of silior, while that of the commoditics crevhated by means of it remaned the came, could have no other elfect than to dimmuth the value of that metal. The nommal walue of all sorts of goods would be greater, but their ral value would be precisely the same as befire. They would be exchanged for a greater number of pleces of stlver; but the quantity of labour whin they could command, the number of people whom they could matntatin and employ, would be preciscly the same. The capital of the country would be the same, though a greater number of piects might be requisite for conveying any equa portion of it from one trand to anothicr The deeds of ascignment, like the conve!ances of a verbose attorney, would be more cumbersome; but the thnit assigned would be precisely the same as bofore, and could produce only the same effects. The tunds for maintanning productive labour beng the same, the demand for it would be the same.
takjng intereat was much greater than at prospnt and whe, allowave is nade for this mircumotanct at mav be doutalay whather the rate of miture pt property so called, or the retura fur the services of capstal tent on mnourtionabie becurif. Was conntde ratily higher in the 60 rude ages than in our unf timas.

Its price or wages, therefore, though nominally greater, would really be the same. They would be paid in a greater number of pieces of silver, but they would purchase only the same quantity of goods. The profits of stock would be the same, both nommally and really. The wages of labour are commonly computed by the quantity of silvef which is paid to the labourer. When that is increased, therefore, his wages appear to be increased, though they may sometimes be no greater than before. But the profits. of stowk are not computed by the number of pieces of silver with. which they are paid, but by the proportion which those pieces bear to the whole capital employed. Thus, in a particular country, five shillings a week are said to be the common wages of labour, and ten per cent. the common profits of stock. But the whole capital of the country being the same as before, the competition between the different capitals of individuals into which it was divided would likewise be the same. They would all trade with the same advantages and disadvantages. The common proportion between capital and profit, therefore, would be the same, and consequently the common interest of money; what can commonly be given for the use of money being necessarily regutated by what can commonly be made by the use of it.

- Any-increase in the quantity of cominodities annually circulated within the country, while that of the money which circulated them remained the same, would, on the contrary, produce many other important effeets, besides that of raising the value of the money. The capital of the country, though it might nominally be the same, would really be augmented. It might continue to be expressed by the same quantity of money, but it would command a greater quantity of labour. The quantity of productive labour which it could maintain and employ would be increased, and consequently the demand for that labour. Its wages would naturally rise with the demand, and yet might appear to sink. They might be paid with a smaller quantity of money, but that smaller quantity might purchase a greater quantity of goods than a greater had done before. The profits of stock would be diminished, both really and in appearance. The whole capital of the country being augmented, the competition between the different capitals of which it was composed would naturally be augmented along with it. The owners of those particular capitals would be obliged to content

[^49]themselves with a smaller proportion of the produce of that labour which their reqpective capitals employed. The interest of money, keeping pace always with the profits of stock, might, in this manner, be greatly diminished, though the value of moncy, or the quantity of goods which any particular sum could purchase, was greatly angmented.

- In some countries the interest of money has been prohbited by law. But as something can every where be matie by the use of moncy, sumething ought every where to be paid for the use of it. This regulation, instead of preventing, has been found from experience to increase the evil of usury; the debtor being obliged to pay, not ouly for the use of the money, but for the risk which his creditor runs by accepting a compensation for that use. He is obliged, if one may say so, to insure his creditor from the penaltes of usury.

In countries where interest is permitted, the law, in order to prevent the extortion of usury, generally fixes the highest rate which can be taken without incurring a penalty. This rate ought always to be somewhat above the lowest market price, or the price which is commonly paid for the use of money by those who can give the most undoubted necurity. If this legal rate should be fixed below the lowest market rate, the effects of this fixation muct be nearly the same as those of a total prohibition of interest. The creditor will not lend his moncy for less than the use of it is worth, and the debtor must pay him for the risk which he runs by accepting the full value of that use. If it is fixed precisely at the lowest market price, it ruins, with honest people who respect the laws of their country, the credit of all thase who cannot give the very best security, and obliges them to have recourse to exorbitant usurers. In a country, such as Great Britain, where money is lent to government at three per cent., and to private people upon good security at four and four and a balf, the present legal rate, five per cent., is perhaps as proper as any.

The legal rate, it is to be observed, though it ought to be somewhat above, ought not to be much above the lowest market rate- If the legal rate of interest in Great Britain, for example, was fixed so high as eight or ten per cent., the greater part of the money which was to be lent, would be lent to prodigals and projectors, who alone would be willing to give this high interest,' Suber people, who will give for the use of money engaged in the ordinarvand anderstond branches of mdustry. It is, howevar, unnecpuary to enlargon in thes subject, as it has been ably discussed, and ermery objection to the uncondttional repeal of the uiriry lawn, on the ground of its encouraging protertirns. satisfactorily angwered by Mr Brntham, in his loterer to Dr. smith, in his Defrnce of Lezry. 1 lie readit may also consult the art interest, io the new ent tion of the Eucyclopedia bridonnice
no more than a part of what they are likely to make by the use of it, would not venture into the competition. A great part of the capital of the country would thus be kept out of the hands which wire most likely to moke a prohtuble and advantageous use of it, and thrown into thow whach were most hihely to waste and destroy it Where the legal rate of anterent, on the contrary, is fined lut in very hitle above the lowest market rate, wober people are unverally preferred, as borrowers, to prodigals and projectors. The person who lends money gets nearly as much interest from the former as he dares to take from the latter, and his money is much sater in the hands of the one set of people than in those of the other. A great part of the capital of the country is thus thrown into the hands in which it is most likely to be cmploved with advantage.

No law ean reduce the common rate of interest below the lowest ordmary market rate at the thme when that law is made. Notnithstandsug the ecict of 1766 , by which the French king attempted to reduce the rate of miterest from fise to four per cent., money contmued to be lent in France at fise per cent., the luw being evaded in several dilferent ways.

The ordinary market price of land, it is to be observed, depents every where upon the ordinary market rate of interest. 'l'he percon whu has a eapital from which he wishes to derise a revenue, without taking the trouble to employ it hunself, deliberates whether he should buy land with it, or lend it out at interest. The superior security of land, together with some other aivantages which almost every where attend upon thes

1 We have alreadi (Introductory Discourse, 1 2) nothed the fallacy of the princoples advanced by Dr Snuth, with respict to the comparative ailvantige of emplij hig caph us in diferent difatiments of sodustr Ho has verv cleqriy shown, in treatime of the uiges of habwur, that those countries in which capital is int ritasing with the greatest rapidity, are, cutiris patibus, the most prosi erous Ther have a constantly increasing deimand fyy habour: and the a constantrincreasing nemand arin hibour: and the the ,hare of the latourprs, or to the great buth of torstis, in guch countrus, is large compared with korith, in such countrus, it large comparet with
the stinply that falls to thrir share ta ceuntries the sunply that fails to thrir share tal ceuntries
that mie either stationary or declumg But it is
 froms protis that capital is anmust entirely formend,
anul it is one of the best ectablished don trines in the aclence, that the power of countries. in which property is atout eqialls secure, and industry equally tree, to armans caplail, and, by consequence, to advance in the career of wealth and population, will be dippradent upun, and pretty nearly proportioned ti, the rates of prutit in each (See Mr'Cullon's Yrmetiles of Poltical 1 conomy, $p$ 111 2ad ed) It is this irrumptance, or the diflerent rates of protit in flolland, F weland, and the 1 nited tates, thit rinders the tor rease of capitai and population ahmost st thomary in the tirst, moderat ily prosresalse in the seithat, and complatatiscty raphe in the last $A$ captal of a mullom emphomed in these difereat Captal of a midion emplinhed in mose detpreat



1 These gums are not set down as bemparicurate. thomph protmbly ther are mut sery whe of the mark, but tu illutrate the promple
species of property, will gen rally dispose him to content bunself with a smaller rivenue from land than what he might have by leuding out his money at interest. These advantages are sufficient to compenade a certant difference of revenue; but they will compensate a certan ditference only; and if the rent of land should fall short of the interest of money by a greater difference, nolody would buy land, whinh would som reduce its ordinary price On the contrary, if the advantages should much more than compensate the difference, every body would buy land, which agitu would suon rase its ordinary price. When interest was at ten per cent land was commonly sold for ten or twelve years purchase. As interest suak to six, five, and four per cent, the price of land rose to twenty, five-and-twenty, and thirty yeara' purchase. The market rate of interest is higher in France than in England, and the common price of land is lower. In England it commouly sells at thirty, in France at twenty years' purchase.

## CHAP. V.

## Of the different Employment of Capita's

Though all captals are destmed for the mantenance of productive labour only, yet the quantity of that labour which equal capittals are capable of putting into motion, varies extreasely accordang to the dusersity of their employment; as does likewise the value which that employment adds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country.

A capital may be employed in four dif-
in all cases live upon its protita, the means of actumetlation in England would un'er the circumatames stipposed, be more than an ible what they are ill Holland, and 11 the I nited states nearly double what they are in Englind.

It is platn, therctore, that the prospertiv of a country la so be moasured by the rate of pront a hirh her capital yields, or (ior it is the same thing) by hir capacty of emplosiop cajutal and labour with udvantage, arai not by the artual anount of her capital. or the number of her penple. The capiat of liollad is undubbeitly mush larher, compared with her po-
 putation the later is able to pmploy ber capital with far as the lutter is able to employ ber capital with har
greater athantage than the mraner, every one fa realy greater adsantate 1 han the morner, every one is reary
to aintrit that she is also br far the more prosperous. to aimtt that she 13 also br tar the thore prospraing Dr Smith ( $P$ 37) at hetng "in reility the cheettul and hearty state to all the difierent oriters of the society; the stationary is dull, the dechatog melapcholv" But as this progressise state is mainlr a consequence of a comparativels hizh $r$ the of profit be ought, in consistelut, to have madntanest the doctrine that the rate of prafu rfalised in ditferent emplosments is the best standirdi by when to jutace ot their adiontzenuisness. This, in truth, is the oniv doctrine whan harmonses with the funtamentat primiphes of his sistem, for it shows that the freedom of mdestry is untorinls producnece of



 largeat mert protits, aled in doang so it if ctear thicy art inpluyting them in the $w$ at wave likel, to the creaso their oun foriunes, and consequeatig to
ferent ways: either, first, in procuring the 'rude produce annually required for the use 'and consumption of the society; or, secondly, (in manufacturing and preparing that rude produce for immediate use and consumption; (or, thirdly, in transporting either the rude ior manufactured produce from the places where they abound to those where they are wanted; or, lastly, in dividing particular furtions of either into such small parcels as suit the occasional demands of those who want them. In the first way are employed the capitals of all those who undertake the improvement or cultivation of lands, mines, or fisheries; in the second, those of all master manufacturers; in the third, those of all wholesale merchants; and in the fourth, those of all retailers It is difficult to conceive that a capital should be employed in any way which may not be classed under some one or other of those four.

Each of those four methods of employing a capital is essentially necessary either to the existence or extension of the other three, or to the general conveniency of the society.

Unless a capital was employed in furnoshing rude produce to a certain degree of abundance, neither manufactures nor trade of any kind could exist.

Unless a capital was employed in manufacturing that part of the rude produce which requires a good deal of preparation before it can be fit for use and consumption, it either would never be produced, because there could be no demand for it; or if it was produced spontaneously, it would be of no value in exchange, and could add nothing to the wealth of tise society.

Unless a capital was employed in transporting either the rude or manufactured produce from the places where it abounds to those where it is wanted, no more of either could be produced than was necessary for the consumption of the neighbourhood. The capital of the merchant excbanges the surplus produce of one place for that of another, and thus encourages the industry and increases the enjoyments of both.

Unless a capital was employed in breaking and dividing certain portions either of the rude or manufactured produce into such small parcels as suit the occasional demands of those who want them, every man would be obliged to purchase a greater quantity of the goods he wanted than his immediate occasions required. If there was no such

[^50]trade as a butcher, for example, every $m+1$ would be obliged to purchase a whole ox or a whole sheep at a time. This rould generally be inconvenient to the rich, and much more so to the poor. If a poor workman was obliged to purchase a month's or six month's provisions at a time, agreat part of the stock which he employs as a capital in the instruments of his trades or in the furniture of his shop, and which yields him a revenue, be would be forced to place in that part of his stock which is reserved for immediate consumption, and which yields him no revenue. Nothing can be more convenient for such a person than to be able to purchase bis subsistence from day to diy, or even from hour to hour, as he wants it. He is thereby enabled to employ alnost his whole stock as a capital. Ife is thus ert abled to furnish work to a greater valut; and the profit which he makes by it in this way, much more than compensates the additional price which the profit of the retaller imposes upon the goods. The prejudices of some political writers against shopkeepers and tradesmen are altogether whthout foundation. So far is it from being necessary either to tax them or to reatrict their numbers, that they can never be multuplied so as to hurt the public, though they may so as to hurt one another. The quantity of grocery goods, for example, which can be sold in a particular town, is limited by the demand of that town and its neighbourhood. The capital, therefore, which can be employed in the grocery trade, cannot excecd what is sufficient to purchase that quantity. If this capital is divided between two different grocers, their competition will tend to make both of them sell cheaper than if it were 11 the hands of one only; and if it were divided among twenty, their compctition would be just so much the greater, and the chance of their combining to etber, in order to raise the price, just so much the less. Therr competition, might, perhaps, runn some of themselves; but to take care of this is the business of the parties concerned, and it may safely be trusted to their discretion. It can never hurt cither the consumer or the producer: on the contrary, it must tend to make the retailers both sell cheaper and buy dearer than if the whole trade was monopolsed by one or two persons. Some of thern, pertapy, may sometimes decoy a weak customer to buy what he has no occasion for. Thanevil,
to other states. And thus it appears, looking at the question in every point of view, that there are no grounds whatever for funposing that the atmost freeniom of trinustry thould fver be a mans of attrarting capitd to a comyaratively disadvaritaceons emplowment. In this respent putble and yrivate jntarests are almajs in vnisun. If carital forw to foreign trade sather than to agricuiture or the home trade, it fa beraurpo it is bolieved it will rithd larger protite to the unfivilud, and consecuacotiy to the stale
however, is of tou latile importance to derorve the public altention, nor would thecessarils be prosonted by restrictag their numbers It is mot the multitude of alchouses, to ghice the most suspocious crample, that oceasions a general diaposition to drunkenncss among the conmon people, lut that dicposition, arising from other causes, necessarily gives employnent to a multitude of alchouses.

The perions whose capitals are employed A in any of those four ways, are themulves , jrodicuve labources. Thar labour, when purpery directed, fixes and realises itself" in the subject or vandible commodity upon wheh it is bestowed, and frenerally adds to ats price the value at least of their own maintenance and consumption. The profits of the farmer, of the manufacturer, of the merchant and retaler, are all drawn trom the price of the goods which the two first produce, and the two last buy and sell. Fi, of those four different ways, will immediately put into motion very different quantities of productive labour, and augment, too, in very different proportions, the value of the annual protiuce of the land and labour of the sorecty to whach they belong.

The capital of the retailer replaces, together with its profits, that of the merchant of whom he purchases goods, and thereby enstiles bim to continue his business. The refaler homself is the only productive labourer whom it immedtately employs In has profits consists the whole value which its enyloyment adds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the socicty.

Ilie capital of the wholesale merchant replaces, together with therr profits, the capitais of the farmers and manufactuicrs of whom he purchases the rude and manufuctured produce which he deals m, and thereby ethatley them to continne their respective trales. It is by thas serviee chiefly that he contributes indirectly to suppurt the productive labour of the soctety, and to increase the value of its anmual produce. His calitat employe, too, the sators and carriers who timnport his groods from one place to anothen, and it angments the pice of those goods b the walue, not only of his profits, but of then wages Tlus in all the productive labour whin it immediately puts into motion, and all the value m hich it immediately adds to the annual produce. Its operation in both thene reapects is a good denl superior to that of the capital of the retaller.
lart of the capital of the master manufacturer is employed as a fived capital in the matruments of his tade, and replaces, together $x$ ith ats prafits, that of some other artilicer of whom be purchases thero. Part of his circulathag capinal is employed in purchasmig materials, and replaces, with the $r$ profits, the capitalis of the tuimerernd nitions
of whom he purehuses thou, but a great part of it is almays, milier annually, or in a much shorter penod, distributed among the diflerent workmen whom he cmploys. It nugments the value of those maternals by Lletr wages, and by their master's protits upon the whole stoch of wages, naterials, and instruments of trade enployed in the busincss. It puis inmeduately into motion, therefore, a much greater quantity of productive libour, and adds a much greater value to the annual produce of the land and labour of the wociety, than an equal capital in the hands of any wholesale merchant

No equal capital puts into motion s ; freater fuantity of productave labour that that of the farmer, Not only his labouring servants, but his labouring cattle, are productive labourers. In agriculture, too, nature labours along with man; and though her labour costs no expense, its produce has its value, as well as that of the most expensive workmen. The most important operations of agriculture seem intended, not so much to increase-though they do that tooas to dirert the fertility of nature towards the production of the plants most profitable to man. A ficld overgrown wath briers and brambles may frequently produce an great a quantify of vegetables as the best cultiwated vuryand or corn field. Plantng and thllage frequently regulate more than they anmate the active fertality of nature; and after all their labour, a great part of the work al ways remans to be done by lier The laboiurers and labounng cattle, therefore, employ ed in ugriculture, not only occasion, Inke the workmen in manufuctures, the reproduction, of a value equal to their own consumption, or to the capital whach employs thern, fogether with its owner's profits, but of a much greater value $O_{\text {ver and }}$ above the capital of the farmer and all its profits, they regularly occasion the reprodur-! tion of the rent of the landlord. This rent niay be considered as the produce of thuse powers of nature, the use of which the landlord lends to the furmer. It is preater or smaller according to the supposed extent of those powers, or, in other nords, according to the supposed natural or improved fertility of the land. It in the work of nature which remains after deducting or compensatiag every thing which can be regarded as the work of man. It is seldom less than $n$ fourth, and frequently more than a hird, of the whole produce. No equal quantit) of productive labour employed in manufacturis can ever occasion so grtat a reproduction In them nature does notling - man does' all; and the reproduction murt always be in proportion to the strengel of the agents that occasion it. The capual employed in agriculture, therefire, not only puts into motion a greater quantity of productice labour bua
any equal capital employed in manufactures, but in proportion, too, to the quantity of productive labour which it employs, it adds a much greater value to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, to the real wealth and revenue of its inhabitants. Of all the ways in which a capital can be employed, it is by far the most advantageous to the society. 1

The capitals employed in the agriculture and in the retail trade of any society, must always reside within that society. Their employment is confined almost to a precise spot, to the farm, and to the shop of the retailer. They must generally, too - though there are some exceptions to this - belong to resident members of the society.

The capital of a wholesale merchant, on the contrary, seems to have no fixed or necessary residence anywhere, but may wander about from place to place, according as it can either buy cheap or sell dear.

The capital of the manufacturer must, no doubt, reside where the manufacture is carried on ;-but where this shall be, is not. always necessarily determined. It may fre quently be at a great distance bott from the place where the materials grow, and from that where the complette manufacture is consumed. Lyons is very distant buth from the places which afford the materials of its manufactures, and from those which consume them, The people of fashion in Silyily are clothed in silks made in other countries from the materials which their own yroduces, Part of the wool of Spain is mandfactured in Great Britain, and some part of that cloth is afterwards sent back to Syain.
${ }^{1}$ This is perhaps the most objectionable passage in the Wealth of Nauons; and it is really astomishIng so neute and sagaclous a reasoner as Dr. Smith should have manitained a proposition so manifestly erroneous as that " nature does nothing for man in manufactures." The powers of water sund of wind, w'uch move our machuery, support our ships, and impel thern over the deep - the pressure of the impel them over the daep - the pressure of the
atmore and the elasticity of steam. which enable us to work the most stuperidous engines - are they not the spontaneous gifte of hature? The single advantage of machinery consists, in fact, in its enabling us to press the powers of nature into our service, and to make them perform a large part of what must otherwise have been wholly the work of man. In bleachung and fermentation, the whole processes are carried on by natural agents; and it is to the iofluence of heat in softening and melting metals, and warming houses, that we owe many of our most powerful and convenient instruments, and that these nortbern climates have been made to afford a comfortable habitation.
Owing to the advances that arenimost constantly being made in the arts, it is abundantly certais that whatever amount of e-pital may be required at present to construct a steam engine, or to build a ship, a less amount of capital will, dt a future period, sutice for the same purpose; aud how mure poevod, these machumes and ships may be multiphed, the last will be as efficiont in saving labour and producing wealth as the firct. But such is not the case with the capital pmployed upon the sofl. Lands of the first quality are speedily extbausted; and it is in practice found to be rmpossible, notwithstanding the frequent discoverjes and inventions made ta the business of agriculture, to go on applping capntal indefiutely even to the best lands,

Whether the merchant whose c.rpital exports the surplus produce of any society be a native or a forcigner, is of very hitto importance. If he is a foreignes, the number of their productive labourers is necessarily less than if he had been a uative, by one man only; and the valuo of thenr annual produce, by the profits of that one man. The sailors or carriers whom he emplogs may still belong itdufferently ether to tiis country, or to their country, or to some thard country, in the same manner as if he bad been a native. The capital of a furengner gives a value to their surplus produce equally with that of a native, by exchanging it for something for which there is a demand at bome. It as effectually replaces the capital of the person who produces that surplus, and as effectually enables him to continue his businest; the service by which the capmtal of a wholesale merchant chiefy contrsbutes to support the productive laloour, amd to augment the value of the annual produce of the society to which he belongs.

It is of more consequence that the cupital of the manufacturer should revide withm? the country. It necessarily puts into motion a greater quantity of productive labour, and adds a greater value to the annual produce of the land and labour of the society. It may, however, be very useful to the wonntry, though it should not reside within it. The capitals of the British manufacturers who work up the flax and henip annually anported from the coasts of the Bultuc, are surely very useful to the countriss which produce them. Those materials are a pirt of the surplus produce of those countrus,
Without in the end out tiniog a diminiohed return Now it is thes dimmish ng productiveris of the capital etnploged ift cultivalion that ts the bource of rent. No rent is ever patd when tho best luuts only are under tullage; but when the increave of [wpulathon forces recourse to thone of inforior fortility, tha price of raw produce necessarily agaf firipurtuobaliy po the increased cost of its productorn on them and, as thrre cannot the two rates of protit, wr two prus for the same article at the same time, and in the sume market. the excess of prodite olrtame dirum the best lands under cultipation over that thulif $6 i \mathrm{j}$ tained from the worst, or its value, goes to the lamit. lord as rent. lngtedd, therefore of its imme trie that reat is the result of the surcrion orrolimutimens of the capital employed upon tie land, as compured With that emploged in masuffactures and commoerce. it is really the rrisult of its infenor mrodiativenes.
di it singular, says Mr Ricardo, st hist thos quiality of the land, wheca should have been noticed ad an uperfection, eompared with the Ratural arents by which manufictures are assisted, sow,uld hare been pointed out as constituting its peruthat preemmence. If air, sater, the elaticity of strain, a d the pressure of the atmousjhere, were of rarious qualities; if they could be alpropriatef sod rach quality existed onlt it moderate ahmadance, they as well as the lind would afford a reat ar the sur Lessive qualitien wete brought irto wae. Whih every worse qualuty empioged, the gatue ot the commondt res in the raacutactare of which shey were used wornd rise, because equal quantrites of latonur wrould tine less productive, Man wond do more by the swext of his brow, and nature perform less; and the land would be no lomger pre-emanert fur ite dimated powerg " -p 63 Ist ed.

See further, note on Beds. at the end.
which, maless it was ambally exchanged tor connething which is it demand there, womh He of tuo value, and would soon ccase to be profuced. The merchants who export it, replace the capitain of the people who produce it, and thereby encourage them to continue the praduction; and the Brithoh mamulathrers replace the capitals of thone merchants.

A partcular country, in the same mamer wis particular pormon, may fiequently not have cuphal suflucint both to improve and cultivate all its lands, to manufacture and prepare ther whole rude produce for immediate use and connumptum, and to transyort the surplus part either of the rude or manuLutured produce to those datant markets where it ean be exchanged for womething for Aloch there is a demand at home. The inbubitants of mony diflerent parts of Great Butam have uot capital suffientent to improve Alld caltade all ther lands The nool of the sunthern counties of scothand is, a great part of it, after a long land carriaqe through very bad roads, manufactured in Yorkshre, for watit of a enpital to manufacture it at home. There are many litte manufucturng towns in Great Britain, of ahich the mhas bitunts have not capital sufficient to transport the produce of their own industry to thow dhtant markets where there is dimand and comumption for it. If there are any merchants annong them, they are, properly, only the ngents of wealther merchants who reside in sume of the greater commercial citics.

When the enpital of any country is not nifficunt for all thove three purpores, in proportion as a greater share of it is entployed in agriculture, the grater will be the quantity of productive labour which it puta mio motion within the country; as nall litewne be the value which its employment addy to the ammal produce of the land aad labour of the society After agriculture, the capital employed in manufactures puts mo motion the greatest quantity of prodak the labour, and adds the greatest salue to the anmal produce. That whech is emploged in tie trade of exportation has the lest effict of any of the three.

The country, indeed, wheh has not cafiral suffectent for all thove three purposes, has not arrised at that degree of opulcnee fire wheh it seems maturally destmed To mtimpt, however, prematurely and with an mouflionent espital to do all the three, is - is anly not the shortent way fur ansociets, oo more than it would te for an individual, I' accqure a sufficient one. The capital of wht the minvidusls of a mation has its limits, III the sume mamer as that of a single indrudual, and as capable of expcuting only wrim purposes. The capital of all the indu dudiy of a nation is unceaned in the
banc matina as that of a sage'e mdibilant, by thes contmually accumulatiog ad addme: to it whaterer they save out of then seteruc. It is lihcly to increanc the fatest therefore, when it is amployed in the way that aflords the greatest revenue to all the inhabitants of the country, as they " $\mathrm{o}^{1}$ thus Le enabled to make the greate tavings. Hut the revenue of all the mbalitants of the country as necemarily in proporton to the value of the amual produce of their land and labour.

It lins been the principal cause of the raphd progress of our American colomes towards wealth and greatness, that almost their whole capitals have hitherto been employed in agriculture. They have no manufacture, those bousehold and coarser manufactures excepted which necemarsly atcompany the progress of agriculture, and which are the work of the women and chitdren in every private family The preater part both of the exportation and coasting trade of America is carried on by the capitals of merchants who reside in Great Britatn Even the stores and wardouses from whin goods a're retailed in some provincts, partuculary in Virgima and Maryland, bilong many of them to merchants who reside in the mor her country, and aftord one of the fow inscances of the retall trade of a socicty beng carried on by the caputals of thoue who re not resident members of it. Were the $A$ pericans, either by combination or by any ohaer sort of violenc, to stop the importation of European manufacture, and Dy thus gining a monopoly to such of therr own countrymen as could manufature the like goode, divert any considerable part of therr capital into thas emplonmont, they would retard, instead of accelirating, the further increave in the alue of thers minual produce, and would obstruct, instead of promoting. the progitss of their country towards rat wealth and greatness This would be still more the case, were they to attempt, in the same manner, to monopolise to themselves thcir whole exportatuou trade.

The course of human properity, indued. seems scaree ever to have been of so long contmuance as to enable any great country to acquire capital suffictent for all those three purposes; unless, perhaps, we give credt to the wonderful accounts of the wealth and cultivation of China, of those of ancient Egs ph, and of the abcient state of Indoutan. Esen those three countries, the wealthost, according to all accounts, that ever a ere in the worid, are chatly renowned for their superiority in agriculture and manufactures. They do not appear to have been eminent for toregn trade. The anchent Lgyptains had a superstitious antpatiy to the sea. a supertation notarly of the same hud preaals, amerg the ladians, nad the

Chinese have never cxcelled in foreign commerce. The greater part of the surplus produce of all those three countries seems to have been always exported by foreigners, who gave in exchange for it something else for which they found a demand there, frequently gold and silver.

It is thus that the same capital will in any country put into motion a greater or smaller quantity of productive labour, and add a greater or smaller value to the anuual produce of its land and labour, nccording to the different proportions in which it is employed in agriculture, manufactures, and wholesale trade. The difference, too, is very great, according to the different sorts of wholesale trade in which any part of it is employed.

All wholesale trade, all buying in order to sell again by wholesale, may be reduced to three different sorts: the home trade, the foreign trade of consumption, and the carrying trade. The home trade is employed in purchasing in one part of the same country, and selling in another, the produce of the industry of that country. It comprehends both the inland and the coasting tranle. The foreign trade of consumption is employed in purchasing foreign goods for holne consumption. The carrying trade is employed in transacting the commerce of foreign countries, or tu carrying the surplus produte of one $t o$ another.

The capital which is employed in purchasing in one part of the country, $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}^{t}}$ order to sell in another the produce of the jondustry of that country, generally replaces, oy every such operation, two distinct capitals, that $h$ d both been employed in the afgriculture or manufactures of that country, and thereby enables them to continue that employment. When it sends out from the residence of the merchant a certain value of commodities, it generally brings back in return it least an equal value of other commodities. When both are the produce of domestic industry, it necessarily replaces, by every such oper-

[^51]ation, two distinct captasls, which had both been employed in supporting productive labour, and thereby enables them to continue that support. The capital which sends Scotch manufactures to London, and Grincs back English corn and manufactures to Edinburgh, necessarily replaces, by every such operation, two Britsh capitals, which had buth been employed in the agriculture or manufactures of Great Dritain.
The capital employed in purchasing forcign goods for home consumption, when this purchase is made with the produce of domestic industry, replaces too, by every such operation, two distinct capitals; but one of them only is employed in supporting domestic industry. The capital which seuds Britush goods to Portugal, and brings back Portuguese goods to Great Britain, replaces, by every such operation, only one British capital. The other is a Portuguese one. Though the returns, therefore, of the foreign trade of consumption should be as quick us those of the home trade, the capital employed in it will give but one half of the encouragement to the industry or productive labour of the country. ${ }^{1}$

But the returns of the foreign trade of consumption are very seldom so quick as those of the home trade. The returns of the home trade generally come in before the end of the year, and sometimes three or four times in the year. The returns of the foreign trade of consumption seldom conse in before the end of the year, and sometimes not till after two or three years. A capital, therefore, employed in the home trade, will sometimes make twelve operations, or be sent out and returned thelse times, before a capital employed in the foreign trade of consumption has male one. If the capitals are equal, thercfore, the one will give four-and-twenty tumes more encouragement and support to the industry of the country than the other. ${ }^{2}$

The foreign goods for home consumption
courge between Scotland and Londm will Imrediately cease, and the home trade of consumptifil will be changed for a forelga trade of consumption It is obvious, however, that this change would not occasion any embarrasament, or be a creana of throwing a gingle individual out of employ: ment. On the contrary, it is plain thut a fresh stimulus would be given to the manufactures both of Scotland and the metropolis, inæmurh as nothing but their being able to dispose of their prodire to greater advantuge, would have made the merchants change the home for a foreign market. The fact in, that when a home trade is changed for a foreign trade", an additional capital belonging to the narion whh which it is carried on enters into it ; but there is no, diminution whatever of tise captcal or Industry of the nation which hat made the change; both the one and the other being employed uader more adrantag acill creumstancres, and with greater effect. bee Fik drdo': Principles of Potucal Leonomy and 7 azation, 3 d ed p. 419.

2 This is altogether erroneoun If the retirns its the capical emplayed to the forenom trade of cont sumption be at fonger distances than the returus the the captal pmplosed in the bume traie, this with
may eometines be prurchamed, not with the poduce of domestic malustry, but with some other foreigit goods. These last, however, mont have been purchased eithar immedaately with the produce of domeentic industry, or whin somatiang else that had been purchaned with it; for, the case of war and conpuent excepted, firching koods an never be acyured but in evchange for somethang that had been jriduced at houn, either mmedsately or aftur two or more different exchanges. The eflects, therefore, of a cupital employed in such a roundabout foreign tinte of consumpition, are, in every respect, the same as those of one employed in the mont diruet trade of the saine kind, exeept that the final roturns are lihely to be still mone distanf. as thay must dipend upon the rethins of two ur tiree distanct foreign trades. If the flax and hemp of Riga are purchaned with the tobacco of Virginia, whili had been purchased with liritesh mamulatures, the merchant must wat for the riturna of two distinct foreign trades, before te can employ the samo capntal in repurchasug a hike quantity of British mamulicthas If the tubacco of Virginia had been purchased, not with British manutactures, Het with the surar and rum of Jamaica which liad been purchased with those manufuctures, he must wat for the returns of thee. If those two or three distmet foreign tiade s should happen to be carried on by two or three distinct merchants, of whom the sacond biys the goods amported by the first, and the third buyg thone imported by the serond, in order to export them agan, ench merdant indeed will, in this case, receise the retur of of his own capital more quichly ; but the final returns of the whole cajutal cmployed in the trade will be just as slow as ever. Whether the whole capital employed in such a roundabont trade belong to one merchant or to three, can make no difference with regard to the country, though it may with regaid to the particular nerchatis. 'Ihree times a gicater capital must in buth cases be emploved, in wruer to exchagge a certain value of Jritish manufactures for a certain yuantity of flax and hemp, tian would have been necessary, had the manulactures and the fax and hemp been directly exchanged for one another. The wholu capital employed, therefore, in such a roundubuit foreug trade of consamption, will peurally give leas encouragement and suphoit to the productiva labour of the country, than an equal capital employed in a urore direct tude of the ame kind.

Whatever be the fureign conumodity with whal the foreign goods for home consumpton are purchased, it can occomon ho esarit-
tial difference, wher in the nature of the trate, or in the encouragenment and support which tt ean give to the productive labour of the country from whilh it is carried on. If they are purchased will the gold of Brazil, for example, or $w$ ith the silver of I'eru. this gold and silver, like the toliacco of Virginia, must have been purchused a ith something that elther was the produce of the industry of the country, or that had been purchased with something else that was su. So far, therefore, as the productive labour of the country is concerned, the foreign trade of consumption which is carried on by means of gold and silver, has all the advantages and all the inconveniencies of any other equally roundabout forengn trade of convumption; and will replace just as fast or just as sluw, the capital which in mmediately employed in supporting that productive labour. It scems even to have one advantage over any other equally roundabout foreign trade. The transportation of those metals from one place to another, on account of their small bulk and great value, is less expenbive than that of almost any other foreign goods of equal value. Therr freight is much less, and their insurance not greater; and no good., besides, are less liable to suffer by the carriage. An equal quantity of foreggn goods, thereffre, may frequently be purchased with a smaller quantity of the proluce of domestie in lustry, by the intervention of gold and silver, than by that of any other forugn goods. The demand of the country may frequenily, in this manner, be supplied more completely and at a smaller expense than in any other. Whether, by the continual exportation of those metals, a trade of this hind, is likely to impoverish the country from which it is carraed on, in any other way, I shall have occasion to examine at great length hereater.

That part of the capital of any country which is employed in the carrying trade, te altugether withdrawn from supporting the productive labour of that particular country, to support that of some foreign countrits Though it may replace, by every operation, two distinct capitals, yet nether of them belongs to that particular country. The capital of the Duteh merchant, whech carries the corn of Poland to Portugal, and brings back the fruits and wines of Portugal to Poland, replaces by every such operation two capitals, nether of wheh had been employed in supporting the productive labour of Hol land; but one of them in supporting that of Poland, and the sther that of l'ortued The profits only return regularly to llollams. and constitute the whole addition which thit trade necessarily makes to the annaid jrom
auce of the land and labour of that country. 1 When, indeed, the carrying trade of any parncular country is carried on with the ships and sailors of that country, that part of the captar employed in it which pays the freight is distributed among, and puts into motion, a ceitain number of productive labourers of that country. Almost all nations that have had any considerable share of the carrying trade have, in fact, carried it on in this manner. The trade itself has probably derived its name from it, the people of such countries being the carriers to other countries. It does not, however, seem essential to the nature of the trade that it should be so. A Dutch merchant may, for example, employ lus capital in transacting the commerce of l'oland and Portugal, by carrying part of the surplus produce of the one to the other, not in Dutch, but in British bottems. It may be presumed, that he actually does so upon some particular occasions, It is upon this account, however, that the carrying trade has been supposed peculiarly advantageous to such a country as Great Britain, of which the defence and security depend upon the number of its sailors and shipping. But the same capital may employ as many sailors and shipping, either in the fureign trade of consumption, or even in the home trade, when carried on by coasting vessels; as it could in the carrying trade. The nlimber of sailors and shipping which any paryncular capital can employ, does not depend ypon the nature of the trade, but partly upon the bulk of the goods in proportion to their value, and partly upon the distance of the ports between which they are to be carried chiefly upon the former of those two circurnstances, The coal trade from Newcastle to London, for example, employs more shipping than all the carrying trade of England, though the ports are at no great distance. To force, therefore, by extraordinary encouragements, a larger share of the capital of any country into the carrying trade than what would naturally go to it, will not always necessarity increase the shipping of that country.

The capital, therefore, employed in the home trade of any country will generally give encouragement and support to a greater quantity of productive labour in that country, and increase the value of its annual produce more than an equal capital employed in the foreign trade of consumption: and the capital employed in this latter trade has, in both these respects, a still greater advantage over an equal capital employed in the carrying trado. The riches, and, so far as power depends upon riches, the power of every country must always be in proportion

[^52]to the value of its annual produce, the fund from which all taxes inust ultmately le paid. Hut the great object of the political economy of every country, is to increase the riches and power of that country. It oughts therefore, to give no preference nor superior encouragement to the foreign trade of consumption above the home trade, nor to the carrying trade above ether of the other two. It ought neither to force nor to allure into either of those two channels a greater share of the capital of the country, than what would naturally flow into them of its own accord.

Each of those different branches of trale, however, is not only advantageous, but necessary and unavoidable, when the course of things, without any constraint or violence, naturally introduces it.

When the produce of any particular branch of industry exceeds what the demand of the country requires, the surplus must be sent abroad, and exchanged for something for which there is a demand at homeWithout such exportation, a part of the productive labour of the country must cease, and the value of its annual produce diministi. The land and labour of Great Britain produce generally more corn, woolliens, and hardware, than the demand of the home market requires. The surplus part of them, therefore, must be sent abroad, and exchanged for something for which there is a demand at home. It is only by mears of such exportation, that this surplus can acquire a value sufficient to compensate the labour and expense of producing it. The neighbourhood of the sea-coast, and the banks of all navigable rivers, are advantageous situations for industry, only becauce they facilitate the exportation and erchange of such surplus produce for something else which is more in demand there.

When the foreign goods which are thus purchased with the surplus produce of domestic industry exceed the demand of the home market, the surplus part of them must be sent abroad again, and exchanged fur something more in demand at home. About ninety-sir thousand hogsheads of tubaceo are annually purchased in Virginia and Maryland with a part of the surplus produce of British industry. But the demand of Great Britain does not require, perhaps, more than fourteen thousand. If the remaining eightytwo thousand, therefore, could not be sent abroad, and exchanged for something more in demand at home, the importation of them must cease immediately, and with it the productive labour of all those inhabitants of Great Britain who are at present employed
it if, as already abserved, by the amonnt of such oett proftr, that the real adrantuge of the engloynucat a to be estunated.
in preparing the gronds with which these elphtv-two thousand hog heads are annually purchased. 'I hose goods, which are part of the prodnce of the land and labour of Great Butatls, having no market at home, and bing deprived of that which they had abroad, nust cesine to bo produced. The most roundibout foreign thade of consumption, thercfore, may, upon some occasions, be as uscosary for supporting the productive lalsour of the country, and the value of its amual produce, as the most direct.

When the capital stock of any country is mustedsed to such a degree that it cannot be all employed in supply ing the consumption, and supporting the productive labour of that paiticular country, the surplus part of it naturally disgoiges itself into the carryang trade and is employed in performing the bame oftices to other countioss. The carrying trade, is the natural effect and symptom of great mutional wealih; but it dous not seem tu be the natural cause of it. Those statesmen who have been disposed to favour it with patioular encouragements, seem to have mostahen the effict and symptom for the catue Ilolland, in proportion to the extent of the land and the nomber of its inhabitants by far the richest countiy in Euroje, has accordingly the gicatent shane of the carrying trade of Europe. Enghand, perhaps the second richest country of Europe, is likewise supposed to have a considerable share ${ }^{-}$in it; though what commonly passes for the carying trute of Lugland will fiequently, perthips, be found to be no more than a roundabout toreign trade of consumption. Such are, in a great measure, the trades a hich carry the goods of the East and West Indies and of America to different European makets Those goods are generally purchased either immedately with the produce of lintish industry, or with something else which had been purchased with that produce, and the final returns of those trades are generully uned or consumed in Great Britain. The trade which is carried on in Britsh bottoms butween the different ports of the Mediterranean, and some trade of the same hind carried on by British merchants bet ween the ditferent ports of Indıa, make, perhups, the pracipal branches of what is properly the carry 10 g trade of Great Britain.

The extent of the home trade, and of the capital which can be employed in it, is necesarily limited by the value of the surplus produce of all those distant places whthen the country wheh have occasion to excbunge thcir respectave productions with one another: that of the foreign trade of consumption, by the value of the surplus produce of the whole country, and of what can be purchased with it; that of the carrying tade, by the salue of the surplun broduce of all the different countries in the
world. Its possible extent, therefure, is in a manner infinte in comparison of that of the other two, and is capuble of absorbing the greatest capitals.

The consideration of his own private profit is the sole motive which determines the owner of any capital to employ it eutrer in agriculture, in manufactures, or in some particular branch of the wholesale or retal trade. The diflerent quantities of productive Jabour which it may put into motion, and the differert values whinch it may add to the annual produce of the land and labour of the society, according as it is employed in one or other of those different ways, never enter into his thoughts. In countries, thercfore, where agriculture is the most profitable of all employments, and farming and improving the most direct roads to a splendid fortune, the capitals of individuals will naturally be employed in the manner most advantageous to the whole society. The profits of agriculture, however, seem to have no superiuraty over those of other employ ments in any part of Europe. Projectors, indecd, in every corner of it, have, within these few years, amusert the public with most magnificent accounts of the profits to be made by the cultiv: tion and improvement of land. Without efitering into any particular discussion of theit calculations, a very simple observation may satisfy us that the result of them must be ' 'se. We see, every day, the most splet dıd fortunes that have been acquired in the $c$ ourse of a single life by trade and manufactures, fiequently from a very small capital, sometimes from no capital. A sangle instance of such a fortune acquired by agriculture in the same time, and from such a capital, has not, perhaps, occurred in Europe during the course of the present century. In all the great countries of Europe, however, much good land still remams uncultivated; and the greater part of what is cultivated is far from being improved to the degree of which it is capable. Agriculture, theretore, is almost everywhere capable of absorbing a much greater capital than has ever yet been employed in it. What circumstances in the policy of Europe have given the trades which are carried on in towns so great an advantage over that which is carried on in the country that private persons frequently find it more for their advantage to employ their capital in the most distant carrying trades of As and America, than in the umprovement and cultivation of the most fertule fields in theis own neighbourhood, 1 shall endeavour th explain at full length in the two following broks.

## BOOK III.

of THE DIFERMENT PROGRESS OF OPULENCE IN DIPPERENT NATIONS.

## CHAP I.

## Of the natural Progress of Opulence.

$1 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{HE}}$ great commerce of every civilised society is that carried on between the inhabitants of the town and those of the country. It consists in the exchange of rude for manufactured produce, either immedately or by the intervention of money, or of some sort of paper which represents money. The country supplies the town with the means of subsistence and the materials $f$ manufacture. The town repays this supply by sending frack a part of the manufactured produce to the inhabitants of the country. The town, in which there neither is nor can be any reproduction of substances, may very troperly be said to gain its whole wealth and subsist, ence from the country. We must not, - however, upon this account imagine that the , gain of the town is the loss of the coduntry. 'The gains of both are mutual and reciprocal, and the division of labour is in this as in all other cases advantageous to all the dificrent persons employed in the various occup tions into which it is subdivided. The infabitants of the country purchase of the lown a greater quantity of manufactured goods withthe produce of a much smaller quantity of their own labour than they must have employed had they attempted to prepare them themselves. The town affords a market for the surplus produce of the country, or what fis over and above the maintenance of the cultivators; and it is there that the inhabitunts of the country exchange it for something else which is in demand among them. The greater the number and revenue of the , inhabitants of the fown, the more exte sive ' is the market which it affords to those of the country; and the more extensive that | market, it is always the more advantageous $t$ to a great number. The corn which grows within a mile of the town, sells there for the same price with that which comes from twenty miles distance. But the price of the latter must, generally, not only pay the expense of raising it and bringing it to market, but afford, too, the ordinary profits of agriculture to the farmer. The proprietors and cultivators of the country, thercfure, which lies in the neighbourhood of the town, over and above the ordinary profits of agriculture, gain, in the price of what they sell, the whole value of the carruge of the like produce that is brought from more distant parts; and they save
besides, the whole value of this caniage is the price of what they buy. Compare the ' cultivation of the lands in the neighbourhood of any considerable town with that of thuse which he at some distance from it, and yons will easily satisfy yourself how much the country is benefited by the commeree of the town. Among all the absurd speculations that have been propagated concerning the balance of trade, it has never been pretended that either the country lows by its commerce with the town, or the town by that with the country which mantains it.
2 As subsistence is, in the nature of things, prior to conveniency and luxury, so the industry which procures the former must necessarily be prior to that which ministers to the Iatter. The cultivation and improve-. ment of the country, therefore, which affords subsistence, must necessarily be prior to the increase of the town, which furnishess only the means of conveniency and luxury., It is the surplas produce of the country only, or what is over and above the maintenance of the cultivators, that constitutes the subsistence of the town, which can, therefore, increase only with the increase of this surplus produce. The town, indeed, may not always derive its whole subsistence from the country in its neighbourhood, or cren from the territory to which it belongs, but from very distant countries; and this, though it forms no exception from the general rule, has occasioned considerable variations in the progress of opulence in different ages and nations.
3 That order of things which necessity imposes in general, though not in every particular country, is in every particular country promoted by the natural inclinations of man. If human institutions had never thwarted those natural inclinations, the towns could nowhere have increased beyond what thic improvement and cultivation of the territory in which they were situabed could support; till such time, at least, as the whole of that territory was completely cultivated and improved. Upon equal, or nearly equal, profits, most men will choose to employ their capitals rather in the improvernent and cultivation of land, than either in manufactures or in foreign trade The man who employs his capital in land has it more under his view and command, and his fortune is much less liable to accidents than that of the trader, who is obliged frequently to commit it, not only to the winds and the waves, but to the more uncertan elements of human folly and injustice, by giving great credits, in distant countries, to men with whose character and situation he can seldom be thoroughly acyuainted. The capital of the landlord, on the contrary, which is fixed in the improvesient of lio land. seenis to be

as well secured as the mature of human alfiurs can admit of. The beauty of the cometry, feades the pieasures of a country hite, the trangullity of mind whath te premasts, and, nlitever the mifustice of buman haws does not disturb it, the indelendency which it really aflords, have charms that more or less attract every body, and as to culivate the ground was the origual desthation of mata, so in every stage of his eastence he secms to retain a predulection for this primitive employment.
4 Without the assastance of some artificers, nidud, the cultivation of land cannot be corred on but with great inconventency and continual iuterruption. Smiths, carpenters, wheelwrights and ploughwrights, masons and bruhlayera, tanners, shoemakers, and talors, are people whose service the farmer has frequent occasion for. Such arthicers, tro, stand occasionally in need of the asmintane of one another; and as ther readdence is not, like that of the farmer, necessardy tied down to a precise spot, they nalurally settle in the neighbourhood of one anoller, and thus form a small town or whuse. The butcher, the brewer, and the baker soon join them, together with many other artificers and retalers, necessary or uselal for supplymg their occasional wants, and who courribute ,till further to augment the town. The inhabitants of the town, and those of the country, are nutually the scruants of one another. The town is a contmual fiir or market, to which the inhabitants of the country resort, in order to exchange their rude for manufactured produce. It is this commerce which supplies the inbubitants of the town both wub the materiuls of ther work and the mans of therr subvistence. The quantuty of the fimshed work which they sell to the inhabutants of the country necessundy regulates the quantity of the materials and provistons which they buy. Nether their employment nor subsistence, therefore, can augment, but in proportion to the augmentation of the demand from the country for finished work; and this demand can augraent only in proportion to the extension of improvement and cultration. Had human institutions, therefore, never disturbed the natural course of things, the progressive wealth and incrase of the towns would, in every pohtual society, be consequenthal, and in proportion to the impromement and cultivation of the turitory ur country.
5 In our North Anmerican colonies, where uncultsated land is still to be had upon ensy termes no manufactures for distant sale have ever yet been established in any of them towns. When an artificer has acquired a hutele more stock than is nexessary for carrymg on his own bunaress in supplymg the nerghboume countio, he does not in North

Ancrica attempt to establash with it a ma nufacture for more ditant sde but emphere it in the purchase and improsement of unculusated land From artificer he becomes planter; and nethar the large wages nor the easy subustcnce which that counrrv affords to artificers, can bribe him rather to work for other people than for hamelf He feels that an artificer is the servant of his customers, from whom be derres his subsistence, but that a planter who culuratea his own land, and derives his neeessary sub. sistence from the labour of hos own fandy, is really a master, and independent of all the world.
6 In countries, on the contrary, where there is ether no uncultivated land, or none that can be had upon casy terms, every a-thicer who has acquired more stock than be can employ in the accasional jobs of the neughbourhood, endeavours to prepare woik for more distant sale The smith erects wome sort of tron, the weaver some surt of lmen or woollen manufactory Those different manufactures come, in process of tume, to be gradually suldivided, and thereby mm proved and refined in a great vartety of ways which may easily be conceived, and which it is therefore unnecessary to explain any further.
7 In seehing for employment to a captual, manutactures are, upon equal or nearly equal profies, naturally preferred to foremn commeres, for the same reason that agriculture is naturally preferred to manufactures. As the capital of the landlord or farmer is more secure than that of the manufacturer, so the capital of the manufacturer, being at all times more withon his view and command, is nowe secure than that of the fureign nerclinnt. In every period, indeed, of every soclety, the surplus part both of the rude and manufactured produce, or that for which there is no demand at home, must be sent abroad, in order to be exchanged fur something for which there is some demand at home. But whether the capital which carries thas ${ }^{*}$ surplus produce aliroad be a fureign or a domestic one, is of very little importance. If the society has not acquired sufficient capital, both to cultrate all its lands, and to manufacture in the completest manner the whole of its rude produce, there is even a considerable advantage that that rude produce should be exported by a foreign captai, in order that the whole stock of the socecty may be employed in more useful purposes. The wealth of ancient Egypt, that of China and Indostan, sufficiently demonstrate that a nation may attan a very high degree of opulence, though the greater part of its exportation trade be carried on by foremgers The progress of our Nurth American and West Indian colones would have been much less rapud, had no captal but what belonged
to themselves been employed in exporting their surplus produce.
8 According to the natural course of thinga, Uerefore, the greater part of the capital of , esery growing society is first directed to agriculture, afterwards to manufactures, and last of all to foreign commerce. This order of things is so very natural, that in every society that had any territo $y$, it has always, I believe, been in some degree observed. Some of their lands must have been cultivated before any considerable towns could be established, and some sort of coarse industry of the manufacturng kind must have been carried on in those towns before they could well think of employing themselves in foreign commerce.
9 But though this natural order of things mnst have taken place in sorae degree in 'every such socicty, it has, in all the modern states of Europe, becu in many respects entirely inverted. The foreign commerce of some of their cities has introduced all their finer manufactures, or such as were fit for distant sale ; and manufactures and foreign comunerce together have given birth to the principal improvements of agriculture. The manners and customs which the nature of their original government introduced, and which remained after that government was greatly altered, necessarily forced them into this unnatural and retrograde order.

CHAP. II.
Of the Discouragement of Agriculture in the ancient State of Europe, after the Fall of the Roman Empire.
When the German and Scythian nations overran the westeri'provinces of the Roman empire, the confusions which followed so great a revolution lasted for several centuries. The rapine and violence which the barbarians - exercised against the ancient inhabitaints, in.terrupted the commerce between the towns s and the country. The towns were deserted, and the country was left uncultivated; and the western provinces of Europe, which had enjoyed a considerable degree of opulence under the Roman empire, sunk into the lowest state of poverty and barbarism. Durling the continuance of those confusions, the chiefs and principal leaders of those nations acquired or usurped to themselves the greater part of the lands of those countries. A great part of them was uncultivated; but no part of them, whether cultivated or uncultivated, was left without a proprietor. All of then were engrossed, and the greater part by a few great proprietors.
2 This original engrossing of uncultivated lands, though a great, might have been but a transitory evil. They might soon have
been divided again, and broke into small parcels, either by succersion or by alienation. The law of primogeniture hindered them from being divided by succession: the itstroduction of entails prevented their bertg broke into small parcels by alicuation.
3 When land, like moveables, is considered : as the means only of subsistence and enjoyment, the natural law of succession divides it, like them, among all the chuldren of the: family; of all of whom the subsistence and enjoyment may be supposed equally dear to the father. This natural law of succeason, accordingly, took place among the Romans, who made no more distinction between elder and younger, between male and female, in the inheritance of lands, than $w=$ do in the distribution of moveables. But when land was considered as the means, not of subsistence merely, but of power and protection, it was thought better that it should descend undi-: vided to one. In those disorderly times, every great landlord was a sort of prity. prince. His tenants were his subjects. He was their judge, and in some respects thenr legislator in peace and their leader in war. He made war according to his own discretion, frequently against his neighbours, and sometimes against his sovereign. The securty of a landed estate, thercfore, the protection which its owner could afford to those who dwelt on it, depended upon its greatness. To divide it was to ruin it, and to expone every part of it to be oppressed and swallowed up by the incursions of its neighbours. The law of primogeniture, therefore, came to take place, not immediately indeed, but in process of time, in the succession of landed estates, for the same reason that it has generally taken place in that of monarchies, though not always at their first institution. That the power, and consequently the security of the monarghy, may not be weakened by division, it must descend entire to one of the children. To which of them so important a preference shall be given, must be determined by some general fule, founded not upon the doubtful distinctions of personal merit, but upon some plain and evident difference which can admit of no disputc. Among the chuldren of the same family there can be no indisputable difference but that of sex, and that of age. The male sex is universally preferred to the female; and when all other things are equal, the elder. every where takes place of the younger.t Hence the origin of the right of primogenture, and of what is called Ineal succession. 4 Laws frequently continue in force long after the circumstances which first gave occasion to thera, and whach could alone render them reasonable, are no more. In the present state of Europe, the proprietor of a single acre of land is as perfectly secure in his possession as the proprietor of a hundred
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thousand The right of pronogeniture, buwever, still continues to be respected; and as of all untitutions it is the fittest to support the prode of family disinctions, it is stall lihely to endure for many eenturies. In every other respect, nothing ian be more contrary to the real interent of a numerous linmily, than a right which, in order to enrich one, beggars all the rest of the chaldren.
5 Lintarls are the natural consequences of the law of primogemiture. They were introduced to presurve a certain lineal successton, of which the law of promogensture first guve the idea, and to linder any part of the ori inal estate from being carried out of the propowed line, either by gift or devise, or altenation; enther by the folly or by the misfortune of any of its succossive owners. They were altogether unknown to the Llomans. Neither their substitutions nor fideicommisses bear any resemblanee to entals, though some French lawyers have thought proper to dress the modern instatution in the language and garb of those ancient ones.
6 When great landed extates were a sort of prunctpalities, entails nuight not be unreasonable. Iike what are called the fundamental laws of some monarchues, they might frefinently binder the recurity of thousands from leung endangered by the caprice or uvtravagance of one man. But in the preseut state of Europe, when small as well as giedt ivtates dewe their sccurity from the lawy of their country, nothing can be more completely absurd, 'Ihey are founded upon the most absurd of all suppositions, the suppevition that every successive gencration of nun have not an equal right to the earth, and to all that it possesses, but that the property of the present generation should We rustrained and regulated according to the fancy of those who dicd, perhaps, tive hundred years ago. Entals, however, are still respected through the greater part of Europe, in those conntries particularly in which noble birthis a necessary qualification for the enjovment either of covil or miliary honours. fintals are thought necessary for mantainung thw exclusive privilege of the nobulity to the great ufficers and honours of ther cuuntry, and that order havang usurped one uriust advantage over the rest of their follow citizens, lest their poverty should render it ridiculous, it is thought reasonable that they should have another. The common law of England, indeed, is sand to abhor perpetuttes, and they are accordingly more revtricted there than in any other European monarchs; though even England is not alWegether without them. In Scotland, more

[^53]than one tifth, perhaps more than one third, part of the whole lands of the country, are at prement supposed to be under ntrict entall. 1 $7^{\top}$ Great tracts of uncultwated land were in this manner not only engrosed by partucu. lar famulies, but the possibulity of the br being druded again was as much as possuble precluded for ever It seldom happens, however, that a great proprietor is a great improver. In the disorderly times which gave Lirth to those barbarous institutions, the great proprietor was suficiently employed ndefunding his own ternionics, or in extending his jurisdiction and authority over those of his neighbours. He had no leisure to attend to the cultivation and improvement of land. When . the establishment of law and order aiforded him this leisure, be often wanted the inclin. ation, and almost always the requisite abuhties. If the expense of his house and person either equalled or exceeded his revenue, as it did very frequently, he had no stock to employ in this manner If he was an economist, he generally found it more profitable to employ his annual savinge m new purchases than in the improvement of his old estate. To improve land with protit, hke all', other commercial projects, requires an exact attention to small savings,and small game, of which a man born to a great furtune, even, though naturally frugal, is very seldom capable. The situation of such a persin naturally disposes him to attend rather to ornament, which pleases his fancy, than to, profit, for which he has so little occasion. The elegance of his dress, of his equipage, of his house and household furmiture, are objects which, from his infancy, the has been accustomed to have some anxiety alout The turn of mind which this habit naturally forms, follows him when he comes to think of the improvement of land. He embellishes, perbaps, four or five hundred acres in the neighbourhood of his house, at ten times the expense which the land is worth after all his improvements, and finds that if he was to improve his whole estate in the same uranner, and lie has little taste for any other, he would be a bankrupt before he had finshed the tenth part of it. There still reman, in both parts of the Cnited Kingdom, some great estates which have continued, without interruption, in the hands of the same famply since the times of fundal anarchy. Compare the present condition of those estates with the possessions of the small propritors in their neighbourhood, and you will require : no other argument to conv unce you how unfavourable such extensive property is to improvement.
8 If little improvement was to be expectude
or the greater portion of the landed proneerty helunging tos a famms to the ehtrot son ve kave the re alou cintered preter litly mis the questums widb rexberi to entails, what the sy cen of equad divistua

: fiom such great proprictors, still less was to the hoped fur from those who occupied the 'land under them. In the ancient state of
Europe, the occupters of land were all tebants at will. They were sall, or almost ' all, slaves; but their slavery was of a milder kind than that known among the ancient Greeks and Romans, or even in our West

- Indian colonias. They were supposed to belong more directly to the land than to their master. They could, therefore, be sold with it, but not separately. They could marry, provided it was with the consent of their master; and he could not afterwards dissolve the marriage by selling the man and whfe to different persons. If he maimed or murdered any of them, he was liable to some penalty, though generally but to a small one. They were not, however, capable of
) acquiring property. Whatever they ac-
quired was acquired to their master, and he , could take it from them at pleasure. Whatever cultivation and improvement could be carried on by means of such slaves, was properly carried on by their master. It was at his expense. The seed, the cattle, and the int truments of husbandry, were all his. It was for his benefit. Such slaves could acquire nothing but their daily maintenance. It was properly the proprietor himself, therefore, that in this case occupied his own lands, and cultivated them by his own bondmen. This species of slavery still subsists in Russia, Poland, IIungary, Bohemia, Moravia, and other parts of Germany. It is only I in the western and south-western provinces of Europe, that it has gradually been abo; lished altogether. ${ }^{1}$
9 But if great improvements are seldom to ; be expected from great proprietors, they are least of all to be expected when they employ slaves for their workmen. The experience of all ages and nations, I believe, demonstrates that the work done by slaves, though it appears to cost only their maintenance, is in the end the dearest of any. A person

[^54]Who can acquire no property, can have no other interest but to cat as much and to. labour as little as possible. Whatever work. he does beyond what is sufficient to purchase his own maintenance, can be squeezed out of him by violence only, and not by any interest of his own. In ancient Italy, how much the cultivation of corn degenerated, how unprofitable it became to the master when it fell under the management of slaves, is remarked by both Pliny and Columella. In the time of Aristotle it had not been much better in ancient Greece. Speaking of the ideal repuklic described in the laws of Plato, to maintain five thousand idle men (the number of warriors supposed necessary for its defunce) together with their women and servants, would require, he says, a territory of boundless extent and fertility, like the plains of Babylon.
10 The pride of man makes him love to: domineer, and nothing mortifies him so much as to be obliged to condescend to persunde his inferiors. Wherever the law allows it, and the nature of the work can afford it, there-, fore, he will generally prefer the service of. slaves to that of freemen. The planting of sugar and tobacco can afford the expense of slave cultivation. The raising of corn, it seems, in the present times cannot. In the English colonies, of which the principal produce is corn, the far greater part of the work is done by freemen. The late rescolution of the Quakers in Penngyivana, to sct at liberty all their negro slaves, may matisfy us that their number cannot be very greal Had they made any considerable part of their property, such a resolution could never have been agreed to. In our sugar colonies, on the contrary, the whole work in done by slaves, and in our tobacco colonies a very great part of it. The profits of a sugar ' plantation in any of our West Indian colonies are generally much greater than those of any other cultivation that is known either in Europe or America 2: and the profits of a
no individual should henceforth be bound to a colHery or saltwork, otherwieg thin as a commion aryvant or labourer. But thw act did not effer the object in view: for by clogaing the emancipetion of the colliers, \&ce, with variaty of burdensomie corlditions, it rendered the greater number umable to ditions, it rendered the greater number unabie co
avall themselves of its enactments in their favour; avail themselves of its enactments in thes favour:
and those that were emancipated, havin become Indebted to their masters fror sume which they cousd not pay, were obliged to enter into engagemonta to continue their service on the old footing. Thts otate of things necesearily mave rise co alarming combinmtions among the colbers, and to endires dispitss between them and their masters. At length, in $17{ }^{\prime} p$. the colliers were eompletety emanapiatois by brinis placed at once and without any condition, on the bame footing as other labourprs Frith prspact to the ir personal freedorn dind indesmadence. I he stante hy which this act of tardy) fustive was effected ( 34 (ipor IIL. cap. ik.) in ordar to preveut its objert from being derfeated, wok from the twanters all titte to pirsue the colliers for ams of money advanced to them in loan, uniess they had bern asivaiced for the sppport of the enlier of has lamily durning sucharest
sppprt of the enlier or
see mote, anto, $\%$ j2.
torisucto plantation, though mferior to thase of sugar, are sumerior to tionse of torn, as has alrody been observed Both can athord the cxpoline of slave caltivation, but sugar can aford a still better than tobacio The numbir uf tugeross accordingly is much grenter, 111 propurtusts to that of whitea, wour sugar thin in our tohen co culonics.
If L'o the slave cultivators of ancient times, grabuily suceeded a spectes of farmers know $n$ at present in Frame, by the name of Mctayers They are callefin Latin, Cobus Ditritara. They have been so lung in disise in England that at present I know no Enphah thane for them. The proprietor furmhed then $\begin{gathered}\text { ith the seed, cattle, and instru- }\end{gathered}$ ments of husbadry, the whole stock, in hhirt, neassary for cultuatung the farm The produce was dinded equally between the propinetor and the farmer, after setting ande what was julged necessary for keepmor up the stock, whin was restored to the proprutor when the farmer etther quitted or was turned out of the farm.
12 I and occupted by such teriants is properly cultivated at the expense of the proprictors, as much as that occupted by slaves. There i, however, one very essential difference betwen them Such tenants, being freemen, are capable of acquirmg property; and havmig a certan proportion of the produce of the land, they have a plain interest that the a hole produce should be as great as possble, in order that their ow a proportion may be so. A slace, on the contertry, who can acquire nothing but his mantenance, consults his own ease, by mahing the land produce as bule as possuble over and above that mamthance. It is probable that it was partiy upon account of this advantage, and partly uron account of the oneroachments whith the sovereigne, always jealons of the great lord, gradually encouraged their whlans to mohe ugon ther authority, and wheh seen at last to have been such as rendered this spectes of servitude altogether inconvenient, that tenure in villanage grndually wore out thruugh the preater part of Lurope. The time and manner, honerer, in which so importunt a recolution was brought about, is one of the most obscure points in modern histery. The clurch of lome clams great meris 11 it , and it is certan, that so early as the tueltis century, Alexander the Third published a bull for the general emancipd thon of haves It seem, however, to have beve rather a pions exhortation than a law, to whil exact ubdience was required from the fathful. Slavery continued to take place almont tumersady for several centuries atternards, thll it was gradually abribhed by the font operation of the two interests thene menthoned, - that of the proprietor un the one band and that of the soverelen ou the other. A ،llan faffanchiwd, atel at the some the
allowed to contmue in puscesvan of the land linting no stok of his uwn, could cultarate it ouly by mans of what the lindlurd advanced to hom, und matithrefure have bean what the Fresch call a Metayer.
13 It could never, howerer, be the menent even of this last specics of culcuator, to lay: out, in the further mprovement of the land, any part of the litile vioch which they mught sale from thear onth share of the product; because the lord, who land out nothing, was to get one half of whatever it produced The tithe, which is but a tenth of the produce, is found to be a wery great boadrate to improvement. A tax, therdfore, which amounted to one half, must have been an effectual bar to it. It might he the intercit of a matayer to mahe the land produce as much as could lie brought out of it by means of the stock furnsled by the propictor, but it could never be has interest to mix any part of his own with it. In France, where five parts out of six of the whole kingtom are said to be still oscupied by thas sjecties of cultivators, the proprictors complam that their metayers take every opportunty of employing the master's cattle rather it carriste than in cultivation; because, in the one case, they get the whole profits to thimselves, in the other they share them with therr landlord. Thas peeres of tenants still subsists in some parts of Scotland. The are called steel-bow tenants. Those anctent English tenants, who are sard by Cluf Baron Gilbert and Ioctor Blachstone t., have been rather bailit's of the landlord than farmers properly so called, were probably of the same hind.
14 To this species of tenaney succeeded. though by very slow hegrees, farmere prio perly so called, who cultwated the land was their own stock, payuig a rent certinn to the landlord When such firmers have a lease for a term of years, they may sometumes find it for ther baterest to liy out part of their capital in the turtier improvernent of the farm; because they may somethons expect to recover $1 t$, with a laree proht, be. fore the expration of the lease The prossession even of such farmers, honever, "13 long extremely precarious, and stall is so in many parts of Europe. They could, before the expration of their terin, be legally onted of ther lease by a new purchaver; in England, even by the fictitious action of a com mon recovery. If they were turned out illegally by the nolence of their master, the action by which they obtained redress was extremely imperfect. It dad not niways re mstate them in the possistion of the land. but gate them damanes which never amounted to the real loss Even in England, the country perhaps of Europe where the yeoshury has alwavs been most reprected, it was nut bill about the lath of Iteary the

Seventh that the action of ejectment was invented, by which the tenant recovers, not damages only, but possession, and in whech his clam is not necessarily concluded by the uncertain decision of a sungle assize. This action has been found so effectual a remedy, that, in the modern practice, when the landlord has occasion to sue for the possession of the land, he seldom makes use of the actions which properly belong to him as landlordthe writ of right or the writ of entry-but sues in the name of his tenant, by the writ of ejectment. In England, therefore, the security of the tenant is equal to that of the 'proprietor. In England, besides, a lease for hife of forty shillings a year value is a frechold, and entitles the lessee to vote for a member of parliament; and as a great part of the yeomanry have freeholds of this kind, the whole order becomes respectable to their landlords, on account of the political consideration which this gives them. There is, I believe, nowhere in Europe, except in England, any instance of the tenant building upon the land of which he had no lease, and

1 Subjolned is a copy of the act of 1449, cap. 18. which has been truly called the Magma Charta of the Scoteh agriculturists: --"Item it is ordained, for the safetne and favour of the pur people that labouris the ground, that they, and all utherns that has taken, or ball take landes in time to come fra lordis, and has termes and zeirs thereof, that suppose the lordis sell or annally (alienate) that land or landes, the takers sall remaine with their tackes (leases) unto the lischue of their termes, quhad handes that ever thay landes come to, for siklike maill (rent) as they took them for."
${ }^{2}$ The statute referred to by Dr. Staith (10 Geo III. c. 51.), deserved a more particular notice. it enacts, that the possessor of an entalled estate may grant leases for any number of years certann not exceeding thirty-one, or for fourteen years and one existing hife; or for two existing liven, provided that ceedurg nincteen, the tearant be taken bound to execute certain improvements apecified in the act. It has been questioned, but not decided, whether a lease for nineteen years, supposing it were prohibited by the entail, and which did not attpulate for any limprovements on the part of the tenant, could be supported under this statute. The question is not free from difficulty; but an unlearned person would be inclined to think, on a fair interpretation of the statute, that such lease would be valid.

Power is also given under this act to beirs of entail to grant leases for the purpose of building for ninety-nine years, under certam conditions. And beirs of entall are also authorised to burden the estate with three-fourthe of the sums they have laid out in draining, planting, building farm-houses, \&c-, provided the aggregato amount of these sums does not exceed four years' free rental of the estate.
It has been decided by the Court of Session, and nffirmed by the House of Lords, that though there should be no restriction to ase entail upon the length of the leases which the beirs in possesgion are authorised to grant, they are not entutled, in consequence of the general prohibition of alienation, to grant such as are of extraordinary endurance. A lease for fift-seven yeara has been cancelled on this pround; and it is doubtful whether a lease for a longer period than thirty-one yeart might not be objected to on the same principle. All leases on entatled eatates, granted fin consideration of Gines (Scottice grassums), payable at the commencement of the Jecse, may be set assde by the succeeding heir: and, generally speaking, the Courts are incined to and, generahy speaking, the courts are incined to
lonk unfavournbly upon all covpnants or stipnations that have any very obvious tendency to lessen the
trusting that the homour of tis landlord would twke no advantape of so important an inprovement. Those litws and custonss, sul favourable to the yeomanry, have peroajas contributed more to the present grandeur of England, than all their bossted regolatons of commetce taken together.
15 The law which secures the longest leasen against successors of every kind, is, so far an I know, peculiar to Great 13ritain. It was introduced into Scocland so early as 1449 , by a law of James the Second. Its beneficial influence, however, has been much obstructed by entails; the heirs of entail being generslly restrained from letting leases for any long term of years, frequently for more than one year. A late act of parlament has, in this respect, somewhat slack. ened their fetter, though they are still by much too strait 2 In Scotland, Lesideq, as no leaschold gives a vote for a member of purliament, the yeomanry are upon tlits account less respectable to their landlords than in England. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
16 In other parts of Europe, after it was
entered upon in the Flew of securing ita due and proper administration.
The letting of farros by ine is, in a public point of view, most objectionathe it deprives the turnant of the capital he would otherwlee bave been able to expend on the fimprovement of the farm, and most commoniy lincapacitates bid from making any vipor. ous exertions during the currency of the lewate. bence the opposition made by the Courts to thas practice, seems not only conmistent with the regurd they are bound to entertann, solong as the ajstem of entall is supported, for the interests of the succeiding heirs, but with the most enlarged viewt of naturnad advantage. It does not indeed appear, in mo far as respects the occupancy of lands by tenants, that there are any very good grounds, sace the passink of the 10 Geo. 111 . cap sh. for muppoking that it sutiere pere ceptibly from the circumstance of ecrates bing entaled. From information derived from individualo well qualified to decide upon suth subjects, we beliere we may say, that at present iexsea on entaled estateg, in Scotland, are, peney.aliv speaking, graoted for longer terme than on moxt others; and, except where they are of immoierates length, or where they bave been entered into for the length, of where they have been entered into for the
collusive purpose of defeating the just rights of the succeeding treur of entan, they are equally valid.
${ }^{3}$ Had Dr. Smith said that the circumatance of the tenantry of Scotland but having the right to exercise the elective franchise rendered them lese " uncind to thetr landlords, there woud not, perhaph, have been much to object to in his etatement. The lie. form Act conferred the right of voting on all to mant" holding land to the amount of 5ol, a year in inotholding land to the amount of sol, a year in onchacquainted wilh the state of Siotland prevwitas to and since the passing of that act, with ans that ut hrs made the tenauts more " respeotable" in the ortrmation of them landlerds. It io certain, indext, thent ne has had the very opposite effect ; and, whaterer tray be fts operation in otber respecss, it has airusite hail, and will, there is every reason to think, eontmue to
 the tenants and of agnculture. Formeriy the liuddlords of Scothand rarely inguired as to the pobltice of this tenants: and, proveded they paid thirte rents. and managed ther lands acordior to the stupulations in their leases, thry might be of ang polituat or wht grous party they pleased. But now it is atengrthes different. The lamdiords desirous, hate uther periple, of extenaling their politicad influcticu. etudravont to of extenuling their poiticand, the suffrave of thatis
 therr essates. In furtheiance of throe abjowts thry


- Couma convenient to secure tenants borh asanme lictrs and purchawers, the terin of their weunty was sull limited to a very short pariod in France, for example, to nine years from the commencement of the hase It has in that conntry, maded, bern lately extended to twenty-seven, - a period stald tow shont to encousage the tenant to make the most important mprovements.
' The propretors of land were anctently the leginhators of every part of Europe. The laws ulatung to land, therefore, were all calenlated tor what they supposed the interest of the proprector. It was for his interest, they had innarined, that no lease granted by any of his predecessors should himber him from enjoying, durng a long cerm of years, the full value of his land Nuse and injantice are always shorthighted, and they dud not foresee how much this remuhation must obstruct improvement, and thetetr hurt, in the long run, the real miternint of the landlord.
17 Ihe farmers, too, bevides paying the rent, wete anciently, ft was supposed, bound to perfiorm a great number of services to the latidord, which were setdon exther specified I in the lease, or reculated by any precise rule, bit by the ure and want of the manor or lasrony. These survices, therefure, being alnost entirely arbitrary, subjected the tenatut to many vexations. In Scotland, the abolation of all services not precsely stipulated in the lease, bas, in the course of a tew year, very much altered for the better the condition of the geomanry of that country.
18 'he public serviees to wheh the yeomanry were bound, were not less arbitrary th .e proate whes. To make and maintona the lugh romis, a servitude whuch stull sulissts, I biluce, everywhere, though wath difterent dereses of opprestion in dittirent countries, nas not the only wne. When the king's troys, when his houschold, or his oflicers of any hund, pased through any part of the In a sutem of futimudation, and to adopt vin. dution meisures agatinst anch of there tenants da hase woted inut rary to their whakes. 1 his, howerer, "wheh the maxt prominem at the time, is the the lisat til resulting from the new state of Ththes It has alrediv lext, in numerous instances, to r hatuen in the monde of hetting lands; and there t- Hitt too bumb reavon to fear thit it will in the end whert thu as'em of giving leases for mmetern or twant gars eatent, that has been the main cause G) the womblat improvement of Soteh agraulture, If ho imo on coxtomed, so various instances, a suthlividinh in ramm, wor the mere purpose of oreating voters; ymb dicre c mont, thered, be a gucstion that, however und unembent, the conterering of the ethertare framelase an the tenducs tha bown one of the preatest blows aver et yon $k$ at the ir indepemaimie, and at the prosaver etenik at the ir indepebience, and at the prospwrity of wriciatire hor bis there any hamg ins, inturnatied i om the outhet renants, as such, are ationt the vers tave tifecrepteon of persions to whom It o frambise outght to be craberdin? $t$ try many of
 lanciord-, and the fery who are indepondent are so be whe the thrie accumulated property, aud would, in comsonituce, have bren entiticu to the trathor.

+ 

coantry, t'se yeomatry were bound to prot vide thent wh horms, carrages, and provismos, at a pries resulated by the purveyor. Great Bricant is. 1 believe, the ouly mun narchy in Eunope where the opprestion of purieyance has been entirely abolwhed. It still subust $1: 1$ France and Germanv 1
19 The puble taxes to wheh they were subsi ject, were as iiregular and oppressue as the mervicer. The ancient lord, though extrewely unwalling to grant, themselves, any pecunidry aid to thear sovereign, eastly a!lowed hin to tallage, as they called it, thuir tenants, and had not knowledge enoughto foresee how much this must, in the end, affect their own revenue. The taille, as it stall subvists in France, may surve as an example of those ancient talliges It is a tax upon the supposed profits of the farmir, which they estumate by the stock that he has upon the fasm. It is has muterest, therefor, to appear to have as little as possible, and consequently to employ as little as pos soble in its cultivation, and none in it, im. provement. Should any stock happen to accumulate in the hands of a Frenel firmer, the taille is almost equal to a prohibition of its ever beang employed upon the lani. Thas tax, besides, is supposed to dishonour whoever is subject to $t$, and to degrade him below, not only the rank of a gentleman, but that of a burgher; and whoever rents the lands of another becomes subject to it. No gentleman, nor even any burgher who has stock, will submit to this degradation This tax, therefore, not only hinders the stock which accumulates upon the land trom being employed in its improvement, but drives away all othcr stock from it. The ancient tenths and fifteenths, so usual in Eugland in former tumes, seem, so far as they affected the land, to have been taxes of the same nature wath the talle. ${ }^{2}$
zoUnder all these discouragements, litte improvement could the expected from the occuphers of land. That order of perple,
had it becn ennfirrid, as ft should hare bern o those onls who possessed a certatn amount of dndepeodicnt property If that be the betet sistern of teinutg that brangs the greatert namber of intependent elet turs to etie poll, and keerps bask the greatest number of those that are dopendent, the pivitg of the Iraurhise to the tenanta and occupuers of linal naus be alowit the very worst ssistm. for they are, of all chasex. that which is most dut poodent and most at the mercy of others.

It would be easy to corroborite whit has now been stated by refirences to the thistony the tretimg of land in I ngland and Ireland, in both of whis, tant particularls in tho latter, the conterring of the fant hise on tenaits has been nubt hulirious to furrinutite and to the public inlerexts fint flut cir-
 mode rately well-hiformed remtiers, and the turther mode rately wedn-ibtormed ratiers, and the turther
distibsion of the subiect mipht not be consulered disctistion of the bubiect minht not
sutt itie to a work of thas di scriftion

1 In liznte ath thise terthal privileges were abolfshed at the fievolution

* The talle was abolished at the Revolettion, tbe
 all lunds quthous disturet moty

with all the hberty and ceenrity which law ean give, mist always improve under great disadvantages. The farmer, compared with the proprietor, is as a merchant who trades with borrowed money, compared with one who tradew with his own. The stock of both may improve; but that of the one, with only equal good conduct, must always improve more slowly than that of the other, on account of the large share of the profits which is consumed by the interest of the loan. The lands cultivated by the farmer must, in the same manner, with only equal good conduct, be improved more slowly than those cultivated by the proprietor, on account of the large share of the produce which is consumed in the rent, and which, had the farmer been proprietor, he might have employed in the further improvement of the land. The station of a farmer, besides, is, from the nature of things, inferior to that of a proprietor. Through the greater part of Europe, the yeomanry are regarded as an inferior rank of people, even to the better sort of tradesmen and mechanics, and in all
y parts of Europe to the great merchants and imaster manufacturers. It can seldom happen, therefore, that a man of any considerable stock should quit the superior, in order to place himself in an inferior station. Even in the present state of Europe, therefore, little stock is likely to go from any other profession to the improvement of land in the way of farming. More does, perhaps, in Great Britain than in any other country, though even there the great stocks which are in some places employed in farming, have generally been acquired by farming, the trade, perhaps, in which, of all others, stock is commonly acquired most slowly. After small proprietors, however, rich and great farmers are in every country the principal © improvers. There are more such, perhaps, in England than in any other European monarchy. In the republican governments of Holland and of Berne, in Switzerland, the farmers are said to be not inferior to those of Eugland.
$2 \dagger$ The ancient policy of Europe was, over and above all this, unfavourable to the improvement and cultivation of land, whether carried on by the proprictor or by the farmer ; first, by the general prohibition of the exportation of corn without a special licence, which seems to have been a very universal regulation; and, secondly, by the restraints which were laid upon the inland commerce, nut only of corn, but of almost every other part of the produce of the farm, by the absurd laws against engrossers, regraters, and furestallers, and by the privileges of fairs and markets. It has already been observed in what manner the prohibition of the exportation of corn, together with some encouragement given to the importation of fureign
corn, obstructed the cultivation of ameicnt Italy, naturally the most ti- : country in Europe, and at that - .ee oat of the greatest enpire in 2.0 forld. To what degree such restraints upon the inland connmerce of this commodity, joined to the gencral prohintion of exportation, muse have discouraged the cultication of countrica lese fertile, and less favaurably corcumstanced. it is not, perhaps, very easy to im, gine


## CHAP. III.

## Of the Rase and Progress of Citues athd Towns, after the Fall of the Ruman Empore.

The inhabitants of cities and towns were, ; after the fall of the Roman empire, not inore favoured than those of the country. They consisted, indeed, of a very different urdir of people from the first inhabitants of the ancient republics of Greece and Italy. Thene last were composed chiefly of the proprietors of lands, among whom the public territory was originally divided, and who found it convenient to build their houses in the neighbourhood of one another, and to surround them with a wall, for the sake of common defence. After the fall of the lloman empire, on the contrary, the proprietors of land seem generally to have lived in fortilied castles on their own estates, and in the modit of their own tenants and dependants. The towns were chiefly inhabited by tradesmen and mechanics, who seem, in those days, to' have been of servile, or very nearly of servile condition. The privileges which we find granted by ancient charters to the inbabitants of some of the principal towns in Europts sufficiently show what they were befure those grants. The people to whom it is granted - as a privilege, that they might give away their own daughters in marriage without the consent of their lord, that upon their death their own children, and not their hord, should succeed to their goods, and that they might dispose of their own effects by will, must, before those grants, have been eithry altogether or very nearly in the same state of villanage with the oecupiers of land in the country,
2 They seem, indeed, to have been a very poor, mean set of people, who used to travel about with their goods from place to plate. and from fair to fair, like the han kers and pedlars of the present times. In all the different countries of Europe then, in the sanue manner as in several of the Tartar governments of Asia at present, taxes used to tre levied upon the persons and goods of truel. lers, when they passed through certain manors, when they went over cerain bradges, when they carned about their goods from place to place in a faur, when they arected :a
it a booth or stall to sell them in. These different taxcy were known in England by the names of passige, pontuge, lastage, and stallage. Sometimes the kide, sometmes a - Gient lord, who thad, it seras, upon some occasons, authonty to do this, would grant to particular traders, to such partucularly as lincd in their own demesnes, a general exemption fiom such taxes Such traders, though in other respects of servile, or vely nearly of servile, condition, were upon this account called free traders. They, in return, usbally pad to ther protector a sort of annual poll-tax. In those days protection was seldom granted wathout a valuable considerathon, and thes tax migltt perhaps be consideretas compensation for what their patrons ruighe lose by their exemption from other taxe4. At first, both those poll-taxes and thase exemptions seem to have been altowother personal, and to have affected only particular inh haduals, during ether their aves or the pleasure of their protectors. In the very imperfeet accounts which have been rublented fiom Domesday-book, of several of the tuwns of Lugland, mention is frequently made, sometimes of the tax which partucular burghers paid, each of them, etther to the heng or to some other great lord, for this sort of protection, and sonetimes of the general amount only of all those taxes. 1
3 But how servile soeser may have been ongmally the condition of the inhabitants of the towns, it appears evidently that they arrived at liberty and independence much eartier than the occupers of land in the coun$t_{1} y$. That part of the king's revenue which arone from such poll-taxes in any particular town, used commonly to be let in farm, during a term of years, for a rent certain, sometunes to the sheriff of the county, and sometimes to other persons. The burghers themselves thequently got credit enough to be admatted to tarm the revenues of this sort which arose out of ther own town, they becoming jointly and severally answerable for the whole rent. ${ }^{7}$ So let a farm in this manner, was quite algreable to the usual economy of, I believe, the sovereigns of all the different countries of Europe; who used fiequently to let whole manors to all the tenants of those manors. thev becoming jointly and seterally answerable for the $\boldsymbol{n}$ hole rent; but in return being alloved to collect it in ther own way, and to pay it into the king's exchequer by the hands of their own baliff, and beng thus altogether fieed from the msolence of the hing is offrers; a cucumstance in those days regirded as of the greatest importance.
4 At fist, the farin of the towa was probably
I See Drady's Histoncal Treatise of Cities and Darrouphs, p 3. \&
a me Midox, Firma Burgi, $p$ is ; inse History of the Exchiequer, chap x. bert. v. p : 23 , lat ed (Now bo the author;

Ict to the burghers, in the same manner as it had been to other farmers, for a tern of years only. In process of time, however, it seens to have become the general practice to grant it to them in fee, that is for ever, rescrving a rent ecrtan, never afterwards to be augrnented. The payment having thus become perpetual, the exemptions, in return for which it was made, naturally became perpetual too. Those exemptions, therefore, ceased to be personal, and could not afterwards be considered as belonging to induviduals as individuds, but as burghers of a particular burgh, whech, upon this account, was called a tree burgh, fur the same reason that they bad been called free burghers or free traders.
5 Along with this grant, the important privileges above mentioned, that they might give away their own daughters in marriage, that their chidren should suceeed to them, and that they might dopose of their own effects by whll, were generally bestowed upon the burghers of the town to whom it was given. Whether such privileges had before been usually granted, along with the freedom of trade, to partaculur burghers, as mdividuals, I know not. I reckon it not mprobable that they were, though I cannot produce any dircet evidence of it. But however this may have been, the prineipal attributes of vilianage and slavery being thus taken away from them, they now at least became really free, in our present sense of the word freedom.
6 Nor was this all. They were generally at the same time erected into a commonalty or corporation, with the privilege of having magistrates and a town-councll of their own, of making ly-laws for their own government, of building walls for ther own defence, and of reducing all their inhabintants under a sort of mulatary desepline, by obliging them to watel and ward; that is, as anciently understood, to guard and defend those walls against all attucks and surprises by might as well as by day. In England they were generally exempted from suit to the hundred and county courts; and all such pleas as should arise among them, the pleas of the crown excepted, were left to the decision of their own magistrates. In other countries, much greater and more extensive jurisdictions were frequently granted to them. ${ }^{3}$
7 It might, probably, be necessary to grant to such towns as were admitted to farm their uwn revenues, some sort of compulsive juris-' diction to oblige their own eitizens to make: parment. In those disorderly tumes, it might have been extremely meonvenient to
${ }^{3}$ See Matox, Firna Burgt See also Ffeffel th the Remarkable Events under Frederick the Second, and his Successore of the House of Suabia. (Nutw. by the author)
have left them to scek this sort of justice from any other tribunal. But it must seem extraorduary, that the sovereigns of all the different countries of Europe should have exchanged in this manner for a rent certain, never more to be augmented, that branch of their revenue which was, perhaps, of all others, the most likely to be improved by the natural course of things, without either expense or attention of their own; and that they should, besides, have in this manner voluntarily erected a sort of independent republics in the heart of their own dominions.
8 In order to understand this, it must be remembered, that, in those days, the sovereign of perhaps no country in Europe was able to protect, through the whole extent of his dominions, the weaker part of his subjects from the oppression of the great lords. Those whom the law could not protect, and who were not strong enough to defend themselves, were obliged either to have recourse to the protection of some great lord, and in order to obtain it, to become either his slaves or vassals; or to enter into a league of mutual defence for the common protection of one another. The inhabitants of cities and burghs, considered as single individuals, had no power to defend themselves; but by entering into a league of mutual defence with their neighbours, they were capable of making no contemptible resistance. The lords despised the burghers, whom they considered not only as of a different order, but as a parcel of emancipated slaves, almost of a different species from themselves. The wealth of the burghers never failed to provoke their envy and indignation, and they plundered them upon every occasion without mercy or 'remorse. The burghers naturally bated and feared the lords. The king hated and feared them too ; though perhaps he might despise, - he had no reason either to hate or fear the burghers. Mutual interest, therefore, disposed them to support the king, and the king to support them against the lords. They were the enemies of his enemies, and it was his interest to render them as secure and independent of those enemies as he could. - By granting them magistrates of their own, the privilege of making by-laws for their own government, that of building walls for their own defence, and that of reducing all their inhabitants under a sort of military discipline, he gave them all the means of security and independency of the barons which it was in his power to bestow. Without the establishment of some regular government of this kind, without some authority to compel their inhabitants to act according to some certain plan or system, no voluntary league of mutual defence could either have afforded them any permanent security, or have enabled them to give the
king any considerable support. By granting them the farm of their town in fer, he took away from those whom he nibhed to have for his friends, and, if one may say so. for bis allies, all ground of jealousy and suspicion that he was ever afterwards to oppress them, etther by raising the farm-rent of their town, or by granting it to some other farmer.
9.The princes who lived upon the worst terms with their barons, sumn accordingly to have heen the most libronl in grants of this kind to their burghe King John of England, for example, eppears to have been a most munificent benefactor to his towns 1 Phulip the First of France lost all authority over his barons. Towards the end of his reign, bis son Lewis, known afterwards by the name of Lewis the Fat, consulted, according to Father Daniel, with the bishopis of the royal demesnes, concerning the most proper means of restraining the siolcrice of the great lords. Their advice consisted of two different proposals. One was to erect a new order of jurishlaction, by estabhshing magistrates and a town-counsil in every considerable town of his demesnes. The other was to form a new militia, by making the inhabitants of those towns, under the command of their own magistrates, march out upon proper occasions to the assistance of the king. It is from this period, according to the French antiquarians, that we are to date the institution of the magistrates and councils of cities in France. It was during the unprosperous reigns of the princes of the house of Suabia, that the greater part of the free towns of Germany received the first grants of their privileges, and that the famous Hanseatic league first became formidable. ${ }^{2}$
10 The militia of the citics seems, in thowe times, not to have been inferior to that of the country; and as they could be more readily assembled upon any sudden occaviot, they frequently had the advantage in the ir disputes with the neighbouring loris. In countries such as Italy and Switzerland, in which, on account either of their distance from the principal seat of government, of the natural strength of the country itself, or of some other reason, the sovereign caune to lose the whole of his authority; the caties generally became independent repubhes, and conquered all the nobility in their neighbourhood; obliging them to pull down their castles in the country, and to live, Iike other peaceable inhabitants, in the city. Thus is the short history of the republic of Derne, as well as of several other cithes in Swathrland. If you except Venice, for of that enty the history is somewhat different, it is the history of all the considerable Italian repulslics, of which so great a number arome and

[^55]porished loctween the end of the twellth and the be inning of the sixtecnth century If In countries such as France or Enclami, whene the authonity of the averegn, though friquently very low, never was destroyed alfogether, the cittes had no opportunty of beroming entirely independent. They becane, however, so consulerable, that the soveretgn could impose no tox upon them, be sules the stated firm-rent of the town, without therr own consent. They were, therelore, called upon to send deputies to the general assembly of the states of the king ${ }^{\text {ann }}$, wheie they might join wath the wergy and the barons in granting, upon wrent ofchons, some extraordnary add to the king Beng generally, too, more fisourable to lis power, there deputies seem sometimes to have been employed by him as a counterbalance in those assemblies to the authority of the great lords. Hence the onghin of the representation of burghs in the states-gencral of all great monarcbes in Europe.
12 Ordar and gemd govermment, and along whithem the liberty and security of andividuals, were in this manner established in elties, at a tume when the occupiers of land in the country were exposed to every sort of volence. But men th thas defenceless state naturally content themelves with their necensary subsistence; because, to acquire more, might only tempt the injustice of therr oppresours. On the contrary, when they are acture of enjoy ing the fruits of their industiv, they naturally exert it to better their condition, and to aequire not only the necessirien, but the convemenctes and elegancies of hise That industrv, therefore, which aims at somethini nore than necessary subsistence, was extablished in cities long before it Fis conmonly practised by the occupiers of land in the country. If, in the hands of a poor cultivator, oppressed with the servitude of whanage, some little stock should accumblite, he would naturally concenl it whth great care from his master, to $u$ hom it would utheruise have belonged, and take the first ojpurtumity of runnmg ansy to a town. The low was at that time so indulgent to the mbabitants of towns, and so desirous of dmininhag the authority of the lords over those of the country, that if be could conceal homelf there from the pursuit of his lord for a $y$ war, he was free for ceer. Whatever stoch, the refore, mecumulated in the hands of the mulustrous part of the mhabitanis of the country, naturally took refuge in aties, av Jie only sinctuaries in which it could be scure to the person that acyured it.
$/ 3$ The mhabuants of a city, it is true, must alnans ultimately derme their subvistance, and the whole moterads and me uss of their madutry, from the country But thoce of a city, kituated newr andier the sea-coast or the
banks of a navigable riser, are nut neves sarily confined to derive them fiom the country in thear neighbourhood They hase a much wider range, and may draw them from the mont remote corners of the nornt, enther in excliange for the manufactured produce of their own industry, or by performang the office of carriers between distant countries, and exchangeng thu produce of one for that of another $\Lambda$ city might, in this mana ner, grow up to great wealth and aplendour, while not only the country in its nelpribourhood, but all those to wheh it tradud, nere in poverty and wretchedness. Each of those countries, perhaps, taken singly, could afford it but a sniall part either of its subsistence or of its employment; but all of them tahen together, could afford it both a great subst tence and a great employ ment. There were, however, withun the narrow circle of the commerce of those times, come conntries that were opulent and industrous such was the Greck empire as long as it -ubsinted, and that of the Saracens during the remis of the Abassides Such, too, n ds Erypt till it was conquered by the I'urk, some part of the coast of Barbary, and all those proninect of Spaun whicb were under the government of the Moors.
14 The cities of Italy secm to have been the first in Europe which were rasid by conmerce to any considerable degree of opulence Italy lay in the centre of what was at that tume the improved and civiltsed part of the world. The Crusades, too, though by the great waste of stock and destruction of 1 m habitauts which they occasioned, they must necessarily have retaided the progres of the greater part of Europe, were eatremely favourable to that of some Italian citic The great armies wrich marehed from all parts to the conquest of the Holy Land, gave extraordinary encourarement to the shopping of Venice, Genoa, and Yisa, sometimes in trancporting them thather, and always in supplying them with proviston, They were the cominussaries, if one maty say so, of those armies and the most destructive frenzy that ever befell the European nations, was a source of opulence to those republics 15 The mhabitants of trading cities, by imforting the improved manufactures and expensme luxures of richer countiex, $\quad$ aforded some food to the vanity of the great propnetors, who eagerly purchased tiem with groat quantities of the rude produce of their own lands. The commerce of a great part of Europe in those tumes, accorduigly, consisted chiefly in the exchange of their own rude, for the manufictured produce of more covilised nations. Thus the woul of England used to be exchanged for the wines of France, and the the cluths of Flanders in the same manner as the corn in Poland is at this day exchungel for the wnes and

Lrandies of France, and for the silks and velvets of France and Italy.
16 A taste for the finer and more improved manufactures was, in this manner, introduced by foreign commerce into countries where no such works were carried on. But when
this taste became so general as to occasion a considerable demand, the merchants, in order - to save the expense of carriage, naturally endeavoured to estallish some manufactures of the same kind in their own country. Hence the origin of the first manufactures for distant sale that seem to have been established in the western provinces of Europe, after the fall of the Roman empire.
17No large country, it must be observed, ever did or could subsist without some sort of manufactures being carried on in it; and when it is said of any such country that it
' has no manufactures, it must always be understood of the finer and more improved, or of such as are fit for distant sale. In every large country, both the clothing and household furniture of the far greater part of the people are the produce of their own industry. This is even more universally the case in those poor countries which are commonly said to have no manufactures, than in those rich ones that are said to abound in them. In the latter you will generally find, both in the clothes and househola furniture of the lowest rank of people, a much greater proportion of foreign productions than in the former.
18 Those manufactures which are fit for distant sale, seem to have been introduced into different countries in two different ways.
19 Sometimes they have been introduced, in the manner above mentioned, by the violent operation, if one may say so, of the stocks of particular merchants and undertakers, who established them in imitation of some foreign c manufactures of the same kind. Such ma-

- nufactures, therefore, are the offspring of foreign commerce, and such seem to have been the ancient manufactures of silks, velvets, and brocades, whieh flourished in Lucea during the thirteenth century. They were banished from thence by the tyranny of one of Machiavel's heroes, Castruecio Castracani. In 1310, nine hundred families were driven out of Lucea, of whom thirty-one retired to Venice, and offered to introduce there the , silk manufacture. ${ }^{2}$ Their offer was accepted, many privileges were conferred upon them, and tuey began the manufactare with three hundred workmen. Such, too, seem to have been the manufactures of fine cloths that anciently flourished in Flanders, and which were introduced into England in the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth; and such are the present silk manufactures of Lyons
T See Sandi Istoria Ciple de Vinexia, part if vol. - : 017 and 256 .
and Spitalfields. Matufactures introducod in this manner are generally employed 1 inn foreign materials, being imitations of foreign manufactures. When the Venetian manufacture was first established, the matertals pere all brought from Sicily and the Levant, The more ancient manufacture of Lucea was likewise carried on with foreign materials. The cultivation of mulberry trees, and the breeding of silk-worms, seen not to have been common in the northern parts of Italy, before the sixteenth century. Those arts were not introduced into France till the reign of Charles IX. The manufactures of Flanders were carried on chicfly with Spanish and English wool. Spanish wool was the material, not of the first woollen manufacture in England, but of the first that was fit for distant sale. More than one half the materials of the Lyons manufacture is at this day foreign silk; when it was first established, the whole, or very nearly the whole, was so. No part of the materials of the Spitalficlds manufacture is ever likely to be the produce of England. The seat of such manufactures, as they are generally introduced by the scheme and project of a few individuals, is sometimes established in a maritime city, and sometimes in an inland town, accordung es their interest, judgment, or caprice, happen to determine.
20 At other times manufacture for distant sale grow up naturally, and, as it were, of their own accord, by the gradual refinement of those household and coarser manufictures which must at all times be carried on even in 'he poorest and rudest countries. Such ma uffactures are generally employed upon the materials which the country produces, and they seem frequently to have been first refined and improved in such inland countries as were not, indeed, at a very great, but at a considerable distance from the seacoast, and sometimes even from all water carriage. An inland country, naturally fertile and easily cultivated, produces a great surplus of provisions beyond what is necessary for maintaining the cultivators; and on account of the expense of land carriage, and inconveniency of river navigation, it may frequently be difficult to send this surplus abroad. Abundance, therefore, renders provisions cheap, and encourages a great number of workmen to settle in the neighbourhood, who find that their industry can there procure them more of the necessarics and conveniencies of life than in other places. They work up the materials of manufacture which the land produces, and exchange their finished work, or, what is the same thing. the price of it, for more materials and provisions. They gave a new value to the surplus part of the rude produce, by saving the expense of carrying it to the water-side, or to some distant market: and they furminh
the cultivators with something in exchange for th , that is either unful or agreeable to them, upon easier terms than they could have obtumed th lefore, The cultuators get a better puce for their surplus pioduce, and can purchase cheaper other conventenctes which they have occaston for. They are thus both encouraged and enabled to montase thas surplus produce by a further mprovement and betur cuinvation of the - land, and as the fertalaty of the land had given buth to the manutacture, so the profrens of the manufacture re-acts upon the land, and mereases still further its fertility. The manufucturess firit supply the neighbourbood, and aterward, as their work amproses and refinss, more dastant marketo Fur though nether the ride produce, nor esen the coarse manutinture, could, without the greatest difficulty, support the expense of a constderable land carriage, the ratued and improved manufacture easily may. In a small bulk it frequently contans the price of a great quantity of rude produce. A prece of fine cloth, for example, whuch weighs only eighty pounds, coutans in the price, not only of eighty pounds wesght of wool, but sometimes of soseral thousand weight of corn, the maintenance of the different working people, and of their immedate employers. The corn, whith could with dufficulty have been carried abroad in its own shape, is an this manner virtually exported in that of the complete manufacture, and may easily be sent to the remotest corners of the worid. In this manner have grown up naturally, and, as it were, of their own accord, the manufactures of Leeds, Malifax, Sheffield, Birmingham, and Wolierhampton. Such ; manufactures are the offipring of agriculture ln the modern hintory of Lurope, the ir extension ard improvement have genetally been postertor to those which were the offspitig of foreign commerce. England was noted for the manulacture of he cluths, made of Spamsh wool, nore than a century before any of those ahich now flourish in the plates above mentioned were ht fur foreign sale. The extension and improvement of these last could not take place but in consequence of the extencion and unprovement of agriculture, the last and greatust effeet of foreggn commerce, and of the manufactures immedately introduced liy it, and which I shall now proceed to explam.


## CIIAP. IV.

How the Commerce of Tuwns contributed to the Improvenuent of the Cowntry.
Thr increase and riches of commercial and manufieturing towns contributed to the
improvement and cultivation of the comp. tries to $w$ hich they belouged, in three different ways:-
2 Ferst, By afording a gieat and ready market for the rude produce of the country, they gave encouragement to its cultivation' and further improvement. This benefit was not even confined to the countries in which they were situated, but extended nore or less to all those with which they bad any dealings. To all of them they aflorded a market for some part ether of their rude or manufactured produce, and, consequently, gave some encouragement to the industry and improvement of all. Their own country, however, on account of its neighbourhood, necessartly derived the greatest bencfit from this mankt. Its rude produce beng clarged with less carrage, the traders could pay the growers a better price for $i t$, and yet afford it as cheap to the consumers as that of more distant countries.
3 Sconndly, The wealth acquired by the inhabitants of ettes was frequently employed in purchasing such lands as were to be sold. of which a great part would frequently be uncultivated. Merchants are comurnly ambitious of becoming country gentlomen, and, when they do, they are generally the best of all improvers. A merchant is accustomed to employ his moncy chiefly in profitable projects; whereas a mere country gentleman is accustomed to employ it chuctly in expense. The one often sets his money go from him and return to him agen with a profit; the other, when once he parts with it, very seldom expects to see any more of it. Those different habuts naturally afleet their temper and disposition in every sort of bu-, stness. The merchant is commonlv a bold,' a country gentlenadn a timid undertaker. The one is not afrand to lay out at once a large capital upon the improvement of his land, when he has a probable prospect of rasing the value of it in proportion to the expense; the other, if he has any capital, which is not always tie case, seldom ventures to employ it in this manner. If he improves at all, it is commonly not aith a capital, but with what he can sase out of his annual revenue. Whoever has thad the fortune to live in a mercantile town, situated in an unimproved country, must have frequently observed how much more spuntel the operations of merchants were in this way than those of more country gentlemen. The habits, besides, of order, econotay, and attention, to which mercanule business naturally forms a merchant, render hum much fitter to evecute, with protit and success, any: project of improvement.
F Thardy, and lastly, Commerce and manufactures gradually antroduced order and good government, and with them the liberty and security of induiduals, among the intatit-
ants of the country, who had before licid almost in a continual state of war with their nerghbours, and of servile dependency upon their superiors. This, though it has been the least observed, is by far the most important of all their effects. Mr. Hume is the only writer who, so far as I know, has hitherto taken notice of it.
5 In a country which has neither foreign commerce nor any of the finer manufactures, a great proprietor, having nothing for which he can exchange the greater part of the produce of his lands which is over and above the maintenance of the cultivators, consumes the whole in rustic hospitality at home If this surplus produce is sufficient to maintain a hundred or a thousand men, he can make use of it in no other way than by maintaining a hundred or a thousand men. He is at all times, therefore, surrounded with a multitule of retainers and dependents, who having no equivalent to give in return for their maintenance, but being fed entirely by his bounty, must obey him, for the same reason that soldıers must obey the prince who pays them. Before the extension of commerce and manufactures in Europe, the hospitality of the rich and the great, from the sovereign duwn to the smallest baron, exceeded every thing which, in the present times, we can easily form a notion of. Westminster-hall was the dining-room of Williann Rufus, and - might frequently, perhaps, not be too large for his company. It was reckoned a piece of magnificence in Thomas Becket, that he strewed the floor of his hall with clean hay or rushes in the season, in order that the knights and squires, who could not get seats, might not spoil their fine clothes when they sat down on the floor to eat their dinner. The great Earl of Warwick is said to have entertained every day, at his different manors, thirty thousand people; and though the number here may have been exaggerated, it must, however, have been very great toadmit of such exaggeration. A hospitality nearly of the same kind was exercised, not many years ago, in many dufferent parts of the highlands of Scotland. It seems to be common in all nations to whom commerce and manufactures are little known. I have seen, says Doctor Pocock, an Arabian chief dine in the streets of a town where he had come to sell his cattle, and invite all passengers, even common beggars, to sit down with him and partake of his banquet.
, 6 The occupiers of land were in every respect as dependeut upon the great proprietor as his retainers. Even such of them as were not in a state of villanage, were tenants at whll, who paid a rent in no respect equivalent to the subsistence which the land afforded them. A crown, half a crown, a sheep, a lamb, was some years ago, in the highlands of Scotiand, a common rent for lands which
maintained a family. In some places it is: Bo at this day; nor will money at present purchase a greater quantity of commoditios : there than in other places. In a country where the surplus produce of a large evitute must be consumed upon the estate itself, it will frequently be more convenient for the proprietor that part of it be consumed at a distance from his own house, provider they who consume it are as dependent upon him as cither his retainers or his menial servants He is thereby saved from the embarrasment of either too large a company or too large a family. A tenant at will, who posseses land sufficient to maintain his fatnily for little more than a quit-rent, is as dependent upon the proprictor as any servant or retawer whatever, and must obey him with as hitte reserve. Such a propretor, as he feeds his servants and retainers at his own house, so he feeds his tenants at their houseq. The sub-1 sistence of both is derived from his bounty, and its continuance depends upon his good pleasure.
7 Upon the authority which the great proprietors necessarily had in such a state of things over their tenants and retainers, was founded the power of the ancient barons. They necessarily became the judges in peace and the leaders in war, of all who dwelt upon their estates. They could mainkain order. and execute the law, within their reppective demesnes, because each of them could there turn the whole force of all the inhabitants against the injustice of any one. No other person had sufficient authority to do this. The king, in particular, bad not. In those! ancient tumes he was little more than the greatest proprietor in his dominions, to whom for the sake of common defence aganst their : common enemies, the other great proprietors; paid certain respects. To have enforeed payment of a small debt within the lands of a proprietor, where all the inhabitants were armed and accustomed to stand by one another, would have cost the king, had he attempted it by his own authority, almont the same effort as to extinguish a civil war, He was therefore obliged to abandon the administration of justice, through the greater part of the country, to those who were capable of administering it; and, for the same reason, to leave the command of the country : militia to those whom that militia would obey.
8 It is a mistake to imagine that those terri-, torial jurisdictions took their origin from the, feudal law. Not only the bighest jurndic-" tions, both civil and criminal, but the power of levying troops, of coining money, and even that of making by-laws fur the government of their own people, were all rights possessed allodially by the great proprietory of land, several centuries before even the name of the fudal law was known in Furopa.

The authority and jurndiction of the Saxon bords in Ehighod apperar to have bect as grat lexfore the compuent as that of any of the Norman lerde atter it. But the fudil law is nut shpowed whave become the common law of Englad till after the conipuest. That the most extensive authorsy and zursishetums were posessed by the great lords an Irance allodhally, long before the fiudal haw was uitruduced anto that conuitry, is a matur of fact that aduats of no - duabt. That authority, and those jurisilicthons, all necessarily fiowed from the state of property and manners just now described. Without renounting to the remote antigulter of etther the French or Enghsh monunchies, we may find in much later times in my proofs that sucheffects must almays flow forn such causes. It is not thrty gears ago sance Mr. Cameron of Lochicl, a gentleman ol Lachaber in Scothand, without any legal whiant whatever, mot being what was then called a lord of regality, nor even a tenant in chucf, but a vassal of the Duke of Argyle, ath withont beng so much as a justice of peace, used, notwithstanding, to exercise the higheit crimmal juristictum over his own people. He is sadd to have done so with great equity, though without any of the form intice of justice. and it is not improbable th th the state of that part of the country at that the made it nectarry for ham to assume this authority, in order to mantan the public prace. That gentiem mo whose rent never creceded five hundred pounds a year, carried, II 1745, eight hundred of his own people into the rebellion with him.
9 The introduction of the fudal law, so fir from extending, may be regarded as an attempt to moderate the authority of the great allodial lords It established a regular subordination, accompamed with a long tram of selviees and duties, from the king down to the smallest propritor. Durng the minority of the propretor, the rent, tog ther with the momagenent of his lands, fotl uto the hands of his momedate superior, and consequently, thone of all great proprietors into the hands of the hing, who was charged with the mainthance and education of the pupil, and who, from lun authority as guardian, was supposed to have a night of dicposing of him in marrasce, proviled it was in a manner not uncuitable to bis rank. But though this institution necessarly tended to strengthen the authority of the king, and to weaken that of the great proprietors, it could not do enther whictently for cstabluhing order and good government anong the mhabitants of the country; because it could not alter sufficlently that state of property and manners
from which the disorders arose. The authonty of government still continued to be, is before, too weak in the head, and too strong in the infrior members, and the
excessive strength of the merior mentars was the cause of the weakuess of the head. After the institution of feudal subordination,' the king was as sucapable of restraming the violence of the great lords as betore They still contmued to make war according to therr own discretion, almost continually upon one another, and very frequently upun the king; and the open country still conthaucd to be a scene of violence, rapine, and disorder.
10 Lut what all the volence of the faud institutions could never have effected, the silent and insensible operation of foresgn commerce and manufactures gradually brought about. These gradually furneshed the great propretors with something for which they could exchange the whole surplus produce of ther lands, and which they could consume themstives, without sharing it etther whih tenants or retamers. All for ourselics, and nothing for other people, secms, in every dre of the world, to have been the wile maxim of the masters of mankind. As soon, therefore, as they could find a mithod of consuming the whole value of therr rents thenselves, thay had no dicposition to share them with ary other persons For a pair of diamond buchles, pertaps, or for something as frivolous ard uselcss, they exchanged the maintenance, or, what is the same thing, the price of the matutenance, of a thousand men fur a year, and with it the whole weight and authonty wheh it could give them The buchles, however, were to be all their own, and no other human creature was to have any share of them; whereas, in the more ancient method of expense, they must have shared with at least a thousand people. With the judges that were to determine the preference, this diffirence was perfectly decisive, and thus, for the gratification of the most childish, the meanest, and the most sordid of all vanties, they gradually bartered their whole power and authority.
II In a country where there is no foregn commere, nor any of the finer manuiacture; a man of ten thousand a year cannot well employ his revenue in any other way than in, mantaning, perhaps, a thousand familes, who are all of them necessarily at his command. In the present state of Lurope, a man of ten thousand a year can speod his whole revenue, and he generally docs so, without derectly mantaining twenty people, or being able to command more than ten footmen not worth the commanding. Indi-! rectly, perhaps, he mantains as great or even a greater, number of people than be could have done by the ancient method of expense: for though the quantity of preclous productions for which he exchanges his whole reverae be very small, the number of workmen employed in collecting and prepaning $\mu$, nut nucessarily have been verj;
great. Its great price generally arises from the wages of their labour, and the profits of all their inmediate employers. By paying that price, he indirectly pays all those wages and profits, and thus indurectly contributes to the maintenance of all the workmen and their employers. He gencrally contributes, however, but a very small proportion to that of each; to very few, perhaps, a tenth, to many not a hundredth, and to some not a thousdndth, nor even a ten thousandth part of their whole annual maintenance. Though he contributes, thenefore, to the maintenance of them all, they are all more or less independent of him, because generally they can ail be maintained without him.
12 When the great propisetors of land spend their rents in mantaining their tenants and retaners, each of them mantains entirely all his own tenants and all his own retainers. But when they spend them in maintaming tradesmen and artificers, they may, all of them taken together, perhaps maintain as great, or, on account of the waste which attends rustic hospitality, a greater number of people than before. Each of them, however, taken singiy, contributes often but a very small share to the mantenance of any individual of this greater number. Each tradesman or artificer derives his subsistence from the employment, not of one, but of a hundred or a thousand dfferent customess Though in some measure obliged to them all, therefore, he is not absolutely dependent upon any one of them.
13 The personal expense of the great propictors having in this manner gradually increased, it was impossible that the number of their retainers should not as gradually diminısh, till they were at last dismissed altogether. The same cause gradually led them to dismiss the unnecessary part of their tenants. Farms were enlanged, and the occuplers of land, notwithitanding the complaints of depopulation, reduced to the number necessary for cultwating it, acconding to the imperfeet state of cultivation and improvenent in those times. By the removal of the umecessdiy mouths, and by exacting from the farmer the full value of the fum, a greater surplus, or what is the same thing, the price of a greater surplus, was obtaned for the proprietor, which the merchants and manufactures soon furmshed him with a method of spending upon his own person, in the same manner as he had done the rest. The cause coatimuing to operate, he was desrous to ralse his rents above what his lands, in the actual state of their improvement, could afford. His tenants could agree to this upon one condition only, that they should be secured in their possession for such a term of years as might grve them time to recover, with profic whatever they should lay out in the further improve-
ment of the land. The expensive vanity of: the landlord made him willing to ace $p \mathrm{t}$ of ${ }^{3}$ this condition; and hence the origin of long leases.
14 Even a tenant at will, who pays the full value of the land, is not altogether depend- : ent upon the landlord. The pecuniary advantages which they receive from one another, : are mutual and equal, and such a tenant will expose nether hus life nor his fortune $m$ the service of the proprictor. But if he has a lease for a long term of yedr, he is altoge- : ther independent; and his landlord must not, expect from him even the most trifing ser- " vice, beyond what is either expressly stipulated in the lease, or imposed upon him by the common and known law of the country.
15 The tenants having in this manner become! independent, and the retainers being dismissed, the great proprietors were no longert capable of moterrupting the regular execution of justice, or of disturbing the peace of the country. Having sold their birthright, not hike Esau for a mess of pottage in tume of hunger and necessity, but in the wantonness of plenty, for trinkets and baubles, fitter to be the playthings of chuldren than the serious pursuits of men, they became as insignificant as any substantial burgher or tradesman in a city. A regular government was established in the country as well as in the city, nobody having sufficient power to disturb its operations in the one, any more than in the other.
16 It does not, perhaps, relate to the present subject, but I cannot help remarking it, that very old families, such as have possessed, some considerable estate from father to son for so many successive generations, are very , rare in commerctal countries. In countries which have little commerce, on the contrary, such as Wales or the Fighlands of Scotland, they are very common. The Arabian his tories seem to be all full of genealogies; and there is a history written by a Tartar Khan, which has been translated into several European languages, and which contans scarce any thing else; a proof that ancient families are very common among those nations. In countries where a rich man can spend his revenue in no other way than by mantanning as many people as it can maintain, he 15 apt to run out, and his benevolence, it seems, is seldom so violent as to attempt to mautain more than he can afford. Eut where he can spend the greatest revenue: upon his own person, he frequently has no bounds to his expense, because he frequently bas no bounds to his vanty, or to his affection for his own person In commercial ; countues, therefore, riches, in spite of the most violent regulations of law to prevent their dissipation, very seldom remain long in the same family Among simple nations. on
the contrary, they frequently do, without any regulations of law, for among nations of shepherds, such as the Tartars and Arabs, the consumable nature of their property necessurily renders all such regulations impossible.
ITA revolution of the greatest importance to the puble happiness was in thas manner hrought about by two different orders of people, who had not the least intention to serve the public. To gratufy the most childish vamty was the sole motive of the great propretors. The merchants and artifieers, much less riduculons, acted merely from a view to their own interest, and in pursuit of their own pedlar princople of twining a penny wherever a penny was to be got. Neither of them had either knowledge or foresight of that great revolution which the folly of the one and the industry of the other was gradually bringing about.
fo It was thus that through the greater part of Europe the commerce and manufactures of citres, instead of being the effect, have been the cause and occasion of the improvement and cultivation of the country.
17 This order, however, being contrary to the natural course of things, is necessarnly both slow and uncertain. Compare the slow progress of those European countries, of wheh the wealth depends very much upon their commerce and manufactures, with the rapid advanecs of our North American colonies, of which the wealth is founded altogether in agriculture. Through the greater part of Earope, the number of inhabitants is not supposed to double in less than five hundred years. In several of our North Annerican colonies, it is found to double in twenty or five-and-twenty years. In Europe, the law of primogeniture, and perpetuties of different kinds, prevent the division of great estates, and thereby hindel the multrplication of small proprietors. A small proprietor, however, who knows every part of his little territory, who views it with all the affection which property, espectally small property, naturally inspires, and who upon that account takes pleasure not only in cultivating, but in adorning it, is generally of all improvers the most industrious, the most intelligent, and the most successful. The same regulations, besides, keep so much land out of the market, that there are always more capitals to buy than there is land to sell, oo that what is sold always sells at a monopoly price The rent never pays the

[^56]interest of the purchase money, and is besides, burdened with repairs and otber occasional charges, to which the miterest of money is not liable. To purchase land is, everywhere in Europe, a most unprofitable employment of a small capital. For the sake of the superior security, indeed, a mail of moderate creumstances, when be retires from business, will sometimes choose to lay out his little capital in land. A man of profession, too, whose revenue is derived fiom another source, often loves to secure has savings in the same way. But a younc man, who, instead of applying to trade or to some profersion, should employ a capital of two or three thousand pounds in the purchase and cultivation of a small piece of land, mught indeed expect to live very happily and very independently, but must bid adieu for eves to all hope of cither great fortune or great illustration, which, by a ditferent employment of his stook, he might have had the same chance of acquiring with other people. Such a person, too, though he cannot aspirc at bcing a proprietor, will often disdan to be a fatmer. The small quantity of land, therefore, which is brought to market, and the high price of what is brought thither prevents a great number of capitals from beng eniployed in its cultivation and mprovement, which would otherwise have taken that direction. In North America, on the contrary, fifty or sixty pounds is often found a sufficient stock to begin a plantation with. The purchase and mprovement of uncultivated land is there the most profitable employment of the smallest as well as of the greatest capitals, and the most direct road to all the fortune and ollustration which can be acquired in that country. Such land indeed, is, in North America, to be had almost for nothing, or at a price much below the value of the natural produce, a thing impossible in Europe, or indeed in any country where all lands have long been private property If landed estates, however, were divided equally among all the children, upon the death of any propnetor who left a numerous famuly, the estate would generally be sold So much land would come to market, that it could no longer sell at a monopoly price The free rent of the land would go nearer to pay the interest of the purchase-money, and a small capital might be employed in purchas. ing land as profitably as in any other way. ${ }^{1}$ 20 England, on account of the natural fertility of the soil, of the great extent of the sca-
situation in most other respects was equally favourable The colomsts that England sent to Ameraca left a councry far advanced in civissatiou, they had been traned from infancy to habits of mdustry and subordination; they were nractically acquanted with the best form of ceval polity that had been establishtd m the old world, and were placed m a situation hast: enabled them to obviate its defects, and to try every institution by the test of utility America, too, hus been enriched by the capital, at well as by the axta
coust in propertion to that of tise whole country, and of the many navigable rivers which run through it, and afford the convemency of water carrage to some of the most inland parts of it, is perhaps as well fitted by nature as any large country in Europe to be the scat of foreign commerce, of manufactures for distant sale, and of all the improvements which these can occasion. From the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth, too, the English legislature has been pecuharly attentive to the interests of commerce and manufactures, and in reality there is no cuuntry in Europe, Holland itself not excepted, of which the law is, upon the whole, more favourable to this sort of industry. Commerce and manufactures have accordingly been continually advancing during all this period. The cultivation and improvement of the country has, no doubt, been gradually advancing too: but it seems to have followed slowly, and at a distance, the more rapid progress of commerce and manufactures. The greater part of the country must probably have been cultivated before the reign of Elizabeth; and a very great part of it still remains uncultivated, and the cultivation of the far greater part much inferior to what it might be. The law of England, howtver, favours agriculture, not only indirectly by the protuction of commerce, but by several direct encouragements. Except in times of searcity, the exportation of corn is not only free, but encouraged by a bounty. In times of moderate plenty, the importation of foreign corn is loaded with duties that smount to a prohbstion. The importation of live cattle, except from Ireland, is probibited at all times, and it is but of late that it was permitted from thence. Those who cultivate the land, therefore, bave a monopoly against their countrymen for the two greatest and most inportant articles of land produce, bread and butcher's meat. These encourageinents, though at bottom, perhaps, as I shall endeavour to show hereafter, altogether allusory, sufficiently demonstrate at least the good intention of the legislature to favour agiculture. But what is of puch more importance than all of them, the yeoranary of England are rendered as secure, as independent, and as respectable, as law can
: make them. No country, therefore, in which the right of primogeniture takes place, which pays tithes, and where perpetuities, though contrary to the spirit of the law, are udmitted in some cases, can give more en-

[^57]couragement to agriculture than England. Such, however, notwithistanding, is the stife of its cultivation. What would it have been, had the law given no direct encourafement to agriculture besules what arises indirectly from the progress of commerce, and had litit the yeomanry in the same conditwn as in most other countrits of Europe? It is now more than two hundred years since the beginning of the reign of Eluzabeth, - a perios as Tong as the course of buman prosperity usually endures.
21 France seems to have bad a considerable? share of foreign commerce near a century before England was distinguished as a conmercial country. The marine of France was considerable, according to the notions of the times, before the expedition of Charles VIII. to Naples. The cultivation and improvement of France, however, is, upon the whole, iuferior to that of England. The law of the country bas never given the same direct encouragement to agriculture.
2.2The foreign commerce of Spain and Portugal to the other part of Europe, though chiefly carried on in forcign shups, is : very considerable. That to their colonies is carried on in their own, and is much greater, on account of the great riches and extent of those colonies. But it has never introduced any considerable manufactures for divtant sale into enther of those countries, and the greater part of both still remains uncultivated. The foreign commerce of Portugil is of older standing than that of any great country in Europe, except Italy. 23 Italy is the only great country of Europe' which seems to have been cultivated and' improved in every part, by means of fureign, commerce and manufactures for distant sale. Before the invasion of Charles VIII., Italy, according to Guicciardini, was cultivated not less in the most mountainous and barren parts of the country, than in the phinest and most fertile. The advantageous situation of the country, and the great number of independent states which at that time kub-sisted in it, probably contributed not a hithe: to this general cultivation. It is not mm possible, too, notwithstanding this general expression of one of the most juducions and reserved of modern historians, that Italy was not at that time better cultivated than England is at present.
24The capital, however, that is acquired to any country by commerce and manufictures, is all a very precarious and uncertan:

[^58]possession, till some part of it has been securcd and realised in the culuvation and improvement of its lands A merchant, it has best sad very properly, to not nucessasIfily the citisen of any particular oountry. It is in a great momure anduficrent to hum from what place he carries on lus trade; and 3 very tritting disgust will make him remove tha capital, and, togethes $\mathrm{mith}^{\text {th }}$ it, all the industry which it appports, frum one country to another. No part of it can be aid to belong to any particular country, till it has been spread, as it were, over the face of that country, etther in buildengs, or in the lastung improvement of landa. No veatige now remains of the great wealih said to have been presessed by the greater part of the Hans towns, exeept in the olsceure historien of the thrteanth and fourtoenth centuries. It is even uncertain where some of them were situated, of to what tomas in Europe the I-aun namce given to some of them belong. But though the misfortunes of Italy, in the end of the fittenth and beginning of the 'sixteenth ecnturies, greatly diminished the commerce and manufactures of the cities of I.mmbardy and Tuscany, those countries |still continue to be among the most poputhus and best cultivated in Europe The ciril yars of Flanders, and the Spanish Fovernment which succeeded them, chased away the great commerce of Antwerp, I Ghent, and Bruges But Flanders still conunnes to be one of the nichest, best culti' rated, and most populous provinceas of - Europe. The ordinary revolutions of was and government easily dry up the sourem of that wealh which arises from commerce unly. That which arisee from the more sold improverdents of agnculture is mLeb noore - durable, and capnot be destroged but by those more riolent convulsions aceasioned by the depredations of hostule and barbarous natione continuad fiur a century or two together: such as those that happened for some time before and after the fill of the Roman empire in the western provinces of Eurupe.

## BOOK IV.

or stistens of monrrcat ECOMONT.

## GTRODECFBOL.

Polmimaz econcuny, considered as a braveh of the science of a statesman or legislator, "proposes two distinet objects: first to pronde ia plentiful reveaue or subsistence for the

[^59]people, or, mure properly, to ensble them to provide such a revenue or subsistenee fins themolves: and. arondly, to supply tire state or commonwealth orth a revenue sutifcient far the public services. It proposes tc. enrich both the people and the sovertign.

The ditierent progress of opulence to dif. frrent ages and natuons, has given cecasior, to two different systems of poihucal economy, with regard to ennching the people. The one may be called the system of commerce, the otber that of agriculture. I sball endeavour to explaia both as fully and disunctly as I can, and athall begin with the oystera of commerce. It is the modern sym tem, and is best understaod in our own country and in our own tumes.

## CHAP. I.

## Of the Principles of the Commarcial or Mereantile Systern.

$T_{\text {mar }}$ wealth consists in money, or in gold and silver, is a popular notion which naturally arises from the double function of money, an the instrument of commerea, snd as the measure of value. In consequence of its being the instrument of commeme, when we have money wre can more readily obtan whatever else we have occasion for, than by means of any other commodity. The great affair, we always find, is to get money. When that is obtained, there is no difficulty in making any subsequent purchase. In consequeace of its being the meesure of value, we estinnate that of all other commodities by the quantity of money which they will exchange for. We say of a rich man that be is worth a great deal, and of a poor man that he is worth very litule money. A frugal man, or a man cager to be rich, is sand to love money; and a careless, a geserous, or a profuse man, in stid to be indifferent alout it. To grow rish is to get money; and wealth and money, in short, are, in common languaga, conadered as in every respect synonymour

A rich country, in the same manner as a rich man, is supposed to be a country abounding in moong; and to beap up gold and sulver in any country is supposed to be the readiest way to enrich it. For mome time after the discovery of America, the first inquiry of the Spaniards, when they arrived upon any unknown coast, used to be, if there was any gold or silver to be found is the peighbourtood? By the information which they received, they judged whether it was worth while to make a settlement there, or if the eountry wras worth
man." When thine anderatood, it obviousily eublacto mont of the mpestigatuses mato whict Dr. Senilh the entered in bis "logerry inte the Nature and Cavest untered in bis inguiry into or Natobs."
the conquering. Plano Carpino, a monk, sent ambassador from the king of France to une of the sons of the famous Gengis Khan, says, that the Tartars used frequently to ask him, if there was plenty of sheep and oxen in the kingdom of France? Their inquiry bad the same object with that of the Span nards. They wanted to know if the country was rich enough to be worth the conquering. - Among the Tartars, as among all other nations of shepherds, who are generally ignorant of the use of moncy, cattle are the instruments of commerce and the measures of value. Wealth, therefore, according to them, consisted in cattle, as, according to the Spaniards, it consisted in gold and silver. Of the two, the Tartar notion, perhaps, was the nearest to the truth.

Mr. Locke remarks a distinction between money and other moveable goods. All other moveable goods, he says, are of so consumable a nature, that the wealth which consists in them cannot be much depended on; and a nation which abounds in them one year may, without any exportation, but merely by their own waste and extravagance, be in great want of them the next Money, on the contrary, is a steady friend, which, though it may travel about from hand to hand, yet if it can be kept from going out of the country, is not very liable to be wasted and consumed. Gold and silver, therefore, are, according to him, the most solhd and substantial part of the moveable wealth of a nation ; and to multuply those metals ought, he thinks, upon that account, to be the great object of its political economy.

Others admit, that if a nation could be scparated from all the world, it would be of no consequence how much or how little money circulated in it. The consurnable goods, which were circulated by means of this money, would only be exchanged for a greater or a smaller number of pieces; but the real wealth or poverty of the country, they allow, would depend altogether upon the abundance or scarcity of those consumablo goods. But it is otherwise, they think, with countries which have connections with foreign nations, and which are obliged to carry on foreign wars, and to maintan fleets and armies in distant countries. This, they say, cannot be done, but by sending abroad money to ' pay them with; and a nation cannot send much money abroad, unless is has a good deal at home. Every such nation, therefore, must endeavour in time of peace to accumulate gold and silver, that, when occasion requires, it may have wherewithal to carry on forenga wars.

In consequence of these popular notions, all the different nations of Europe have
I The reader will fod, in the Introductory Discourte, sect. 1. An account of the circumstances which ind the merchauta to complain of the reatric-
studied, though to little purpose, every possible means of accumulating gold and silver in their rexpective countries Span und Portural, the proprictors of the puincipal mines which suyply Europe with those metals, have either prohibited their cxportation under the severest penaltics, or subjectud it to a considirable duty. The like probitition seens anciently to have mode a part of the policy of moat other European nation-. It is even to be found, where we should lea't of all expect to find it. in some old Scoteh acts of parliament, wheh forbid, under heary penalties, the carrying gold or silver for th of the kingdom. The like policy anc ientiy took place both in France and England.

When those countrics becane commerial, the merchants found this prohibition, ugun many occasions, extremely inconvenisut. They could frequently buy more advaitageously with gold and silver, than with any other commodity, the foreign goods which they wanted, either to import into therr own, or to carry to some other foreign country. They remonstrated, thercfore, agedinst this prohibition as hurtful to trade.

They represcnted, first, that the exportation of gold and silver, in order to purchase foreign goods, did not always diminich the quantity of those metals in the kingdom. That, on the contrary, it might frequently increase the quantity; because, if the consumptian of foreign goods was not therely increased in the country, those goods might be re-exported to foreign countries, and, being there sold for a large profit, might bring back much more treasure than was originally sent out to purchase them. Mr. Mun compares this operstion of foreign tride to the seed-time and harvest of agriculture "If we only behold," says he, "the actions of the husbandman in the seed-time, when he casteth a way much good corn into the ground, we shall account bim rather a madman than a busbandman. But when we consider his labours in the harvest, which is the end of his endeavours, we shall find the worth and plentiful increage of his actions."

They represented, secondly, that this pro hibition could not hinder the exportation of gold and silver, which, on account of the smallness of their bulk in proportion to their value, could easily be smugited alruad. That this exportation could only be prevented by a proper attention to what they called the balance of trade. 1 That when the country exported to a greater value than it imported, a balance became due to it from foreign untiona, which was necermarily paid to it in gold and silver, and therehy increased the quantity of those metals in the kingdom. But that when it imported to a greater value

[^60]thin it exported, a contiary 6 dance became dise to foretgy mathons, whathas nexessarily
 l.) dammenind that grantity 7 frat mathascame to probluhe the exjurtation ot thase mitals - could not provati id, but enly, by maknte it more dameroua, reader it more expensive. Ih it the exhange w shersby turnid more nis imat the country whath owed the balance Lhan st othuruine mortit have been, the fos rolunt who purshaned a luil upon tho fow, in country bump olflesed to pay the thaner who sold it, not only for the natural sinh, troulite, and expence of sanding the nonev thather, but tor the extraordasary mak arising from the profuhation. But that the more the exchange was arainst any country, the more the bilance of trade betame necibarily agnanst it, the money of that comintry becumme nerecsaftly of so much lis vilue, in comparison aith that of the countiv to wheh the balane was due. That if the exe hange betracn Eighand and Ilollamd, for example, was five per cent, agasuat I ngeldah, it would require a hundred and Hse ounces of salver in Ingland to purchase - bill for a hundred oumes of silver in Ilotfind. that a humdred and five ounces of shicr in Caigl md, therefore, would be worth only a humdrud onnces of shiver in llolland, and would purchase only a proportionable quantity of Dutch gools: but that a hundrad thuces of silver in Holland, on the coutrary, would be worth a hundred and five ounces in England, and would purchase a proporthonable quantity of Enghsh goods * that the Luglisin goods which were sold to Holhand would be sold so much chenper, and the Dutch goods whik were sold to lengiand, so muh dearer, by the difference of the exchange: that the one would atraw so much less Diteh money to England, tand the other so much more English maney to Holland, as this difirence amounted to: and thint the balance of trade, therefore, would nucessanly be so much more against Fughand, and woild require a greater ba-- lince of gold and shluer to be exported to Holland.

I fose argaments were partly solid and partly sophistual. They were solid, so far as thi $y$ asserted that the exportation of gold and silver iu tide murht frequently be advant ureous to the country. They were solid, tire, in averting that no probibition could prewent ther exportation, when prinate peophe found any advantage in exporting them. Fint they wate sophetical, in supprositg, that Atier to preseme or to augment the quantsty of th ose nitals requird more the attention of government, than to preserve or to augment the quant iv of any other uscful comnumdites, which the freedum of trade, without any such dittention, never fils to supply in . the propre quantity. They atere sophisital
too, purhaps, on ass, rthig that the luy hrice of exchmige necessanly maresogd ait they whthd the unfavouralle batase ot trade, or occanoned the expurtation of a greater quantity of pold and shiser. That hegh price, mdeed, $u$ as extremcly dmallantagivous to the merchants who hid any mones to $y^{\prime \prime}$ ? in foremen countries. They pad so much dearer tor the lulls whech thear bandirs granted them upon those crountrie. Lut though the risk arising from the probibitoun might occasion some eatraordmary apense to the banhers, it would not tstecarily carry any more monts out of the cuntry. This expense would fincrally be all ladd out wh the country, in wheghing the monw out of it, and could seldom occasion the esportation of a sisgle suxpenee bey ond the precise sum drana for The hirh price of exchange, too, wind naturally divpuat the merchasts to encurour to make their etforts nearly balance thur imports, tit wher that they might have the high evehage to pay umon as smadl a suma an ponalie the figh puice of evchange, beates, munt nesie sarily have operated as a tax, man min the prece of forcign goods, and the relis dmmond. arig their convomption. It would thid, thar. tore, not to ins stase, but to dmum nh, whit they called the unfavourable baline of th, he, and conscquently the exportation ot gola!, and stiver.

Such es they werc, bowever, those arguments convinced the people to whom they were addressed. They were adiressed by merchants to parliaments and to the ccunctis of princes, to nobles and to country gentit men; by those who were supposed to uncisstand trade, to those who were conconu, to themselves that they knew nothing ahout the matter. That foresen trade enmelied the country, expericsice dimonstrated to the mobles and country getitemen, as well as to the merchants; but how, or in what manitar none of them well hnew The merthats hnew perfectly in what manner it enmbue themedves, it was thor burinces to know it: but to how in what manner it enrichedt the country, was no part of thar busmes. The sulyect never came into them consideration, but when they had occiston to apply to thetr country for some change in the laws relating to furcign trade. It then becane necessary to say somethang about the buethetal eftects of foreign trade, and the manner 10 wheh those effects were obstructud by tie laws as they then stood. To the judges wio \#ere to duada the business, it appearid a most satisfactory account of the nuatier, when they were told that toragn trade brourht movey into the country, but that the lamesin question hindered at from bringug so p ueh as it otherw would do. I hose aryuments, therefore, produced the pislud-fut chlect. The 1 rulahation of exportung gold and salves
was, in France and England, confined to the coin of those respective conntrics. The lexportation of foreign coin and of bullion was made free. In Holland and in some other places, thic liberty was extended even to the coin of the country. The attention of government was turned away from guarding against the exportation of gold and silver, to watch over the balance of trade, as the only cause which could occasion any nug. mentation or duminution of those metals. From one fruitless care, it was turned away to another care much more intricate, much pore embarrassing, and just equally fruitless. The title of Mun's book, England's Treasure in Foreign Trade, became a fundamental maxim in the political economy, not of England only, but of all other commercial countries. The inland or home trade, the most important of all, the trade in which an equal capital affords the greatest revenuel, and creates the greatest employment to the people of the country, was considered as subsidiary only to foreign trade. It neither brought money into the country, it was said, nor carred any out of it. The country, thercfore, could never become either richer or poorer by means of it, except so far as its prosperity or decay might indirectly infuence the state of foreign trade.

A cocuntry that has no mines of its own, must undoubtedly draw its gold and silver fiom foreign countries, in the same manner as one that has no vineyards of its own must draw its wines. It does not seem necessary, however, that the attention of government should be more turned towarda the one than towards the other object. A country that has wherewithal to buy wine, will always get the wine which it has occasion for; and a country that has wherewithal to buy gold and silver, will never be in want of those metais. They are to be bought for a certain price, like all other commodites, and as they are the price of all other commodities, so all other commodities are the price of those metals. We trust with perfect security that the freedom of trade, without any atteation of government, will always supply us with the wine which we have occasion for; and we may trust with equal security that it will always supply us with all the gold and silver which we can afford to purchase or to employ, either in circulating our commodities, or in other uses.
The quantity of every commodity which | human industry can either purchase or produce, naturally regulates itself in every country according to the effictual demand, or according to the demand of those who are willing to pay the wbule rent, labour, and profits which must be paid un order to prepare and bring it to marhet. But no com-
I Not the greatest nett reveoue, which is the real standard by which the comparative advantageousness
modities regulate thenselves mure eanly, more exactly accordug to thes ellctur demand, than gold and wilber; becance, o account of the er all julk a $\ddagger$ great walue, those metals, at commolitics can be mer easily transported from one pl.ue to another. from the places where they are cheap to those where they are dear ; from the phaces where they exceed, to those where they tall short of this effectual denand. If there were in England, for example, an effectual demand for an additional quantity of gold. a packet-boat could bring from Listion, or from wherever else it was to be hal, fifity tuns of gold, which could be coined into more than five millions of guineas. But if there were an effectual demand for gran to the same value, to import it would requis. at five guineas a tun, a million of tuns of shipping, or a thousand ships of a thousand tuns each. The navy of England would not be sufficient.
When the quantity of gold and silver inported into any country exceeds the effectual demand, no vigilance of government can prevent their exportation. All the anguinary laws of Spain and Portugal are not able to keep their gold and silver at home. The continual importations from Peru and Brazil exceed the effectual demand of those countries, and sink the price of those metals there below that in the neighbouring countries. If, on the contrary, in any particulay country, their quantity fell short of the effectual demand, so as to raise their price above that of the neighbouring countries, the government would have no occasion to take any pains to innport them. If it wers even to take pains to prevent their importation, it would not be able to effectuate it. Those metals, when the Spartans had got wherewithal to purchase them, broke through, all the barriers which the lawe of Lycurgus opposed to their entrance into Lacedemon. All the sanguinary laws of the customs are not able to prevent the importation of the teas of the Dutch and Gottenburgh East India companies, because somew bat cheaper than those of the Bratish company. A pound of tea, however, is about a hundred times the bulk of one of the highest prices, sixteen shillings, that is commonly pard for it in silver, and more than two thousand times the bulk of the same price in gold, and consequently just no many times more dificult to smuggle.

It is partly owing to the easy tranyortation of gold and silver frum the places where they abound to those where they are wanted, that the price of thone metals does not fluetuate comthually, like that of the greater part of other commodities, which are handered by their bulk from stiflugg there situ-
of diferent enployments is to be entmated the note, ande, p lify.
mion, when the market happus to le cillaer our ar under-stocked with thena. The price of those metais, meded, in not altopether cxomptad from varatson; [ut the changes to whinhat alitule are gen 'ly slow, gradual, atal unforin. In Lurop, ior example, it is whposed, without much foundation pertiaps. that during the course of the present and prucedung century they have beco constantly, but gradudly, sinhing in their value, on account of the contmual tmportations from the byanish Wast Indics. But to male any suden change in the price of gold and atver so as to rase or luncr at once, sensibly and remarkably, the money price of all other commoditics, regures such a revolution in commerce as that occastoned by the discocris of America.

If, notuathstanding all this, gold and silwr should at any tame fall short in a country which has wherewithal to purchase them, there are more expedients for supplying their whec than that of almost any other commodity If the maturials of manufacture are $w$ duted, industry must stop. If provisions are wanted, the people must starve. Lut if money is wanted, barter will supply its phace, though with a good deal of anconvenicucy. buying and selling upon credit, and the diffirent dealers compensating their credits with one another once a month or once a year, watl supply at with less inconventeney. A well-regulated paper $m$ ney Will supply it not only without any in venulice, but, 10 some cases, with some vantages. Upon every account, therff the attention of government never was unnect ssarily employed, as when directed watch over the prevervation or uncrease o. the quantity of money in any country.

No complaint, however, is more common than that of a schrcity of money. Money, like wine, must always be scarce with those who have neither wherew thal to buy it, nor cruitu to burrow it. Those who have etther, wall sildom be in want ether of the money or of the wine which they have occasion for This complaint, however, of the scarcty of money is not alwavs coufined to improvidont ppendihrifts It is sometimes general through a whole mercantile toun and the country in its neghbourhood. Over-tradugg is the common cause of it. Sober men, whose projects have been disproportioned to therr capitals, are as likely to have nether whererrthal to buy money, nor eredit to inorrow it, as prodigals whose expense has theen divproportiened to their resenue. Befure their projects can be brought to bear, theer stock is gone, and their credit with it. They run about everywhere to horrow money, and every ludy tells them that they have none to lend. Even such general conplants of the scarcity of money do not alWrays prove that the usu.il number of gold
and oficr pheces are not corculaing in the woniry, but that many people nant thow puces who bave nothug to gue for them. When the protits of trade happen to be greater than ordinar, over-trading bicome a general error buth among great and amall dialers. 1 Ilicy do not alwass send more mones abroad than usuat, but the r buy upon credit, both at home and abrond, a unusual quantity of goods, wheh they sind to some distant marict, in hopes that the returis will come in before the damand fur payment. The demand comes before the returns, and they have nothing at hand with which they can either purchase money, or gre solid stcurity for borrowing It is not any scarcity of gold and slver, but the difficulty whuh such people find in borrowing, and whut their credators find in getting payment, that occastons the general complant of the scarcity of money.

It would be too ridiculuus to go alkut seriously to prove, that wealth does not consist in money, or in gold and silver, Lut in what money purchases, and is saluable only for purchasing. Mones, no duubt, makes always a part of the national capital, but it has already been shown that it general'y makes but a small part, and always the most unprofitable part of it.

It is not because wealth conuats more essentially in money than in goods, that the merchant finds it gencrally more easy to buy goods with moncy than to buy monev with goods; but because money is the known and established instrument of comineree, for wheh every thing is readily given in exchange, but which is not always with equal readmess to be got in exchange for evory thing. The greater part of grods, besads, are more pershable than moncy, and he may frequently sustan a much greatir loss by keeping them. When bis goods are ulon hand, tho, he is more lable to nom demands for money as he may not be able to answer, than when he has got their price in his cofters. Over and above all this, his profit arises more directly from selling than from buying, and he is, upon all these accounts, fener illy much more anxious to exchange his goods for meney than his moncy for goods. But though a particular merchant, with abundance of goods in his warehouse, may sometumes be ruined by not being able to sell them in tume, a nation or country is not lialle to the same accadent. The whole capital of a merchant frequendy consists in perishable goods destined for purchasing money. But it is but a ver small part of the annual protuce of the land and labour of a country which can ever be destuned for purchasing gold and silver from

[^61]therr peighbours. The far greater part is circulated and consumed among themselves; and even of the surplus which is sent abroal, the greater part is generally destined for the purchase of other foreign goods. Though gold and silver, therefore, could not be had in ezchange for the goods destined to purchase them, the nation would not be ruined. It might, iudeed, sulfer some loss and inconveniency, and be forced upon some of those expedients which are necessary for supplying the place of money. The annual produce of its land and labour, however, would be the same, or very nearly the same, as usual ; because the saine, or very nearly the same, consumable capital would be employed in maintaining it. And though groods do not always draw money so readuly as money draws goods, in the long run they draw it more necessarily than even it draws them. Goods can serve many other pur' poses besides purchasing money; but money can serve no other purpose besides purchasing goods. Money, therefore, necessarily runs after goods, but goods do not always or necessarily run after money. The man who buys, does not always mean to sell again, but frequently to use or to consume; wher a a he who sells, always means to buy again. The one may frequently have done the whole, but the other can never have done more than the one-half of his business.
' It is not for its own sake that men desire money, but for the sake of what they can purchase with it.

Consumable commodities, it is said, are soon destroyed; whereas gold and silver are of a more durable nature, and were it not for this continual exportation, might be accumulated for ages together, to the incredible augmentation of the real wealth of the country. Nothing, therefore, it is preteaded, can be more disadyantageous to any country, than the trade which consists in the exchange of such lasting for such perishable commodities. We do not, however, reckon that trade disadvantageous which consista in the exchange of the hardware of England for the wines of France; and yet hardware is a very durable commodity, and were it not for this continual exportation, might too be accumulated for ages together, to the incredible augmentation of the pots and pans of the country. But it readily occurs, that the number of such utensils is in every country necessarily limited by the use which there is for them; that it would be absurd to have more pots and pans than were necessary for cooking the victuals usually consumed there; and that if the quantity of victuals were to increase, the number of pots and pans would readily increase along with it, a part of the increased quantity of victuals being employed in purchasing them, or in maintaining an additional number of
workmen; whose business is was to make them. It should as readily oceur, that the quantity of gold and silver is in every coun- . try limited by the use which there is for those metals; that their use consisis in circulating commodities as coin, and in affording a apecies of bousebold furniture as plate; that the quantity of com in every country is regulated by the value of the commodities Which are to be circulated by it . therease that value, and imnediately a part of it wall be sent abroad to purchase, whertucr it is to be had, the additional quantity of coin requisite for circulating them: that the quantity of plate is regulated by the number and wealth of those private fumilis who choose to indulge themselves in that sort of magnificence: nercase the number and wealth of such families, and a part of this increased wealth will, most probably, bu employed in purchasing, wherever it is to be found, an additional quantity of plate. that to attempt to increase the wealth of any country, either by introducing or by detaining in it an unnecessary quantity of gold and silver, is as absurd as it would be to attempt to increase the good cheer of private families by obliging them to keep an unnecessary number of hatchen utensily. As the expense of purchasing those unnecessary utensils would diminish, instead of increasing, either the quantity or goodiuss of the family provisions, so the expense of p.":hasing an unnecessary quantity of gold ** silver must, in every country, as ncces $\therefore$ : ly diminish the wealth which fetds, , thes, and lodges, which maintaing and "aploys the people. Gold and silver. whether in the shape of coin or of plate, are utensils, it must be remembered, as much as the furniture of the kitchen. Inerease the use for them, increase the consumable commodities which are to be circulated, managed, and prepared by means of them, and you will infallibly increase the quantity; but if you attempt by extraordinary means to increase the quantity, you will as infallibly diminish the use, and even the quantity too, which in those metals can never be greater than what the use requiresWere they ever to be accumulated beyund this quantity, their transportation is so easy, and the loss which attends their lying idie and unemployed so great, that no law could prevent their being immediately sent out of the country.

It is not always necessary to accurnulate gold and silver in order to enable a country to carry on foreign wars, and to maintain fleets and armies in distant countries. Fleets: and armies are maintained, not with gold and silver, but with consumable goods The nation which, from the annual produce of $1 t 9$ domestic industry, from the annual revenue arising out of its lands, labour and con-
sumable stock, has wherewitha to purchase thuse consmen ble goods in distant countres, can mantan foremn wars there.

A nation may purchase the pay and provinions of an army in a distant country three different ways. by sending abroad estiser, first, some part of ats accumulated gold and silver, or, qecondly, some part of the annual proluce of its manulatures; or, last of all, some part of ats annual rude produce.

The gold and salver which can properly be considered as accumulated or stored up in atiy country, may be distinguished mos) three parts: hrst, the circulating money; scoomlly, the plate of private famules; and, latt of all, the money wheh may have been rollected by many ycars' parsmony, and laid up in the treasury of the prince.

It can seldom happen that much can be spared from the cnculatung money of the country, because in that there can seldom be much redundancy. The value of goods annually bought and sold in any country repuires a cortan quantity of money to circulate and distribute then to there proper consumers, and can give employment to no more. The channel of circulation necessarily draws to 1 self a sum sufficient to fill it, and never admits any more. Something, however, is generally withdrawn from this channel in the care of foreign war. By the great number of people who are mainLathed abroad, fewer are maintained at home. Fower goods are circulated there, and less money becomes necessary to circulate them. An extraondinary quantity of paper money of some sort or other, too, such as exchequer notes, navy' bills, and bank bills in England, 18 generally issued upon such oceasions, and, by supplying the place of circulating gold and silver, gives an opportunity of sending a greater quantity of it abroad. All this, however, could afford but a poor resource for maintaning a foreign war, of great expense and several years' duration.

The meltung down the plate of private famihes has, upon cvery occasion, been found a still more insignaticant one. The French, in the beginning of the last war, did not derive so much advantage from this expedent as to compensate the loss of the fashion.

The accuinulated treasures of the prince have, in former times, afforded a much greater and more lasting resource. In the prisent times, if you except the king of Prussia, to accumulate treasure seems to be no part of the policy of European princes.

The funds wheh mantaned the foreign wars of the present century, the most expensive perhaps which history records, seem to have had little dependency upon the exportation either of the circulating money, or of the plate of private families or of the treasurn of the prince. The last French war cost Great Britain upwards of ninety mullions
ineluding not only the seventy-five mullions of new delt that was contracted, but the addational two shulhings in the pound landtax, and what was annually borrowed of the sinking fund. More than two-thirds of this expense were hud out in distant countries, in Germany, Portugal, America, in the ports of the Mediterranean, in the East and West Indies. The kings of England Lad no accumulated treasure. We never hearid of any extraordinary quantity of plate bethe metted down. The circulating gold and suver of the country had not been supposed to exceed eighteen millions. bince the latrecomage of the gold, however, it is belesed to nave been a good deal under-rated I et us suppose, therefure, according to the movt exaggerated computation whech I remeruber to have etther scen or heard of, that, golid and silver together, it amounted to thirty millions. Had the war been carried on by means of our moncy, the whole of it must, even according to this computation, have been sent out and returned again at least twice, in a period of between six and suen years. Should this be supposed, it would afford the most decisive argument to demonstrate how unnecessary it is for government to watch over the prescriation of money, since, upon this supposition, the whole money of the country must have gone from it and returned to it agam, two different times in so short e period, without any body's knowing any thing of the matter. The channel of circulation, however, never appeared more empty than usual during any part of this period. Few people wanted money who had wherewithal to pay for it. The profits of foreign trade, mideed, were greater than usual during the whole war, but espectally towards the end of it. This occassoned, what it always occasions, a general over-trading in all the ports of Groat Britain; and this ugain oceasioned the usual complaint of the scarcity of money, wheh always follows over-trading. Many people wanted it, who had nether wherewithal to buy it, nor credit to borrow it; and because the debtors found it difficult to borrow, the creditors found it difficult to get payment. Gold and silver, however, were gencrally to be had for their value, by those who had that value to give for them.

The enormous expense of the late war, therefore, must have been chiefly defrayd, not by the exportation of gold and silver, but by that of British commodities of some kind or other. When the government, or those who acted under them, contracted with a merchant for a remittance to some foreign country, he would naturally endeavour to pay has foreign correspondent, upon whon he had granted a bill, by sending abroail rathet commodities than gold and silver. If the commodties of Great Britain were not
in demand in that country, he would endeavour to send them to some other country, in which he could purchase a bull upon that country. The transportation of commodities, when properly suited to the market, is always attended witi a considerable profit; whereas that of gold and silver is scarce ever attended with any. When those metals are sent abroad in order to purchase foreigu commodities, the merchant's profit arises, not from the purchase, but from the sale of the returns. But when they are sent abroad merely to pay a debt, be gets no returns, and consequently no profit. He naturally, therefore, exerts his invention to find out a way of paying his foreign debts, rather by the exportation of commodities than by that of gold and silver. The great quantity of British goods exported during the course of the late war, without bringing back any returns, is accordingly remarked by the author of the Present State of the Nation.

Besides the three sorts of gold and silver above mentioned, there is in all great commercial countries a good deal of bullon alternately imported and exported, for the purposes of foreign trade. This bullion, as it circulates among different commercial countries in the same manner as the national coin circulates in every particular country, may be considered as the money of the great mercantile republic. The national coin receives its movement and direction from the commodities circulated within the precincts of cach particular country; the money of the mercantile republic from those circulated
1 between different countries. Both are empployed in facilitating exchanges: the one between different individuals of the same, the other between tbose of different nations. lart of this money of the great mercantile republic may have been, and probably was, employed in carrying on the late war. In time of a general war, it is natural to suppose that a movernent and direction should be impressed upon it, different from what it usually follows in profound peace; that it should circulate more about the seat of the war, and be more employed in purchasing there, and in the neighbouring countries, the pay and provisions of the different armies,

[^62]But whatever part of this money of the $n \cdot x-$ cautile republac Great Britain may have annually employed in this manner, it must have been annually purchased, ether with Bratish commodites, or with something vhe that had been purchased with them; wheh still brings us back to commodities, to the annual produce of the land and Jabour of the country, as the ultimate resources which enabled us to carry on the war. It is natural indeed to suppose, that so great an ainnual expense must have been defrayed from a great annual produca The expense of 1761 , for example, amounted to more than pine. teen millons. No accumulation conld havo supported so great an annual profusion. There is no annual produce, even of gold and silver, which could have supported it. The whole gold and silver annually imported into both Spain and Portugal, according to the best accounts, does not commonly much exceed aix millions sterling't whith, in some years, would scarce have paid fuur months' expense of the late war. ${ }^{2}$

The commodities most proper for being eransported to distant countries, in order to purchase there etther the pay and provisions of an army, or some part of the moncy of the mercantile republic to be employrd in purchasing them, seem to be the fuer and more improved manufactures; such as corttain a great value in a small bulk, and can therefore be exported to a great distance at little expense. A country whose industry produces a great annual surplus of such manufactures, which are usually exported to foreign countries, may carry on for many years a very expensive foremg war, whhout either exporting any considerable quantity of gold and sulver, or even having any such quantity to export a considerable part of the annual surplus of its manufactures must, indeed, in this case, be exported without bringing back any returns to the country, though it does to the merchant; the government purchasing of the merchant lis bills upon foreign countries, in order to purehase there the pay and provisions of an army. Some part of this surplus, however, may still continue to bring back a returo. The mannfacturers, during the war, will have a doublo:
the least lifely to he exported to pay a forelpo balance. The demand for bullion is compraratively ateady; and If, on the one band, an unusitul quanelty were imported into one country, it would reture ths Falue, and cause its effur ; while, on the other band, If an unusual supply of bullion were exported. is would raiee tha value, and oceation Its fntlux. Ia pount of fact, bullion is never exported to deatrot, but always to find its level. The operations of the merchanta who deat in te are cheedy confined to the distritution of the fresh aupplits ansually dug the distritution of the fresh auppha annualy dug which is the same thing, its supply end demand aro too constant, to admat of its becoming a favoornte object of speculative demand, or of its being either imported into or exported from particular comstrice in much larger quentites at one tune that asother.
demand upon them, ard be called upon, firs, to work up groods to lo sent abroad, fur paying the bulls drawn upon foretgn countries for the pay and provisions of the army; and, scondly, to work up such as are necessary fur purchasing the common returns that had usually heen cansumed in the country. In the midst of the most destructive forcign war, therefore, the greater part of manufictures may frequently flourish greatly; and, on the contrary, they may decline on the return of peace. They may flounsh amdht the ruin of therr country, and begra to diesay upon the return of its prosperity The dificrent state of many different branches of the British manufactures during the late war, and for some time after the peace, may serve as an illustration of what has been just now sand

No foreign war of great expence or duration could convenmently be carried on by the exportation of the rude produce of the soll. The expense of sending such a quantrty of it uto a foreign country as might purchase the pav and prorisions of an army, would be too great. Few countries, too, produce much more rude produce than what is suff cunt for the subuntence of their own inhabitants To send abroad any great quantity of 1 , therefore, would be to send abroad a part of the necessary subsistence of the people It is otherw ise with the exportation of nianuffactures. The maintenance of the peond employed in them is kept at home, and ouly the surplus part of their work is exported Mr. Hume frequently takes notice of the inability of the ancient kings of England to carry on, without interruption, any foregn war of long duration. The English in those days had nothing wherewithal to purchase the pay and provisions of their armies in foreigo countries, but either the rude produce of the sonl, of which no consulerable part could be spared from the home consumption, or a fow manufactures of the coarsest kind, of which, as well ns of the rude produce, the transportation was too expensre. Thus mablity did not arise from the want of money, but of the finer and more improved manufartures Buying and selling wis transacted by means of money in Englaud then as well as now. The quantity of curculating money must have borne the same proportion to the number and value of purchases and sales usually transacted at that ume, wheh it does to those transacted at present; or rather, it must have borne a greater proportion, because there was then no paper, which now occupes a great part of the employment of gold and sulver. Among nations to whon commerce and manufaclurcs are hittle known, the sovereign, upon extraordinary oceasions, can seldom draw any constiderable aid from his subjects, for reswons which shall be explaned hereafter

It is in such countries, thercfore, that be generally endeavours to accumulate a treasure, as the only resource agrinst such emergencies. Independent of this necessity, he is in such a situation, naturally daposed to the parsimony requiste for accumulation. In that sumple state, the expense cren of a sovereign is not directed by the vanity whuth delights in the gaudy finery of a court, but is emploged in bounty to his tenants, and horpitality to his retamers. But bounty and hospitality very seldom lead to extravaganc, hough vanity almost diways does Every Tartar chief, accordingly, has a theasure The treasures of Mazeppa, chicf of the Cossacs in the Uhrance, the famous ally of Charles the Twelfh, are sad to have been very grest. The French kings of the Merovingian race had all treasures. When they dinded ther kingdom among their difictent children, they divided their treasures too The Sayon princes, and the first hings attor the Conquest, seem hikewise to have accumulated treasures. The first exploit of every new reign, was commonly to setze the treasure of the preceding hing, as the most essental measure for securing the sucecsslon. The sovereigns of improved and commencial countries are not under the same necessity of accumulating treasurcs, because they can gencrally draw from ther subjects extraordinary ads upon extraordinary oecasions. They are likewiee has disposed to do so. They naturally, perhaps necessarily, follow the mode of the times, and their expense comes to be negulated by the same extraragant vanty which disects that of all the other great proprietors in therr dominions. The insigmificant pageantry of their court becomes every das more briliant, and the expense of it mot only prevents accumulation, but frequently encroaches upon the funds deatined for more necessary expenses What Dereyllidas said of the court of Persia, may be applied to that of several European princes, that he saw there much splendour, but little strength, and many serrants, but fuw solders

The importation of gold and sllver is not the principal, much less the sole, benefit which a nation derives from its foreign trade Between matever places foreign trade is carried on, they all of them derive tro distinct benefits from it. It carnes out that surplus part of the produce of their land and lahour for which there is no demand among them, and brings back in return for it some thing else for which there is a demand. It gives a value to their superfuities, by exchanging them for something else, which may satusfy a part of their wants and increase their enjogments By means of it, the marnowness of the home market does not tinder the division of labour in any particulat branch of art of manufacture frum being
carried to the highest perfection. By opening a more extensive market for whatever part of the produce of their habour may exceed the home consumption, it encourages them to improve its productive powers, and to augment its annual produce to the utmost, ?and thereby to increase the real revenue and wealth of the society. These great and important services foreign trade is continually occupied in performing to all the different countries between which it is carried on. They all derive great benefit from it, though that in which the merchant resides generally derives the greatest as he is generally more employed in supplying the wants, and zarrying out the superfluties of his own, than of any other particular country. To import the gold and silver which may be wanted into the countries which baye no mines, is, no doubt, a part of the business of foreign commerce. It is, however, a most insignificant part of it. A country which carried on foreign trade merely upon this account, could scarce have occasion to freight a ship in a century.

It is not by the importation of gold and silver that the discovery of America has enriched Europe. By the abundance of the American mines, those metals bave become cheaper. A service of plate can now be purchased for about a third part of the corn, or a third part of the labour, which it would have cost in the fifteenth century. With the same annual expense of labour and commodities, Europe can annually purchase about three times the quantity of plate which it could have purchased at that time. But when a commodity comes to be sold for a third part of what had been its usual price, not only those who purchased it before can purchase three times their former quantity, but it is brought down to the level of a much greater number of purchasers, perhaps to more than ten, perhaps to more than twenty times the former number. So that there may be in Europe at present, not only more than three times, but more than twenty or thirty times the quantity of plate which would have been in it, even in its present state of improvement, had the discovery of the American mines never been made So far Europe has, no doubt, gained a real conveniency, though surely a very trifling one. The cheapness of gold and silver renders those metals rather less fit for the purposes of money than they were before. In order to make the same purchases, we must load ourselves with a greater quantity of them, and carry about a shilling in our pocket where a groat would have done before. It is difficult to say which is most trifling this inconveniency, or the opposite conveniency. Neither the one nor the other could have made any very essential change in the state of Europe. The discovery of

America, however, certainly made a most essential one. By opening a $n \in$ and in-: exhaustible market to all the commoditiss of; Europe, it gave occasion to new divistors of labour and improvements of art, which, in : the narrow circle of the ancient commerce, could never have taken place, for want of a market to take off the greater part of their produce. The productive powere of habour were improved, and its produce increased in all the different countries of Europe, and together with it the real revenue and wealth of the inkabitants. The commodities of Europe were almost all new to America, and many of those of America were new to Europe. A new set of exchanges, therefore, began to take place, which had never been thought of before, and which should naturally have proved as advantageous to the new, as it certainly did to the old contunent. The savage injustice of the Furopeans rendered an event, which ougbt to have been beneficial to all, ruinous and dostructive to several of those unfortunate countrics.

The discovery of a passage to the East Indies by the Cape of Good Hope, which happened much aboat the same time, opened perhaps a still more extensive range to foreign commerce than even that of America, notwithstanding the greater distance. There were but two nations in America in any respect superior to savages, and these were destroyed almost as soon as discovered. The rest were mere savages. But the empires of China, Indostan, Japan, as well as several others in the East Indias, without having richer mines of gold or silver, were, in every other respect, much richer, better cultivated, and more advanced in all arts and manufactures, than either Mexico or Peru, even though we should credit, what plainly deserves no credit, the exaggerated accounts of the Spanish writers concerning the ancient state of those empires. But rich and cinh-1 ised nations can always exchange to a much greater value with one another than with savages and barbarians. Europe, however, has bitherto derived much less advantage from its commerce with the East Indieq than from that with America. The Portugucse monopolised the East Indua trade to themselves for about a century; and it was only indirectly, and through them, that the other nations of Europe could either send out or receive any goods from that country. When the Dutch, in the beginning of the last century, began to encroach upon them, they vested their whole East India commerce in an exclusive company. The Engish, French, Swedes, and Danes, have all followed their example; so that no great nation in Europe has ever yet had the benefit of a free commerce to the East Indies. No other reason need be assigned why it bas never, been so advantageous as the trade to America,
whech, between almost every nation of Europe and ita own colones, is free to all its subjects The exclusive prosliges of those Cast Indua companits, their gratit mubes, the freat fivour and protection which thew have proutred them from their respectave governments, have exeited much envy agunst them. This envy has frequently represented their trade as altogether permenous, on account of the great quantites of salver whel it everv far expoita from the countries from whels it is carried on. The parties concerned have rephed, that their trade, by this contumal eppotation of silver, might indeed ten to mapoverish Europe in general, but not the particular country from whin it was carried on ; because, by the exportation of a part of the returns to other Eunopan countries, it annually brought home a much greater quantity of that metal than it earricd out. Both the objection and the reply are founded in the pupular notion which I have been just now evamunng. It is therefore unnecessary to say any thang further about wither. By the umual evportation of silver to the East Indes, plate is probably somewhat dearer in Lurope than it otherwise might have been; and coined silver probably purchases a larger quantity both of labour and commodities. The former of these two effects is a very small loss, the latter a very small advantage ; both too insigmiticant to deserve any part of the public attention. The trade to the East Indica, by openng a market to the commodities of Europe, or, what comes nearly to the same thang, to the gold and salver whel is purchased with those commodities, must necessarnly tend to increase the annual froduction of European commodities, and consequently the real wealth and revenue of Europe. That it has hitherto inereased them so little, is probably owing to the rectrants which it every where la bours under.

I thought it necusary, though at the haard of boing tedious, to examine at full length this popular notion, that wealth combists in money, or in gold and stiver. Money, in common hagmare, as I have already ohserved, frequently signities wealth; and this ambiguty of expression has rendered thes popular notion so familar to us, that even they who are convinced of ats absurdity are very apt to torget therr own prineaples, and, in the course of then reasonings, to tahe it for granted as a ccrtain and undeniable truth. Some of tho best English writers upon commetce set out with observing, that the wealth of a country consists, not in its gold and silver only, but in its lands, houses, and consumable goods of all dillerent kinds. In the course of their reasonngg, however, the lands, houses, snd comumalle goods, sewm to shp out of thenr nemony, and the stru:n of their argument
frequently supposis thit all uealth consists in grod and shver, and that to multiply those metals is the great object of national mulustry and commerce.

The two principles being established, how- ' ever, that wealth consisted in gold and sulver, and that those metals could be brought into a country which had no mines, only by the balance of trade, or by exporing to a greater value than it mported, it neecsiarity became the great object of political economy to dimursh as much as possible the importation of forcign goods for hone consumption, and to merease as much as ponsible the exportation of the produce of domestic midustr. Its two great engmes for enrabhing tive country, thercture, were restrants upul miportation, and encouragenment to exportatiom.

The restrants upon mportation ware of two kinds -

First, Restralnts upon the importation of such foreign goods tor home consmmption is could be produced at home, from whatever country they wele imported.

Secondly, Restraints upon the importation of goods of almost all linds from those par ticular countries with whid the balance of trade was supposed to be disadsantagcous.

Those difterent restrants consisted some-, times in bugh duties, and sometimes in absolute prohibitions.

Exportation was encouraged, sometnnes by drawbacks, sometnines by bounties, someumes by advantageous treaties of commerce with foreign states, and somitumes by the establishment of colonies in distant countries. ,

Drawbacks were gisen upon two different occasions. When the home manufactures were subject to any duty or excise, either the whole or a part of it was frequently drawn back epon their exportation, and when foreign goods hable to a duty were imported, in order to be exported agun, enther the whole or a part of this duty was sometnues gasen back upon such exportation.

Bountres were given for the encouragement eather of some begmang maunfactures, or of such sorts of industry of other kinds as were supposed to deselve particular favour.

Dy advantageous treathes of commeree, particular pribleges were procured in some foreign state for the goods and merchants of the country, bey ond what were granted to those of other countries.

By the estabhshment of colonies in dis tant countries, not only particular pivileges, but a monopoly was frequently procured for the goods and merchants of the country whel established them.

The two sorts of restraints upon inpportathon ahove mentioned, together with these four encouragencents to exportation, constltute the six principal means by which the commerchal system propuses to increase the quaitity of goll adil silier in any coustry,
by turning the balance of trade in its favour. I shall consider each of them in a particular chapter, and, without taking much further notice of their supposed tendency to bring money into the country, I shall examine chiefly what are likely to be the effects of each of them upon the annual produce of its industry. According as they tend either to increase or diminish the value of this annual produce, they must evidently tend either to increase or diminsh the real wealth and revenue of the country.

## CHAP. II.

## Of Restraints upon the importation from Foreign Countrues of such Goods as can be produced at home.

By restraining, either by high duties, or by absolute prohibitions, the importation of such goods from foreign countries as can be produced at home, the monopoly of the home market is more or less secured to the domestic industry employed in producing them. Thus the probrbition of importing either hive cattle or salt provisions from foreign countries secures to the graziers of Great Britain the monopoly of the home market for butcher's meat. The bigh duties upon the importation of corn, which, in times of moderate plenty, amount to a prohibition, give a like advantage to the growers of that commodity. The prohibition of the importation of foreign woollens is equally favourable to the woollen manufactures, The silk manufacture, though altogether employed upon foreign materials, has lately obtained the same advantage. The linen manufacture has not yet obtained it. but is making great strides towards it. Many other sorts of manufacturers, have, in the same manner, obtained in Great Britain, either altogether or very nearly, a monopoly against their countrymen. The variety of goods, of which the importation into Great Britain is prohibited, enther absolutely or under certain circumstances, greatly exceeds what can easily be suspected by those are not well acquainted with the laws of the Customs. ${ }^{2}$
I That this monopoly of the home market
1 In this matter an extraordinary change has taken place of late years. In 1820 , the merchants of London presented a petition to parhament in favour of free trade (eee Supplementary Notes); and onme considerable changes in the restrictive system were soon after effected by Mr. Huskison. But it Wras reserved for Sir Robert Peel to carry out to its fullest exteat the hberal policy recommended by Sroith. Besides repeaing the duties on a vast variety of umimportant artucles, he repeated those on wool, raw oulk, and other arthcies employed in manufac: turca, and on corn, the prime article of subastenre; and he imposed reasunable duties, of 10 per cent. on woollen goode, is per cent. on olls, do., and we
frequently gives great enconragement to that particular species of industry which enjoys it, and frequently turns towards that employment a greater share of both the labour and * stock of the society than would otherwise have gone to it, cannot be doubted. But whether it tends elther to increase the general industry of the socsety, or to give it the most advantageous direction, is not? perhape altogethet to evjdent.

The general industry of the society never can exceed what the capital of the gociety can employ. As the number of workmen ; that can be kept in employment by eny particular person must bear a certain proportion to his capital, so the number of those that can be continually employed by all the members of a great soblety must bear a certain proportion to the whole capital of that society, and never can exceed thut proportion. No regulation of commerce can increase the quantity of induatry in any society beyond what its capital can maintaln. It can only divert a part of it intu a direc. tion into which it might not otberwise have gone; and it is by no means certain tat this artificial direction is likely to be mure advantageous to the socsety than that into which it would have gone of its own accord.
Every individual is continually exerting himself to find out the most advantageous employment for whatever capital he can command. It is his own advantage, indeed, and not that of the society, which he has in view. But the study of his own advantage naturally, or rather necessarily, leads him to prefer that employment which is most advantageous to the society.

Furst, Every individual endeavours to employ his capital as near home as be can; and consequently as much as he can in the support of domestic industry; provided always that he can thereby obtain the ordinary, or not a great deal less than the ordinary, profits of stock.

Thus, upon equal, or nearly equal, profits, every wholesale merchant naturally prefers the home trade to the foreign trade of consumption, and the foreign trade of consumption to the carrying trade. ${ }^{2}$ In the home trade his capital is never so long out of his sight as it frequently is in the foreign trade of consumption. He can know better the character and situation of the persons whom he trusts; and if he should happen to be deceived, be knows better the lawi of the country from which be must seek redress.
forth, on articlen that had preptonaly been prohibited. But in this respect we have gone from one extreme to another. Latterly we have not tried to modify or improve the custome dutiea, but to abolinh them. And we have eloewhere ahown that this is both dangerous and inexpedient. see Treatise on Taxation, 3rd ed., Pp. 220-234.

2 Upon equal, or nearly equal, gross profits this is ' the case. But when the nett profict of different bugneses are equal, it is innmaterial to captahatit Whether they invest theur stuck in the home trade, or the cariyng trade, and it in aluo ammaterial to the public.

In the carrying trade, the capital of the merchant is, as it were, dwaded between two foreign countries; and no part of it is ever necessaraly brought home, or placed under his own immediate view and command. The capital which an Amsterdam merehant employs in carrying corn from Konnigsberg to Lisbon, and fruit and wite from Lisbon to Konnigsberg, must generally be the one half of it at Konaigstorg and the other half at Lisbon. No part of it need cser come to Ansterdam. The natural resudence of auch a merchant bhould ether be at Konnigaberg or Lustion, and it can only be sume very particular circumstances which can make him prefer the residence of Amsterdam, The uneasiness, however, which he feels at being separated so far from his capital, gimerally determanes han to brang part both of the Konnggberg poods which be destines fot the market of Lisbon, and of the Lisbon pouds which he destines for that of Konugsbura, to Amsterdan : and though this muthsarily subjuets him to a double charge of lowhing and unloading, as well as to the pisment of some duties and customs, yet, fol the sake of having some part of his capital always under his own view and command, he villingly submits to this extraordinary charge; and it is in this manner that every country which has any considerable share of the carrying trade, becomes always the timporium, or general market, for the goods of all the different countries whose trade it carrtes on. The merchant, in order to save it second loading and unloading, endcavours uluays to sell in the home market as much of the groods of all those difitrent countries as he can, and thus, so far as he can, to convert his carrying trade into a foreign trude of consumption. A merchant, in the same manner, who is engaged in the foreign trade of consumption, when he collects goods for furegn markets, will always be glad, upon equal, or nearly equal, profits, to sell as rrat a part of them at home as he can. He saves hinself the risk and trouble of cyportation, when, so far as he can, he thus converts his foreign trade of consmonption into a home trade. Home is in this manner the centre, if I may say so, round which the capitals of the inhabitants of every country are continually circulating, and towards wheh they are always tending, though by particular causes they may sometumes be driven off and repelled from it tow ards more dastant employments. But a capital employed in the hone trade, it has already been shown, necessarily puts into motion a greater quantity of domestic industry, and gives revenue and employment to a greater number of the mhabitants of the country, than an equal capital employed in the foreign trade of consumption: and one employed in the forcign trade of consumption
has the same advintage over an equal capital employed in the carrying trade. ' Upon equal, ur only nearly equal, profits, theretore, a very individual naturaliy inchenes to employ his capital in the manner in which it is likely to afford the greatest support to domestic industry, and to give revenue ant employment to the great cst number of people of his own country

Second $l_{y}$, Every individual who empliya, has capital in the support of domestic industry, necessarily endeavours so to direct that industry, that its produce may be of the greatest possible value.

The produce of industry is what it adds to the subject or materials upon whteh it is employed. In proportion as the value of this produce is great or small, so will likewise be the profits of the employer. But it is only for the sake of profit that any man emploss a capatal in the support of industry; and he will always, therefore, endeavour to employ it in the support of that industry of which the produce is likely to be of the greatest value, or to exchange for the greatest quantity either of money or of other goods.

But the annual revenue of every socicty is always precisely equal to the exchangeable value of the whole annual produce of its industry, or rather is precisely the same thing with that exchangeable value. As every individual, therefore, endeavours as much as he can both to employ his capital in the support of domestic industry, and so to direct that industry that its produce may be of the greatest value, every individual necessarnly labours to render the annual revenue of the suciety as great as he can. Ife generally, indeed, neither intends to promote the public interest, nor knows how much he is promoting it. By preferring the support of domesthe to that of forenge industry, he intends only his own security; and by directing that industry in such a manner as its produce may be of the greatest value, he intends only his own gain, and he is in this, as in many other cases, led by an invisuble hand to promote an end which was no part of his intention. Nor is it always the worse for the society that it was no part of it. By pursuing his own interest he frequently promotes that of the society more effictually than when he really intends to promote to. 1 have never known much goed done by those who afferted to trade for the public good. It is an affectation, indeed, not very common among merchants, and very few words need be employed in dissuading them from it.

What is the species of domestic zadustry which his capital can employ, and of whech the produce is likely to be of the greatest value, every indisidual, it is evident, can, in his lucal sutuation, judge much better than any statesman or lawgleer can do for tum.

[^63]The statesman, who should attempt to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals, would not only load himself with a most unnecessary attention, but assume an authority which could safely be trusted, not only to no single person, but to no council or senate whatever, and which would nowhere be so dangerous as in the hands of a man who bad folly and presumption enough to fancy himself fit to exercise it.

To give the monopoly of the home market to the produce of domestic industry, in any particular art or manufacture, is in some measure to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals, and must, in almost all cases, be either a useless or a hurtful regulation. If the produce of domestic can be brought there as cheap as that of foreign industry, the regu. lation is evidently useless. If it cannot, it must generally be hurtful. It is the maxim of every prudent master of a family, never to attempt to make at home what it will cost him more to make than to buy. The tailor dioes not attempt to make his own shoes, but buys them of the shoemaker. The shoemaker does not attempt to make his own clothes, but employs a tailor. The farmer attempts to make neither the one nor the other, but employs those different artificers. All of them find it for their interest to employ their whole industry in a way in which they have some advantage over their neighbours, ant to purchase with a part of its produce, or, what is the same thing, with the price of a part of it , whaterer else they have occasion for.

What is prudence in the conduct of every private family, can scarce be folly in that of a great kingdom. If a foreign country can supply us with a commodity cheaper than wé ourselves can make it, better buy it of them with some part of the produce of our own industry, employed in a way in which we bave some advantage. The general industry of the country, being always in proportion to the capital which employs it, will not thereby be diminished, no more than that of the above-mentioned artificers, but only left to find out the way in which it can be employed with the greatest advantage. It is certainly not employed to the greatest advantage, when it is thus directed towards an object which it can buy cheaper than it can make. The value of its annual produce is certainly more or less diminished, when it is thus turned away from producing commodities evidently of more value than the commodity which it is directed to produce. According to the supposition, that commodity could be purchased from foreign countries cheaper than it can be made at home, it could, therefore, have been purchased with a part only of the commodities, or, what is
the same thing, with a part only of the price of the commodities, which the industry employed by an equal capital would have produced at home, had it been left to follow its natural course. The industry of thy country, therefore, is thus turned away frony a more to a less advantageous employment, and the exchangeable value of its annusi produce, instead of being increased, according to the intention of the law giver, must necessarily be diminished by every such regulation.

By means of such regulations, indeed, a particular manufacture may sometimes be acquired sooner than it could bave been otherwise, and after a certain time may be made at home as cheap or cheaper than in the foreign country. But though the industry of the society may be thus carried with advantage into a particular channel sooner than it could have been otherwise, it will by no means follow that the sum totil, either of its industry, or of its revenue, can ever be augmented by any such regulation. The industry of the society can augment only in proportion as its capital augments, and its capital can augment only in proportion to what can be gradually saved out of its revenue. But the immediate effect of every such regulation is to diminish its revenue; and what diminishes its revenue is certainly not very likely to augment its capital faster than it would have augmented of its own accord, had both capital and industry been left to find out their natural employments.

Though for want of such regulations the society should never acquire the proposed manufacture, it would not, upon that account, necessarily be the puorez in any one period of its duration. In every period of its duration its whole capital and industry might still have been employed, though upon different objects, in the manner thut was most advantageous at the time. In every period its revenue might have been the greatest which its capital could afford, and both capital and revenue might bave been augmented with the greatest possible rapidity.

The natural advantages which one coun-s try has over another in producing particular commodities are sometimes so great, that it is acknowledged by all the world to be in. vain to struggle with them. By means of glasses, hotbeds, and hotwalls, very good grapes can be raised in Scotland, and very good wine too can be made of them at about thirty times the expense for which at least equally good can be brought from foo reign countries. Would it be a reasonable Jaw to prohibit the importation of all foreign wines merely to encourage the making of claret and burgundy in Scotland? But if there would be a manifest absurdity in turning towaras any emplogment thisty
tines more of the caputal and industry of the conntry, than would be necessary to purchase trom foreyn countres an equal fuantily of the commodities waited, there must br an absurdity, though not altogether 50) gharing, yet exactly of the same kind, in turnang towards any such emplovment a thurtieth. of cuen a three-hundredib part more of ether. Whether the adiantages which one country has over anotber be natural or acquired, is in this respect of no cunsequence. As long as the one country has thuse alvantages, and the other wants then, it will always be more advantageous for the latter rather to buy of the fummer than to make. It is an acquired advantage only, which one artificer has over his neighrour, who exeruses another trade; and yet they both find it more adynntageous to buy of one another, than to make what does not belong to thcir particular trades.

Mi rchants and manufacturers are the people who derive the greatest advantage from this manopoly of the home market ${ }^{1}$ The prohibition of the importation of foreign catile, and of salt prosistons, together with the high dutes upon foreign corn, which in tames of moderate plenty amount to a pro-

1 The adrantage they derive from the monopoly is but inconenderable. Competation beng alwave free among the home producers, the exclusson of any perticular variety of foreign manufactured goods cannot eleaste the pronts of those whe prituce simisar articles at bonie bove the comonon level. and mefely attracts as much addilional capital to the particular business, as may be required to the particular business, as may be required to firmsh an adequate supply of goods. It hat never been compended, that the busmesses deepest en-
trenched behind ramparts of prohibituons and resirictuns, are in ary respect more lucrative than those that are exposed to the freest competition. It is obviou, too, that there are no means of extending such businesaes bryond what may be required fir the supply of the domestic consumet ; for those who can probuce the same commudities at less expense. are sure to obtain the entire command of the foreign marhet.
a We observed, in reference to thes gubject in the imprescmon of this work issued in lske, that "There are good grounds frer thinking that the lows and ine conventente that alwavs follow the exchange of an extu-ive for a free commercisl system, have been
 In those branches ot manufacturnig modustry in Great Brifann, that could not be cartied on were an unreotranted fureign competion allowed, form but an hnconsillerable portion of our manufacturng populatwn; and as they onlp derive any advantase from the restrictive ststem, they only would sustimany injury from its abohtion.
"I he value of the manufactured gooda anouthly proluced in Great Britain has been estimated at about 195 millions sterlmg, ine the raw maternal. But Imen and silk are the only manufactures that could be at all seriousy affected by the freest intercourae with other countrics; and the aggregate value of both theee branches, inclusive of the raw material, does not probsbly exceed 18 or 90 millions. or from a sixth to a feventh part of our whole manufacturet and cannot, therefore, be supposed to afford employment to more than corresponding portion of out manufarturmg population
"In point of fact, however. the free importation of formgn linens and silks would oniy supersede a very emali part of these manutactures There is no reason for supposing that anv of the prancupal branche of the limen manulacture would be materaily inulured
hibition, are not near so advantageous to the graziers and farmers of Great Britain, as other regulations of the same kind are to uts merchants and manufacturers. Manufactures, those of the finer kind espectally, are more easily transported from one country to another than corn or cattle. It is in the fetching and carrying manutactures, accordingly, that foreign irade is chiefly employed. In manufactures, a very small advantage will eaable foreigners to undersell our own workmen, even in the bowe market. It will require a very great one to enable them to do so in the rude produce of the sonl. It the free importation of foreign manufactures were permitted, several of the bome manufactures would, probably, suffer, and some of them, perhaps, go to ruin altogether, and a considerable part of the stock and industry at present employed in them nould be forced to find out some other employment. ${ }^{2}$ Bi't the freest importation of the rude produce of the soll could have no such effect upon the agriculture of the country.

If the importation of foreign cattle, for example, were made ever so free, so few could be imported, that the graing trade of Great Britain could be little affected by it.

The gradual reduction of the existing duties on the importstion of linens. And although the E rench excel in the manufacture of lighter ailk fabrics, we are superior or at least equal to them in the manuancture of gloves and hosiery, and in that of poplina, and all those mixed tabnces of which silk is the basts, and all those mixed habnica of wheh silit is the basts,
and we are giso rivalling them in the brighthess of and we are giso rivalunR them in the brigheses of
our colours, ind the durabilty of our dves it has our colours, and the durability of our dves it has been a common practice to insure the safe dehvery
of Freach ankio in any part of London for from 10 to 15 per cent premium; so that it was n't, as commonly suppoied, to much, perhaps, to protibitory regulawons as to our own hagenury, that our alk manufacturers were indebted for that monopoly of the market they so long enjoyed But their bupprased dependence on customs regulations made them foduterent to improvements ; and to such an extent did this operate, that they were decidediy mianor, even in respect of machinery, to e ther the Firench or Germans. Mr Husksemon had aagacity to percelve the cause of the interiontr, and couraze to undertake the introluction of a new spaten In ix $\alpha 5$ be reduced the duties on raw silk to a ncarlv nommal amount, and inaterialiv diminshed those on thrown or organimed ailk; while, at the same ume, the prohibutuou of foreign silk gooils was repealed, and they hibutum of forelgn silik gooid was repealed, and they
were al'owed to be entered for home consumption. Were al owed to oe entered for home cungumption, On pasing an import duty of ki per cent. ad owhrens. Tbis charge of syatem was voledtly opposed, and many predicted that it would ruin the manutacture.
But tr ene simister augurfes proved to be wholly falia: But tr cee sinistcr augurles proved to be wholly falia-
cious. The meature, minct, was sigaliv successclous. The meature, in fact, was signaliv successfut. The manusacturers, on ionger dependunq on
custom-houge rexulsuone, put forch all ther energles; and, havinz called the various re-ources of acience and ingenusty to ther add, the mapufacture was more improved and extended during the dosen years endug with 1637, than it had been durng the previous century"
The daty of 30 per cent. on imported salks being so high at to give a conederable stimulus to smugghing, was reduced by Sur Robert Peel to 15 per cent., at which, or 10 per rent., it thould have mntinued It was, bocever, wholiy repealed in ision under a clase of the French treatr of that year. The dutiee on forergn luens are now alto wholly repealed. And yet thege manufactures are $(1863)$ in a dounshing condition, and me far moer are (lobi) in a dounsung condition, an

Live cattle are, perhaps, the only commodity of, which the transportation is more expensive I by sea than by land. By land they carry themselves to market. By sea, not only the cattle, but their food and their water too, must be carried at no small expense and inconveniency. The short sea between Ireland and Great Britain, indeed, renders the importation of Irish cattle more easy. But thougl the free importation of them, which was lately permitted only for a limited time, were rendered perpetual, it could have no considerable effect upon the interest of the graziers of Great Britain. 1 Those parts of Great Britain which border upon the Irish sea are all grazing countries, Irish cattle could never be imported for their use, but must be drove through those very extensive countries, at no small expense and inconveniency, before they could arrive at their proper market. Fat cattle could not be drove so far. Lean cattle, therefore, only could be imported; and such importation could interfere, not with the interest of the feeding or fattening countries, to which, by reducing the price of lean cattle, it would rather be advantageous, but with that of the breeding countries only. The small number of Irish cattle imported since their importation was permitted, together with the good price at which lean cattle still continue to sell, seem to demonstrate tbat even the breeding countries of Great Britain are never likely to be much affected by the free importation of Irish cattle. The common people of Ireland, indeed, are said to have sometimes opposed with violence the exportation of their cattle. But if the exporters had found any great advantage in continuing the trade, they could easily, when the law was on their side, have conquered this mobbish opposition.

Feeding and fattening countries, besides, must always be highly improved, whereas breeding countries are generally uncultivated. The high price of lean cattle, by augmenting the value of uncultivated land, is like a bounty against improvemeni To any country which was highly impioved throughout, it would be more advantageous to import its lean cattle than to breed them. The province of Holland, accordingly, is said to fullow this maxim at present. The mountains of Scotland, Wales, and Northumberland, indeed, are countries not capable of much improvement, and scem destined by nature to be the breeding countries of Great Britain. The freest importation of foreign cattle could have no other effect

[^64]than to hinder those breeding countries from taking advantage of the increasing population and improvement of the rest of the kingdom, from raising their price to an exorbitant height, and from laying a real tax upon all the more improved and cultivated parts of the country.

The freest importation of salt provisions, in the same manner, could have as little effect upon the interest of the graziers of Great Britain as that of live cattle. Salt provisions are not only a very bulky commodity, but when compared with fresh meat, they are a commodity both of worse quality, and as they cost more labour and expense, of higher price. They could never, therefore, come into competition with the fresh meat, though they might with the salt provistons of the country. They might be used for victualling ships for distant voyages, and such like uses, but could never make any considerable part of the food of the people. The small quantity of salt provisions imported from Ireland since their importation was rendered free, is an experimental proof that our graziers have nothing to apprehend from it. It does not appear that the price of butcher's meat has ever been sensibly affected by it.

Even the free importation of foreign corn could very little affect the interest of the, farmers of Great Britain. Corn is a much' more bulky commodity than butcher's meat. A pound of wheat at a penny is as dear as a pound of butcher's magt at fourpence The small quantity of foreign corn imported even in times of the greatest scarcity, may satisfy our farmers that they can have nothing to fear from the freest importation. The average quantity imported, one year with another, amounts only, according to the very well informed author of the tracts upon the corn trade, to twenty-three thousand seven hundred and twenty-eight quarters of all sorts of grain, and does not exceed the five hundredth and seventy-one part of the annual consumption. ${ }^{2}$ But as the bounty upon corn occasions a greater exportation in years of plenty, so it must of consequence occasion a greater importation in years of scarcity, than in the actual state of tillage would otherwise take place. By means of it, the plenty of one year does not compensate the scarcity of another; and as the average quantity exported is necessarily augmented by it, so must likewise, in the actual state of tillage, the average quantity imported. If there were no bounty, as less corn would be exported, so it is probable that, one year
guence partly, po doubt, of the then existing refalations in regand to importation, but far more of favoturable harveats and the spread of agncultural improvement. Latterly, however, of from lkay down to the prewent time ( $18+3$ ), the mports have been very karge. Sea Eupplemeutal Noto on Carn Lawe.
qith another, less would be imported than at present. 'The corn merchants, the fetchers and carriers of corn between Great Britain and formgn countries, would have much less employ ment, and might suffer considerably; but the country gentlemen and farmers could sulter very hitle. It is in the corn merchants acoordingly, rather than in the country gentlemen and farmers, that I have obaerved the greatest anxiety for the renewal and continuation of the bounty ${ }^{1}$

Country gentlemen and farmers are, to their great honour, of all people the least subject to the writched epirit of monopoly. The undertaker of a great manufactory is sometimes alarmed if another work of the anne kind is established within twenty miles of him. The Duteh undertaker of the woollen manufacture at Abbeville stipulated that mo work of the same kind should be estaBlistied withun thirty leagues of that city. - Parmers and country gentlemen, on the contrary, are generally disposed rather to promote than to obstruct the cultivation and improvement of their neighbours farms and estutes. They have no secrets, such as those of the greater part of manufacturers, but are generally rather fond of communicating to therr neighbours, and of extending, as tar as possible, any new practice which they have found to be advantageous. Pius questus, says old Cuto, atabuhssamusque, minimeque therdiosus; minimeque male cogitante aunt, qui in eo studio occupati aunt. 2 Country gentlemen and farmers, dispersed in different parts of the country, cannot so easily combine as merchants and manufacturers, who being collected into towns, and aceustomed to that exclusive corporation spirit which prevals in them, naturally endeavour to obtain against all their countrymen the same exclusive privilege which they generally possess against the inlubitants of their respective towns. They accordingly seem to have been the original inventors of those restraints upon the importation of foregng gools, which secure to them the monopoly of the home market. It was probably in imitation of them, and to put themselves upon a level with those who, they found, were disposed to oppress them, that the country gentlemen and farmers of Great Britain so far forgot the generosity which is natural to their station, as to demand the exclusive privilege of supplying their countrymen with corn and butcher's meat. They did not, perhaps, take time to consider how much less their interest could be affected by the freedom of trade, than that of the people whose example they followed.

To probibit by a perpetual law the importation of forcign corn and cattle, is in

1 The bounty was rmealed to 1615 See supplementad note on the Corn Laws.
$s$ soe anta F 115 note.
reality to enact, that the population and industry of the country shall at no time exceed what the rude produce of its own soil can mantain.

There seem, however, to be two cases in which it will generally be advantageous to lay some burden upon foreign, for the encouragement of domestic industry.

The first is, when some particular sort of ; industry is necessary for the defence of the country. The defence of Great Britain, for : example, depends very much upon the number of its sailors and shipping. The act of navigation, therefore, very properly endeavours to give the sailors and shippang of Great Britain the monopoly of the trade of their own country, in some cases by absolute prohibitions, and in others by heavy burdens upon the shipping of forelgn countries. The following are the principal dispositions of this act.

First, All ships, of which the owners masters, and threc-fourths of the mariners are not British subjects, are prohibited, upon pain of forfeiting shup and cargo, from trading to the British settlements and plantations, or from being employed in the coasting trade of Great Britain.

Secondly, A great variety of the most bulky articles of importation can be brought into Great Britain only, either in such ships as are above described, or in ships of the country where those goods are produced, and of which the owners, masters, and threefourths of the mariners, are of that particular country; and when imported even in ships of this latter kind, they are subject to double aliens duty. If imported in ships of eny other country, the penalty is forfeiture of ship and goods. When this act was made, the Dutch were, what they still are, the great carrers of Europe; and by this regulation they were entirely excluded from being the carrers to Great Britain, or from importing to us the goods of any other European country.

Thirdly, A great variety of the most bulky articles of importation are prohibited from being imported, even in British ships, from any country but that in which they are produced, under pain of forfenting shop and carga Thas regulation, too, was probably intended against the Dutch. Holland was then, as now, the great emporium for all European goods; and by this regulation, British ships were hindered from loading in Hulland the goods of any other European country.

Fourthy, Salt fish of all kinds, whale-fins, whale bone, oul, and blubber, not caught by and cured on board British vessels, when imported into Great Britain, are subjected to double alions duty. The Dutch, as they are still the prineıpal, were then the only fishers in Europe that sttempted to supply
foreign nations with fish. By this regulation, a very heavy burden was laid upon their supplying Great Britain.

When the act of navigation was made, though England and Holland were not actually at war, the most violent animosity subsisted betweeu the two nations. It had begun during the government of the long pariament, which first framed this act, and it brohe out soon after in the Dutch wars during that of the Protector and of Charles the Second. It is not impossible, therefore, that some of the regulations of this famous act may have proceeded from national animosity. They are as wise, however, as if they had all been dictated by the most deliberate wisdom. National animosity at that particular time aimed at the very same cbject which the mest deliberate wisdom would have tecommended, - the diminution of the naval power of Holland, the only naval power which could endanger the eecurity of England.
The act of navigation is not favourable to foreign commerce, or to the growth of that opulence which can arise from it. The interest of a nation in its commercial relations to forcign nations, is, like that of a merchant with regard to the different people with whom the deals, to buy as cheap and to sell as dear as possible. But it will be most likely to buy cheap, when by the most perfect freedom of trade it encourages all nations to bring to it the goods which it has occasion to purchase; and, for the same reason, it will be most likely to sell dear, when its markets are thus filled with the , greatest number of buyers. The act of navigation, it is true, lays no burden upon foreign ships that come to export the produce of British undustry. Even the ancient aliens duty, which used to be paid upon all goods exported as well as imported, has, by several subsequent acts, been taken off from the preater part of the articles of exportation; but if foreigners, either by probibitions or high dutics, are hindered from coming to sell,

- they cannot always afford to come to buy, because coming without a cargo, they must lose the freight from their own country to Great Britain. By diminishing the number of sellers, therefore, we necessarily diminish that of buyers, and are thus likely not only to buy foreign goods dearer, but to sell our own cheaper than if there was a more perfect freedom of trade. As defence, however, is of much more importance than opulence, the act of navigation is, perhaps, the wisest of all the commercial regulations of England.

[^65]The second case, in which it will generally be advantageous to lay sonne burden upos forengs for the encouragement of domestic industry, is, when some tax as imposed at? home upon the produce of the latter. In ${ }^{\prime}$ this case, it seems reasonable that an equil tax should be imposed upon the like produce of the former. This would not gave the monopoly of the home market to dumestie industry, nor turn towards a particular employment a greater share of the atock and labour of the country, than what would saturally go to it. It would only binder any part of what would naturally go to it from being turned away by the tax into a less natural direction, and would leave the competition between foreign and domestre industry, after the tax, as nearly as possible upon the same footing as before it. In Great Britan, when any such tar is land upon the produce of domestic industry, it is usual at the same time, in order to stop the clamorous complaints of our merchants and manufacturers, that they will be undersold at home, to lay a much heavier duty upon the importation of all fornign goods of the same kind.

This second limitation of the freedom of trade, according to some people, shoult, upon some occasions, be extended much farther than to the precise foreign commoditues which could come into competition with ; those which had been taxed at home. When the necessaries of lufe have been taxed in any country, it becomes proper, they pretend, to tax not only the like necessaries of life imported from other countries, but all sorte of foreign goods which can come into competition with any thing that is the produce of domestic industry. Subsistence, they say, becomes necessarily dearer in conserquence of such taxes; and the price of labour must always rise with the price of the labourur's subsistence. Every commodity, therefore, which is the produce of domestic induatry, trough not immediately taxed itself, becomis dearer in consequence of such taxeq, because the labour which produces it becomes so. 2 Such taxes, therefore, are really equivalent, they say, to a tar upon every particular commodity produced at home. In order to put domestic upon the same footing with foreign industry, therefore, it becomes neecssary, they thank, to lay some duty upon every foreign commodity, equal to this enhancement of the price of the home conmodities with which it can come into competition.

Whether taxes upon the necessaries of life, such as those in Great Britain upor

[^66]swon, wilt. leather, canilleq, \&e, neecoarily rate the firce of labour, and consequa nely that of all other commodteses, 1 shall consdif litieidar, whin 1 come to treat of tascs Suppontug, however, in the mean thene, that they have this effect, and they liave it undoulitedly, this getin ral enhaneement of the priee of all commodaties, in consquanee of that of labour, is a case whin difiers in the two following reapeets trom that of a particular commodity, of wheh the price was cehbunced by a particular tax mmediately moposed upon i:-

Cirst, It maght aluays be known with grate exatucss how far the price of such a commodits could be enhanced by such a tax : but how far the general enhancement of the puce of lawor inght attact that of every different commodat, about wheh labour nas emplosed, could never be known with any tolerable exactness. It would be 1 m powille, thercture, to proportion with any wherahle exactin st the tax upon every foremg, to thas enhanciment of the price of every bome commodity.

Stcondly, Taxes upon the necessaries of life have nearly the same effect upon the crecumbtances of the people as a poor sonl and a bad climate. Provinons are thereby rendered dearer in the same manner as if it reynured extriorihary labour and expense to rase them. As in the natural searcity arising froin soil and chinate, it would $b$ absurd to direct the people in what mannet they ought to employ their capitals and indutry, so is it hhewse un the artuitial scarcity arising from such taxes. To be left to accommodate, as well as they could, their industry to their situation, and to find out those employments in wheh, notwithetandnig therr undwourable carcumstances, they musht have some adiantuge ether in the home or in the foreign market, is what in both cases would evidently be most for their advantage To lay a new tax upon them, because they are already overburdened wath taves, and because they already pay tuo dear for the necessares of hife, to make them likewse too dear for the greater part of wher commoditues, is cortainly a noost absurd way of making amends.

Such taxes, when they have grown up to a certan height, are a curse equal to the barrenness of the earth and the melcmency of the heavens; and yet $t$ is in the richest and most andustrious countries that they have been most generally imposed. No other countries could support so great a diworder. As the strongest bodies only can live and enjoy health under an unwholesome regamen, so the nattons only that in every sort of mdustry hase the greatest natural and acquaed advantures can subsist and prosper ander surh tases. Holland is the country in Lurope in which thes alound
mot, and which from pecular urcumstince contum - to prosper, not be means of them, as has been most absurdly inposed, but in spite of than.
$A+$ there are tro cases th which it will generally be adrantageou, to iay sotne burden "pon firetinn fur the encouragoment of domestic industrv, so there are 1 wo others in Which it may sometmes be a matter of dehiberation; in the one, how far it is pusper to contanue the free importation of cirtan foreign goods; and in the other, how far, or in what manner, it may be proper to rentore that free mportation after it has been tor sometime interrupted.

The case in whach it may sumetimes le a matter of deliberation how fir it is propir to continue the free mportation of certan foregn goods, is, when some forelgn mation. restrans by high duties or prohthitions the: mportation of some of our monutaturis into ther country. Revenge in thas case naturally dectates retahation, and that we should ampore the like duthes and prahbiothens upon the importation of some or all of their manufactures mato ours. Nations, accordingls, seldom fall to retaliate in this manner. The French have been parta ularly formard to favour therr own manufactures by restraning the importation of cur b formen grods as could corra into compention with them. In this conusted a great part of the pohey of Mr Colbert, who, notnithetaming his great abilitus, seems in thu case to have been imposed upon by the sophastry of mer chants and manufactirers, who are aluals demanding a monopoly against their countrymen. It is at present the opmon of the most intellupent men in Fraser that his operations of this kind have not bean benetictal to his country. That muster, by the tarif, of 1667 , impose 1 very high duties upon a great number of foregn manutacture Upon his refusing to moderate them in favour of the Duth, they, in leal, prohubited the importation of the wines brandes, and manufactures of France. The nar of $16: 2$ seeras to have been in part occastoned by this commerctal dispute. The peace of Nimeguen put an end to at in $169^{\circ}$, by moderating some of those duties in favour of the Dutch, who it consequence took oll their prohibition. It was alout the sanne tune that the French and E.ngiash leegan mutually to oppress each other's industry, by the like duties and prohibitions, of whib the French, however, siem to have set the' first example. The spirst of hostulty which has subssisted between the two nations crer sunce, has hutherto humered tha thom bung moderated on enther sude: In 10.0: the E.nglish prohbited the importation of bonelace, the manulat ture of Flandirs. The government of that country, at that time ander the domition of Spaun, probitited in
return the importation of English woollens. In 1700 the prohibition of importing bonelace into England was taken off, upon con'dition that the importation of English woollens into Flanders should be put on the sarme footing as before.

There may be good policy in retaliations of this kind, when there is a probability that they will procure the repeal of the high duties or prohibitions complained of. The recovery of a great foreign market will generally more than compensate the transitory inconveniency of paying dearer during a short time for some sorts of goods. To judge whether such retaliations are likely to produce such an effect, does not perhaps belong so much to the science of a legislator, whose deliberations ought to be governed by general principles which are always the same, as to the skill of that insidious and crafty animal, vulgarly called a statesman or politician, whose councils are directed by the momentary fluctuations of affairs. When there is no probability that any such repeal can be procured, it seems a bad method of compensating the injury done to certain classes of our people, to do another injury ourselves, not only to those classes, but to almost all the other classes of them. When our neighbours prohibit some manufacture of ours, we generally prohibit, not only the same, for that alone would seldom affect them considerably, but some other manufacture of theirs. This may no doubt give encouragement to some particular class of workmen among ourselves, and by excluding some of their rivals, may enable them to raise their price in the home market. Those workmen, bowever, who suffered by our neighbours' prohibition will not be benefited by ours. On the contrary, they and almost all the other classes of our citizens will thereby be obliged to pay dearer than before for certain goods. Every such law, therefore, imposes a real tax upon the whole country, not in favour of that particular class of workmen who were injured by our neighbours' prohibition, but of some other class.

The case in which it may sometimes be a matter of deliberation, how far, or in what manner, it is proper to restore the free importation of foreign goods, after it has been for some time interrupted, is, when particular manufactures, by means of high duties or prohibitions upon all foreign goods which can come into competition with them, have been so far extended as to employ a great multitude of hands. Humanity may in this case require that the freedom of trade should be restored only by slow gradations, and with a good deal of reserve and circumspection. Were those high duties and prohbitions taken away all at once, cheaper foreign goods of the same kind might be poured so
fast into the home market, as to deprive all at once many thousands of cur people of their ordinary employment and means of subsistence. The disorder which this would occasion might no doubt be very considerable. It would in all probability, however, be much less than is commonly imagined, for the two fullowing reasons: -

First, All those manufactureq, of which ! any part is commonly exported to other European countries without a bounty, could be very little affected by the freest importa. tion of foreign goods. Such manufactures must be sold as cheap abroad as any other foreign goods of the same quality and kind, and consequently must be cold cheaper at home. They would still, therefore, keep possession of the home market, and though a capricious man of fashion might sometimes prefer foreign wares, merely because they were foreign, to cheaper and better goods of the same kind that were made at home, this folly could, from the nature of things, extend to so few, that it could make no sensible impression upon the general em. ployment of the people. But a great pait of all the different branches of our wooller manufacture, of our tanned leather, and of our hardware, are annually exported to other European countries without any bounty, and these are the manufactures which employ the greatest number of hands The silk, perhaps, is the manufacture which would suffer the most by this freedom of trade, and after it the lineth, though the latter much Iess than the former.

Secondly, Though a great number of people should, by thus restoring the freedom of trade, be thrown all at once out of their ordinary employment and common method of subsistence, it would by no means follow that they would thereby be deprived either of employment or subsistence. By the reduction of the army and navy at the end of the late war, more than a bundred thousand soldiers and seamen-a number equal to what is employed in the greatest manufactureswere all at once thrown out of their ordinary employment; but, though they no doubt suffered some inconveniency, they were not thereby deprived of all employment and subsistence. The greater part of the seamen, it is probable, gradually betook themselves to the merchant-service, as they could find occasion, and in the mean time, both they and the soldiers were absorbed in the great mass of the people, and employed in a great variety of occupationa. Not only no great convulsion, but no sensible disorder arose from so great a change in the situation of more than a hundred thousand men, all accustomed to the use of arms, and many of them to rapine and plunder. The number of vagrants was scarce anywhere sensibly in-

[^67]creased by it, even the wages of tatour vere not reduced by at in any uccupation, so far as I have been able to learn, except in that of seamen in the merchant service. But if we compare together the habits of a soldier and of any sort of manufacturer, we shall find that those of the litter do not tend so much to disqualify him fiom being employed in a new trade, as those of the former from beng emplayed in any. The manufacturer has always been accustomed to look for his substitence from his labour only; the solder to expect it from has pay. Application and industry have been familiar to the one; idleness and dissipation to the other. But it 18 surely much easter to change the direction of industry from one sort of labour to another, than to turn idleness and dissipation to any. To the grenter part of manufactures besides, it has already been observed, there are other collateral manufactures of so smmlar a nalure, that a workman can easily transfer has industry from one of them to another. The grenter part of such workmen two are occasionally employed in country labour. The stock whech employed them in a particular manufacture before, will still remain in the country, to employ an equal number of people in some other way. The capital of the country ramaning the same, the demand for labour will likewise be the same, or very nearly the same, though it may be exerted in different places and for ditferent occupations. Soldiers and seamen, indeed, when discharged from the king's service, are at liberty to exercise any trade, within any town or place of Great liritain or Ireland. Let the same natural liberty of exercising what spectes of moustry they please, be restored to all his majesty's subjects, in the same manner as to soldiers and seamen; that is, break down the exclusive privileges of corporations, and repeal the statute of apprentice ${ }^{2} h \mathrm{p},{ }^{1}$ both which are real encroachments upon natural hberty, and add to these the repeal of the law of settlements, so that a poor norkman, when thrown out of employment euther in one trate or in one place, may seek for it in another trade or in another place, without the fear either of a prosecution or of a removal, and neither the public nor the individuals will sutter much more from the occasional disbunding some particular classes of manufacturers than from that of soldiers. Our manulacturers have no doubt great merit with their country, but they cannot have more than those who defend it with their

1 The statute of apprenticeship was repealed in $1 \times 14$ See ande, $\mathbf{3}, 55$, note.
2 Thas expetatuon, wheh seemed so absurd in 1775, has been realized. The numerous restraints that were then moposed on the freedom of trade, for that were then moposed on the freedom of trade, for the protection of mative industry and other caluses, has e all, of very nearly all, been repeajed. The re-
otric tions on the corn crade finaily ceased in 1849 It otric tions on the corn (rade finaily ceased in 1849 ) It is necestary to bear in mind that a free trade does not
anpose a trade in which imported commodities shall auppose a trade in which imported commotities shall
be exempted from duties. but a trade witheut any be exempted from duties, but a trade without any
probibitions of import or export, and without any dutieg on importation for the aike of protecting
blood, nor deserve to be treated with more dehtacy.

To expect, indeed, that the freedom of trade should ever be entirely restored in Great Britann, is as absurd as to expect that artuceana or U'topia should ever be established in it. ${ }^{2}$ Not only the prejudices of the public, but, what is much more unconquerable, the private interests of many mdividuals, urresistibly oppose it Were the officers of the army to oppose with the same zeal and unanumity any reduction in the number of forces, with which master manufacturers set themselves aganst every law that is likely to increase the number of their nvals in the home market; were the former to anmate ther soldie 3 , in the same manner as the latter inflame their workmen, $\theta$ attack wath violence and outrage the proposers of any auch regulation; to attempt to reduce the army would be as dangerous as it has now become to attempt to dmunsh in any respect the monopoly which our maxutaccurers have obtaned agninst us. This mosopoly has so mucb nereased the number of some parucular tribes of them, that, like an overgrown standing anny, they have becorne tormidable to the government, and, upgn many occasions, intimidate the legrsature The member of partament who suppors very proposal for strengthening this monooly, is sure to acquire not only the repu ation of understanding trade, but great eppularity and intluence with an order of men whose numbers and wealth render then of great importance. If he opposes them, on the contrary, and stali more, if he has authority enough to be able to thwart them, netther the most acknowledged probity, nor the highest rank, nor the greatest public services, can protect him from the most infamous abuse and detraction, from personal insults, nor sometimes trom real danger, arising from the insolent outrage of furious and disapponted monopolists. ${ }^{3}$

The undertaker of a great manufacture, who, by the home markets beng suddenly Jaid open to the competition ot forelgners, should be obiged to abandon his trade, would no doubt suffer very considerably. That part of his capital which had usually been employed in purchasing materials and in paying his workmen, might, without much difficulty, perhaps, find another employment. But that part of it which was fixed in workhouses, and in the instruments of trade, could scarce be disposed of without considerable loss. The equitable regard,
some department of native industry, or for any purpose othar than the acquisition of revenue. Dutres imposed for thes purpose may be high, and even oppressive, Without eucroacting on the princaple of reedom.

3 The more general difusion of information wit? respect to the sound principles of commercial econonny, and the intluence of restrictions on trade, bave made the latter be abolisbed, sometimes with none, and generally with less opposituon on tha part of the manutacturers and others than nught have been an tucppited.
ftherefore, to his interest, requires that changes of this kind should never be introduced suddenly, but slowly, gradually, and after a very long warning. The legislature, were it possible that its deliberations could be always directed, not by the clamonbus importunity of partial interests, but by an extensive view of the general good, ought upon this very account, perhaps, to be particularly careful netther to establish any new monopolies of this kind, nor to extend farther those which are already established. Every such regulation introduces some degree of real disorder into the constitution of the state, which it will be difficult afterwards to cure without occasioning another disorder.

How far it may be proper to impose taxes upon the importation of foreign goods, in order, not to prevent their importation, but to raise a revenue for government, I shall consider hereafter, when I come to treat of taxes. Taxes imposed with a view to prevent, or even to diminish importation, are evidently as destructive of the revenue of the customs as of the freedom of trade.

## CHAP. III.

Of the extraordinary Restraints upon the Importation of Goods of almost all Kinds, from those Countries with which the Balance is supposed to be disadvantageous.

Part 1.
Of the Unreasonahleness of those Restraint even upon the Principles of the Commercia System.
To lay extraordinary restraints upon the importation of goods of almost all kinds, from those particular countries with which the balance of trade is supposed to be disadvantageous, is the second expedient by, which the commercial system proposes tol increase the quantity of gold and silver. Thus in Great Britain, Silesia lawns may be imported for home consumption, upon paying certain duties; but French cambrics and lawns are prohibited to be imported, except into the port of London, there to be warehoused for exportation. ${ }^{1}$ Higher duties are imposed upon the wines of France than upon those of Portugal, or indeed of any other country. By what is called the impost

[^68]1692, a duty of five-and-twenty per cent., of the rate or value, was laid upon all French gouds, while the goods of other nathons were, the greater part of them, subjected to much lighter duties, seldom exceeding five pur cent. The wine, brandy, salt, and vinegar of France were indeed excepted; these commodithes being subjected to other heavy duties, ether by other laws, or by particular clauses of the same law. In 1696, a second duty of twentyfive per cent., the first not having been thought a sufficient discouragement, was imposed upon all French goods, except brandy; together with a new duty of five-and twenity pounds upon the tun of French wine, and another of fifteen pounds upon the tun of French vinegar. French goods have never been omitted in any of those general subsidies, or duties of tive per cent., which have been imposed upon all, or the greater part of the goods enumerated in the book of rates. If we count the one-third and two-third subsidies as making a complete subsidy between them, there have been five of these general subsidies, so that before the commencement of the present war, sevent-five per cent. may be considered as the lowest duty, to which the greater part of the gooda of the grow th, produce, or manufacture of France were hable; but upon the greater part of goods, those duties are equivalent to a prohibition. The French in their turn have, I believe, treated our goods and manufactures just as hardly; though I am not so well acquainted with the particular hardships which they have imposed upon them. Those mutual restraints have put an end to almost all fair commerce between the two nations, and smugglers are now the principal importers, either of Brtish goods into France, or of French goods into Great Britain. The principles which I have been examining in the foregoing chapter, took their origin from private interest and the spirit of monopoly ; those which I am going to examine in this, from national prejudice and animosity. They are, accordingly, as might well be expected, still more unreasonable. They are so, even upon the principles of the commercial system. ${ }^{2}$

First, Though it were certain that in the case of a free trade between France and England, for example, the balance would be in favour of France, it would by no means follow that such a trade would be disadvantageous to England, or that the general balance of its whole trade would thereby be turned more against it. If the wines of France are better and cheaper than those of Portugal, or its linens than those of Ger-
times. Now (1863), however, they have totally disappeared. The oppreasive and offunsive discrumbnatung duty upon French winel wat abols hed in 1831, and the late treaty ( 1860 ) has repealod or reduced, to a much greater extent than appeara to have been expedient, the dutiea on most vanctien of Freuch goodo. See Note on Commerral 1 reatien.
many, it would be more advantageous for Grat Dratan to purchase both the wine ond the furgen linen which it had occasion fire of Fince, than of Purtugal and German 'Though the value of the annual ampotations from France woull thercby be greatly auginented, the value of the whole ammal mportations would be diminished, in propurtion as the French goods of the stun quality were cheaper than those of the other two countries. This would be the case ario unon the supposition that the whole Frainlignorls imported were to be consumed in Cireat Eritan.

But, etcondly, A great part of them might be te-exported to other countries, where, beng sold with profit, they might bring back a return equal in value, perhaps, to the prome cost of the whole French goods importud What has frequently been sad of the East India trade might, possibly, be the of the French,-- that though the greater pat of Last ladaa goods were bought with fold and shlser, the re-exportation of a part of then to other countries brought back mone gold and silver to that which carried ou the trade than the prime cost of the whole amounted to. One of the most important bratiches of the Dutch trade, at present, conusts in the carruge of French goods to other European countries. Some part even of the French wine drunk in Great Britain is clandestinely amported from Holland and Zedand. If there was either a free trade between France and England, or if French poods could be imported upon paying only the sume duties as those of other European nitwon, to be diawn back upon exportation, Lugland might have some share of a trade $w$ huch is found so advantageous to Holland.

Therdly, and lastly, There is no certan eruterion hy which we can determine on whel side whit is called the balance between any two countries lies, or which of them exports to the greatest value. National prefudice and animosity, prompted always by the prisate interest of particular traders, are the pumeples whinh generally direct our pudgment upon all questoons concerning it. There are two criterions, however, which have frequently been appealed to upon such oechstons: the custom-house books and the course of exchange. The custom-house books, I think, it is now generally acknowlediged, aso a very uncertain criterion, on account of the inaccuracy of the valuation at which the grenter part of goods are rated in them. The course of exchange is, perhups, almost equally so.

Whou the exchange between two places, such as London and Paris, is at par, it is and to be a sign that the debts due from Iondon to Paris are compensated by those due from Pars to London. On the contray, when a premum is paid at London
for a bull upon Paris, it is said to be a sign that the debts due from London to Paris are not compensated by those due from Paris to London, but that a balance in money must be sent out from the latter place; for the risk, trouble, and expense, of exporting which, the premium is both demanded and given. But the ordinary state of debt and credit between those two cities must neces sarily be regulated, it is said, by the ordinary course of their dealings with one anather. When nether of them imports from the other to a greater amount than it exports to that other, the debts and credits of eacb may compensate one another. But when one of them imports fiom the other to a greater value than it exports to that other, the former necessarily becomes indebted to the latter in a greater sum than t'ee latter becomes indebted to it . the debts and credits of each do not compensate one lanother, and money must be sent out from that place of which the debts overbalance the credits. The ordinary course of exchange, therefore, being an indication of the ordinary state of debt and credit between two places, must likewise be an indication of the ordinary course of their exports and imports, as these necessarily regulate that state.
But though the ordinary course of exchange should be allowed to be a sufficient indication of the ordinary state of debt and credit between any two places, it would not from thence follow that the balance of trade was in favour of that place which had the Q ydinary state of debt and credit in its prour. The ordinary state of debt and dedit between any two places is not always entirely regulated by the ordinary course of their dealings with one another, but is often influenced by that of the dealings of either with many other places. If it is usuil, for example, for the merchants of England to pay for the goods which they buy of Hamburgh, Dantzic, Riga, \&c. by bulls upon Holland, the ordinary state of debt and credit between England and Holland will not be regulated entirely by the ordinary course of the dealngs of those two countries with one another, but will be influenced by that of the dealings of England with those other places. England may be obliged to send out every year money to Holland, though its annual exports to that country may exceed very much the annual value of its imports from thence; and though what is called the balance of trade may be very much in favour of England.

In the way, besides, in which the par of exchange has bitherto been computed, the ordinary course of exchange can afford no sufficient indication that the ordinary state of debt and credit is in favour of that country which seems to have, or which is supposed to have, the ordinary course of
exchange in its favour: or, in other words, the real exchange may be, and in fact often is, so very different from the computed one, that from the course of the latter no certan conclusion can, upon many occasions, be drawn concerning that of the former.

When for a sum of money paid in England, containing, accordung to the standard of the Englash mint, a certain number of ounces of pure silver, you receive a bill for a sum of money to be pard in France, containing, according to the standard of the Fiench mint, an equal number of ounces of pure silver, exchange is said to be at par between England and France. When you pay more, you are supposed to give a premum, and exchange is said to be against England and in favour of France. When you pay less, you are supposed to get a premum, and exchange is said to be against France and in favour of England.

But, first, We cannot always judge of the value of the current money of different countries by the standard of their respective mints: in some it is more, in others it is less worn, clipt, and otherwise degenerated from that standard. But the value of the current coin of every country, compared witia that of any other country, is in proportion, not to the quantity of pure silver which it ought to contain, but to that which it actually does contain. Before the reformation of the silver coin in King William's time, exchange between England and Holland, computed in the usual manner according to the standard of their respective mints, war five-and-twenty per cent. against England But the value of the current coin of Eng land, as we learn from Mr. Lowndes, was at that time rather more than five-anc'twenty per cent. below its standard value. The real exchange, therefore, may even, at that time have been in favour of England, notwithstanding the computed exchange was so much against it; a smaller number of ounces of pure silver, actually paid in Fingland, may have purchased a bill fur a greater number of ounces of pure silver ta bec paid in Holland, and the man who was supposed to give may in reality have got the premum. The French coin was, before the late reformation of the English gold coin, much less worn than the English, and was, perhaps, two or three per cent. nearer its standard. If the computed exchange with France, therefore, was not more than two or three per cent. against England, the real exchange might have been in its favour. Sunce the reformation of the gold coin, the exchange has been constantly in favour of England and against France.
: Serondly, In some countries, the expense
I No seignorage ts charged in Engiand on the coinage of gold, but a seagoorage of 6 14-31 per cent. has bens charged since 1810 on the coinage of siver.
of coinage is defrayed by the government ; in others, it is defrayed liy the private perophe, who carry their bullion to the nunt alas the government eved derives some reserus from the coinage. In England, it in dofrayed by the government, and if you carr: a pound weight of standard sulver to thic mint, you get luck sixty-two shillimpa, con. taining a pound weight of the like standard silver. In France, a duty of etght per cent. is deducted for the comage, whith not only defrays the expense of $1 t$, but affords a small revenue to the government. 1 In England, as the coinage costs nothing, the current coin can never be much more valualle than the quantity of bullion which it netuall; contains. In France, the workmansla 1 , as you pay for it, adds to the valuc, in the sutruc manner as to that of wrought plate. A s:um of French money, therelore, contalung a certain weight of pure silver, is more valuable than a sum of English money contaning an equal weight of pure salver, and muvt require more bullion, or other commodities, to purchase it. Though the current coin of the two countries, therefore, were eqnally near the standards of their respective minus, a sum of English money could not well purchase a sum of French money, contaning an equal number of ounces of pure shiser, nor consequently a bill upon France for such a sum. If for such a bill no more addiuonal money was paid than what was suiberent to compensate the expcnse of the French coinage, the real exchange might be at par between the two countries, their debts and credits might mutually compensate one another, while the computed exchange was considerably in favour of France. If less than this was paid the real exchange might be in favour of England, while the computed was in favour of France.

Thirdly, and lastly, In some places, as at Amsterdam, Hamburgh, Venice, \&cc. foregn bills of exchange are paid in what they call bank money; while in others, as at London, Lisbon, Antwerp, Leghorn, \&c. they are paid in the common currency of the country. What is called bank moncy is always of more value than the same nominal sum of' common currency. A thousand guilders in. the bank of Amsterdam, for example, are of more value than a thousand gulders of Amsterdam currency. The difference ber: tween them is called the agio of the bank, which, at Amsterdam, is generally about five per cent. Supposing the current money of the two countries equally near to the standard of their respective mints, and that the one pays foreign bills in this common currency, while the other pays them in bask money, it is evident that the computed ex-

In France, the seignorage does not exceed iper cent. on gold, and If per cent. on silver. See ante, P is and P. 21.
change may be in favour of that whath pays m bank moncy, theugh the real exclange * should be in fusort of that whath pays in curtut moncy; fir the same reawon that the computed extia.age may be in favour of that wheh pass in better money, or m mony nemer to its oxn standard, though the cead exthage slowald be in favour of that whith p. yo in norse. The computed achange, be fore the late reformation of the fold con, was generally arainst London with Amsterdam, Hanburgh, Venice, and, I butwe, with all other places whech pay an What is called bak mones. It will by no thans follow, howerer, that the real ex$z^{\prime}$,ull ge was apranse it. Sime the reformation ot the gold com, it has been in tavour of Lundon even with those pirues. The comyuted exchange has generally been on favour ot London with Lisbon, Antwerp, Leghorn, and, if you except France, I belheve, with must uther parts of Curope that pay in common curruncy; and it is not mprobable that the real exchange was so too.!

## Digression concerning Eumks of Depostt, paratcularly concernang that of Amsterdan.

The currency of a great state, such as France or England, gencrally consisis almost entirely of its own coin. Should this currency, therciore, be at any time worn, clipt, or otherwise degraded below its standard value, the state by a reformation of ats coin can elfectually re-establish its currency. But the currency of a small state, such as Genoa or Hamburgh, can suldom consust altogether in its una com, but must be made up, in a great measure, of the cons of all the neighbouring states with which its mhabitints have a contunual intercourse. Such a state, therefore, by reforming its coin, will not whats be able to refurm its currency. If foreign bills of exchange are pard in this currency, the uncertam value of any sum, of ahat is in its own nature so uncertan, must render the exchange always very much arim turt such a state, its currency being, in all furegna states, necessuily valued eren below what it is worth.

In order to remedy the meonsenience to wheh this dizaliantrgeous exchange must have subpected ther mercbants, such small states, when they begran to attend to the intercot of tade, hase frequently enacted, that foregn bills of exclange of a certan value should be paid, not in common currency, but by an order upon, or by a transfer in the book sof a cartan bank, established upon the crechs, and under the protection of the state; this hank beng always oblged to pas, in good and true moncy, exactly ac-

- Tor a more conplete exporition of the tipory and practive of exchange, the rewter is refirid to Nr blake'a celebrated yamphet entided Ulset fam
cording to the standard of the state. The buhhs of Venice, Genoa, Amstcrdam, Hambuigh and Nuremberg, seem to have been all onginally establebhed a ith this vew, though some of them may late atcerwards been made subservient to other purpuses The money of such lank, being better than the common currency of the country, necessarily bore an agio, wheh was greaker or smalkr, according as the currency was supposed to be more or less degi ued bulow the standard of the state. The ago of the bank of Ilamburgh, for example, whinh is sand to be commonly about fourteen per cent, is the supposed difference between the good standard money of the state, and the chpt, worn, and hminished currency poured into it from all the neighbouring states.

Before 1609 the great quantity of clpt and worn foreign com, whis the extenswe trade of Amsterdam brought from all parts of Europe, reduced the value of its currency about nine per cent. below that of good money fresh from the mint. Such money no sooner appeared than it was multed down or carrted away, as it aln ays is in such crrcumstances. The merchants, with planty of currency, could not always find a sufficient quantity of good money to pay their bulls of exchange; and the value of tbose bills, in spite of several regulations which were made to prevent it, became in a great measure uncertain.

In order to remedy these inconveniencies, 3 bank was established in 1609 under the guarantee of the city. This bank received both foreign coin, and the light and worn com of the country at its real intrinsic value in the good standard money of the country, deducting only so much as was necessary fir defraying the expense of conage, and the other necessary erpense of management. For the value which remained, after this small deduction was made, it gave a credit in its books. This credit was called bank money, which, as it represented money exactly according to the standard of the mint, was always of the same real value, and intrinsically worth more than current money. It was at the same tume enacted, that all bills draw. upon or negotiated at Amsterdam of the value of sax hundred guiders and upwards should be pad in bank money, which at once took a way all uncertanty in the value of those bills. Every merchant, in consequence of this regulation, $n$ as obliged to keep an account with the bank in order to pay has foreign bills of exchange. which necessurly occastoned a certain demand for bank money.

Bank money, over and above botn its intrinsie superiority to currency, and the additional value which this demand neces-
trons on Eschinge and to the article "Exchange,"

sarily givea it, has likewiqe some other advantages. It is secure from fire, robbery, and other accidents; the city of Amsterdam is bound for it; it can be paid away by a simple transfer, without the trouble of counting, or the risk of transporting it from one place to another. In consequence of those different advantages, it seems from the beginning to have borne an agio, and it is generally believed that all the money originally deposited in the bank was allowed to remain there, nobody caring to demand payment of a debt which he could sell for a premium in the market. By demanding payment of the bank, the owner of a bank credit would lose this premium. As a shilling fresh from the mint will buy no more goods in the market than one of our common worn shillings, so the good and true money which might be brought from the coffers of the bank into those of a private person, being mixed and confounded with the common currency of the country, would be of no more value than that currency, from which it could no longer be readily distin, guished. While it remained in the coffers of the bank, its superiority was known and rascertained. When it had come into those of a private person, its superiority could not well be ascertained without more trouble than perbaps the difference was worth. By being brought from the coffers of the bank, besides, it lost all the other advantages of bank money; its security, its easy and safe transferability, its use in paying foreign bills of exchange. Over and above all this, it $t$ could not be brought from those coffers, ast it will appear by and by, without previously. paying for the keeping.

Those deposits of coin, or those deposits which the bank was bound to restore in coin, constituted the original capital of the bank, or the whole value of what was represented by what is called bank money. At present they are supposed to constitute but a very small part of it. In order to facilitate the trade in bullion, the bank has been for these many years in the practice of giving credit in its books upon deposits of gold and silver bullion. This credit is generally about five per cent. below the mint price of such bullion. The bank grants at the same time what is called a recipice or receipt, entitling the person who makes the deposit, or the

[^69]bearer, to take out the bullion again at ang tine within six months, upon re-transferring to the bank a quantity of bank money equal to that for which credit had been given in its books when the deposit was made, and upon paying one-fourth per cent. for the keeping, if the deposit was in silver, and one-half per cent. if it was in gold, but at the same time declaring, that in defanle of such payment, and upon the expiration of this term, the deposit should belong to the bank at the price at which it had been received, or for which credit had been given in the transfer books. What is thus pand for the keeping of the deposit may be considered as a sort of warehouse rent; and why this warehouse rent should be so much dearer for gold than for silver, several different reasons have been assigned. The fineness of gold, it has been said, is more difficult to be ascertained than that of silver. Frauds are more easily practised, and occasion agreater loss in the more precious metals. Silver, besides, boing the standard metal, the state, it has been said, wishes to encourage more the making of deposits of silver than those of gold.

Deposits of bullion are most commonly made when the price is somewhat lower than ordinary; and they are taken out agan when it happens to rise. In IIolland, the market price of bullion is generally above the mint price, for the same reason that it was so in England before the late reform. ation of the gold coin. The difference is said to be commonly from about six to sixteen stivers upon the mark, or eight ounces of silver of eleren parts fine, and one part alloy. The bank price, or the credit which the bank gives for deposits of such ailver (when made in foreign coin, of which the fineness is well known and ascertained, such as Mexico dollars) is twenty-two gulders the mark; the mint price is about twentythree guilders, and the market price is from twenty-three guilders six to twenty-three guilders sixteen stivers, or from two to three per cent above the mint price.! The proportions between the bank price, the munt price, and the market price of gold bullion are nearly the same. A person can generally sell his receipt for the difference between the mint price of bulloon and the market price. A receipt for bullion is almost
cold.
Portugal coin . . . $\mathbf{G u i l}$ ders.
Guineas : : $\mathrm{B}-310$ per mark.
Louis d'ors, new . .
Ditto, old . . . 300
New ducats 4198 per durat
Bar or ingot gold ia recelved in proportion to ite finenest compared whit the above foreigo gold coin Upon fine bars the bank gives 340 per mark. In general, however, gomething more 38 givee upon cow of a known bneneas than upon gold and ailver bars, of which the fineness cannot to ascertained but $t y$ - process of melticig and assajtig. (Note by the autior.)
alwiys worth something, and it very seldom hippens, therefore, that any body cuffers his rue pt to expire, or allow s lis bultion to fall to the buak at th. price at which it had been ha, wad, whicr by ret tahing it out before the rind of the sia monthe, or by neglectug to pay the one-fourth or one-balf per cent, In orter to obtam a new receipt for another alx mouths. This, however, though it happens seddom, is s.inl to happen sometimes, atal more fiequently with regard to gold thin with regard to silver, on account of the hichar warelomse rent which is paid for the keeping of the more precious metal.

The person who, by mahing a deposit of bultion, oltains both a Lank credit and a lwupt, pays his bulls of exchange as they betome due with his bank credit, and either sells or keeps has receipt according as he juiges that the price of bullion is likely to rise or to fall. The recelpt and the bank credit seldom keep long together, and there is no occasion that they should. The person u ho has a receipt, and who wants to take out bullion, finds always plenty of bank credits or bank money to buy at the ordinary proce; and the person who has bank money, and wants to take out bullion, finds recupts always in equal abundance.

The owners of bank credits and the holders of recelpts constitute two diffirent sorts of creditors agunst the bank. The bolder of a receipt camot draw out the bulhon for whel it is granted, without re-assigning to the bunh a sum of bank moncy equal to the pureat which the bullion had been recelved. If he has no bank money of his own, he mus' purcliase it of those who have 4 . The owner of bank money cannot draw out bullion without producing to the bank receipts for the quantity wheh he wants. If he has none of his own, he must buy them of those who have them. The holler of a receipt, when he purcliases bank noney, purchases the power of tahing out a quantity of bulhon, of whach the munt price is five per cent. . bove the bank puce. The agoo of five per cent, therffore, wheb he commonly pays for it, is paul, not for an imaginary, but for a wal walue. The owner of bank moncy, when he purchases a receipt, purchases the power of tahing out a quantity of bullon, of wheh the market prace is commonly from two to three per cent. above the mint price. The price which he pays for it, therefore, is paid sikewise for a real value. The price of the receipt and the price of the bank money compound or mahe up betacen them the full ralue or price of the bullion.

Upon deposits of the com current in the country, the lank grauts receipts likewise as $n$ cll as bank credits, but those recepts are frequently of no value, and whill bring no price in the market. Upon ducatoons, for example, which in the currency pass fur thre*
guiders three stivers each. the bank fires a credut of three guilders only, or five per cent. below their current value. It grants a recept likewise, entithing the bearer to take out the number of ducatoons deposited at any time within six months, upon paying one-fourth per cent. for the keeping. This receipt wall frequently bring no price in the market. Three gulders baak mone; generally sell in the market for three guilders three stivers, the full value of the ducatoons if they were taken out of the bank; and before they can be taken out, one-fourtb per cent. must be paid for the heeping, wheh would be mere loss to the holder of the recept. If the agio of the bank, bowever, should at any time fall to three per ecnt., such receipts might bring sorne price in the market, and might sell for one and thrcefourths per cent. But the agio of the bank being now generdlly about five per cent., such receipts are frequently allowed to expire, or, as they express it, to fall to the bank. The receipts which are given for deposits of gold ducats fall to it yet more frequently, because a higher warehouse rent, or one-half per cent. must be paid for the keeping of them before they can be taken out again. The five per cent. which the bank gains, when deposits etther of coin or bullion are allowed to fall to it, may be considered as the warehouse rent for the perpetual keeping of such deposits.

The sum of bank money for which the receipts are expired must be very considerable. It must comprehend the whole orignal capital of the bank, whech, it is generally supposed, has been allowed to remain there from the time it was first deporited, nobody caring etther to renew his receipt or to take out his deposit, as, tor the reasons already assigned, nether the one nor the other could be done without loss. But whatever may le the amount of this sum, the proportion which it bears to the whole mass of bank moncy is supposed to be very sinall. The bank of Amsterdam has for these many years past been the great warehouse of C. urope for bullion, for which the recenpts are very seldom allowed to expire, or, as they express it, to fall to the bank. The far greater part of the bank money, or of the credits upon the books of the bank, is supposed to have been created, for these many years past, by such deposits, which the dealers in bulhon are contunually both making and withdrawing.

No demand can be made upon the bank but by means of a recipice or recerpt. The smaller mass of bank money, for which the recerpts are expired, is mixed and confounded with the much greater mass for whinch they are still in force; so that though there may be a considerable sum of bank money, for which there are no reccipts
there is no specific sum or portion of it which may not at any time be demanded by one. The bank cannot be debtor to two persons for the same thing; and the owaer of bank money who has no receipt cannot demand payment of the bank till he buys one. In ordinary and quiet times, he can find no difficulty in getting one to buy at the market price, which generally corresponds with the price at which be can sell the coin or bullion it entitles him to take out of the bank.

It might be otnerwise during a publie calamity,-an invasion, for example, such as that of the French in 1672. The owners of bauk money being then all eager to draw it out of the bank, in order to have it in their own keeping, the demand for receipts might rase their price to an exorbitant height. The holders of them might form extravagant expectations, and, instead of two or three per cent., demand half the bank money for which credit had been given upon the deposits that the receipts had respectively been granted for. The enemy, informed of the constitution of the bank, might even buy them up, in order to prevent the carrying away of the treasure. In such emergencies the bank, it is supposed, would break through its ordinary rule of making payment only to the holders of receipts. The holders of receipts, who had no bank money, must have received within two or three per cent. of the value of the deposit for which their respective receipts had been granted. The bank, therefore, it is said, would in this case make no scruple of paying, either with money or bullion, the full value of what the owners of bank money who could get no receipts were credited for in its books; paying at the sarne time two or three per cent. to such holders of receipts as had no bank money, that being the whole value which, in this state of things, could justly be supposed due to them.

Even in ordinary and quiet times it is the interest of the holders of receipts to depress the agio, in order either to buy bank money (and consequently the bullion, which their receipts would then enable them to take out of the bauk) so much cheaper, or to sell therr receipts to those who have bank mouey, and who want to take out bullion, so much dearer; the price of a recelpt being generally equal to the difference between the market price of bank money, and that of the coin or bullion for which the receipt had been granted. It is the interest of the owners of bank money, on the contrary, to rase the agio, in order either to sell their bank money so much dearer, or to buy a receipt so much cheaper. To prevent the stockjobbing truks which those opposite interests might sometimes occasion, the bank has of late years coms to the resolution to sell at
all times bank money fur currency, at five per cent. agio, and to buy it in acoun at fout per cent. agio. In consequence of this resolution, the agio can never cither rise above five or sink below four per cent ; and the proportion between the market puce of bonk and that of current money is kept at all times very near to the proportion between thear intrinsic valucs. B.fore this resolution was taken, the market price of bank money used sometimes to rise so high as nine per cent. agio, and sometimes to situk bo low as par, according as opposite interests luppened to influence the market.

The bank of Amsterdam professes to lend out no part of what is deposited with it, but, for every guilder for which it gives eredit in its books, to keep in its repostorits the value of a guilder either in money or bul. lion. That at keeps in its repostories all the money or bullion for which thate are receipts in force, for which it is at all time liable to be called upon, and which in reality, is continually going from it and returning to it again, cannot well be doubted; but whether it does so hikewise with regard to that part of its capital, for which the receipts are long ago expired, for which in ordinary and quict times it cannot be called upon, and which in reality is very likely to remain with it for ever, or as long as the States of the United Provinces subssist, may perhaps appear more uncertain. At Amsterdam, however, no point of faith is better established than that for every guilder, curculated as bank money, there is a cortespondent guilder in gold or silver to ba found in the treasure of the bank. The city is guarantee that it should be so. The bank is under the direction of the four reigning burgomasters, who are changed every year. Each new set of burgonasters visits-the treasure, compares it with the books, receives it upon oath, and delivers it over with the same awfyl solemnity, to the set which succeeds; and in that sober and religious country oaths are not yet disregarded. A rotation of this kind seems alone a sufficient security against any practices which cannot be avowed. Amidst all the revolutions which faction has ever occastoned in the government of Amsterdam, the prevailing party has at no time accused their predecessors of infidelity in the administration of the bank. No accusation could have affected more deeply the reputation and fortune of the disgraced party, and if such an accusation could have been supported, we may be assured that it would have heen brought. In 1672, when the French king was at Utrecht, the bonk of Amsterdam paid so readily as left no doubt of the fidelity with whech it had ohserved its engagements. Some of the pieces whech were then brought from its repositorise
appeared to hate been sor hed with the fire whichhipponed in the town-house soon aficr the banh wasestablished. Thosu preces, therefore, nust have lan there from that tume.

What mar be the amount of the treasure in the burk, is a question which has long emploved the spiculations of the curmos. nothon: but comecture can be offred conrarmog it. It is gencially reckoned that thase are about two thound people who hup accounts with the bath, and allowing thim to have, one uth andether, the value of hifeen bowhed pounds storling lying ajon thar rexpoctse actounts, (a vay large athow life, the whole quantity of bank noney, and consuquently of treacure in the brik, nall amount to about three millions stering, of, at eleven guiders the pound stenhig, tharty-three maltons of guiders; a gieat sum, and sutficient to carry on a very extenuse circulation; but vastly below the extranigant ideas whech some pople have formed of thas treasure.

The clty of Amsterdam derives a considerable revenue from the bank. Besides What may be called the warehouse rent dhowe mintioned, each person, upon first ofning an account with the bank, pays a fie of ten gulders, and for every new acconat three guiders three stivers; for every thanfir two stivers; and af the transfer is for liss than three hundred guilders, six stivers, III ordur to discourage the multipheity of simall trancactions. The person who nefrects to halance his account twice in the year torfins twenty-fipe guiders. The person who orders a transfer for more than is upon hiv account is obliged to pay three mr ceut. for tice sum overdrawn, and his

1 The events that have tranapired since the pinilastyun of the We thit of Nations have shewn tha the tirectors of the lanak of Amsterdant had abusta the contikente pilae ed th the $m$. Tow irds the midilo of las catury thay bogetn to make considerable bulvanees, in a prisate wn, thom the bullion deposited in their conf is to the gur roment and the Lust indis I chution Hut as this was a promeading alfogether
 int onnistant whth the montiphes on which the bank wds founded, it coutd mot fall to enusager its stabhiti and as tho directurs minst have beph parly abse of the ditheitl stiathon in which they had plikiti themanites, Ms somah thinks that the regulathins diwiturd by 1)r Emith, with respert to the thtile uf removermas bullion deposited in the bank, inal, id of bung minnuliod to fatilitate the commerta in twillion. hat been devise do guard the bant from tie ethects of the andt raand proceedange of the diriturs At all erionts. at is certan that the segulathons in questund wire enacerd about the ime when the direstors begin to make secret adoabrus ta pureromeat and the last India Comptany; and, on ivmanition it will in fouma that the y, in fach shat the cotters of the thank.

The lorarer of a recoipt for a deposit of bullion contd r at withis aw it wathout prevegusly piacing 10 the hathis of the fank an mono of thank money tultal to the pue at what the bullum bad heer
 of the taink If, theritores, the hutier of erempas hor a depusit of pold ur siliver liad made use of this " etfi or thenk mowey, and in mose eases nut of ten it was the devire to anat himiuelt ot that cridit that led him to mahe the da joalt - he had no purure to make? - or mand ugun the tauk for the goid or onfer he had
order is st aside uto the Largain. The bash is supposed ton to mahe a consuderable profit by the sale of the firaten con or bullun which sometimes falls to at by the expuing of recespes, and whith is aluay, hept t.ll it can be sold with advantage. It mahes a profit likewise by selling bank moncy at five per cent. agio, and buying it in at four. These different emoluments amount to a good deal more than what is nocessary for paying the salaries of otficers. and defraying the expense of management. Whit is paid for the keeping of bulhon upon receipts, is alone supposed to anount to a neat annual revenue of between one hundred and fifty thousand and two hundred thousand guiders. Public utality, however, and not revenue, was the original object of this instifution. Its object was to reheve the merchants from the inconvenience of a disadvantageous exchange. The revenue which has arisen from it was unforeseen, and may be constdered as accidental. But it is now time to return from this long digression, into which I have been insensibly led, in endeavourng to explain the rasons why the exchange between the countries wheh pay in what is called bank money, and those which pay in common currency, should generally appear to be in favour of the former. and against the latter. The former pay in a species of money of which the intrinste value is always the same, and exactly agreeable to the standurd of their respectuse mints; the lutter in a species of money of which the intrinsic value is continually varying, and is almost always more or less below that standard. ${ }^{1}$

Ioniged in its hands, untel he purchased an equisaiont amount of bank money from some other numbinal . and as no bank mones was ever issued, except on a deposit of bullion it 16 clear that, in the rast matority of cases, no urisidual cond draw bultun from the bank until some other individual had prevously placed an equal sum in tes coftera By this inkenious iontronance the hank recetred on the ove band what the paid out on the nther, and the amonnt of bullion in her possession cuntraurd ine amonnt of builion in her possession controard in-
dinmal ed by the demands of ber ordinary cusdiminish
tomers.

But, hovever strange it may seem these remulathons do net afpear to have exctied any eusjrion With respect to the ir real olvict. 1 he habit of se emg the bank alvavs tulthl ber ensagements, the remimbrance of what had taken place in thit, and the contrdence placed in the integring of the crty modetrates, by whon the bank was admumstercd, all contributed to bind the public and allay suspicion
Thus detusion was partinily disoly uted m Dect mber 17\%. In the couree of that morith the batik pubhelod a notice statug that she woulc, in future, fix from time to anse the price at athen shic would pay the shise deposited in her colfers, and she buma by fiving it at sum a rate that those who wothutrew it susfind a loss of 111 per cent she anarunced as sustumed a loss of liper cent she annunaced at
the same tume, that she would pay no dequits evcept the same tume, that she would pay no detionts everept
to thove creditors who bad huluen of the value of to thove creditors who bad huliwen of
2, 5ifi florims and uph trds in the bark.

I his derlaration could not tul to pxiste unversat distrust But the conidence placed io the rerbtude of the manasement and the at zimity of the bank was athl songreat as to emathe her to et $t$ ower thas crisis Her disssiution was, however, at hald $\ln$ 1;55 the

## Pabt II.

Of the Unreusonableness of those extraordinary Restraints upon other Principles.
In the foregoing Part of this Chapter I have endeavoured to show, even upon the principles of the commercial system, how unnecessury it is to lay extraordinary restraints upon the importation of goods from those countries with which the balance of trade is supposed to be disadvantageous.

Nothing, however, can be more absurd than this whole doctrine of the balance of trade, upon which, not only these restraints, but almost all the other regulations of commerce are founded. When two places trade with one another, this doctrine supposes that, if the balance be even, neither of them either loses or gains; but if it leans in any degree to one side, that one of them loses and the other gains in proportion to its declension from the exact equilibrium. Both suppositions are false. A trade which is forced by means of bounties and monopolies, may be, and commonly is, disadvantageous to the country in whose favour it is meant to be established, as I shall endeavour to show hereafter. But that trade which, without force or constraint, is naturally and regularly carried on between any two places, is always advantageous, though not always equally so, to both.

By advantage or gain, I understand, not the increase of the quantity of gold and silver, but that of the exchangeable valus of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, or the increase of the annual 'revenue of its inhabitants.

If the balance be even, and if the trade between the two places consist altogether in the exchange of their native commodities, they will, upon most occasions, not cnly both gain, but they will gain equally, or very near equally: each will in this case afford a market for a part of the surplus produce of the other: each will replace a capital which had been employed in raising and preparing for the market this part of the surplus produce of the other, and which had been distributed among, and given revenue and maintenance to a certaia number of its inhabitants. Some part of the inha bitants of each, therefore, will indirectly derive their revenue and maintenance from

French invaded Folland; and the provisional government established in the city of Arasterdam was obliged to dssue a declaration which put to rest all doubts with respect to the real situation of the bank, by informing the public that, durng the last fifty years, the directors had successively advanced $10,624,793$ foring to the East India Company, the provinces of Hoiland and West Friesland, and the city of Amsterdam! And thus, though the bullion actualiy in the coffers of the bank, and the debuts due to her, were together fully equal to her engagementa, yrt as she hat divanced so large a sum as ten and a half milliont to those who were no longer in a con-
the other. As the conmodities exchanged too are supposed to be of equal value, so the two capitals employed in the trale walt, upon most occasions, be equal, or very nearly equal; and both being employed in rasing the native commodities of the two countrice, the revenue and maintenance which their distribution will afford to the inhabitants of , each will be equal, or very nearly equal. This revenuo and maintenance, thus mutually afforded, will be greater or smatler ar proportion to the extent of their dualinge, If these should annually amount to an hundred thousand pounds, for example, or to a million on each side, each of them would afford an annual revenue in the one case of an hundred thousand pounds, in the other, of a million to the inhabitants of the other.

If their trade should be of such a nature that one of them exported to the other nothing but native commoditiea, while the returns of that other consisted aitogether in foreign goods, the balance, in this case, would still be supposed even, connmodities being paid for with commodities. They would, in this case too, both gain, but they would not gain equally; and the inhabitants of the country which exported nothing but native commodities would derive the greatest revenue from the trade. If England, for example, should import from France nothing but the native commodities of that country, and not having such commodities of its own as were in demand there, should annually repay them by sending thither a large quantity of foreign goods, tobacco, we shall suppose, and East India goods; this trade, though it would give some revenue to the inhabitants of both countries, would give more to those of France than to those of England. The whole French capital annually employed in it would annually be distributed among the people of France; but that part of the Englush capital only which was employed in producing the English commodities with which those foreign goods were purchased, would be annually distributed among the people of England. The greater part of it would replace the capitals which had been employ ed in Virginia, Indostan, and Chioa, and which had given revenue and maintenance to the inhabitants of those distant countrieg. If the capitals were equal, or nearly equal, therefore, this employment of the French capitsl

[^70]sodid ant rne it macb more the revcime if t'ee people of Pratice, than that of the Eig-
 of $t$ bridand 「rabee would in this case ary on a dirut furcurin trade of comanp:wn a th Eoghand, whear Ingiand would arry on a roundimut trake of the same band with Frant The dith rant efliets of a cap utal amplon al in the dereet, and of one arphesed in tie roundibut fungen trade of ennumptoon, have ala ady been tully exphamuly

Chice 15 mat, probilly, between any two countris a trale whet conants altogether in the exch mene enthr of native commodites on troth sides, or of mitive commodities on one sule, and of forep en gooks on the other. Ahoost all countrice exchante with one another partly native and partly forugn goods. 'l hat country, however, in whose cargens there is the greaters proportion of native, and the loast of foreyga goods, wall always be the promepal gamer

If it was not with wbacco and East India guod, but wath gold and shlier, that England purd for the commolitues anuually import did from France, the balance in thas case would le suppenad uneven, commoditues net buif pand for with commodities, but with gold and salver. The trade, however, would, 1t thas case, as in the fore roing, give some ricome to the mhobitants of both countries, but noure to thase of Irance than to those of Eughand. : It would give some revenue to thuse of England. The capital which Sad leen employed in producing the Enghsh goonds that purchased this gold and silver, the capital wheh had been distributed among, and given revenue to, cortan inbibrants of Encland, would then by be repisted, and enabled to contimue that emplor3 ment. The whole caput of England would no more be dimmshed by thes exportation of gold and silvir, than by the exportation of an equal value of any other goods On the contrary, it wrouhi, in mont cases, be anmented. No goombare sent abroad but those for wheh the deniand is supposed to tee greater abroed than at bonee, and of which te returns consequeodly, it is expected, wall bi of nore ralue at home than the commowhins exported. If the tobacre wheh. in Eaglinit, is worth only a hundred thousand poander, whan sent to France will purchase wine wha' is in England, worth a hundred and ter thousind pounds the exchange will augneat the exputal of Eoglaod by ten

- In it the camer minumi, Framu Mominave a inu, thlater amiva it ot captal emplored to the trate. In, th the suff postion the has to elup it capital in ' it hith hous of the commodthes she sands to h, is a. A, wer, as the latter bas por eaptal emplored

 - 'ber cay inal ane moud gata the same protit as the 1 brech
frent
It will ravily no man rareblie in the cone


firnmad prombls If a handted loonsind pounds of Laplah gohl, wa the wame $n$ an-
 ham, is work a hurditd and ten thousard, thas exchunge will gathy aumont tive
 As a merdhent alo lias hunded and it $n$ thound pounds' wath of a me in his aller, sa racler man thas ic alolisa onls a lundred thousand pounds wort' of tubaco 10 his warehouse, wo is te lihet ie a rie cit man than he who has chily a 1 utulred touvitat pounds" worth of cold in his coliers he can pur into motion a greater quantity of industry, and gre resemue mamtuance. and emplosment to a greater number of people than ether of the wher two. But the capital of the country is equal to the eapitals of all its dificent inhabitants, and the quantity of industry whicb can be annually mantaned in it is equal to what ail those different capitals can mantam. lath the eapital of the country, therefire, and the quantity of industry which can be annuily manutained in it, must generally be ausmented by the exchange. It rould, indice!. be more advantagoous for Ereland that it could purchase the wines of France nith $川$ own hardsare and brosd-cluth, than winn either the whaceo of Virginia, or the co'd and silver of Brasil and Peru. A wreet forign trade of ccusunption is aloats mure adrantigeous thay a roundalout ene. ${ }^{3}$ lut a roundabout for ign trade of consumptior. which is carried on with gold and sher, does not seem to be leas aduantagewus that any other equallv roundabout one. Nett er is a country wheb has no munes more likely. to be crhauctad of gold and sher by this annual exporation of thoce mitais, than i A Whuch does not g-ow tobace by the like annual exportation of that plant. is a country wh ch has wherewithal to bur tobacco will never be long in want of $t$, so nether will one be long in want of cold aid salver whè bas wherewthal to purcha-e those nicta's.

It is m losing trade, it is sand, wath a workman carries on with the alchouse; and: the trad. which a manufaturing nation would raturally earry os with a wine conntry may be consodered as a trade of the same nature. I answer, that the trade with the alehouse is net cecessarily a losing trade. In its own nature it as just as adrantagceus as any other, though, perhaps, sowewhat more' hiable to be abosed. The eroplosraent of a
portanon of an eveuraleat asmant of anr ethere' spertes of merhandise; and could rot, thereicro, occasion any greats change in the ruantry of the countrt

It is mare adrantifennes to the conemmers beo




 n't these at 1 tarthest part ot the sube
brewer, and even that of a retailer of fer. mented hquors, are as necessary divisions of labour as any other. It will generally be mo:e advantageous for a workman to buy of the brewer the quantity he has occasion for, than to brew it himself; and if he is a poor workman, it will generally be more advantageous for him to buy it by hittle and hittle of the retaler, than a large quantity of the brewer. He may no doubt buy too much of eithor, as he may of any other dealers in lits neighbourhood: of the butcher, if he is a glutton, or of the draper, if he affects to be a beau among his companions. It is advantagcous to the great body of workmin, notwithstanding, that all these trades should be free, though this freedom may be abused in all of them, and is more likely to be so, perhaps, in some than in others. Though indoviduals, besides, may sometmes ruiu their fortunes by an excessive consumption of fermented liquors, there seems to be no risk that a nation should do so. Though in every country there are many people who spend upon such liquors more than they can afford, there are always many more who spend less. It deserves to be remarked too, that, if we consult experience, the cheapness of wine seems to be a cause, not of drunkenness, but of sobriety. The inhabitants of the wine countries are in gencral the soberest people in Europe : witness the Spaniards, the Italians, and the inhabitants of the southern provinces of France. People are seldom guilty of excess in what is their daily fare. Nobody affects the character of liberality and good fellowship, by being profuse of a liquor which is as cheap as small beer. On tie contrary, in the countries which, eitber fiom excessive heat or cold, produce no grapes, and where wine consequently is dear and a rarity, drunkenness is a common vice, as among the northern nations, and all those who live between the tropics, the negroes, for example, on the coast of Guinea When a French regiment comes from some of the northern provinces of France, where wine is sume what dear, to be quartered in the southern, where it is very cheap, the soldiers, I have frequently heard it observed, are at first debauched by the cheapness and novelty of good wine; but after a few months' residence the greater part of them become as sober as the rest of the inhabitants. Were the duties upon foreign whes, and the excises upon malt, beer, and ale, to be taken away all at

[^71]once, it might, in the same manner, occasion in Great britain a pretty general and temporary drunkenness among the midding and inferor ranks of people, which would probably be soon followed by a permauent and almost universal sobrety. At present, drunhat enness is ly no means the vice of the people of Eavition, or of those who can casily aford the most expensive liquors. A genticman drunk with ale has scarce ever been seen among us. The restrainte upon the wine trade in Great Britan, besides, do not so much seem calculated to hinder the peophe from going, if I may say so, to the alchouse, as from going where they can buy the bust and cheapest hiquor. They favour the wine trade of Portugal, and discourage that of France. The Portuguese, it is said, inderd, are better customers for our manufactures than the French, and should therefure the encouraged in preference to them. As they give us their custom, it is pretended we should give them ours, The sneaking arts of underling tradesmen are thus crected into political maxims for the conduct of a great empire: for it is the most underling tradesmen only who make it a rule to employ chefly their own customers. A great trader purchases bis goods always where they are cheapest and best, without regard to any little interest of this kind.

By such maxime as these, however, nations ! have been taught that thetr interest concisted in beggaring all thcir neighbours Each: nation bas been made to look with an invidious eye upon the prosperity of all the nations with which it trades, and to consudir their gain as its own loss. Commerce, which, ought naturally to be, among nations as among individuals, a bond of unon and friendship. has become the most fertile source, of discord and animosity. The capricouss ambition of kings and ministers has not, during the present and the preceding century been more fatal to the repose of Europe, than the impertinent jealousy of merchants and wanufacturers. The violence and injustice of the rulers of mankind is an arcient evil, for which, I am afraid, the nature of human affairs can scarce admit of a remedy. But the mean rapacity, the monopolusing spirit of merchants and manufacturers, who neither are, nor ought to be, the rulurg of mankind, though it cannot perhaps be corrected, may very easily be prevented from disturbing the tranquillity of ang body but themselves ${ }^{1}$
an important zra the hiatory of commerce, finas mish as it thows that there is nos longer any discreJancy between the viewr entertamed with genpoct to ft by rational theorista, and the most iutellifent practical men. Could Dr Sman have formemen thet practical mones. Would be espotited, and the morcanthe system condemned, by the tent informed and most Extensive merchants to the world, he wonld per'iape, have momethat suffened the fes. nity of lus remarks on mercantile rapacity m tisk eni other paraurapnsThe reader wilf fird the frotiun referred 44 in supplemental note.

That it was the epirit of monopoly which orignally both menented and propagated this doctrine, emnot be donbted; and they who firnt tagegit to were by no means such fools an they who blieved it In every country it atw iys in, and must be, the interest of the wicat holy of the people, to buy whatever Hey want of thow who sedt it cheapert. The projocition is so very namufist, that it seems raw ulons to tike any pans to prove it; nor camblit ever hive been called in question, thet not the intereted soplustry of merchants and mambeturers contounded the common sense of manhind. Their interest is, in the respect, dareetly opposite to that of the Huat body of the peophe. As it is the inteist of the fiecinen of a corpotation to hinder the r'st of the mbahtants from employing any aomotmen but themselves, so it is the mit, rivt of the merchunts and manufucturers of every countiy to secure to themelves the monopuly of the home market. Hence, m Grait britain, and in most other European conatries, the extraordinary dutios upon almost all foods imported by alien merchatis, Houte the bugh duthes and prohibitions upon all those fureign manufactures "huch can cone into compettion with our own Hence too the extrondinary restraints apon the amportation of almost all sorts of goods from those countres with which the bolance of trale is supposed tu be disadianturwous that is, from those aganst whom numonal ammonty happens to be must violenth millaned.

The wealth of a neighbouring nation, howerer, though dangerous in war and polutes, is certanly adrantageous in trade. In a state of hostility it may enable our enemes to maintam theets and armies supe roor to our own ; but in a state of peace and conmerce it must likewise enuble them to ev, hange with us to a greater value, and to atlord a better market, euther for the immedite produce of our own mhastry, or for whatever is purchaned with that produce. As a rich manu is lihely to be a better curtomer to the industrious people in his neqghbourhood than a poor, so is hhewise a rich nation, A rich man, indeed, who is humelfa minufarturer, is a very dangerous neghbour to all those who deal in the same
I We doubt wheth+r there bo ang good foumdation for cilber of thene dswithons. I he reader will hind, in the suppumentil note on the Nayigalion of tha
 the curtint minition as to their being averse trom navis.ation and lorefogn trade. It is now aduitted by
 hotina that the flamese are ingttemtiog to and deapise ture in commereo, is wheilly without tumadation. Wif the ronatrary, ther are emmenty compmercial.
 tir , 1 ps at them unn bult, thith the Philippine
 verk mank th if f hmo so selthers have amigrated to, thi setthit in the promejual pias of of the bastern

 ( ialli, st dre at pursent, and hase forg been in the
w.iy. All the rest of the netribhourhood, l.owever, by far the greatest mumber, proint by the good maket wheh his expense affords them. They eveo proft hy his uaders.lling the poorer workmon whe didin the: same way uth ham 'lle manutacturters of a rich nation, in the same manher, may no doubt be very dangerous rivals to thase of thur negghbours. This very comptation, however is adiantageons to the great body of the people, who proft greatly bendes by the good market which the great evperise ot such a nation aftords them in every other way. Irvate peuple who want to inathe a fortune, never think of rettring to the remote and poor prownces of the country, but resort ether to the capital, or to some of the great commercial towns. They know, that wiure little wealth circulates, there is hittle to be got ; but that where a great deal is in mution, sone share of it may fall to them The same maxims wheh would in this manner direct the conmon sense of one, or ten, or twenty indouduals, should regulate the judgment of one, or ten, or twenty milhons, and should make a whole nation regard the riches of its netghbours, as a probable cause and occasion for itself to acquire riches A nation that would enrich atself by forelgn trade, is certanly most likely to do so when its netghbours are all ruh, industrious, and commercial nations. A great nation, surrounded on all stdes by wandermg savages and poor barbarians, mught, no doubt, acquire riches by the cultivation ot its oun lands, and by its own interior commeres, but not by foreign trade. It secms to have been in this manner that the ancient Egyptisns and the modern Chmese acquired their gieat wealth. The ancient Eggptians, it is sand, neglected foreign commerce, and the modern Chir se, it is known, hold it in the utmost contenipt, and scarce deign to afford it the decent protection of the laws. 1 The modern maxuns of foreign commerce, by arming it the impoverishment of all our neghbours, 60 far as they are capable of producing their intended effect, tend to rendet that very commerce msignificant and contemptuble.

It is in consequence of these maxims, that the commerce between France and England
Fast, what the Dutch formerly umte in Europe tho carriers and merchants of the surroiunding atates. It suted the views of the cobspanies fo whom the It suited the wews of the cobspanies to waom the
monopoly of the trade nith (hana was lormptiy monopoly of the trade with china was tormpriy
asstghen, here and in Holiand to repis sent the asstmbed, here And in Holiand to reprisent the
Chmese as bustule to commerce, dme thimentit to dial
 hith, indsmuib az this dfurded a kind of excuse tor
their pecultar privilezes But expertence hot shown, their pecular privilezes But experance hous shown,
ance the trate to chan has been chrow u ofita to abl nationa, that the representations relerred fis were entirels destitute of any good toun lawn, that the Chiment are not averse from strangers of curumer.i. and that, thoush their govermment be wormpt at dillmormed, and their customs aud lixa whely diffeis nt from ours, business maly be as towlh. Sulef, dint spertily trinsed tot at Cunton as at enther Loulion ot Sew Yorl
has, in both countries, been subjected to so many discouragements and restraints. If thuse two countries, however, were to consider their real miterest without either mercantile jealousy or national animosity, the commerce of France might be more advantageous to Great Britam than that of any other country, and, for the same reason, that of Great Britan to France. France is the nearest neighbour to Great Britain. In the trade between the southern coast of England and the northern and north-western coasts of France, the returns might be expected, in the same manner as in the inland trade, four, five, or six times in the year. The capital, therefore, employed in this trade could, in each of the two countries, keep in motion four, five, or six times the quantity of industry, and afford employment and subsistence to four, five, or six times the number of people, which an equal capital could do in the greater part of the other branches of forcign trade. Between the parts of France and Great Britain most remote from one another, the returns might be expected, at least, once in the year ; and even this trade would so far be at least equally advantageous as the greater part of the other branches of our foreign European trade. It would be, at least, three times more advantageous than the boasted trade with our North American colonies, in which the returns were seldom made in less than three years, frequently not less than four or five years. 1 France, besides, is supposed to contain twenty-four millions of inhabitants. 2 Our North American colonies were never supposed to contain more than three millions ${ }^{3}$ : and France is a much richet country than North America; though, on account of the more unequal distribution of riches, there is much more povi rty and beggary in the one country than in the cther. France, therefore, could afford a market at least eight times more extensive, and, on account of the superior frequency of the returns, four and twenty times minre advantageous than that which our North American colonies ever afforded. The trade of Great Eritain would be just as advintageous to France, and, in proportion to the wealth, population, and proximity of the respective countries, would have the same superiority over that which France carries on with her own colonies. Such is the very great difference between that trade which the wisdom of both nations has thought proper to discourage, and that which it has favoured the most.

But the very same circumstances which would have rendered an open and free commerce between the two countries so advantageous to both, have occasioned the princi-

[^72]pal obstructions to that commerce. Lemg netghbours, they are necessarnly encmues, and the wealtio and power of each beconwh upon that account, more formodalile to the other; and what would increase the advantage of national friendhup serven only to inflame the violence of national amimosity. They are both rich and industrious nations; and the merchants and manufacturers of each dread the compctition of the shill and artivity of those of the other. Murcantile jealousy is excited, and both inflames, and is itself inflamed, by the violence of national animosity ; and the traders of both countrics have announced, with all the passonate confidence of interested falsehood, the certain ruin of each, in consequence of that whfavourable balance of trade, whech, they prittend, would he the iufallible chect of an unrestrained commerce with the other.

There is no commercial country in Europe of which the approaching ruin has not frequently been foretold by the pretended doctors of this system, from an unfavourable balance of trade. After all the ansiety, however, which they have excited about this, after all the vain attempts of aimost all trading nations to turn that balance in their own fa-our, and against their neighbours, it dow not appear that any one nation in Europe has been, in any respect, impoverished by this cause. Every town and country, on the contrary, in proportion as they bave oponed their ports to all nations, instead of leing ruined by this free trade, as the principles of the commercial system would lead us to expect, have been enriched by it. Though there are in Europe, indeed, a few towns which in some respects deserve the name of free ports, there is no country which does so. Holland, perhaps, approaches the nearest to this character of any, though still very remote from it ; and Holland, it is acknowledged, not only derives its whole calth, but a great part of its necessary subsistence, from foreign trade.

There is another balance, indeed, which bas already been explained, very different from the balance of trade, and which, arcord ing as it happens to be either favourable or unfavourable, necessarily occasions the prosperity or decay of every nation. This is the balance of the annual produce and consumption. If the exchangeable value of the annual produce, it has already been olsserved, exceeds that of the annual consumption, the capital of the society must annually increase in proportion to this excess. The society in this case lives within its revenue; and what is annually saved out of its revenue, is naturally added to its capital, and employed so as to increase still further the

[^73] amounted to $31, t y 0,55^{-}$.
annual produce. If the exchatmre shle value of cha manal prodicce, on the tontrary, lall s lort of the annural consumpteon. the edpital of the sociciv mast anmoally deady in proputtion to this deliciticy. 'Ibe cxpense of the society 10 this case exceods ins revenue, and nuesobarily encruaches upon tis capital. lts capuat, therelore, must necessarnly decay, and, tope ther with it, the exchangeable value of the anmual produce of ats molustry.

This balance of produce and consumption is entucly diflerant from what is called the lialabce of tiade. It might take place in a nution whild had no foreign trade, but which was enficuly segarated from all the world. It may tahe place in the whole globe of the warth, of which the wealth, population, and impromement may be esther gradually ancre ising or gratually decaying.

The halance of produce and consumption may be constantly in favour of a nation, though what is called the balance of trade be gemerally aganast it. A nation may import to a gredter value than it exports for half a cutury, perhaps, together; the gold and salver which comes into it durang all this time may be all monedhately sent out of it; Its ctrulatiog com mav gradually decay, differilt sorts of paper motiey being substituted ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ its place, and even the debts, too, which it contants in the princrpal nations with whom it deals, may be gradually incrasing ; and yet its rial wealth, the exchangeible value of the annual produce of its lands and habour, may, during the same period, have been mereasing in a much greater proportion. The state of our North American colomes, and of the trade which they carried on with Great Britan, before the commencemert of the present disturbancera' may serve as a proof that this as by to meaths an mpossbble supposition.

## CHAP. IV.

## Of Drawbucks.

Mfrchants and manufacturers are not contented with the tnonopoly of the home marhit, but desire likewise the most extensive forigns sale firs their goods. Their country has no jurisdiction in forelgu nations, and theretore cin saldom procure them any monopoly there. They are generally obliged, therefore, to content themselves with petsthoming for tertin encouragements to exportation
(Ht these encouragements what are called Drawbacks seem to be the most reasonable. To allow the merchint to draw back upon exportation, either the whole of a part of
${ }^{1}$ This paragraph was writen to the year itis. (Note by the author)
whatever excive or mand duty is moposed upon dumestic industry, catl n-ise occasto. the exportation of i griater quantity of goods than what wou'i have been exported had no duty been smiosed. Such encourage ments do not tend to turn towards any particular emploginent a ereatir share of the capital ot the country thon what would go to that employmentit of ha onn accord, but only to hinder the daty trom dritios anay any part of that share to other cmploymente. They tend not to overturn that balance whreh naturally estabhshes itself among all the various emplayment. of the society, but to hinder it fion being overturned by the duty, they tend not to destroy, but to proserve, what it is in most cases advantageous to priserve, the natur.a division and distribution of labour 111 the society.

The sume thing may be said of the drath backs upon the re-texportation of foreign goods imported; wheh in Great Briain generally amount to by much the largest part of the dity upon importation. By the second of the rules annexed to the act of parimment, which imposed what is now called the old subsidy, every merchant, whether English or alien, was allowed to draw back half that duty upon expurtation; the English merchant, provided the exportation took place witho twelve months; the alien, provided it took place within nine montlis Wines, currants, and wrought silks were the only goods which did not tall within this rule, having other and more advantagrous allowances. The duties imposed by this act of parlainent were, at that tume, the only duties upon the mportation of foregrn goods. The term within which this, and all other drawhacks, could be claimed, was afterward, (by 7 G. 1. c. 21. s. 10.) extended to three vears. ${ }^{2}$

The duties which have been imposed snes । tle old subsudy, are, the greater part of them, wholly drawn back upon eiportation. This geveral rule, however is hable to a grat number of exceptions, and the doctrine of drawlacks has become a much less simple matter than it whs at their tirst institution.

Cpol. the exportation of some foretgin goods, of wnich it was experted that the mo portation would greatly exceed what was necessary for the home consumpution, the whole daties are drawn back, without retaining even half the old subsuly. Before the revolt of our North American colones,

2 In 1787, to o'spiate the trouble and inconvemence arising ir im the multupictity of ats r-hative to the cus'ons, Mr Pitr iniroduced a bill for their cengolidation. Several stmilar consolidations have bean effected at bubsequent perio is, and the number of effected at subsequent perio is, and the number of
cuatoms duties has lafterly been so muls reduifat, cuatocus duties has latterly been so muts reduret,
that at present (Is63) the tarit comprises hitcle more that at present (la663) the tarit comprises hitcle more than 40 artules, of which 11 only are of any importance. In consequence the distur-tions to whinh smith alludes between nid and new duties have been wholly done away ; and the drawbachs. of writh there are extremely few, have reterebice only to the entire amount of the duts.
we had the monopoly of the toluaco of Maryland and Virginia. We impoited ahout minety-six thousand hogsheads, and the home consumption was not supposed to exceed fourteen thousand. To faclitate the great exportation which was necessary, in order to rad us of the rest, the whole duties were draun bach, provided the exportation took phice within three years.

We still have, though not altogether, yet very nearly, the monopnly of the sugars of our West Indian islands. If sugars are exported within a year, therefore, all the duties upon importation are drawn back, and if exported within three years, all the duties, except half the old subsidy, which still continues to be retained upon the exportation of the greater part of goods. Though the importation of sugar exceeds, a good deal, what is necessary for the home consumption, the excess is inconsiderable, in comparison of what it used to be in tobacco. ${ }^{1}$

Some goods, the particular objects of the jealousy of our own manufacturers, are prohibited to be imported for home consumpton. They may, however, upon paying certain duties, be imported and warehoused for exportation. But upon such exportation, no part of these duties are drawn back. Our manufacturers are unwilling, it seems, that even this restricted importation should be encouraged, and are afraid lest some part of these gools should be stolen out of the warehouse, and thus come into competition with their own. It is under these regulatons only that we can import wrought silks, French cambrics and lawns, calicos painted, printed, stained, or dyed, \&ce.

We are unwilling even to be the carriers of French goods, and choose rather toforego a profit to ourselves, than to suffer those whom we consider as our enemies to make any proft by our means. Not only half the old subsidy, but the second twenty-five per cent, is retained upon the exportation of gill French goods. ${ }^{2}$

By the fourth of the rules annexed to the old subsidy, the drawback allowed upon the exportation of all wines amounted to $n$ great deal more than half the duties which were, at that tume, pard upon their importation; and it seems, at that time, to have been the object of the legislature to give' somewhat more than ordmary encouragement to the carrying trade in wine. Several of the other duties too, which were imposed, either at the same time, or subsequent to $t^{1 i e}$ old subsidy; what is called the additional duty, the

[^74]new subsidy, the onc-third and the twothirds subsidies the impost 1692 , the connage on whe, were alloned to be wholly dramn back upon exportation. All those dutlen, however, except the addational duty, and impost 1692 , being paid doun in rendy mones, upon importation, the interest of so harge a sum occasioned an expense, which made it unreasonable to expect any profitable carrying trade in this article. Only a part, therefore, of the duty called the impost on wine, and no part of the trenty-five jounds the tun upon the French wines, or of the dutcs imposed in 1745, in 176?, and in 1778, ueve allowed to bedrawn back upon exportation The two imposts of five per cent., unpused! in 1779 and 1781, upon all the former dutics of customs, being allowed to be wholly draun back upon the exportation of all other guents, were lakewise allowed to be drawn bakk upon that of wine. The last duty that has been particularly imposed upon wine, that of 1780 , is allowed to be wholly drawn back, -an indulgence which, when so many havy duties are retained, most probalby could never occasion the exportation of a single tun of wine. These rules take place with regard to all places of lawful exportation, except the British colonies in America. ${ }^{3}$

The 15th Charles II. chap. 7. called an act for the encouragement of trade, had given Great Britan the monopoly of supplying the colonies with all the commodities of the growth or manufacture of Europe; and, consequently, with wines. In a country of so extensive a coast as our North American and West Indian colonses, where gur authority was always so very slender, and where the inhabitalts were allowed to carry out, in their own ships, their nonenumerated commodities, at first, to all parts of Europe, and afterwards, to all parts of Europe south of Cape Finisterre, it is not very probable that this monopoly could ever be much respected; and they probably, at all times, found means of bringing back some cargo from the countrics to which they were allowed to carry out one. They scem, however, to have found some difficulty in importing European wines from the places of their growth, and they could not weil import them from Great Britain, where they were loaded with many heavy dutics, of which a considerable part was not drawn back upon exportation. Madeira wine, not being a European commodity, could be inported directly into America and the West
arrayed on ite dide, that it was not abofished till 1831. The amme equal duty of 5y. Gd. per galion was thee imposed on all vaneties of wine 1 his aratenn was changed in 1460 , wine being since acimutted at was changed in 1 sto, wine being since acimitted at
duties of is. and 2 g . Gd per gallon, aucording to tle duties of is. and 2s. $6 d$ per gallon, aurorening to that
alcohol it contang. And it is a currous liet that under this system the finest y rench wines, which have but Lute alcoliol, are admitted at the low daty of 1s. per gallon, whereas port, shen ry, and most other wines pay 2s. $6 d$ per gallon! qee further, the Treatuse on Taxation, by the editor of thas work, at d ed., p. 340.
fodes, countries which, in all thets nonenumeritel commodites, engoy a tree bate to the wand of Madeira, These carcumshanes had, mobably, introduced that gencral taste fol Maderra wine, which our oilatro found establushed in all our eolontes at the commencement of the war, wheh be: in m1:35, and which they brought back with tham to the mother country, where that wame had not been much m fashon bebme Cema the conclugion of that war, III 17,? (by the 4 Geo. III. chap. xy. sof 12 ) all the duties, except 31 . 10s. were althed to be drawn back, upon the exportathur to the colunins of all wines, except Irench wanes, to the commerce and constaption of which national prejudice would alluw no sort of encouragement. The pewod butween the pranting of thas indulgence and the revolt of our North American colones, was, probably, too short to admit of any conadicable change in the customs of thow countries.

The same act, which, in the drawback :1;un all whee, except French wines, thus lavound the culomes so much more than odic countuses, in those upon the greater pat of other commodities, favoured them autch less. Upon the exportation of the preater part of commodities to other countrus, thalf the old subsidy was diawn back. But this law enaetud, that no part of that duty should be drawn bach upon the exportdthon to the eulonies of any commodities, of the growth or manufacture either of Europe or the East Indues, exorpt wines, white callcors, and mushins.

Drawbachs were, pelhaps, originally granted for the encouragement of the carrying thade, which, as the freight of the shops is frequently pand by foreigners in money, wat apposed to be peculiarly fitted tor bunging gold and silver into the country. But though the carrying trade certanly deserves no peculia encouragement, though the motive of the matitution was, perhaps, abunduntly foohsh, the institution itself seens reasonable enough. Such drawbecks camot force moto this trade a greater share of the capical of the country than what would luave gone to it of its own accord, had there lken no duties upon importation. They ouly prevent us beng excluded altogether by thene duties. The carrying trade, though If deserves no preference, ought not to be precluded, but to be left fice like all other trades. It is a necessary resource for those cuptals which cannot ind employment either ta the arriculture or in the manufactures of the countly, either in its bome trade or in ats foregge trade of consumption.

The revenue of the customs, instead of suffirnar, prohts from such drawbacks, by that part of the duty whech is retained If the whole dutues had been retained, the
fureign goods upoty which bey gre 'patid could seldom have bun eped, ing eonsequently imported, form $1 \mathrm{~m}+4 \mathrm{Ck}$ wark
 tained, would never have buhtrid.
These reasons seem sufficiently drawbacks, and would justify them, though the whole duties, whether upon the produce of domestic mdustry, or upon furegn good, were always drawn back upon exportation. The revenue of excise would, in this case, indeed, suffer a little, and that of the customs a good deal more; but the natural balance of industry, the natural divesion and distibution of habour, whith is always more or less disturbed by such duties, would be more nearly re-established by such a regulation.

These reasons, however, will justufy drawbacks only upon exporing goods to chose countres which are altogether foreign and independent, not to those in whels our merchants and manufactures enjoy a monopoly. A drawback, for example, upon the exportation of European goods to our American colonies, will not always occasion a greater exportation than what would have taken place without it. By means of the monopoly which our merchants and manufacturers enjoy there, the same quantity might frequintly, perhaps, be sent thither, though the whole duties were retaned. The drawback, therefore, may frequently be pure loss to the revenne of excise and cus toms, whithout altering the state of the trade or rendering it in any respect more extensive. How far such drawbacks can be justified, as a proper encouragement to tle industry of our colonies, or how far it is advantageous to the mother country, that they should be exempted from taxes which are paid by all the rest of their fellow-subjects, will appear hereaftir when I come to ticat of colones.

Dran backs, however, it must alway be understond, are useful only m those cast in which th 'goods for the exportation of whith they are gwen, are really exported to some foreign country, and not clandestinely reimported into our own. That some drawbacks, particularly those upon tobaceo, have fiequently been abused in this manner, and have given occasion to many fiauds equally hurtful both to the revenue and to the fur trader, is well known.

## CHAP. V.

Of Bounties.
Bounties upon exportation arp, at Greas Britain, frequently petitioned for, and some times granted to the produce of partecius branches of domestic industry. By mean
lof them our merchants and manufacturers, it is pretendud, will ue enabled to sell their goods as cheap, or cheaper than their rivals in the foremg market. A greater quantity, 1 is said, will thus be exported, and the balince of trade consequently turned more in tavour of our own country. We cannot give our workmen a monopoly in the foreign, as we have done in the borne market. We cannot force foreigners to buy therr goods, as we have done our own countrymen. The next best expedient, it hay been thought, therefore, is to pay them for buying. It is in this manner that the mercantule system proposes to enrich the whole country, and to put money into all our pockets by means of the balance of trade.

Bounties, it is allow ed, ought to be given to those branches of trade only which cannot be carried on without them. But every branch of trade in which the merchant can scll his goods for a price which replaces to hmm, with the ordinary profits of stock, the whole capital employed in preparing and sending them to market, can be carried on without a bounty. Every such branch is evidently upon a level with all the other branches of trade which are carried on without bounties, and cannot, therefore, require one more than they. Those trades only require bounties in which the merchant is obliged to sell his goods for a price which does not replace to him his capital, together with the ordinary profit; or in which be is obliged to sell them for less than it really costs him to send them to market. The bounty is given in order to make up this loss, and to encourage him o continue or perhaps to begin, a trade of which the expense is supposed to be greater than the returns, of which every operation eats up a part of the capital employed in it, and which is of such a nature, that, if all other trades resembled 1 t, there would soon be no capital left in the country.

The trades, it is to be observed, which are carried on by means of bounties, are the only ones which can be carried on between two nations for any considerable time together, in such a manner as that one of them shall always and regularly los, or sell its goods for less than it really cos,s to send them to market. But if the bounty did not repay to the merchant what he would otherwise lose upon the price of his grods, his o own interest would soon oblige hun to emI ploy his stock in another way, or to find out a trade in which the price of the goods would replace to him, with the ordinary prolit, the capital employed in sending them to market. The effect of bounties, like

1 Thes work juatifies the eulogy passed upon tes author by smith. It is by far the best of the earlier works on the corn trade. It embodies much valuable informetion in regard to the corn laws of England and other countries, especially France; the pnces, sm. ports, and exports of corn ; the sums pard in bountaes on exportation; the numbers of the people using diferent borts of com, \&c. A new and enlarged
that of all the other expedients of the merca athe system, can only be to furce the trade of a country into a channel much luas advantageous than that in which it would naturally run of its own accord.

The ingenious and well-miormed author of the tracts upon the corn trade hus uhow a very clearly, that since the bounty upon tho exportation of corn was lirst establishicd, the price of the corn exported, valued moderately enough, has exceeded that of the corn imported, valued vary h.gh, by a much greater sum than the amount of the nhole bounties which had beren pard during thit period. ${ }^{1}$ This, he unagines, upon the true principles of the mercanite system, is a clear proof that this forced corn trade is beneficial to the nation; the value of the exportation excceding that of the importation by a much greater sum than the whole ext aor-dinary expense which the public has been at in order to get it exported. He dors not consider that this extrdordinary expense, or the buunty, is the smallest part of the expense which the exportation of corn really costs the society. The caputal which the tarmer employed in raising ${ }^{1}$, must likewise be taken into the account. Unless the price of the corn when sold in the foreign markets replaces, not only the bounty, but this capital, together with the ordinary profits of stock, the society is a loser by the difference, or the national stock is so much dimintobed. But the very reason for which it has been thought necessary to grant a bounty, is the supposed insufficiency of the price to do this.

The average price of corn, it has been said, has fallen considerably since the establishment of the bounty. That the average price of corn began to fall somew hat towards the end of the last century, and has contlnued to do so during the course of the sixtyfour first years of the present, I have already endeavoured to show. But this event, supposing it to be as real as I believe it to be, must have happened in spite of the bounty, and cannot possibly have happened in coitsequence of it. It has happened in Frauce, as well as in England, though in I rance there was, not only no bounty, but, till 1764, the exportation of corn was subjected to a general pruhbition. This gradual fall in the average price of grain, it is probable, therefore, is ultumately owing nether to the one regulation nor to the other, but to that gradual and insensible rise in the reai value of sulver, which, in the first book of thas dis- course, I have endeavoured to show has taken place in the general market of Europe, during the course of the present century.
edition of the work, with a life of the author, was published in 1804.

Since 1766, when the second edition of the Tracts on the Cors Irade was published, very great charges have taken place in that trade inGreat Bratain. Frima being a regularly exporting, we have bean, frum 17,4 or 1770, an all but regularly importing country. See Supplemental Note on Corn Lawh.

It secms to be altogether impossible that the toounty could ever contribute to lower the price of grain.

In years of plenty, it has already been wbstrved, the bounty, by occasioning an extriurdinary exportation, necessarily keeps up the price of corn in the home market above what it would naturally fall to. To do so was the avowed purpose of the institution. In years of scaretty, though the bounty is frequently suspended, yet the grest exportation which it oecastons in years of plenty must frequently hinder more or lews the plenty of one year from relieving the scarcity of another. Both in years of plenty and in years of scarcity, therefore, the bounty necessarly tends to rasse the money price of corn somewhat higher than it otherwise would be in the home market.

That, in the actual state of tillage, the bounty must necessarily have this tendency, will not, 1 apprehend, be disputed by any yedomolile person. But it has been thought by many people that it tends to encourage tullage, and that in two different ways: first, loy opeming a more extensive foreign market to the corn of the farmer, it tends, they imagine, to merease the demand for, and consequently the production of, that commodity; and, secondly, by sceuring to him a better price than ha could otherwise expect in the actual state of tullage, it tends, they suppose, to encourage tillage. This double encouragement must, they magine, in a long period of years, occasion such an increase in the protuction of corn, as may lower its prace in the home market, much more than the bounty can raise it, in the netual state wheh tullage may, at the end of that period, happen to be in.

1 answer, that whatever extension of the furengn market can be occasioned by the bounty, must, in every particular ycar, be altogether at the expense of the home market; as every bushel of corn which is exported by means of the bounty, and which would hot have been exported without the lountr, would have remaned in the home market to ucrease the consumption, and to lower the price of that conmodity. ${ }^{1}$ The carn bounty, it is to be observed, as well as every other bounty upon exportation, imposes two different taxes upon the people: frat, the tux which they are obliged to contribute. in oider to pay the bounty; and secondly, the tax which arises from the advanced price of the commodity in the home market, and which, as the whole body of the people are puchasers of corn, must, in this particular commoduty, be paid by the whole

[^75]body of the people. In this particular com: modity, therefore, this second tax is by much : the heaviest of the two. Let us suppose that, tahing one year with another, the bounty of five shillings upon the exportation of the quarter of wheat raises the price of that commodity in the liome market only sixpence the bushel, or four shillings the quarter, higher than it otherwise would have been in the actual state of the crop. Even upon this very moderate supposition, the great body of the people, over and above contributing the tax which pays the bount $y$ of five shillings upon every quarter of wheat exported, must pay another of four shillings upon every quarter which they themselves consume. But, according to the very well informed author of the tracts upon the corn trade, the average proportion of the corn exported to that consumed at home is not more than that of one to thirty-one. For every five shillings, therefore, which they contribute to the payment of the first tax, they must contribute six pounds four shillings to the payment of the second. So very heavy a tax upon the first necessary of life, must either reduce the subsistence of the labouring, boor, or it must occasion some augmentation in their pecuniary wages, proportionable to that in the pecuniary price of their sulssistence. So far as it operates in the one way, fit must reduce the ablility of the labouring boor to educate and bring up their children, Fnd must, so far, tend to restrain the popu. lation of the country. So far as it operates in the other, it must reduce the ability of the employers of the poor, to employ so great a number as they otherwise might do, and must, so far, tend to restiain the industiy of the country. The extraordinary exportation of corn therefore, oceasioned by the bounty, not only in every particular year, diminishes the homp, just as much as it extends the foreign, market and consumption, but, by restraining the population and industry of the country, its final tendency is to stunt and restrain the gradual extension of the home market; and thereby, in the long run, rather to diminish than to augment the whole market and consumption of corn.

This enhancement of the money price of corn, however, it has been thought, by rendermg that commodity more profitable to the farmer, must necessarily encournge its production.

I answer, that this might be the case if the effect of the bounty was to raise the real price of corn, or to enable the farmer, with an equal quantity of it, to maintan a greater number of labourers in the same manner,

[^76] on the Corn Lawe.
whether more liberal, moderate, or scanty, that other labourers are commonly maintained in his neighbourhood. But neither the bounty, it is evident, nor any other human institution can have any such effect. It is not the real but the nominal price of corn, which can in any considerable degree be affected by the bounty. 1 And though the tax which that institution imposes upon the whole body of the people may be very burdensome to those who pay it, it is of very little advantage to those who receive it.

The real effect of the bounty is not so much to raise the real value of corn, as to \#degrade the real value of silver; or to make an equal quantity of it exchange for a smaller quantity, not only of corn, but of all other home-made commodities: for the money price of corn regulates that of all other home-made commodities.?

It regulates the money price of labour, which must always be such as to enable the labourer to purchase a quantity of corn sufficient to maintain him and his family either in the liberal, moderate, or stanty manner in which the advancing, stationary or declining circumstances of the society oblige his employers to maintain him.

It regulates the money price of all thi other parts of the rude produce of land ; which, in every period of improvement, must bear a certain proportion to that of corn, though this proportion is different in different periods. It regulates, for example. the money price of grass and hay, of butcher's meat, of horses, and the maintenance of horses, of land carriage consequently, or of the greater part of the inland commerce of the country.

But regulating the money price of all the other parts of the rude produce of land, it regulates that of the materials of almost all manufactures, By regulating the money price of labour, it regulates that of manufacturing art and industry; and by refgulating both, it regulates that of the complete manufacture. The money price of labour, and of every thing that is the produce either of land or labour, must necessarily either rise or fall in proportion to the money price of carn.

Though in consequence of the bounty, therefore, the farmer should be enabled to sell his corn for four shillings the bushel instead of three and sixpence, and to pay his landlord a money rent proportionable to this rise in the money price of his produce; yet if, in consequence of this rise in the price of corn, four shillings will purchase no more home-made goods of any other kind than three and sixpence would have done before, neither the circumstances of the farmer nor

[^77]those of the landlord will be much mended by this change. The farmer will bot be able to cultivate much better: the landlord will not be able to live much better. In the purchase of foreign commodities thas enhancement in the price of corn may give them some little advantage. in that of home-made conmoduties it can give thern none at all ; and almost the whole expense of the farmer, and the far greater part even of that of the landlord, is in home-made commodities.

That degradation in the value of siluer which is the effect of the fertility of the mines, and which operates equally, or very near equally, through the greater part of the commercial world, is a matter of very littie consequence to any particular country. The consequent rise of all money prices, though it does not make those who receve then really richer, does not make them really poorer. A service of plate becomen really cheaper, and every thing else remams precisely of the same real value as before.

But that degradation in the value of sil- . ver which, being the effect either of the peculiar situation or of the political institutions of a particular country, takes place only in that country, is a mather of very great consequence, which, far from tending to make any body really richer, tends to make every body really poorer. The rixe in the money price of all commodities, whet, is in this case peculiar to that country, tends to discourage more or less every sort of industry which is carried on within it, and to enable foreign nations, by furnishing almost all sorts of goods for a smaller quantity of silver than its own workmen can afford to do, to undersell them, not only in the foreign, but even in the home market.

It is the peculiar situation of Spain and, Portugal, as proprietors of the mines, to be the distributors of gold and silver to all the other countries of Europe. Those metals ought naturally, therefore, to be somewhat cheaper in Spain and Portugal than in any other part of Europe. The difference, however, should be no more than the amount of the freight and insurance; and, on account. of the great value and small bulk of those metals, their freight is no great matter, and their insurance is the same as that of any other goods of equal value. Spain and Portugal, therefore, could suffer very hute from their peculiar situation, if they dul not
aggravate its disadvantages by their political institutions.
Spain by taxing, and Portugal by pro-, hibiting the exportation of gold and silver, " load that exportation with the expense of 1
which corn is raised, and with every imporvement mis agnenlture.
of This is an error, The money price of corm dinat " not Pripulate the mogey price of ofher thinge. bet ct bupplernental note on the Corn Lats.
mungeling, and raise the value of those meits in other countries 90 much more above whit it is in there own, by the whole amount of thas expense. When gou dam up a stream of water, as soon as the dam is full, as much water mast run over the dam-head as of there wis no dam at all. The probibition of exportation camot detan a greater quantity of pold and shleer in Span and Portugnt than what they can afford to cmploy, than what the ammal produce of ther land and lahour wall allow them to employ, in coin, plate, ghliong, and other ornaments of gold and siver When they have got this quantity the dam is full, and the whole stream whel flows in afterwards must run over. The ammal exportation of gold and silver from $S_{p}$ an and Portugal accordingly js, by all accounts, notwithstanding these reytraints, viry near equal to the whole annual importatom. As the water, however, must always be deeper behind the dam-head than before it, so the quantity of gold and silver which there revtranta detain in Spain and Portugal must, in proportion to the annual produre of their land and labour, be greater than what is to be found in other countrics. The higher and stronger the dam-head, the grentur must be the difference an the depth of water beland and before it. The higher the tax, the higher the penalties with which the prohninton is guarded, the more vigilant and severe the police whinh looks after the execution of the law, the greater must be the difference in the proportion of gold and silver to the annial produce of the land and Iabour of Spain and Portugal, and to that of other couniries It is sad accordingly to be very consideralle, and that you frequently find there a profusion of plate in houses, where there is nothing else which would, in other countries, be thought suitable or correapondent to this sort of magnificence. The chapapess of gold and silver, or, what is the same thang, the dearness of all commodities, whelh is the necessary effect of this redundancy of the precious metala, discourages both the agriculture and manufuctures of Span and Portugal, and enables foreign nations to supply them with many sorts of rute, and with almost all sorts of manufactured produce, for a smaller quantity of gold and silver than what they themselves can einher rase or make them for at bome. 1 1 he tax and prohibition operate in two diffirant ways: they not only lower very much

It is quite impossible that the restrictiona alladial to for the trixt, or any smalar restrictions, hownof rigutig entoried, could have had the athet awrithed to thein by I) Smath If the restrjictions an the $x$ xportation of gold atd silver (rom spatn and inthotigal rathly bunk their itilue there as compared Purtigal reully wals their tilue there af conapared
with lin ir valin th other conntries, then, as the
 a proposthonally sreater yumetity of atheh deprectated Find and stiver, ft is phain that the de mand for them womd wether be greater nor leas than if there had
the value of the precious metals in Spain and: Portugal, but by ditaining there a certain quantity of those metals which would otherwise flow over other countries, they keep up their value in those other countrics somewhat above what it otherwise would be, and thereby give tiose countries a double advantage in their commerce with Spain and Portugal. Open the flood-gates, and there will presently be less water above, and more below, the dam-head, and it will soon come to a level in both places. Remove the tar and the prohibition, and as the quantity of gold and silver will diminsh considerably in Spain and Portugal, so it will mercase somewhat in other countries, and the value of those metala, their proportion to the annual produce of land and labour, will soon come to a level, or very near to a level, in all. The loss which Span and Portugal eould sustain by this exportation of their gold and silver would be altogether nominal and umaginary. The nommal value of ther goods, and of the annual produce of their land and labour, would fall, and would be expressed or represented by a smaller quantity of sulver than before: but their real value prould be the same as before, and would be sufficient to maintain, command, and employ, the same ( ) pantity of labour. As the nominal value of their goods would fall, the real value of what remaned of their gold and silver would fise, and a smaller quantity of those metals would answer all the same purposes of commorce and circulation which had employed a greater quantity before. The gold and silver which would go abroad would not go abroad for nothing, but would bring lack an equal value of goods of some kind or another. Those goods too would not be all matters of mere luxury and expense, to be consumed by idle people who produce nothing in return for their consumption. As the real wealth and revenue of idle people would not be augmented by this extraordinary exportation of gold and silver, so neuther wquld their censumption be much augmented by it. Those goods would, probably, the greater part of them, and certainly some part of them, con ist in materials, tools, and provisious, for the employment and mantenance of industrious people, who would reproduce, with a profit, the full value of their consumption. A part of the dcad stock of the sockety would thus be turned into active stock, and would put into motion a greater :

[^78]quantity of industry than had been employed before. The annual produce of their land and labour would immediately be augmented a little, and in a few years would, probably, be augmented a great deal; their industry being thus relieved from one of the most oppressive burdens which it at present labours under.

The bounty upon the exportation of corn necessarily operates exactly in the sime way as this absurd policy of Spain and Portugal. Whatever be the actual state of tillage, it I renders our corn somewhat dearer in the home market than it otherwise would be in that state, and somewhat cheaper in the foreign; and as the average money price of corn regulates more or less that of all other commoduties, it lowers the value of silver ;considerably in the one, and tends to raise it a little in the other. In enables foreigners, the Dutch in particular, not only to eat our corn cheaper than they otherwise could do, but sometimes to eat it cheaper than even our own people can do upon the same occasions; as we are assured by an excellent authority, that of Sir Matthew Decker. It hinders our own workmen from furnishing their goods for so small a quantity of silver as they otherwise might do, and enables the Duteh to furnish theirs for a smaller. It tends to render our manufactures somewhat dearer in every market, and theirs somewhat cheaper than they otherwise would be, and consequently to give their industry a double advantage over our own. ${ }^{1}$

The bounty, as it raises in the home market, not so much the real as the nominal price of our corn, as it augments, not the quantity of labour which a certain quantity of corn can maintain and employ, but only the quantity of silver which it will exchange
; for, it discourages our manufactures' without 'rendering any considerable servicé either to 'our farmers or country gentlemen. It puts, indeed, a little more money into the pockets of both, and it will, perhaps, be somewhat difficult to persuade the greatet part of them that this is not rendering thera a very considerable service. But if this money sinks in its value, in the quantity of labour, provisions, and home-made commodities of all

* different kinds which it is capable of purchasing, as much as it rises in its quantity, the service will be little more than nominal and imaginary.

There is, perhaps, but one set of men in

[^79] portation of tmanufactured goods, on thelr price, and
the whole commonwealth to whom the bounty either was or could be essentially: scrviceable. These were the corn merchants, the exporters and importers of corn. In years of plenty, the bounty necessarily oc. casioned a greater exportation than would otherwise have taken place; and by hindering the plenty of one year from relieving the scarcity of another, it occasioned in years of scarcity a greater importation than would otherwise have been necessary. It mereased! the business of the corn merchant in both;: and in years of scarcity, it not only enabled him to import a greater quantity, but to sell it for a better price, and consequently with a greater profit than he could otherwise have made, if the plenty of one year had not been more or less hindered from relieving the scarcity of another. ${ }^{2}$ It is in this sect of men, accordingly, that I have olsserved the greatest zeal for the continuance or renewal of the bounty.

Our country gentlemen, when they imposed the high duties upon the importation of foreign corn, which in times of moderate plenty amount to a prohibition, and when they established the bounty, seem to have: imitated the conduct of our manufacturers. By the one institution, they secured to themselves the monopoly of the home market, and by the other they endeavoured to prevent that market from ever being overstocked with their commodity. by both they endeavoured to raise its real value, in the same manner as our manufacturers had, by the like institutions, raised the real value of many different sorts of manufactured goods. They did not, perhaps, attend to the great and essential difference which nature has established between corn and almost every other sort of goods. When, either by the monopoly of the home market, or by a bounty upon exportation, you enable our woollen or linen manufacturers to sell their goods for somewhat a better price than they otherwise could get for them, you rase, not only the nominal but the real price of those goods. You render them equivalent to a greater quantity of laloour and subsistence; you increase not only the nominal but the real profit, the real wealth and revenue of those manufacturers; and you enable them either to live better themselves, or to cmploy a greater quantity of labour in those particular manufactures.3 You really encourage those manufactures, and direct
on the profits of the manufacturer, very soon ceases. Tbe rise of price which the bounty occasions, in the first Instance, is sure speedily to attract at much additional caputal to the favoured basimess as is additional capual to the favoured basines as ands and, at the same time, to reduce the pronits of the manufacturer and merchant to the common level: so that, tuless some addition be made to the cost of producing the goods, theur price mecessarsly, and not very slowly, sinks to its bld level. It is seldom, hourver, that any thing orcurs in manulactures to cause ab incrensed difficulty of froductican when an
townrds them a greater quantity of the industry of the cuuntry, than what would probably go to them of its own aceord, but when by the hike iustitutions you raise the nomanal or money price of corn, you do nut Tarse ats real value - you do not increase the real wealth, the real revenue either of our firmers or country gentlemen - you do not encourage the growth of corn, becnuse you do not enable them to nantana and employ more labourers in rasing it. The nature of thongs has stamped upon corn a real value which cannot be altered by merely altering ity money price. No hounty upon exportation, no monopoly of tho home market, can rase that value. The freest competition cannot lower it. Through the world in geveral that value is equal to the quantity of labour which it can maintain; and in every particular place it is equal to the quantaty of labour which it can maintain in the way, whether liberal, moderate, or scanty, in which labour is commonly maintained in that place Woollen or linen cloth are not the regulating commodities by which the ral value of all other commodities must be finally measured and determined ; corn is The real value of every other commodity is finmly measured and determined by the proportion which its average money price bears to the average money price of corn. The real alue of corn does not vary with those variatons in its average money price, wheh sometimes occur from one century to another. It is the real value of silver which suries with them 1

Bonnties upon the exportation of any home-made commodity are liable, first, to that feneral ohjection which may be made to all the different expedients of the mercantule wstem; the oblection of torcing some part of the industry of the country into a chamel less advantageous than that in which it would run of its own accord: and, secondly, to the particular objection of forcing it, not only into a chamel that is less adosntageous, but into one that is actually disadvantageous; the trade which cannot be carried on

[^80]but by means of a bounty being noressarily. a losing trade. The bounty upon the evportation of cona si linlie to this further objection, that it can in no respeet promete the raising of that particular commodity of which it was meant to encourage the production. When our country gentlemen, therefore, demanded the istablishment of the bounty, though they seted in mitutron of our merchants and manufacturers, they did not act with that complete comprehension of ther own interest which commonly directs the conduct of those two other orders of people. They loaded the publio revenue with a very considerable expense, they imposed a very heavy tax upon the whole body of the people; but they did not, in any sensible degree, increase the real value of then own commonity; and by lowering somewhat the real vilue of sulver, they discouraged, in some degree, the general industry of the country, and, instead of udvancing, retarded more or less the improvement of their own lands, which necessarily lepends upon the general industry of the puntry. ${ }^{2}$
To encourage the production of any cominodity, a bounty upon production, one should imagine, would have a more direct operation than one upon expurtation. It would, besides, impose only one tax upon the people, that which they must contribute in order to pay the bounty, Instead of raising, it would tend to lower the price of the commodity in the home marhet ; and thereby, instead of imposingr a second tax upon the people it might, at least in part, repay them for what they had contributed to the first. Bounties upon production, bowever, have been very rarcly granted. The prejudices establishei by the commercial system have taught us to believe, that nattional wealth arises more immediately from exportation than from production. It has been more favoured accordingly, as the more immedrate means of bringing money into the country. Hounties upon production, it has been said too have been found by expert-
yalue of a coromodity, or its pouer to exchange for or buy labour and other conmmelities, is a tutally difierent and distinet quality from its unity, that is, from its capacity to satisty our wanta and destres. Cutity, thoukh essentral to vatue, is not the primuple by atich it is determined. that deper da wholly on the fachity or dithculty nt jroduction. A quarter of curn to at one time produted ly a given amount of labuur, but if, owing to the necessify of cultivating
 labout should at some other tume ouly grodure halt a quarter, the value of corn ath be doubled while its a quarter, the value of corn will tee doubled while its
uthliry or capacity of supporting man will le unaluthicy or capacity of supporting man will he unal-
tered. For a farther illustration of this primerple, see supplemental note on Value.
2 The reverse of what is stated in this pararraph is true. A bounty on the exportation of corn rases its price, and, by torcmg the culturation of unferior lands, raises rents. it is, dheretore, prodictuse of a real and lasting advantaze to the landlords, whereas a bounty on the exporfation of manuhacturen geods conters only a tomporary and comparativis tralius adsamage on thear producers.
ence more liable to frauds than those upon exportation. How far this is true, I know not. That bounties upon exportation have been abused to many fraudulent purposes, is very well known. But it is not the interest of merchants and manufacturers, the great inventors of all these expedients, that the home market should be overstocked with their goods, - an event which a bounty upon production might sometimes occasion. A bounty upon exportation, by enabling them to send abroad the surplus part, and to keep up the price of what remains in the bome market, effectually prevents this, Of all the expedients of the mercantile system, accordingly, it is the one of which they are the fondest. I bave known the different undertakers of some particular works agree privately among themselves to give a bounty out of their own pockets upon the exportation of a certain proportion of the goods which they dealt in. This expedient succeeded so well, that it more than doubled, the price of their goods in the home market, notwithstanding a very considerable in, crease in thejproduce. The operation of thit bounty upoi cora must have been wonder fully different, if it has lowered the mone ${ }^{\prime}$ price of that commodity. ${ }^{1}$

Something like a bounty upon production, however, has been granted upon some particular occasions. The tonnage bound ties given to the white-herring and whale fisheries may, perhaps, be considered as somewhat of this nature. They tend directly, it may be supposed, to render the goods cheaper in the home market than they otherwise would be. In other respects their effects, it must be acknowledged, are the same as those of bounties upon exportation. By means of them a part of the capital of the country is employed in bringing goods to market, of which the price does not repay the cost, together with the ordinary profits of stock.

But though the tonnage bounties to those fisheries do not contribute to the opulence of fthe nation, it may perbaps be thought, that they contribute to its defence, by augmenting the number of its sailors and shipping. This, it may be alleged, may sometimes be done by means of such bounties at a much smaller expense, than by keeping up a great standing navy, if I may use such an expression, in the same way as a standing army.

Notwithstanding these favourable allegations, however, the following considerations dispose me to believe, that in granting at least one of these bounties, the legislature has been very grossly imposed upon.
First, The herring buss bounty seems too large.

1For a more detalled and secmitic inquiry into the operation of bounties on production, gee the

From the commencement of the winter fishing 1771 to the end of the wanter fishang 1781, the tonnage bounty upon the herring buss fishery has been at thirty shillings the ton. During these eleven years the whole number of barrels enught by the herring buss fishery of Scotland amounted to 378,347 . The herrings caught and cured at sea, are ${ }^{+}$ called sea sticks. In order to render them what are called merchantable herring it is necessary to repack them with an additional quantity of salt; and in this cose, it is reckoned, that threc barrels of sea atucks are usually repacked into two barrels of neerchantable herrings. The number of barrels of merchantable herrings, therefore, caught during these eleven years, will amount only. according to this account, to 252,231 ; During these eleven years the tonnage bounties paid amounted to 155,4631 . 11s. or to 8s. 2 d d. upon every barrel of sea aticks, and to 128. sid. upon every barrel of merchantable herrings.

The salt with which these herrings are cured is sometimes Scotch, and sometimes foreign salt; both which are delivered, frce of all excise duty, to the fish-curens. The excise duty upon Scotch salt is at presene 18. $6 d$. , that upon foreign salt 10 s . the bushel. A barrel of herrings is supposed to require about one bushel and one-faurth of a bushel foreign salt. Two bushels are the supposed average of Scotch salt. If the herrings are entered for exportation, no part of this duty is paid up; if entered for home consumption, whether the herrings were cured with foreign or with Scotch salt, only one shilling the barrel is paid up. It was the old Scotch duty upon a bushel of salt, the quantity which, at a low estimation, had been supposed necessary for curing a barrel of berrings. In Scotland, foreigen salt is very little used for any other purpose but the curing of fish. But from the 5th April 1771 to the 5th April 1782, the quantity of foreign salt imported anounted to 936,974 bushels, at eighty-four pounds the bushel: the quantity of Scotch salt, delivered from the works to the fish-curers, to no more than 168,226 , at fing-six pounds the buihcl only. It would appear, therefore, that it is principally foreign salt that is used in the 6isheries. Upon every barrel of herrings exported there is, besides, a bounty of $28.8 d$; and more than two-thirds of the buss caught herrings are exported. Put all these things together and you will find, that, during these eleven years, every barrel of buss caught herrings, eured with Scotch salt when exported, has cost government 17a. 113 ${ }^{\frac{1}{4} \text {. ; }}$ and when entered for home consumption 14s. $3 \frac{3}{4} d_{\text {: }}$ : and that every barrel eured with foreign salt, when exported, has cost go-
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Fernment $14.78 \quad 53.1$; and when entered for hume cousumption 1l. 3s. 9, $\mathbf{n}^{3}$. . The price of a barrel of good merchantable herrmgs runs from seventeen and eighteen to four and five and twenty shalhigs; about a guinea at an average '
Sicondly, The bounty to the white herring fisticry is a tonnage bounty, and is proportoned to the burden of the ship, not to ber daligence or sumess in the fishery; and it has, I am afrad, been too common for vessels to fit out for the sole purpose of catching, not the fish, but the bounty. In the year 1759, when the bounty was at fifty shallinge the ton, the whole buss fishery of Scotland brought in only four barrels of sea sticks. In that year each barrel of sea sticks cost government in bounties alone 1131 15s. ; earch barrel of merchantable herrings 159 l . 7s. Gd.

Thrdly, The mode of fishing for which this tonnage bounty in the white herring fivhery has been given (by busses or decked verells from twenty to eighty tons burthen,) seems not so well adapted to the situation of Scotland as to that of Holland; from the practice of which country it appears to have been borrowed. Holland hes at a great distance from the stas to which herrings are known principally to resort, and can, therefore, carry on that fishery only in decked vesels, which can carry water and provisions sufficient for a voyage to a distant sea. But the llebrides or western islands, the islands of Sht tland, and the northern and northwestern consts of Scotland, the countries in "hove netgbbourhood the herring fishery is principally carried on, are everywhere intersected by arms of the sed, which run up a consderable way into the land, and whilh, in the language of the country, are called sea-lochs. It is to these sea-lochs that the herrings principally resort, during the seasons w which they visit those seas; for the visits of this, and, I am assured, of many other sorts of fish, are not quite regular and constant. A boat fishery, therefiore, seems to be the mode of fishing best adapted to the peculiar situation of Scotland; the fishers carrying the herrings on shore, as fast as they are taken, to be either cured or consumed fresh. But the great encourdgement, which a bounty of thirty shilhings the ton gives to the buss fishery, is neresorily a discourugement to the boat fishery; which, having no such bounty, camot bung ats cured fish to market unon the sume terms as the buss fisbery. The twat firhery, accordingly, which, before the eot.blishment of the bus bounty, was very connderable, and is sand to have employed a number of seamen, not inferior to what the lurs tishery employs at piesent, is now gone
${ }^{1}$ See the arcoumts at the end of the chapter. (Note by the suilur.)
alrnost entirely to decay. Of the former extunt, bowever, of this now rumed and abandoned fishery, I must achnowledge, that I cannot pretend to speak with much precinion. As no bounty was pard upon the outfit of the boat fishery, no account was taken of it by the ofticers of the customs or salt duties.

Fourthly, In many parts of Scotland, during certain seasons of the year, herrings make no inconsiderable part of the food of the common people. A bounty, which tended to lower their price in the home market, might contribute a good deal to the rehef of a great number of our fellow-subjects, whose circumstances are by no means affluent. But the herring buss bounty contributes to no such good purpose. It has ruined the boat fishery, which is by far the best adapted for the supply of the home market, and the additional bounty of 2 s . $8 d$. the barrel upon exportation, carries the greater part, more than two thirds, of the produce of the buss fishery abroad. Between thirty and forty years ago, before the establishment of the buss bounty, sixteen shillings the barrel, I have been assured, was the common price of white herrings. Between ten and fifteen years ago, before the boat fishery was entirely rumed, the price is said to have run from seventeen to twenty shllings the barrel. For these last five years, it has, at an average, been at twenty-five shillings the barrel. This high price, however, may have been owing to the real scarcity of the herrings upon the coast of Scotland. I must observe, too, that the cask or barrel, which is usually sold with the herrings, and of wheh the price is included in all the foregoing prices, bas, since the commencement of the American war, risen to about double its former price, or from about three shillings to about six shillings. I must likewise observe, that the accounts I have received of the prices of forner tunes, have been by no means quite uniform and consistent; and an old man of great accuracy and experience has assured me that more than fifty years ago, a guinea was the usual price of a barrel of good merchantable herrings; and this, I imagine, may still be looked upon as the average price. All accounts, however, 1 think, agree that the price has not been lowered in the home market, in consequence of the buss bounty.

When the undertakers of fisheries, after such liberal bounties have been bestowed upon them, continue to sell their commodity at the same, or even at a higher price than they were accustomed to do before, it might be expected that their profits should be very great; and it is not improbable that those of some induriduals may have been so. - In general, however, I have every reason to belleve, they have been quite otherwise.

The usur. 1 effect of such bounties is to encourage rash undertakers to adventure in a business which they do not understand, and twhat they lose by their own negligence and ignorance, more than compensates all that they can gain by the utmost liberality of government. In 1750, by the same act which first gave the bounty of thirty shillings the ton for the encouragement of the white herring fishery (the 23 Geo. II. chap. 24.), a joint stock company was erected, with a capital of five hundred thousand pounds, to which the subscribers (over and above all other encouragements, the tonnage bounty just now mentioned, the exportation bounty of two shillings and eight pence the barrel, the delivery of both British and foreign salt duty free) were, during the space of fourteen years, for every hundred pounds which they subscribed and paid in to the stock of the society, entitled to three pounds a year, to be paid by the receiver-general of the customs in equal half-yearly payments. Besides this great company, the residence of whose governor and directors was to be in London, it was declared lawful to erect different fishing chambers in all the different outports of the kingdom, provided a sum not less than ten thousand pounds was subscribed into the capital of each, to be managed at its own risk, and for its own frofit and loss. The same annuity, and the same encouragements of all kinds, were given to the trade of those inferior chambers, as to that of the great company. The subscription of the great company was soon filled up, and several different fishing chambers were ererted in the different outports of the kingdorn. In spite of all these encouragements, alniost all those different companies, both great and small, lost either the whole, or the greater part of their capitals; scarce a vestige now remains of any of them, and the white herring fishery is now entirely, or almost entirely, carried on by private adventurers. ${ }^{1}$

If any particular manufacture was necessary, indeed, for the defence of the society, it might not always be prudent to depend upon our neighbours for the supply; and if such manufacture could not otherwise be supported at home, it might not be unreasonable that all the other branches of industry should be taxed in order to support it. The bounties upon the exportation of Britishmade sailcloth, and British-made gunpowder, may, perhaps, both be vindicated upon this principle.

But though it can very seldom be reasonable to tax the industry of the great body of the people, in order to support that of some particular class of manufacturers, yet in the wantonness of great prosperity, when the

[^81]public enjoys a greater revenue than it knows well what to do with, to give such bounties to favourite manufactures, may, perhape, be as natural as to incur any other idle expense. In public, as well as in private expenses, great wealth may, perbaps, frequently be admitted as an apology for great fully; but there must surely be something more than ordmary absurdity, in continuing such profusion in times of general difficulty and distress.

What is called a bounty is sometines no more than a drawback, and consquently is not liable to the same objections as what is properly a bounty. The bounty, for example, upon refined sugar exported, may be considered as a drawback of the duties upor the brown and muscovado sugars, from whech it is made; the bounty upon wrought sulk exported, a drawback of the duties upon raw and thrown silk imported; the bounty upon gunpowder exported, a drawback of the duties upon brimstone and saltpetre imported. In the language of the customs those allowances only are called drawbacks, which are given upon goods exported in the same form in which they are imported. When that form has been so altered by manufacture of any kind, as to come under a new denomination, they are called bounties.

Premiums given by the public to artists. and manufacturers who excel in their particular occupations, are not liable to the same objections as bounties. By encouraging extraordinary dexterity and ingenuity, they serve to keep up the emulation of the workmen actually employed in those respective occupations and are not considerable enough to turn towards any one of them a greater share of the capital of the country than what would go to it of its own accord. Their tendency is not to overturn the natural balance of employments, but to render the work which is done in each es perfect and complete as possible. The expense of premiums, besides, is very trifling; that of bounties very great. The bounty upon corn alone lias sometimes cost the public in one year, more than three hundred thousand pounds.

Bounties are sometimes called premiums, as drawbacks are sometimes called bounties. But we must in all cases attend to the nature of the thing, without paying any regard to the word.

## Digression concerning the Corn Trade and Corn Laws.

I cannor conclude this chapter concerning. bounties, without observing that the praises which have been bestowed upon the law which establishes the bounty upon the exportation of corn, and upon that system of regulations which is counected with it, are
changes, and of the present atate of the fisbery, "I given to a supplemental note.
altogether unmerited. A particular examination of the nature of the corn trade, and of the principal Hritish laws which relate to it, will sufficiently demonstrate the truth of this assertion. The great importance of this sutbject must justify the length of the digresston.

The trade of the corn merchant is compowed of four different branchea, which, though they may sometimes be all carried on by the same person, are in their own nature four separate and distinct trades. These are, first, the trade of the inland dealer; secondly, that of the merchant importer for home consumption; thirdly, that of the merchant exporter of home produce for foreign consumption; and, fourthly, that of the merchant carrer, or of the importer of corn, in order to exp irt it again.

1. The interest of the inland dealer, and that of the great body of the people, how opposite soever they may at first sight appear, are, even in years of the greatest scarcity, exactly the same. It is his interest to raise the price of his corn as high as the real scarcity of the season requires, and it can never be his interest to rase it higber. By rasing the price, he discourages the consumption, and puts every body, more or less, but particularly the inferior ranks of people, upon thrift and good management. If, by rasing it too high, he discourages the consumption so much that the supply of the seavon 15 likely to go beyond the consumption of the scason, and to last for some tume after the next crop begins to come in, he runs the hazard, not only of losing a considerable part of his corn by natural causes, but of being obliged to sell what remains of it for much less than what he might have had for it several months before. If by not ransing the price high enough he discourages the consumption so little, that the supply of the scason is likely to fall short of the consumption of the season, he not only loses a part of the profit which he might otherwise have made, but he exposes the people to suffie before the end of the season, instead of the hardships of a dearth, the dreadful horrors of a famine It is the interest of

[^82]the people that their datly, weekly, and monthly consumption, should be proportioned as exactly as possible to the supply of the season. The interest of the inland corn dealer is the same. By supplying them. as nearly as be can judge, in this proportion, he is likely to sell all his com for the highest price, and with the greatest profit; and his knowledge of the state of the crop, and of has daily, weekly, and monthly soles, enable him to judge, with more or less aceuracy, how far they really are supplied in this manner. Without intending the interest of the people, he is necessarily led, by a regard to his own interest, to treat them, even 11 years of scarcity, pretty much in the same manner as the prudent master of a vessel is sometimes obliged to treat his crew. When he furcsecs that provisions are hely to run short, he puts them upon short allowance. Though from ercess of caution he should sometimes do this without any red necessity, yet all the inconvensucies whach his crew can thereby suffer, are inconsiderable, in comparison of the danger, miscry, and ruin to which they might sometimes be exposed by a less provident conduct. Though from excess of avarice, in the same manner, the inland corn merchant should sometimes rase the price of his corn somew hat higher than the scarcity of the season requires yet all the inconveniencies which the people can suffer from this conduct, wheb effectually secures them from a famine in the end of the season, are inconsiderable, in companson of what they might have been exposed to by a more liberal way of dealing in the beginning of it. The corn merchant himself is 1ik ${ }^{2}$ ly to suffer the most by this excess of avarice; not only from the indignation which it generally excites against him, but though he should escape the effects of this indignation, from the quantity of corn which it ne cessarily leaves upon his hands in the end of the season, and which, if the next season happens to prove favourable, he must always sell for a much lower price than he might otherwise bave had. ${ }^{1}$

Were it possible, indeed, for one great company of merchants to possess themselies
happens, and it is only preventrd by a rise of price, which measures the consumption by the debciency of the crop: and whether therefore there is an abundant, midding, or darce crop, a suitable allowance is sure to be theasured out to the consumer, by a low, a midding, or a bigh price. I be corn deater, Indect, thinks nothing atoout all this. his objeat is to sell his commodity at the bighest price, and in a sell his commodity at the bighest price, and in a
scarcity he takes his fult advantage ; but whtle be i thinking only of bimself-whale he is only playisg thinking only of himself-whie he 18 only play wis
his ou n paltry game, he is a mere instrument in the his ou n paitry pame, he is a mere instrument m the
hands of bim obo brings good out of evil, and n ho hande of bim a bo brings good out of evin, and a tho
turns the little passions of man to the purpuses of his own benevolence and wisdom. There is really nothing in nature more wonderful than that great law of sointy by which sulssistence is measurect out in due proportion to the suppty of the year; and tra nobe dergly it 48 consitiertit, the more wortliy will to appear of protoind and retional ejmiratit $n$.
of the whole crop of an extensive country, it might, perhaps, be therr interest to deal with it as the Dutrh are said to do with the spiceries of the Moluccas, tu destroy or throw away a considerable part of it, in order to keep up the price of the rest. But it is searce possible, even by the violence of law, to establish such an extensive monopoly with regard to corn; and, wherever the law leaves the trade free, it is of all commodities the least liable to be engrossed or monopolised by the force of a few large capitals, which buy up the greater part of it. Not only its value far exceeds what the capitals of a few private men are capable of purchasing, but, supposing they were capable of purchasing it, the manner in which it is produced renders this purchase altogether impracticable. As in every civilised country it is the commodity of which the annual consumption is the greatest, so a greater quantity of industry is annually employed in producing corn than in producing any other commodity. When it first comes from the ground, too, it is ne-
cessarily divided among a greater number of owners than any other commodity; and these owners can never be collected into one place like a number of independent manufactuvers, but are necessarily scattered through all the different corners of the country. These first owners either immediately supply the consumers in their own neighbourhood, or they supply other inland dealers who supply those consumers. The inland dealers in corn, therefore, including both the farmer and the baker, are necessarily more numerous than the dealers in any other commodity, and their dispersed situation renders it altogether impossible for them to enter into any general combination. If in a year of scarcity, therefore, any of them should find that he had a good deal more corn upon hand than, at the current price, be could hope to dispose of before the end of the season, he would never think of keeping up this price to his own loss, and to the sole benefit of his rivals and competitors, but would immediately lower it, in order to get rid of his corn before the new crop began to come in. The same motives, the same interests, which would thus regulate the conduct of any one dealer, would regulate that of every other, and oblige them all in general to sell their corn at the price which, according to the best of their judgment, was most suitable to the scarcity or plenty of the season.

Whoever examines with attention tbe history of the dearths and famines which have afficted any part of Europe, during either the course of the present or that of the two preceding centuries, of several of which we have pretty exact accounts, whll find, I believe, that a dearth never has arisen from any combination among the inland dealers in corn, nor from any other cause but a real
scarcity, occasioned sometimes, perhaps, and in some particular places by the waste of war, but in by far the greatest number of cases by the fault of the ceasons; and that a famine has aever arisen from any other cause but the violence of government attemptung, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ by improper means, to remedy the incuaveniencies of a dearth.

In an extensive corn country, butween all the different parts of which there is a free commerce and communication, the scarcity occasioned by the most unfavourable seasons! can never be so greal as to produce a famine ; and the scantiest crop, if managed with frugality and economy, will maintain through the year the same number of people tiat are commonly fed in a more affuent manner ly one of moderate plenty. The seasons most unfavourable to the crop are those of exces. sive drought or excessive rain. But, as corn grows equally upon high and low lands, upon grounds that are disposed to be too wet, and upon those that are disposed to be too dry, either the drought or the rain which is hurtful to one part of the country is favourable to another; and though both in the wet and in the dry season the crop is a good deal lest than in one more properly tempered, yet in both what is lost in one part of the country is in some measure compensated by what is gained in the other In rice countrics, where the crop not only requires a very moist soil, but where in a certain period of its growing it must be lad under water, the effects of a drought are much more dispoal. Even in such cauntries, however, the drought is, perhaps, scarce ever so universal as necessarily to occasion a famine, if the government would allow a free trade. The drought in Bengal, a few years ago, might probably have occasioned a very great dearth. Some improper regulations, some injudicious restraints imposed by the servants of the East India Company upon the rice trade, contributed, perhaps, to turn that dearth into a faminc.

When the government, in order to remedy the inconveniencies of a dearth, orders all the dealers to sell their corn at what it supposes a reasonable price, it either hinders then from bringing it to market, which may sometimes produce a famine even in the begonning of the season; or $f$ they bring it thather, it enables the people, and thertby encourages then to consume it so fast, as must neces sarily produce a famine before the end of the season. The unlimited, unrestrained freedom of the corn trade, as it is the only effectual preventative of the miseries of a famine, so it is the best pallative of she inconveniencies of a dearth; for the inconveniencies of a real scarcity cannot be remedied, they can only be palliated. No trade de-f serveg more the full protection of the law. and no trade requires it so much, because
no trade is so much exposcd to popular odium.

In years of scarcity the mferior ranks of people impute their distiess to the avarice of the corn merchant, who becomes the objeet of their hatred and indignation. Instead of makug profit upon such occusions, therefore, he 18 often in danger of being utterly rumed, and of having his magazines plundered and destroyed by their violence. It is in years of scarcity, however, when prices arc high, that the corn merchant expects to make his pronespal profit. He is generally in contiact with some farmers to furnish him for a certaill number of years with a certain quantity of corn at a certan price. ${ }^{1}$ This contract price is settled according to what is supposed to be the moderate and reasonable, that is, the ordmary or average price, which, betore the late years of scarcity, was commomly ubout eight and twenty shilhings for the quarter of wheat, and for that of other grain in proportion. In years of scarcity, therufore, the corn merchant buys a great part of his corn for the ordinary price, and seils it for a much higher. That this extraordinary profit, however, is no more than sutheient to put his trade upon a far level with other trades, and to compensate the many losses which he sustains upon other occaions, both from the perishable nature of the commodity itself, and from the ficquent and unforeseen fluctuations of its wise, seems evident enough, from this single cucunstance, that great furtunes are as seldon made in this as in any other trade. The popular odium, bowever, which attends it in years of scarcity, the only years in which it can be very profitable, renders people of character and fortune averse to enter into it. It is abundoned to an inferior set of dealers; and millers, bakers, mealmen, and meal factors, together with a number of wretched hucksters, are almost the only middle people that, in the home market, come between the grower and the concuraer.

The ancient policy of Europe, instead of discountenancing this popular odium against a trade so benefieral to the public, seems, on the contrary, to have authorised and encouraged it.

By the 5th and 6th of Edward VI. cap. 14. it was enacted, that whoever should buy any corn or gram with intent to sell it again, should be reputed an unlawful engrosser, and should, for the first fault, sutter two mouths' imprisonment, and forfert the value of the corn; for the second, suffer six months' imprisomment, and forfeit double the value; and for the thard, be set in the pillory, suffer imprisonment during the king's pleasure, and forfert all his goods and chattels The

1 This is not now ( $5<(3)$, , whatever it $n$ ay have been in the days of Dr simith, by any meane a comaxna practice
ancient policy of most other parts of Europe was no better than that of England.

Our ancestors seem to have magened that the people would buy their corn chaper of the farmer than of the corn merchant, who, they were afraid, would reyure, over and above the price which he paid to the farincr, an exorbitant profit to himself Tincy endeavoured, therefore, to annihalate his trade altogether. They even endeavoured to hinder as much as possible any middle man of any kind from coming in between the grouer and the consumer ; and this was the meaning of the many restraints which they imposed upon the trade of those whom they called kidders or carriers of corn, a trade which nobody was allowed to exercise without a licence ascertainng his qualifications as a man of probity and fair dealng. The authority of three justices of the peace was, by the statute of Edward VI., necessary, in order to grant this licence. But even this iestraint was afterwards thought insufficient, and by a statute of Elizabeth, the prislege of granting it was confined to the quarter sessions.

The ancient poliey of Europe endeavoured in this manner to regulate agriculture, the great trade of the country, by maxims quite different from those which it established with regard to manufactures, the great trade of the towns. By leaving the farmer no other customers but either the consumers or their immediate factors, the kidders and carrers of corn, it endeavoured to force him to exorcise the trade, not only of a farmer, but of a corn merchant or corn retaller. On the contrary, it in many cases prohibited the manufacturer from exercising the trade of a shopkeeper, or from selling his own goods by retal. It meant by the one law to promote the general interest of the country, or to render corn cheap, without, perhaps, its being well understood how this was to be done. By the other it meant to promote that of a partucular order of men, the shopkeepers, who would be so much undersold by the manufacturer, it was supposed, that their trade would be ruined if he was allowed to retall at all.

The manufacturer, bowever, though he had been allowed to keep a shop, and to sell his own goods by retal, could not have undersold the common shopkeeper. Whatever part of his capital he night have placed in his shop, he must have withdrawn it from bis manufacture. In order to carry on his business on a level with that of other people, as he must have had the profit of a manu. facturer on the one part, so he must bave had that of a shopkecper upon the other. Let us suppose, for example, that in the particular town where he lived, ten per cent. was the ondinary piofit both of manufacturing and shopkeeping stock; lie must in this
case have charged upon every piece of his own goods which he sold in his shop a profit of twenty per cent. When he carried them from bis workhouse to his shop, he must have valued them at the price for which he could have sold them to a dealer or shopkeeper, who would have bought them by wholesale. If he valued them lower, he lost a part of the profit of his manufacturing capital. When again he sold them from his shop, unless he got the same price at which a shopkeeper would have sold them, he lost a part of the profit of his shopkeeping capital. Though he might appear, therefore, to make a double profit apon the same piece of goods, yet as these goods made successively a part of two distinct capitals, he made but a single profit upon the whole capital employed about them; and if he made less than his profit, he was a loser, or did not employ his whole capital with the same advantage as the greater part of his neighbours.

What the manufacturer was prohibited to do, the farmer was in some measure enjoined to do: to divide his capital between two different amployments; to keep one part of it in his granaries and stack-yard, for supplying the occasional demands of the market, and to employ the other in the cultivation of his land. But as he could not afford to employ the latter for less than the ordinary profits of farming stock, so he could as little afford to employ the former for less than the ordinary profits of mercantile stock. Whether the stock which really carried on the business of the corn merchant belonged to the person who was called a farmer, or so the person who was called a corn merchant, an equal profit was in both cases requisite, in order to indemnify its owner for employing it in this manner; in order to put his business upon a level with other trades, and in order to hinder him from having an interest to change it as soon as possible for some other. The farmer, therefore, who was thus forced to exercise the trade of a corn merchant, could not afford to sell bis corn tcheaper than any other corn merchant would have been obliged to do in the case of a free competition.

The dealer who can employ his whole stock in one single branch of business, has an advantage of the same kind with the workman who can employ his whole labour in oue single operition. As the latter acquires a dexterity which enables him, with the same two hands, to perform a much greater quantity of work; so the former acquires so easy and ready a method of transacting his business, of buying and disposing of his goods, that with the same capital he can transact a much greater quantity of business. As the one can commonly aford his work a good deal cheaper, so the other can commonly efford his goods somewhat
cheaper than if his stock and attention were ? both employed about a greater variety of objects. The greater part of manufacturers could not afford to retal their own goods so cheap as a vigilant and active shopkeuper, whose sole business it was to buy them ly wholesale, and to retail then again. The greater part of farmers could still less afford to retail their own corn, to supply the inhalistants of a town, at perbaps four or five miles distance from the greater part of them, so cheap as a vigilant and active corn merchant, whose sole business it was to purchase corn by wholesale, to collect it into a great magazine, and to retail it again.

The law which prohibited the manulicturer from exercising the trade of a shopkeeper, endeavoured to force this division in the employment of stock to go on faster than it might otherwise lave done; the law which obliged the farmer to exercise the trade of a corn merchant, endeavoured to hinder it from going on so fast: both laws were evident violations of natural hbertv, and therefore unjust ; and they were both, too as impolitic as they were unjust. It is the interest of every society, that things of this hind should never either be forced or obstructed. The man who employs either his labour or his stock in a greater varicty of ways than his situation renders necessary, can never hurt his neighbour by underselling him: he may hurt himself, and be generally does so. Jack of all trades will never' be rich, says the proverb. But the law ought always to trust people with the care of their own interest, as in their local situations they must generally be able to judge better of it than the legislator can do. The law, however, which obliged the farmer to exercise the trade of a corn merchant was : by far the most pernicious of the two.

It obstructed not only that division in the employment of stock which is so advantageous to every society, but it obstructed Ihkewise the improvement and cultivation of ; the land. By obliging the farmer to carry on two trades instead of one, it forced him to divide his capital into two part, of wheh one only could be employed in cultivation. But if he had been at hberty to sell his whole crop to a corn merchant as fast as he could thersh it out, his whole capital might have returned immediately to the fand, and have been employed in buying more cattle, and hiring more servants, in order to improve and cultivate it better. But by being obliged to sell his com by retail, he was obliged to keep a great part of his capital in his granaries and stack-yard through the, year, and could not, therefore, cultivate so well as with the same capital he might otherwise have done. This law, thercfore, necessarily obstructed the improvement of the hand, and, instead of tending to reumer coriz
cheaper, must have tended to render it iscarest, and therofore dearer, than it would utherwise have been.

After the busmess of the farmer, that of the corn merchant is in reality the trade whah, if properly protected and encouraged, would contribute the most to the raising of corn. It would support the trade of the farincr, in the same manner as the trade of the wholesale dealer supports that of the m.inufacturer.

The wholewale dealer, by affording a ready monket to the manufacturar, by taking his foods off his hand as fist as he can make them, and by sometimes even aulvancing the ir paice to him before he has made them, enables him to kerp lus whole capital, and sumetumes even more than his whole eapital, constantly employed in manufucturing, and consequently to manufucture a much greater quantity of goods than if he was obliged to dipone of them himself to the immediate consumers, or even to the retailers. As the caputal of the wholesale merchant too is geverally sufticient to replace that of many manufucturers, this intercourse between him Hud them interests the owner of a large capital to support the owners of a great number of small ones, and to assist them in those losses and misfortunes which might otherwise prove ruinous to them.

An intercourse of the same hind universally established between the farmers and the corn merehants, would be attended wath eflects equally beneficial to the farmers. They would be enabled to keep their whole apitals, and even more than their whole capitals, constantly employed in cultivation. In case of any of those accidents, to which no trade is more liable than theirs, they would find in their ordinary customer, the Wealthy corn merchant, a person who hind hoth an interest to support them, and the ubnlity to do it, and they would not, as at present, be entirely dependent upon the forbearance of their landlord, or the mercy of his steward. Were it possible, as perhaps it
'This ts a mistake. The statute of 1772 (12 (seo, 111. cap 71 ) repeals the restrictions and penalt1' ingored hy the statute of Charles 11, as well as thoge imposed by the roore ancient atatutes, on tho hiving and selilng of corn and other raw products, bibing and seling of corn and other raw priducts,
the following distinct acknowledgment of threp
 induriati optration being made in the preamble: whereas it has bren found by experience, that the
rist rututa laid bv several gtatutes upon the dealing mistratuta ladid by several gtatutes upon the dealing
in corn, meal, hour, catto, and other sundry cort of In corn, meal, Hour, catto, and other sundry cort of modities, bave a tendency to discourige the gronth, and enh ince the price of the same, which statutes. If put into exex ution, would bring eroat distress on the fihnbitants of many parta of the kingdom, and partiatarly on the cities of Landon and Wescminater, be it therefore." ec. Intortinately, however, the statute did mut ded lare that no one should hancetortib be Jable to be midicted at common tove for the imaginary oiftnces of forestalline, regrating, and enprossing ithe framers of the act were perhaps aftratd lect any



is not, to establish this intercourse universally, and all at once, were it possuble to turn ull at once the whole farming stock of the kingdom to ats proper busmess, the cultisation of land, withdrawing it from every other employment into which any part of it may be at present diverted, and were it possuble, in order to support and assist upon occasion the operations of this great stoxk, to provide all at once another stok almost equally great, it is not perhaps very easy to inagine how great, how exteusive, and how sudden would be the mprosement which this change of circumstances would alone produce upon the whole face of the country

The statute of Edward VI., therefore, ly prohbiting as much as possible any moddle man from commg in between the grower and the consumer, endeavoured to anmhinte trade, of which the free exercise is not only the best palliative of the inconveniencies of a duarth, but the best proventative of that calamity; after the trade of the farmor, no trade contitbuting so much to the groning of corn as that of the corn merchant

The rigour of this law was aflerwards softened by several subsequent statutes, wheh successively permitted the engrossing of corn when the price of whent should not exceed twenty, twenty-four, thirty-two, and forty shallings the quarter. At last, by the Jti of Charles II. c. 7. the engrossing or buytur of corn in order to sell it again, as long as the price of wheat did not exceed forty-enght shilhogs the quarter, and that of other gran in proportion, was declared lawful to all persons not being forestallers, that is, not seiling again in the same maket withn three months. All the freedom which the trate of the inland corn dealur has ever yet enjo.led, was bestowed upon it by this statute. The statute of the twelth of the present king, which repeals almost all the other meient laws aganst engrossers and forestallers, does not repeal the restrictions of this particular statute, whech therefore still continue in force. 1

Incrasing knowledge and spinit of the age nere a sumbint security that no procceding, subsersive of the freedimp of the corn trade, would be found al upon it Blat, if such were therr opinion, it proved falaclous In 1745 and $18(4)$ the prices of corm rose to an unustini belght, and despite the conclitsive reasoungs of Dr. smith and other abie writers, and the explicit acknowledgment in the preamble to the act now quoted, the clamour apainst the proceraings of the corn fartors and cors deders was as houd as it coudd well have ween in
the age of the Fdwards and the lienrys. The mit the nge of the Fdwards and the lienrys. The miu-
nitipal authorties of the city of Lendou denounced nicupat authorities of the city of Lendon denounced
the speculations of the corn merthants. without which it could not exist for a diy, as the masn, of rather sole cmuse of the srarity which then aflicted the country. and thus aggrapated in no common degree, though no dohlit unconsctously, the musery and suttpring ce cassum d by the deticepisy of fond. Some of the pronerpat taw anthorities kave into the deluston; and a com merchant, of the name of linsby, was mincted, In $18(t)$, for repronimg, that is. for selling in the same market, and on the samedry in a bich lie had purehased then, thirty quarters of wats

This statute, however, authorises in some measure two very absurd popular prejudices :

First, It supposes that when the price of wheat has risen so high as forty-elght shil'lings the quarter, and that of other graia in proportion, corn is likely to be so engrossed as to hurt the people. But from what has been already said, it scems evident enough that corn can at no price be so engrossed by the inland dealers as to hurt the people: and forty-eight shillings the quarter besides, though it may be considered as a very high price, yet in years of scarcity it is a price which frequently takes place immediately after harvest, when scarce any part of the new crop can be sold off, and when it is impossible even for ignorance to suppose that any part of it can be su engrossed as to hurt the people.

Secondly, It supposes that there is a certain price at which corn is likely to be forestalled, that is, bought up in order to be sold again soon after in the same market, so as to hurt the people. But if a merchant ever buys up corn, either going to a particular market or in a particular market, in order to sell it again soon after in the same market, it must be because he judges that the market cannot be so liberally supphed through the whole sea:son as upon that particular occasion, and that the price, therefore, must soon rise. If he judges wrong in this, and if the price does not rise, he not only loses the whole profit of the stock which be employs in this manner, but a part of the stock itself. by the expense/ and loss which necessarily attend the storins; and keeping of corn. He hurts himself, therefore, much more essentially than he can hurt even the particular people whom he may hinder from supplying themselves, 'pon that particular market day, because the may afterwards supply themselves just as cheap upon any other market day. If he judges right, instead of hurting the great body of the people, he renders them a most important service. By making them feel the inconveniencies of a dearth somewhat earlier than they otherwise might do, he prevents their feeling them afterwards so severely as they certainly would do, if the cheapness of price encouraged them to consume faster than suited the real scarcity of the season. When the scarcity is real, the best thing that can be done for the people is to divide the inconveniencies of it as equally as possi-
ble through all the different months, and weeks, and days of the year. The interest of the corn merchant makes him study to do
at an advance of ewo shillings a quarter. The charge of lord Kenyon, who presided at the trial, shows hov thoroughly he wan imbucd with the popular prejuaices on the sibbret. Biat as some of the other judges doubted whether the act for which the indictmett was laid, was really punishable at common Law, Rusiby, though convicted, was never trought up for judgment. There is not mich reason to think that an indictment for such an offenee would now be
this as exactly as he can: and as no other person can have either the same interme, on the same knowledge, or the aame abintines to do it so exactly as he, this most important operation of commerce ought to be trusted entirely to him; or, in other words, the ' corn trade, wo far at least as concerns the supply of the bome market, ought to be left ; perfectly free.

The popular fear of engrossing and forestalling may be compared to the mopuiar ternors and suspicions of witcheraft. The unfortunate wretches accused of this latter crime were not more innocent of the misfortunes imputed to them than those who have been accused of the former. The law which put an end to all prosecutions against witcheraft, which put it out of any man's power to gratify his own malice by accusing his neighbour of that imaginary crime, seems effectually to bave put an end to those fears and suspicions, by taking awry the great cause which encouraged and supported them. The law which should restore entire freedom to the inland trade of corn, would probably prove as effectual to put an end to the popular fears of engrossing and forestalling.

The 15th of Charlets II. c. 7. however, with all its imperfections, has, perhaps, contributed more both to the plentiful supply of the home market, and to the increase of tillage, than any other law in the statute book. It is from this law that the inland corn trade has derived all the liberty and protection which it has ever yet enjoyed; and both the supply of the home markst and the interest of tillage are much more effectually promoted by the inland, than either by the importation or exportation trade.

The proportion of the average quantity of all sorts of grain imported into Great Britain to that of all sorts of grain consumed, it has been computed by the author of the tracts upon the corn trade, does not exceed that of one to five bundred and seventy. For supplying the home market, therefore, the importance of the inland trade must be to that of the importation trade as five bun- : dred and seventy to one.

The average guantity of all sorts of gran exported from Great Britain does not, according to the same author, exceed the onc-and-thirtieth part of the annual produce. For the encouragement of tillage, therefore, by providing a market for the home produrc, the importance of the inland trade must be:

[^83]'syox
'to that of the exportation trade as thirty to one. 1

I have no great fath in poltical arithmefic, and I mean not to warrant the exactnosy of either of these computations I mentun them only in order to show of how much less consequence, in the opinion of the mont judscous and experienced persons, the forcugu trade of corn 13 than the home trake. The great cheapness of corn in the jcars mumediately preceding the establishnome of the bounty, may perhaps, with reasin, bo asaribed in some measure to the operathon of this statute of Charles II. which had been enacted about five and twenty years butore, and which had therefore full tume to profuce its effect.

A very few vords will suffecently explain all that 1 have to say concerning the other thrte brauches of the corn trade.
11. The trade of the merchant importer of foregign corn for home consumption evidently contributes to the immedate supply of the home market, and must so far be immedlately bencficial to the great body of the people. It tend, indeed, to lower somewhat the average money price of corn, but not to dimminh its real value, or the quantity of labuur uhich it as capable of mantaning. If mpurtation was at all times free, our farmers and country gentlemen would, prom lably, one year with another, get less money fur their corn than they do at present, when inpurtation is at most tumes in effect prolibuted, but the money which they got would be of more value, would buy more goods of all other kinds, and would employ more labour 1 heir real wealth, their real revenue, therctiore, would be the same as at present, .hough it might be expressed by a smaller quanity of sulver; and they would neither be disili! d nor discouraged from cultivating writ as much as they do at present. On the contrary, as the rise in the real value of silver, in consegucnce of lowering the money price of corn, lowers somewhat the money price of nll other commoditus, it gives the mdustry of the country, where it takes place, some advantage in all foreign markets, and thereby tends to encourage and increase that industry. Wut the extent of the home market for corn must be in proportion to the general indistry of the countiy where it grows, or to the number of those $w$ ho produce something else, and therefore have something else, or what

\footnotetext{
1 Fir the state of the com trade with respect to fimperts and exports down to 1848 , see the tables anmex to the supplemental note on the corn L.a4月
${ }^{3}$ Bu fore the $13 t h$ of the present $k$ ing, the following more tho duties $\ddagger+8$ able upon the importation of the mitersat surts of graja. -

comes to the same thing, the price of soma thang cles, to give mexchange ior corn. But as every country the home anarket, as it is the nearest and most convement, so is it hikew ise the greatest and most important market for corn. That rise in the real value of shlver, therefore, which is the effect of lowamg the average money price of corn, tends to enlarge the greatest and most important market for corn, and thereby to encourage, instead of discouraging, its growth.

By the 22d of Charles 11 c. 13. the mportation of wheat, whenever the price in the home market did not exceed fifty-three shallings and fourpence the quarter, was subjected to a duty of sixteen shallings the quarter ; and to a duty of elght shallings whenever the price did not exceed four pounds. the former of these two prices has, for more than a century past, taken place only in times of very great scarcity; and the latter has, so far as 1 know, not taken place at all. Yet, tull wheat bad riven above this latter price, it was by this statute sulyected to a very high duty; and, tall it had risen above the former, to a duty which amounted to a probibition. The importation of other sorts of gram was rectraned at rates, and by duties, 10 proportion to the value of the gram, almost equally high \& Subsequent laws stall further increased those ruties.

The distress which, in zears of scarcity, he strict execution of those lans might have lriught upon the people, would probably have been very great; but, upon such occastons, its execution was generally suspended by temporary statutes, which permutted, for a limited time, the importation of foreign corn. The necessity of these temporary statutes sufficiently demonstrates the umpropriety of this general one.

These restraints upon importation, though prior to the establishment of the bounty, were dictated by the same spirit, by the same principles, which afterwards enacted that regulation. How hurtful sovser sh themselves, these or some other rentrants upon importation became necessary in consequence of that regulation. If, when wheat was either below forty-etght shillings the quarter, or not much above it, fureign corn could have been imported ether duty free, or upon paying only a small duty, it mughs have been exported agann, with the benefit of

the bounty, to the great loss of the public revenue, and to the entire perversion of the institution, of which the object was to extend the market for the home growth, not that for the growth of foreign countries.
III. The trade of the merchant exporter of corn for foreign consumption, certainly does not contribute directly to the plentiful supply of the home market : it does so, however, indirectly. From whatsver source this supply may be usually drawn, whether from home growth or from foreign importatoon, unless more corn is either usually grown, or usually imported into the country than what is usually consumed in it, the supply of the home market can never be very plentiful. But, unless the surplus can, in all ordmary cases, be exported, the growers will be careful never to grow more, and the importers never to import more, than what the bare consumption of the bone market requires. That market will very seldom be overstocked; but it will generally be understocked, the people, whose business it is to supply it, being generally afraid lest their goods should be left upon their hands. The prohibition of exportation limits the improvement and cultivation of the country to what the supply of its own inhabitants requires. The freedom of exportation enables it to extend cultivation for the supply of foreign nations.

By the 18th of Charles II. c. 4. the ex portation of corn was permitted whenevelThe price of wheat did not exceed forty shillings the quarter, and that of other grain in proportion. By the 15 th of the same prince, this liberty was extended till the price of wheat exceeded forty-eight shillings the quarter; and by the $22 d$, to all higher prices. $A$ poundage, indeed, was to be paid to the king upon such exportation. But all grain was rated so low in the book of rates, that this poundage amounted only upon wheat to a shilling, upon oats to fourpence, and upon all other grain to sixpence the quarter. By the Ist of William and Mary, the act which established the bounty, this smal. duty was virtually taken off whenever the price of wheat did not exceed forty-eight shillings the quarter; and by the llth and 12th of William III. c. 20. it was expressly taken off at all higher prices.

The trade of the merchant exporter was, in this manner, not only encouraged by a bounty, but yendered much more free than that of the inland dealer. By the last of these statutes, corn could be engrossed at any price for exportation; but it could not be engrossed for inland sale, except when the price did not exceed forty-eight shillings the quarter. The interest of the inland dealer, however, it has already been shown, ean never be opposite to that of the great body vof the people. That of the merchant exporter
may, and in fact sometimes is, If, whle his own country labours under a dearth, a neighbouring country showd be aflucted wah a famine, it might be his interest to carry corn to the latter country in such quantltiev as might very much aggravate the calamities of the dearth. The plentiful muply of the home market was not the direct object of those statutes; but, under the pretence of encouraging agriculture, to raise the money price of corn as lugh as possible, and thoruby to occasion, as much as possible, a constant dearth in the home market. By the diacouragement of importation, the supply of that market, even in tumes of great searcity, was confined to the home growth; and by the encouragement of exportation, when the price was so bigh as forty-eight shillings the quarter, that market was not, even in times of considerable scarcity, allowed to enjoy the whole of that growth. The temporary law's, prohbiting for a limited time the exportation of corn, and taking off for a limited time the duties upon its importation,-expedients to which Great Britain bus been obliged so frequently to have recourse, sufficiently demonstrate the impropriety of her general system. Had that system been good, she would not so frequently have been reduced to the necessity of departing from it.

Were all nations to follow the liberal system of free expertation and free importation, the different states into which a great continent was divided would so far rescrnble the different provinces of a great empire. As among the different provinces of a greal empire the freedom of the inland trade appears, both from reason and experience, not only the best palliative of a dearth, but the most effectual preventative of a famine: so would the freedom of the exportation and importation trade be among the different states into which a great continent pias d vided. The larger the continent, the easier. the communication through all the different parts of it, both by land and by water, the lesa would any one particular part of it ever be exposed to either of these ealamutice, the scarcity of any one country being more likely to be relieved by the plenty of some other. But very few countries have entirely adopted this liberal system. The freedom of the corn trade is almost everywhere mure or less restrained, and, in many countries, is confined by such sbsurd regulations, as ficequently aggravate the unavoidable misfurtune of a dearth into the dreadful calamity of a famine. The demand of such countries for corn may frequently become oo great and so urgent, that a small state in their neighbourhood, which happened at the same time to be labouring under some degree of dearth, could not venture to supply them without exposing itself to the like dreadfal calamsy. The very bad policy of one country may
thus render it in some meaure dangerous aud imprudent to estahlish what would otherwise the the best policy in anothes. Ihe uilimited fieedom of exportation, however, would be much less dangervus in gredt state, in which the growth being nuuch preater, the supply could seldom be much allucted by any quantity of corn that was likely to be exported. In a Swiss canton, or in wome of the little states of Italy, it may, perhaps, sometimes be necessary to restrain the exportation of coin: in such great countrics as France or England it scarce ever cal. To hinder, besides, the farmer from sending his goods at all times to the beat maket is evidently to sacrifice the ordinary laws of justice to an idea of public uthly, to a sort of reasons of state; an act of kegslative authority which ought to be crercised only, which can be pardoned only in eases of the most urgent necessity. The price at which the exportation of corn is prohimted, if it is ever to be prohibited, ought aiways to be a very high price.

The laws concerning corn may everywhere be compared to the laws concerning relugion. The people feel themselves so much interested in what relates either to thers subsistence in this life, or to their happoness in a life to come, that government must yicld to their prejudices, and, in order to preserve the public tranquillity, establish that system which they approve of. It is upon this account, perhaps, that we so seldonn find a reasonable system established with regard to either of those two capital objects.
IV. The trade of the merchant-carsier, 'or of the importer of foreign corn in order to export it agam, contributes to the plentiful supply of the home market. It is not indeed the durect purpose of his trade to sell t.1s corn there; but he will generally be willing to do so, and even for a good deal les money than he might expeet in a foreign manket; because he saves in this manner the expense of loading and unloading, of freght and insurance. The inhabitants of the country which by means of the carrying trade, becomes the magazme and storehouse for the supply of other countries, can very seldom be in want themselves. Though the carrying trade might thus contrabute to reduce the average money price of corn in the home market, it would not thereby lower its real value: it would only raise somewhat the real value of silver.

- The earryang trade was in effect prohbited in Great Britain, upon all ordinary occasions, by the high duties upon the importation of forengn corn, of the greater part of whelh there was no drawback: and upon extuanduary occasion, when a scarcity made 11 neressary to suspend those duties by teruporary atatutes, exportation was aluays pro-
lubited By this system of laws, therefore, the carrying trade was in etfect prohibuted upon all occassons.

That system of laws, therefore, which is connected with the establashment of the bounty seems to deserve no part of the praise which has been bestowed upon it. The improvement and prosperity of Great Britain, which has been so often ascribed to those lawe, may very easily be accounted for by other causes That security which the laws in Great Britan give to every man that he shall enjoy the fruits of his own labour, 15 alone sufficient to make any country fourish, notwithstanding these and twenty other absurd regulations of commerce; and this security was perfected by the Revolution, much about the same time that the bounty was cstablished. The natural effort of every individual to better his own condition, when suffered to exert itself with freedom and security, is so powerful a principle, that it is alone, and without any assistance, not only capable of earrying on the society to wealth and prosperity, but of surmounting a hundred impertinent obstructions with which the folly of human laws too often incumbers its operations; though the effect of these obstructions is always more or less either to encroach upon its freedom, or to dimunish its sequrity. In Great Britain industry is perfeatly secure; and though it is far from bbing perfectly free, it is as free or freer than is any other part of Europe.

Though the period of the greatest prospexity and improvement of Great Britan has beeh posterior to that system of laws which is connected with the bounty, we must not upon that account impute it to those laws It has been posterior lukewise to the national debt. But the national debt has most assuredly not been the cause of it

Though the system of laws which is connected with the bounty has exactly the same tendency with the police of Spain and lor-tugal,--to lower somewhat the value of the precious metals in the country where it takes place; yet Great Britain is eertainly one of the richest countries in Europe, whle Spain and Yortugal are perhaps among the most beggarly. This difference of atuation, however, may casily be accounted for from two different causes: Furst, the tax in Spain, the prohibition in Portugal of exporting gold and silver, and the vignlant police which watches over the execution of those laws, must, in two very poor countries, which between them import annually upwards of siz millions sterling, operate, not only more directly, but much more foreibly in reducing the value of those metals there than the corn laws can do in Great Britain ; and, secondly, this bad policy is not in those countries counterbalanced by the general lherty and security of the people. Industry is there:
neither free nor secure, and the civil and ecclesiastical governments of both Spainand Portugal are such as would alone be sufficient to perpetuate their present state of poverty, even though their regulations of commerce were as wise as the greater part of them are absurd and foolish.

- The 13th of the present king, c. 49. seems ; to have established a new system with regard to the corn laws, in many respects better than the ancient one, but in one or two respects perhaps not quite so good.

By this statute, the high duties upon im. portation for home consumption are taken off so soon as the price of middling wheat ruses to forty-eight shillings the quarter; that of middling rye, pease, or beans, to thirty-two shillings; that of barley to twentyfour shillings; and that of oats to sixteen shillings; and instead of them, a small duty is imposed of only sixpence upon the quarter of wheat, and upon that of other grain in proportion. With regard to all these different sorts of grain, but particularly with regard to wheat, the bome market is thus opened to foreign supplies at prices considerably lower than before.

By the same statute, the old bounty of five shillings upon the exportation of wheat ceases so soon as the price rises to forty-four shilhngs the quarter, instead of forty-eiglit, the price at which it ceased before; that $\phi f$ two shillings and sixpence upon the exportation of barley ceases so soon as the price rises to twentg-two shillings, instead dif twenty-four, the price at which it ceased before; that of two shillings and sixpence upon the exportation of oatmeal ceases so soon as the price rises to fourteen shillings, instead of fifteen, the price at which it ceased before. The bounty upon rye is reduced from three shillings and sixpence, to three shillings, and it ceases so soon as the price rises to twenty-eight shillings instead of thirty-two, the price at which it ceased be fore. If bounties are as improper as 1 have endeavoured to prove them to be, the sooner they cease, and the lower they are, so mucb 'the better.

The same statute permits, at the lowest - prices, the importation of corn, in order to be exported agam, duty free, provided it is in the mean time lodged in a warehouse under the joint locks of the king and the importer. This hberty, indeed, extends to no more than twenty-five of the different ports of Great Britain. They are, however, the principal ones, and there may not, perhaps, be ware houses proper for this purpose in the greater part of the others.
So far this law seems evidently an im$\int$ provement upon the ancient system.

But by the same law a bounty of two shillings the quarter is given for the exportstion of oats whenever the price does not
exceed fourteen shillings. No bounty had ever been given before for the exportation of this grain, no more than for that of pease or beans.

By the samé law; too, the exportation of $f$ wheat is prohibited so soon as the price rises? to forty-four shllings the quarter ; that of rye so soon as it rises to twenty-eight shillings; that of barley so soon as it tixes to twenty-two shillings; and that of oats so soon as they rise to fourteen shillings. Those several prices seem all of them a goond deal too low, and there seems to be an impropriety, besides, in prohbiting exportation altogether at those precise prices at wheh that bounty, which was given in order to force it, is withdrawn. The bounty ought certanly either to have been withdriwn at a much lower price, or exportation ought to have been allowed at a much higher.

So far, therefore, this law seems to be inferior to the ancient system. With all its imperfections, however, we may perhaps sas of it what was said of the laws of Stion, that, though not the best in itself, it is the best which the interests, prejudices, and temper of the times would admit of. It may, perhaps, in due time prepare the way for a better. 1
1 The two following accounts are subyoined is order to lllustrate and contirm what is sand in this chaster, conceronag the tonnage bounty to the $W$ bite Herriog Fishery. The reader, I belueve, masy depend upon the accuracy of both accounta.
An Account of Bueges Aftred owt in Sentand for Etevers Years, with the Numbrr of empty Barrete carricd out, and the Number of Barrels of Mermps out, and the Number of Barrelitism on ear A
 packed.

| Years. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Busses. } \end{gathered}$ | Empty Barrela carried out | Barrels of Herrings canght. | Ecunty paid on the Buraced. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1771 | 29 | 5948 | $2 \times 32$ | $\underline{E \sim}$ |
| 1772 | 168 | 41,316 | 22,237 | 11.05576 |
| 1773 | 190 | 42,393 | 42.1555 | 14,510 86 |
| 1774 | 248 | 69,313 | $56,3 \mathrm{f6}$ | 16.9528 |
| 1775 | 275 | 69,144 | 52, 679 | 19,3is 15 O |
| 1776 | 294 | 76,329 | 51, kt, 3 | 21,249 76 |
| 1777 | 240 | 62,679 | 43.313 | 17.54286 |
| 1778 | 200 | 56,390 | 64, 488 | 16.31626 |
| 1779 | 206 | 55,194 | 29,367 | 15,24700 |
| 1790 | 181 | 42,315 | 19.945 | 13445186 |
| 1781 | 135 | 23,4982 | 16,513 | 9613126 |
| Total | 2186 | 550,943 | 578,347 | 5,463 110 |

Seastecks, $378347 \begin{gathered}\text { Bountry at a medoma } \\ \text { sor each barrel }\end{gathered}$ Sor each berrell
of onks then-
at But a barrel of reastrele being only reckopeed two thirde of a bartel fully packed, one-third se de$\$$ deducted, 126,115 b bounty to $=x 012$ 3i Barrels full
packed 252,231 parked
And if the bernings are exporced, there
it becides a preaziuan of


CHAP. VI.<br>Of Treatus of Commerce ${ }^{1}$

$W_{\text {ben a nation buds itself by treaty ether }}$ to permat the eotry of certain goods fiom one foretra country whib it prehints fion all others, or to exeropt the goods of one comentry fiom dutes to which it subjects thowe of all others, the country, or at least the merchants and manufacturers of the county, whose conumeree is so favoured, must necessarily derie great advantage from the traty. Thume meichants and nanufacturers enjoy a sat of monopoly in the country which is so indulgent to them. That enumtry becomes a market both more extenswe and more advantagt ous for their goods. more extemme, becanse the goods of other thatoons beng ether excluded or subjected tw hewner diftes, it takes off' a greater quantuty of the trs: inore advaitageous, because the medebanty of the favoured country, enprivis a sort of monopoly there, will often

Sa that the bounty palid by governbuat in nomoy for a wh lartal, is . thit it th thas, the duty of tha salt whatly hiken areillt forr as expenited in
 Is of fiotisa, me butahed and one-tourth of a thashel, at libs. is Uuabel, bo akhed, 412

| 6014118 |
| :--- |
| 0196 |
| 417 |

If the herrings are corcd with British sult, fi whil stand thus, viz
Boluty d betore - but in the bounty the duty on two - but it to this bounty the duty on two suppored to toe thy quantity at a mediom uned in curiog ach barrel, is adha, vis. $\quad$ (he bomaty on eath barrel will amount ( 3

And, when buss herrings are cotered for hum, whenstumption in spoth und, and pay the abilling a barral ot duty, the bounty stamis this, vis as bufore -
luon which the lo a burel is to be div, tut ted

1) it to that there is to be added again tha diaty of the fureian salt used in pining a bartel ot herrtugs, vis.

So that the premuma allowed for each herri l of herrings entered tor home constimitutu is
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f1 3.93
lit the of reurs are carmith Batish

Butilit on tah buret buought in bg
 wind at the thime they dew entered tar houte consumprion * * . Carried forwatal $\quad$ full $\bar{x}$

- Il than goods tin a better price thin it ay prosed tu lhe free computition of all other nations?

Such tratine, how.ver, though they mat be ad antageous to the merchanth and manufacturers of the favouled, are necersally dim adrantageous to thoso of the favouring country. A monopoly is thus gianted agamet them to a foregry nation, and they muse frequently buy the foregn goods they have occasion for, dearer that if the fiee competiton of other nations was admitted. That part of its own produce with wheh sult a nation purchases foreign goods, must consequently be sold chedper, because when two things are exchanged for one another, the cheapness of the one is a necessary cousequence, or rather is the same thing with the dearness of the other. The evchangeable value of its annual produce, theretore, $>$ lakely to be diminushed by every such treaty. This diminution, however, can scarce amwunt to any positive loss, but only to a lessening of the gain which it mught otherwa, make. Though it sells its goods cheaper than it

Brought formard - - -113
Rut if to the bountr the dity on two
buwels of heors abe the bit per
bubl el, supposed to be the quantly at a
medlum used in curng mah! birti, is
adted, vis.
The premlum for eath birrel catciad fo rhome consumptuen will bo ? 201131

Though the loss of daties upon hee rings exportal aphere, perhapk, properly bo ronuderel as terunts, thit tupon hcrings entered for home consumption cert maly may.
An Acomunt of the Quantity of Foresen Sut impot ha wito Scotland, und of Scats valt dether id Dutu-fra, from the Horks chere fors the Fishis ry, from she tith of Apriy 1771, to the Sih of Apral 1782, torth a Mt . dium of lioth for one Year.

| PERJOD. | Foreign Salt <br> imported. <br> Bustrels. | Sroty Salt delivered sretr that Woiks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Btwhicls |
| From the 5 th ot Ayril) 1771, to the sth of April 17 ? ? | 936,974 | 168,225, |
| Nedum for one jear | 851.74 | 152,33 |

It is to be onserved that the bustrit of toil lun ealt Werehs क्यls, that of Brathe salt bulbs onlj. (Note by the futhor)

For an account of the statutes that have breo passed since 1773 , for the regulation of the corm trade see surplemental note on the Corn Laws
Francar an account of the comoteridat treaty with France, negotated by Mr Put in 17 yt , see suridemental note on the trade whti Franke.
3 Ibe advantazes to whinh Dr Sriith alludes are really of wery small importance It they occamion an moreated demated tor any spectes of goois, they $w 1 /$, no dinut, in the firnt instance, raige the protits of the
 other emplotmonts, will vere we the supply of gimuts Froportlundly to the demand, and spertily fandice pronts to the ir toriner level
ntherwise might do, it will not probably sell them for less than they cost; nor, as in the case of bounties, for a price which will not replace the capital employed in bringing them to market, together with the ordinary profits of stock. The trade could not go on long if it did. Even the favouring country, therefore, may still gain by the trade, though less than of there was a free competition.

Some treatics of commerce, bowever, have been supposed advantageous upon principles very different fiom these; and a commercial country bas sometimes granted a monopoly of this kind against itself to certain goods of a foreign nation, because it expected that in the whole commerce between them, it would annually sell more than it would buy, and that a balance in gold and silver would be unnually returned to it . It is upon this principle that the treaty of commerce be-tween-England and Portugal, concluded in 1703, by Mr. Methuen, has been so much commended. The fullowing is a literal thanslation of that treaty, which consists of three articles only:-

ART. 1.
His sacred royal majesty of Portugal protuises, both in his own name and that of bis successors, to admit, for ever hereafter, into Portugal, the woollen cloths, and the rest of the woollen manufactures of the British, as was accustomed, till they were prohibited ify the law; nevertheless upon this condition:

## ART. If.

That is to say, that ber sacred royal nam jesty of Great Britain shall, in her own name, and that of her successors, be obliged, for ever hereafter, to admit the wiries of the growth of Portugal into Britain; so that at no time, whether there shall be peace or war between the kingdoms of Britain and France, any thing more shall be demanded for these wines by the name of custom or'duty, or by whatsoever other title, directly or indirectly, whether they shall be imported into Great Britain in pipes or hogsheads, or other casks, than what shall be demanded for the like quantity or measure of French wine, deducting or abating a third part of the custom or duty. But if at any time this deduction or abatement of customs, which is to be made as aforesaid, shall in any manner be attempted and prejudiced, it shall be just and lawful for his sacred royal majesty of Portugal, again to prohibit the woollen cloths, and the rest of the British woollen manufactures.

## ART. III.

The most excellent lords the pleripotentiaries promise and take upon themselves, that their above-named masters shall ratify this treaty; and within the space of two wonths the ratifications shall be exchanged.

By this trenty the crown of Portugal becomes hound to admut the Fingital, woollena upon the same footing as before the prohibition ; that is, not to raise the dutior a bich had been pad beffre that time. But it does not become bound to admit them upon my better terms than those of any other natwin, of France or Ilulland, for example. The crown of Great Britain, on the contrary, becomes bound to admit the wines of Portugal, upon paying only two-thirds of the duty which is paid for those of Fronce, the wines most likely $t$, come into competition with them. So far this treaty, therefore, is evidently advantageous to Portugal, aud desadvantageous to Great Britain.

It has been celebrated, however, as a masterpiece of the commercial policy of England. Portugal recetves annaally from the braals a greater quantity of gold than can be employed in its domestic commorce, whether in the slape of coin or of plate. The surplus is too valuable to be allowed to he idle and locked up in coffers, and as it caun find no advantageous market at home, it must, notwithstanding any prohibition, be sent abroad, and exchanged for something for which there is a more advantayeons market at home. A large share of it comes annually to England, in return ether for Longlish goods, or for those of uther European nations that receive their returns through England. Mr. Baretti was informed that the weekly packet-boat from Lisbon brings, one week with another, more than fifty gousand pounds in gold to England. Ih sum had probally been exaggerated. It "ould amount to more than two mallions six bundred thousand pounds a year, which is mure than the Brazils are supposed to afford.

Our merchants were some years ago out of humour with the crown of Portugal. Some privileges which had been granted them, not by treaty, but by the free grace of that crown, at the solicitation, mineed, it is probable, and in return for much greater favours, defence, and protection, from the crown of Great Britain, had been either infringed or sevoked. The people, therefore, usually most interested in celebrating the Portugal trade, were then rather disposed to represent it as less advantageous trin it hat commonly been imagined. The far greatur part, almost the whole, they pretended, of this annual importation of gold, was not on account of Great Britain, but of other European nations; the fruts and wines of Portugal annually imported into Great Britain nearly compensating the value of the British goods sent thither.

Let us suppose, however, that the whote was on account of Great Brtain, and that it amounted to a still greater sum than Mr. Haretti seems to imagine: this trade would not, upon that account, be more advante.
geous than any other whemb, for the mine value sent out, we recerved an equal valuof consumable goods in return

It is but a very small part of this importation whe ha, it can be supposed, in employed an an annual addition ether to the plate or to the coin of the hangiom. The rest must all be sent abroad and exchanged for consumable good of tome kind or other. But it those consumbible goods were purchased direth, wath the produee of Engish industry, it weuld be more for the ad antage of England, than hast to purchase with that produce the grold of Portugal, and after wards to purchase with that gold thore consumable goods A direct toregen trade of eonsumption is always more advantagenus than a ronindabout one; and to bing the same value of foreign goods to the hone market, requires a much smaller caps al th the one way than in the other. If a smaller share of its industry, therefore, had been employed in produeng goods fit for the lortugal murket, and a greater in producing those fit for the other markets, where thowe consumatbe goods for which there is a thentend in Great Bratuin are to be had, it would have been mure for the advantage of Enghind. To procure both the gold, which it wruts for ats own unc, and the consumable goock, would, in this way, employ a much amallir captal than at present. There would be a yare capital, thercfure, to be employed tor other purposes, in execting an additional 'quantuty of industry, and in raising a greater anmul produce.

Though Brtain were entirely excluded from the Portugal trade, it could find very hattle difficulty in plocurme all the annual cupples of gold which it wants, either for the purposer of plate, or of com, or of foreign trade. Goll, the every other commodity, is alwzes somewhere or another to be got tur its value, by those who have that value to gre fir it. The annual surplus of gold in Postural, besides, nould stid be sent abroad, and thourh not carried away by Great [intan, would be carried away by some other nation, wheh would be glad to sell it again for its price, in the same manner as Geat lirtam does at present. In buying gold of l'ontugal, nudeet, we buy it at the forst hand, whereas, in buging it of any other natwo, except Spain, we should buy It at the second, and unght pay somewhat dearer. Thus difierence, however, would surilv be too margmicant to deserve the puble attention.

Almost all our gold, it is said, comes from Purtugal. With other nations the balance of trade is elther aganst us, or not muh m our favour But we should remember, that the nore gold we import from one conntry, the las we must necessarily import fiom all others. The effectual demand for gold, the thit fur wery other com-
morlity, is in every country limuted to a cultun quantity if minc-tuths of this quantity are imported from one country, there remans a tenth only to be imported from all others. The more gold besides that is annually imported from some particular countries, over and alove what is requisite for plate and for coin, the more must necessunly be exported to sonne others, and the more that most insignificant object of modern palicy, the balunce of trade, appears to be in our favour with some partheular countrics, the more it must necessarily appear to be against us with many others.

It was upon this silly notion, however, that England could not subsist without the Portugal trade, that, towards the end of the late war, France and Span, without pretending either offence or provocation, required the king of Portugal to exclude all Brutish ships from his ports, and for the security of thrs exclusion, to receive into them French or Spanish garrisons. Had the king of Portugal submited to those impominious terms which his biother-in-law
; king of Spain proposed to him, Britan puld have been freed from a much greater , eonveniency than the loss of the Portugal fade, the burden of supporting a very weak Hy, so unprovided of evergthing for his own defunce, that the whole power of England, had it been directed to that angle purpose, could scarce perhaps have de fended Iim for another campaign. The loss of the Prytugil tade would, no doubt, have occasio Id a consuderable embarrassment to the mer ints at that tune engaged in it, who mugh: 'vot, perlups, have found out, fir a year or two, any other equally adsantarious method smpluyitg their capitsts; and in thes wou ' probably have consisted ail the inconvenuncy which England could have -uffered from this notable piece of comanerchal pohes.

The great annuad importation of gold and sliver is neither fur the purpose of plate nor of com, but of forcign trade. A roundabout fureign trade of consumption can be carried on more adiantageonsly by ments of these metals than of almost alay other goods. As they are the umversal instruments of commerce, they are more readily recened in return for all commodites than any other goods; and on account of the ar small bulk and great value, it costs less to transport them backward and fork ard from one place to another than almost any other sort of merchandise, and they lose less of their value by beng so transported. Of all the commodities, therefore, which are bought in one foregn country, for no other purpoue but to be sold or exchangedyagant for sume other roods in another, there ate none so conreument as gold and alver. In
fachitating all the different roundabout foreign trades of consumption which are carried on in Great Britain, consists the principal advantage of the Portugal trade; and though it is not a capital advantage, it is, no doubt, a considerable one.

That any annual addition which, it can reasonably be supposed, is made either to the plate or to the coin of the kingdom, could require but a very small annual importation of gold and silver, seems evident enough; and though we had no direct trade with Portugal, this small quantity could always, somewhere or other, be very easily got.

Though the goldsmiths' trade be very considerable in Great Britain, the far greater part of the new plate which they annually sell, is made from other old plate melted down; so that the addition annually made to the whole plate of the kingdom cannot be very great, and could require but a very small apnual importation.

It is the same case with the coin. Nobody imagines, I believe, that even the greater part of the annual coinage, amount. ing, for ten years together, before the : reformation of the gold coin, to upwards eight hundred thousand pounds a year' gold, was an annual addition to the moni before current in the kingdom. In a cout, try where the expense of the coinage is dd frayed by the government, the value of this con, even when it contains its full standard peight of gold and silver, can never pe much greater than that of an equal quan ty of those metals uncoined; because it reg ires only the trouble of going to the mis and the delay perhaps of a few weeks, tc. ;ocure for any quantity of uncoined gold ans silver an equal quantity of those metaly/in coin. But, in every country, the greats part of the current coin is almost always more or less worn, or otherwise degenerated from its standard. In Great Britain it was, before the late reformation, a cood deal so, the gold being more than two per cent., and the silver more than eight per cent. below its standard weight. But if forty-fout guineas and a half, containing their full standard weight, a pound weight of gold, could purchase very little more than a pound weight of uncoined gold, forty-four guiness and a half wanting a part of their weight could not purchase a pound weight, and something was to be added in order to make up the deficiency. The current price of gold bullion at market, therefore, instead of being the same with the mint price, or $46 L .148 .6 d$, was then about $47 l$. 148., and sonetimes about forty-eight pounds. When the greater part of the coin, however, was in this degenerate condition, forty-four guineas and a half, fresh
from the mint, would purchane no more goods in the marhet than any other orumbry guineas, because, when tbey came imo the coffers of the merchant, being confounded with other money, they could not afterwards be distingushed without more trouble than the difference was worth. like other guineas they were worth no more than 46l. 14s. 6d. If thrown into the melting pot, however, they produced, without any sensible loss, a pound weight of standard gold, which could be sold at any time for between 47 l . 14s, and 48 l ., either in gold or silver, as fit for all the purposes of cotn as that which had been melted down. There was an evident profit, thercfore, in meltug down new coined moncy, and it was done so instantaneously, that no precaution of government could prevent it. The operatoons of the mint were, upon this account. somewhat like the web of Penelope; the work that was done in the day was undene in the night. The mint was enipluyed, mit so much in making dally additions to the coin, as in replacing the very best part of it which was daily melted down.

Were the private people who carry therir gold and silver to the mint to pay themselves for the coinage, it would add to the value of those metals in the same manner as the fashion does to that of plate. Conned gold and silver would be more valuable than uncoined. The seignorage, if it was mot exorbitant, would add to the bullion the whole value of the duty; because, the government having everywhere the exclusive privilege of coining, no coin can come to market cheaper than they think proper to afford it. If the duty was exotbitint indeed, that is, if it was very much aloute the real value of the labour and expense requisite for coinage, false coiners, both at home and abroad, might be encouraged, by the great difference between the valse of bullion and that of coin, to pour in so great a quantity of counterfeit money as mupht reduce the value of the government moncy In France, however, though the seignorage is eight per cent. no sensible inconveniency of this kind is found to arise from it. ${ }^{1}$ Thedangers to which a false coiner is everywhere exposed, if he lives in the country of which he counterfeits the coin, and to which his agents or correspondents are exposed if he lives in a foreign country, are by far two great to be incurred for the sake of a profit of six or seven per cent.

The acignorage in France raises the value of the coin higher than in proportion to the quantity of pure gold which it rontains. Thus, by the edict of January, 1726

1 It has been previousily hown ( $p$ 21) that the seignorake in France was nore nearly so grien ts I) Smith had been led to belinyp
the ' mint priee of fine gold of twenty-four carats was fixed at suven hundred and forty fivres nine sous and one denter one-eleventh, the mark of etght Paris ounces. The gold coin of France, making an allowance for the remody of the munt, contains iwenty-one carats and threc-fuurths of fine gold, and two carats one-fourth of alloy. The mark of standard gold, therefore, is worth no more than abuut sax handred and seventyone hivres ten denters But in France this mark of standard gold iv coined into thirty Louig-d'ors of twenty-four hivres each, or nato seven hundred and twenty hivres. The connage, therefire, increases the value of a mark of standard gold bullion, by the diffirence between six hundred and seventyone hivres ten deniers, and sesen hundied and twenty livres; or by forty-eight livres nmeteen sous and two deners.

A seygnorage will, in many cases, take awny altogether, and will, in all cases, dumush the profit of melting down the new oum. This profit always arises from the difference between the quantity of bulhon whith the common curreney ought to contan, and that which it actually does contan. If this difference 15 less than the scignorage, there will be loss instead of profit. If it is equal to the seignorage, there nill neither be profit nor loss If it is greater than the sugnorage, there will indeed be some profit, but less than if there was no seignorage. If, before the late reformation of the gold cun, for example, there had been a seignorage of five per cent. upon the coinage, there nould have been a loss of three per cent. upon the meltang down of the gold com. If the seignorage had been two per cent. there would have been neither profit nor loss. If the selgnorage had been one per cent there would have been a profit, but of one per cent. only, instead of two per cent. Wherever money is received by tale, therefore, and not by weight, a seignorage is the most effectual preventative of the melting down of the com, and, for the same reason, of its exportation. It is the best and heaviest pieces that are commonly either melted duwn or exported; because it is upon such that the largest profts are made.

The luw tor the encouragement of the comuge, by rendering it duty-free, was first enacted, during the rexgn of Cbarles II, for a limited tume; and afterwards contunued, by different prolongations, till 1769, when it was rendered perpetual. The Bank of Eugland, in order to replemsh their coffers with monev, are frequently obliged to carry bullion to the mant ; and it was more for their interest, they probably imagined, that the connage should be at the expense of the government, than at their own. It

- Spe Dictionnatie dfs Momnoice, tom is artíle Begueurage, p 4k9, par M Abut de Baznghen, Con-
was, probabily, out of complaisance to this great company that the government agreed to render this law perpetual. Should the custom of weighing gold, however, come to be disused, as it is very likely to be on account of its inconveniency, should the gold com of England come to be recerved by tale, as it was before the lite re-conuage, this great company m2y, perhaps, find that they have upon this, as upon some other occasions, mistaken their own interest not a little.

Before the late re-coinage, when the gold currency of England was two per cent. below its standard weight, as there was no selgnorage, it was two per cent. below the value of that quantity of standard gold bullion which it ought to have contained. When this great company, therefore, bought gold bulhon in order to have it comed, they were obliged to pay for it two per cent. more than it was worth after the coindge But if there had been a scignorage of two per cent. upon the coinage, the common gold currency, though two per cent. below its standard weight, would, notwithstanding have been equal in value to the quantity of standard gold which it ought to have contaned ; the value of the fashion compensating in this case the diminution of the weight. They would indeed have had the selgnorage to pay, which being two per cent., their loss upon the whole transaction would have been two per cent.; exactly the same, but no greater than it actually was

If the seignorage had been five per cent am the gold currency only two per cent. bel its standard weight, the bank would in t : case have gained three per cent. upon the $p$ se of the bullion; but as they would have had a scignorage of five per cent. to pay upon the corrage, their loss upon the whole transaction would, in the same manner, bave ber vexactly two per cent.

If the seignorage had been only one per cent. and the gold currency two per cent. below its standard weight, the bank would in this case have lost only one per cent. upon the price of the bullion; but as they would lakewise have had a selgnorage of one per cent. to pay, their loss upon the whole trangaction would have been exactly two per cent. in the same manner as in all other cases.

If there was a reasonable stignorage, whle at the same time the coin contand its full standard weight, as it has done vary nearly since the late re-comage, whatever the bank might lose by the selgnorage, they would gain upon the price of the bulhon; and whatever they might gain upon the price of the bulhon, they would lose by the selgnorage. They would neither lose nor gan, therefore, upon the whole transaction, and

[^84]they would in this, as in all the foregoing edses, be exactly in the same situation as if there was no seignorage.

When the tax upon a commodity is so moderate as not to encourage smuggling, the merchant who deals in it, though headvances, does not properly pay the tax, as he gets it back in the price of the commodity. The tux is finally paid by the last purchaser or consumer. But money is a comnodity with regard to which every man is a merchant. Nobody buys it but in order to sell it again; and with regard to it there is in ordinary cases no last purchaser or consumer. When the tax upon coinage, therefore, is so moderate as not to encourage false coining, though every body advances the tax, nobods finally pays it; because every body gets it back in the advanced value of the coin.

A moderate seignorage, therefore, would not in any case augment the expense of the bank, or of any other private persons who carry their bullion to the mint in order to be coined, and the want of a moderate selynorage dors not in any case diminish it. Whether there is or is not a seignorage, if the currency contains its full standard weight, the coinage costs nothing to any body, and if it is short of that weight, the coinage must always cost the difference between the quantity of bullion which ought to be contained in it, and that which actually is contained in it.

The government, therefore, when it defrays the expense of coinage, not only incurd some small expense, but loses some sma/l revenue which it might get by a proser duty; and neither the bank nor any $y$ ser private persons are in the smallest of, ree benefited by this useless piece of publió ,enerosity.

The directors of the bank, however, would probably be unwilling to agree to th: imposition of a scignorage, upon the auf ority of a speculation which promises them no gain, but only pretends to insure them from any loss. In the present state of the gold coin, and as long as it continues to be received by weight, they certainly would gain nothing by such a change. But if the custom of weighing the gold coin should ever go into disuse, as it is very likely to do, and if the gold coin should ever fall into the same state of degradation in which it was before the late re-coinage, the gain, or more properly the savings of the bank, in consequence of the imposition of a seignorage, would probably be very considerable. The Bank of England is the only company which sends any considerable quantity of bullion to the mint, and the burden of the annual coinage falls enturely, or almost entirely, uponit. If this annual coinage had nothing to do but
to repair the unavoiduble lossen and necesaary wear and tear of the coin, it could seldom exceed fifty thousand or at move a hundred thousand pounds. But when the coin is degraded below its standard weight, the annual coinage must, besides this, fill up the large vacuities which exportation and the melting pot are continually mahing in the current coin. It was upon this account that during the ten or twelve years immediately preceding the late reformation of the gold coin, the annual coinage amounted at an average to more than eight hundred and fifty thousand pounds. But if there had been a seignorage of four ur five per cent. upon the gold coin, it would probably, even in the state in which things then were, have put an effectual stop to the business both of exportation and of the melting pot The bank, instead of losing every year about two and a half per cent. upon the bultion which was to be coined into more than cight hundred and fifty thousand pounds, or incurring an annual loss of more than twenty-one thousand two hundred and fifty pounds, would not probably have incurred the tenth part of that loss.

The revenue allotted by parliament for defraying the expense of the coinage is but fourteen thousand pounds a year, and the real expense which it costs the government, or the fees of the officers of the mint, do not upon ordinary occasions, I am assured, ex. ceed the half of that sum. The saving of so very small a sum, or even the gaming of another, which could not well be much larger, are objects too inconsiderable, it may be thought, to deserve the serious attention of government. But the saving of eighteen or twenty thousand pounds a year in case of an event which is not improbable, which has frequently happened before, and which is very likely to happen again, is surely an object which well deserves the serious attention even of so great a companty as the Bank of England.

Some of the foregoing reasonings and observations might perhaps have been more properly placed in those chapters of the fint book which treat of the origin and use of money, and of the difference between the real and the nominal price of commodities But as the law for the encouragement of coinage derives its origin from those vulgar prejudices which have been introduced by the mercantile system, I judged it more proper to reserve them for this chapter. Nothing could be more agreeable to the spint of that system than a sort of bounty upon the production of money, the very thing which, it supposes, constitutes the wealth of every 7ation. It is one of its many edmirable ex. pedients for enriching the country.

## CHAP. VII

## Of Culones.

## Panti

Uf the Moteve for eatodhahing new Colonies.
Tue interest whech occasioned the first setHement of the different European colonies in America and the West Indies was not altogetleer so plain and distinct as that which directed the eat ablishment of those of ancient Grace and rtome.

All the diflerent states of ancient Greece porsessed, each of them, but a very small t rritory, and when the people in any one of thein multipled beyond what that territory rould easily mamtain, a part of them were sent in quest of a new habitation in some remote and distant part of the world; the narlike neighbours who surrounded them ou all sides, rendenng it difficult for any of them to enlarge very much its territory at home The colonies of the Donans resorted chitity to Italy and Sicily, which, in the thaces preceding the foundation of Rome, wire mhabited by barbarous and uncivilised natwus: those of the Ionians and Eolians, the two other great tubes of the Greeks, to 191. Minor and the slands of the Egean Sea, of wheh the mhabitants seem at that time to have been pretty much in the same state as thase of Siculy and Italy. The mother city, though she considered the colony is a chuld, at all times entitled to great farour and assistance, and owing in return much gratitude and respect, yet considered it as an emancipated cluld, over whom she 'pretended to clam no direct authority or jurisdiction. The colony settled its own form of government, enacted its own laws, elected its own magistrates, and made peace or war whth its netghbours as an independent state, which had no oceasion to wait for the approbition or consent of the mother city. Nothing can be more plain and distinct than the interest which directed every such establishment.

Rome, likemost of the other ancient repulhies, was onginally founded upon an Agrarian law, which divided the public territory in a certain proportion among the duferent citizens who composed the state. The course of human affirs, by marriage, by succession, and by alienation, necessanly deranged this onginal division, and frequently theew the lands, which had been allotted for the maintenance of many different famulies, nito the possession of a single person. To rencedy this disorder, for such it was supposed to be, a law was made, restricting the quantity of land which any citizen could possess to five hundred jugera, alout three hundred and 6fy Enghsh acres This law, bowever,
though we read of its baving been execuled upon one or two occasions, was etther nem glected or evaded, and the inequality of fortuncs went on continnally increasing The greater part of the etizens bad no land, and without it the manners and customs of thon times rendered it difficult for a freeman to maintain his indy pendency. In the present times, though a poor man has no land of hus own, if he has a little stock, he may either farm the lands of another, or he may carry on some little retail trade; and of he has no stock, he may find employment either as a country labourer, or as an artificer. But, among the ancient Romans, the lands of the rich were all cultivated by slaves, who wrought under an overscer, who was hkewise a slave, so that a poor freeman had little chance of being employed cither as a farmer or as a labourer. All trades and manufactures too, even the retail trade, were carried on by the slaves of the rich for the benefit of their masters, whose wealth, authority, and protection made it difficult for a poor freeman to maintain the competation against them. The citizens, therefore, who had no land, had scarce any other means of subsistence but the bounties of the candidates at the annual elections. The tribunes, when they had a mixd to animate the people against the rich ard the great, put thein in mind of the ancient division of lands, and represented that law which restricted this sort of private proFerty as the fundamental law of the republic. The people became clamoious to get land, and the nch and the great, we may beheve, were perfectly determined not to give them any part of theirs. To satisfy them in some measure, therefore, they frequently propocd to sent, out a new colony. But conquering Rome was, even upon such occasions, uadur no necessity of turning out her citucens to seek their fortune, if one may say so, through the wide world, without knowing where they were to settle. She assigned them lands generally in the conquered promnces of Italy, where, being within the domumons of the republic, they could never form any independent state; but were at best but a sort of corporation, which, though it had the power of enacting by-laws for ats own government, was at all times subject to the correction, jurnsdiction, and legislative authority of : the mother city. The sending out a colons of this kind, not only gave some satisfaction to the people, but often established a sort of garrison too in a newly conquered provnce, of which the obedsence might otherwise have been doubtful. A Roman colony, thercfore, whether we consider the nature of the establishment itself, or the motives for making it, was altogether different from a Greek one The words accordingly, which in the orginal languages denote those different establishments, have very different meanings The

Latín word, (Culonic) siguifies simply o plantation. The Greek word (aroikia), on the contrary, signifies a separation of dwelling, a departure from home, a going out of the house. But though the Roman colonies were in many respects different from the Greek ines, the interest which prompted to establish them was equally plan and distinct. Both institutions derived their origin etther from urresistible necessity, or from clear and evident utility. ${ }^{2}$
The establishment of the European colontes in America and the West Indies arose from no necessity; and though the utility which has resulted from them has been very great, it as not altogether so clear and evident. It was not understood at their first establishment, and was not the motive ether of that establishment or of the discoveries which gave occasion to it; and the nature, extent, and limits of that utility are not, perhaps, well understood at this day.
The Venetians, during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, carried on a very advantageous commerce in spiceries and other East India goods, which they distributed among the other nations of Europe. They purchased them chiefly in Egypt, at that time under the dominion of the Mammeluks, the enemies of the Turks, of whom the Venetians were the enemies; and this unon of interest, assisted by the monex of Venice, formed such a connection as gare the Venetians almost a monopoly of the trade.

The great profits of the Venetians tempted the avidity of the Portuguese. They bad been endeavouring, during the course of the fifteenth century, to find out by sea a way to the countries from which the Moors brought them ivory and gold dust aeross the Desert. They discovered the Maf eiras, the Canaries, the Azores, the Cape $\$^{*}$. Verd

1 The constitution of the ancrent colonies involves considerations which have excited a gnod ded of in* terest, and has been repcatedly investigated. A Zifosertatron by Bouganvilie, which ganed a prize given by the French Academy for the beat essay on this subject, was published $\mathfrak{m}$ 1745. Professor Barron of St . Andrews, in an anonymous treatise on the History of the Colontzatumof the Free Statcs of Arabazaty, pub. hished in 1777, laboured to prove that the anctents exercised the same species of control over their colonsts that has been commonly exercised by the moderns. Barron's tract was answered by Dr. Symonds of Carronndge, who published Remarks on it in 1778 ; and by Sur Wi hain Meredith, in bis His torical Remarks on the Tazatron of Free Stakes, published in 1781 . Heyne has some learned diaqut. stitions on this subject in his Opuscula Academucs. Perhaps, however, the best work on the colonies of the ancients, is that of St. Croix, De I' Etad ef dus Sort des Anczennes Colonies, publisbed in 1778 . There is an excellent account of the Roman system of colonisata in in the Verona Illustrata of the learned Marquis Maffen. Hanol Kochette, in bis voluminous work, sur las Colonucs Grecques, has investigated their histoiy with the greatest minuteness; but he is deficient in knowledge of principle, and bue general views are narrow and superfical.

Notwithstanding the nuraerous works that have appeared on coionial policy durng the century, a really guod treatsee on that eubject is still a desp
islands, the coast of Guinea, that of Loanco, Congo, Angola, and Renguela, and, finally, the Cape of Good Hope, They had long wished to share in tho profitible traflic of the Venetians, and this last discovery opened to them a probable pronpect of doing so. In 1497, Vasco de Gama salled from the port of Lisbon with a flect of four ships; and, after a navigation of eleven months, arrived upon the coast of Indontan, and thus completed a course of discoveries which had been pursued with great steads. ness, and with verv little intefruption, fur near a century together.

Some years before this, while the expectations of Europe were in suspense abc ut the projects of the Portuguese, of which the success appeared yet to be doubtfil, a Genoese pilot formed the yet more daring project of aaling to the East Indses by the West. The situation of those countnes was at that time very imperfectly known in Earope. The few Europian travellers who had been there had magnised the distance; perhape through simplicity and ignorance, what was really very great. appeaning almost infinite to those who could not measure it; or, perhaps, in order to increase somewhat more the marvellous of their own adrentures in visting regions so immensely remote from Europe. The longer the way was by the East, Columbus very justly concluded, the shorter it would be by the West. He proposed, therefore, to take that way as both the shortest and the surest, and he bat the good fortune to convince Isabella of Castle of the probability of his project. He saled from the port of Palos 4 in August, 1492, near five years before the expedition of Vasco de Gama set out from Portugal; and, after a voyage of between two and three months, discovered first some of the small Bahama or Lucayan islands,
deratum. Bryan Edwards* History of the British Weat Indian Colonies is ably writcen; but he exaggerates their importance, and has a strong infin in favour of the olaveholders. Lord Brougham'a Cotonial Pohcy was published in 1803. It contanus accounts of the colonial systeme of the difitrent European natons; but m other respects the wopk is of little value. The learned Lard exagperater the importance of col nues even more than Eutwards; he defends of extenuates the restrictions so trequent Iy imposed on their trade, and which have uiaformiy been as injurious to the mother countries an to the been as injurtout to the mother countries as of the
colomes ; and he contends, in vindication of the colomes ; and he contends, in vindication of these restrictions, that "the intereste of tradera, in the
exiployment of the carntats, are by no meana the game, in all cases, whth the interesta of the connmunuy to which they belong." (y.1 p. 254 ) Noone needs be much surpriged that, despite the celt,? rity of itf author, such a work, if not setually thti-born, eppedily sunk into oblivion.

Of the more recent works on colonics the best are Sir G.C. Lewis's wTreatice on the Government of Dependencies," and Mervale's " Lectures on Co. lonization." The "Empire " of Mr Golidern smath (1863), is an energetic pleading on behati of the emancipation of the colonst. For further consideratuons and detais in regard to thas mpurtant subyert, the reader is zeferred to the tupplemental note on Colomal Policy.
and atheruards the great iniand of St Dumungo

But tle countries whible Columbus disconctul, enher in this or in any of hex sthsquent voydgen liad to renmblance to those which be had gone m quent of. Instead of the a calth, cuitnathot, and populomones of Chma and Indoutan, he found, in st. Dummeo, and in all the other pares of the new word whith be ever visted, mothing but a country quite corered whit Hemb, uneultnated, and inhabited only by whe tritus of naked and marerable savage. He was not viry wilhuf, however, to beHise that they y are not the same with some of the countries deseribed by Marco Polo, wee first laripean who hal wisted, or at hast bad lif helund him, any description of Chua or the East Indier; and a very shght riventilance, such us that what be found betacen the name of Cibao, a mountan on it Bowuso, and that of Cipango, menthened ty ilarco Polo, was frequently sufiwhe to mate han return to this favourte i, riposession, though contrary to the clearont crifuce. In has letters to Ferdmand and I divella he called the countries what the had docortred, the Indies He entertumed no donbt hut that they were the cutromty of those which had been dewhited by Miro Polo, and that they were not wery dostant from the Ganges, or from the conntries which had been conquered by Alex inder. Even when at last connnced thit they were dulitent, he still fattered hamelf that those rich countries were at no Eruat distance; and, in a subsequent vorage, swordungh, went in quest of them along the cowst of Tirra Firma, and towards the whmus of Danen.

In consequence of this mistake of Columbus, the name of the Indes has stuck to thume unfurtunate countries ever since; and when it was at last clearly discovered that the new were altorether different from the old Inches, the former were called the Wist, in coneradistunction to the latter, whit were called the East Indues.

It was of iniportance to Columbus, howtonr, that the countries whech he had discovetid, whaterer they were, should be represnted to the court of Span as of very preat conwquence; and, in what constitutes the real riches of every country, the ammal and regetulde prodintions of the soll, there In is at that time nothing whib could well -fundify such a reprasentation of them.

The Cort, sumething between a rat and a raburt, and supposed by Mr. Butfon to be the same vith the A perea of Erazil, was the 1. reest vi parous quadruped in St. Dowoingo. This spectes sermis never to have Heen wery numerous, aud the dogs and cats of the Sphatiards are sand to hare Jong ago a'most enturly exturpatid it, as well as
some othar tribus of a stall smaller siae These, however, together with a pretty large hzard, called the Ivana or Iguana, constututed the principal part of the ammal food wheh the land aftorded.

The vegetable food of the inhabitints. thught from thear wat of industry not wry abundant, was not altogether so scanty. It consisted in Indian corn, vams, potatocs. banancs, \&ec, plants whech were then altogether unbnown in Europe, and what, have never suce been very much estecnus! in it, or supposed to yeld a sustenatio equal to what is drawn from the common sorts of grain and pulce, which have buen cultwated in this part of the world time out of mind.

The cotton plant, indeed, afforded the material of a very mportant manufacture, and was at that tume to Europeans undoubtedly the most valuable of all the vcoretuble productions of those istands But though in the end of the fiftecnth century, the muslins and other cotion goods of the East Indies were much estemad in every part of Europe, the cutton manufature itself was not cultivated in any part of it. Even this production, therfore, could nut at that time appear in the eyes of Europrans to be of very great consequence.

Iinding nothang ether in the anmals of vegetables of the newly discurered countriss, which could justify a very adrantaa ous representation of them, Colunbus turned has view towards thetr numerals; and in the richness of the productions of thus third hingdom, he flattered hirnself he had found a full compensation for the insitmficancy of those of the other two. The Lutte bits of gold with wheh the mhdubants ornamented their dress, and which, he was informed. they frequentiy found in the rivulets and torrents that fill from the mountans were sufficient to satnsfy hum that those mountains abounded with the racheat gold munes. St. Domingo, thercfore, was represento a as a country abounding with gold; and, upon that account (according to the prejudies not only of the present times. but of those times), an inexhaustible source of real wealth to the crown and kingdom of Spain. When Columbus, upon his return frum his first voyage, was introduced with a sort of triumphal honours to the soverighs of Castule and Arragon, the principal productions of the countries which he had discovered were carried in solemn procession before him. The only valuable part of them consisted in some latule fillets, bracelets, and other ornaments of gold, and in some bales of cotton. The rest were mure objeets of rulgar wonder and cunosity; some reeds of an extraordinary size, surne birds of a very beantful plumage, and some stuffed skins of the buge alligator and
manati; all of which were preceded by six or seven of the wretched natives, whose singular colour and appearance added greatly to the novelty of the show.

In consequence of the representations of Columbus, the council of Castile determined to take possession of countries of which the inhabitants were plainly incapable of defending themselves. The pious purpose of converting them to Christianity sanctified the injustice of the project. But the hope of finding treasures of gold there was the sole motive which prompted to undertake it; and to give this motive the greater weight, it was proposed by Columbus that the half of all the gold and silver that should be found there should belong to the crown. This proposal was approved of by the council.

As long as the whole or the far greater part of the gold which the first adventurers imported into Europe, was got by so very easy a method as the plundering of the defenceless natives, it was not, perhaps, very difficult to pay even this heary tax; but when the natives were once fairly stript of all that they had, which, in St. Domingo, and in all the other countries discovered by, Columbus, was done completely in six or eight years, and when in order to find more it had become necessary to dig for it in the mines, there was no longer any possibility of paying this tax. The rigorous exaction bof it, accordingly, first occasioned, it is said, the total abandoning of the mines of Sc . D d mingo, which have never been wrought since. It was soon reduced, therefore, to a third, then to a fifth, afterwards to a tenth, and at last to a twentieth part of the gross produce of the gold mines. The tax upon silver continued for a long time to be a fifth of the gross produce. It was rediced to a tenth only in the course of the present century. But the first adventurers do not appear to have been much interested about silver. Nothing less prectous than gold seemed worthy of their attentioth.

All the other enterprizes of the S.daniards in the new world, subsequent to those of Columbus, seem to have been prompted by the same motive. It was the sacred thirst of gold that carried Oieda, Nicuessa, and Vasco Nugnes de Balboa, to the isthmus of Darien, that carried Cortez to Mexico, and Almagro and Pizzarro to Chili and Pern. When those adventurers arrived upon any unknown coast, their first inquiry was always if there was any gold to be found there; and according to the information which they recaived concerning this particular, they determoned either to quit the country or to settle in it.

Of all those expensive and uncertain projects, however, which bring bankruptey upon the greater part of the people who engage in them, there is none, perhaps, more perfectly
ruinous than the scarch after new silver and gold mines. It is, perhaps, the most dimedvantageous lottery in the world, or the ote in which the gain of those who draw the prizes bears the least proportion to the lows of those who draw the blanks; for though the prizes are few and the blanks many, the common price of a ticket is the whole fortune of a very rich man. Projects of mining, instead of replacing the capital employed in them, together with the ordinary profits of stock, commonly absorb both capital and profit. They are the projects, therefore, to which of all others a prudent lawgiver, who desired to increase the capital of has nation, would least choose to give anv extrowrdinary encouragement, or to turn towards them a greater share of that capital thau what would go to them of its own accord. Such in reality is the absurd confidence which almost all men bave in their own good fortune, that wherever there is the least probaluhty of success, too great a share of it is apt to go to them of its own accord.

But though the judgrnent of sober reason and experience concerning such projects has always been extremely unfavourable, that of human avidity has commonly been quite otherwise. The same passion which bas suggested to so many people the abiurd indea of the philosopher's stone, has suggested to others the equally absurd oue of immense rich mines of gold and silver. They did not consider that the value of those metals lias, in all ages and nations, arisen chicfly from their scarcity, and that their scarety has arisen from the very small quantuties of them which naturelias any where deposited in one place, from the hard and intractable sulo stances with which she has almost every where syrounded those small quantities, and consequently from the labour and expense which are every where necessary in order to penetrate to and get at them. They flat tered themselves that veins of those metals might in many places be found as large and as abundant as those which are commonly found of lead, or copper, or tin, or iron. The dream of Sir Walter Raleigh concernang the golden city and country of Eldurado, may satisfy us, that even wise men are not almays exempt from such strange delusions. More than a hundred years after the death of that great man, the Jesuit Gumila was stull convinced of the reality of that wonderfuls country, and expressed with great warmth and I dare to say, with great sincerity, howt happy he should be to earry the light of the gospel to a people who could so well reward the pious labours of their missionary.

In the countries first discovertd by the Spaniards, no gold or enlver mines are at present known which are supposed to be worth the working. The quantities of those metals which the first adventurers are said to
have found there, had probably heen very mach magnitiod, as well as the firtulty of the mones, whel were wrought immediately atter the first discovery. What those advontirers weic reported to have found, how(.): was suffecent to inflame the avidity of all their countrymen. Every Spaniard who sated to Amenca expected to hud an Eldoradh, Fortunc, too, did upou this what she hav dote upon very few other occasions: she radised 14 some measure the extravagant hopes of her votaries, and in the discovery and compuest of Mexico and Peru (of which the oue happened about thirty, the other about firty, years after the first expedition of (colmbibus), the presented them with somethang not very unlike that profusion of the prectons metals whith they sought for.

A project of commeree to the East Indies, therefore, gave oce ssiun to the first discovery of the West $A$ project of conquest gave occaston to all the establishments of the spanard, in those newly discovered countrics. The mutne wheh excited them to this concuent was a project of gold and silver numes; and a course of acculents, which no humm wisdom could foresee, rendered this project much more successful than the undertakers bad any reasonable grounds for expecting.

The first adventurers of all the other nations of Europe, who attempted to make settlements in America, were aninated by the like ehimerical views; but they were not equally successful. It was more than a hundied gars ufter the first settlement of the Brazil, before any sulver, gold, or diamond mines were discovered there. In the English, French, Duteh, and Dansh colonies, none have ever yet been discovered; at least none that are at present supposed to be worth the worhug. The first English settlers in North Amenca, however, offered a fith of all the gold and silver whele should be found there to the kiog, as a motive for granting them ther patents. In the patents to Sur Walter lialeigh, to the London and Plymouth companies, to the councll of Plymouth, \&cc. this fifth was accordingly reserved to the crown. To the expectation of finding gold and siver mmes, those first settlers too joined that of discovering a north-west passage to the East Indieq. They have hitherto been disapponted in both.

## Partil.

## Causes of the Prosperity of new Colonies.

The colony of a civilised nation which takes posession, ether of a waste country, or of one so thinly inhabited, that the natives casdy give place to the new settlers, adsances more rapully to wealth and greatness than any other human society.

The colonsts carry cut with them a know-
lejge of agriculture and of other useful arti, surerior to what can grow up of its un $n$ actord in the course of many centuries among saruge and barbarous nations. They carry out with them too the habit of suborunation, some notion of the regular govcrament wherh takes place in their own countrv, of the system of laws which support it, and of a regular administration of justice, and they naturally establish something of the sanie kind in the now settement But among savage and barbarous nations, the natural progress of law and government is still slower than the natural progress of atts, after law and government have been so far established, as is necessary for their protection. Every colonist gets more land than he can possibly ${ }^{*}$ cultivate. He has no rent, and scarce any taxes to pay No landlord shares with huas in its produce, and the shave of the sovercign is commonly but a tritte. He has every motive to render as great as possible a produce, which is thus to be almost entirely his own. But his land is commonly so extensive, that with all his own industry, and with all the industry of other people whom he can get to employ, he can seldom make it produce the tenth part of what it is capable " producing. Ile is eager, therefore, to - lect labourers from all quarters, and to ri sard them with the most hberal wages. Butit those liberal wages, jomed to the plenty and cheapness of land, soon make those labourers leave him, in order to become landloi ts themselves, and to reward, with equal liberality, other labourers, who soon lease them for the same reason that they left their first master. The liberal reward of labour encourages marriage. The children, durng the tender years of infancy, are well fed and properly taken care of; and when they are grown up, the value of their labour greatly overpays their maintenance. When arrived at maturity, the ligh price of labour, and the low price of land, enable them to establish themselves in the same manner as their fathers did before them.

In other countries, rent and profit eat up wages, and the two superior orders of people oppress the inferior one. But in new colomies, the interest of the two superior orders obliges them to treat the inferior one mith more generosity and humanity; at least, where that inferior one is not in a state of slavery. Waste lands, of the greatest natural fertility, are to be had for a trifle. The increase of revenue which the proprietor, wbo is always the undertaker, expects from their improvement, constitutes his profit; which in these circumstances is commonly very great. But this great profit cannot be made without employing the labour of other people in clearing and cultwating the land, and the disproportion between the great extent of the land and the small number of the people.
which commonly takes place in new colonies, makes it difficult for hum to get this labour. He does not, therefore, duspute about wages, but is wiiling to employ labour at any price.
1 The high wages of labour encourage population. The cheupness and plenty of good land encourage improvement, and enable the proprietor to pay those high wages. In those wages consists almost the whole price of the land; and though they are high, considered as the wages of labour, they are low, considered as the price of what is so very valuable. What encourages the progress of population and improvement, encourages that of real wealth and greatness.

The progress of many of the ancient Greek , colonies towards wealth and greatness seems accordingly to have been very rapid. In the course of a century or two, several of them appear to have rivalled, and even to have surpassed, their mother cities. Syracuse and Agrigentum in Sicily, Tarentum and Locri in Italy, Ephesus and Miletus in Lesser Asia, appear by all accounts to have been at least equal to any of the cities of ancient Greece. Though posterior in their establishment, yet all the arts of refinement, philosophy, poetry, and eloquence, seem to havp been cultivated as early, and to have be' improved as highly, in them, as in any $p^{2}$ : of the motber country. The schools of t, e two oldest Greek philosophers, those of Thules and Pythagoras, were established, it is remarkable, not in ancient Greece, but the one in an Asiatic the other in an Italian colory. All those colonies had established themsetves in countries inhabited by savage and barbarous nations, who easily gave place $\psi$ the new settlers. They had plenty of good land; and as they were altogether independent of the mother city, they were at liberty to manage their own affdirs in the way that they judged was most suitable to their own interest.

The history of the Roman colonies is by no means so brilliant. Some of them, indeed, such as Florence, have in the course of many ages, and after the fall of the mother enty, grown up to be considerable states. But the progress of no one of them secms ever to have been very rapid. They were all estabhshed in conquered provinces, which in most cases had been fully inhatited before. The quantity of land assigned to zach colonist was seldom very considerable; and as the colony was not independent, they were not always at liberty to manage their own affairs in the way that they judged was most suitable to their own interest.

In the plenty of good land, the European colonies established in America and the West Indues resemble, and even greatly surpass,

[^85]tbose of ancient Gredee In thers dipendency upon the mother state, they resemble those of ancient Rome; but ther great dutance from Europe has in all of them alle viated more or less the effects of thiss deprentency. Their stuation has placed them lens in the view and less in the power of that moother country. In pursuing their untenest their own way, their conduct has, upon many occasions, been overlooked, either lecause not known or not understoad in Europe: and upon some occasions it has been furity sutfered and submitted to, because thetr ditance rendered it difficult to restrain it. Even the violent and arbitrary government of Spain has, upon many oicaston, feen obliged to recal or soften the orders which had been given for the government of her colonies, for fear of a general insurrection. The progress of all the European colonks in wealth, population, and improvement, has accordingly been very great.

The crown of Spain, by its share of the gold and silver, derived some revenue from its colonies, from the moment of then fint establishment. It was a revenue, too, of a nature to excite in buman avidity the mobs extravagant expectations of stll greater riclies. The Sparish colonics, thercfore, from the moment of their tirst establishunent, attracted very much the attention of their mother country; while those of the other Eurmean nations were for a long time in a great measure neglected. The former did not, perlapes, thrive the better in consequence of this attention; nor the latter the worse in constquence of this neglect. In proportion to the extent of the country which they in some measure possess, the Spanish colunios are considered as less populous and thonang than those of almost of any other Eiropean nation. The progress even of the Spanioh colonies, however, in population and improvement, has ecrtainly been very rapad and very great. The eity of Lima, fuunded since the conquest, is represented by Ullera as containing fifty thousand mhabitanty near thirty years ago. Quito, which had been but a miserable hamlet of Indians, in represented by the same autior as in him time equally populous. Gemelli Carreri, a pretended traveller, it is said, indeed, but who seems every where to bave written upon estreme good information, represents the city of Mexico as containing a hundred tiousind inhabitants,-a number which, in spite of all the exaggerations of the Spanish winters, tr, probably, more than five Limcs greater than what it contaned in the time of Montezumi These numbers exceed greatly thone of Boston, New York, and Philadelphia, the three greatest cities of the Lingish colouies:
of Ameria, and the West Indies, with Humbollte classiticstion of the different racps. In the supptis mental bote on Colonurs.
B. fire the conquest of the Spanard, there a.re noc atle bt for draught ether in Min wed or Purs The lama was their only beast of burthen, and its stringth seems to have been a guod de al inferior to that of a common ass The plough was unhnown among them. They wire ignomant of the use of iron. The has no ewned money, nor any estalm Wiscd motrument of commerce of any kind. Thur cunmerce was curried on by barter. A surt of wooden spade was the principal anstrmant of agriculture. Sharp stones saced the ma for knves and hatchets to cut witl; ; toh bones and the hard staeres of cerLutn anmblas served them for needles to sew with; and these seem to bave been their promula instruments of trade. In this state of thanere it seems imposisble that either of thom empures could bave been to much mproned or so well culurated as at present, $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ in thev are plentifully furnished with all sorta of Eumpean catte, and when the use of tion, of the plough, and of many of the arts of Furope, has ben a introduced among them Dut the populnusness of every countr) must be $u$ proportion to the degree of ins inprovement and cultration. In spite of the cruel destruction of the natives whinh folluwed the conquesh these two great empires are, probably, more populous now than they ever were befure: and the people are surily very different, for we must acknowledre, I apprehend, that the Spanish creoles are m many respecto supenor to the ancient ladhens

Atter the settlements of the Spaniards, that of the Portugnese in Brazil is the oldwh of any Europan nation in Arnerica Bus as for a long time after the first disconerv, vether gold not sulver mines were feund in 1 , and as it afforded, upon that account, little or no revenue to the crown, it ans for a long time in a great measure me alected; and during this state of neglect, if gre w up to be a great and powerful colony. Il bite Portural was under the dominion of Spann, Dranal was attacked by the Dutch, nho got possession of seren of the fourteen provacss uito which it is divided. They expectud sion to conquer the other seven, when Portugal recovered its independency by the elesatuon of the family of Braganta to the throne. The Dutch then, as enemies to the Spanards, became fricads to the PortuFuest, who were hidewise the enemues of the Apmards Ther agreed, therefore, to leave th it part of Brasil which they had not conentered to the king of Portugal, who agreed to leare that part which they had conquered to them, as a matter not worth disputung alnout with such good allies. But the Dutch coternment soon began to oppress the Portucuene colonists, who, instead of amusing themselveswith comptaints, took arms agunst that atw alasters, aud by their own valour
and recolution, with the connealice, indeed, but without any arowed assistance frate the mother country, drove them out of Brizal. The Dutch, therefore, fiading it inuwssible to keep any part of the country to themalves, were contented that it shorld be entyty restored to the erown of Purtugal. In this colony there are sald to be more than sax hundred thousand people, enther Porruguese or descended from Purtuguese, creolus, mulattoes, and a mised race between Portuguere and Brazhians. No one colony in America is supposed to contann so great a number of people of European extraction.

Towards the end of the fifteenth, and during the greater part of the sixteenth, century, Spain and Portugal were the two great na:3 powers upen the ocean; for though the commerce of Vence extended to every part of Europe, its theets bad scarce ever suled beyond the Mediterrancan. The Spantards, in virtue of the first diseovery, clawed all America as their own; and though they could not hinder so great a naval power as that of Portugal from settling in Brazl, such was, at that tume, the terror of their name, that the greater part of the other nations of Eyrope were afrad to establish themselses in an "other part of that great contunent. The F ich, who attempted to settle in Flonda, wed all murdered by the Spamards. But the declension of the nasal power of thas latter nation, in consequence of the defeat or micarriage of what they called their Invincible Armada, which happened towards the end of the sirteenth century, put it out of their power to obstruct any longer the settlements of the other European dations In the course of the seventeenth centurs, therefore, the English, French, Dutch, Danes, and Swedes, all the great nauons who had any ports upon the ocean, atternpted to make scane settlements in the new world.

The Swedes established themselves in New Jersey: and the number of Sredush fanilices still to be fijund there suffickenty demon.strates that this colony was very likely to prosper, had, it been protected by the nother country; but beng neglected by Sweden, it was soon swallowed up by the Dutch colony of Sew York, which again, in 1674 , fell under the dommion of the English.

The smad islands of Se. Thomas and Santa Crus are the only countries in the new world that have ever been possessed oy the Danes. These hittle setclements, tou, were under the goverament of an exclusire company, which had the sole ngbs, both of purchasing the surplus produre of the colonsts, and of supplying them with such goods of other countries as they wanted, and whech. therefore, both in its purchases and sales, had not only the porer of oppressing them, but the greatest temptation to do so. The government of an excluste company of mer-
chants is, perhaps, the worst of all governments for any country whatever. It was not, however, able to stop altogether the progress of these colonies, though it rendered it more slow and languid. The late king of Denmark dissolved this company, and since that time the prosperity of these colonies has bren very great.

The Dutch settlements in the West, as well as those in the East, Indies were originally put under the government of an exclusive company. The progress of some of them, therefore, though it has been considerable, in comparison with that of almost any country that has been long peopled and established, has been languid and slow in comparison with that of the greater part of new colonies. The colony of Surinam, though very considerable, is still inferior to the greater part of the sugar colonies of the other European nations. The colony of. Nova Belgia, now divided into the two provinces of New York and New Jersey, would probably have soon become considerable too, even though it had remained under the government of the Dutch. The plenty and cheapness of good land are such powerful causes of prosperity, that the very woyst govermment is scarce capable of checking 1together the efficacy of their operation. :e great distance too from the mother coukury would enable the colonists to evade more or less, by smuggling, the monopoly which the company enjoyed against them. At present the company allows all Dutch ships to trade to Surinam upon paying two and a half per cent. upon the value of their cargo for a licence; and only reserves to itself Exclusively the direct trade from Africa to America, which consists almost entirely in the slave trade. This relaxation in the exclusive privileges of the company is probably the principal cause of that degros of prosperity which that colony at present enjoys. Curaça and Eustatia, the two princrpal islands belonging to the Dutch, are free ports open to the ships of all nations; and this freedom, in the midst of better colonies Whose ports are open to those of one nation only, has been the great cause of the prosperity of those two barren islands.

The French colony of Canada was, during the greater part of the last century, and some part of the present, under the government of an exclusive company. Under so unfavourable an administration its progress was necessarily very slow in comparison with that of other new colonies; but it became much more rapid when this company was dissolved after the fall of what is called the Mississippi scheme. When the English got possession of this country, they found in it near double the number of inhabitants which father Charleroix had assigned to it between twenty and thirty years before. That jesuit
had travelled over the whole country, and had no inclination to represent it as less considerable than it really was.

The French colony of St. Doninga was established by pirates and freebooters, who for a long time neither required the protection nor acknowledged the authority of France; and when that race of banditti became so far citicens as to acknowledge this authority, it was for a long time necessary to excreise it with very great gentleness. During this period the population and improvement of this colony increased very f.st. Even the oppression of the exclusive company, to which it was for some time subjected, with all the other colonies of France, though it no doubt retarded, had not been able to, stop, its progress altogether. The course of its prosperity returned as soon as it was relieved from that oppression. It is now the most important of the sugar colomes of the West Indies, and its produce is sadd to be greater than that of all the Enghisin sugar colonies put together. The other sugar colonies of France are in general all very thriving. ${ }^{1}$

But there are no colonics of which the progress has been more rapid than that of the English in North America.

- Plenty of good land, and liberty to manage their own affairs their own way, seem to be the two great causes of the prosperity of all new colonies.

In the plenty of good land the English colonies of North A merica, though no doubt very abundantly provided, are, however, inferior to those of the Spaniards and Portuguese, and not superior to some of those possessed by the French before the late war. But the political institutions of the English colonies have been more favourable to the improvement and cultivation of this land than those of any of the other three nations.

First, The engrossing of uncultivated land. though it has by no means been prevented altogether, has been more restrained in the English colonies than in any other. The colony law which imposes upon every proprietor the obligation of improving and cultivating, within a limited tume, a certan proportion of his lands, and which, in case of failure, declares those neglected lands grantable to any other person, though it has not, perhaps, been very strictly executed, han however, had some effect.

Secondly, In Pennsylvania there is no right of primogeniture, and lands, like moveables, are divided equally among all the children of the family. In three of the provinces of New England the oldest has only a double share as in the Mosaical law. Though in

[^86]those provinces, therefore, two great a quantity of land should sometimes be engroused by a particular indsvidual, it is hikely, in the course of a generation or two, to be sufficiently divided agan. In the other English colonies, indeed, the right of primogeniture takes place, as in the law of England. Eut in all the Enghish colonies the tenure of the lands, wheh are all held by free socage, tacilitates ahenation, and the grantee of any catensive truct of land generally finds at for hionnturest to alienate, as fast as he can, the preatir part of it, reserving only a small (firt-rent. In the Spanish and Portuguese colon're, what is called the right of Mayorazol takes place in the succession of all those great estates to which any title of hotour is annexed. Such estates go all to one person, and are in effect entailed and unalichable. The French colonies, indeed, are sulyect to the custom of Paris, which, in the mburitance of land, is much more faworrahle to the younger children than the law of England. Jut, in the French colomes, if any part of an estate, held by the woble tenure of chivalry and homage, is whenated, it is, for a limated time, subject to the right of redemption, either by the heir of the superior or by the heir of the family; and all the largest estates of the country are hold by such noble tenures, which necessarily embarrass alsenation. But, in a new colony, a geat uncultivated estate is hakely to be much more speedily divided by alienation than by succession. The plenty and cheapness of good land, it has already been obwined, ire the princtpal causes of the rapid prowperty of new colones. The engrossing of land, in effect, destroys this plenty and cheapmess. The engossing of uncultivated land, bessdes, is the greatest obstruction to Its inpoovencnt. But the labour that is employed in the impovement and cultivation of land affords the greatest and most v.luable produce to the society. The produce of labour, in this case, pays not only its onn $n$ ages, and the profit of the stock which emplons it, but the rent of the land too upon whech it is employed. The labour of the English colounsts, therefore, being more emphoyed in the umprovement and cultivation of land, is lihely to afford a greater and more caluable produce than that of any of the other three nations, whech, by the engrossing of land, is more or less diverted towards other employments.

Therfly, The labour of the English colunits is not only lihely to afford a greater and more valuable produce, but, in consequence of the moderation of their taxes, a greater poportion of this produce belongs to themselver, which they may store up and cmploy an putting uto mothon a still greater quanity of habour. The Englash colonists
'Jue Myoratus.
have never yet contrilutul auy therg luw ards the defence of the muther country, or tom ind the support of its civil government. They themselves, on the contary, have hithorto been defended alnost entuely at the expense of the mother country. But the expense of flects and armies is out of all proportion greater than the necessary expense of civil government. The expense of therr onn civil government has always been very moderate: it has generally been confined to what was necessary for paying comptent salaries to the governor, to the ,udges, and to some other ufficers of police, and for maintaining a few of the most useful public works. The expense of the civil establishment of Massachusett's Bay, before ths commencement of the present disturbances, used to be but about 18,000 l. a year. That of New Hampshire and Rhode Istand 3500 l. each. That of Connecticut 4000 . That of New York and Pennsylvania 4500l, each. That of New Jersey 1200l. That of Virginia and Soutin Carolina 80001. each. The civil establishment of Nova Scotia and Gcongia are partly supported by an annual grant of parhament. But Nova Scotia pays, besides, about 7000l. a year towards the public expenses of the colony; and Georgia about 2500l. a year. All the different civil establishmofts in North America, in short, exclusne of hose of Maryland and North Carolina, of waich no exact acceunt has been got, did not, bufore the commencement of the present distuibances, cost the inhabitants above 64,700 . a year; an ever-memorable example at how smail an expense three millions of people may pot only be governed, but well governed The most important part of the expense of government, indeed - that of defence and protection - has constantly fallen upon the mother country. The ceremonal, too, of the civil government in the colonies, upon the reception of a new governor, upon the openng of a new assenbly, \&c, though suffictently decent, is not accompanied n ith any expenstive pomp or parade. Thetr ecelesiastical government is conducted upon a plan equally frugal. Tithes are unknown among them; and ther clergy, who are far from heing numerous, are maintaned either by moderate stipends, or by the voluntary contributions of the people. The power of Spain and Portugal, on the contrary, derives some support from the taxes levied upon ther colomes. France, indeed, has never drawn any considerable revenue from its colonies, the taxes which it levies upon them beang generally spent among them. But the colony government of all these thrce nations is conducted upon a much more expensive plan, and is accompanied with a nuch more expensive ceremonial. The sums spent upon the reception of a new viceroy of Peru, for example, have frequently
been euormous. Such ceremonials are not only real taxes paid by the rich colonists upon those particular occasions, but they serve to introduce among them the habit of vanity and expense upon all other occasions. They are not only very grievous occasional taxes, brit they contribute to establish perpetual taxes of the same kind still more grievous; the ruinous taxes of private luxury and extravagance. In the colonies of all those three nations too, the eeclesiastical government is extremely oppressive. Tithes take place in all of them, and are levied with the utmost rigour in those of Spain and Portugal. All of them besides are oppressed with a numerous race of mendicant friars, whose beggary being not only liceused, but consecrated by religion, is a most grievous tax upon the poor people, who are most carefully taught that it is a duty to give, and a very great sin to refuse them their charity. Over and above all this, the clergy are, in all of them, the greatest engrossers of land.

Fourthly, In the disposal of their surplus produce, or of what is over and above their own consumption, the English colonies have been more favoured, and have been allowed a more extensive market than those of any other European nation. Every Eumpean nation has endeavoured more or less to 'nonopolise to itself the commerce of its $\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{l}_{0}-$ nies, and, upon that account, has prohibited the ships of foreign nations from trading 10 them, and has prolibited them from importing European goods from any foreign nation. But the manner in which this monopoly has been exercised in different nations hat been very different.

Some nations have given up the whole commerce of their colonies to an exelusive company, of whom the colonists were obliged to buy all such European goods as they wanted, and to whom they were obliged to sell the whole of their own surplus produce. It was the interest of the company, therefore, not only to sell the former as dear, and to buy the latter as cheap, as possible, but to buy no more of the latter, even at this low price, than what they could dispose of for a very high price in Europe. It was their interest not only to degrade in all cases the value of the surplus produce of the colony, but in many cases to discourage and keep down the natural increase of its quantity. Of all the expedients that can well be contrived to stunt the natural growth of a new colony, that of an exclusive company is undoubtedly the most effectual. This, however, has been the policy of Holland, though their company, in the course of the present century, has given up in many respects the exertion of their exclusive privilege. This, too, was the policy of Denmark till the reign of the late king. It has occasionally been the
policy of France ; and of late, since 1755, after it had been abandoned by all other nations, on account of its absurdaty, it has become the policy of Portugal, with regard at least to two of the principal provinces of Brazil, Fernambuco and Marannon.

Other uations, without establishing an exclusive company, have confined the whole commerce of their colonies to a particular port of the mother country, from whence no ship was allowed to sail, but either in a flet and at a particular season, or, if sinple, in consequence of a particular license, which in most cases was very well paid for. Thrs policy opeued, indeed, the trade of the colonies to all the natives of the mother conntry, provided they traded from the proper port, at the proper season, and in the proper vessels. But as all the different merchants, who joined their stocks in order to fit out those licensed vessels, would find it for therr interest to act in concert, the trade which was carried on in this manner would necessarily be conducted very nearly upon the same principles as that of an exclusive company. The profit of those merchants would be almost equally exorbitant and oppressive. The colonien would be ill supplied, and would be obliged both to buy very dear, and to sell very cheap. This, however, till withn these few years, had always been the policy of Spain, and the price of all European goods, accordingly, is said to have been enormous in the Spanish West Indies. At Cuito, we are told by Ulloa, a pound of iron sold for about four and sixpence, and a pound of steel for about six and ninepence sterling. But it is chiefly in order to purchase European goods that the colonies part with their own produce. The more, thers fore, they pay for the one, the less they really get for the other, and the dearness of the one is the same thing with the cheapness of the other. The policy of Portugal is, in this respeet, the same as the ancient policy of Spain, with regard to all its colonies, except Fernambuco and Marannon, and with regard to these it has lately edopted a still worse.

Other nations leave the trade of their colonies free to all their subjects, who $m$ ty carry it on from all the different ports of the mother country, and who have occasion for no other license than the common dispatches of the customshouse. In this case, the number and dispersed situation of the different traders renders it impossible for them to enter into any general combination, and their competition is sufficient to hinder them from making very exorbitant profits, Under so liberal a policy the colonies are enabled both to sell their own produce and to buy the goods of Europe at a reasonable price; but since the dissolution of the Plymouth company, when our colonies were but in thetr

Infaney, this has always berell the pulicy of Enghand it has geacrally too been that of Fiame, and has buen umbormly so since the dosolution of what, in England, is commonly called therr Mississippi company. The profits of the trade, therefore, which France and England cairy on with their colsutu, though no donbt somewhat higher than if the eompetition was free to all other mations, are, however, by no means exorbitait, and the price of European goods accordingly is not extravagantly high in the preater part of the colomes of either of those nations.
In the exportation of their own surplus produce, too, it is only with regard to certun commoditics that the colonies of Great Butain are confined to the market of the mother country. Those commodities having lwen cmumerated in the act of navigation and in some other subsequent acts, have upon that account been called enumprated commoditis's the rest are called non-enumewhid; and may be exported directly to other countules, provided it is in British or Plantation shups, of which the owners and threetourths of the mariners are British subjects.
A mong the non-enumerated commodities are some of the most important productions of Amertca and the West Indes: grain of .ll sorts, lumber, salt provisions, fish, sugar, and rum.

Gran is naturally the first and principal object of the culture of all new colontes. By allowing them a very extensive market for it, the law encourages them to extend this culture much beyond the consumption of a thinly inhabited country, and thus to provide beforthand an ample subsistence for a contmually inereasmg population.

In a country qute covered with wood, whers timber consequently is of little or no valur, the expense of clearng the ground st the prinerpal obstacle to improvement. By allowng the colomes a very extensive market for their lumber, the law endeavours to fachitate improvement by rasing the price of a commodity which would otherwise be of intle value, and thereby enabling them to mate some profit of what would otherwise be mere expense.

In a country neither half-peopled nor half-cultuvated, cattle naturally multaply beyond the consumption of the inhabitants, and are often upon that account of little or no value But it is necessary, it has already been shown, that the price of cattle should bear a certam proportion to that of conn, betore the greater part of the lands of any countiy can be mproved. By allowing to Amerman cattle, in all shapes, dead and alive, a very extensive marhet, the haw endeavours to ratse the value of a commoaity of whih the high pice is so very evenuhl to improvement The good effects
of thas hberty, however, must be sobewnab dimionshed by the 4th of George III, c. 1s which puts hides and shins among the enumeated commodities, and thereby tends to reduce the value of American cattle.
To increase the shipping and naval power of Great Britan, by the exteusion of the fisheries of our colones, is an object which the legistature scems to have had almost constantly in view. Those fishenes, upon this account, have had all the encouragement which freedom can give them, and they have flourished accordingly The New England fishery in particular was, before the late disturbances, one of the most important, perhaps, in the world. The whale-fishery which, notwithstandung an extravagant bounty, is in Great Britam carried on to so little purpose, that in the opinon of many people (which I do not, however, pretend to warrant) the whole produce does not much exceed the value of the bounties which are amnually paid for it, is in New England carried on without any bounty to a very great extent. Fish is one of the principal articles with which the North Americans trade to Spain, Portugal, and the Medterranean.
Sugar was originally an enumerated commodity which could be exported only to Great Britain. But in 1731, upon a represehtation of the sugar-planters, its exportatibn was permitted to all parts of the world. Ille restrictions, however, with which this hibirty was granted, joined to the high priciz of sugar in Great Britain, have renderec it in a great measure ineffiectual. Great. Britain and her culontes still continue to be almost the sole market for all the sugar produced in the British plantathons. Their consumption increases so fa-t, that though, in consequence of the mirensung improvement of Jamaica, as well as of the ceded islands, the importation of sugar has increased very greatly withn these twenty years, the exportation to foreigo comatries is said to be not much greater than before.

Rum is a very important article in the trade whin b the Americans carry on to the coast of Africa, from which they brung back negro slaves in return.

If the whole surplus produce of Averica in gran of all sorts, in salt provisions, and in fish, had been put into the enumeration. and thereby forced into the market of Great Eritain, it would have interfered too much with the produce of the industry of our own people. It was probably not so much from any regard to the interest of America, as from a jealousy of thas interference, that those mportant commoditues have not only been kept out of the enumer ition, but that the impoitution nato Great Britain of all grinn, execpt rice, and of salt provisoms, $S$
has, in the ordinary state of the law, been pronibited.

The non-enumerated commodities could originally be exported to all parts of the world. Lumber and rice, having been put into the enumeration, when they were afterwards taken out of it, were confined, as to the European market, to the countries that he south of Cape Finisterre. By the 6th of George III. c. 52. all non-enumerated comnodities were subjected to the like restriction. The parts of Europe which lie south of Cape Finisterre, are not manufacturing countries, and we were less jealous of the colony ships carrying home from them any manufactures which could interfere with our own.

The enumerated sommodities are of two sorts: first, such as are either the peculiar produce of America, or as cannot be produced, or at least are not produced, in the mother country. Of this kind are, molasses, coffee, cacao-nuts, tobacco, pimento, ginger, whale-fins, raw silk, cotton-wool, beaver, and other peltry of America, indigo, fustic, and other dyeing woods; secondly, such as are not the peculiar prod'ice of America, but which are and may be produced in the mother country, though not in such quantities as to supply the greater part of her demand, which is princip lly supplied from foreign countries. Of this kind are a'l naval stores, masts, yards, and bowsprits, tar, pitch, and turpentine, , in and bar iron, copper ore, hides and skins, pot and pearl ashes. The largest importa. tion of commodities of the first kind "sould not discourage the growth or interfere with the sale of any part of the produce of the mother country. By confining them to the home market, our merchants, it was expected, would not only be enabled to buy them cheaper in the Plantations, and consequently to sell them with a better profit at home, but to establish between the Plantations and foreign countries an advantageous carrying trade, of which Great Britain was necessarily to be the centre or emporium, as the European country into which those commodities were first to be imported. The importation of commodities of the second kind might be so managed too, it was supposed, as to interfere, not with the sale of those of the same kind which were produced at home, but with that of those which were imported from foreign countries; because, by means of proper duties, they might be rendered always somewhat dearer than the former, and yet a good deal cheaper than the latter. By confining such commodities to the bome market, therefore, it was proposed to discourage the produce, not of Great Britain, but of some foreign countries with which the balance of trade
was believed to be unfavourable to Gnat Britain.

The prohibition of exporting from the colc nies, to any other country but Greal Britasn, masts, yards, and bowsprits. tar, pitch, ani turpentine, naturally tended to lower the price of timber in the colonits, and consequently to increase the expense of clearing their lands, the principal obstacle to theis improvement. But about the beginning of the present century, in 1703, the pitch and tar company of Sweden endeavoured to raive the price of their commodities to Griat Britain, by prohibiting their exportation, except in their own ships, at their own price, and in such quantities as they thoughs proper. In order to counteract this notahle piece of mercantile policy, and to render herself as much as possible independent, not only of Sweden, but of all the other northern powers, Great Britain gave a bounty upon the importation of naval stores from America; and the effect of this bounty was to raise the price of timber in A merica, much more than the confinement to the bome market could lower it; and as both regulations were enacted at the same tirne, their joint effect was rather to encourage than to discourage the clearing of land in America.

Though pig and bar irou too have been put among the enumerated commodities, yet as, when imported from America, they are G.empted from considerable duties to which they are subject when imported from any other country, the one part of the regulation contributes more to encourage the erection of furnaces in America, and the other to dicourage it. There is nomanufacture which occasions so great a consumption of wood as a furnace, or which can contribute so much to the clearing of a country orergrown with it.

The tendency of some of these regulations to raise the value of timber in America, and thereby to facilitate the clearing of the land, was neither, perhaps, intended nor understood by the legislature. Though their beneficual effects, however, have been in ths respect accidental, they have not upon that account been less real.

The most perfect freedom of trade is permitted between the British colonies of America and the West Indies, both in the enumerated and in the non-enumerated commodities. Those colonies are now become so populous and thriving, that each of then finds in some of the others a great and extensive market for every part of ite produce. All of them taken together, they make a great internal market for the produce of one another.

The liberality of England, bowever, wwards the trade of her colonics has been confined chiefly to what concerns the market firt
their produce, wither in the rude state, or in what may be called the very first stage of manufactures. The more advanced or more relined manufuctures even of the colony produce, the merehants and manufacturers of Great Britain choose to reserve to themgelves, and have prevailed upon the legislature to prevent their establinhment in the colonics, sometunes by high dutues, and sometimes by abwhute prohabitions.

While, for example, Muskovado sugars from the Brainh plantations pay upon importation only $6.4 d$. the hundred weight, white kugars pay 1l. 1s. 1d., and refined, wher double or suggle, in loaves 4l. 2s. 5\% ${ }^{6}$ d. When those high duties were Imposed, Great Britan was the sole, and she still contmues to be the princupal market to which the sugars of the Britush colonies could be exported. They amounted, therefore, to a prohubition, at first of claying or refining sugat for any foreign market, and at present of claying or refing it for the market, which tukes off, perhaps, more than nine-tenths of the whole produce. The manufacture of clavine or refinng sugar accordingly, though it has Hourashed in all the sugar colonies of Iiance, has been little cultrvated in any of those of England, except for the market of the colonies themselves. While Grenada was in the hands of the French, there was a refinery of sugar, by claying at least, upon almost every plantation. Since it fell into those of the Einghish, alnost all works of thas hind have been given up, and there are at mesent, Oetober 177s, 1 am assured, not alove tuo or three remanning in the sland. At present, however, by an indulgence of the cuntorn-honse, clayed or retned sugar, of reduced from loaves into powder, is comminty mported as Mushovado.

While Groat Bitun encourages in Amereca the manufactures of mg and bar iron, by exempting them from dutues to which the like commodities are subject when imported from any other country, she imposes an absolute prohibition upon the erection of steel firnaces and sht-mills in any of ber American plantations. She would not suffer her colomints to work in those more refued manufactures even for therr own consumption; but masists upon their purchasing of her merchants and manntacturers all goods of this hind whech they have occasion tor.

She prohbits the exportation from onc province to another by water, and even the enrratge by land upon horseback or in a cart, of tats, of wouls and woollen goods, of the produce of America; a regulation which effertually prevents the establishment of any manufucture of such commodities for distant sale, and confines the industry of her colonists in this way to such coarse and household manufuctures, as a priste famly com-
monly mahes for its own use, or for that of some of ats neighbours in the kame provance

To prohibit a great people, however, from making all that they can of every part of ther own produce, or from employing ther stock and industry in the way that they judge most edvantageous to themselves, is a manifest violation of the most sarred rights of mankind Unjust, however, as such prohibtions may be, they have not hitherto been very hurtul to the colonies. Land is still so cheap, and, consequently, labour so dear among them, that they can import from the mother country almost all the more refined or more advanced manufactures cheapur than they could make them for themselves Though they had not, therefore, been prohıbited from establishing such manufactures, yet in their present state of improvement, a regard to their own interest would, probably, have prevented them from doing so. In their prese:t state of improvement, those prohibitions, perhaps, without cramping their industry, or restraining it from any employment to which it would have gone of its own accord, are only impertinent badges of slavery imposed upon them, without any sufficient reason, by the groundless jealousy of the merchants and manufacturers of the mother country. In a more advanced state the $y$ might be really oppressive and insupportable.
(Great Britain too, as she confines to her own marhet some of the most important produitions of the colonies, so in compensation she gives to some of them an adrantage in that market ; fometimes by imposing higher duties upon the like productions when m ported from other countries, and sometimes by giving bounties upon their importation from the colonies. In the first way she gives an advantage in the home market to the sugar, tolnacco, and rom of her own colonies, and in the second to their raw silk, to their hemp and flax. to their indigo, to them naval stores, and to their bulding-tumber. Tins second way of encouraging the colony produce by bounties upon impertation, is, so far as I have been able to learn, peculiar to Great Britain: the first is not Portugal does not content herself with imposing higher duties upon the importation of tubacco from any other country, but prohbits it under the severest penaltres.

With regard to the importation of goods from Europe, England has Iikewise dealt more hiberally with her colomes than any other nation

Great Britain allows a part, almost always the half, generally a larger portion, and sometunes the whole of the duty which is pard upon the importation of forengn goods, to be drawn back upon their exportation to any foreign country, No independent foremgn
country, it was easy to foresee, would rereive them if they came to it loaded with the heavy duties to which almost all foreign goods are subjected on their importation into Great Britain. Unless, therefore, some part of those duties was drawn back upon exportation, there was an end of the carrying trade; a trade so much favoured by the mercantile system.

Our colonies, however, are by no means independent foreign countries; and Great Britain having assumed to herself the exclusive right of supplying them with all groods from Europe, might have forced them (in the same manner as other countries have done heir colonies) to receive such goods, loaded with all the same duties which they paid in the mother country. But, on the contrary, till 1763, the same drawbacks were paid upon the exportation of the greater part of foreign goods to our colonies as to any independent foreign country. In 1763, indeed, by the 4th of Geo. III. c: 15. this indulgence was a good deal abated, and it was enacted, "That no part of the duty culled the old subsidy could be drawn back for any goods of the growth, production, or manufacture of Europe or the East Indies, which should be exported from this kingdom to any British colony or plantation in America; wines, white calicoes, and muslins ${ }^{2}$ excepted." Before this law, many differant sorts of foreign goods might have be\&n bought cheaper in the plantations than in the mother country; and some may still.

Of the greater part of the regulations fioncerning the colony trade, the merchants who carry it on, it must be observed, have been the principal advisers. We must not wonder, therefore, if, in the greater part of them, their interest has been more considered than either that of the colonies or that of the mother country. In their exclusive privilege of supplying the colonies with all the goods which they wanted from Europe, and of purchasing all such parts of their surplus produce as could not interfere with any of the trades which they themselves carried on at home, the interest of the colonies was sacrificed to the interest of those merchants. In allowing the same drawbacks upon the re-exportation of the greater part of Europeas and East India goods to the colonies, as upon their re-exportation to any independent country, the interest of the mother country was sacrificed to it, even according to the mercantile ideas of that interest. It was for the interest of the merchants to pay as little as possible for the foreign goods which they sent to the colonies, and, consequently, to get back as much as possible of the duties which they advanced upon their importation into Great Britain. They might thereby be enabled to sell in the colonies, either the same quantity of goods with a groater pronft, or a greater
quantity with the sane profi, and, consequently, to gain something either in the one way wi the other. It was, likewise, for the interest of tie colonies to get all such goods as cheap and in as great abundince as possible; but this might not always be for the interest of the mother rountry. She might frequently suffer both in her revenue. by giving back a great part of the duties which had been paid upon the importation of such goods; and in her manufactures, by being undersold in the colony market, in consequence of the easy terms upon which foreign manufactures could be carried thither by means of those drawbacks. The progress of the linen manufacture of Great Britain, it is commonly said, has been a good deal retarded by the drawbacks upon the reexportation of German linen to the American colonies.

But though the policy of Great Britain with regard to the trade of her colonies has been dictated by the same mercantile spirit as that of other nations, it has, however, upon the whole, been less illiberal and oppressive than that of any of them.

In every thing, except their foreign trade, the liberty of the English colonsts to manage their own affairs their own way is complete. It is in every respect equal to that of their fellow-citizens at home, and is secured in the same manner by an assembly of the representatives of the people, who claim the sole right of imposing taxes for the support of the colony government. The authority of this assembly overawes the executive power, and neither the meancst nor the most obnoxious colonist, as long as he obeys the law, has any thing to fear from the resentment, either of the governor or of any other civil or military officer in the province. The colony assemblies, though, like the House of Commons in England, they are not always a very equal representation of the people, yet they approach more nearly to that character; and as the executive power either has not the means to corrupt them, or, on account of the support which it receives from the mother country, is not under the necessity of doing so, they are perhaps in general more influenced by the inclinations of their constituents. The councils, wheh, in the colony legislatures, correspond to the House of Lords in Great Britain, are not composed of an hereditary nolility. In some of the colonies, as in three of the governments of New England, those conuculs are not appointed by the king, but chosen, by the representatives of the people. In none of the English colonies is there any bereditary nobility, In all of them, indeed as in all other free countries, the descendant of an old colony family is more reapected than an upstart of equal merit and fortune : but he is only more respected. and he has
no privileges by which he can be troublesome to his nephbours. Before the commencement of the present disturbances, the colouy assembhes had not only the Iegrslative but a part of the executive power. In Conneclicut and Rhode lsland they elected the governor. In the other colonies they appointed the reverue officers who collected the tax ss imponed by those reypective assembher, ta whom those effecrs were immedtatoly responable. There is more equality, therefore, annong the English colonists than among the inhalitants of the mother country. Their manners are more republican, and their governments, those of three of the prownees of New England in particular, have hitherto been more republican too.
The alsolute governments of Spain, Portugil, and France, on the contrary, take place in their colontes; and the discretionary powers which such governments commonly delegate to all their imferior officers are, on account of the great distance, naturally exerched there with more than ordinary volence. Under all absolute governments there is

- more buerty in the capstal than in any other part of the country. The sovereign himself can never have either interest or melination to pervert the order of justice, or to oppress the great body of the people. In the capital his presence overawes more or less all lis inferior officers, who an the remoter provinces, from whence the complants of the poople are less likely to reach him, can exerche their tyranny with much more safety. But the European colonies in America are more remote than the most distant provinces of the greatest empures which had ever been hnown before. The government of the Englash colonies is perhaps the only one which, once the world began, could give perfect security to the inhabitants of so very distant a prounce. The adininstration of the French chonnes, however, has always been conducted with more gentieness and moderation than that of the Spansh and Portuguese. This superionty of conduct is suitable both to the character of the French nation and to what forms the character of every nation - the nature of their government, which, though arbitrary and violent in comparison with that of Great Britan, is legal and free in comparison with those of Spain and Portugal.

It is in the progress of the North American colonies, however, that the superiosity of the Englinh polncy chuelly appears. The progress of the sugar colonies of France has been at least equal, perhaps superior, to that of the greater part of those of England; and yet the sugar colonies of England enjoy a fice govermatent nearly of the ame kind with that which takes plee in her colonies of North America. But the sugar coloness of Fiance are not discouraged, like those of

England. from refining their oun sugat, and, what is of still greater importance, the genius of their government naturally introduces a better management of thenr negro slaves.

In all European colonies the culture of the sugar cane is carried on by negro slaves. The constitution of those who have been born in the temperate climate of Europe could not, it is supposed, support the labour of digging the ground under the burning sun of the West Indies; and the culture of the sugar cane, as it is managed at present, is all hand labour, though, in the opimion of many, the drill plough might be introduced into it with great advantage. But as the profit and success of the cultuvation which is carried on by means of cattle depend very much upon the good management of those cattle, so the profit and success of that which is carried on by slaves must depend equally upon the good management of those slaves; and-in the good management of their slaves che French planters, I think it is generaily allowed, are superior to the English. The law, so far as it gives some weak protyction to the slave against the violence of his master, is likely to be better executed in a colony where the government is in a great measure arbitrary, than in one where it is altogether free. In every country where the unfortunate law of slavery is established, the magistrate, when be protects the slave, intprmeddles in some measure in the management of the private property of the master; and, in a free country, where the master is perhaps either a member of the colony assembly, or an elector of such a member, he dare not do this but with the greatest caution and circumspection The respect which he 15 obliged to pay to the master, renders it more difhcult for him to protect the slave. But in a country where the government is in a great measure arbitrary, where it is usual for the magistrate to intermeddle esen in the management of the private property of individuals, and to send them, perhaps, a lettre de cachet if they do not manage it according to his liking, it is much caster fur him to give some protection to the slave. and common humanity naturally dispoces him to do so. The protection of the magistrates renders the slave less contemptible in the eyes of his master, who is thercly induced to consider him with more regard, and to treat bim with more gentleness. Gentle usage renders the slave not only more fathful but more intelligent, and therefore, upon a double account, more useful. He approaches more to the condition of a free servant, and may possess some degrec of integrity and attuchment to his master's interest, virtues $u$ hich frequently belong to free servants, but which never can belong to a shave, who is treated as slaves commonly are
in countries where the master is perfectly free and secure.

That the condition of a slave is better under an arbitrary than under a free government, is I believe, supported by the bistory of all ages and nations. In the Roman history, the first time we read of the magistrate interposing to protect the slave from the violence of his master, is under the emperors. When Vedius Pollio, in the presence of Augustus, ordered one of his slaves, who had committed a slight fault, to be cut into pieces and thrown into his fish pond in order to feed his fishes, the emperor commanded him with indignation, to emancipate imroediately not only that slave, but all the others that belonged to him. Under the republic no magistrate could have had authority enough to protect the slave, much less to punish the master.

The stock, it is to be observed, which bas improved the sugar colonies of France, particularly the great colony of St. Domingo, has been raised almost entirely from the gradual improvement and cultivation of those colonies. It has been almost altogether the produce of the soil and of the industry of the colonists, or, what comes \& same thing, the price of that produce gradually accumulated by good management, and employed in raising a still greater produce. But the stock which has impro'red and cultivated the sugar colonies of England has, a great part of it, been sent out froys England, and has by no means been altogo. ther the produce of the soil and industry of the colonists. The prosperity of the English sugar colonies has been, in a great measure, owing to the great riches of Eingland, of which a part has overflowed, if one may say so, upon those colonies; but the prosperity of the sugar colonies of Erance has been entirely owing to the good conduct of the colonists, which must therefore have had some superiority over that of the English, and this superiority has been remarked in nothing so much as in the good management of their slaves.

Such have been the general outines of the policy of the different European nations with regard to their colonies.

The policy of Europe, therefore has very little to boast of, either in the original establishment, or, so far as concerns their interna' government, in the subsequent prosperity of the colonies of America.

Folly and injustice seem to have been the principles which presided over and directed the first project of establishing those colonies; the folly of hunting after gold and silver mines, and the injustice of coveting the possession of a country whose harmless natives, far from having ever injured the people of Europe, had received the first adventurers with every mark of kindness and hosvitality.

The adventurers, indeed, who formed some of the later establashments, joined to the chimerical project of findoge gold and sulver mines other motives more reasonable and more laudable; but even these motives du very little honour to the policy of Europs.

The English puritans, restrained at horne, fled for freedom to America, and establinhed thete the four governments of New England. The English catholics, treated with much greater injustice, established that of Maryland; the Quakers, that of Pennsylvania. The Portuguese Jews, persecuted by the inquisition, strnpt of their fortunes, and banished to Brazil, introduced, by their example, some sort of order and industry among the transported felons and strumpets, by whom that colony was originally peopled and taught them the culture of the sugar-cane. Upon all these different occasions it was, not the wisdom and policy, but the disorder and injustice of the Eurnpean governments, which peopled and cultivated America.

In effectuating some of the most important of these establishments, the different governments of Europe had as litile merri as in projecting them. The conquest of Mexico was the project, not of the council of Spain, but of a governor of Cuba; and it was effectuated by the spirit of the bold adventurer to whom it was intrusted, in spite of every thing which that governor, who soon repented of having trusted such a person, could do to thwart it. The conquerors of Chili and Peru, and of almost all the other Spanish settlements upon the continent of America, carried out with them no other public encouragement, but a general permission to rake settlements and conquests in the name of the king of Spain. Those adventures were all at the private risk and expense of the adventurers. The government of Spain contributed scarce any thing to any of thpm. That of England contributed as little towards effectuating the establishment of some of its most important colonies in North America.

When those establishments were effectuated, and had become so considerable as to sttract the attention of the mother country, the first regulations which she made with regard to them had always in view to secure to herself the monopoly of their commerce; to confine their market, and to enlarge her own at their expense, and, consequently, rather to damp and discourage than to quicken and forward the course of their prosperity. In the different ways in which this monopoly has been exercised consists one of the most essential differences in the policy of the different European nations with regard to their colonies. The bext of them all, that of England, is ouly somewhat less illiberal and oppressive than that of any of the rest.

In what way, therefore, has the policy of Europe contributed either to the first estabInshment, or to the present grandeur of the colonter of America? In one way, and in one way only, it has contributed a good deal. Magna wrum Mater 1 It bred and formed the men whow wre enpable of achieving such great ations, and of laying the fuundation of so great an empire; and there is no other quarter of the world of which the policy is copabie of forming, or has ever actually and in fact formed such men. The colonies owe to the policy of Europe the education and great views of their active and enterprising founders; and some of the greatest and most important of them, so far as concerns their miternal government, owe to it scarce any thing else.

## Part III.

Uf the Advantages which Europe has derived fiom the Discovery of America, and from that of a Pussage to the East Indies by the Cape of Good Mupe.

Such are the advantages which the colonies of Aurerica have derived from the policy of Europe.
What are thuse which Europe has derived fiom the discovery and colonisation of Amelica?
Those advantages may be divided, first, into the general advantuges which Europe, consadered as one great country, has derived fiom those great eients; and, secondly, into the particular advantages which each colousing country has denved fiom the colonies which particularly belong to it, in consequence of the authority or dommson which it exerenses over them.

The general advantages which Europe, coundered as one great country, bas derived from the discovery and colonsation of America, consist, firt, in the increase of its enWe ments; and, secondly, in the augmentation of it industry.

The surplus produce of America, imported into Europe, furmshes the inhabitants of thes great coutunent with a varety of tommodities which they could not otherwise lhate possessed; some for conveniency and use, some for pleasure, and some for ornament, and thereby contributes to increase their enjoyments.

The discovery and colonisation of America, It will readily be allowed, have contributed to augment the industry, first, of all the combrres which tiade to it dureetly; such as Span, Portugal, France, and England; and, secondly, of wll those which, without traing to it direetly, send, though the medrum of other countrees goods to it of their oun produce; such as Austrian Fhanders,
and seme provinces of Germany, which, through the medium of the countries before mentioned, send to it a considerable quantity of linen and other goods. All such countries have evidently gamed a more extensive market for their surplus produce, and mast consequently have been encoura ed to increace its c,uantity.

But, that those great events should hikewise have contributed to encourage the industry of countries, such as Hungary and Poland, which may never, perhaps, have sent a single commodity of their own produce to America, is not, perhaps, altogether so evident. That those events have done so, however, cannot be doubted. Some part of the produce of America is consumed in Hungary and Poland, and there is some demand there for the sugar, chocolate, and tobacco, of that new quarter of the world. But those commodities must be purchased with something which is etther the pruduce of the industry of Hungary and Poland, or with something which bad been purchased with come part of that produce. Those commbdities of America are new values, new 'equivalents, introduced into Hungary and Poland to be exchanged there for the surplus produce of those countries. By being carried thither, they create a new and more extensive market for that surplus prodrece. They raise its value, and thereby contribute to encouraze its increase. Though no part of it may ever be carned to America, it may be carried to other countries wheh purchase it with a part of therr share of the strplus produce of America; and it may find a manket by means of the circulation of that trade which was origiually put into motion by the surplus produce of Amenca.

Those great events may even have contributed to increase the enjoyments, and to augment the industry of countries wheh not only never sent any commodities to Amenca, but never received any from it. Even such countres may have received a greater abundance of other commodities from countries of which the surplus produce had been augmented by means of the American trade. This grater abundance, as it must necessarily hare increased therr enjogments, so it must likew ise have augmented ther industry. A greater number of new equivalents of some kind or other must have been prescnted to them to be exchanged for the surplus produce of that industry. A more extensive market must have been created for that surplus produce, so us to raise its value, and thereby enceurage its incrsase. The mass of commodities annually thrown mio the great carcle of European commerce, and by its various revolutions annually distmbuted among all the duferent uations comprehended wrthm it, must have been augmented
by the whole surplus produce of America. A greater share of this greater mass, fherefore, is likely to have fallen to each of those nations, to have increased their enjoyments, and augmented their industry.

The exclusive trade of the mother countries tends to diminish, or, at least, to keep down below what they would otherwise rise to, both the enjoyments and industry of all those nations in general, and of the American colonies in particular. It is a dead weight upon the action of one of the great springs which puts into motion a great part of the busmess of mankind. By rendering the colony produce dearer in all other countries, it lessens its consumption, and thereby cramps the industry of the colonies, and both the enjoyments and the industry of all other countries, which both enjoy less when they pay more for what tipy enjoy, and produce less when they get less for what they produce. By rendering the produce of all other countries dearer in the colonies, it cramps, in the same manner, the indurtry of all other countries, and both the enjoy nents

- and the industry of the colonies. In is a clog which, for the supposed benefit of sjome paricular countries, embarrasses the pieasures, and encumbers the industry of all other countries; but of the colonies more than of any other. It not only excludes, as much as possible, all other countries from one particular market, but it confines, as much as possible, the colonies to one particular market: and the difference is very great between being excluded from one particular market, when all others are open, and being confined to one particular met when all others are shut up. The surplus produce of the colonies, however, is the original source of all that increase of enjoyments and industry which Eurepe derives from the discovery and colonisation of America; and the exclusive tradr of the mother countries tends to render this source much less abundant than it otherwise would be.

The particular advantages which each colonising country derives from the colonies which particularly belong to it, are of two different kinds: first, those common advantages which every empire derives from the provinces subject to its dominion; and, sesondly, those peculiar advantages which are supposed to result from provinces of so very peculiar a nature as the European colonies of America.

The common advantages which every empire derives from the provinces subject to its dominion consist, first, in the military force which they furnish for its defence; and, secondly, in the revenue which they furnish for the support of its civil government. The Roman colonies furnished oceasionally buth the one and the other. The

Greek colonies sometimes furnished a mulitary force, but seldom any revenue. They seldom acknowledged themselves subject is the dominion of the motber city. They, were generally her allies in war, but very seldom her subjects in peace.
The European colonies of America have never yet furnished any military furce fur the defence of the mother country Their military force has never yet been sufhcient for their own defence; and in the dilferent wars in which the mother countrics have been engaged, the defence of their colonies has generally oceasioned a very considerable distraction of the military force of those countries. In this respect, therefore, all the European colonies have, without exception, been a cause rather of wrakness than of strength to their respective mother countries.

The colonies of Spain and Portugat only. have contributed any reventue towards the defence of the mother country, or the support of her civil government. The taxes which have been levied upon those of othor European netions, upon those of England in particular, have seldom been equal to the expense laid out upon them in tume of preace, and never sufficient to defray that which they occasioned in time of war. Such colonies, therefore, have been a source of expense and not of revenue to their respective mother countries.

The advantages of such colonics to their respective mother countries, consist altogether in those peculiar advantages which are supposed to result from provinces of so v ry peculiar a nature as the European colonies of America; and the exclusive trade, it is acknowledged, is the sole source of all those peculiar advantages.

In consequence of this exclusive trade, all that part of the surplus produce of the English colonies, for example, which consists in what are called enumerated commodities. can be sent to no other country but England. Other countries must afterwards buy it of her. It must be cheaper, therefore, in England than it can be in any other country, and must contribute more to increase the enjoyments of England than those of any other country: it must hewise contribute more to encourage her industry. For all those parts of her own surplus produce which England exchanges for thow enumerated commodities, she must get a better price than any other countries can get for the like parts of theirs, when thry exchange them for the same commodities. The manufactures of England, for example, will purchase a greater quantity of the sugar and tobacco of her own colonits, than the like manufactures of other countries can purchase of that sugar and tolacio. So far, therefore, at the manufacturcs of Eng-
lund and those of wher countiks are both to be exchanged for the sugar and tubacto of the Euglish colonies, this superiority of puce gives an encouragement to the former, beyond what the latter can in these circumstances enjoy. The exclusive trade of the colonues, therefore, as it diminishes, or, at least, heeps down below what they would otherwice rise to, both the enjoyments and the unduotry of the countrus whin do not possess it; so it gives an evident advantage to the countrjes which do possess it over those other countries.
Thus advantage, however, will perhaps be fuund to be rather what may be called a relative than an abolute advantage; and to give a superionty to the country which engoys it, rather by depressing the industry and produce of other countries, than by susing those of that particular country abore what they would, raturally rise to in the cure of a free trade.

The tobacco of Maryland and Virginia, fir example, by means of the monopoly a lich England enjoys of $1 t$, certainly comes cheaper to Eugland than it can do to France, to whom England commonly sells a considerable part of it. But had France, and all other European countries been at all tumes allowed a free trade to Maryland and Virginia, the tobacco of those colonies mught by this tume have come cheaper than it actually does, not only to all those other countries but likewise to England. The produce of tobacco, in consequence of a morket so much more extensive than any whel it has hitherto enjoyed, might, and probably would, by this time have been so much increased as to reduce the profits of a tobacco plantation to their natural level with those of a corn plantation, which, it is supposed, they are still somewhat above. The pice of tobacco might, and probably would, by this time have fallen somewhat lower than it is at present. An equal quantity of the coramodties either of England or of those other countries mught have purchased in Maryland and Virgina a greater quantity of tobacco than it ean do at present, and, consequemtly, have heen sold there for so much a better price. So far as that weed, therefore, can, by its cheapness and abund ance, mercase the enjoyments or augment the industry either of England or of any other country, it would probably, in the case of a free trade, have produced both these effects in somewhat a greater degree than it can do at present. England, indeed, would not in this case have had any advantage over other countries. She might have bought the tobacco of her colonies some-
I The doctrine advanecd by Dr. Emith in this chapter with respect to the supposed influence of the anonopoly of the colong trade in mereasing the rate of protit, is a consequence of has theory which makes diat mate depend on the quantity of capital, compared
what cheaper, and, consequently, have sold some of her own commodities somewhat dearer than she actually does. But she could neither have bought the one cheaper nor sold the other dearer than any other country might have done? She might, perhaps, have ganed an absolute, but she would certainly have lost a relatuve, advantage.

In order, however, to obtain this relatue advantage in the colony trade, in order to execute the invidious and malignant project of excluding as much as possible other nations from any share in it, England, there are very probable reasons for believing, has not only sacrificed a part of the absolute advantage which she, as well as every other nation, might have derived from that trade, but has subjected herself loth to an absolute and to a relative disadvantage in almost every other branch of trade.
Wheth, by the act of navigation, England assumed to herself the monopoly of the colony trade, the foreign capitals which had before been employed in it were necessarily withrirawn from it. The English capital, which had before carried on but a part of it, was now to carry on the whole. The capital which had before suppled the colonics with but a part of the goods which they wanted from Europe, was now all that was exoployed to supply them with the whole: lut it could not supply them with the whole, and the goods with which it did supply them were necessarily sold very dear. The capital which had before bought but a part of the surplus produce of the colomes, was now all that was employed to buy the whole; but it could not buy the whole at any thing near the old price, and, therefore, whatever it did buy it necessarily bought very cheap. But in an employment of capital in which the merchant sold very dear and bought very cheap, the profit must have been very great, and much alove the ordnary level of profit in other branches of trade. This superiority of profit in the colony srade could not fall to draw from other branches of trade a part of the capital which I ad before been employed in them. But this revulsion of capital, as it must have gradually increased the competition of capitals in the colony trade, su it must have gradually dminnshed that competition in all those other branches of trade; as it mus have gradually lowered the profits of th one, so it must have gradually raised tho a of the other, tull the profits of all came to a new level, different from and somew at higher than that at which they had 1 sen before. ${ }^{1}$
with the extent of the field for its employmer $t$ lu point of fact, however, the rate of procit is wholy dependent on the productiveness of industr sus undertakings, and aot on the extent to which hey ara carmed. Pronts are the excess $c^{*}$ the con moxurs

Tbis duuble effect, of drawing capital from all other trades, and of raising the rate of profit somewhat higher than it otherwise would have been in all trades, was not wrily - produced by this monopoly upon its first establishment, but has continued to be produced by it ever sinice.

Ferst, This monopoly has been continually - drawing capital from all other trades to be employed in that of the colonies.

Though the wealth of Great Britain has increased very much since the establishment of the act of navigation, it certainly has not increased in the same proportion as that of the colonies; but the foreign trade of every country naturally increases in proportion to its wealth, its surplus produce in proportion to its whole produce; and Great Hritain having engrossed to herseli almost the whole of what may be called the foreign trade of the colonies, and her capital not having increased in the same proportion as the extent of that trade, she could not carry it on without continually withdrawing from other branches of trade some part of the capital which had before been employed in them, as well as withholding from them a great deal more which would otherwise have gone to them. Since the establishment of the act of navigation, accordingly, the colony trade has been continually increasing, while many other branches of foreign trade, particularty of that to other parts of Europe, have been continually decaying. I Our manufactures for foreign sale, instead of being suited, as before the act of navigation, to the neighbouring market of Europe, or to the more distant one of the countries which lie round the Mediterranean sea, have, the greater part of them, been accommodated to the still more distant one of the colonies, to the mar. ket in which they have the monopoly, rather than to that in which they have many competitors. The causes of decay in other branches of foreign trade, which, by Sir Matthew Decker ${ }^{2}$ and other writers, have been sought for in the excess and amproper mode of taxation, in the high price of labeur, in the increase of luxury, \&ce, may, all be found in the overgrowth of the color $y$ trade. The mercantile capital of Great Britain, though very great, yet not being infinite; and though greatly increased since the act of navigation, yet not being increased in the same proportion as the colony trade, that trade could not possibly be carried on with-
out withdrawing some part of that cajrial from other branches of trade, nor consequently without some decsy of those other branches.

England, it must be observed, was a great trading country: her mercantile capital was very great, and likely to becone stiil $y$, cater and greater every day, not only before the act of navigation had established the monopoly of the colony trade, but before that trade was very considerabie. In the Dutch war, during the government of Cromwell, her navy was superior to that of Holland; and in that which broke out in the beginning of the reign of Charles II. it was at least equal, perhaps superior, to the united navies of France and Holland. Its supertority, perhaps, would scarce appear greater in the present times; at least if the Dutch navy was to bear the same proportion to the Dutch commerce now which it did then; but this great naval power could not, in either of those warg, be owing to the act of navigation. During the firse of them, the plan of that act had been but just formed; and though before the breaking out of the second it had been fully enacted by legal authority, yet no part of it could have had time to produce any considerable effect, and least of all that part which established the exclusive trade to the colonies. Both the colonies and their trade were inconsiderable then in comparison of what they are now. The island of Jamaica was an unwholesome desert, little inhabited, and less culivated. New York and New Jersey were in the pow. session of the Dutch; the half of St. Chiritophers in that of the French. The islarnd of Antigua, the two Carolinas, Pennsylsana, Georgia, and Nova Scotia, were not planted Virginia, Maryland, and New England were planted; and though they were very thriving colonies, yet there was not, perhaps, at that time, either in Europe or America, a single person who foresaw or even suspected the rapid progress which they have since made in wealth, population, and improvement. The island of Barbadoes, in short. was the only British colony of any consequence of which the condition at that time bore any xesemblance to what it is at present. The trade of the colonies, of which England, even for some time after the act of navigation, enjoyed but a part, (for the act of navigation was not very strictly executed till several years after it was enacted) could

1 It would have been well had Emith given arnue proofs of this assertion. Tine Eisay to whein he resert is acute and able; but it must at the asuue time te admitted, that the decay of foreign trade, of wish the author endesvours to assiga the camsen, bad no exstence in fact. All the branclies of our fireyu trede have been gradually increasing durivg the Lats hundred years.

2 Mr. Whitam Richardson, and not Decker, was toe authrr of the work referted to by Emath-hiticrature of Politucal Economy, 329
not at that time be the cause of the great trade of England, nor of the great naval power which was supported by that trade. The trade which at that tume supported that great naval power was the trade of Europe, and of the countries which lie round the Mediterrancan sea. Hut the share which Great Butain at present enoys of that trade could not support any such great naval power. Had the growing trade of the colomus been left free to all natsons, whatever olare of it mught have fallen to Great Britain, and a very considerable share would probably have fallen to her, must have been all an addition to thes great trade, of whels sle was before in possession. In consequence of the monopoly, the increase of the colony trade las not so much occasioned an addition to the trade which Great lritain had before, as a totsl change in its direction.

Secudly, This monopoly has necessarily - coutributed to heep up the rate of profit in all the dafferent branches of British trade higher than it naturally would have been, hat all nations been allowed a free trade to the Iratish colonies, 1

The monopoly of the colony trade, as it necessaraly drew towards that trade a greater propotion of the capital of Gieat Britan than $u$ hat would have gone to it of its own accord, so by the expulsion of all foreign capials it necessarily reduced the whole quantity of capital employed in that trade below what it naturally would have been in thr case of a free trade. But, by lessening the competition of capitals in that branch of trade, it necessarily raised the rate of protit in that brasch. By lessening too the competition of British capitals in all other branches of trade, it necessarily raised the rate of Britsh profit in all those othor branches. Whatever may have been, at any particular period, since the establishment of the act of navigation, the state or extent of the mereantile capital of Great Britain, the monopoly of the colony trade must, during the continuance of that state, have rased the ordanary rate of British profit higher than it otherwise would have been both in that and in all the other branches of Buthsh trade. If, since the establishment of the act of navigation, the ordmary rate of Britush profit has fallen considerably, as it certainly has, it must have fallen stll lower, had not the monopoly establushed by that act contributed to keep it up.

But whatever raises in any country the

[^87]ordinary rate of profit higher than it otherwise would be, necessarily subjects that country both to an absolute and to a relative disadvantage in every branch of trade ot which she has not the monopoly. ${ }^{2}$

It subycts her to an absolute disadvantage; because in such branches of tuade her merchants cannot get this greater profit, without selling dearer than they otherwise would do both the goods of foreign coun. tries whach they import into their own, and the goods of their own country which they export to foregn countries. Their own country must both buy dearer and sell dearer ; must both buy less, and sell less; must both enjoy less and produce less, than she otherwise vould do. 3

It subjeets her to a relative disadvantage; bccause in such branches of trade it sets other countries which are not subject to the same absolute disadvantage, either more above fer or less below her than they otherwise would be. It enables them both to enjoy more and to produce more in proportion to what she enjoys and produces. It renders their superiority greater or their inforoaity less than it otherwise would be. By raisng the price of her prodı ce above what it gtherwise would be, it enables the merchants of other countries to undersell her in foreign markets, and thereby to justle her cht of almost all those branches of trade, of which she has not the monopoly.

Our merchants frequently complain of the high wages of British labour as the cause of their manufactures being undersold in forengn makets; but they are silent about the high profits of stock. They complain of the extravagant gan of other people; but they say nothnge of their own. The high profits of British stock, however, may contribute to wards raising the price of British manufactures in many cases as much, and in some perhaps more, than the bigh wages of British labour. ${ }^{4}$

It is in this manner that the capital of Great Britain, one may justly say, has partly deen drawn and partly been driven from tise greater part of the different branches of trade of which she has not the monopoly; from the trade of Europe in particular, and from that of the countiles which lie round the Mediterranean sea.

It has partly been drawn from those branches of trade, by the attraction of superoor profit in the colony trade in consequence of the continual increase of that trade, and
neous. See supplemental note on the Effect of Fluctuations in the fiates of $\mathbf{W}$ ages and Protits on the Price of Commoditres

- A rise of pront occasions a rise in the price of some sorts of produce; but it occasions an equal fall in the price of other sorts, and, generally spuching, thirefore, ita effect may be said to be null. juy refereuce in the lotegong note.
of the continual insufficiency of the capital which had carried it on one year to carry it on the next.

It has partly been driven from them, by the advantage which the high rate of profit, established in Great Britain, gives to other countries, in all the different branches of trade of which Great Britain has not the monopoly. ${ }^{1}$

As the monopoly of the colony trade has drawn from those other branches a part of the British capital which would otherwise have been employed in them, so it has forced into them many foreign capitals which would never have gone to them, had they not been expelked from the colony trade. In those other branches of trade it has diminished the competition of British capitals, and thereby raised the rate of British profit higher than it otherwise would have been. On the contrary, it has increased the competition of foreign capitals, and thereby sunk the rate of foreign profit lower than it otherwise would bave been. Both in the one way and in the other it must evidently have subjected Great Britain to a relative disadvantage in all those other branches of trade.

The colony trade, however, it may perhaps be said, is more advantageous to Great Britain than any other; and the monopoly, by forcing into that trade a greater proportion of the capital of Great Britain than what would otherwise have gone to it, ha; turned that capital into an employment more advantageous to the country than any other which it could have found.

The most advantageous employment of any capital to the country to which it belongs is that which maintains there the greatest quantity of productive labour, and increases the most the annual produce of the land and labour of that country. But the quantity of productive labour which any capital employed in the foreign trade of consumption can maintain, is exactly in proportion, it has been shown in the second book, to the frequency of its returns. A capital of a thousand pounds, for example, employed in a foreign trade of consumption, of which the returns are made regularly once in the year, can keep in constant employment, in the country to which it belongs, a quantity of productive labour equal to what a thousand pounds can maintain there for a year. If the returns are made twice or thrice in the year, it can keep in constant employment a quantity of productive labour equal to what two or three thousand pounds can maintain there for a year. 2 A foreign trade of consumption

[^88]carried on with a netghbouring, is, upon this account, in general, more advantageous than one carried on with a distant country ; and for the same reason a direct foreign trade of censumption, as it has likewise been shown in the second look, is in general more advantageous than a roundabout one.

But the monopoly of the colony trade, so far as it has operated upon the employment of the capital of Great Britain, has in all cases forced some part of it from a foreign trade of consumption earried on with a neighbouring, to one carried on with a more distant country, and in many cases from a direct foreign trade of consumption to a roundabout one.

First, The monopoly of the colony trade has in all cases forced some part of the capital of Great Britain from a foreign trade of consumption carried on with a neighbourng to one carried on with a more distant country.

It has, in all cases, furced some part of that capital from the trade with Europe, and with the countries which lie round the Medterranean sea, to that with the more distant regions of America and the West Indies, from which the returus are necessarily less frequent, not only on account of the greater distance, but on account of the peculhar circumstances of those countries. New colonies, it bas already been observed, are always understocked. Their capital is always much less than what they could employ with great profit and advantage in the improvement and cultivation of their land. They have a constant demand, therefore,for more capital than they have of their own; and, in order to supply the deficiency of their owth, thicy endeavour to borrow as much as they can of the mother country, to whom they are, therefore, always in debt. The most common way in which the colonists contract this debt, is not by borrowing upon bond of the rich people of the mother country, though they sometimes do this too, but by running as much in arrear to their correspondents, who supply them with goods from Europe, as those correspondents will allow them. Their annual returns frequently do not amount to more than a third, and sometimes not to so great a proportion of what they owe. The whole capital, thercfore, which their correspondents advance to them is seldom returned te Britain in less than three, and sometimes not in less than four or five years. But a British capital of a thousand younds, for example, which is returned to Great Britann only once in five years, can keep in constant
trine (ante, p. 159). It is by the fate of nott proft which capital ylelds, that the sdiantageounnest of the pmployments in whish it is vested, is to tue determined; and if ot shoutd be returned three of four times a year when employed in the hrme trate, and only once when emplogid in foreign trade, this singte retura will be equat to the whole anoumt of the others.
etifilus ment only one fifth part of the British madustry wheh th eould mantain if the whole was returned once th the year, and, instad of the quintity of indurtry a luch a thousand pounds could maintan for a year, can keep in comiant cmployment the quantity only u bich two humlred pounds can mantain for " vear.' The planter, no doubt, by the high price wheh be pays for the goods from Larepe, by the intertst upon the bills which hi, gatats at dutant dater, and by the commantun upon the rencwal of those whech te ghats at near ditic, makey up, and prubably nor. than makes up, all the lose which his corre-pondent can sutan by thes delay. But, thumbi he may mate up the loss of his corFonpondent, he camot make up that of Great buman In a tade of which the returns are verv distant, the profit of the merchant mus be as great or greater than in one in whilithey ate very frequent and near; but the advantage of the country in which he lendes, the quantity of productive labour constantly mantamed there, the annual produce of the land and labour, must always be muth lesc. That the returns of the trade to America, and stull more those of that to the Went Indhes, are, in general, not only more distant, but nore irregular, and more unccrtan too, than those of the trade to any part of larope, or even of the countries whels lie round the Mediterranean sea, will readily be allowed, I imagine, by every body, Who has any experience of those different branches of trade.

Stundly, The monopoly of the colony thale has, in many cases, forced some part of the capital of Great Britain from a direct foregn tide of consumption, into a roundhirout ope.
Among the enumerated commodities which can be sent to no other market but Great Dritun, there are several of which the quantuty excecds very much the consumption of Gre it lbritaun, and of which a part, therefore, nust be exported to other countries. But this canot he done without foreng some part of the capital of Great Britain into a roundabout foreign trade of consumption. Mary land and Virgina, for example, send anmually to Great Brituin upwards of ninetysix thousund hoorheads of tobacco, and the consumption of Great Britain is said not to cyeced fourteen thousand. Upwards of eurhty-two thousand hogsheads, therefore, munt be exported toother countries, to France, to Itolland, and to the countries wheh lie round the Baltic and Mediterranean seas. But that part of the cajital of Great Britan which brugs those eiphty-two thousand hogshedds to Great Britain, wheh re-exports them from thence to thone other counties, and which brings back fiom those other

1 serprivious, nute
countries to Great Brita'n either goods of money in return, is emplosed un a roundahout foreign trade of consumption, and is necessarily forced into this cmployment in order to dispose of tius cratt surplus. If we would compute in how many years the whole of this capital is likely to come back to Great Britain, we must add to the dastance of the American returns that of the returns from those other countries. If, in the dircet foreign trade of consumption which we carry on with America the uhole capital employ ed frequently does not come back in less than three or four years, the whole capital employed in this roundabout one is not halely to come back in less than four or five. If the one can keep in constant employment but a third or a fourth part of the donestic industry which could be maintaned by a capital returned once in the year, the other can keep in constant employment but a fourth or a fift part of that industry. At some of the outports a credit is commonly given to those foreign correspondents to whom they export ther tobacco. At the port of Londo , indee ${ }^{\prime}$, it is commonly sold for ready moncy. The rule 1s, Weigh and pay. At the port of London, therefore, the final returns of the whole roundahout trade are more dastant than the returns from America by the time only, which the goods may lie unsold in the warehouse; where, howeser, they may sometimues lie long enough. But, had not the colonies been confined to the market of Greal Britain for the sale of their tobacco, vory little more of it would probably have coine to us than what was necessary for the home consumption. The goods which Great Britam purchases at present for ber own consumption with the great surplus of tobacco which she exports to other countries, she would, in this cas, probably have purchased with the immeduate produce of her own industry, or with some part of her own manufictures. That produce, those manufactures, instead of being almost entirely suited to one great market, as at present, would probajly have been fitted to a great number of snaller markets. Instead of one great roundabout foreign "rade of consumption, Great Britana would probably have carried on a great nuinber of small direct foreign trades of the came kind. On account of the frequency of the returns, a part, and probally but a small part - perlaps not above a third or a fourth - of the capital which at present carres on this great rounddbout trade, mght have been sufficient to carry on all those small direct ones, might have kepr in contant em. ployment an equal quantaty of Brithsh industry, and have equally supported the aminal moduce of the land and labour of Great Britam. All the purposes of this trade belng, in this manuer, answered by a much smuller eqpitat, there would have beet: a
large spare capital to apply to other purposes; to improve the lands, to merease the manufactures, and to extend the commerce of Great Britain ; to come into competition at least with the other British capitals employed in all those different ways, to reduce the rate of profit in themall, and thereby to give to Great Britain, in all of them, a superiority over other countries still greater than what she at present enjoys.
The monopoly of the colony trade, too, has forced some part of the capital of Great Britain from all foreigu trade of consumption to a carrying trade; and, consequently, from supporting more or less the industry of Great 1 britain, to be employed altogether in supporting partly that of the colonies, and partly that of some other countries.

The goods, for example, which are an. nualiy purchased with the great surplus of eighty-two thousand hogsheads of tobacco annually re-exported from Great Britain, are not all consumed in Great Britain. Part of them-linen from Germany and Holland, for example-is returned to the colonies fur their particular consumption. But that part of the capital of Great Brıtain which buys the tobacco with which this linen is afterwards bought, is necessarily withdrawn from supporting the industry of Great Britain, to be employed altogether in supporting, partly that of the colonies, and partly that of the particular countries who pay for this tobsyco with the produce of their own industry.

The monopoly of the colony trade besides, by forcing towards it a much greater proportion of the capital of Great Britain than what would naturally bave gone to it, seems to have broken altugether that patural bdance which would otherwise have taken place among all the different branches of Dritish industry. The industry if Great Britain, instead of being accommodated to a great number of small markets, has been principally suited to one great market. Her commerce, instead of running in a great number of small channels, has been taught to run principally in one great channel; but the whole system of ber industry and commerce has thereby been rendered less secure ; the whole state of her body politic less healthfill than it otherwise would have been. In her present condition, Great Britain resembles one of those unwholesome bodies in which some of the vital parts are overgrown,

[^89]and which, upon that accourit, are hiable to many dangerous disenders scarce incident th those in which all the parts are more pro. perly proportioned. $\Lambda$ moll stop in that: great blood-vessel, which has been artificially swelled beyond its natural dintensions, eund through which an unnatural proportion of the industry and commerce of the country has been foried to circulate, is very likely to bring on the most dangerous disorders upun the whole body politic. The expectation of a rupture $n$th the colonies, accordingly, has struck the people of Grent Britan with more terror than they ever felt for a Spansh armuda or a French invavion. It whs thas terror, whether well or ill grounded, which rendered the repeal of the stamp act, amon? the merchants at least, a popular measure. In the total exclusion from the culony market, was it to last only for a few years, the greater part of our merchants used to fancy that they foresaw an entire stop th their trade; the greater part of our master manufacturers, the entire ruin of ther busuness; and the greater part of our workmen, an end of their employment. A rupture with any of our neighbours upon the continent, though likely too to occasion some stop or interruption in the employments of sone of all these different orders of people, is foresecn, however, without any such general einotion. The blood, of which the circulation is stopt in some of the smaller vessels, easily disgorges itself intw the greater, without occasioning any dangerous disorder; but when it is stopt in any of the greater vessels, convulsions, apoplexy, or death, are the immediate and unavoldable consequences. If but one of those overgrown manufactures, which, by means either of bounties or of the monopoly of the home and colony markets, have been artıficially raised up to an unnatural height, finds some small stop or interruption in its employment, it frequently occassons a mutiny and disorder alarming to goverdment, and embarrassing even to the deliberations of the legislature. How great, therefure, would be the disorder and confusion, it was thought, which must necessarily be occasioned by a sudden and entire stop in the employment of so great a proportion of our principal manufacturers? ?
(Some moderate and gradual rilaxation of th2 laws whech give to Great Britain the exclusive trade to the colonies, thll it is ren-
danger. If, on the one hand, the mothe country have co natural or acquired capacity for farrymk ont have no natural or acquired capacity for farryink ant
an incercourse with her coloniss, it is hat fty jomestise to suppose that restrictive rrgulations shoulitever be able to mecure her any very extenuse trabic with them ; and if, on the other hand, the morthet country have any real capacity for carrying on the colon'hi trade, there is but litile reaton ior thinsing that the separation of all poittical combexmon between ber and ber colonies will occasion any material diminur tion of the commerciad intercourse between thems. pee gupplemental nete on Culonial Folsey.
dered in a gicat mosasure free, seems tu be the only engedient which ean, in wh future tamex, daluir lier fiom this dagger, whats can enable ficr or even force hor to withdiaw some bart of her capntal from this overgrown emplogment, and to turn it, though with leos profit, towats other emplovments; and whach, by $n$ udually diminishng one branch of her mdustry, and gradually mereasing all the rest, can by dearees restore all the different branches of it to that natural, healthfil, and proper proportion which perfect libcrty neversaily establishes, and wbich perfiot liburty can alone preserve. To open the colony trade all at once to all nations, mught not only occasion some transitory inconvenioncy, but a great permanent loss to the gieater part of those whose industry or capital is at present eligriged in it. The sudden loss of the employment even of the shups which import the eighty-two thousand horsheads of tobacco, which are over and uluve the consumption of Great Britain, might aione be telt very sensibly. Such are the unfortumate eftiects of all the regulations of the mercantule syatem! They not only introduce veiy dangerous disorders into the atate of the body pohtic, but disorders which it is often difficult to iemedy, without occastoning, for a time at least, still greater disurikrs In what manner, therefore, the colony trade ought gradually to be opened; what are the restranuts which ought first, and what ane those which ought last to be taken awriv; or in what manner the natural sy stem of perfect libenty and justice ought erradually to be restoned, we must leave to the w siom of future statesmen and legislators to determine. indsed $t$ git
lise ditlerent cucits, unforeseen and unthought of, hwe viy fortunately concurred to bimder Great Britan from fueling, so seusibly as it was generally expeeted she would, the total evelusion which has now then place for more than a year (from the firt of llecember 1774 ) from a very 1 mportant buanch of the colony trade, that of the twelve asociated provinces of North America. First, those colonies, in preparing themselves for their non-importation agreement, drained Gieat Britain completely of all the commodities which were fit for their market ; secondly, the extruon dinary demand of the Spanish Flota has, this year, draned Germany and the North of many commodities_lanen in particular-whichused tocome into competition, even in the British market, with the manufactures of Great Bitan ; thrdly, the peace between Russia and Turkey has occistoned an extrgordinary demand tron the Turkey market, which, during the distress of the country, and while a Russian flect was cruizing in the Archipelago, had twen very poorly supplied; fourthly, the demand of the north of Europe for the
mandfuctures of Great Eritum his been incredsung from jear to year for some tume past; and, fifthly, the late partition and consequent ad pacification of Poland, by opennig the market of that great country, have thas year added an extraordindiy demand fiom thence to the increasing denand of the North. These events are all, except the fourth, in thes mature transitosy and accidental, and the exclusion from so important a branch of the colony trade, if unfortunately it should continue much longer, may still occasion some degree of distress. I his distress, bowcver, as it will come on gradually, sill be felt much less severcly than if it had come on all at once; and, in the incan time, the industry and capital of the country may find a new employment and direction, so as to prevent this distress from ever rising to any considerable height.

The monopoly of the colony trade, therefore, so far as it has turned towards that trade a greater proportion of the capital of Great Britain thau what would otherwise have gone to $1 t$, his in all cases turned it from a foreign trade of consumption with a neighbouning, into one with a more distant country; m many icases, from a direct foreign trade of consumption into a roundabout one; and in sone cases, from all fureign trade of consumption into a canying trade. It has in all ceses, thercfore, turned it from a direction in which it would have mantaned a greater quantity of productive labour, into one m wheh it can maintan a much smaller quantity. : By suiting, besides, to one particular market only, so great a part of the modustry and commerce of Great Britain, it has rendered the whole state of that industry and commerce more precarious and less secure than if their produce had been accommodated to a greater varicty of markets.

We must carefully distinguish between the effects of the colony trade and those of the monopoly of that trade. The former are always and necessanly beneficial; the latter always and necessarily hurtful. But the former are so beneficial, that the colony tiade, though subject to a monopoly, and notwithetanding the hurtful eflects of that monopoly, is still upon the whole beneficial, and greatly beneficial; though a good deal less so than it otherwise would be.

The effect of the colony trade in its nat iral and free state is to open a great though distant market for such parts ot the produce of British industry as may exceed the demand of the markets nearer home, of those of Europe, and of the countries which lie round the Mediterrancan sea. In its natural and free state, the colony trade, without drawing from those markets any part of the produce which had ever been sent to them, encourages Great Britan to increase the surplus conturially, by contanually presenting new equi-
valents to be exchanged for it. In its natural and free state, the colony trade tends to increase the quantity of productive labour in Great Britain, but without altering in any respect the direction of that which had been employed there before. In the natural and free state of the colony trade, the competition of all other nations would binder the rate of profit from rising above the common level cith ${ }^{2}$ in the new market or in the new employment. The new market, without drawing any thing from the old one, would create, if one may say so, a new produce for its own supply; and that new produce would constitute a new capital for carrying on the new employment, which in the same manner would draw nothing from the old one.

The monopoly of the colony trade, on the contrary, by excluding the competition of other nations, and thereby raising the rate of profit both in the new market and in the new employment, draws produce from the old market and capital from the old employment. To augment our share of the colony trade beyond what it otherwise would be, is the avowed purpose of the monopo!'y. If our share of that trade were to be no greater with, than it would have been withous the monopoly, there could have been no reason for establishing the monopoly. But whatever forces into a branch of trade of dyhich the returns are slower and more distantithan those of the greater part of other trades, a greater proportion of the capital of any country, than what of its own accord would go to that branch, necessarily renders the whole quantity of productive labour annually maintained there, the whole annual produce of the land and labour of that country, less than they otherwise would be. 1t keeps down the revenue of the inhabitants of that country, below what it would naturally rise to, and thereby diminishes their power of accumulation. It not only hinders, at all tmes, their capital from maintaining so great a quantity of productive labour as it would otherwise maintain, but it hinders it from increasing so fast as it would otherwise increase, and consequently from maintaining a stall greater quantity of productive labour.

The natural_rood effects of the colony trade, however, more than counterbalance to Great Britain the bad effects of the mo nopoly ; so that, monopoly and all together, that trade, even as it is carried on at precent, is not only advantageous, but greatly advantageous. The new market and the new employment which are opened by the colony trade are of much greater extent than that portion of the old market and of the old employment which is lost by the monopoly. The new produce and the new capital which has been created, if one may say so, by the colony srade, maintain in Great Britain a
greater quantity of productive lalour than What can have been throwd out of employment by the revulston of capstal from other trades of which the returns are mors frequent. If the colony trale, however, even as it is carried on at present, is adsantageous to Great Britain, it is not by means of the monopoly, but in spite of the monopoly.

It is rather for the manufactured than for] the rude produce of Europe that the rulony trade opens a new market. Agriculture is the proper business of all new colonics; a business which the cheapnese of land renders more advantageous than ang other. They abound, thercfore, in the rude produce of land, and instead of importing it from other countries, they have gencrally a large surplus to export. In new colonices agriculture either draws hands from all cuther employments, or keeps them from goning to any other employment. There are few hands to spare for the necessary and none for the oruamental manufactures. The greater part of the manufactures of both kinds they find it cheaper to purthase of other countrass than to make for themselves. It is chnefly by encouraging the manufactures of Europe, that the colony trade indirectly encourages its agriculture. The manufacturers of Eturope, to whom that trade gives employment, constitute a new market for the produce of the land; and the most advantageous of all markets - the home market for the corn and cattle, for the bread and butcher's meat of Europe - is thus greatly extended by means of the trade to America

But that the mouopoly of the trade of populous and thriving colonies is not alone sufficient to establash, or even to maintain manufactures in any country the examples of Spain and Portugal suffictently demonstrate. Spain and Portugal were manufarturing countries before they had any conciderable colonies. Since they had the richest and most fertile in the world, they have buth ceased to be so.

In Spain and Portugal, the bad effects of the monopoly, aggravated by other causes, have, perhaps, nearly overbalanced the uatural good effects of the colony trade. There causes seem to be, other monopolies of different kinds: the degradation of the value of gold and silver below what it is in most other countries; the exclusion from foreign markets by improper taxes upon expurtation, and the narrowing of the bome market, by still more improper taxes upon tho transportation of goods from one part of the country to another; but above all, that irregular and partial administration of justice, which often protects the rich and powerful debtor from the pursult of his injured creditor, and which makes the industrious part of the nation afraid to prepare goods for the consumption of those haughty and great men.
to whon they dune not refuse to sill npon credit, and fiom whom they are altogether uncertan of repayment.

In England, on the contrary, the natural good alfects of the colony tiade, assisted by other causes, have in a great measure conghered the bad effets of the monopoly. These causes seem to be, the general liberty of tarle, whell, notuithstanding some resthants, is at ledst equal, perhaps superior, to what it is in any country; the liberty of exportug, duty frce, almost all sorts of goods wheh are the produce of domestic industry, to almost any foremg country; and what, perhaps, is of still gredter importance, the unburided hiberty of transporting them fioulany one part of cur own country to any othin, without beng obliged to give any account to any public office, without being bable to question or examination of any kind; but above all, that equal and imparthal admuistration of justice which renders the rights of the meanest British subject respectatile to the greatest, and which, by schuring to every man the fruts of his own mulustry, gives the gieatest and most effectwal encouragement to every sort of industry.

It the manufactures of Great Britain, howeser, have been advanced, as they certainly lave, by the colony trade, it has not been by means of the monopoly of that trade, but in fute of the monopoly. - The effect of the monopoly has been, not to augnunt the quantity, but to alter the quality and shape of a part of the manufac. tures of Great Britain, and to accommodate to a market; from which the returns are Slow and dastant, what would otherwise have been accommodated to one from which the returns are trequent and near. Its effect has consequently been to turn a part of the capital of Groat Britain from an employment in which it would have maintaned a greater quantity of manufacturing industry, to one 10 which it maintains a much smaller, and thencby to dimimsh, instead of increasmg , the whole quantity of manufacturing iudustry maintained in Great Britain.

The monopoly of the colony trade, therefore, the all the other mean and malignant apedtents of the morcantule system, depresses the industry of all other countries, but chefly that of the colonies, without in the least incieasung, but on the contrary dimmshing, that of the country in whose finuur it is established.

The monopoly hinders the capital of that country, whatever may at any particular tume be the extent of that capital, from mame tumang so great a quantaty of productive labour as it nould otherwise maintain, and fiom aftording so great a revenue to the industrious mhabitiants as it would otherwise afford

1 It has heen alteath ghown that the rise fin the rite of protit oceasioned by the monopoly of the

But as capital can be increased only by savings from revenue, the monopoly, by hinderng it from affording so great a revenue as it would otherwise afford, necessarilv hinders it from increasing so fast as it would otherwise increase, and consequently from mantaning a still greater quantity of productive labour, and affording a still greate: revenue to the industrous mhabitants of that country. One great original souce of revenue, therefore, the wages of labour, the monopoly must necessarily have rendered at all tumes less abundant than it otherwise would have been.

By raising the rate of mercantule profit YI the monopoly discourages the improvement of land. The profit of improvement depends upon the difference between what the land actually produces, and what, by the applicathon of a certain capital, it can be made to produce. If this difference affords a greater profit than what can be drawn from an equal capital in any mercantile employment, the improvercent of land will draw capital fiom all mercantle employments. If the profit is less, mercantile employments will draw capital from the improvement of land. Wharever, therefone, ratses the rate of mercantile profit, either lessens the superiority or increases the inferiority of the profit of improvement; and in the one case hunders capital from going to improvement, and in the other draws capital from it. But by dustouraging improvement, the monopoly necessarily retards the natural increase of anothel great original source of revenuc-the rent of land. By raising the rate of profit too, the monopoly necessarily keeps up the market rate of mterest higher than it otherwise would be. But the price of land in proportion to the rent which it affords, the number of years' purchase which is commonly paid for it, necessarily falls as the rate of in rerest rises, and rises as the rate of interest falls. The monopoly, therefore, hurts the interest of the landlord two different ways; by retarding the natural increase, first, of his rent, and secondly, of the price which be would get for has land in proportion to the rent which it affords.

The monopoly, indeed, rases the rate of mercantile profit, and thereby augments somewhat the gain of our merchants. But as it obstructs the natural increase of capital, it tends rather to dimimsh than to increase the sum total of the revenue which the 1 m habitants of the country derive from the profits of stock; a small profit upon a great capital generally affordng a greater revenue than a great profit upon a small one. The monopoly raises the rate of profit; but it hinders the sum of profit from rising so lugh as it otherwise would do. ${ }^{1}$
colonv trade, and consequently the varions effects ascriked to it by Jr smith, ero, quite magroasy

All the original sources of revenue, the wages of labour, the rent of land, and the profits of stock, the monopoly renders much less abundant than they otherwise would be. To promote the little interest of one little order of men in one country, it hurts the interest of all other orders of men in that country, and of all men in all other countries.

It is solely by raising the ordinary rate of profit that the monopoly either has proved or could prove advantageous to any one particular order of men. But besides all the bad effects to the country in general, which have already been mentioned as necessarily resulting from a high rate of profit, there is one more fatal, perhaps, than all these put together, but which, if we may judge from experience, is inseparably connected with it. The high rate of profit seems everywhere to destroy that parsimony which in other circumstances is natural to the character of the merchant. ${ }^{1}$ When profits are high, that sober virtue seems to be superfluous, and expensive luxury to suit better the affluence of his situation. But the owners of the great mercantile capitals are necessarily the leaders and conductors of the whole industry of every nation, and their example has a much greater influence upon the manners of the whole industrious part of it than that of any other order of men. If his employer is attentive and parsimonious, the workman is very likely to be so too; but if the master is dissulute and disorderly, the servant who shapes his work according to the pattern which his master prescribes to him, will shape his life too according to the example which he sets him. Accumulation is thus prevented in the hands of all those who are naturally the most disposed to accumulate; and the funds destined for the maintenance of productive labour receive no augmentation from the revenue of those who ought niturally to augment them the most. The capital of the country, instead of increasing, gradually dwindles away, and the quantity of productive labour maintained in it grows every day less and less. Have the exorbitant profits of the merchants of Cadiz and Lisbon augmented the capital of Spain and Portugal?2 Have they alleviated the poverty, have they promoted the industry, of those two beggarly countries? Such has been the tone of mercantile expense in those two trading cities, that those exorbitant profits, far from augmenting the general capital of the country, seem scarce to have been suffi-

The monopoly has occasioned no guch rise. If it had, it would be very difficult, indeed, to ghow that it was myurious.

1 H. 18 it done this in the United States, New Holland or Van Diemen's Land? or in any cormery in which a high rate of profit is accompraned with securily of property, and freedom of madustry?
$*$ It is iery questionable, indeed, whether the
cient to keep up the capitals upon whirh they were made. Forcign capitals aro every day intruding themselves, if I mat say so, more and more into the trale of Cadiz and Lisbon. It is to expel thoue foreign capitals from a trade which their own grows every day more and more insuf. ficient for carrying on, that the Spamards and Portugueso endeavour every day to straiten more and more the galling bands of their absurd monopoly. Compare the mercantile manners of Cadiz and Lislon with those of Amsterdam, and you will be sensible how differently the conduct and character of merchants are affected by the high and by the low profits of stock. The merchants of London, indeed, have nut yet generally become such magnificent lords as those of Cadiz and Lisbon; but neither are they in general such attentive and parsimonious burghers as those of Amsterdam. They are supposed, however, many of them, to be a good deal richer than the greater part of the former, and not quite so rich aq many of the latter. But the rate of their profit is commonly much lower than that of the former, and a good deal higher than that of the latter. Light come light go, says the proverb; and the ordinary tone of expense seems everywhere to be regulated, not so much according to the real ability of spending, as to the supposed faculity of getting money to spend.

It is thus that the single advantage which the monopoly procures to a single order of men is in many different ways hurtful to the general interest of the country.

To found a great empire for the sole pur- 7 pose of raising up a people of customers, may at first sight appear a project fit only for a nation of shopkeepers. It is, however, a project altogether unfit for a nation of shopkeepers; but extremely fit for a nation whose government is influenced by shopkeepers. Such statesmen, and such statesmen only, are capable of fancying that they will find some advantage in employing the blood and treasure of their fellow-citicens, to found and maintain such an emple. Say to a shopkeeper, Buy me a good estate, and I shall always buy my clothes at your shop, even though I should pay somewhat dearer than what I can have them for at other shops; and you will not find him very forward to embrace your proposal. Dut should any other person buy you such an eatate, the shopkeeper would be much obliged to your benefactor if he would enjoin you to buy all
average nett profits realised by the Cadiz and Lifbon merchants, to whom Dr Smith alludey, were really greater than those realised by the merrhants of London; though, if thry were, the wretched institutions and police of Span would have prevented them from accumulating and enploying thene surpluses in the asme way.
your ctothes at his shop. England perchased fur some of her subjects, who found themselves uncasy at home, a great estate in a distant country. The price, indeed, was very small, and instend of thirty years' purchase, the ordnary price of land in the present tomes, it amounted to little more than the expense of the different equipments wheh made the finst discovery, reconnoitred the coast, and took a fictitious possession of the country. The land was good and of great extentand the culuraturs having plenty of good ground to work upon, and being for some time at liberty to scll their produce where they pleased, became in the course of little more than thirty or forty years (between 1620 and 1660) so numerous and thriving a people, that the shopkcepers and other traders of England wished to secure to themselves the monopoly of ther custom. Without pretending, therefore, that they had paid any part, etther of the original purchase money, or of the subsequent expense of improvement, thry pettioned the parhament that the cultivaturs of America might for the future be confined to their shop: first, for buying all the goods which they wanted from Europe; and, secondly, for selling all such parts of their own produce as those traders might find it convement to buy, - for they did not find it convement to buy every part of it. Some parts of it imported into England might have interfered with some of the trades which they themselves carried on at home. Thowe partucular parts of at, therefore, they were willing that the colonists should sell where they could; the farther off the better; and upon that account proposed that thetr market should be confined to the counthes south of Cape Fimsterre. A clause in thee fumous act of navigation extablished this tubly shopheeper proposal into a law.
The mantenance of this monopoly has hithes to been the principal, or more properly perhaps the sole, end and purpose of the dommon which Great Britan assumes over her colonies. In the exclusive trade, it is supposed, consists the great adrantage of promeses which have never yet afforded etther revenue or military force for the support of the civil government, or the defence of the mother country. The monopoly is the principal badge of their dependency, and it is the sole fruit which has hitherto been gathered fiom that dependency. Whatever expence Great Britain has hitherto land out in muntanng this dependency, has really been hid out in order to support this monopolv. The expense of the ordnary peace ont ihhishment of the colones amounted, before the commencement of the present disturbances, to the pay of twenty regaments tif tuot; to the expense of the atillery, stores, and extraordinary provinions with which it was necessary to suphly them, aud
to the expense of a very considerable naval free which was constantly kept up, in order to guat't from the smugging vessels of other nations, the mamenes emet of Ny. th ituerica, and that of our West Indian islands. The whole expense of this pace establishment was a charge upon the revenue of Great Britain, and was, at the same time, the smallest part of what the dominion of the colonies has cost the mother country. If we would know the amount of the whole, we must add to the annual expense of this peace establishment the interest of the sums which, in consequence of her considering her colonies as provinces subject to her dominion, Great Britain has upon different occasions land out upon their defence. We must add to it, in particular, the whole expense of the late war, and a great part of that of the war which preceded it. The late war was altogether a colony quarrel, and the whole expense of it, in whatever part of the world it may have been laid out, whether in Germany or the East Indies, ought justly to be stated to the account of the colonies. It amounted to more than ninety millions sterlung, including not only the new debt which was contracted, but the two shillings in the pound addtional land tax, and the sums which were every year borrowed from the swking fund. The Spanish war which began in 1739 was princepally a colony quarrel. Its principal object was to prevent the search of the colony ships which carried on a contraband trade with the Spanish main. This whole expense is, in reality, a bounty which bas been given in order to support a monopoly. The pretended purpose of it was to encourage the manufactures and to increase the commerce of Great Britain : but its real effect has been to raise the rite of mercantale profit, and to enable our merchants to turn into a branch oí trade, of which the returns are more slow and distant than those of the greater part of other trades, a greater proportion of their capital than they otherwise would have done, - two events which, if a bounty could have prevented, it might perhaps have been very well worth while to give such a bounty.

Under the present system of management, therefore, Great Britain derives nothing but loss from the dominion wheh she assumes over her colonies.

To propose that Great Bratain should voluntarily give up all authorty over her colonies, and lewse them to elect therr own magistrates, to enact their own laws, and to make peace and war as they might thank proper, would be to propose such a measure as never was, and never will be, adopted by any nation in the world. No nation ever voluntarily gave up the domimon of any provnce, how troublesome soeser it might be to govern it, and how small shevet the
revenue which it afforded might be in proportion to the expense which it occasioned. Such sacrifices, though they might frequently be agreeable to the interest, are always morlifying to the pride of every nation; and, what is perhaps of still greater consequence, they are always contrary to the private interest of the governing part of it, who would thereby be deprived of the disposal of many places of trust and profit, of many opportunities of acquiring wealth and distinction, which the possession of the most turbulent, and, to the great body of the people, the most unprofitable province seldom fails to aftord. The most visionary enthusiast would scarce be capable of proposing such a mea sure, with any serious hopes at least of its ever berng adopted. If it was adopted, howcver, Great Britain would not only be immediately freed from the whole annual expense of the peace establishment of the colones, but might settle with them such a treaty of commerce as would effectually secure to her a free trade, more advantageous to the great body of the people, though less so to the merchants than the monopoly which she at present enjoys. ${ }^{1}$ By thus parting good friends, the natural affection of the colonies to the mother country, which, perhaps, our late dissensions have well nigh extinguished, would quickly revive. It right dispose them not only to respect, for whole centuries together, that treaty of commerce which they had concluded with us at parting, but to favour us in war as well as in trade, and, instead of turbulent and factious subjects, to become our most faithful, affectionate, and generous allies; and the same sort of parental affection on the one side, and filial respect on the other, might revive between Great Britain and her colonies, which used to subsist between those of ancient Greece and the mother city from which they descended.

In order to render any province advartageous to the empire to which it belongs, it ought to afford, in time of peace, a revenue to the public sufficient not only for defraying the whole expense of its own peace establishment, but for contributing its proportion to the support of the general government of the empire. Every province necessarly contributes, more or less, to incrcase the expense of that general government. If any particular province, therefore, does not contribute its share cowards defraying this expense, an unequal burden must be thrown upon some other part of the empire. The extraordinary revenue, too, which every province affords to the public in time of war, ought, from parity of reason, to bear the same proportion to the extraordinary revenue of the whole

1 It would be in every respect as advantageous to the merchants, for no monofoly can ever rase their profita above the common level, and cannot.
empire which its ordinary revenue dows in tince of peace. That nether the ordmary nor extraordinary revenue which Great Britain derives from her colonies luars thes proportion to the whole revenue of the Dritish empire will readaly be allowed. The monopoly, it has been supposed, indeed, by increasing the private revenue of the prople of Great Britain, and thereby enabling them to pay greater taxes, compensates the deficiency of the public tevenue of the colunies. But this monopoly, I have enderavoured to slow, though a very grievous tax upon the colonies, and though it may increate the revenue of a particular order of men in great Britain, diminishes instead of increasing, that of the great body of the prople; and consequently diminishes instead of increasing the ability of the great body of the people to pay taxes. The men, too, whose revenue the monopoly increases, constitute a particular order, which it is both absolutely impossible to tax beyond the proportion of other orders, and extremely impolitic even to attempt to tax beyond that proportion, as I shall endeavour to show in the following book. No particular resoures, therefore, can be drawn from this particular order.

The colonies may be taxed either by their own assemblies, or by the parkament of Great Britain.

That the colony assemblies can ever be so managed as to levy upon their constituenta a public revenue sufficient, not only to manatain at all times their own civil and military establishment, but to pay their proper proportion of the expense of the general government of the Britush empure, seems not very probable. It was a long time before even the parliament of England, though placed immediately under the eye of the sovereign. could be brought under such a system of management, or could be rendered suificiently liberal in their grants for supporting the civil and military establishments even of their own country. It was only by distributing among the particular members of parliament a great part either of the offices, or of the disposal of the offices, arising from this civil and military extablishment, that such a system of management could be established even with regard to the parliarneut of England. But the distance of the colony assemblies from the eye of the soverugn, their number, their dispersed situation, and their various constitutions, would sender it very difficult to manage them in the same manner, even though the sovereign had the same means of doing it ; and those means are wanting. It would be absolutely impossible to distribute among all the leading
therefore, be of any real or lasting adrantage to them.
members of all the colony assemblies such a share, either of the offices or of the disposal of the offices, arisung from the geueral goverument of the Britsh empire, as to dispose thim to give up thar popularity at home, and to tax their constituents for the support of that general government, of which almost the whole emoluments were to be divided anong people who were strangers to them. The unavoidable ignorance of adminstration, bestes, concerning the relative importance of the different members of those different assemblies, the offences which must ficjuently be given, the blunders which must constantly be committed in attempting to manage them in this manner, seems to rendur such a system of management altogether impracticable with regard to them.

The colony assembles, besides, cannot be aupposed the proper judges of what is neevsary for the dafence and support of the whole cmpire. The care of that defence and support is not intrusted to them. It is not thew business, and they have no regular means of information concerning it. The assembly of a province, like the vestry of a partoh, may judge very properly concerning the affairs of ata own particular district, but can have no proper means of judging concerning those of the whole empire. It cannot even judge properly concerning the proportion which its own province bears to the whole empire; or concerning the relatwe degree of its wealth and importance, compared with the other provinces; because those other provinces are not under the mspection and superintendency of the as stmbly of a particular province. What is necessary for the defence and support of the whole empure, and in what proportion each part ought to contribute, can be judged of only by that assembly which inspects and superiatends the aftuirs of the whole empure.

It has been proposed, accordingly, that the colonies should be taxed by requisition, the parhament of Great Entain determining the sum which each colony ought to pay, and the provincial assembly assessing and lowing it in the way that suited best the citcumstances of the province. What concerned the whole empire would in thas way be datermmed by the assembly which inspects and superintends the affairs of the whole empire; and the provincial affairs of each colony might still be regulated by its own assembly. Though the colonies should in thas case have no representatives in the Britush parlament, yct, if we may judge by experience, there is no probability that the parliamentary requisition would be unrcasonable. The parliament of England has net upon any occaston shown the sinallest dipeostion to overburden those parts of the
empire which are not represented in parhament. The islands of Guernsey and Jersey, wathout any means of ressisting the authortty of parlament, are more lightly tixed than any part of Great Britain. Parliament, in attempting to exercise its supposed right, whether well or ill grounded, of taxing the colonies, has neve hitherto demanded of them any thing which even approached to a just proportion to what was paid by their fellow-subjects at home. If the contribution of the colonies, bestles, was to rise or fall in proportion to the rise or fall of the land tax, parhament-could not tax them without taxing at the same time its own constituents, and the colonies might in this case be considered as virtually represented in parliament.

Examples are not wanting of empircs in which all the different provinces are not taxed, if I may be allowed the expression, in one mass; but in which the sovereign regulat st the sum which each province ought to pay, and in some provinces assesses and levies it as be thinks proper; while in others he leaves it to be assessed and levied as the respective states of each province shall determine. In some provinces of France the king not only imposes what taxes he thunks proper, but assesses and levies them in the way he thinhs proper. From others be demaids a certan sum, but leaves it to the stites of each province to assess and levy thit sum as they think proper. According to the scheme of taxing by requistion, the parhament of Great Britan would stand nearly in the same situation towards the colony assemblies, as the king of France does towards the states of those provinces which still enjoy the privilege of having states of their own, the provinces of France which are supposed to be the best governed.

But though, according to this scheme, the colonies could have no just reason to fiar that their share of the public burdens should ever exceed the proper proportion to that of their fillow-citizens at home, Great Bratain might have just reason to fear that it never would amount to that proper proportion. The parlament of Great Britain bas not for some time past had the same estabhished authority in the colonies which the French king has in those provinces of Fraece which still enjoy the privilege of having states of their own. The colony assemblies, if they were not very favourably disposed (and unless more skilfully managed than they ever have been hitherto, they are not very likely to be so), might still find many pretences for evadug or rejecting the most reasonable requistions of parlament. A French war breaks out, we shall suppose; ten millions must immedastely be raised, in order to do-
fend the seat of the empire. This sum must be borrowed upon the credit of some parliamentary fund mortgaged for paying the interest. Part of this fund parliament proposes to raise by a tax to be levied in Great Britain, and part of it by a requisition to all the different colony assemblies of A merica and the West Indies. Would people readily avance their money upon the credit of a fund which partly depended upon the good humour of all those assemblies, far distant from the seat of the war, and sometimes, perhaps, thinking themselves not much concerned irf the event of it? Upon such a fund no more money would probably be advanced than what the tax to be levied in Great Britain might be supposed to answer. for. The whole burden of the debt contracted on account of the war would in this manner fall, as it always bas done hitherto, upon Great Britain; upon a part of the empire, and not upon the whole empire. Great Britain is, perhaps, since the world began, the only state which, as it has extended its empire, has only increased its expense without once augmenting its resources. Other states have generally disburdened themselves upon their subject and subordinate provinces of the most considerable part of the expense of defending the empire. Great Britain has hitherto sufëred her subject and subordinate provinces to disburden themselves upon her of almost this whole expense. In order to put Great Britain upon a footing of equality with her own colonies, which the law has hitherto supposed to be subject and subordinute, it seems necessary, upon the scheme of taxing them by parliamentary requisition, that parlament should have some means of rendering its requisitions immediately effectual, in case the colony assemblies should attempt to evade or reject them; and what those means are, it is not very easy to conceiv*, and it has not yet been explained.

Should the parliament of Great Britain, at the same time, be ever fully established in the right of taxing the colonies, even independent of the consent of their own assemblies, the importance of those assemblies would from that moment be at an end, and with it that of all the leading men of British America. Men desire to have some share in the management of public affairs chiefly on account of the importance which it gives them. Upon the power which the greater part of the leading men, the natural aristocracy of every country, have of pres serving or defending their respective importance, depends the stablity and duration of every system of free government. In the attacks which those leading men are continually making upon the importance of one another, and in the defence of their own, consists the whole play of donestac faction
and ambition. The leading men of America, luke those of all other countries, desire to preserve ther own importance. They feel, or nuagine, that if their assembles, which they are fond of calling parliaments, and of considering as equal in authorty to the parlament of Great Britain, should be so far degraded as to become the humble ministers and executive officers of that parlanent, the greater part of their own importance would be at an end. They havercyected, therefore, the proposal of being taxed by parliamentary requisition, and like other ambitious and high-spirited men, have rather chosen to draw the sword in defence of their own importance.

Towards the declension of the Roman republic, the allies of Rome, who had borne the principal burden of defending the state and extending the empire, demanded to be admitted to all the privileges of Roman citizens. Upon being refused, the social war broke out. During the course of that war Rome granted those privileges to the greater part of them, one by one, and in proportion as they detached themselves from the general confederacy. The parliament of Great Britain insists upon taxing the colonies; and they refuse to be tared by a parlament in which they are not represented. If to each colony; which should detach itself from the general confederacy Great Britain should allow such a number of representatives as suited the proportion of what it contributed to the public revenue of the empire, in consequence of its being subjected to the same taxes, and in compensation admitted to the same freedom of trade with its followsubjects at home, the number of its representatives to be augmented as the proportion of its contribution might afterwards augment; a new method of acquiring importance, a new and more dazzling object of ambition would be presented to the leading men of each colony. Instead of pidding for the little prizes which are to be found in what may be called the paltry raffle of colony faction, they might then hope, from the presumption which men naturally have in their own ability and good fortune, to draw some of the great prizes which sometimes come from the wheel of the great state lottery of British politics. Unless this or some othet method is fallen upon, and there seems to be none more obvious than this, of preserving the importance and of gratifying the ambition of the leading men of America, it is not very probable that they will ever voluntarily submit to us; and we ought to consider that the blood which must be shed in forcing them to do so, is, every drop of it, the blood either of those who are, or of those whom we wish to have for our fellow-catizens They are very weak nho Hatter themselves that, in the state to whuld thangs have come
our colonics whll be casily conquered by firce atone. The persons who now govern the resolutions of what they call their contunental congress, feel in themselves at this monent a dugree of importance which, perhaps, the greatest subjects in Europe surce feel. lirom shopkeepers, tradesmen, and attorncys, they are become statesmen and legislators, and are employed in contriving a new form of government for an extensive empure, which, they flatter themselves, will become, and which, indeed, seems very likely to become, one of the greatest and most form dable that ever was in the world. Five hundred different people, perhaps, who in different ways act immediately under the contmental congress; and five htindred thousand, perhaps, who act under those five hundred, all feel in the same manner a proportionable rise in their own mportance. Almost every individual of the foverning party in America, fills, at present, in his own fancy, a station superior, not only to what he had ever filled before, but to what he had ever expected to fill; and unless some new object of ambition is presented etther to him or to his leaders, if he has the crdinary spirit of a man, he will die in defince of that station.
'It is a remark of the president Henault, that we now real with pleasure the account of many hittle transactions of the Ligue, whuch when they happened were not perhaps consudured as very important pieces of news. But every man then, says he, fancied humself of some importance; and the innumeadble memoirs which bave come down to us fium those times, were, the greater part of them, written by people who took pleasure in recording and magnifying events in which, they flattered themselves, they had bewn considerable actors. How obstinately the enty of Paris upon that occasion defended itscif, what a dreadful famine it supported rather than submit to the best and afterwards to the most beloved of all the Freuch kmgs, is well known. The greater pait of the eitizens, or those who governed the greater part of them, fought in defence of their own importance, which they foresaw was to be at an end whenever the ancient government sloould be re-established. Our colo. mes, unless they can be induced to consent to a unton, are very likely to defind themselves agamst the best of all mother countries, as obstuntely as the eity of Paris dud agamst one of the best of kings.

The idea of representution was unknown in ancicat times. When the people of one state were ad nitted to the right of citizenship in another, they had no other meaps of exercising that rught but by coming in a body to sote and deliberate with the people of that other st tite. The admission of the greater part of the imhbitants of Italy to the prist-
leges of Roman citizens, completely runed the Roman republic. It was no longer por sible to distinguish between who was and who was not a Roman eitizen. No tribe could know its own members. A rabble of any kind could be introduced into the assemblies of the people, could drive out the real citizens, and decide upon the affars of the republic as if they themselves had been such. But though America were to send fifty or sixty new representatives to parliamer $t$, the doorkeeper of the House of Commons could not find any great difficulty in distinguishing between who was and who was not a member. Though the Roman constitution, therefore, was necessarly ruined by the union of Rome with the allied states of Italy, there is not the least protability that the British constitution would be hurt by the union of Great Britain with ber colonies. That constitution, on the contrary, would be com. pleted ty it, and seems to be imperfect without it. The assembly which deliberates and decides concerning the affairs of every part of the empire, in order to be properly inforned, ought certainly to have representativet from every part of it. That this union, howe tar, could be easily effectuated, or that diffic, thes and great difficulties mght not occuian the execution, I do not pretend. I have yct heard of none, however, which appear insurmountable. The princioal perhaps arise, not from the nature of things, but from the prejudices and opinions of the people both on this and on the other side of the Atlantic.

We, on this side the water, are afraid lest the multitude of American representatives should overturn the balance of the constrtution, and increase too much ether the influence of the crown on the one hand, or the force of the democracy on the other. But if the number of American representatives were to be in proportion to the produce of American taxation, the number of people to be managed would increase exactly in proportion to the means of managing them; and the means of managing, to the number of people to be managed. The monarchical and democratiral parts of the constitution would, after the union, stand exactly in the same degrec of relative force with regard to one another as they bad done before.

The people on the other side of the water, are afraid lest their distance from the seat of government might expose them to many oppressions. But their representatises in parliament, of which the number ought from the first to be considerable, would easily be able to protect them from all oppression. The distance could not much weaken the dependency of the representative upon the constituent, and the former would still feel that he owed his suat in parliament, and all the consequence which he derived from it, to the
good will of the latter. It would be the interest of the former, therefore, to cultivate that good will by complaining, with all the authority of a member of the legislature, of every outrage which any civil or military officer might be guilty of in those renote parts of the empire. The distance of America from the seat of government, besides, the natives of that country might flatter themselves with some appearance of reason too, would not be of very long continuance. Such has hitherto been the rapid progress of that country in wealth, population, and improvement, that in the course of little more than a century, perhaps the produce of American might exceed that of British taxation. The seat of the empire ould then naturally remove itself to that part of the empire which contributed most to the $g$ neral defence and support of the whole.
X The discovery of America, and that of a passage to the East Indies by the Cape of Good Hope, are the two greatest and most importans events recorded in the history of mankind. Their consequences have already been very great: but, in the short petiod of between two and three centuries which has elapsed since these discoveries were m de, it is impossible that the whole extent ${ }^{+}$their consequences can have been seen. What benetits or what misfortunes to maakind may hereafter result from those great evients, no human wisdom can foresee. By uniting, in some measure, the most distant papts of the world, by enabling them to relieve one another's wants, to increase one another's enjoyments, and to encourage one another's industry, their general tendency would seem to be beneficial. To the natives, however, both of the East and West Indies, all the commercial benefits which can have resulted from those events have been sunk and lost in the dreadful misfortunes which they have occasioned. These misfortunes, however, seem to have arisen rather from accident than from any thing in the nature of those events themselves. At the particular time when these discoveries were made, the superiority of force happened to be so great on the side of the Europeans, that they were enabled to commit with impunity every sort of injustice in those remote countries. Hereafter, perhaps, the natives of those countries may grow stronger, or those of Europe may grow weaker, and the inhabitants of all the different quarters of the world may arrive at that equality of courage and force which, by inqiiring mutual fear, can alone overawe the injustice of independent nations into some sort of respect for the rights of one another. But nothing seems more likely to establish this equality of force than that mutual communication of knowledge and of all sorts of improvements which an extensive commerce from all comptrics to all countries natu-
rally, or rather necessarily, carries alung with it.

In the mean time, one of the priwcipal effects of those discoveries has been to raise the mercantile system to a dogree of splendour and glory which it could never otherwise have attained to. It is the object of that system to enrich a great nation rather by trade and manufactures than by the improvement and cultivation of land, rather by the industry of the towns than by that of the country. But, in consequence of those discoveries, the commercial towns of Europe, instead of being the manufacturers and carriers for but a very small part of the world, (that part of Europe which is washed by the Atlantic ocean, and the countries which lie sound the Baltic and Mrditerranean seas, ) have now become the manufacturers for the numerous and thriving cultivators of America, and the carriers, and in some respects the manufacturers too, for almost all the different nations of Asia, Africo, and America. Two new worlds have been opened to their industry, each of them much greater and more extensive than the old one, and the market of one of them growing still greater and greater every day.

The countries which possess the colonics of America, and which trade directly to the East Indies, enjoy, indeed, the whole show and splendour of this great commerce. Other countries, however, notwithstanding all the invidous restraints by which it is meant to exclude them, frequently enjoy a greater share of the real benefit of it. The colonies of Spain and Portugal, for example, give more real encouragement to the industry of other countries than to that of Spain and Portugal. In the single article of linen alone the consumption of those colonies amounts, it is said, but I do not pretend to warrant the quantity, to more than three millions sterling a year. But this great consumption is almost entircly supplied by France, Flanders, Molland, and Germany. Spain and Portugal furnish but a small part of it. The capital which supplise the colonies with this great quantity of linen is annually distributed among, and furnishes a revenue to, the inhalitants of those otber countries. The profits of it only are spent in Spain and Portugal, where they help to support the sumptuous profusion of the merchants of Cadia and Lisbon.

Even the ragulations by which each nation endeavours to secure to itself the exclusive trade of its own colones, are fre quently more hurtful to the countries in favour of which they are established than to those against which they are estallished. The unjust oppression of the industry of other countrics fills back if I mag say su ,
upon the head, of the oppressors, and erashes their industry more than it does that of thuse other countres. By those refulations, for example, the merchant of Hamburgh must send the linen which be devines tor the American market to London, and he must brang back from thence the tubaceo which be destines for the Germun marhet, because he can meither send the ore directly to America, nor bang back the other directly from thence. By this rentrant he is probstbly obliged to sell the one sum what cheaper, and to buy the other somew hat dearer, than be otherwise might have done; and his profits are probably semewhat abridicd by means of it. In this trade, huwever, betwcen IIamburgh and Landon, be certainly recenves the returns of lis apital much more quickly than he could porsbly have done in the direct trade (1) America, even though we should suppore, what is by no means the case, that the payments of America were as punctual as thuse of London. In the trade, therefore, to which those regulations confine the merthant of Hamburgh, his capital can keep in constant employnent a much greater quantity of German industry than it possibly could have done in the trade from which he is extluded. Though the one employinent, therefore, may to him perhaps be less profitahle than the other, it cannot be less advantageous to his country. It is quite oherwise with the employment into which the monopoly naturally attracts, if 1 may say so, the capital of the London merchant. That employment may, perhaps, be more prohtable to hum than the greater part of ather employments, but on account of the slowness of the returns, it cannot be more advantageous to his country.

After all the unjust attempts, therefore, of every country in Europe to engross to itself the whole advantage of the trade of its own colonies, no country has yet been able to engross to itself any thing but the expense of supporting in tome of peace and of defendung in tume of war the oppressive authority which it assumes over them. The inconsemencies resulting from the possession of its colonies every country has engrossed to $t$ velf completely. The advantages resulting trom their trade it has been obliged to share with many other countries.

At first sight, no doubt, the monopoly of the great commerce of America naturally seens to be an acquisition of the highest value. To the undiscerning eye of goddy ambition, it naturally presents itself amidst the confused scramble of politics and war, is a very dazzling object to fight for. The diacching splendour of the object, however, the ummense greatness of the commerce, is the very quality wheh renders the monopoly of it hurcful, or which makes one
employment - in its own nature necessarily less advantageous to the country than the greater part of other employments - absorb a much greater proportion of capital of the country than what would otherwise have gone to it.

The mercantile stock of every country, it has been shown in the second book, naturally seeks, if one may say su, the employment most advantageous to that country If it is employed in the carrying trade, the country to which it belungs becomes the emporium of the goods of all the countrics whose trade that stock carries on. But the owner of that stock necessarily wishes to dispose of as great a part of those goods as he can at home. $H_{\sim}$ thereby saves himself the trouble, risk, and expense of exportation, and he will upon that account be glad to sell them at home, not only for a much smallet price, but with somewhat a smaller protit than he might expect to make by sending them abroad. He naturally, therefore, endeavours as much as he can to turn his carrying trade into a foreign trade of consumption. If his stock again is employe, in a foreign trade of consumption, he will, for the same reason, be glad to dispose of at home as great a part as he can of the home goods, which he collects in order to export to some foreign market, and he will uhus endeavour, as much as he can, to turn his forcign trade of consumption anto a home trade. The mercantile stock of every country naturally courts in this manner the near, and shuns the distant employment; naturally courts the employment in which the returns are frequent, and shuns that in which they are distant and slow; naturally courts the employment in which it can mantain the greatest quantity of productive labour in the country to which it belongs, or in which its owner resides, and shuns that in Fhich it can mantain there the smallest quantity. It naturally courts the employment which in ordnary cases is most advantageous, and shuns that which in ordinary cases is least advantageous to that country.

But of in any of those distant employments, which in ordinary cases are less advantageous to the country, the profit should happen to rise somewhat higher than what is sufficient to balance the natural preference which is given to nearer employments, thus superiority of profit will draw stock from those nearer employments, till the profits of all return to their proper level. This superiority of profit, however, is a proof that, in the actual circumstances of the society, those distant employments are somewhat undenstocked in proportion to other employments, and that the stock of the socicty is not distributed in the properest manner among all the $d$ fferent emplovments carries
on in it. It is a proof that something is either bought cheaper or sold dearer than it ought to be, and that some particular class of citizens is more or less oppressed, either by paying more or by getting less than what is suitable to that equality which ought to take place, and which naturally does take place among all the different classes of them. Though the same capital wever will maintain the same quantity of productive labour in a distant as in a near employment, yet a distant employment may be as necessary for the welfare of the society as a near one; the goods which the distant employment deals in being necessary, perhaps, for carrying on many of the nearer employments. But if the profits of those win deal in such goods are above their proper level, those goods will be sold dearer than they ought to be, or somewhat above their natural price, and all those engaged in the nearer employments will be more or less oppressed by this high price. Their interest, therefore in this case requires that some stock should be withdrawn from those nearer employments, and turned towards that distant one, in $m$ ler to reduce its profits to their proper 1 , and the price of the goods which it dej.es in to their natural price. In this extraordinary case, the public interest requires that some stock should be withdrawn from those employments which in ordinary cases are nore advantageous, and turned towards one which in ordinary cases is less advantageous to the public: and in this extraordinary case, the natural interests and inclinations of men coincide as exactly with the public interest as in all other ordinary cases, and lead them to withdraw stock from the near, and to turn it towards the distant employment.

It is thus that the private interests and passions of individuals naturally dispose them to turn their stock towards the employments which in ordinary cases are most advantageous to the society. But if from this natural preference they should turm too much of it towards those employments, the fall of profit in them and the rise of it in all others immediately dispose them to alter this faulty distribution. Without any intervention of law, therefore, the private interests and passions of men naturally lead them to divide and distribute the stock of every society, among all the different employments carried on in it, as ncarly as possible in the proportion which is most agrecable to the interest of the whole society. ${ }^{1}$

All the different regulations of the mercantile system necessarily derange more or less this natural and most advantageous distribution of stock. But those which concern

1 Capitals are uniformiy attracted to those employmente in which it is supposed they will, all things considered, neld the largest nett probts: and, how-
the trade to America and the East Indies derange it perhaps more than any other; because the trade to those two great conLinents absorbs a greater quantity of stock than any two other branches of trade. The regulations, however, by which this derangement is effected in those two different branches of trade are not altogether the same. Monopoly is the great engıne of both; but it is a different sort of monopoly. Monopoly of one kind or another, indeed, seems'to be the sole engine of the mercantile system.

In the trade to America every nation endeavours to engross as much as possible the whole market of its own colonies, by fairly excluding all other nations from any direct trade to them. During the greater part of the sixteenth century, the Portuguese ens deavoured to manage the trade to the East Indies in the same manner, by claming the sole right of sailing in the Indian seas, on account of the merit of having first found out the road to them. The Dutch still continue to exclude all other European nations from any direct trade to their spice islands. Monopolies of this kind are evidently eatab. lished against all other European nations, who are thereby not only excluded from a trade to which it might be convenicut for them to turn some part of therr stock, but are obliged to buy the goods which that trade deals in somewhat dearer, than if they could import them themselves directly from the countries which produce them.

But since the fall of the power of Portugal, no European nation has clamed the exclusive right of sailing in the Indian seas, of which the principal ports are now open to the ships of all European nations. Except in Portugal, however, and within these few years in France, the trade to the East Indies has in every European country bren subjected to an exclusive company. Monopolies of this kind are properly established against the very nation which erects them. The greater part of that nation are thereby not only excluded from a trade to which it might be convenient for them to turn some part of their stock, but are obliged to buy the goods which that trade deals in, somewhat dearer than if it was open and free to all their countrymen. Since the establish. ment of the English East India company, for example, the other inhabitants of England, over and ahove being excluded from the trade, must have paid in the price of the East India goods which they have consumed, not only for all the extraordinary profita which the company may have made upon those goods in conscquence of their monapoly, but fur all the cxtraordinary waste
ever much they may Affer in other respects, surh employments ats yidel the same nett protsts are equally adrantagcous to the public.
which the fraud and abuse, anseparable fion the management of the affuns of so great a comprany, must necessarily have oceasioned. The absurdity of this secoud kind of nonopoly, therctore, is much more manifest than that of the first.

Both these kinds of monopolies derange mote or less the natural distribution of the stock of the socrety, but they do not always derange it in the same way.

Monopolies of the frst kind always attract to the particular tride in which they are ese tablished, a greater proportion of the stock of tho society than what would go to that trade of its own accord.

Monopolies of the second hind may sometimes attract stock towards the particular trade in which they are established, and somstumes repel it from that trade according to different circumstances. In poor countries they naturally attract towards that trade more stock than would otherwise go to it lin rich countries they naturally repel fiom it a good deal of stock which would otherwise go to it.

Such poor countries as Sweden and Denmark, for example, would probably have never sent a sungle slup to the East Indies, had not the trade been subjected to an exclusivc company. The establishment of such a company necessarly encourages adventurcrs. Therr monopoly secures them against all competitors in the home market, and they have the same chance for foreign markets with the traders of other nations. Their monopoly shows them the certainty of a great profit upon a consuderable quantity of goods, and the chance of a considerable profit upon a gieat quantity. Without such extraordhary encouragernent, the poor traders of such poor countries would probably never have thought of hazarding their small capituls in so very distant and uncertain an adventure as the trade to the East Indies must naturally buve appeared to them

Such a ruch country as Holland, on the contrary, would probably, in the cave of a free trale, send many more ships to the East Indres than it actually does. The Innited stock of the Dutch East India company probably repels from that trade many greit inercantule capitals which would otherwhe go to it. The mercantile capital of Hulland is so graat that it is, as it were, conturatly overtlowing, sometimes into the public funds of foreign countries, sometimes into loaus to private traders and adventurers of fureigu countres, sometimes into the most roundabout foreign trades of consumption, and sometimes into the carrying trade. All

- This is hicorrectly stated The commerce betuern formugat ad indla was at no time open to all the subjects of the former With the excention of a sint : period, when it wa placed in the bacds of tuo exthisse comp umes, it wis conducted uodor a regal twonupo'y, bs medos of a hiesesed number of register
near ermioy ments bueso compieveld plyd up, all the capital whit con 8 daced us them with any tolerdble rofit lenhy ated: placed in theni, the capity of whad ceswarly flows towards the hast dilaipgor ployments. The tiade to the pint Indered it were altogether tree, would proventabsorb the greater part of this redundant caphe tal. The East Indies olfer a market both for the manufactures of Europe and for the gold and silver, as well as for several other productions of America, greater and more extensive than both Europe and America pui together.

Every derangement of the natural distribution of stock is necessarily hurtful to the society in which it takes place; whether it be by repelling from a particular trade the stock which would otherwise go to at, or by attracting towards a particula trade that which would not otherwise come to it. If, without any exclusive company, the trade of Holland to the East Indies would be greaten than it actually is, that country must suffer a considerable loss by part of its capital being exchuded from the employment nost, convenient for that part. And in the same manner, if, without an exclusive company, the trade of Sweden and Denmark to the East II dies would be less than it actually is, or, what perbaps is more probable, would not exist at all, those two countrien must hikeu ise suffer a considerable loss by part of their cayital being drawn into an employment which must be more or less unsuitable to their present circumstances. Better for them, perbaps, in their present circumstances, to buy East India goods of other nations, even though they should pay somewhat dearer, than to turn so great a part of ther small capital to so very destant a trade, in which the re:urns are so veiy slow; in which that capital can maintain so small a quantity of productive labour at home, where productive labour is so much wanted, where so little is done, and where so much is to do.

Though whthout an exclusive company, therefure, a particular country should not be able to carry on any direct trade to the East Indies, it will not from thence follow that such a company ought to be established there, but only that such a country ought not in these crrcumstances to trade directly to the East Indies. That such companies are not 1 n general necessary for carrying on the East Indiu trade, is sufficiently demonstrated by the experience of the Portuguese, who enjoyed almost the whole of it for mone than a century together, without any exclusive company. ${ }^{1}$
ships, nearly in the same way as the intercourse formerly carried on between Spanm and her South Aneric.un colonies. The internal trade of India, was in a great meastre leit to induriduals by the king ; Dit it was made a jub of by the governors, and othar oithelal personages, who sold lucenses for carryng is
( No private merchant, it has been said, could well have capital sufficient to mantain factors and agents in the different ports of the East Indies, in order to provide goods for the ships which he might occasionally send thither; and yet, unless he was able to do this, the defficulty of finding a cargo might frequently make his ships lose the season for returning, and the expense of so long a delay would not only eat up the whole profit of the adventure, but frequently occasion a very considerable loss.) This argument, however, if it proved any thing at all, would prove that no one great branch of trade could be carried on without an exclusive company, which is contrary to the experience of all nations. There is no great branch of trade in which the capital of any one private merchant is sufficjent for carrying on all the subordinate branches which must be carried on, in order to carry on the principal one. But when a nation is ripe for any great brancb of trade, some merchants naturally turn their capitals towards the principal, and some towards the subordinate, branches of it; and though all the different branches of it are in this manner carried on, yet it very scldom happens that they are all carried on by the capital of one private merchant. If a nation, thef fore, is ripe for the East India trade, a certain portion of its capital will naturally divide itself among all the different branches of that trade. Some of its merchants will find it for their interest to reside in the East Indies, and to employ their capitals there in providing goods for the ships which are to be sent out by other merchants who reside in Europe. The settlements which different European nations have obtained in the East Indies, if they were taken from the exclusive companies to which they at present belong, and put under the immediate protection of the sovereign, would render this residence both safe and easy, at least to the merchants of the particular nations to whom those settlements belong. - If at any particular time that part of the capital of any country which of its own accord tended and inclined, if I may say so, towards the East India trade, was not sufficient for carrying on all those different branches of it, it would be a proof that, at that particular time, that country was not ripe for that trade, and that it would do better to buy for some time, even at a higher price, from other European nations, the East India goods it had occasion for, than to import them itself directly from the East Indies. What it might lose by the high price of those goods could seldom be equal to the loss which it would sustain by the distraction of a large portion of its
on to any adventurer, however worthless, who could sfford to purchsse them. See M'Pherson's Com.
capital from other employmunts more necosary, or more useful, or more suitable to its circumstances and situation, than a direct trade to the East Indies.

Though the Europeans possess muny considerable settlements both upon the cuast of Africa and in the East Indes, they hase not yet established in either of those countries such numerous and thriving colonies as those in the islands and continent of America. Africa, however, as well as several of the countries comprehended under the general name of the East Indice are inhabited by barbarous nations. But thuse nations were by no means so weak and defenceless as the miserable and helpless Americans; and, in proportion to the natural fertility of the countries which they inhabited, they were besides much nore populous. The most barlarous nations cither of Africa or of the East Indoes were shepherds; even the Hottentots ware so But the natives of every part of Americs except Mexico and Peru, were only hunters, and the difference is very great between the number of shepherds and that of hunter whom the same extent of equally fertile territory can maintain. In Africa and tho East Indies, therefore, it was more difficult to displace the natives, and to extend the European plantations over the greater part of the lands of the original inhobitants., The genius of exclusive companied, besides, is unfavourable, it has already been obscrvid,' to the growth of new colonies, and has probably been the principal cause of the little progress which they have macle in the East Indies. The Portuguese rarried on the trade both to Africa and the East Indics without any exclusive companies, and their settlements at Congo, Angola, and Bengucla on the coast of Africa, and at Goa in the East Indies, though much depressed by superstition and every sort of bad government, yet bear some faint resemblance to the colonies of America, and are partly inhabited by Portuguese who have been established there for several gencrations. 1 The Dutch settlements at the Cape of Good Hope and at Batavia are at present the most considerable colonies which the Europeans have established either in Africa or in the East Indies, and buth these settlements are peculiarly fortunate in therr situations. The Cape of Good Hope was inhabited by a race of people almost as barbarous and quite as incapable of defending themselves as the natives of America. It is besides the half-way bouse, if one may say so, between Europe and the East Induc: at which almost every European stip makes some stay both in going and returning. The
merce treth India, p 348, and Mictie's Lusiad, vol. i. p 234
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supplying of those ships with every sort of fresh provisions, with fruit and sometimes with wine, affords alone a very extensive market for the surplus produce of the colonists. What the Cape of Good Hope Is between Europe and every part of the East Induea, Batavia is between the principal countries of the Fast Indies. It hes upon the most frequented road from Indostan to Chna and Japan, and is nearly about midw.ty upons that road. Almost all the ships, too, that sull betreen Europe and Chna touch at Butavia; and it is, over and above all this, the centre and principal mart of what is called the country trade of the East Indes, not only of that part of it which is carred on by turopens, Lut of that which is carricd on by the native Indians; and vessels mavgated by the inhabitants of China and Japan, of Tonqum, Malacea, CochinChom, and the island of Celebes, are frefuently to be seen in ats port. Such adbuntageons situations have enabled those two colones to sumount all the obstacles which the oppressuve genus of an exclusive company may have oceasionally opposed to ther growth. They have enabled Batavia to surmount the addtional disadvantage of perhaps the most unwholesome climate in the world.

The English and Dutch companies, though they have established no considerable colontes, except the two above mentioned, have both made considerable conquests in the East Indies. But in the manner in which they both govern their new subjects, the nirtural genues of an exclusive company has shown atelf most distinctly. In the spice mands the Duteh are said to burn all the sphernes which a furtile season produces beyond what they expect to dispose of in Kurupe with such a profit as they thmok suffictut. In the islands where they have no wttlements, they give a premium to those aho collect the young blossoms and green leaves of the clove and nutmeg trees which notur.tly grow there, but which this savage policy has now, it is said, almost completely extupated. Even in the islands where they have settlements they have very much reduced, it is sad, the number of those trees. If the produce even of their own islands was wheh greater than what suited their market, the natron, they suspect, might find means to convey some part of it to other nations; and the best way, they imagine, to secure their own monopoly, is to take care that no more shall grow than what they themsches
ury to market. By different arts of op--...von they have reduced the population of biwe il of the Moluccas nearly to the numbre which is sufluient to supply with frebh provisions and other necessartes of lite their own mbgranicant garisons, and such of their ships as occasionally come there for a cargo
of spices. Under the government even of the Portuguese, however, those islands are said to have been tolerably well mhabited. The English company have not yet had tume to establish in Bengal so perfectly destructive a system. The plan of their government, however, has had exactly the same tendency. It has not been uncommon, I am well assured, for the chuef, that is, the first clerk of a factory, to order a peasant to plough up a rich ficld of poppies, and sow it with nice or some other grain. The pretence was, to prevent a scarcity of provisions; but the real reason to give the chief an opportunity of sclling at a better price a large quantity of opium, which he happened then to bave upon hand. Upon other occasions the order has been reversed; and a rich field of rice or other gram has been ploughed up, in order to make room for a plantation of popples; when the chief furesaw that extraordinary profit was likely to be made by opium. The servants of the company have upon several occasions attempted to establish in their own favour the monopoly of some of the most important branches, not only of the forcign but of the inland trade of the country. Had they been allowed to go on, it is impossible that they should not at some time or another have attempted to restrain the production of the particular articles of which they had thus usurped the monopoly, not only to the quantity which they themselves could purchase, but to that which they could expect to sell with such a profit as they mught think sufficient. In the course of a century or two, the policy of the English company would in this manner have probably proved as completely destructive as that of the Dutch.

Nothing, however, can be more directly contrary to the real interest of those companies, considered as the sovereigns of the countries wiich they have conquered, than this destructive plan. In almost all countries the revenue of the sovereign is drawn from that of the people. The greater the revenue of the people, therefore, the greater the annual produce of their land and labour, the more they can afford to the sovereign. It is his interest, therefore, to increase as much as possible that annual produce. But if this is the interest of every sovereign, it is pecuharly so of one whose revenue, like that of the sovereign of Bengal, arises chiefly from a land-rent. That rent must necessarly be in proportion to the quantity and value of the produce, and both the one and the other must denend upon the extent of the market. The quantity vill always be suited with more or less exactpess to the consumption of those who can afford to pay for 1t, and the price which they will pay mill always be in proportion to the eagerness of their competition. It is the interest of such a sovereigh,
therefore, to open the most extensive market for the produce of his country, to allow the most perfect freedom of commpres, in order to increase as much as possible the number and the competition of luyers; and upon this account to abolish, not only all monopohes, but all restraints upon the transportation of the home produce from one part of the country to another, upon its exportation to foreign countries, or upon the importation of goods of any kind for which it can be exchanged. He is in this manner most likely to increase both the quantity and value of that produce, and consequently of his own share of it, or of his own revenue.

But a company of merchants are, it seems, incapable of considering themselves as sovereigns, even after they have become such. Trade, or buying in order to sell again, they still consider as their principal business, and by a strange absurdity, regard the character of the sovereign as but an appendix to that of the merchant, as something which ought to be made subservient to it, or by means of which they may be enabled to buy cheaper in India, and thereby to sell with a larger profit in Europe. They endeavour for this purpose to keep out as much as possible all competitors from the makket of the countries which are subject to their government, and consequently to reduce at least some part of the surplus produce of those count,ies to what is barely sufficient for supplying their own demand, or to what they can expdet to sell in Europe with such a profit as they may think reasonable. Their mercantile habits draw them in this manner, almost necessarily, though perhaps insensibly, to prefer upon all ordinary occasions the little and transitory profit of the monopolist to the great and permanent revenue of the sovereign, and would gradually lead them to treat the countries subject to their government nearly as the Dutch treat the Moluccas." It is the interest of the East India company, considered as sovereigns, that the European goods which are carried to their Indian dominions, should be sold there as cheap as possible; and that the Indian goods which are brought from thence should bring there as good a price, or should be sold there as dear as possible. But the reverse of this is their interest as merchants. As sovereigns, their interest is exactly the same with that of the ct untry which they govern. As merchants their interest is durectly opposite to that interest.

But if the genius of such a government, even as to what concerns its direction in Europe, is in this manner essentially and perhaps incurably faulty, that of its administration in India is still more so. That administration is necessarily composed of a council of merchants, - a profession no doubt extremely respectable, but which in no
country in the world carriesalong with it that sort of authority which naturally overawes the people, and without force commands their willing obedience. Such a council can command obedience only by the miliary force with which they are accompaned, and therr government is therefore necessanly militiry and despotical. Their proper business, however, is that of merchants. It is to sell, ulen their masters' account, the Eulopean gouds consigned to them, and to buy in return ludian goods for the European market. It is to scll the one as dear and to buy the other as chieap as possible, and consequently to exclude as much as possible all rivals from the parteular market where they keep their ahop, The genius of the administration, therefure, so far as concerns the trade of the company, is the same as that of the direction It teods to make government subservient to the interent of monopoly, and consequently to stunt the natural growth of some parts at least of the surplus produce of the country to what is barely sufficient for answering the demand of the company,

All the members of the administration, besides, trade more or less upon their own account, and it is in vain to prohbit them from doing so. Nothing can be more cumpletely foolish than to expect that the clerks of a great counting-house at ten thousand miles distance, and consequently almost quite out of sight, should, upon a simple order from their masters, give up at once doing any sort of business upon their own account, abandon for ever all hopes of making a fortune, of which they have the means in their hands, and content themselves with the moderate salaries which those mastars allow them, and which, moderate as they are, can seldom be augmented, being comunonly as large as the real profits of the company trade can afford. In such circumstances, to probibit the servants of the company from trading upon their own account can have scarce any other effect than to enable the superior servants, under pretence of executing their masters' order, to oppress such of the inferior ones as have had the misfortune to fall under their displeasure. The servants naturally endeavour to establish the same monopoly in favour of their own private trade as of the public trade of the company. If they are suffered to act as they could wish, they will establish this monopoly openiy and direetly, by fairly prohibiting all other people from trading in the articles in which they choose to deal; and this, perhaps, is the best and least oppressive way of establinhing it. But if by an order from Europe they are prohibited from doing this, they will, notwathstanding, endeavour to establisha monopoly of the same kind, secretly and indarectly, in a way that is much more destructuve to the country. They will employ the whole authortty of
foremment, and pervert the adnumitration of justice, in order to harass and ran those who interfire with them in ony branch of commerce which, by means of agints, cither concorled, or at least not pulhicly avowed, they inny choose to carry on. Wut the private trade of the servants will naturally extend to a mueh greater variety of articles than the pubhe trade of the company. The public trade of the company extends no further than the trade with Europe, and comprehends a part only of the foreign trade of the country. But the private trade of the servalits may extend to all the different branches both of ats inland and foreign trade. The monopoly of the company can tend only to stunt the natural growth of that part of the surplus produce whech, in the case of a frectrade, would be cyported to Europe. That of the servants tends to stunt the natural growtls of every 1 prt of the produce in $w$ hich they choose to deal, of what is destumed for hone consumption, as well as of what is desthed for exportation; and consequently to desrade the cultivation of the whole country, and to reduce the number of its inluabitants. It tends to reduce the quantity of every sort of produce, even that of the necessalies of hfi, whenevcr the survants of the company chowe to deal in them, to what those servants can both afford to buy and expect to sell with such a profit as pleases them.

From the nature of their situation too the acl vants must be more disposed to support with rigorous severity their own interest afanst that of the country which they govern than their masters can be to support theirs. The country belongs to these masters, who cunnot avod having some regrard for the unterest of what belongs to them. Hut it does not belong to the servants. The rial muterent of their masters, if they were capable of understanding it, is the same with that of the country ${ }^{2}$, and it is from ignorance chefly and the meanness of mercantile prejudice, that they ever oppress it. But the real interest of the servants is by no means the same with that of the country, and the most perfiet information would not necessarnly put an end to therr oppressions. The regulations decordingty whach have been sent out from Lurive, though they have been frequently weak, l. qve upon most oceasions been wellmeaning. Nore intelligence and perhaps lua good meaming has sometiones appeared un those established by the scrvants in Inda. It is a very singular government in which wory momber of the admunistration wishes to get out of the country, and consequently to have done with the government, as soon

1 The interest of evers propmetor of Intia stock, however, is ty no means the same with that of the country in the govemment of which his vote gives him some mithores. See Book V. Chap i l'art 3 d (Note by the author.)
as he can, and to whose interest, the day after he has left it and carried his whole fortune with ham, it is perfectly indafterent though the hole country was swallowed up by an earthquake.

I mean not, however, by any thing which I have here sad, to throw any odious imputation upon the general character of the servants of the East India company, and mueh less upon that of any particular persons. It is the system of government. the situation in which they are placed, that I mean to censure; not the character of those who have acted in it They acted as thetr atuation naturally directed, and they who have clamoured the loudest against them would, probably, not have acted better themselves. In war and negotiation, the councils of Madras and Calcutta have upon several occasions conducted themselves with a resolution and decisive wisdom which would have done honour to the senate of Home in the best days of that republic. The members of those counclls, however, had been brcd to professions very different from war and politics. But their situation alone, without education, experience, or eren cxample, seems to have fomned in them all dt once the great qualities which it required, and to have inspired them both uth abuities and virtues which they themselves could not wel know that they possessed. If upon some occartons, theretore, it has animated them to actions of magnammity whub could not well have be 'n expected from them, we should not wonder if upon others it has piompted them to explosts of somewhat a different nature

Such exclusive companies, therefore, are nuisances in every rispect; always mone or less inconsenient to the countries in which they are establashed, and destructive to those which have the misfortune to fall under their governmint.?


## CHAP. VIII.

## Conclusion of the Mercantile Systion.

Tnouge the encouragement of exportation and the discouragement of importation are the two great engines by whinch the mercantile st stem proposes to enrich every country, $y$ ct, with regard to some particular commodites, it seems to follow an opposite plan : to discourage exportation and to encourage importation. Its ultimate object, however, it pretends, is always the same, to enrich the country by an advantageous balance of trade.
${ }^{2}$ For some farther obserrations on this subject, see the surplemental note on the Gorerament, hesenue, and Trade of Iudia.

If (s) courages the exportation of the materials of nanufucture, and of the instruments of trade, in order to give our own workmen an advantage, and to enable them to undersell those of other nations in all forergn markets : and by restraining, in this manner, the exportation of a few commodities, of no great price, it proposes to occasion a much greater and more valuable exportation of others. It encourages the mportation of the materials of manufacture, in order that our own people inay be enabled to work them up more cheaply, and thereby prevent a greater and more valuable importation of the manufactured commodities. I do not observe, at least in our statute book, any encouragement given to the importation of the instruments of trade. When manufactures have advanced to a certain pitch of greatness, the fabrication of the instruments of trade becomes itself the object of a great number of very important manufactures. To give any particular encouragement to the importation of such mstruments, would interfere too much with the interest of those manufactures. Such importation, therefore, instead of being encouraged has frequently been prohibited. Thus the importation of wool cards, execpt from Ireland, or when brought in as wrect or prize goods, was prohibited by the $2 d$ of Edward 1V.; which prohibition was renewed by the 39th of Elizabeth, and has been continued and rendered perpetuil by subsequent laws,

The importation of the materials of manufacture has sometimes been encouraged by an exemption from the duties to wheh other goods are subject, and sometimes by bounties.

The imp ritation of sheep's wool from several different countries, of cotton wool from all countries, of undressed flax, of the greater part of dyeing drugs, of the greater part of undressed hides from Ireland or the British colonies, of seal skins from the British Greenland fishery, of pig and bar iron from the British colonites, as well as of several other materials of manufacture, has been encouraged by an exemption from all duties, if properly entered at the custom-house. The private interest of our merchants and manufacturers may, perhaps, have extorted frim the legislature these exemptions, as well as the greater part of our other commercial regulations. They are, however, perfectly just and reasonable, and if, consistently with the necessitus of the state, they could be extended to all the other materials of manufacture, the public would certanly be a gaiuer.

The andity of our great manufacturers, however, has in some cases extended these exemptions a good deal beyond what can justly be considered as the rude materials of 1 'hus restriction no lonieer exists.
their woak. liy the 24 Geo 11. e. 4t. a sinall duty of only one penny the pound was imposed upon the ampartation of fortern brown linen yarn, instead of muh hugher duties to which it had been subjected betore, viz. of sixpence the pound upon sal yarn, of one shilling the pound upon all F rench and Dutch yarn, and of two pounds thinteen shillings and fourpence upon the hundred weight of all spruce or Muscovia yarn; but our manufacturers were not long satistied with this reduction. Dy the $2^{3}$ th of the same king, c. 15 . the same law whin gave a bounty upon the exportation of Ifritish and Irish linen, of which the price did not exeeed enghteenpence the yard, even this small duty upon the importation of brown linen yarn was taken away. In the different operations, however, which are nccessary fir the preparation of henen yarn, a good deal more industry is employed than in the sulssequent operation of preparing lamen eloth from linen yarn. To say nothing of the industry of the flax-growers and flax-drassers, three or four spinners, at least, are necessary, in order to keep one weaver in constant employment; and more than four. fifths of the whole quantity of labour, neecssary for the preparation of linen eluth is em. ployed in that of linen yarn; but our spisners are poor people-women commonly scattered about in all different parts of the country, without support or protection. It is not by the sale of their work, but by that of the complete work of the weavers, that our great master manufacturers mahe tha ir profits. As it is their intcrest to sull the complete manuacture as dear, so is it to buy the materials as cheap, as possible. By cxtorting from the legislature bounties upon the exportation of their own linen, high duties upon the importation of all firreign linen, and a total prolubition of the home consumption of some sorts of French linen, they endeavour to sell their own goods as dear as possible. By encourdying the inportation of forcign linen yarn, and thertby bringing it into compettion with that which is made by our own people, they endeavour to buy the work of the poor spinners as cheap as possible. They are as intent to keep down the wages of their own weguorm. as the earnings of the poor spmacrs, aud it is by no means for the bencfit of the workmen, that they endeavour either to rase the price of the complete work, or to low er that of the rude materials. $I t$ is the industry which is carried on for the beucfit of the ruth and the powerful that is primipally encouraged by our mercantale syatem. That wheh is carritd on for the benefit of the poor and the indigent, is too often cither neglected or oppressed.

Both the bounty upon the exportation of linen, and the exempition from duty upon the
mipert tion of forcign yarn, which were Erinted only for fifteen years, but continted is tivo differnt prolongations, expire with the end of the session of pralument which hall innedtataly follow the 2th of June, 17ヶ\%,

The encouragement given to the mportation of the matertals of manufacture by lountics has been principally confined to such as wire imported from our American plamtanons.

The farst bounties of this kind were those granted about the begunning of the present century, upon the inportation of naval stures from Amenca. Under this denomination, were comprehended timber fit for masts, yards, and bowsprits; hemp, tar, pith, and turpentine. The bounty, however, of one puund the ton upon mastingtimber, and that of six pounds the ton upon hemp, were extended to such as should be mpuited into Eagland from Scotland. Both these bounties continued without any variation, at the same rate, till they were scverally allowed to expire; that upon hemp on the list of Jantary 1741, and that upon mastingtimber at the end of the session of parliament unwedsately following the zth June, 781 .

The bounties upon the importation of tar, pitch, and turpentine underwent, during their contmuance, several alterations. Orimolaliv, that upon tar was four pounds the ton, that upon pitch the same; and that upon turpentme three pounds the ton. The buonty of four pouids the ton upon tar was aterwards confaned to such as had been prepared in a particular manner; that upon other good, clean, and merchantable tar was remetred to two pounds four shallings the ion. I he bounty upon pitch was hkewise reduced to one poand; and that upon turpentme to one pound ten shillings the ton

The secund bolanty upon the umportation of any of the materials of manutacture, according to the order of time, was that grinted by the 21 Geo. II. c. 30. upon the anportation of indigo from the British plantations When the plantation indigo $u 1^{-1}$ worth three-fourths of the price of the be at French mdigo, it was by this act entitied to a bounty of siapence the pound. This bounty, which, like most others, was granted only for a himited time, was conmined by seteral prolongations, but was reduced to fourpence the pound. It was allinut to expire with the end of the session it fariament wheh followed the 25th March, 17\1.

The third bountv of this kind was that granted (much about the time that we were beginuing sometines to court and sometimes to quarrel with our American colonies) by the $\pm$ Geo. III. c. 26. upon the mportation of hemp, or undressed flax, from

1 All reatnctions upon and regulations in regard to the trade in hacna, haen yarin, de., tave been repe.a'ed.
the Britash plaiatations. Tins bounty was granted for twenty-one vedrs, trom the 2 th June 1764, to the 2 hth Jupe 178j. For the first seven years it was to be at the rate of eight pounds the ton, for the secund at six pounds, and for the thard at four pounds. It was not extended to bcotland, of whinh the clanate (although hemp is sometnoses rased there in small quantitus, and of an inferior quality) is not very fit for that produce. Such a bounty upon the importation of Scotch Hax into England would have been too great a discouragement to the native produce of the southern part of the United Kingdom.

The fouth bounty of this kind was that granted by the 5 Geo. III. c. 45. upon the importation of wood from America. It was granted for mue years, from the lst January 1766, to the 1st January 1775 During the first three years it was to be for every hundred and twenty good deals, at the rate of one pound; and for every load contaming fifty cubic feet of other squared timber at the rate of twelve shillings. For the second three years it was, for deals, to be at the rate of fifteen shillings; and for other squared timber at the rate of eight shalhigs; and for the third three years it was, for deals, to be at the rate of ten shallings, and for other squared timber at the rate of tive shillings.

The fifth bounty of this kind was that granted by the 9 Geo. III. c. 38, upon the mportation of raw silk from the British plantatrons. It was granted for thenty-one years, from the 1st January 1770, to the 1st Januaiy 1791. For the first seven years it wus to the at the rate of thenty-five pounds for every hundred pounds' value; for the second, at twenty pounds; and for the third, at iftuen pounds. The management of the silk-womn, and the preparation of sulk, reyures so much hand labour, and labour is so very dear in America, that even this great bount:, I have been informed, was not likely to produce any considerable effect.

I he sixth bourity of this kind was that granted by the 11 Geo. 111. c. 50 . for the importation of pipe, bogihesd, and barrel staves and heading from the Bistish plantations. It has granted for mine yars, from 1st January 1779 , to the 1st January 1781. For the first three years it was for a certarn quanity of each to be at the rate of six pounds; for the second three years st four pounds; and for the third three years at two pounds.

The seventh and last bounty of this kind, was that granted by the 19 Geo. III, c. 37. upon the importation of hemp from Ireland. It was granted in the same manner as that for the inportation of themp and undressed flax from America, for tuenty-one years, from the 24th June 1779, to the $24 t h$ June 1800. This term is divided, likewise, into three periods of seven years each; and in each of those periods the rate of the Jush bounty is the same with that of the Amert-
can. It does not, however, like the American bounty, extend to the importation of undressed flax. It would have been too great a discouragement to the cultivation of that plant in Great Britan. When this last bounty was granted, the British and Irish legislatures were not in much better humour with one another than the British and American had been before. But this boon to Ireland, it is to be hoped, has been granted under more fortunate auspices than all those to America.

The same commodities upon which we thus gave bounties, when imported from America, were subjected to considerable duties when imported from any other country. The interest of our American colonies was regarded as the same with that of the mother country. Their wealth was considered as our wealth. Whatever money was sent out to them, it was said, came all back to us by the balance of trade, and we could never become a farthing the poorer, by any expense which we could lay out upon them. They were our own in every respect, and it was an expense laid out upon the improvement of our own property, and for the profitable employment of our own people. It is unnecessary, I apprehend, at present to say any thing further, in order to expose the folly of a system which fatal experience has now sufficiently exposed. Had our American colonies really been a part of Great Eritam, those bounties might have been considered as bounties upon production, and would stull have been liable to all the objections to which such bounties are liable, but to no other.

The exportation of the materials of ananufacture is sometimes discouraged by absolute prohibitions, and sometimes by high duties.

Our woollen manufacturers have been more successful than any other class of workmen, in persuading the legislatere that the prosperity of the nation depended upon the success and extension of their particular business. They have not only obtained a monopoly against the consumers by an absolute prohibition of importing woollen cloths from any foreign country, but they have likewise obtained another monopoly agranst the sheep farmers and growers of wool, by a similar prohibition of the exportation of luve sheep and wool. The severity of many of the laws which have been enacted for the security of the revenue is very justly complained of, as imposing heavy penalties upon actions which, antecedent to the statutes that declared them to be crimes, had always been understood to be innocent. But the cruellest of our revenue laws, I will venture to affirm, are mild and gentle, in comparison of some of those which the elamour of our merchants and manufacturers has extorted from the legislature, for the support of their own alssurd and oppressive monopohes. Like the laws of Draco, these laws may be said to be all written in blood.

By the 8th of Elizabeth, c. 3. the exporter of sheep, lambs, or rams, was for the
first offence to forfeit all his goods for cier, to suffer a year's imprisonment, and then to have his left hand cut off in a market town upon a market day, to be there nalled up; and for the second offence to be adjudiged a felon, and to suffer death accordungly. To prevent the breed of our sheep from bemg propagated in foregn cuantrics, seems to bave been the object of this law. Hy the 13th and 14th of Charles II. c. J. the exportation of wool was made felony, and the exporter subjected to the same penalties and forfeitures as a felon.

For the honour of the national humanity, it is to be hoped that nether of these statules were ever executed. The first of them, however, so far as I know, has never been directly repealed, and Serjeant Hankins seeras to consider it as still in force. It may, howevcr, perhaps, be considered as virtually repeded by the 12th of Charles II. c. 32. s. 3 , which, without expressly taking anay the penalties amposed by former statutes, imposes a new penalty, viz. that of twenty shifings for every sheep exported, or attempted to be exported, together with the forfeture of the sheep and of the owner's share of the ship. The second of them was exprescly repealed by the 7th and 8th of Wilham III. c. 28. s. 4., by which it is declared that, "Whereas the statute of the 13th and 142'n of Fing Charles II. made aganst the exportation of wool, among other things in the said act mentioned, doth enact the stme to be deemed felony; by the severity of which penalty the prosecution of offenders hath not been so effectually put in execution. Be it therefore enacted, by the authority foresan, that so much of the sand act which relates to the making the sard otfence felony be repealed and made vond."

The penalties, however, which are either imposed by this milder statute, or which, though imposed by former statutes, are not repealed by this one, are still suffictently severe. Besides the forfeiture of the goods, the exporter incurs the penalty of three shillings for every pound weight of wool either exported or attempted to be exported, that is, about four or five times the value. Any merchant or other person convicted of this offence is disabled from requiring any debt or account bclougng to hmen from any factor or other person. Let his fortune be what it will, whether he is or is not alle to pay those beavy penalties, the law means to ruin him completely. But as the morals of the great body of the people are not yet so corrupt as those of the contrivers of this statute, I have not heard that any advantage has ever been taken of this clause. If the person convicted of this offence is not able to pay the penalues within three months after judgment, he is to be transported for seven years, and if he returns before the expiration of that term, he is liable to the paias of felony, without benedit of clergy. The owner of the ship knowing thas offence forfents all his interest in the ship and fur-
niture The master and marnera byowing this offince fortut all therr goods and chatthts and suffir three inonths imprisonment. IBy a subsequent statute the master suffers sis monthe'imprisomment.
In order to prevent exportation, the whole inland commerce of wool is laid under very hurdensome and oppressive restrictions. It cannot be packed in any box, barrel, cash, case, - hist, or any other package, but only in packs of kuther or packeloth, on which must be marked on the outside the words encol or yarn, in large letterg not liss than three machis long, on patn of forfating the same and the pachaice, and three shillings for every pound "elght, to be pard by the owner or packer. It cannot be luaden on any horse or cart, or carried by land within five miles of the cost, but getween sun rising and sunsething, on pain of forferting the same, the horses and carrages. The bundred next alpming to the sea coast, out of or through which the wool is carried of exported, forfetts twenty pounds, if the wool is under the value of ten pounds; and if of greater valu, then treble that value, ogether with trible costs, to be sued fur within the year; the evecution to be aganst any two of the mhabrants, whom the scssions must reimbutse, by an assesement on the other inhabutants, as in the cases of robbery. And of any person compounds with the hundred for less than this penalty, he is to be mprisoned for five years, and any other person may prosecute. These regulations take place through the whole kingdom.
But in the particular countieg of Kent and Sussex the restuctions are stall more troulleaome. Every onner of wool within ten mules of the sca-cnast must give an arcount in whung, three days after shearang, to the next officer of the curtoms, of lie number of his Heeces, and of the places where they are lodged; and before he remores any part of hem he must give the like notice of the number and weight of the ileects, and of the name and abode of the prerson to whom they are sold, and of the place to whach it is intended they should be cartied. No person within fifteen mules of the sea, in the sald counties, can buy any noul, before he enters into bond to the king, that too part of the wool which he shall so buy shall be sold by him to any other person within fifteen miles of the sea. If any wool is found carrying towards the sea-side in the sad counties, unless it has been eintered and sccurny given as aforessid, it $2 s$ forfented, and the ollunder also forfeits three shllings for every pound weight. If any person lays any wool, not entered as atoresudd, within fifteen mules of the sea, it must he suzed and forfeited; and if, after such selzure, any person shall clam the same, he

1 The inftuence of the oppressice regulations nollied in the text has, we belicve, been much exayserath But bowever that may be, hisey are
must give security to the Exchequer, that if he is cast u,uon trial he shall pay truble coats, bessides aid wher penalties.

When such ristricuc are imposed upon the inland trade, the coasting trade, we may beheve, cannot be left very free. Every ownur of wool who carmeth or causeth to lue carried any wool to any port or place on the sea-coast, in order to be from thence transported by sea to any other place or port on the coast, must first cause an entry thereof to be made at the port from whence it is intended to be conveyed, contarning the werght, marks, and number of the packages before he brings the same wathin five milts of that port, on pain of forferting the same; and also the horses, carts, and other carnages; and also of suffering and forfeiting, as by the other laws in force against the exportation of wool. This law, however, (1 Will. ILI. c 32.) is so very induigent as to declare, that "this shall not hinder any person from carrying his wool home from the place of shearing, though it be within five miles of the sea, provided that in ten days atter shearing, and before he remove the wool, he do under lis hand certity to the next officet of the customs the true number of fleeces, and where it is housed, and do not remove the same, without certifying to such officer, under his hand, his intention so to do, three days before." Bond must be given that the wool to be carried coast-ways is to be landed at the particular port Sor which it is entered outwards; and if a part of it is landed without the presenc of an officer, not only the forferture of the wool is jncurred as in other goods, but the usual additional penalty of three shillings for every pound weight is Hkewise incurred.!

Our wonllen manufacturers, in order to justify their demand of such extraordinary restrictions and refulations, confidently asserted, that English wool was of a peculiar quality, superior to that of any other country; that the wool of other countres could not, ufthout some mirture of it, be wriught up into any tolerable manufacture; that the cloth could not be made without it ; that England, therefore, if the exportation of it could be totally prevented, could monopolise to herself almost the whole woollen trade of the world; and thus, having no nvals, could sell at what price she pleased, and in a short time acquire the most incredible digree of wealth by the most advantageous bslance of trade. This doctrine, like most other doctnnes which are confidently asserted by any considerable number ot people, was, and still continues to be, most implicitly believed by a much greater number; by almost all those who are etther unacquanted with the woollen trade, or who
bappily now ooly matter of bistory. The importstion and exportation of wool have for some years been quile free. bee arde, p. wh.
have not made particular inquiries. It is, however, so perfectly false, that English wool is in any respect necessary for the making of tine cloth, that it is altogether unfit for it. Fine cloth is made altogether of Spanish rool. English wool cannot be even so mixed with Spanish wool as to enter into the composition without spoiling and degrading, in some degrec, the tabric of the cloth.

It has been shown in the foregoing part of this work, that the effect of these regulations has been to depress the price of English wool. not only below what it naturally would be in the present times, but very much below what it actually was in the time of Edward III. The price of Scots wool, when in consequence of the union it became subject to the same regulations, is said to have fallen about one half. It is observed by the very accurate and intelligent author of the Memoirs of Wool, ${ }^{1}$ the Revereud Mr. John Sinith, that the price of the best English wool in England is generally below what wool of a veryinferiur qualty commonly sells for in the market of Amsterdam. To depress the price of this commodity below what may be called its natural and proper price, was the avowed purpose of those regulations; and there seems to be no doubt of their having produced the effect that was expected from them.

This reduction of price, it may perhaps be thought, by discouraging the growing of wool, must have reduced very much the annual produce of that commodity, tr ${ }^{p}$ ugh not, below what it formerly was, yet lelow what, in the present state of things, is probably would have been, had it, in consequence of an open and free market, been allowed to rise to the natural and proper prace. I am, however, disposed to belreve, that the quantuty of the annual produce cannot have been much, though it may perhaps have been a little, affected by these regulations. The growing of wool is not the chief purpose for which the sheep farmer employs his undusiry and stock. He expects his profit, not so much from the price of the fleece, as from that of the carcass; and the average or ordinary price of the latter must even, in many cases, make up to hm whatever deficiency there may be in the average or ordinary price of the former. It has been observed, in the foregoing part of this work, that "Whatever regulations tend to sink the price, etther of wool or of raw hides, below what it naturally would be, must, in an improved and cultivated country, have some tendency to raise the price of butcher's meat. The price both of the great and small cattle which are fed on improved and cultivated land, must be sufficient to pay the rent which the landlord,

[^90]and the profit which the farmer, has reason to expect from improved and cultuated land. If it is not, they will soon crase to feed them. Whatever part of this price, therefore, is not pad by the wool and the hide, must be paid by the carcase. The less there is paid for the one, the more must be paid for the other. In what manner this price is to be divided upon the different parts of the beast is indifferent to the landlords and farmers, provided it is all pald to them. In an improved and cultivated country, therefore, their interest as Jandlords and farmers cannot be much affected by such regulations, though their interest, as consumers, may, by the rise in the price of proviswons." According to this reasoning, therefore, this degradation in the price of wool is not likely, in an improved and cultivated country, to occasion any diminution in the annual produce of that commodity; except so far as, by raising the price of mutton, it may somewiat dimini.h the demand for, and consequently the production of, that particular species of butcher's meat. Its effect, however, even in this way, it 18 probable, is not very considerable.

But though its effect upon the quantity of the annual produce may not have been very consuderable, its effect upon the quality, it may perhaps be thought, must necessarily have been very great. The degradation in the quality of English wool, if not below what it was in former times, yet below what it naturally would have been in the present state of improverment and cultivaton, must have been, it may perhaps be supposed, very nearly in proportion to the degradation of price. As the quality depends upon the breed, upon the pasture, and upon the management and cleanliness of the sheep, during the whole progreas of the grox th of the flecce, the attention to these circumstances, it may naturally enough be magned, can never be greater than in proportion to the recompense which the price of the feece is hkely to make for the labour and expense which that attention requires. It happeas, bowever, that the goodnesa of the ticece depends, in a great measure, upon the health, growth, and bulk of the animal ; the same attention which is necessary for the improvement of the carcass, 1 s , in some respects, sufficient for that of the fieece. Notwithstanding the degradation of price, Englsh wool is said to have been improved considerably during the course even of the present century. The improvement might perhups have been greater if the price had veen better; but the lowness of price, chough it may have obstructed, yet certainly it has not altogether prevented, that improvement.

The violence of these regulations, there fore, seems to have affected neither the quan-

[^91]tity nor the pashity of the alloulal prodime of wool so much as it matith have been expreted to do: (thoment ithush it frobublide that it may have afficted the lattel a good ded more than the former;) and the interest of the growers of wool, though it must have benthart in some degres, seems, upon the whole, to have beet much less hart than could well have been tudarined. ${ }^{1}$

These consideritions, however, wilt not jutufy the ab-olute prohibation of the exportntion of wool. But they will fully dusify the imposition of a cousiderable tax upon that exportation
lo hurt in any degree the interest of any ane ordir of culizens, tor no other purpose but to promote that of some other, is evidently contrary to that justice and equality of tratiment which the sovereign owes to ald the daflerent orders of his subjects But the prohabition cortandy hurts, in sume degree, the intersst of the growers of wool, for no other purpose but to promote that of the manufacturers.
Every datferent order of ctizens is bound to contribute to the support of the sovereign or commonwealth. A tax of five, or even of ten shalhings upon the exportation of every tod of wool, would produce a very considernble revenue to the soveretign. It would hurt the interest of the groweis somewhat less than the prohibition, because it would not probibly lower the price of wool quite so sutuch. It would afford a sullicient advantage to the manufacturer, because, though he might not buy his wool altogether so cheap as under the ptohibition, he would still buy it, at least, five or ten shillings cheaper than any foretin manufacturer could buy th, besides saving the freight and msunance, which the other would be obliged to pay. It is scarce possible to devise a tax which could produce any considerable revenue to the soverengn, and at the same time olcasion so little neonvenuence to any body.

The prolubition, notwithstanding all the penalles wheh guard it, dues not prevent the exportation of wool. It is exported, it as well known, in great guantities. The ipreat difference between the prace in the fione and that in the fureign market, presents such a temptation to smurghag, that all the rigour of the law cannot prevent it. This Hegral exportation is adrantageous to nobody hut the sinuggler. A legal exportation subject to a tax, by alfording a revenue to the soverenga, and thereby saving the imposition of some other, perhaps, more burdensome nid inconverient taxes, migbe prove advanthreous to all the different subjects of the st.ate.
${ }^{1}$ For some oboerrations on this subject, see p. 107. nute.
${ }^{2}$ I hese restructions no longer exist Puller's ourth and tubaco-pupe chay mav be exported free of any duiy
a Jis the 6th Geo IV. cat 111 , a duly ot one-half

The apoitution of fallu जarth, ot fulter's Clay, mpposed to bre nectsary fur preparing and leamiog the wowllen mainutactures, has been subpected to the wly the canse peralties as the exporiation of nool. Even tobacerpipe clay, though acknouledged to he diftereut from fuller's elay, vet, on account of there resmblance, and becarse fuller's clay might sometames be exported as tobac co-pipe chay; has been laid under the same pruhibitions and penaltses. ${ }^{2}$

By the 13th and $14 t h$ of Charles 11. c. 7. the exportation, not only of raw hides, but of tanned leather, except in the shape of boots, shoes, or slippers, was prohibited; and the law gave a monopoly to our bootmakers and shoemakers, not only aganst our graziers, but against our tanners. By subsequent statules our tanners have pot themselves exemped from this monopuly, upon paying a small tax of only one shating on the bundred-weight of tanned leather, neighing one hundred and twelve potinds. They have obtained likew ise the drawback of two-thirds of the excise duties imposed upon their commodity, even when exported uithout furiber manufacture. All manufactures of leather may be exported daty-free; and the exporter is besides entitled to the draw. back of the whole duties of excise. ${ }^{3}$ Our graziers still continue subjeat to the old monopoly. Graziers separated from one another and divpersed through all the different corners of the country, cannot, without great difficulty, combine together for the purpose enther of umposing monopolies upon their fellow-cuzens, or of exempting themselves from such as may have buen imposed upon them by other people. Manafacturers of all kinds, collected together in wumerous bodies in all great cities, easily can. Even the horns of cuttle are prohibuted to be exporied; ${ }^{4}$ and the two masignaficant trades of the horner and comb-maker enjoy, in this respect, a munopoly arganst the graciers.

Hestraints, elther by prohibitions or by taxes upon the exportation of goods u hich are partially, but not comp letely manufactured, are not peculinr to the manufacture of leather. As long as any thing remains to be done, in order to fit any commodity for monediate use and consumptson, our manafacturers think that they themselves ought to have the doing of it. Woollen yarn and worsted are prohibuted to be exported under the same penalies as wool.s Even white clothes are subject to a duty upon exportation, and our dyers have so far obtanned a monopoly aganst our clothiers. Our clothiers would probably have been able to defond themselves against it, but it happens that the greater
per cent ad valosen was chasged on the exportition of all surts of manotactures of leathir, but th how reprealed

I his prolabition no longer exists.
5 'I hus reatmetth tws been repeaied. The daty on the exportathon of wo then yan mud worsteds is abo rfpaled
part of our principal clothters are themselves likewise dyers. Watch-cases, clock-cases, and dial-plates for clocks and watches, have been prohibited to be exported. Our clockmakers and watchmakers are, it seems, unwiling that the price of this sort of workmanship should be raised upon them by the competition of foreigners. ${ }^{1}$

By some old statutes of Edward III., Henry VIII., and Edward VI., the exportation of all metals was prohibited. Lead and tin were alone excepted; probably on account of the great abundance of those metals; in the exportation of which, a considerable part of the trade of the kingdom in those days consisted. For the encouragement of the mining trade, the 5th of William and Mary, c. 17., exempted from this prohibution, iron, copper, and mundic metal made from British ore. The exportation of all sorts of copper bars, foretgn as well as British, was afterwards yermitted by the 9th and 10th of William III. c. 26. The exportation of unmanufactured brass, of what is called gunmetal, bell-metal, and shroff-metal, still continues to be prohibited. ${ }^{3}$ Brass manufactures of all sorts may be exported duty-free.

The exportation of the materials of manufacture, where it is not altogether prohibited, is in many cases subjected to consi. derable duties.
By the 8 Geo. I. c. 15., the exportation of all goods, the produce or manufacture of Great Britan, upon which any duties bad been imposed by former statutes, was rendered duty-free. The following goods, however, were excepted: allum, lead, lead ore, tin, tanned leather, copperas, coals, wool cards, white woollen cloths, lapis calaminaris, skins of all sorts, glue, cog'ey harr or wool, hares' wool, hair of all sorts, horses, and litharge of lead. If you except horses, all these are either materials of manufacture, or incomplete manufactures (which may be considered as materials for still further manufacture), or instruments of trade. This statute leaves them subject to all the old duties which had ever been imposed upon them, the old subsidy and one per cent, outwards. ${ }^{3}$

By the same statute a great number of foreign drugs for dyers' use are exempted from all duties upon importation. Each of them, however, is afterwards subjected to a certain duty, not indeed a very heavy one, upon exportation. Our dyers, it seems, while they thought it for their interest to encourage the importation of those drugs by an exemption from all duties, thought it likewise for their interest to throw some

[^92]small discouragement upon their exportation. The avinty, however, which suggested this notable piece of mercantile ingenuly, most probably disappointed itself of $1 t \mathrm{~s}$ object. It necessarily taught the importers to be more careful than they might otherwise have been, that their imporiation should not exceed what was necessary for the supply of the home market. The hoine market was at all times hikely to be more scantily supplied; the commodaties were at all times likely to be somewhat dearer there than they would bave been had the exportation been rendered as free as the importation.

By the above-mentioned statute, gum senegal, or gum arabic, being among the enumerated dyeing drugs, might be imported duty-free. They were subjected indeed to a small poundage duty, arnounting only to threepence in the hundred-weight upon their re-exportation. France enjoyed at that time an exclusive trade to the country most productive of those drugs, that which hes in the neighbourhood of the Senegal; and the British market could not easily be supplied by the immedate importation of them from the place of growth. Hy the 25 Geo. 11., therefore, gum senegal was allowed to be imported (contrary to the general dispositions of the act of navigation) from any part of Europe. As the law, however, did not mean to encourage thes species of trade, so contrary to the general principles of the mercantule pohicy of England, it imposed a duty of ten sbillings the hun-dred-weight upon such importation, and no part of this duty was to be afterwards drawn back upon its exportation. The successful war which began in 1755 gave Great Britain the same exclusive trade to those countriea which France had enjoyed before. Ous manufacturers, as soon as the peace was made, endeavoured to aval themstlves of this advantage, and to establish a monopoly in their own favour, both against the growers and against the importers of this cominodity. By the 5th of Geo. III. therefore, c. 37., the exportation of gum senegal from his majesty's dominions in Africa was confined to Great Britain, and was subjected to all the same restrictions, regulations, forfeitures, and penalties, as that of the enumerated commodities of the Britash colonice in America and the West Indies. Its importation, indeed, was subjected to a small duty of sirpence the bundred-weight, but its reexportation was subjected to the enormous duty of one pound ten shillings the huadiedweight ${ }^{4}$ It was the intention of our manu-

[^93]facturirs that the whole produce of those conmenes bhould be imported into (ireat Bratan; and in order that they themsadves night be enabled to buy it at therrown price, that no part of at qhould be exported again, but at such an cretense as would sumfictently himeourage that exportation Their avidity, however, upout thw, as well as upon many other occalons, disajpointed itself of tis olyect. This enormuus duty presented such a temptation to sinugeling, that great quantities of this commodity were clandestinely exported, probably to all the manufacturing cointries of Lurope, but particularly to Ifollind, not only from Great Britam, but from Aifica. Ipon this account, by the 14 th of Geo. III. c 10 , thes duty upon exportation was ruduced to five sholings the hundredwetyht.

In the book of rates, according to which the old subsudy was levied, beaver skins were estimated at six shillings and elght-pence a-piece, and the different subsidies and mm posts, which before the year 1722 had been lind upon their importation, amounted to one-nith part of the rate, or to sixteen-pence "pon each skin; all of which, except half the old subsidy, amounting only to twopence, was drawn back upon exportation. This duty ujon the importation of so menportant a motertal of manufacture had been thought too hifh, and, in the year 17t2, the rate was rediced to two shillings and sixpence, which reduced the duty upon importation to sirpence, and of this only one half was to be draun back upon exportation. The same successful mar put the country most productive of beaver under the dommon of Great Britain, and beaver shins being among the enumerated commudities, their exportation fiom America was consequently conhined to the market of Great Britain. Uur manufacturers soon bethought themselves of the advantage which they might make of this circumstance, and, in the year 1764, the duty upon the importation of beaver-skin was reduced to one penny, but the duty upon cxportation was rased to sevenpence each shit, without any drawback of the dutv upon ismportation. By the same law a duty of ughteen-pence the pound was imposed upon the expurtation of beaver-wool or wombs, without making any alteration in the duty upon the importation of that commodity, whuh. when mported by British and in British shipping, amounted at that tune to between tuarpence and fivepence the prece ${ }^{1}$

Coals may be considered botly as a material of unanifacture and as an instrument of trade. Heavy duties, accordingly, have been tmposed upon their exportation, amountirg at present (1783) to more than five shullings
(Treatise on Taxation, \&c, 3rd ed . p. 2M5, \&re.) on which we have come to thes conclusion. Neat to the ofuce of India and the guano of Peru, the coal of Ligiand appears to ve pecubarty well fitted to be
the ton, or to more than fifteen shilhigs the chaldron, Neucastle measure; which is in most cases more than the original salue of the commodity at the coal pit, or even at the shipping port for exportation.?

The exportation, however, of the instruments of trade, properly so called, is commonly restrained, not by high dutres, but by absolute prohibitions Thus by the 71h and sth of Willam III. c. 20. s. 8. the exportation of frames or engines for knitting glovas or stockings is prohibited under the penalty, bot only of the furfenture of such trames or engines so exported, or attempted to be exported, but of forty pounds, one half to the $k \operatorname{lng}$, the other to the person who shall inform or sue fur the same. In the same manner, by the 14 th of Geo. III. c. 71 . the exportation to foreign parts, of any utensils made use of in the cotton, linen, woollen, and silk manufactures, is prohibited under the penalty, not only of the forfoiture of such utensils, but of two hundred pounds, to be paid by the person who shall offend in this inanner, and likewise of tho hundred pounds to be paid by the master of the ship who shall knowingly suffer such utensils to be loaded on board his ship. ${ }^{3}$

When such heavy penalties were imposed upon the exportation of the dead instruments of tralle, it could not well be expected that the living instrument, the artificer, should be allowed to go free. Accordingly, by the 5th of Geo. I. c.27. the person who shall be couvicted of enticing any artificer of or in any of the manufactures of Great Brition, to go into any foreign parts, in order to prac ise or teach his trade, is liable for the first offence to be fined in any sum not exceeding one bundred pounds, and to three monthe' imprisonment, and until the fine shall be pand; and for the second offence, to be fined in any sum at the discretion of the court, and to imprisonment for twelve months, and untul the fine shall be pard. By the $23 d$ of Geo. II. c. 13. this penalty is increased tor the first offence to five hundred pounds for every artificer so enticed, and to twelve months imprisonment, and until the fine shall be pard; and for the second offerse, to one thousand pounds, and to two years' impnisonment, and until the fine shall bo paid.

By the former of those two statutes, upon proof that any persun has been enticing any artificer, or that any artificer has promised or contracted to go into forelgn parts for the purposes aforesaid, such arthicer may be obliged to give secunty at the discretion of the court, that he shall not go beyond the seas. and may be committed to prison until he give such security.
charged with a duty on exportation. Besides yielding a revenue, it would be in other respects advantageous.
$3,3,3$ See Note 4 on previous page.

If any artificer has gone beyond the seas， and is exenctsing or teaching his trade in any foregn country，upon warming being given to bim by any of his majesty 8 monisters or consuls abroad，or by one of his majesty＇s secretaries of state for the time being，if he does not，within six months after such marn－ ing，return into this realm，and from thence－ forth abide and inhabit continually within the same，he is from thenceforth declared im amble of taking any legacy devised to him within this kingdom，or of being executor or administrator to any person，or of taking any lands within this kingdom by descent， devise，or purchase．He likewise forfets to the king all his lands，goods，and chattels，is declared an alıen 10 every respect，and is put out of the king＇s protection．

It is unnecessary，I imagine，to observe huw cuntrary such regulations are to the boasted liberty of the subject，of which we affect to be so very jealous；but which，in this case，is so plainly sacrificed to the fuute interests of our merchants and manufac－ turers．${ }^{1}$

The laudable motive of all these regu－ lations is to extend our own manufactures， not by their own improvement，but by the depression of those of all our neighbours， and by putting an end，as much as possible， to the troublesome competition of such odıous and disagree able rivals．Our master manufacturers think it reasonable that they themselves should have the monopoly of the ingenuity of all their countrymen．Though by restraning，in some trades，the number of apprentices which can be employed at one time，and by imposing the necessity of a long apprenticeship in all trades，they en－ deavour，all of them，to confine the know－ ledge of their respectue employments to as small a number as possible；they are unwil－ ling，however，that any part of this small number should go abroad to instruct fo－ reigners．

Consumption is the sole end and purpose of all production；and the interest of the producer ought to be attended to only so far as it may be necessary for promoting that of the consumer．The maxim is so perfectly self－evident，that it would be ab－ surd to attempt to prove it．But in the mercantile system，the interest of the con－ sumer is almost constanuly sacnficed to that of the producer；and it seems to consider production，and not consumption，as the ultimate end and object of all industry and commerce．

In the restraints upon the importation of ull foreign commoditues which can come into competition with those of our own growth or manufacture，the interest of the home consumer is evidently sacrificed to that of

1 The restrictions on the enugration of artizans were repealed In 1924.
the producer．It is altogether for the benc－ fit of the latter，that the former is oblige d to pay that enhancement of price which thas monopoly almost always orcasions

It is altogether for the bencfit of the pro－ ducer that bounties are granted upon the exportation of some of his productions．The home consumer is obliged to pay，hrst，the tax which is necessary for pasing the bounty， and secondly，the still greater tax wheh neccssanly arises from the eahancement of the price of the commodity in the home market．

By the famous treaty of commerce uith Portugal，the consumes is prevented by high duties from purchasing of a neighbourng country a commodity which our own chimate does not produce，but is obliged to purchase it of a distant country，though it is ack now－ ledged that the commodity of the distant country is of a worse quality than that of the near one．The home consumer is obliged to submit to this inconveniency，in order that the producer may mport into the distant country some of his productions upell more advantageous terms than he would otherwise have been allowed to do The consumer，too，is obliged to pay whatever enhancement in the price of those very pro－ ductions this forced exportation may occayon in the homé market．

Rut in the system of laws which has been establisbed for the management of our Amc－ ricnn and West Indian colomes，the interest of the home consumer has been sacrinced to that of the producer with a more extrava－ gant profusion than in all our other com－ merctal regulations．A great empire has been established for the sole purpose of rais－ ing up a nation of customers， w bo should be obliged to buy from the shops of our ditfer－ ent producers all the goods with which these could supply them．For the sake of that little enhancement of price a hich this mo－ nopoly might afford our producers．the home consumers have been burdened with the u hole expense of maintaining and defending that empire．For this purpose，and for this purpose only，in the two last wars，more than two hundred millions have been spent， and a new debt of more than a bundred and seventy milhons has been contracted over and above all that had been expended for the same purpose in former wars．The intereat of this debt alone is not only greater than the whole extraordinary proft which it eser could be pretended was made by the mono－ poly of the colony trade，but than the whole value of that trade，or than the whule value of the foods，which at an average have been annually exported to the colonies．

It cannot be very difficult to determine who have been the cominvers of this whole mercantule system；not the consumers，we may beheve，whose mterest has been en－ trely neglected；but the produccrs，whose
millerst has beon wo arclally attended to ; ald amomg this later divs, our merchants and manuiaturis have been by far the prin©pal architects lin the nercantile regulathins, which have been taken notice of in this Hapter, the misterent of our manufactarers has been most pecuharly attended to; and the miterst, not so much of the consumers as that of sonte other sets of producers, has been sactiaced to st.t

## CHAP. IX.

Of the Agricultural Systems, or of those Sustems of Pulitical Linonomy uhich represent the Poduce of Land as eather the sole or the pinmerpal Source of the Retente and Wealth of every Country.

The agricultural systems of polatical cconomy whil not requite so long an explanation as that which I have thought it necessary to lestow upon the mercantile or commercial system.

That system which represents the produce of land as the sole source of the revenue and weath of every country has, so far as I kinow, never been adopted by any nation, and it at present exists only in the speculatuns of a tew men of great learning and ingenuty in France. It would not, surely, be worth while to examine at great length the errors of a systen whth uever has done, and probably never will do, any harm in any part of the world. I shall endeavour to explan, however, as disunctly as I can, the great outines of this very ingenous system.
M. Culbert, the fanous minister of Lewis XIV., was a man of probity, of great industry and knowledge of detal ; of great experime and acuteness in the exammation

1 Before proceeding farther, we may observe, generally, that not one of the prohbltions referred to by Sunth here and elsea here suy longer exasts, aud a yast number of the duties that existed in his thase have been repealed. In thes respect, indeet, we are melined to think that we have gone too far, und that we have reduced and repealed varous dutice that should not have been miterfered with. l'revously to the edmimetration of Sir Robert Peel, our cuatoms tariff comprised above 1,000 items, many of whih were instenticut, and almost unproductive of revenue. The abohtion of the duties on these artules, and of those on the materials of manufacture, with the repeal of prohbutions aud the rulution of the duters on cundry artites of
of public accounts; and of abifites, in shert, every way fitt for introducing method and grood order anto the collection and expendsture of the public revenue. That manster had unfortunately embraced all the preju dices of the mercantile system, in in nature and essence a system of restrant and ugnu lation, and such as could scarce tul to be agreeable to a laboriots and plodding man of busaness, who had been accustomed to regulate the diflerent departinents of pubire othces, and to establish the necessary checks and controls for contumg each to ats pioper sphere. The industry and commerce of a great country, he endeavoured to regulate upon the same model as the departments of a public office; and instead of allowing every man to pursue his own interest his own way, upon the liberal plan of equality, hberty, and justice, be bestowed upon certann branches of andustry extraordinary privileges, while he had others under as extraordinary restraints. He was not only disposed, like other European ministers, to encourage more the industry of the towns than that of the country; but, in order to support the industry of the towns, he wus willing even to depress and keep down that of the country. In order to render provtsions cheap to the mhabitants of the towns, and thereby to encourage manufactures and toreign commerce, he prohbited altogether the exportation of corn, and thus exciuded the tnhabiants of the country from every forelgn market, for by far the must important pay of the produce of their industry. Ihis prehbition, joined to the restrants imposed by the ancient provincial laws of France upon the transportation of corn from one province to another, and to the arbitrary and degrading taxes which are levied upon the cultivators in almost all the provinces, discouraged and kept down the agriculture of that countly very much below the state to which it would naturally have risen in so very furtile a sonl, and so very happy a chmate. This state of discouragement and depression was felt more or less in every different part of the country, and many different inquiries were set on foot concerning the causes of it. One of those causes appeared to be the preference given by the
first-rate importance, were among the principd boons conferred on the country by that great mmster. Latterly, however, we have carried the poley which he maugurated to what appears to be a dangerous extreme. At the termination of Sir Robert Peel's admmistration, our tarif comprised above 400 artucles, whercas it now ( $1 \times 63$ ) comprises only about 4), whereof not more than from 10 to 12 are of any moportance. And these all, or mostly all, affect the necessiries or luxumes of the poor, those of the nch being ahnost wholly exempted from the burden of taxation We have elsewhere stated the grounds on wheh we thank that the syatem is pregnant with the most injurmis results. Treatise on Tanation \&c., 3rded., pe 22d-231.
institutions of Mr. Colbert to the industry of the towns above that of the country.

If the rod be bent too much one way, says the proverb, in order to make it straight you must bend it as much the other. The Fiench philosophers, who hare proposed the system which represents agriculture as the sole source of the revenue and wealth of every country, seem to have adopted this proverbial maxim; and as in the plan of Mr. Colbert the industry of the towns was certainly overvalued in comparison with that of the country, so in their system it seems to be as certainly undervalued. 1

The different orders of people who have ever been supposed to contribute in any respect towards the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, they divide into three classes. The first is the class of the proprectors of land. The second is the class of the cultivators, of farmers and country labourers, whom they honour with the peculiar appellation of the productive class. The third is the class of artificers, manufacturers, and merchants, whom they endeavour to degrade by the humiliating appellation of 'the barren or unproductive class.

The class of proprietors contributes to the annual produce by the expense whicl they may occasionally lay out upon the inn rovement of the land, upon the buildings, drains, inclosures, and other ameliorations, which they may either make or maintain upon it, and by means of which the cultivators'are enabled, with the same capital, to raise a greater produce, and consequently to pay a greater rent. This advanced rent may be considered as the interest or profit due to the proprietor, upon the expense or capital which he thus employs in the improvement of his land. Such experses are in this system called ground expenses (depenses foncieres).

The cultivators or farmers contribute to the annual produce by what are in this sysltem called the original and annual expenses (dcpenses primitives et depenses annuelles) which they lay out upon the culivation of the land. The original expenses consist in the instruments of husbandry, in the stock of cattle, in the seed, and in the maintenance of the farmer's family, servants, and cattle, during at least a greater part of the first year of his occupancy, or till he can receive some return from the land. The anuual expenses consist in the seed, in the wear and tear of the instruments of husbandry, and in the annual maintenance of the farmer's servants and cattle, and of his family too, so far as any part of them can be considered as servants employed in cultivation. That part of the produce of the land which remains to him after paying the rent, ought to be sufficient, first to

[^94]replace to him within a reusonable time, at least during the term of his occupancy, the whole of his original expenses, together with the ordinary profits of stock ; and, secundly, to replace to him annually the whole of his annual expenses, together likewise with the ordinary profits of stock. Those two sorts of expenses are two capitals which the farmer employs in cultivation; and unless they are regularly restored to him, together whih a reasonable profit, be cannot carry on his employment upon a level with other euployments ; but from a regard to his own mitercst, must desert it as soon as possible, and scek some other. That part of the produce of the land which is thus necessary for enabling the farmer to continue his business ought to be considered as a fund sacred to cultivation, which if the landlord violates, he necessarily reduces the produce of his own land, and in a few years not only disables the farmer from paying this racked rent, but from paying the reasonable rent which he might otherwise have got for his land. The rent which properly belongs to the landlord is no more than the neat produce which remains after paying, in the completest manner, all the necussary expenses which must be previously laid out, in order to raise the groses or the whole produce. It is because the labour of the cultivators, over and above paying completely all those necessary expenses, affurds a neat produce of this kind, that this class of people are in this system peculiarly dastinguished by the honourable appellation of the productive class. Their original and annual expenses are for the same reason called, in this system, productive espenses, because, over and above replacing their own value, they occasion the annual reproduction of this neat produce.

The ground expenses, as they are called, or what the landlord lays out upon the im: provement of bis land, are, in this systern too, honoured with the appellation of productive expenses. Till the whole of those expenses, together with the ordinary profita of stock, have been completely repard to him by the advanced rent which be gets from his land, that advanced rent ought to be regarded as sacred and inviolable, toth by the church and by the king; ougbt to be subject neither to tithe nor to taxation. If it is otherwise, by discouraging the improvement of land, the churcis discourages the future increase of her own tithes, and the king the future increase of has own taxes. As in a well ordered state of things, therefore, those ground expenses, over and above reproducing in the completest manner their own value, occaszon lukewise after a certain time a reproduction of a neat produce, they are in this $5 y$ stem considered as productive expenses.
The ground expenscs of the landlord, how-
ever. together with the ongenal and the nnnual expenses of the farmer, are the only tiree sorts of expenses which in this system are donsidered as productive, All other cxperwes, and all othicr orders of pcople, even those who an the common apprehensions of men are regarded as the most productive, are in this accomit of things represented as altogether barren and unproductive.
Artificers and manufacturers, in particular, whose indutery, in the common apprehensoons of men, mereases so much the value of the ruide produce of land, are in this sy stem rapresented as a class of people altogether marren and unproductive. Thecr laboar, it in satid replaces only the stock wheh employs them, together with its ordmary profits. Thit stock consists in the materials, touls, and wages, advanced to them by therr emploger; and is the fund destmed for their emg toyment and maintenance. Its profits are the fund destured for the maintenance of their employer. Their employer, as he advances to them the stock of materals, tools, and wages, necessary for their employment, to he advanees to humself what is necessary for hus own maintenance; and thes maintenance he generally proportions to the profit whela he expecta to make by the price of ther work. Unless its price repays to him the mautenance which he advances to himself, as well as the materials, tools, and wages, which he advances to his workmen, it evidently does not repay to him the whole expenco which he lays out upon it. The prothts of manufacturing stock, therefore, are not, like the rent of land, a neat produce wheh remains after completely repaying the whole erpense which must be lad out in oriler to ohtan them. The stock of the farmer yields him a profit, as well as that of the master manufacturer ; and it yields a rent likewise to another person, which that of the master manuficturer does not. The expense, therefore, lad out in employing and mantaning artificers and manufacturers dows no more than continue, if one may say so, the existence of its own value, and dows not produce any new value. It is therefore altogether a barren and unproductive expense. The expense, on the contrary, laid out in employing farmers and country labourers, over and abovecontinuing the existence of tts own value, produces a new value, - the rent of the landlord. It is therefore a productive expense.

Mercantule stock is equally barren and unproduetive with manufacturing stock. It only contmues the existence of its own value, without producing any new salue. Its profits are only the repayment of the mantenauce which its employer advances to hunself during the time that he employs it, or nul he receives the returns of it. They are
only the repayment of a part of the expens whach muit be laid out wemploying it.

The latiour of artificers and manufacturers nevir adds any thang to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce of the land. It adds indeed greatly to the value of some particular parts of it. But the consumption which in the mean time at occasions of other parts, is precisely equal to the value which it adds to those parts; se that the value of the whole amount is not, at any one moment of tume, in the least augm. nted by it. The person who works the lace of a pair of fine ruflles, for example, will sometumes raise the value of perhaps a pennyworth of flax to thirty pounds sterling. But though at first sight he appears thereby to multiply the value of a part of the rude produce about seven thousand and two hundred timos, he in rality adds nothing to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce The working of that lace costs him perbaps two years' labour. The thurty pounds which he gets for it when it is fimsherl, is no more than the repayment of the subsistence wheh he advances to himself during the two ycars that he is employed about it. The value which, by every day's, month's, or year's labour. he adds to the flax, does no more thinn replace the value of his own consumption during that day, month, or year. At no moment of time, therefore, docs he add any thing to the value of the whole annual amount of the rude produce of the land, the portion of that produce which he is contrnually consuming, berng always equal to the value which he is continually prodiacing. The extreme poverty of the greater part of the persons employed in thas expensive though trifing manufacture, may satisfy us that the price of their work does not an ordinary cases exceed the value of that subsintence. It is otherwise with the work of firmers and country labourers. The rent of the landlord is a value which, in ordmary cases, it is continually produchig, over and above replacing, in the most complite manner, the whole consumption, the whole expense laid out upon the employment and maintenance both of the workmen and of therr employer.

Artificers, manufacturers, and merchants, can augment the revenue and wealth of their society by parsmony only ; or, as it is expressed in thas system, by privation, that is, by depriving themselves of a part of the funds destined for their own subsistence. They annually reproduce nothing but those funds. Unless, thercfore, they annually save some part of then, unless they annually deprive themselves of the enjoyment of come part of them, the ret enue and wealth of therr society can never be in the smallest degrce augmented by means of their mdustry. Farmers
and country labourers, on the contrary, may enjoy completely the whole funds destined for their own subsistence, and yet augment at the same time the revenue and wealth of their soclety. Over and above what is des tined for their own subsistence, thetr industry ansually affords a neat produce, of which the augmentation necessarily augments the revenue and wealth of their society. Nations, therefore, which, like France or England, consist in a great measure of proprietors and cultivators, can be eariched by industry and enjoyment. Nations, on the contrary, which, like Holland and Hamburgh, are composed chiefly of merchants, artificers, and manu. facturers, can grow rich only through parsimony and privation. As the interest of nations so differently circumstanced is very different, so is likewise the common character of the people. In those of the former kind, liberality, frankness, and good fellowship, natu-d rally make a part of their common character; in the latter, narrowness, meanness, and a selfish disposition, averse to all social pleasure and enjoyment.

The unproductive elass, that of merchants, artificers, and manufacturers, is maintained and employed altogether at the expense of the two other classes, of that of proprietors, and of that of cultivators. They furnisli it both with the materials of its work and with the fund of its subsistence, with the cornand cattle which it consumes while it is employed about that work. The proprietors and cultivators finally pay both the wages of all the workmen of the unproductive class, and the profits of all their employers. Those workmen and their employers are properly the servants of the proprietors and cultivators. They are only servants who work without doors, as menial servants work within. Both the one and the other, however, are equally maintained at the expense of the same masters. The labour of both is equally unproductive. It adds nothing to the value of the sum total of the rude produce of the land. Instead of increasing the value of that sum total, it is a charge and expense which must be paid out of it.

The unproductive class, however, is not only useful, but greatly useful to the other two classes. By means of the industry of merchants, artificers, and manufacturers, the proprietors and cultivators can purchase both the foreign goods and the manufactured produce of their own country which they have occasion for, with the produce of a much smaller quantity of their own labour than what they would be obliged to employ if they were to attempt, in an awkward and unskilful manner, either to import the one, or to make the other for their own use. By means of the unproductive class, the cultivators are delivered from many carea which would otherwise distract their attention from
the cultivation of land. The superiority of produce, which, in consequenee of this undivided attention, they are enabled to false. is fully sufficient to pay the whole expense which the maintenance and employment of the unproductive class costs ether the proprietors or themselves. The industry of merchants, artificers, and manufacturers, though in its own nature altogether unproductive, yet contributes in this mannor indirectly to increase the produce of the land. It increases the productive puwers of productive labour, by leaving it at liberty to confine itself to its proper employment the cultivation of land; and the plough goes frequently the easier and the better by meany of the labour of the man whose business is most remote from the plough.

It ean never be the interest of the proprictors and cultivators to restram or to discourage in any respect the industry of merchants, artificers, and manufacturera. The greater the liberty which tha unproductive class enjoys, the greater will be the competition in all the different trades which compose it, and the cheaper will the other two classes be supplied, both with foreug goods and with the manufactured produce of their own country.

It can never be the interest of the unproductive class to oppress the other two classes. It is the surplus produce of the land, or what remains after deducting the mainten once, first of the cultivators, and afterwards of the proprietore, that maintaing and employs the unproductive class. The greater this surplus, the greater must likewise be the maintenance and employment of that class. The establishment of perfect justice, of perfect liberty, and of perfect equality, is the very somple secret which most effectually secures the highest degree of prosperity to all the three classes.

The merchants, artificers, and manufacturers of those mercantile states which, like Holland and Hamburgh, consist chichly of this unproductive class, are in the same manner maintained and employed altogether at the expense of the propnetors and cultivators of land. The only difference us, that those proprictors and cultivators are, the greater part of them, placed at a most inconvenient distance fron the merchants, artificers, and manufacturers whom they supply with the materials of their work and the fund of their subsistence, - are the inhabitants of other countries, and the subjects of other governments.

Such mercantile states, bowever, are not only useful, but greatly useful to the inhaw bitants of those other countries. They fill up, in some measure, a very important void, and supply the place of the merchants, artificres, and manufacturer, whom the intiatntants of those countries ought to find at liome, but
whom，fiom some defixt uthere policy，they fis not thad atainme．

It can never be the inturent of those landed nations，if I may call them so，to discourage or dentren the midentry of such meticantile toton，by impuatug high dates upon their thate，or upon the commodities which they firmsh．Such duthes，by rendering those comutodnes dearer，could serve ouly to sank the real value of the surplus produce of ther own land，with which，or，what comes to the sume thing，with the price of which，thoso commodities are purchased．Such duties could serve only to discourage the increase of that surplus produce，and consequently the mprovenent and cultivation of then own hand．The most effectual expedient， on the contrary，for raing the value of that arphux produce，for encouraging its increase， ．and conseguently the improvement and cul－ twation of their own land，would be to allow the most perfict fre edom to the trade of all whi，mercantile nutions．

The prefeet tieedom of trade would even be the noost effiectual expedient for supplying them tudue tune withall the antificens，manu－ futhrers，and merchants whom they wanted at home，and for fillug up，whe the propest ani most adrantageous manuer，that very wiputint void which they felt there．

The contmual increase of the surplus pro． duce of their land would，in due time，create a greater cupital than what could be employed with the ordnay y rate of pofit in the im－ provement and cultavation of land；and the sutplus part of at would naturally turn itself t．）the employment of attificers and manu－ facturets at home．But those artficers and manufacturers，finding at home both the matenals of their work and the fund of therr subsotence，maght immediately，even wath with lewart and shill，be able to work as －heap as the like artitheers and manufacturers of whe mercantile states，who had both to liring from a gieat distance Ewn though， tum want of art and shill，they might not ton sume time be able to work as cheap，yet， findug a marhet at home，they might be able to sell therr work there as cheap as that of the artificers and manufactuicrs of such min antile states，wheh could not be brought to that mashet but fiom so great a distance； and as their art and skill improved，they would soon be able to sell it cheaper．The abtacers and manufacturers of such mer－ cuitle states，therefore，would immediately be ruvilled in the marhet of those landed nutions，and soon after undervold and justled out of it altogether．The clioupness of the manabiatures of those landed nations，in consequence of the gradual umprovements of ant and shill，nould whe dume extend thetr ahe beyond the home markit，and carry them to many fureign marhets，from which they would in the sume manner gradually
gantle out many of the manafactues of shi： meratathe nattons．

This contmual uncease，both of the rute and manufuctured produce of those landud nations，would in the time create a gredter capital than could，with the ordinary rate it proft，be employed enther in agneulture on in manulactures The suplus of this capital would naturally turn if elf to foreign tradc． and be employed in exportug to fonergn counties such parts of the rude and manu－ factured produce of its own country as exceeded the demand of the home market Ia the exportation of the produce of then own country the merchants of a landed nation would have an advantage of the saine hind over those of mercantile nations whinh its antilicers and manufacturets had oven the artificers and manufacturers of such nations；the advantage of finding at hume that cargo，and those storcs and provistomy which the others were obliged to seeh for at a hastance With infernor art and shill m navigation，therefore，they would be able to sell that cargo as cheap in foretgo mathets as the merchants of such mercantile nations； and with equal art and shill they would be able to sell it cheaper．They would soon， therefore，rival those mercantile nations 14 this bianch of foreign tiade，and in due time nould justle then out of it altogether．

According to this hiberal and generous sysum，therefore，the most advantageoun method in wheh a landed nation can rane up artifcers，manuficturess，and merchants of its own，is to grant the most perfect fiee－ dom of trade to the artificers，manufacturers， and merchants of all other nations It thereby rases the value of the surplus pro－ duce of its own land of wheh the continual increase gradually establishes a fund，which in due thme necessarily raines up all the artificers，manufacturers and merchants whom it lias occasion for．

When a landed nation，on the contrary， oppresser，either by high dutes or by pro－ hatuons，the trade of foreign nations，it necessauly hurts its own interest in two different ways：first，by rasing the price of all foregn goods，and of all sorts of manufucturss，it necessanly smiss the read value of the surplus produce of itsown limd， wath wheh，or，bhat comes to the same thing，with the priee of which it purchaves those foregn goods and manufactures． Secondly，by givmg a sort of monopoly of the home market to its own merchints， arthfiests，and manufaturers，it raises the rate of mercantile and manufacturing profit is proportion to that of agricultural proht， and consequently either daws from aqn－ culture a part of the capital wheh－had before been employed in it，or hunders from going to it a pat of what would otherwise have gone to it．This policy，thereforn
discourages agriculture in two different ways: first, by sinking the real value of its produce, and thereby lowering the rate of its profits: and, secondly, by raising the rate of profit in all other employments. Agriculture is rendered less advantageous, and trade and manufactures more advantageous than they otherwise would be; and every man is tempted by his own interest to turn, as much as he cau, beth his capital and his industry from the former to the latter employments.

Though by this oppressive policy a landed nation should be able to raise up artificers, manufacturers, and merchants of its own, somewhat sooner than it could do by the freedom of trade-a matter, however, which is not a little doubtful; yet it would raise them up, if one may say so, prematurely, and before it was perfectly ripe for them. By raising up too hastily one species of industry, it would depress another more valuable species of industry. By raising up too hastily a species of industry which only replaces the stock which employs it, together with the ordinary profit, it would depress a species of industry which, over and above replacing that stock with its profit, affords likewise a neat produce, a free rent to the landlord. It would depress productive labour, by encouraging too hastily that labour which is altogether barren and un. productive.

In what manner, according to this system, the sum total of the annual produce of the land is dastributed among the three classes above mentioned, and in what manner the labour of the unproductive class does no more than replace the value of its own consumption, without increasing in any respect the value of that sum total, is represented by Mr. Quesnay, the very ingenious and profound author of this system, in some arithmetical formularies. ${ }^{1}$ The first of these formularies, which, by way of eminence, be peculiarly distinguishes by the name of the Economical Table, represents the manner in which he supposes this distribution takes place, in a state of the most perfect liberty, and therefore of the bighest prosperity; in a state where the annual produce is such as to afford the greatest possible neat produce, and where each class enjoys its proper share of the whole annual produce. Some subsequent formularies represent the manner in which he supposes this distribution is made in different states of restraint and regulation; in which either the class of proprietors or the barren and unproductive class is more favoured than the class of cultivators, and in which either the one or the other encroaches more or less upon the share which ought pioperly to belong to this productive class.

[^95]Every such encroachment, evcry violation of that natural distribution which the most perfiect hiberty would establish, must, accord. ing to this system, necessarily degrade more or less, from one year to another, the value and sum total of the annual produce, and must necessarily occasion a gradual decken sion in the real wealth and revenue of the society; a declension, of which the progress must be quicker or slower, according to the degree of this encroachment, accordung an that natural distribution, which the moat perfect liberty would cstablish, is more or less violated. Those subsequent furmularies represent the diffurent degrees of daclension, which, according to this system, correspond to the different degrees in whinch this natural distribution of things is violated.

Some speculative physicuans seem to have imagined that the bealth of the buman body could be preserved only by a certan precise regimen of diet and exercise, of which every. the smallest, violation necessanly occustoned some degree of disease or dinorder proportioned to the degree of the violation. Fixperience, however, would scem to show, that the human body frequently preserves, to all appearance at least, the most perfect state of health under a vast variety of different regimens; even under some which are generally believed to be very far from thing perfectly wholesome. But the heslthful state of the human body, it would seern, contains in itself some unknown principle of prescrvation, capable either of preventing or of correcting, in many respects, the bad effects even of a very faulty regimen. Mr. Quesnay, who was himself a plysician, and a very speculative physician, seems to have entertaned a notion of the same kind concerning the political body, and to have imagined that it would thrive and prosper only under a certain precise regimen, the exact regimen of perfect liberty and perfect justice. He seems not to have considered, that in the political body the natural effort which every man is continually making to better his own condition is a principle of preservation capable of preventing and correcting, in many respects, the bad effects of a political economy, in some degree both partial and oppressive. Such a political economy, though it no doubt retards more or less, is not always capable of stopping altogether the natural progress of a nation towards wealth and proaperity; and still less of making it go backwards. If a nation could not prosper without the enjoyment of perfect liberty and parfect justice, there is not in the world a nathon which could ever have prospered. In the political body, however, the wisdom of natura has fortunately made ample provision for remedying many of the bad effects of the
new edition of the Eecyciopxdia Drílaznice, art. Guebnat.
folly and mus ince of man; the same nannut as it has dine in the natural body, fir remilyang thuse of has sloth and nutimperance.
'The capte :l error of this system', however, wems to he in its representing the class of - rtidecers, manufacturers, and merchants, as a torether barren and unproductave. The tullowing obervatione may serve to show the unpropricty of thas representation.

First, Thus class, it is acknowledged, reproduces annmally the value of its own annual consumption, and continues at least the exstence of the stock or capital which mantiuns and employs it But, upon thes acount alone, the dinomination of barren or unproductive should seem to be very improperly apphed to it We should not call a marriage barren or unbroductive, though it prisduced only a son and a daughter, to rephee the fathar and mother, and though it dud not inerease the humber of the buman spuls, Lut only continued it as it was ha ture. l'armers and country labourrers, indech, uter and above the stock which maila I man and employs them, reproduce annually a neat produce, a free rent to the landlord. As a marriage which affords three chaldren is certamly more productive than one which aflords only two, so the labour of farmers Wid country labouters is certainly more productive than that of merchants, artificers, .unt manufacturers. 2 The superior produce -ff the one class, however, does not render the when baren or unproductive.

Stcond!!, It seems, upon thes account, altugether improper to consider artaficers, mamatucturers, and merchants, in the same light is menail servants. The labour of menal sunants dors not continue the existence of the fund which maintains and employs them. Thenr maintenance and employment is altorether at the expense of their masters, and the work which they perform is not of a nature to repay that expense. That work consuts in survies on luch perish generally in the very mstant of them performance, and dues not fix or realise itself in any vendible

I Jhis error scoms to have orfginated in the view tak, id liv lutsn ty and the Economists, of the nature arted causes of rent 'I lies remarke : that the industry Ot merv honts and matw'tacturers did Dothing but repisu their cap,tals wuh wapes and protics, whe reas . We indutry of agruculturnsts sheldeti them the same u tures and profis pulueve of an maduronal surphis $\because 1$ ioftut thes, pad to the tandlords as rent ibs < umatance ajpeared to the Lconvan ste to prove andinaing that agrecultare was the only really provtis uts cumplonient. that is, the only bue that dibdexd a erenter guannty of producsis than were
 tire aring kinsumex in carryimg it on, and it is on tin ind lis bion that their whole theory is bult
 whit fine rise to, and which aloo dimit and deterbit ", the andunt of rent they nould not have dramas su ha couclusions 1 hrep would then havo sted that Wit 1 age Gut the finest lands are under tulage, no ri 1 it of $\boldsymbol{y}$ wabut mit is obtalued from the ground ; 1 h.t Peif kis, in trath a conseypupace of the decreasing
commodity which can replace the value of therr wagen and mantenance. The labour, on the contrary, of artticers, manufacturers, and merchants, naturally does fix and realise itself in some such vendible commodity It is upon this account that, in the chapter in which I treat of productive and unproductre labour, I have classed artificers, manu. facturers and merchants, among the productive labourers, and menial servauts among the barren or unpraductive. ${ }^{3}$

Thirdly, It scems, upon every suppostion, improper to say, that the labour of artificers, manufacturers, and merchants, docs not increase the $r$ al revenue of the society Though we should suppose, for example, as it seems to be supposed in this system, that the value of the daily, monthly, and yearly consumption of this class was exactly equal to that of its daily, monthly, and yearly production; yet it would not from thence follow that its labour added nothing to the real revenuc, to the real value of the annual prodace of the land and labour of the socicty. An artificer, for example, who, in the tirst six months after harvest, executes ten pounds* worth of work, though he should, in the same time, consume ten pounds' worth of corn and other necessaries, yet really adds the value of ten pounds to the annual produce of the land and labour of the socicty. While he has been consuming a half-gearly revenue of ten pounds' worth of corn and other necessaries, he has produced an equal value of work, capable of purchasing, either to himself or to some other person, an equal half-yearly revenue. The value, therefore, of what has been consumed and produced during these sux months, is equal, not to ten, but to twenty pounds. It is possible, indeed, that no more than ten pounds' worth of this value may ever have existed at any one moment of tume. But if the ten pounds' worth of corn and other necessaries, which were consumed by the artificer, had been consumed by a solder, or by a menal servant, the value of that part of the annual produce which existed at th.e end of the six
fertility of the soin, or of our being obliged to resort to interior lands to obtann supplies of tood tar an int creasing population, and that it is determined by the extent to which such infertor tands are culti vated - increasing as they are taken uto culiuvation, and dimmashing as they are thronn out ot cultevation. Dr smoth as not aware of this prineple. adid theretore bis retutation of the system of the Fconomists is far from satisfactory: See lutroonctory Discourse, $p$. xlif, and the supplemtntal note on Fient
${ }^{2} 1$ have endeavoured to thow the fallacy of thas opinton. Ste ance, $p 14 t i$ note.

3 The distanction Which Dr Smuth lins atter pted to make between the labour of mental servants and artificers, is in erery respecs as magirary as thas Whith the kconomists attempted to wiake butineen the labour of agriculturists and that of artificers and merchants. See refereace in the pre soun note, and troncapics of Poidicul Lcomomy. 21 ed. p. 6.6.
months, would have been ten pounds less than it actually is in consequence of the labour of the artificer. ${ }^{1}$ Though the value of what the artificer produces, therefore, should not at any one moment of time be supposed greater than the value be consumes, yet at every moment of time the actually existing value of goods in the market is, in consequence of what he produces, greater than it otherwise would be.

When the patrons of this system asser, that the consumption of artificers, manufacturers, and merchants is equal to the value of what they produce, they probably mean no more than that their revenue, or the fund destined for their consumption, is equal to it. - But if they had expressed themselves more accurately, and only asserted that the revenue of this class was equal to the value of what they produced, it might readily have occurred to the reader, that what would naturally be saved out of this revenue must necessarily increase more or less the real wealth of the society. In order, therefore, to make out something like an argument, it was necessary that they should express thernselves as they have done; and this argument, even supposing things actually were as it seems to presume them to be, turns out to be a very inconclusive one.

Fourthly, Farmers and country labourers ${ }^{1}$ can no more augment, without parsimony, the real revenue, the annual produce of the land and labour of their society, than artificers, manufacturers, and merchants. The annual produce of the land and labour of any society can be augmented only in two ways: either, first, by some improvement in the productive powers of the useful labour actually maintained within it; or, secondly, by some increase in the quantity of that labour.

The improvement in the productive powers of useful labour, depends, first, upon the improvement in the ability of the workman: and secondly, upon that of the machinery with which he works. But the labour of artificers and manufacturers, as it is capable of being more subdivided, and the labour of each workman reduced to a greater simplicity of operation than that of farmers and country labourers, so it is likewise capable of both these sorts of improvement in a much higher degree. ${ }^{2}$ In this respect, therefore, the class of cultivators can have uo sort of advantage over that of artificers and manufacturers.

The increase in the quantity of useful | labour actually employed within any society, must depend altogether upon the increase of the capital which employs it; and the in-/ crease of that capital, again, must be exactly

But the gervices rendered by the aoldiar or menial kervant, would enable other to produce a propor-
equal th the amount of the savings from the revenue, cither of the particular pereons who manage and direct the employment of that capital, or of some other persons who lend it to them. If merchants, artifieers, and manufacturers are, as this system seems to suppose, naturally more inchned to parirmony and saving than proprietors and cultivators, they are, so far, more hikely to ang. ment the quantity of useful tabour emplosed within their society, and consequently to increase its real revenue, the annual produce of its land and labour.

Fifhly, and lastly, Though the revelue of the inhabitants of every country was $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}$ posed to consist altogether, 18 this syst in seems to suppose, in the quantity of subustence which their industry could procure ts them; yet, even upen this supposition, th. revenue of a trading and manufacturia: country must, other things being equal, al. ways be much, greater than that of one without trade ór manufactures. By means of tradé and manufactures, a greater guanity of subsistence can be annually imported into a particular country than what its own lands, in the actual state of therr cultivation, could afford. The inhabitants of a town, though they frequently possess no lands of their own, yet draw to themsilves, by theur industry, such a quantity of the rude produce of the lands of other people ess supplies them, not only with the materials of thar work, but with the fund of their subsistence What a town always is with regard ts the country in its neighbourhood, one independent state or country may frequentl; be with regard to other independeri states or countries. It is thus that Holland draws a great part of its subjistence from other countries; live cattie from Holstein and Jutland, and corn from almost all the different countries of Europe. A small quantity of manufa:tured produce purchases a grant quantity of rude produce. A trading and manufaturing country, therefore, naturally purchates, with a small part of its manufactured produce, a great part of the rude produce of otber countries; while, on the contrary, a country without trade and manufactures is generally obliged to purchase, at the expenate of a great part of its rude produce, a vory small part of the manufactured produce of other countries. The one exports what cal subsist and accommodate but a very few, ind imports the subsistence and accommod stipn of a great number. The other expors the accommodation and subsistence of a great number, and imports that of a very fiw only. The inbabitants of the one must always enjoy a much greater quantity of subsistence than what their own lands, in the

[^96]actual state of their cultivation, could afford. The iuhabitants of the other must always enjoy a much smaller quantity.
This system, however, with all its imper. fuctions, is perhats the nutrest approximathon to the truth that has get been pubished upon the subject of political economy; and is upon that account well worth the constderation of every man who wishes to examme with atteation the principles of that very mportant sctence. 'Hough in representing the labour wheh is employed upon land as the only productive lahour, the notions which it inculcates are perhaps too narrow and confiaed; yet in representing the wealth of natwons as consisting, not m the unconsumable rubes of money, but in the consumable goods anually reproduced by the labour of the soctety, and in representing perfect hberty as the only effectual expedient for remdering this annual reproduction the greatest possible, its doctrue seems to be in every recpect as just ay it is generous and liberal. Its followersure very numerous, and as men are tond of paradoses, and of appearing to uaderstand what surpasses the comprehension of ordmary people, the paradox which it maintains, concerning the unproductive nature of manufacturing labour, has not per halps contributed a little to increase til number of its admirens. They have for sc. " years past made a pretty considerable sect, distingurshed in the French republic of letters by the name of the Economists. Ther works have certanly been of some service to their country, not only by bringing into general diseussion many subjects which had sever been well examined before, but by mithenewg in some measure the public minstration in favour of agriculture. :been in consequence of their repiesel accordmgly, that the agriculture of $\mathbf{k}^{*}$ luas been delivered from several of the $c_{1}$ presions which it before laboured under. The term durng which a ledse can be granted, as wall be vald aganst every future purchaver or proprictor of the land, has been prolonged from mine to twenty-seven years. The anctent provincial restraints upon the tranportation of corn from one province of the hugdom to another have been entirely tahen away, and the herty of exporting it to all foreign countries bas been established as the common law of the kingdom in all ordmary eases. This sect, in their works, which are very numerous, and which treat not only of what is properlv called Political Lionomy, or of the nature and causes of the "ealth of nations, but of every other branch of the sistem of cunl govermment, all follow moplicitly, and wathout any sensible varhation, the doetrine of Mr. Quesnag. There 1s, upon this account, hattle vancty in the greater part of their norks The most distinct and best connected account of thas doctrine is to
be found in a little book written by Mr. Mercier de la Riviere, some time intendant of Martinico, entitled, The Natural and Essential Order of Political Societies. The admiration of this whole seet for their master, who was humself a man of the greatest modesty and simplicity, is not inferior to that of any of the ancient philosophers for the founders of their respectise systems "There have been, since the world began," says a very dilggent and respectable author, the Marquis de Mirabeau, " three great inventons which have principally given stablity to poltical societies, independent of many other inventions which have enriched and adorned them. The first 1s, the invention of writing, which alone gives human nature the power of transmating, without alteration, its laws, its contracts, its annals, and its discoveries. The second is, the invention of money, which binds together all the relations between civilsed socteties. The third is, the economical table, the result of the other two, which completes them both by perfecting therr object; the great discovery of our age, but of which our posterity will r-p the benefit."

As the political economy of the nations of pdern Europe has been more favourable to inufactures and foreign trade, the industry , the towns, than to agriculture, the indusW. of the country, so that of other nations - " llowed a different plan, and has been ore favourable to agriculture than to manupetures and foreign trade.
The policy of China favours agriculture more than all other employments. In China, the condition of a labourer is sand to be as much superior to that of an artificer, as in most parts of Europe that of an artificer is to that of a labourer. In China, the great ambition of every man is to get possession of a little bit of land, etther in property or in lease; and leases are there said to be granted upon very moderate terms, and to be suficiently secured to the lessees. The Cbinese have little respect for foreign trade. Your beggarly commerce! was the language in whsch the mandarins of Pekun used to talk to Mr. De Lange, the Russian envoy, concerning at. ${ }^{1}$ Except with Japan, the Chinese calty on, themselves, and in their own bottoms, little or no forcign trade, and $\mathfrak{i t}$ is only into one or two ports of ther hingdom that they even admit the ships of foreign nations. Foreign trade therefore is, in Chna, every way contined withn a much narrower crecle than that to which at would naturally extend itself, if more freedom was allowed to at, etther in their own ships or in those of tureign uations.

Manufactures, as in a small bulk they
 author.)
frequently contsin a great value, and can upon that account be transported at less expunse fiom one country to another than most parts of rude produce, are, in almost all countries, the principal support of foreign trade. In countries, besides, less extensive, and less favourably circumstanced for inferior conmerce than China, they generally require the support of foreign trade. Without an extensive foreign market, they could not well flourish, etther in countries so moderately extensive as to afford but a narrow home market, or in countries where the communication between one province and another was so difficult, as to render it impossible for the goods of any particular place to enjoy the whole of that home market which the country could afford. The per. fection of manufacturing industry, it must be remembered, depends altogether upon the division of labour; and the degree to which the division of labour can be introduced into any manufacture, is necessarily regulated, it has already been shown, by the extent of the market. But the great extent of the empire of China, the vast multitude of its inhabitants, the variety of climate, $\{$ consequently of productions in its differg provinces, and the easy communication ; means of water carriage between the grea part of them, render the home market that country of so great extent, as to alone sufficient to support very great n .avi factures, and to admit of very considerabi subdivisions of labour. The home marke of China is perhaps, in extent, not much inferior to the market of all the different countries of Europe put together. A more extensive foreign trade, however, which to this great home market added the foreign market of all the rest of the world, especially if any considerable part of this trade was carried on in Chinese shijps, could scarce fail to increase very much the manufactures of China, and to improve very much the productive powers of its manufacturing industry. By a more extensive navigation, the Chinese would naturally learn the art of using and constructing, themselves, all the different machines made use of in other countries, as well as the other improvements of art and industry which are practised in all the alfferent parts of the world. Upon their present plan, they have little opportunity of improving themselves by the example of any other nation, except that of the Japanese.

The policy of aneient Egypt too, and that of the Gentoo government of Indostan, seem to have favoured agriculture more than all other employments.

Both in ancient Egypt and Indostan, the whole body of the people was divided into different casts or tribes, each of which was confined, from father to son, to a particular
employnent or class of employments The son of a priest was neccesard) a priest; the son of a soldier, a soldier; the son of a las bourer, a labourer; the son of a waver, a weaver; the son of a tallor, a tailor, \&e. In both countries, the cast of the priests held the highest ra:ik, and that of the soldiers the next; and in both countries, the cast of the farmers and laboururs was superior to the casts of merchants and manufacturers.

The government of both countries was particularly attentive to the interest of agriculture. The works conatructed by the athcient sovereigns of Egypt, fur the proper distribution of the waters of the Nile, whe famous in antiquity, and the ruined remans of some of them are still the admiration of travellers. Those of the same hind whicis were constructed by the ancient sovereighs of Indostan, fur the proper distribution of the waters of the Ganges as well as of many other rivers, though they have been less celebrated, seem to have been equally great. Both countries, accordingty. though sulbect occasionally to dearths, have bern famons for their great fertility. Though both were extremely populous, yet, in years of modes.ate olenty, they were both able to export greas vantities of grain to their neighbours.
The ancient Egyptians had a superstitious
rsion to the sea '; and as the Gentoo reagion does not permit its followers to light a fire, nor censequently to dress any wictuals upon the water, it in effect prohibibts thern from all distant sea vosage. Both the Egyptians and Indians must have dependert almost altogether upon the navigation of other nations for the exportation of their - plus produce; and this dependency, as
part: bave confined the market, so it must , scouraged the increase of this sury/'s.
It must have discouraged too the rease of the manufactured produce more than that of the rude produce. Manufictures require a much more extensive market than the most important parts of the rude produce of the land. A single shoermaker will make more than three hundred pairs of shoes in the year; and his own funily will not perhaps wear out six pars. Unliss therefore he has the custorn of at least fifty such families as his own, he cannot dispose of the whole produce of his own hikur. The most numerous class of artificers wilt seldom, in a large country, make more than one in fitty, or one in a hundred, of the whole number of families contained in it. Eut in such large countries as France and England, the number of people employed an agriculture has, by some authors, been computed at a half, by others at a third, and by no author

[^97]that I hoow of, at leen than a fith of the Whole inthatharts of the countr: 1 Lat as the jronluce of the agriculture of Lextis Prance and lathland is, the far greater part of at, concumed at bom. cach perwon employed It it must, acwrdang to these computation s, require hatle mors than the custom of one, twa, or, at mont, of fuor subh fumbes as his on a, in order to dinuse of the whole produe of his uan lismar. Agriculture, therefors, can support itsitt under the discouragement of a conthed market much better than mampactures. In moth ancent legyt and Indoman, indeed, the confinement of the foraten mirhet was in mome nearure compensted by the convonemer of many inland twithons which opned, in the most ad-- ntigoous manntr, the whole extent of the heme marhet to every part of the produce of a sery differint district of those countries. the ereat extent of Indestan, too, rendired (i) home markit of that country very great. a id suthuent to support a great varnety of nadnutactures. But the small extent of ancicht Efript, which was never equal to Furind, must at all tumes have rendered the home mariet of that country too narrow fir supporting any great variety of manufactures Bengal accordingly, the province of Indintan whin commonly exports the greatw: quantity of rice, has alwoys been more rimaliable for the exportation of a great "mi'r of manufictures than for that of its irun. Ancient Feypt, on the contrary, though it exported some manufactures - fine hane in particular - as well as some other Fioods was alwavs most distinguished for ite yriat exportation of grain lt was lo. + th trunary of the Roman empire.
The sorere ${ }_{2}$ ns of Cluna, of ancient Eg and of the diffirent kugdoms into w Indiontan has at diflurent tumes been dihave always derived the whole, or by 1 mose considerable part, of their re. from sonie sort of land-ter or land'His land-tax or land-rent, like the tutho 1 urupe consinted, in a certain proportion a hith, it is sald, of the produre of the lane ntach was ether daluered in hand or paid 11 moner, according to a certain valuation, and which theretore varied from year to year, according to all the variations of the produce. It was natural, thercfore, that the wercigns of thone countries should be praticularly attentive to the interests of aitricilture, upon the promperity or dechinson of which mmediately depended the rarls mervase or dimmution of their own revolue.

The pollicy of the ancient repubics of Girowe, ami that of horne, though it ? onaured agtuviture more than manufic-



tures or furign trade, yet seems moher to have discourap. the hitter employments, than to have given ang direct or intentional encuuragement to the furmer In several of the ancient stat. I wif Greee, fur ign trade was prolubited alto erethir and in sereral others, the employmente of artiticers and manufacturers were considered as hurtful to the strength and agility of the buman trody. as renderug it incapable of those habits which their muthary and gymnastic exeruses endeavoured to form in 1 t , ard as therely disqualufying it more or less for undergoing the fatigues and encountering the dangers of war. Such occupations were consideriti as fit only for slaves, and the friee citizeno of the state were prohinted from excrobing them. Even in those states where no such prohibition took place, as in Rome and Athens, the great body of the peciple were in effict excluded from all the trades which are now commonly exercised by the lower sort of the inhabitants of towns Such trades were, at Athens and Rome, all occupied by the slaves of the nch, who exercised them for the benefit of their masters, whose wealth, power, and protection, made it almost impossible for a poor freeman to find a market for his work, when it came into competition With that of the slaves of the rick. Slaves, however, are very seldom inventive; and all the most important improvements, either in machinery or in the arrangement and distribution of work which facilitate and abridge labour, have been the discoveries of freemen. Should a slare propose any improvement of this lind, his master would be very apt to considcr the proposal as the suggestion of laziness, and of a desire to save his own labour at the master's expense. The poor slare, instead of reward, would probably meet with much abuse, perhaps with some pumshment. In the manufactures earried on by slaves, therefore, more labour must generally have been emplored to exccute the same quantity of work than in those carried on by freemen The work of the former must, upon that account, generally have been duarer than that of the latter. The Hungarian mines, it is remarked by Mr. Montesquieu, though not rucher, have always been wrought with less expense, and therefore with more profit. than the Turkish mines in their neughbourbood. The Turksh mines are wrought by slaves; and the arms of those slaves are the ouly machines which the Turhs have ever thought of employing. The Hunganan mines are wrouzht by freemen, who employ a great deal of machincry. ty which they faculitate and abndge their own labour. From the very hutle that is known aloout

1 ~ifff famines, 854309 reve emploged io agtcurtlere.
the price of manufactures in the times of the Greeks and Romans, it would appear that those of the finer sort were excessively dear. Silk sold for its weight in gold. It was not, indeed, in those times an European manufacture; and as it was all brought from the East Indies, the distance of the carriage may in some measure account for the greatness of the price. The price, however, which a lady, it is said, would sometimes pay for a piece of very fine linen, seems to have been equally extravagant; and as linen was always either an European, or, at farthest, an Egyptian manufacture, this high price can be accounted for only by the great expense of the labour which must have been employed about it, and the expense of this labour again could arise from nothing but the awkwardness of the machinery which is made use of. The price of fine woollens too, though not quite so extravagant, seems however to have been much above that of the present times. Some cloths, we are told by Pliny, dyed in a particular manner, cost a hundred denarii, or three pounds six shillings and eightpence the pound weight. ${ }^{1}$ Others, dyed in another manner, cost a thousand denarii the pound weight or thirtythree pounds six shillings and eightpence. The Roman pound, it must be remembered, contained only twelve of our avoirdupois ounces. This high price, indeed, seems to have been principally owing to the dye. But had not the cloths themselves been much dearer than any which are made in the present times, so very expensive a dye would not probably have been bestowed upon them. The disproportion would have been too great between the value of the accessory and that of the principal. The price mentioned by the same author ${ }^{2}$ of some triclinaria, a sort of woollen pillows or cushions made use of to lean upon as they reclined upon their couches at table, passes all credibility; some of them being said to have cost more than thirty thousand, others more than three hundred thousand pounds. This high price too is not said to bave arisen from the dye. In the dress of the people of fashion of both sexes there seems to have been much less variety, it is observed by Doctor Arbuthnot, in ancient than in modern times; and the very little varirty which we find in that of the ancient statues confirms his observation. He infers from this that their duess must, upon the whole, have been cheaper than ours: but the conclusion does not seem to follow. When the expense of fashionable dress is very great, the varicty must be very small. But when, by the improvements in the productive

[^98]powers of manufacturing art and indastiy the expense of any one dress comes to be very moderate, the variety will naturally be very great. The rich, not being able to distinguish themselves by the expense of any one dress, will naturally endeavour to do so by the multitude and varicty of thetr dresses. ${ }^{3}$

The greatest and most important banch of the commerce of every nation, it has already been observed, is that which is carried on between the inhabitants of the town and those of the country. The inhabitants of the town draw from the country the rude prorluce which constitutes both the materials of their work and the fund of their subsistence; and they pay for this tude produce by aending back to the country a certan portion of it manufactured and prepared for immediato use. The trade which is carried on between these two different bets of people, consisis ultimately in a certais quantity of rude produce exchanged for a certain quantity of manufactured produce. The dearer the latter, therefore, the cheaper the former; and whatever tends in any country to rame the price of manufactured produce tends to lower that of the rude produce of the land. and thereby to discourage agriculture. The smaller the quantity of manufactured produce which any given quantity of rude produce, or, what comes to the same thing, which the price of any given quantity of rude produce is capable of purchasing, the smaller the exchangcable value of that given quantity of rude produce; the smaller the Teouragement which either the landlord , increase its quantity by improving, che farmer by cultivating the land. tever, besides, tends to diminsh in any try the number of artificers and manuars, tends to diminish the home market, iost important of all markets for the ${ }_{5}$ produce of the land, and thereby still er to discourage agriculture.
hose systems, therefore, which preferring "riculture to all other employments, in yrder to promote it, impose restraints upon manufactures and foreign trade, act euntrary to the very end which they propose, and indirectly discourage that very spectes of industry which they mean to promote. They are so far, perhaps, more inconsi,tent than even the mercantile system. That system, by encouraging manufactures and formgn trade more than agriculture, turns a certan portion of the capital of the socicty from supporting a morre advantageous, to support a less advantageous species of industry. But stull it really and in the end encourages that species of industry which it means to

[^99]pumote. Those agricultural systems, on the contrary, really and in the end discourage thenr own favourite speenes of induatry.

It is thus that every syctem which endeaviurs ether ly extraordinary encouragements to dinw towards a particular species of industry a greater share of the capital of the suecty than what would naturally go to 13, or by extraordmary restrants to torce biom a particular specus of industry some batre of the copital which would otherwise be emplogen in in, i, in taity, subversive of the great purpose which it means to promute. It retards, instided of accelerating, the progness of the soctety towards seal wealth and greatnes, and dimimshes, anstead of ine rasting, the real value of the annual produce of tis tand and labour.

All sytem, ether of prefurence or of restrant, therefore, being thus completely thenuway, the ubvious and simple system of natural hiberty estabishes itself of its own actord. Eucryman, as long as he does not wolate the laws of justice, is left perfectly free to pursue his own interest his own way, and to bring both has industry and capital into conputition with those of any other man, or order of men. The soverengn is completely diselarged from a duty, in the attempting to perform which he must always be expored to innumerable delustons, and for the priper performance of which no human wislom or knou ledge could ever be antherent; the duty of superintending the medustry of prisate people, and of directing it towards the employments most suitable to the meterest of the soncty. According to the syitum of natural hiberty, the soverengn hias only diree duths to attend to; three duties of great importance, indeed, but phan and methigible to common understandongs - first, the didty of protecting the san iety from the volence and masion of other independent societies; secondly, the duty of protectang, as far as possible, every member of the son rety from the ingustice or oppression of every other member of it, or the duty of estabhishug an exact administrathon of justice; and, thardly, the duty of erecting and mantainng certain puble norks and certan publie institutions, which it an never be for the interest of any indiwhesl, or small number of indwiduals, to cmet and mantan; because the profit could neser repay the expene to any mdindual, or s nall number of ndividuals, though it may fiequently do much more than repay it to a sreat society.

Tice proper performance of those several duties of the soveregn necessarily supposes a cortan expense, and this espense asana necensarly requerts a certain revenue to surpont it. In the fullowing bouk, therefure, I slahl cind a our to explain, first, what ar. the aecessar) expenses of the sovercign
or commonwealth, and which of those expenses ought to be difrased by the general contribution of the whole socisty, and u hich of them, by that of some particular part only, or of some particular members of the soclety; secondly, what are the different methods in which the whole society may be made to contribute towards defraying the expenses incumbent on the whole society; and what are the principal advantages and anconvenuences of each of those mechuds; and, thirdly, what are the reasons and causes Which have induced almost all modern governments to mortgage some part of this revenue, or to contract debts, and what have been the effects of those debts upon the real wealth, the annual produce of the land and labour of the society. The following book, ther fore, will naturally be divided into three chal s.

## BOOK V.

of tremenue of the sovereicn or comsmonwealth.
CHAP. I.
Of: Expenses of the Sovercign or Commun-
uealth.
PaRt I.
Of the Expense of Defence.

The first duty of the soverengn, that of protecting the society from the violence and mavaion of other independent socicties, can be performed only by means of a mulitary force. But the expense both of proparing this military force in time of peace, and of employing it in time of war, is very different in the duferent states of society, in the different pe riods of improvement.

Among nations of hunters, the lowest and ${ }_{+}$ rudest state of society, such as we find it among the native tribcs of North A merica, every man is a warrior, as well as a hunter. Whin he goes to war, either to defend his socipty, or to revenge the injuries which have been done to it by other societies, he maintans hunself by his own labour, in the same manner as when he lives at home. (His sol i, tyl-for in this state of things there is propen ly nether solereign aor commonwealth $\rightarrow$ is at no sort of expeuse, either to plepare him for the fild, or to mantan him while he is in it)

Among nations of shepherds, a more ad-l vauced state of society, such as we find it anong the Tartars and Arabs, every man is, in the same manner, a warrsor. Such nations have commonly no fixed habitation, but he either in tents ur in a sort of covered wagkons, which are eisily transported from Ilace to place The whote tribe or nation
changes its situation according to the different seasons of the year, as will as according to other accidents. When its hards and flocks have consumed the forage of one part of the country, it removes to another, and from that to a third. In the dry season, it comes down to the banks of the rivers; in the wet season, it retires to the upper country. When such a nation goes to war, the warriors will not trust their herds and flocks to the feeble defence of their old men, their women and children; and their old men, their women and children, will not be left without defence, and without subsistence. The whole nation, hesides, being accustomed to a wandering life, even in time of peace, easuly takes the fiold in time of war. Whether it marches as an army, or moves about as a company of herdsmen, the way of $l$ fe is nearly the same, though the object $\mathrm{p}^{*}$ "used by it be very different. They all $p^{2}$. war together, therefore, and every one ' , es as well as he can. Among the Tartari, even the women have been frequently knd vn to engage in battle. If they conquer, wh 'ver belongs to the hostile tribe is the recor 'se of the victory; but if they are vanqu' " $d$, all is lost, and not only their herds and 1 sks , but their women and children becot ithe booty of the conqueror. Even the ? eater part of those who survive the acti'' 1 are obliged to submit to him, for the sake of immediate subsistence. The rest are fommonly dissipated and dispersed in the dysert.

The ordinary life, the ordinary exerchses of a Tartar or Arab, prepare him sufficiently for war. Running, wrestling, cudgel-playing, throwing the javelin, drawing the bow, \&c. are the common pastimes of those who live in the open air, and are all of them the images of war. When a Tardar or Arab actually goes to war, he is maintained by his own herds and flocks, which he carries with him, in the same manner as in peace. His chief or sovereign-for those natidns have all chiefs or sovereigns-is at no sort of expense in preparing him for the field and when he is in it, the chance of plunder is the only pay which be cither expects on requires.

An army of hunters can seldom exceed two or three hundred men. The pretarious cubsistence which the chase atfords could seldom allow a greater number to keep together for any considerable time. An army of shepherds, on the contrary, may sometimes amount to two or three thousand. As long as nothing stops their progress, as long as they can go on from one district, of which they have consumed the forage, to another which is yet entire, there scems to be scarce any limit to the number who can march on together. A nation of hunters can never be formidable to the civilised nam tions in their neighb, urhood. A nation of
slepherds may. Nothing can be more contemptible than an Indhan war in Noreb America. Nothing, on the contrary, can be more drcadful than a Tartar insasion has frequently been in Asa. The judgroent of, Thucydides, that both Eurupe nind Asia could not resist the Scythans unted, has hecn verified by the experience of all aypes The inhabitants of the extensive but defenceless plains of Scythia of Tartary have been frequently united undor the donmmon of the chief of some conquering horde or clan; and the havoc and devastation of $\Lambda$ aia have always signalised their union. 'I he' inlubitants of the inhospitable deserts of Arabia, the other great nation of shepherds, have never been united lut once, - under Mahomet and his immediate successors Their union, which was more the effict of religious enthusiasm than of conquest, was signalised in the same manner. If the hunt. Ing nations of Amsrica should ever become shepherds, their neighbourhood would be much more dangerous to the European colonies than it is at present.

In a yet more advanced state of socicty, among those pations of hubbandmen whis; have little foreign commeree, and no other, manufactures but those coanse and housthold ones which almost every private family pre-. pares for its own use, every man, in the same manner, either is a warrior, or easily becomes such. Those who live by agriculture gencrally pass the whole day in the opeti uir, exposed to all the inclemencics of the seasons The hardiness of theirordinary life prepares them for the fatigues of war, to wome of which ther necessary occupations bcar a great analogy. The necessary occupation of a ditcher prepares him to work in the trenches, and to fortify a camp, ws well as to enclose a field. The ouduary pastimes of such husbandmen are the same as those of shepherds, and are in the same manner the images of war. But as husbandmen have less leisure than shepherds, they are not so frequently employed in those pastines. They are soldiers, but soldiers not quite so much masters of their exercise. Sucb as they are, however, it seldon custs the sovermign or commonwealth any expense to prepare then for the ficld.

Agriculture, even in its rudest and lowest state, supposes a suttement; some sort of fixed habitation which cannot be abandoned without great loss. When a nation of inerel husbandinen, therefore, goes to war, the whole people cannot take the field together. The, old men, the women and chidren, at least, must reman at home to take care of the habitation. All the men of the military are, however, may take the feld, sud, in smail nations of this kind, have freguentiy dots so. In every nation, the inen of the malatary age are suiposed to anwunt to about a fourtis

Emp. 1
or a fiat part of the a hole body of t' e profin If the eamparan too should bexinatiar F ch-tme, and end bi for harvest, luth the dowbandranand his pronequal labourer on 1. shard from the firm without much hosHe truss that the worth which mut la done an the mean tame, cab well enough exccut, by the old men, the women and the cilitren De is but una bhang, therefore to whit it ferpuently cons the soveretgh or comnomechith of lite to mandan han in the foch as to prepare hum fir r it. The citizens of
 The stint leman war, and the people of I'doponnesus till after the Peloponnesian war. The Peloponnevins, Thucydides observes, generally left the field in the summer, and returned home to reap the harvest. The Leman people, under their hings and during the first ages of the republic, served in the *ne manner. It wis not till the sure of Vise that they who stand at home beg in to contribute sinethens towards mantaming Hone who went to uar. In the European monmhis, which were founded upon the rams of the Roman empire, both before and for some time nice the establishment of what ir properly called the feudal law, the great lord, with all thar immediate dependents, used to serve the crown at them own expense. In the field, in the same manner as at home, they matured themselves by their own revenue, and not by any stipend or pay which they recessed from the hing upon that partsocular occasion.

In a more advanced state of society, two diflire it causes contribute to render it altoFather imposable that they who take the Ind should manana themselves at then own expense. Those two causes are, the progress of manufactures, and the improvemont in the att of war.
Though a husbandman should be employed in an expedition, provided it begums after wed-time and end uofure harvest, the interruction of his business will not always occut on any considerable dimmution of his revenue Without the intervention of his l hour, nature does herself the greater part of the work whisk remand to be done. But the moment that an artificer, a smith, a carenter, or a weaver, for example, quits his workhouse, the sole source of his revenue is completely dried up, Nature does nothing for lime the does all for himself When be takes the field, there fore in defence of the public, as he has no revenue to matin thmbelf, he must nucusarily be maintamed by the puble. But in a counties, of whets a treat part of the mhabitants are artificers and manufacturers, a great part of the people who go to war must be drawn from those chases, and must therefore be montane by
t., public as hog as they ate employed in is carrie

When the art of war too has gradually grown up to be a vary antre te and complycat ed son nee. what the en of war censes to be determine, as in the first ares of socity, by a sigher oracular shisminh or betake, but when the content is generally spun out through several different camperges, exch of which lasts during the greater part ot the year; it becomes umersally ne ce wary hat the public should mantan those who verse the public in war, at least while they w. employed in that service Whatever, in time of pace, might be the ordinary occurpotion of thus who go to $w \mathrm{ra}$, sum writ iodous and expensive a service would other rise he by far too heavy a burden upon them. Altar the second Persian war, accordingly, the armies of Athens seem to lase been gererally composed of mercenary troops, conassisting, indeed, partly of citizens, but partiv too of foreigners, and all of them equally hired and pad at the expense of the state. Fro the the of the siege of Vat, the aims of Rome recessed pay for their sorviceduring the time which they remanded in the field. Under the feudal governments, the miliary service both of the great lords and of their immediate dependents was, after a certain period, universally exchanged for a pusmera in money, which was employed to mantas those who served in their stead.

The number of those who can go to war, in proportion to the whole number of the people, is necessarily much smaller in a envilsed than in a rude state of society. Ia a civhsed society, as the solders are mantanned altogether by the labour of those who are ort solders, the number of the former can never exceed what the latter can mantan, over and above maintimug, in a mannet suite bile to their respective stations, both themselves and the other officers of governmont and law, whom they are obliged to maintain In the little agrarian states of ancicit Greece, a fourth or a fifths part of the whole body of the people considered themselves as solders, and would rometnes, It is sad, take the find. Among the emitind nations of modern Europe, it is commolly computed, that not more than one hundredth part of the inhabitants of any country can be employed as soldiers, without rum to the country whats pays the expense of their service.

The expense of preparing the army for the field semen not to have become considerable in any nation, thin long after that of mantinung it in the fid had devolved entirely upon the sovereign or commonwealth. In all the different reprblus of ancient Greece, to ham las mathary en $r$ chess, a as a nectisary part of education innposed by the state upon every free aldan.

In every city there seems to have been a public field, in which, under the protection of the public magistrate, the young people were taught their different exercises by different matars. In this very simple institution, consisted the -thole expense which any Grecian state seems ever to bave been at, in preparing its citizens for war. In ancient Rome, the exercises of the Campus Marcius answered the same purpose with those of the Gymnasium in ancient Greece. Under the feudal governments, the many public ordinances, that the citizens of every district should practise archery, as well as several other military exercises, were intended for promoting the same purpose, but do not seem to have promoted it so well. Either fiom want of interest in the officers inkrusted with the cxecution of those ordinances, or fiom some other cause, they appear to have been universally neglected; and in the progress of all those governments, military exercises seem to have gone gradually into disuse among the great body of the people.

In the republics of ancient Greece and Rome, during the whole period of their existence, and under the fundal governments, for a considerable time after their first establishment, the trade of a soldier was not a separate, distinct trade, which constituted the sole or principal occupation of a particular class of citizens. Every subject of the state, whatever might be the ordinary trade or occupation by which he gained his/ivelihood, constdered himself, upon all odinary occasions, as fit likewise to excreise be trade of a soldier, and, upon many extyaordinary occasions, as bound to exercise it.
The art of war, however, as it is certainly the noblest of all arts, so in the progress of improvement it necessarily becomes one of the most complicated among them. The state of the mechanical, as well as of some other arts, with which it is necessarily connected, determines the degree of perfection to which it is capable of being carried at any particular tume. But in order to carry it to this degree of perfection, it is necessary that it should become the sole or principal pocupation of a particular class of citizens; and the division of labour is as necessary for the improvement of this as of every other art. Into other arts the division of labour is naturally introduced by the prudence of individuals, who find that they promote their private interest better by confining themselves to a particular trade, than by exercising a great number. But it is the wisdom of the state only wheh can render the trade of a soldier a particular trade, scparate and distinct from all others. A private citizen who, in time of profound peace, and without any particular encouragement from the public, should spend the greater part of his time an mahtary excreises, might, uo doubt, botb
improve himself very much in them, and amuse himself very well; but he certainly would not promote lis own interest. It is the wisdom of the state only which can render it for his interest to give up the greater part of his time to this peculiar occupation ; and states have not always had this wisdom, even when their circumstances had become ourb that the prescrvation of their existance required that they should have it.

A shepherd has a grant ded of leisure; a husbandman, in the rude state of hushondry, has some; an artuficer or manufacturur has none at all. The first may, without any loss, employ a great deal of his time in martial exercises; the second may employ some part of it ; but the last cannot employ a single hour in them without some loss, and his attention to his own interest naturally leads him to neglect them altogether. Those improvements in husbandry, too, which the progress of arts and manufactures necessarily introduces, leave the husbandman as little leisure as the artaficer. Military exerciscs come to be as much neglected by the inhalitants of the country as by those of the town, and the great body of the people bccomes altogether unwarhke, That wealth, at the same time, which always follows the improvements of agriculture and manufactures, and which in reality is no more than the accumulated produce of those improvements, provokes the invasion of all their neighbours. An industrious, and upon that account a wealthy nation, is of all nations the most likely to be attacked; and unless the state takes some new measures for the public defence, the natural habits of the people render them altogether incapable of defending themselves.

In these circumstances, there ceem to be but two methods by which the state can make any tolerable provision for the public defence.

It may either, first, by means of a very rigorous polke, and in spate of the whule bent of the interest, genius, and inclinations of the people, enforce the practice of military exercises, and oblige either all the citizens of the military age, or a certain number of them, to join in some measure the trade of a solder to whatever other trade or professio: they may happen to carry on.

Or, secondly, by maintaining and employing a certain number of citizens in the constant practice of military excresses, it may render the trade of a soldier a particular trade, steparate and distinct from all others.

If the state has ricourse to the first of those two expedients, its military force is said to consist in a multis; if to the second, it is said to consist in a standing arniy. The practice of military exercioes is the sele or principal occupation of the soldiers of a standing army, and the maintenance or pay
"lich the state affordy them is the princtpal and ordinary fund of ther subsistence. The phatue of multaty extreses is only the oceastomal uccupation of the solulers of a milata, and they durise the prancipal and ordnary find of their subsist ance from some other occulbion. In a milita, the character of the Labourer, artificer, or trad sman, predominatcs over that of the solduis in a standing army, that of the solder predommates over enery other chatarter; and in this distinction atems to consist the esential difference between thuse two diferent spectes of military force.

Mhhias have been of several different hinds. In some countries, the cituzens distumd for detendmg the state seem to have been exercised only, without being, if I may sis so, regimented; that is, without being diveded nito scparate and distanct bodies of troops, cach of which performed ats exercises under its own proper and permanent offi1 Pa. In the republins of anctent Gruce and Roine, each citizen, as long as he remamed at home, seems to have practised his exeruses etther separately and independently, or with such of his equals as he lihed best; and not to have been attached to any particutar body of troops thll he was actually called upon to tate the fich In otber countrics, the multia has not only been excrused but regimented. In England, in switzerland, and, I beheve, in every other cuntry of modern Europe, where any imperfeet mhtary force of this kind has been endablished, every multia-man is, even in thue of peace, attached to a particular body of troops, which performs its exercises under its own proper and permanent offecers.

Before the invention of fire-arms, that army was superior in which the soldiers had, e.eh individually, the greatest skill and dex terity in the use of their arms. Strength and aghity of body were of the highest constepuence, and commonly determined the fate of battles. But this shill and dextenty in the use of their arms could be acquired only, in the same manner as feneing is at present, by practising, not in great bodies, but each man separately, in a particular school, under a particular master, or with his own particular equals and companions. Since the invention of fire-arms, strength and agdity of body, or even extraordilary dexterity and ,hill in the use of arms, though they are far from being of no consequence, are however of less consequence. The nature of the wapon, though it by no means puts the awhward upon a level with the skilful, puts hun more nearly so than he ever was befure. All the deaterity and skall, it is supposed, which are necessary for using it, can be well enough wequred by practasing 10 great b ohes
liseulnity, ondet, and prompt obednence
to command, are quatites which, in modern armes, are of more mportance towards determining the fate of batths, than the dexterity and shill of the soldiers on the use of therarms But the nuse of fire-arms, the smoke, and the invisble death to which every man feels himself every monient exposed, as soon as he comes within cannonshot, and frequently a long time before the battle can be well said to be engaged, must render it very difficult to maintan any considerable degree of this regularity, order, and prompt obedience, even in the beginnang of a modern battle.

In an ancient battle, there was no noise but what arose from the human voice; there was no smoke, there was no invisible cause of wounds or death. Every man, thll some mortal weapon actually did approach hm, saw clearly that no such neapon was ncar him In these circumstances, and among troops who had some confidence in their own shill and dexterity in the use of their atms, it must have been a good deal less difficult to prescrve some degree of regularity and order, not only in the begmming, Lut through the whole progress of an ancient battle, and till one of the two armes was fairly defeated. But the habits of regularity, ord $r$, and prompt obedrence to command, cau be actuired only by troops which are exercised in great bodies

A militia, honever, in whatever manner it may be eather disciplined or exercised, must always be much infenor to a well-disciphned and well-exercised standing arny.

The soldiers who are exercised only once a week, or once a month, can never be so expert in the use of their arms as those wio are exercised every day, or every other day, and though this circumstance may not be of so much cousequence in modern, as it was in ancient times, yet the acknowledged superionty of the Prusstan troops, owing, it is said, very much to their superior expertness in their exercise, may satisfy us that it is, even at this day, of very cousiderable consequence

The solders who are bound to obey their officer only once a week or once a month, and who are at all other times at hberty to manage their cwn aftars their own way, without beng in any respect accountuble to hum, can never be under the same awe in his presence, can never have the same disposition to ready ohedience, with those whose whole life and conduct are every day drected by hum, and who every day even rise and go to bed, or at least retire to their quarters, according to his orders. In what is called discipline, or in the habit of ready obedience, a militia must always be still more interior to a standing arn.y, than it may sometumes be in what $1 s$ called the manual exerase, or m the manageonent and use of its arms. But, in modera
war, the babit of ready and instant obedience is of much greater consequence than a considerable superiority in the management of arms

Thise mulitias which, like the Tartar or Arab mulitia, go to war under the same chieftains whom they are accustomed to obey in peace, are by far thic best. Iu respect for their efficers, in the habit of ready obedience, they approach nearest to standing armies, The highland militia, when it served under its own chueftains, had some advantage of the same kind. As the highlanders, however, were not wandering, but stationary shepherds, as they had all a fixed habitation, and were not, in peaceable times, accustomed to follow their chieftain from place to place; so in time of war they were less willing to follow him to any considerable distance, or to continue for any long time in the field. When they had acquised any booty, they were eager to return home, and his authority was sedion sufficient to detain them. In point of obedience they were always much inferior to what is reported of the Tartars and Arabs. As the highlanders, too, from their stationary life, spend less of their time in the open air, they were always less accustomed to military exercises, and were less expert in the use of their arms than the Tartars and Arabs are said to be.

A militia of any kind, it must be observed, however, which has served for several successive campaigns in the field, becon es in every respect a standing army. The goldiers are every day exercised in the use of their arms, and, being constantly under the command of their officers, are habituated to the same prompt obedience which takes place in standing armies. What they were before they took the field, is of little importance. They necessarily become in every respect a standing army, after they have passed a few campaigns in it. Should the war in America diag out through another campaign, the American militia may become in every respect a match for that standing army, of which the valour appeared, in the last war, at least not inferior to that of the hardiest veterans of France and Spain.

This distinction being well understood, the history of all ages, it will be found, bears testunony to the irresistible superiority which a well-regulated standing army has over a militia.

One of the first standing armies of which we have any distinct account in any well. authenticated history, is that of Philip of Macedon. His frequent wars with the 'l'hracians, Illyrians, Thessalians, and some of the Greek cities in the neighbourhood of Macedon, gradually formed his troops, which in the beginning were probably militia, to the exact discipline of a standing army. Whun he was at peace, which he was very
seldom, and never for any long time together, he was carcful not to disband that ariny. It vanquished and subdued, after a long and violent struggle indeed, the gallant and wellexcrcised militias of the principal republicy of ancient Greece; and afterwards, with very little struggle, the cfieminate and ill exercised milhtia of the great Persi in cupire. The fall of the Greck republice, and of the Persian empire, was the effuet of the irresistible superiority which a standing army has over every other sort of militia It is the first great revolution in the affuirs of mankind of which history has prescrycd any distinct or circumstantial account.

The fall of Carthage, and the consequent elevation of Rome is the second. All the varieties in the fortucue of those two farnous republics may very well be accounted for from the same cause.

From the end of the first to the beginning of the second Carthaginian war, the armes of Carthage were continually in the fietd, and employed under three great general, who succeeded one another in the command; Amilcar, his son-in-law Asdrubal, and his son Annibal; first in chastising their own rebellious slaves, afterwards in subduing the revolted nations of Africa, and lastly, in conquering the great kingdom of spain. The army which Anvibal led from Spain into Italy must necessarily, in those different wars, have been gradually formed to the exact discipline of a standing army. The Romans, in the mean time, though they had not been altogether at peace, yet they had not, during this period, been engaged in al:y war of very great consequence; and their mulitary discipline, it is generally said, was a good deal relaxed. The Roman armes which Annibal encountered at Trubia, Thrasymenus, and Canne, were mulitia opposed to a standing army. This ctrcumstance, it is probable, contributed more than any other to determine the fate of those battles.

The standing anny which Annibal left behind him in Spain, had the like superiority over the milita which the Romans sent to oppose it; and in a few years, under the command of his brother, the younger Asdrubal, expelled them almost entirly from that country.

Annibal was ill supplied from home. The Roman militia, being continually in the field, became, in the progress of the war, a well-disciplined and well-exercised standing army; and the superiority of Anmbal grew every day less and less. Asdrubal judged it necessary to lead the whole or almost the whole of the standing army which he cormmanded in Spain, to the assistance of his brother in Italy. In this march be is said to have been misled by his guides, and in a country which he did not know. was sir-
pised and attacked by another stauding army, in every requect aqual or superior to tha wow, and was entircly difeated.

When $\Lambda$ sdrubal barl lieft Spain, the grat Sipio found nothing to ppoce him but a milita infertor to bis own. He conpuered and sublued that miltia, and, in the course of the war, hiw oun militia necessarily became a mellothechelused and well-exercsed standing arms. That standing army was aftermaris carred to Africa, where it found nothang but a militia to oppose it. In order to difend Carthage, it became necessary to recell the stanheng army of Ammbal. The disheatened and frequently deteated African mitha joined it, and, at the battle of Zama, componed the gleater part of the toops of Aunibal. The event of that day detemined the fate of the two rival republes.

Fiom the end of the second Carthagınian war thil the fall of the Roman republic, the nimucs of Rome were in every respect standing armes 'The standang army of Macerdon nade some rosstance to their arms. In the height of their grandeur, it cost then two giet wars, and three great bateles, to subdue that litte hugdom; of which the conquest nould phobully have been still more difficult, had it not been for the cowardice of its labt kug. The militiss of all the civilised nations of the ancent world, of Greete, of Syra, and of $\mathrm{E} q \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{m}$ ade but a feeble reastance to the standing armes of Rome. The militias of some barbarous nations defended themselses much better. The Seythum or Tartar multan, which Mithridates drew from the countises north of the Euxine and Caspan scas, were the most formidable enemies whom the IRomans had to encounter after the sccond Carthngiman war. The Parthian and German militas too were always respectable, and, upon several occasions, ganed very considerable advantages over the Roman armus. In general, houever, and when the Human arnuts were well commanded, they appear to have been very much superior; and if the Romans did not pursue the fimal comquest either of I'arthia or Germany, it was probobly because they judged that it was not worth whale to add those two barbarous countries to an empure which was alreath too lage. The ancient Parthians appear to have been a nation of Scy thian or Tartar extraction, and to have always retaned a good deal of the manners of their ance tors The ancent Gernans were, hike the Sop thians or Tartars, a nation of wamdung shepherds, who went to war under the sune chats $n$ hom they were accustomed to follow in peace. Their miltia was exaetly of the same kind with that of the Seythans or Tarturs, from whom too they were piobubly disceended.

Many diflerent causes coutabuted to re-

Jus the disipline of the Roman armies. lis extretoe senerity was perhars one of tho er causes. In the days of thergfandem, when nocwemy appeared crpable of rpposing them, their heavy armour was lath aside as unnecessarily burdensome, ther laborious exercoses were neglected, as unnecessarily tonlsome. Undir the Lioman emperors, hesides, the standing armues of Rome, those particulaly which guarded the German and Pannoman frontiers, became dangerous to their masters, aguinst whom they used frequantly to set up their own generals. In order to render them lens formadable, according to some authons, Diorlestan, according to otherc, Constantine, first withdrew them from the frontier, where they had always befure been encamped in great bodies, generally of two or three legions each, and diopersed them in small bodhes through the different provineal towns, fiom whence they were searce ever renoved but when it became necessary to repel an invasion. Sinall bodies of soldiers, quartered in trading and manufactumg towns, and seldom removed from those quarters, became themselves tradesmen, artficers, and manufacturers. The civil cane to predominate over the military character; and the standing armies of Rome gradually degenerated into a corrupt, nerlected, and undiscuphed militia, incupable of resisting the attack of the German and Sest'ian mulitias, which soon afterwards invaded the western pmpire. It was only by birng the militia of some of those nations to oppose that of others, that the emperors "ere for some tine able to defind themselve The fall of the western empure is the third grent revolution in the aflars of mamhind, of which ancient history hav preserved any distmet or circumstantial account. It was trought about by the arresistible superiority wheh the militia of a barbavous has over that of a civulatednation; whech the mulata of a nation of shepherds has over that of a nat'on of husbandmen, altificers, and manufacture is. The ustoises wheh have been ganed wy min ias have generally been, not over stunding armies, but over other militias, m exerciss and disciphne inferior to themsrlves., Sueh wern the victones which the Greek miluta gained over that of the Persian enipire, nud such too were those which, in later times, the Swiss militia gained over that of the Autrians and Butgundans.

The military force of the German and Scy than nations, who establisl ed themselves upon the ruins of the uestern cmpire, continued for some time to be of the same kind in their neve settlements, as at had been in their original country It was a mulitia of shepherds and huhbandmen, which in time of war took the field under the command of the same clucitans whom at was aceustomed to obey in peace. It was, theretore, toler-
ably well exercised, and tolerably well diseiplined. As arts and industry advanced, however, the authority of the chieftains gradually decayed, and the great body of the people had less tume to spare for military exercises. Both the disciphine and the exercise of the feudal militia, therefore, went gradually to ruin, and standing armies were gradually introduced to supply the place of it. When the expedient of a standing army, besides, had once been adopted by one civilised nation, it became necessary that all its neighbours should follow the example. They soon found that their safety depended upon their doing so, and that their own milhtia was altogether incapable of resisting the attack of such an army.

The soldiers of a standing army, though they may never have seen an enemy, yet have frequently appeared to possess all the courage of veteran troops, and, the very moment that they took the field, to have been fit to face the hardiest and most experienced veterans. In 1756, when the Russian army marched into Poland, the valour of the Russian soldiers did not appear inferior to that of the Prussians, at that time supposed to be the hardiest and most experienced veterans in Europe. The Russian empire, however, had enjoyed a profound peace for near $t w e n t y$ years before, and could at that time have very fow soldiers who had ever sear an enemy. When the Spanish war brokip out in 1739, England had enjoyed a prof uund peace for about eight and twenty years. The valour of her soldiers, however, fy from being corrupted by that long peacs, was never more distinguished than in the attempt upon Carthagena, the first unfortunate exploit of that unfortunate war. In a long peace the generals, perhaps, may sometimes forget their skill; but where a wcll-regulated standing army has been kept up, the soldiers seem never to forget their valour.

When a civilised nation depends for its defence upon a militio, it is at all times exposed to be conquered by any barbarous nation which happens to be in its ncighbourhood. The frequent conquests of all the civilised countries in Asia by the Tartars, sufficiently demonstrates the natural superiority whinch the militia of a barbarous has over that of a civilised nation. A wellregulated standing army is superior to every militia. Such an army, as it can best be maintained by an opulent and civilised nation, so it can alone defend such a nation against the invasion of a poor and barbarous neighbour. It is ouly by means of a standing army, therefore, that the civilisation of any country can be perpetuated, or even preserved for any considerable time.

As it is only by means of a well-regulated standing army that a civilised country can be defended, so it is only by means of at that
a barbarous country can be suddenly and tolerably civilsed. A atandang army establishes, with an irresistible furce, the law of the sovereign through the remotest provinect of the empire, and mantains some deyrec of regular government in countries which could not otherwise admit of any. Whoever examines with attention the improvenents which Peter the Great introduced into the Russian empire, will find that they almost all resolve themselves into the estaldishnuent. of a well-regulated standing army. It is the instrument which enecutes and maintains all his other regulations. That degree of order and internal peace, which that cm pire has ever since enjoyed, is altogether owing to the influence of that army.

Men of republican principles have ben jealous of a standing army, as dangerous to luberty. It certainly is so, wherever the interest of the general and that of the primeipal officers are not necessarily connected with the support of the constitution of the statc. The standing army of Casar destroyed the Roman republic. The standing army of Cromwell turned the long parlament out of doors. But where the sovereign is himself the general, and the principal nobulty and gentry of the country the chief officers of the army; where the military force is placed under the command of those who have the greatest interest 20 the support of the civil authority, because they have themselves the greatest sliare of that authonty, a standing army can never be dangerous to liberty. On the contrary, it may in some cases be favourable to liberty. The security which it gives to the sovereign render unnecessary that troublesome jealuusy which, in some modern republics, seems to watch over the minutest actions, and to the at all times ready to disturb the peace of every citizen. Where the security of the magstrate, though supported by- the prinerpal people of the country, is endangered by every popular discontent; where a small tumult is capable of bringing about in a few hours a great revolution, the whole anthority of government must be employed to suppress and punish every murmur and complant against it. To a sovereign, on the contrary, who feels bimself supported, not only by the natural aristocracy of the country, but by a well-regulated standing arny, the rudest, the most groundless, and the most licentious remonstrance can give little disturlance. He can safely pardon or neglect them, and his consciousness of bis own superionty naturally disposes hira to do so. That dagree of hiberty which approaches to licentwusness, can be tolerated only in countries where the sovereign is secured by a well-regulated standing army. It is in such countries onfy that the public safuty does not require thist the sovereign shouid be trusted wilh any dis-
cretionary power, for surppesidig cen the unpertina nt watomess ot wis heentous libity.

The font dute of the worturn, therefore, that of def authe the sonicty from the wobice and moustice of other indeperdint sonntic. grows fradually more and more expmane as the sochty advanas in cindwatholl The mintary furce of the society, whith orizmally cont the suteregn no expasi wher in thate of peace or in tume of wir, must, in the progriss of improsement, firt he mantamed by lime in the of war, and afterwards even in the of peace.
The grat change mintroduced moto the art of war ly the incention of fire-arms has calanceal still further thoth the expense of txercmang and dixiplining any particular mamber of soldurs in time of peace, and that of cmploging them in tume of war. Both their arma and their ammunation are become more expense. A muchet is a more expermse machme than a jasclin or a how and arrows, a cannon or a mortar, than a baista or a catapulta. The pouder wheh os spent in a modirn revew is lost irrecoverably, nind uciavons a very connditable expense. 'lie lavinus and arrous a lich were thrown or shot in an ancient one could easuly be puked up agan, and nere lestdes of very little value. The cannon and the mortar are not only nuch dearer, but much beavier machines than the balista or catapulte, and require a ereater expense, not only to preFare them for the field, but to carry them to $t$ As the superionty of the modern arthhery, too, over that of the ancients, is very giert, it has become much more difhcult, and consequently much more expensive, to t rufy a town so as to resist even fur a few wuth the attack of that superior artillery. In modern times, many different causes contrinute to render the dufence of the soniety biore expensure. The unavoddable etferts of the natural progress of improvement have, in this reopert, leen a good deal enhanced by a great renolution in the art of war, to whel a mere accident, the invention of gunpuediar, wems to have given occasion.

In modern war, the great expense of firesums gives an evident adrantage to the nation Whach can best afford that expense; and consequently to an opulent and cavihsed, over a poot and barbarous nation. In ancient thees, the opulent and avilsed found it difiecult to detend themselves aganst the poor and barbarous hations. In modern times, the poor and barbarous find it difficult to defend thenselves against the opulent and civilused. The insention of firearms, an mention Whech at first sirht appears to be so permcious, is certanly favaurable both to the permanency and to the extension of cowdisition.
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Tue sicond duty of the soteregen, that of protecturg, as far ds pensible, crery member of the sonicty from the injustuce or uppresston of evers other meniler of it. or the dute of establishing an exact admunstration of justue, requires, too, very dillirent dugrus of expense in the dificrent percads of soclety.

Ainong nations of bunters, as there 19 scarce any properts, or at least none that excuds the value of two or tbree davs' labour, so there is scldom any estubhished magis: trates, or any regular admunstration of justice. Men who have no proparty an injure one another only w their per-ons or reputations. Eutwhen one man bills, wounds, beats, or defames another, thoush he to whom the mjury is done suffirs, he who does it recencs no benedit. It is otherwise with the mururs to property. The betect of the person who does the injury is often equal to the loss of ham who sufters it. Eiry, maluce, or resentment, are the only pasions which can prompt one man to injure another in lus person or raputation But the greater part of men are not very trequently auder the influence of those passions. and the very worst men are so only oceasionally. Wh their grattication too, how agreeable suber it may be to certain characters, is not attended with any real or permaneit advantace, it is, in the greater part of men, commonly restrained by prudential considerations. Men may live together in sockety with some tolerable degree of security, though there is no civil magistrate to proteet them from the injustice of those passions But avarice and ambition in the rich, in the poor the hatred of labour and the love of present ease and enjorment, are the passions what prompt to usade propurty; passion $\times$ much more stead'y in there operation, and much more unversal in therr mfluence. Whercier there is great property there 15 great mequality. For one sery rich man, there must be at least five bundred poor, and the axduence of the few supposes the indigence of the many. The athuence of the rich excites the indignation of the poor, who are often both driven by want, and prompted by enry, to invade has posscssions. It is only under the shelter of the conl magistrate that the owner of that valuable property whech is acquired by the libour of many years, or purhaps of many surcessive generations, can sleep a surgle mght in security. Ile is at all times surrounded by unknonn enemies, whom, though he wertr prowhed, he can never appease, and from whose irgustice he can be protected only ty the powertul arm of the chil magistrate,
continually held up to chastise it. The acqusition of valuable and extensiv $=$ property, therfore, necessarily requires the establisitmunt of cavil covernment. Where there is no property, or ut least none that excecds the value of two or three days' labour, civil government is not so necessary.

Cival government supposes a certain subordination. But as the necessity of civil government gradually grows vp with the acquisition of valuable property, so the princlpal causes which naturally introduce subordination gradually grow upwith the growth of that valuable property.

The causes or circumstances which naturally introduce subordination, or which naturally and antecedent to any civil institution, give some men some superiority over the freater part of thew bretliren, seem to be four in number.

The first of those causes or circumstances is the superiority of personal qualifications, of strength, beauty, and agılity of body; of wisdom and virtue, of prudence, justice, fortitude, and moderation of mind. The quali. fications of the body, unless supported by those of the mind, can give little authority in any period of society. He is a very strong man, who, by mere strength of body, can fonce two weak ones to obey him. The qualifications of the mind can alone give very great authority. They are, lowever, invisible qualities; always disputabie, and generally disputed. No society, finether barbarous or civilised, has ever found it convenient to settle the rules of procedency of rank and subordination, according to those invisuble qualities; but according to something that is more plain and palpable.

The second of those causes or circumstances is the superiority of age. An old man, provided his age is not so far advanced as to give suspicion of dotage, is everywhere more respected than a young man of equal rank, fortune, and abilities. Among nations of hunters, such as the native tribes of North America, age is the sole foundation of rank and precedency: Among them, father is the appellation of a superior; bre. ther, of an equal; and son, of an inferior. In the most opulent and civilised nations, age regulates rank among those who are in cvery other respect equal, and among whom, therefore, there is nothing else to regulate it. Among brothers and among sisters, the cldest always takes place; and in the succession of the patcrnal estate, every thing which cannot be divided, but must go entire to one person, such as a title of honour, is in most cases given to the tldest. Age is a plain and palpable quality which admits of no dispute.

The third of those causes or circumstances is the superiority of fortune. The authority wf riclses, however, though great in every
age of socicty, is perbaps greathes in the rudest age of society which adtnita of any considerable inequality of fortumus A Partar chicf, the increase of whose berds and $g_{\text {onbs }}$ is sufficrent to maintain a thousand men, cannot well employ that incrave in any uther way than in maintaining a thousand men. The rude state of his socicty does not afford birn any manufactured produce, any trinkets or buubles of any kind, fur which he can exchange that part of his rude produce which is over and ahove his own consumption. The thournind men whon la thus maintains, depending entidily upon him for their subgistence, must both obry his orders in war, and submit to his juris diction in peace. IIe is nocessarily both their general and their judge, and hus chuftainship is the necessary eflect of the superiority of his fortuns. In an opulent and civilised society, a man may possess a much greater fortune, and yet not be able to command a dozen of people. Though the produce of his estate may be sufficient to maintain, and may perhaps actually maintain, more than a thousand prople, yet, as thuse people pay for every thing which they get from him, as he gives scarce any thing to uny body, but in exchange for an erfuralent, there is scarce any body who considurs hamself as entirely dependent upon him, and his authority extends only over a few menal servants. The authority of fortune, howeser, is very great even in an opulent and civiliced society. That it is much greater than that either of age or of personal qualities, has been the constant complaint of every period of socicty which admitted of any considerable inequality of fortune. The first period of es cicty, that of hunters, admats of no such inequality. Universal poverty establishes their universal equality; and the superiority either of age or of personal qualitics are the feeble but the sole fuundations of authority and subordination There is therefore little or no authonty or subordination in this period of society. The second period of society, that of shepherds, admits of very great inequalitics of fortunc, and there is no period in which the superiority of fortune gives so great authority to those who possess it. There is no period, accordingly, in which authority and subordination are more perfectly extablished. The authority of an Arabnan scherif is very great; that of a Tartar khan altogether despotical.

The fourth of those causes or circumstaines is the superiority of birth. Superiority of burth supposes an ancient superiority of fortune in the famlly of the percon who claims it. All families are equally ancient; and the ancestor of the prince though tbey may be better known, cannot well be more numerous than those of the beggar. Antiquity of famiy means everywhere the antiquity either of wealth, of of
that greatness which is commonly either founded upon wealth, or accompanied with it. Upstart greatness is everywhere leas respected than aneient greatness. + The hatred of usurpers, the love of the family of an anctent monarch, are in a great measure fuanded upon the contempt which men naturally have for the former, and upon their veneration for the latter. As a military officer submits, without reluctance, to the authority of a superior by whom he has always been commanded, but cannot bear that his infirtor should be set over his head; so men easily submit to a family to whom they and their ancestors have always submitted; but are fired with indignation when another family, in whom they had never acknowledged any such superiority, assumes a domation over them.

The distunction of lirth, being subsequent to the mequality of fortune, can have no place in nations of hunters, among whom all men, being equal in fortune, must likewise be very nearly equal in birth. The son of a wise and brave man thay, indeed, even among them, be somewhat more resperted than a man of equal merit who has the misfortune to be the son of a fool or a coward. The difference, however, will not be very great; and there never was, I belueve, a great family in the world whose illustration was entirely derived from the inheritance of wisdom and virtue.

The distinction of birth not only may, but always does take place among nations of shepherds. Such nations are always strangers to every sort of luxury, and great wealth can scarce ever be dissipated among them by improvident profusion. There are no nutions, accordingly, who abound more in famihes revered and honoured on account of their descent from a long race of great and illustrious ancestors; because there are no uations among whom wealth is likely to continue longer in the same familues.

Birth and fortune are evidently the two circumstances which principally set one man above another. They are the two great sources of personal distinction, and are therefore the principal canses wheh naturally establish authority and subordination among men. Among nations of shepherds, both those causes operate with their full force. The great shepherd or herdsman, respeeted on account of his great wealth, and of the great number of those who depend upon him for sulpustence, and revered on account of the nobleness of his birth, and of the immenorial antuquaty of his illustrious family, has a natural authority over all the inferior shepherds or herdsman of hus horde or clau. He can command the unted force of a greater number of people than any of them. His military power is greater than that of any of them. In time of war they are all of
them naturally disposed to muster themsclves under has banser, rather than under that of any other person, and his birth and fortune thus naturally procure to him some sort of exccutive power By commanding, too, the united force of a greater number of people than any of them, he is best able to compel any one of them who may have mjured another to compensate the wrong He is the person, therefore, to whom all those who are too weak to defend themselves naturally look up for protection. It is to him that they naturally complain of the injurics which they imagine have been done to them, and his interposition in such cases is more easuly submitted to, even by the person complaned of, than that of any other person would be. His birth and fortune thus naturally procure him some sort of judical authority.
It is in the age of shepherds, in the second period of society, that the inequality of fortune first begins to take place, and introduces among men a degree of authority and subordination which could not possibly exist before. It thereby introduces some degree of that civil government which is indispensably nccessary for its own preservation; and it seems to do this naturally, and even independent of the consideration of that necessity. The consideration of that necessity comes, no doubt, afterwards to contribute very much to mantain and secure that authority and subordination. The rich, in particular, are necessarily interested to support that order of things, which can alone secure them in the passession of their own advantages. Men of inferior wealth combine to defend those of superior wealth in the possession of their property, in order that men of superior wealth may combine to defend them in the passession of theirs. All the inferior shepherds and herdsmen feel, that the security of their own herds and flocks depends upon the security of those of the great shepherd or herdsman; that the maintenance of their lesser authority depends upon that of his greater authority ; and that upon their subordination to hin depends his power of keeping their inferiors in subordination to them. They consttitute a sort of little nobility, who feel themselves interested to defend the property and to support the authority of their own litle soveregn, in order that he may be able to defend their property and to support their authority. Civil government, so far as it is instituted for the sccurity oi property, is in reality instatuted for the defence of the rich against the poor, or of those who have / some property against those who have none, at all.

The judicial authority of such a sovereign, however, far from being a cause of expense, was for a long time a source of reverue to him. The persons who applied to him for
justice were always willing to pay for it, and a present never failed to accompany a petition. After the authority of the sovereign, too, was thoroughly established, the person found guilty, over and above the satisfaction which he was obliged to make to the party, was likewise forced to pay an amercement to the sovereign. He had given trouble, he had disturbed, he bad broke the peace of his lord the king, and for those offences an amercement was thought due. In the Tartar governments of Asia, in the governments of Europe which were founded by the German and Scythian nations who overturned the Roman empire, the administration of justice was a considerable source of revenue, both t.) the sovereign and to all the lesser chiefs or lords who exercised under him any particular jurisdiction, either over some particular tribe or clan, or over some particular territory or district. Originally, both the sovereign and the inferior chiefs used to exercise this jurisdiction in their own persons. Afterwards, they universally found it convenient to delegate at to some substitute, bailiff, or judge. This substitute, bowever, was still obliged to account to his principal or constituent for the profits of the jurisdiction. Whoever reads the instructions ${ }^{1}$ which were given to the judges of the circuit in the time of Henry II. will see clearly thac those judges were a sort of itinerant factors, sent round the country for the purpose of levying certain branches of the king's revenue. In those days the administration of justice not only afforded a certain revenue to the sovereigu, but to procure this revenve seems to have been one of the principal advantages which he proposed to obtain by the adminigtration of justice.

This scheme of making the administration of justice subservient to the purposes of revenue, could scarce fail to be productive of several very gross abuses, The person who applied for justice with a large present in his hand, was likely to get something more than justice; while he who applied for it with a small one, was likely to get something less. Justice too might frequently be delayed, in order that this present might be repeated. The amercement, besides, of the person complained of, might frequently suggest a very strong reason for finding him in the wrong, even when he had not really been so. That such abuses were far from being uncommon, the ancient history of every country in Europe bears witness.

When the sovereign or chief exercised his judicial authority in his own person, how much soever he might abuse it, it must have been scarce possible to get any redress; because there could seldom be any body pow-

[^100]crful enough to call him to account. When he exercised it by a bauliff, andeed, rcenasp might sometimes be had. If it was for bis own benefit only that the baliff had been gulty of any act of injustice, the sovereign himself might not always be unwilling to punish him, or to oblige him to repar the wrong. But if it was for the bencfit of has sovereign, if it was in order to make court to the person who appointed him and who might prefer him, that he had committed any act of oppression, redress would, upon most occasions, be as impossible as if the sovereign had committed it himself. In all barbarous governments, accordingly, in all those oncient governments of Europe in particular which were founded upon the rums of the Roman empire, the admmistration of justice appears for a long time to have been extremely corrupt ; far from being quite equal and impartial, even under the best nonarchs, and altogether profligate under the worst.

Among nations of shepherds, where the sovereign or chief is only the greatest ship p herd or herdsman of the horde or clan, he 19 maintained, in the same manner as any of his vassals or subjects, by the increase of his own herds or flocks. Among those nations of husbandmen who are but just come out of the shepherd state, and who are not much advanced beyond that atate, - such as the Greek tribes appear to have been about the time of the Trojan war, and our German and Scythian ancestors when they first settled upon the ruins of the western empire, - the sovereign or chief is, in the same manner, only the greatest landlord of the country, and is maintained, in the same manner an any other landlord, by a revenue derived from his own private estate, or from what, in modern Europe, was called the demesne of the crown. His subjects, upon ordinary occasions, contribute nothing to his support. except when, in order to protect thern from the oppression of some of their fellow-subjects, they stand in need of his authonty. The presents which they make him upon such occasions, constitute the whole ordinary revenue, the whole of the emoluments which, except perhaps upon some very extraordinary emergencies, he derives from his dominion over them. When Agamernnon, in Homer, offers to Achilles for his friendship the sovereignty of seven Greek cities, the sole advantage which he mentions as likely to be derived from it was, that the people would honour him with presents. As long as such presents, as long as the emoluments of justice, or what may be called the fees of court, constituted in this manner the whole ordinary revenue which the sovereign derived from his sovereignty, it could not well be expected, it could not even decently be proposed, that be should give them up altogether. It might, and it frequently was prom
posed, thit he should repulate and ascert un thin But aftir they had been so regulated and muertaned, how to hoder a peison who was alt-powerful from exteuding them beyom those regulations, was still verydifficult, not to sty impossible Durng the continuance of this state of things, therefore, the corruption of gustice, naturally resulting fion tho arbitrary and uncertain nature of thone prosents, scaice admitted of any effectual remedy.

But when from different causes, chiefly from the continually increasing expense of deferiding the mation against the invasion of other nations, the private estate of the sovereugn had become altogether insufficient for Wetaymir the expense of the soverengnty, and when it had become necessary that the people whould. for their own security, contribute towardy this expense by taxes of different himis, it seems to have been very commonly supulated, that no present for the admunistration of justice should, under any pretence, be aceepted either by the soveregn, or by his buhlfs and substitutes the judges. Those preaents, it seems to have been supposed, could mone anly be abolished altogether than elfictually rugulated and ascertamed. Fixed salaines were appointed to the judges, whech were supposed to compensate to them the loss of whatever mught have been their shase of the ancient emoluments of justice; as the taxes more than compensated to the Goverigu the loss of his. Justice was then sud to be adminstered gratis.
4 Juntuce, however, never was in reality adminstered gratis in any countiy. Lawyers and attorneys at least must always be pand by the parties; and if they were not, they nould perform their duty still worse than they actually perform it. The fees annually pad to lawers and attorness amount, in wey cout, to a much greater sum than the s.daives of the juilges. The circumstance of thue salares being paid by the crown, can nowhere much dumimsh the necessary expene of a lawsuit. But it was not so much to dimmon the expense, as to prevent the corruption of justuce, that the jubges were pohbuted from receiving any present or fee thom the parties.

The oflice of judge is in itself so very honourable that men are walling to accept of it though accompanied with very sinall emoluments. The mfenor office of justice of peace, though attended with a good deal of trouble, and in most cases with no emoluments at all, is an obzect of ambition to the griater purt of our country gentlenien. The suhuiss of all the duferent judges, high and low, torcther with the whole expense of the Ifnemetration and expeution of justice, even Where it is not managed with very good economy, makes, in any civihed country, but a
rery inconsderable part of the whole experse of go ernmest.

The whole expense of pastice too might easily be detrayed by the fees of court, and without exposing the adminstration of justice to any real hazard of corruption, the public revenue might thus be enturely discharged from a certin though perhaps but a small ineumbrance. It is dhflecult to regulate the fees of court effectually, where a person so powerful as the sovereign is to share in them, and to derive any considerable part of his revenue fiom them. It is very easy where the judge is the principal person who can reap any benefit from them. The lav can very casily oblige the junge to respect the regulation, though it might not always be able to make the sovercign respect it. Where the fees of court are presisely regulated and ascertained, where they are paid allat once at a certan period of every process into the hands of a cashier or receiver, to be by him distributed in certain known proportions among the different judges after the process is decided, and not tull it is deolded, there seems to be no nore danger of corruption than where such fees are prohbited altogether. Those fees, without occastoning any considerable increase in the expense of a lawsut, might be rendered fully sufficient for defraying the whole expense of justice. But not being paid to the judges till the process was determined, they might be some incitement to the dilgence of the court in examining and deciding it. In courts which consisted of a considerable number of judges, by proportioning the share of each judge to the number of hours and days which he had employed in examining the process, etther in the court or in a committce by order of the court, thase fees might give some encouragement to the diligence of each particular judge. Publie senices are never better performed than when their renard contes only in consequence of their being performed, and is proportioned to the dhi. pence employed in performing them. In the d fferent parliaments of France, the fies of court (called èpices and racations) constitute the far greater part of the emoluments of the judges. After all deductions are made, the nent salary pad by the crown to a counsellor or judge in the parhament of Toulouse, in rank and dignity the second parhament of the kingdom, ammente only t., a hundred and fifty lirres, about six pounds eleven shillings sterling a year. About seven years ago, that sum was in the same place the ordinary yearly wages of a common footman. The distribution of these épres too is necording to the dihgence of the judges. A diligent judge ginins a comfortable thongh moderate revenue by bis office;
an idle one gets little more than his salary. Those parliaments are perhaps, in many respects, not very convenient courts of justice; but they have never been accused; they seem never even to have been suspected of corruption.

The fees of court seem originally to have been the principal support of the different courts of justice in England. Each court endeavoured to draw to itself as much business as it could, and was, upon that account, willing to take cognisance of many suits which were not originally intended to fall under its jurisdiction. The Court of King's Bench, instituted for the trial of criminal causes only, took cognisance of civil suits; the plaintiff pretending that the defendant, in not doing him justice, had been guilty of some trespass or misdemeanour. The court of exchequer, instituted for the levying of the king's revenue, and for enforcing the payment of such debts only as were due to the king, took cognisance of all other consract debts; the plaintiff alleging that he could not pay the king, because the defendant would not pay him. In consequence of sich fictions it came, in many cases, to depend altogether upon the parties, bafore what court they would choose to have their cause tried; and each court endeavoured, by superior dispatch and impartiality, to draw to itself as many causes as it could. The present admirable constitution of the 'courts of justice in England was perhaps originally, in a great measure, frrmed by this emulation, which anciently fook place between their respective judges; each judge endeavouring to give, in his own court, the speediest and most effectual rdmedy which the law would admit, for every sort of injustice. Originally, the courts of law gave damages only for breach of contract. The Court of Chancery, as a court of conscience, first took upon it to enforee the specific performance of agreements. When the breach of contract consisted in the nonpayment of money, the damage sustained could be compensated in no other way than by ordering payment, which was equivalent to a specific performance of the agreement. In such cases, therefore, the remedy of the courts of law was sufficient. It was not so in others. When the tenant sued his lord for having unjustly outed him of his lease, the damages which he recovered were by no means equivalent to the possession of the land. Such causes, therefore, for some time, went all to the Court of Chancery, to the no small loss of the courts of law. It was to draw back such causes to themselves that the courts of law are said to have invented the artificial and fictitious writ of ejectment, the most effectual remedy for an unjust outer or dispossession of land.

A stamp duty upon the law proceedings
of each particular court, to be levied by that court, nod applied towards the manizenanes of the judges and other officers belonging to it, might, in the same manner, afford a tevenue sufficient for defraying the expouse of the administration of justice, without broging any burden upon the general reveme of the society. The judges indeed might, in this case, be under the temptation of multiplying unnecessarly the proceedings upon every cause, in order to increase, as much as possible, the produce of such a stamp duty. It has been the custom in modern Euroje to regulate, upon most occasions, the payment of the attomeys and clerks of court according to the number of pages which they had occasion to write; the court, howerer, requiring that each page should contain so many lines, and each line so many words, In order to increase their payment, the attorneys and clerks have ccotrived to multiply words beyond all necessity, to the corruption of the law language of, I beheve, every court of justice in Europe. A like temptation might perhaps occasion a like corruption in the form of law procerdings.

But whether the administration of justice be to contrived as to defray its own expence or whether the judges be maintained by fiact salaries paid to them from some other fund, it does not seem necessary that the person or persons intrusted with the executive power should be charged with the management of that fund, or with the payment of those saluries. That fund might arise from the rent of landed estates, the management of each estate being intrusted to the particular court which was to he maintained by it. That fund might arise even from the intercst of a sum of money, the leading out of which might, in the same manner, be intrusted to the court which was to be maintained by it. A part, though indeed but a small part, of the salary of the judges of the court of session in Scotland, arses from the interst of a sum of money. The necessary instability of such a fund seems, however, to render it an improper one for the maintenance of an institution which ought to last for ever.

The separation of the judicial from the executive power, scems originally to have arisen from the increasing lusincisa of the society, in consequence of its incrasing improvement. The administration of justice became so laborious and so complicated a duty, as to require the undivided attention of the person to whom it was intrusted. The person intrusted with the executive power, not having leisure to attend to the decision of private causes himstlf, a deputy was appointed to decide them in his stead. In the progress of the Roman greatness, the consul was too much occupied with the politucal affairs of the state to attend to the administration of justuce. A pretor, there-
fore, was appointed to administer it in his stead. In the progress of the European monarchnes which were founded upon the rums of the Roman empire, the sovereigns dud the great lords came universally to consiler the arministiation of justice as an ofice both too laborwus and too ignoble for them to execute in their own persons. They unversally, therefore, discharged themsclvers of it by apponting a deputy, bailff, or judge.

When the judicial is united to the executwo power, it is scarce possible that justice should not frequently be sacrificed to what is vulgarly crilld politics. The persons intrustad with the great interests of the state may, even without any corrupt views, sometimes magine it necessary to sacrifice to those interests the rights of a private man. But upon the impartial administration of justice depends the liberty of every individual, the scme which he has of bis own security. In order to make every individual fiel himself perfectly secure in the possession of every right which belongs to him, it is not only necestary that the judical should be separated from the executive power, but that it should be rendered as much as possible independent of that power. The judge should not be liable to be removed from his office according to the caprice of that power. The regular payment of his salary should not depend upon the good will, or even upon the good economy of that power.

## Part III.

Of the Erpense of public Works and public Institutions.
Ture third and last duty of the sovereign or commonwealth, is that of erecting and maintaining those public institutions and those public works, which, though they may be in the highest degree advantageous to a great socict, are however of such a nature, that the proht could never repay the expense to any udividual, or small number of individuals; and which it, therefire, cannot be expected that any individual, or small number of indurduals, should erect or maintain. The performance of thas duty requires, too, wary difierent degrees of expense in the diffirent periods of society.

After the pulbic institutions and public works necessary for the detence of the society, and for the administration of justice, botb of whech have already been mentioned, the other works and institutions of this kind are chinefly those for facilatating the commeice of the society, and those for promoting the instruction of the people. The mstitutions for instruction are of two kinds: those for the education of the youth, and those for the mastaction of people of all ages. The
consideration of the manner in which the expense of those different sorts of public works and institutions may be most properly defrayed will divide this third part of the present chapter into three different articles.

## article z.

Of the public Frorks and Institutions for facilitating the Commerce of the Soctety.
And, ivrst, of those whech are necessary for faciltating Commerce in general.
That the erection and maintenance of the public works which facilitate the commerce of any country, such as good roads, bridges, navigable canals, harbours, \&ce must require very different degrees of expense in the different periods of society, is evident without any proof. The expense of making and maintaining the public roads of any country must evidently increase with the annual produce of the land and labour of that country, or with the quantity and weight of the goods which it becomies necessary to fetch and carry upon those roads The strength of a bridge must be suited to the number and weight of the carriages which are likely to pass over it. The depth and the supply of water for a navigable canal must be proportioned to the number and tonnage of the lighters which are likely to carry goods upon it; the extent of a harbour to the number of the shipping which are likely to take shelter in it.

It cioes not seem necessary that the expense of those public works should be defrayed from that public revenue, as it is commonly called, of which the collection and application is, in most countries, assigned to the executive power. The greater part of such public works may ensily be so managed as to afford a partucular revenue, sufficient for defraying their own expense, without bringing any burden upon the general revenue of the society.

A highway, a bridge, a navigable canal, for example, may in most cases be both made and maintained by a small toll upon the carriages which make use of them: a harbour, by a moderate port-duty upon the tonnage of the shipping which load or unload in it. The comage, another institution for faculitating commerce, in many countries, not only defrays its own expense, but affords a small revenue or a selgnorage to the sovereign. The post-office, another institution for the same purpose, over and above defraying its own expense, affords, in almost all countries, a very considerable revenue to the sovereign.

When the carriages which pass over a highway or a bridge, and the lighters which sal upon a navigable canal, pay toll in proportion to their weight or their tonnage, they pay for the maintenance of those public works exactly in proportion to the wear and
t. ir of ach they occasion of them It scems scarce possible to invent a more equitable way of maintaining such works. Thes tan or toll, too, though it is advanced by the carrier, is finally paid by the consumer, to whom it must always be charged in the price of the goods As the expense of carriage, however, is very much reduced by means of such pubhe works, the goods, notwithstanding the toll, come cheaper to the consumer than they could otherwise have done; their price not being so much raised by the toll, as it is lowered by the cheapness of the carruge. The person who finally pays this tax, therefore, gains by the application more than he loses by the payment of it. His payment is exactly in proportion to his gain. It is in reality no more than a part of that gain which he $1 s$ obliged to give up, in order to get the rest. It scems impossible to imagine a more equitable method of raisming a tax.

When the toll upon carriages of luxury, upon coaches, postrehaises, \&ce., is made somewhat higher in proportion to their weight than upon carriages of necessary use, such as carts, waggons, \&c., the indolence und vanity of the rich is made to contribute in a very easy manner, to the rehef of the poor, by rendering cheaper the transportation of heavy goods to all the different'parts of the country.

When high roads, bridges, canals, \&c. are in this manner made and supported by he commerce which is carrried on by means of them, they can be made only where that commerce requires them, and, consequently, where it is proper to make them. Their expense, too, their grandeur and magnificence, must be suited to what that commerce can afford to pay. They must be made consequently as it is proper to make them. A inagnificent high road cannot be made through a desert country, where there is little or no commerce, or merely because it happens to lead to the country villa of the intendant of the province, or to that of some great lord to whom the intendant finds it convensent to make his court. A great bridge cannot be thrown over a river at a place where nobody passes, or merely to embellish the view from the windows of a neighbouring palace; things which sometimes happen in countries where works of this kind are carred on by any other revenue than that which they themselves are capable of affording.

In several different parts of Europe, the toll or lock-duty upon a canal is the property of private persons, whose private interest obliges them to keep up the canal. If it is not kept in tolerable order, the navigation necessarily ceases altogether, and along with it the whole profit which they can make by the tolls. If those tolls were put tuader the
management of conmissioners, who hat themselves no interest in them, they mught be less attentive to the maintenance of the works whach produced them. The canal of Languedoc cost the king of France and the province upwards of thirteen milhons of in res, which (at twenty-eight livres the nark of silver, the value of French money in the end of the last century) amounting to upwards of nine hundred thousand pounds sterling. When that great work was finished, the most likely method, it was found, of keeping it in constant repair, was to make a present of the tolls to Riguet, the engitecer, who planned and conducted the work. Those tolls constitute at pregent a very lirge estate to the different branches of the fanily of that gentleman, who have therefore a great interest to keep the work in constant repair. But had those tolls been put under the management of commissioners, who had no such interest, they might perhaps have been dissipated in ornamental and unnecessary expenses, while the most essential parts of the work were allowed to go to ruin.

The tolls for the maintenance of a high road cannot with any safety be made the property of private persons. A high road though entirely neglected, does not becone altogether impassable, though a canal does. The proprietors of the tolls upon a high road, therefore, might neglect altogether the repair of the road, and yet continue to levy very nearly the same tolls. It is proper, therefore, that the tolls for the maintenance of such a work should be put under the management of commissioners or trustecs.

In Great Britain, the abuses which the trustees have committed in the management of those tolls, have in many cases been very justly complained of. At many turnpikes, it has been said, the money levied is more than double of what is necessary fur executing, in the completest manner, the work which is often exccuted in a very slovenly manner, and sometimes not executed at all The system of repairing the bigh roads by tolls of this kind, it must be observed, is not of very long standing. We should not wonder, therefore, if it has not yet been brought to that degree of perfection of which it acems capable. If mean and improper persons are frequently appointed trustees, and if proper courts of inspection and account have not yet been established for controlling their conduct, and for reducing the tolls to what is barely sufficient for executing the work to be done by them, the recency of the inatitution both accounts and apologises for those defects, of which, by the wisdom of parlazment, the greater part may in due turne be gradually remedied.

The money levied at the different turnpikes in Great Britain is supposed to exceed so much what is necessary for reparing thr
ronds, that the savings which, with proper conomy, might be made from it, have been considerid, even by some ministers, as a very great resource a hich ninglit at some time or another be applied to the caigunces of the state Government, it has been sald, by thing the inanarement of the turnpikes into ats ou n hands, and by employing the soldiers, - ho would work fur a very small addition to thur pay, could keep the roads in good order at a much less expense than it can be done by trusters, who have no other workmen to eaploy but such as derive their whole subsintence from then wagts. A great revenue, half a mullion, perhaps', it has been pretended, might in this manner be ganed whout laying any new burden upon the people; and the turnpake ruads might be made to contribute to the general expense of the state, $2 n$ the same manner as the postothe does at present. ${ }^{2}$

Tbat a considerable revenue might be ganed in this manner, I have no doube, though probably not near so much as the projectors of this plan have supposed. The plan itself, however, seems hable to several verv important objections.

Furst, If the tolls which are levied at the turppikes should ever be considered as one of the resources for supplying the exigencies of the state, they would certanly be augmented as those exgencies were supposed to require. According to the policy of Great Britain, therufore, they would probably be augmented uery fast. The facility with which a great rivenue could be drawn from them, would probably encourage administration to recur very fregucnily to this resource. Though it mis, perbaps, be more than doubtful whethe $r$ half a million could by any economy be saved out of the present tolls, it can scarce be doulsted but that a mullion might be caved out of them, if they were doubled; and perhaps two millons, if they were tripled 3 This groat revenue, too, maght be leved $u$ ithout the appointment of a single new officer to collect and recerve it ; but the turnpuke iolls being continually augmented in this manner, anstead of facalitating the uland commerce of the country as at present, would soon become a very great incumbrance upon it. The expense of transporting all heavy goods from one part of the country to another, would soon be so much increased, the market for all such goods consequently would son be so much narrowed, that their prod sction $n$ ould be in a great measure discouraged, and the most important branches of the domesuc industry of - the country annuhilated altogether.

I Since pubishing the two firat filtions of this bonk 1 hare fof gocil reasons to belteve that all the turnpthe tolls levied in Great Britain do not produce a ntat revenue that aroounts to hali a milion; a sum which, under the management of government, would n is be sufticient to kexpin repair five of the principal rume in the kingdom (Nate by the atathor)
e

Sanndly, A tux upon'carriages in proportion to their weight, though a very equal tax when appled to the sule purpose of reparing the roads, is a very unequal one when apphed to any other purpose, or to supply the common exigenctes of the state. When it is apphed to the sole purpose above mentioned, each carriage is supposed to pay exactly fur the wear and tear which that carriage occasions of the roxds. But when it is applied to any other purpose, cach carriage is supposed to pay for more than that wear and tear, and contributes to the supply of some other exigency of the state. Dut as the turnpike toll raises the price of goods in proportion to their weight and not to their value, it is clicetly pard by the consumers of coarse and bulky, not by those of precturs and hight, commodities. Whatever exigency of the state, therefore, this tax might be mitended to supply, that exigency would be chuefly supplied at the expense of the poor, not of the rich; at the expense of those who are least able to supply it, not of those who are most able.

Therdly, If government should at any time negle the reparation of the high roads it would be still more difficult than it is at present to compel the proper apphcation of any part of the turnpike tolls. A large revenue might thus be levied upon the people, without any part of it being applied to the only parpose to which a resenue levied in this manner ought ever to be applied. If the meanness and poverty of the tructees of turnpike roads render it sometimes difficult, at present, to oblige them to repair their wrong; their wealth and greatness would render it ten times more so in the case which is here supposed.

In France, the funds destined for the reparation of the high roads are under the immedate direction of the executive power. Those funds consist, partly in a certain number of days' labour, wheh the country people are in most parts of Europe obliged to give to the reparation of the lughways; and partly in such a portion of the general revenue of the state as the ling chooses to spare from his other expenses.

By the ancient law of France, as well as by that of most other parts of Euitupe, the labour of the country people was under the direction of a lucal or pretinctal magistracy, which had ne uamediate dependency upon the ling's councl. But, by the present practice, both the labour of the country people, whatever other fund the king may choose to assign for the reparation of the high roads in any particular province or generalty, are

F For some remarks on this subject, see supplemental note on Tolls and Higheays.

3 I hare now good reasons to bilieve that all these conjertural sums are by much too large. (hote by the author)
entirely under the management of the intendant; an officer who is appointed and removed by the king's council, who receives his orders from it, and is in constant correspondence with it. In the progress of despotism, the authority of the executive power gradually absorbs that of every other power in the state, and assumes to itself the management of every branch of revenue which is destined for any public purpose. In France, however, the great post-roads, the roads which make the communication between the principal towns of the kingdom, are in general kept in good order; and. in some provinces, are even a good deal superior to the greater part of the turnpike roads of England. But what we call the cross-roads, that is, the far greater part of the roads in the country, are entirely neglected, and are in many places absolutely impassable for any heavy carriage. In some places it is even dangerous to travel on horseback, and mules are the only conveyance which can safely be trusted. The proud minister of an ostentatious court may frequently take pleasure in executing a work of splendour and magnificence, such as a grat highway, which is frequently seen by the principal nobility, whose applauses not only flatter his vanity, but even contribute to support his iuterest at court. But to execute a great number of little works, in which nothing that can be done can make ahy great appearance, or excite the smallest degree of admiration in any traveller, and which, in short, have nothing to recommend them but their extreme utility, is a business which appears, in every respect, too mean and paltry to merit the attention of so great a magistrate. Under such an administration, therefore, such works are almost always entirely neglected.

In China, and in several other governments of Asia, the executive power charges itself both with the reparation of the high roads, and with the maintenance of the navigable canals. In the instructions which are given to the governor of each province, those objects, it is said, are constantly recommended to him, and the judgunent which the court forms of his conduct is very much regulated by the attention which he appears to have paid to this part of his instructions. This branch of public police, accordingly, is said to be very much attended to in all those countries, but particularly in China, where the high roads, and still more the navigable canals, it is pretended, exceed very much every thing of the same kind which is known in Europe. The accounts of those works, however, which have been transmitted to Europe, have generally been drawn up by weak and wondering travellers; frequently by stupid and lying missionaries. If they had been examined by more intelligent eyes, and
if the accounts of them had been reported by more faithful witnesses, they would not, perhaps, appear to be so wonderful. The account which Bernier gives of some works of this kind in Indostan falls very much short of what bad been reported of them by other travellers more disposed to the marvellous than he was. It may too, perhaps, be in those countrics, as it is in France, where the great roads, the great communications, which are likely to be the subjects of conversation at the court and in the capital, are attended to, and all the rest neglected. In China, besides, in Indostan, and in several other governments of Asia, the revenue of the sovereign arises almost altogether from a land tax or land-rent, which rises or falls with the rise and fall of the annual produce of the land. The great interest of the sovercign, therefore, his revenue, is in such countrien necessarily and immediately connected with the cultivation of the land, with the greatness of its produce, and with the value of ats produce. But in order to render that produce both as great and as valuable as possible, it is necessary to procure to it as extensive a market as possible, and consequently to establish the freest, the easiest, and the least expensive communication between all the different parts of the country; which can be done only by means of the best roads and the best navigable canala. But the revenue of the sovereign does not, in any part of Europe, arise chiefly from a land-tay or land-rent. In all the great kingdoms of Europe, perhaps, the greater part of it may ultimately depend upon the produce of the land: but that dependency is neither so immediate nor so evident. In Europe, therefore, the sovereign does not feel himself so directly called upon to promote the increase, both in quantity and value, of the produce of the land, or by maintaining good roads and canals, to provide the most extensive market for that produce. Though, it should be true, therefore, what I apprehend is not a little doubtful, that in some parts of Asia this department of the public police is very properly managed by the executive power, there is not the least probability that during the present state of things, it could be tolerably managed by that power in any part of Europe.

Even those public works which are of such a nature that they cannot afford any revenue for maintaining themselves, but of which the conveniency is nearly confined to some particular place or district, are always better maintained by a local or provincial revenue, under the management of a local and provincial administration, than by the general revenue of the state, of which the executive power must always have the management. Were the streets of London to be lighted and paved at the expense of the treasury, is there any probability that they
would be so well lighted and paved as they are at present, or even at so small an expense? The expense, besides, instead of being rased by a local tax upon the mhabitants of each paiticular stieet, parish, or district in London, would in this case be defrayed out of the general revenue of the state, and would conserquently be raised by a tax upon all the inhalutants of the kingdom, of whom the gredter part derive no sort of beneft from the lighting and paving of the streets of London.

The abuses which sometimes creep into the local and provincial administration of a local and provincial revenue, how enormous suever they may appear, are in reality, however, ilmest always very trifling, in comparisun of those which commonly take place in the adimistration and expenditure of the revelue of a great empinc. They are, besides, much more easily corrected. Under the local or provincial administration of the justuces of the peace in Great Britain, the six days' labour which the country people are obliged to give to the repalation of the highways, is not always, perhaps, very judiciously applied, but it is scarce ever exacted, with any circumstance of cruelty or oppression. In France, under the administration of the intendants, the application is not always more juderious, and the exaction is frequentiy the most cruel and oppressive. Such corvécs, as they are called, make one of the principal instruments of tyranny by which those officers chastise any parish or communeauté which has had the misfortune to fall under their displeasure.
Of the Public Works and Insttutions whech are nicessary for facthtating particular Branches of Commerce.
The olyect of the public works and institutions above mentioned is to faclitate commerce in general. But in order to facilitate some particular branches of it, particular institutions are necessary, which again require a particular and extraordinary expense.
Some particular branches of commerce, whech are carried on with barbarous and uncivilised nations, require extraordinary protection. An ordinary store or countinghouse could give hittle security to the goods of the merchants who trade to the western coast of Africa. To defend them from the barbarous natives, it is necessary that the place where they are deposited should be in some measure fortified. The disorders in the government of Indostan have been supposed to render a like precaution necessary even among that mild and gentle people; and it was under pretence of securing therr persons and property from violence that both the English and French East India companes were allowed to crect the first forts which they possessed in that country.

Among other nations, whose vigorous government will suffer no strangers to possess any fortificd place withn their territory, it may be necessary to maintain some ambassador, mimster, or consul, who may buth decide, according to their own customs, the differences arising among his own countrymen ; and, in their disptues with the natives, may, by means of has public character, interfere with more authority, and afford them a more powerful protection than they could expect from any private man. The interests of commerce have frequently made it necus sary to mamtain monisters in foreign countries, where the purposes either of war or allance would not have required any. The commerce of the Turkey company first occasioned the establishment of an ordmay ambassador at Constantinople. The first English embassies to Russia arose altogether from commercial interests. The constant interference which those interests necessarily occasioned between the subjects of the different states of Europe has probably introduced the custom of keeping, in all neighbouring countries, ambassadors or ministers constantly resident even in the time of peace. This custom, unknown to ancient times, seems not to be older than the end of the fifteenth or beginning of the sixteenth century; that is, than the time when commerce first began to extend itself to the greater part of the nations of Europe, and when they first began to attend to 1 ts interests.
It seems not unreasonable that the extraordinary expense which the protection of any particular branch of commerce may occasion should be defrayed by a moderate tax upon that particular branch; by a moderate fine, for example, to be paid by the traders when they first enter into it; or, what is more equal, by a particular duty of so much per cent. upon the goods which they enther innport into, or export out of, the particular countries with which it is carried on. The protection of trade in general, from pirates and freebooters is said to have given occasion to the first institution of the duties of customs. But if it was thought reasonable to lay a general tax upon trade, in order to defray the expense of protecting trade in general, it should seem equally reasonable to lay a particular tax upon a particular branch of trade, in order to defray the extraordinary expense of protecting that branch.

The protection of trade in general has always been consudered as essential to the defence of the commonwealth, and, upon that account, a necessary part of the duty of the executive power. The collection and application of the general duties of customs, therefore, have always been left to that power. But the protection of any partucular branch of trade is a part of the general protection of trade; a part therefore of
the duty of that power; and if nations always acted consistently, the particular duties levied for the purposes of such particular protection should always have been left equalliy to its disposal. But in this respect, as well as in many.others, nations have not always acted cousistently ; and in the greater part of the commercial states of Europe, particular companies of merchants have had the address to persuade the legislature to intrust to them the performance of this part of the duty of the sovereign, together with all the powers which are necessarily connected with it.

These companies, though they may perhaps have been useful for the first introduction of some branches of commerce, by making, at their own expense, an experiment which the state might not think it prudent to make, have in the long-run proved, universally, cither burdensome or useless, and have either mismanaged or confined the trade.

When those companies do not trade upon a joint stock, but are obliged to admit any person, properly qualified, upon paying a certain fine, and agreeing to submit to the regulations of the company, each member trading upon his own stock, and at his own risk, they are called regulated companies. When they trade upon a joint stock, each member sharing in the common profit or loss in proportion to his share in this stock, they are called joint stock companies. Such companies, whether regulated or joint stock, sometimes have, and sometimes have not, exclusive privileges.

- Regulated companies resemble, in every respect, the corporations of trades, so common in the cities and towns of all the different countries of Europe; and are a sort of enlarged monopolies of the same kind. As no inhabitant of a town can exercise an incorporated trade, without first obtaining his freedom in the corporation, so, in most cases, no subject of the state can lawfully carry on any branch of foreign trade, for which a regulated company is established, without first becoming a member of that company. The monopoly is more or less strict, according as the terms of admission are more or less difficult, and according as the directors of the company have more or less authority, or have it more or less in their power to manage in such a manner as to confine thegreater part of the trade to themselves and their particular friends. In the most ancient regulated companies, the privileges of apprenticeship were the same as in other corporations, and entitled the person who had served his time to a member of the company, to become himself a member, either without paying any fine, or upon paying a much smaller one than what was exacted of other people. The usual corporation spirit,
wherever the law does not restrain it,prevaila in all regulated companies. When they have been allowed to act according to their natural genius, they have always, in order to confine the competition to as small a number of persons as possible, endeavoured to subject the trade to many burdensome regulations. When the law has restrained them from doing this, they have become altogether useless and insignificant.

The regulated companies for foreign commerce, which at present subsist in Great Britain, are the ancient merchant-adventurers' company, now commonly called the Hamburgh company, the Russia company, the Eastland company, the Turkey company, and the African company.

The terms of admission into the Hamburgh company are now sadd to be quite easy; and the directors either have it not in their power to aubject the trade to any burdensome restraint or regulations, or at least have not of late exercised that power. It hat not always been so. About the middle of the last century, the fine for admission was fifty, and at one time one hundred pounds, and the conduct of the company was aid to be extremely oppressive. In 1643, in 1645, and in 1661 , the clothiers and free traders of the west of England complained of them to parliament, as of monopolists who confined the trade and oppressed the manufactures of the country. Though those complaints produced no act of parliament, they had probably intimidated the company so far as to oblige them to reform their conduct : since that time, at least, there have been no complaints against them. By the 10th and 11th of William III. c. 6., the fine for edmission into the Russian company was reduced to five pounds: and by the 25th of Charles II. c. 7. that for admission in the Eastland company to forty uhllings; while, at the same time, Sweden, Denmark and Norway, all the countries on the north side of the Baltic, were exempted from their exclusive charter. The conduct of those companies had probably given occasion to those two acts of parliament. Before that time, Sir Josiah Child had represented both these and the Hamburgh company an extremely oppressive, and imputed to their bad management the low state of their trade, which we at that time carried on to the countries comprehended within their respective charters. But though such companies may not, in the present times, be very oppressive, they are certannly altogether useless. To be merely useless, indeed, is perhaps the highest eulogy which can ever justly be bestowed upon a regulated company; and all the three compantes above mentioned seem, in their present state, to deserve this eulogy. 1

The fine for admission into the Turkey
1 These companiea have now, in effect, cousd to exist.
company was furmerly twent ${ }^{\text {fif }}$ five pounds for all phrons under twenty-six yesrs of age, sud hity promuls for all persons above that are. Aolouly but mure merchants could be admathed, a tertriction what exclurled all shopkerpens atd retulets. Dy a by-law, no Lotish manutiatures could be exported to turbey but in the general ships of the comfany, and as thum ships saled always from the port of Londun, this restriction confined the trade to that expensive port, and the tradars to thuse who lived in London and in its meghbourhuoul. By another by-law, no picon hiving withn twenty males of London, and not free of tue eity, could be admatted a meniber, another restriction which, joined to the foregong, necesurnly excluded all but the tircenen of London As the time for the loading and salung of those general ships depended altogether upon the directors, bey cwild easily fill them with their own goods and these of their particular friends, to the acluason of others, who, they mught pretend, hat nide their proposals too late. In this - tate of thang, thercfore, this company was, in every respext, a strict and oppressue monupuly. Those abuses gave occasion to the at of the 26th of George II. c. 18. reducing the the for admission to twenty pounds for .hl jermons, whout any distinction of ages, or any restriction, ether to mere merchants, or to the freemen of London; and granting to all such persons the hberty of exporting, trom all the ports of Great Britam to any port in Turbey, all British goods of which the exportation was not prohibited; and of mportugg from thence all Turkish goods, of which the importation was not probibited, upon paying both the general dutzes of customs, and the particular duties assessed for distrayng the necessary expenses of the compruy, and submitting, at the same time, to the harful authority of the British ambassadur and consuls resulent in Turkey, and to the ly-laws of the company duly enacted. to prevent any oppression by those by-laws, it was by the same act ordaned, that if any seven members of the company concerved themselves aggrieved by any by-law which should be enacted after the passing of this ant, they nught appeal to the board of trade and plantations, (to the authority of which a commitue of the privy council has now succerded, ) prov uded such appeal was brouglit wthat twelte months after the by-law was enacted; and that, if any seven members cumensed theinselves aggrieved by any bylaw whach had been enacted before the pansung of this act, they might bring a luke appeal prusided it was within twelse months after the day on which thas act was to take place. The experience of one year, however, may not always be sufichetut to discover to all the members of a great coupany the pernicious undency of a particular by-law, and if se-
veral of them should afteswards discover it, neither the buard of trade nor the committee of council call aftord them any redress. The object, b-stdes, of the gredter part of the bylaws of all regulated companes, as well as of all other corporations, is not so much to oppress those who are already members, as to discourage others from becoming so ; which may be done not only by a high fine, but by many other contrivances. The constant view of such companses is always to raise the rate of their own profit as high as they can; to keep the market, both for the goods, which they export and for those which they import, as much understocked as they can; which can be done only by restraunigg the competution, or by discouraging new adventurers from entering into the trade. A fine of twenty pounds, besides, though it may not perhaps be sufficient to discourage any man from entering into the Turkey trade with an intention to continue in it, may be enough to discourage a speculative merchant from hazarding a single adventure in it. In all trades, the regular established traders, even though not incorporated, naturally combine to rase profits, which are no way so lakely to be kept at all tunes down to their proper level as by the occasional competition of speculative adventurers. The Turkey trade, though in some measure lad open by this act of parliament, is stull cunsidered by many people as very far from being altogether free. The Turkey company contribute to maintain an ambassador and two or thrte consuls, who, like otber public minsters, ought to be maintaned altogether by the state, and the trade laid open to all his majesty's subjects. The different taxes levied by the company, for this and other corporation purposes, might afford a resenue much more than sufficient to enable the state to maintann such mmisters. 1

Regulated companies, it was observed by Sir Josiah Chuld, thourh they had frequently supported public ministers, had never mantaned any forts or garrisons in the countries to which they traded; whereas joint stock companies frequently had. And In reality the former seem to be much more unfit for this sort of service than the latter. First, The directors of a regulated company have no particular interest in the prosperity of the general trade of the company, for the sake of which such forts and garrisons are maintaned. The decay of that general trade may even frequently contribute to the advantage of ther own private trade; as by diminishing the number of their competitors, it rnay enable them both to buy cheaper and to sell dearer. The directors of a joint stock company, on the contrary, having only

1 The Turkey company surrendered all their rigbts and firideges into the hands of novernment in in 25 , 3ud have ceand to exist
their share in the profits which are made upon the common stock committed to their management, have no private trade of their own, of which the interest can be separated from that of the general trade of the company. Their private interest is connected with the prosperity of the general trade of the company, and with the maintenance of the forts and garrisons which are necessary for its defence. They are more likely, therefore, to have that continual and careful attention which that maintenance necessarily requires. Secondly, The directors of a joint stock company have always the management of a large capital, the joint stock of the company, a part of which they may frequently employ, with propriety, in building, repairing, and maintaining such necessary furts and garrisons. But the directors of a regulated company, having the management of no common capital, have no other fund to employ in this way but the casual revenue arising from the admission fines, and from the corporation duties, imposed upon the trade of the company. Though they had the same interest, therefore, to attend to the maintenance of such forts and garrisons, they can seldom have the same ability to render that attention effectual. The maintenance of a public minister requiring scarce any attention, and but a moderate and limited expense, is a business much more suitable both to the temper and abilities of a regulated company.

Long after the time of Sir Josiah Child, however, in 1750, a regulated company was established, the present company of merchants trading to Africa; which was expressly charged at first with the maintenance of all the British forts and garrisons that lie between Cape Blane and the Cape of Good Hope, and afterwards with that of those only which lie between Cape Rouge and the Cape of Good Hope. The act which establishes this company ( 23 Geo. II. c. 31.) seems to have had two distinct objects in view : first, to restrain effectually the oppressive and monopolising spirit which is natural to the directors of a regulated company; and, secondly, to force them as much as possible to give an attention, which is not natural to them, towards the maintenance of forts and garrisons.

For the first of these purposes the fine for admission is limited to forty shillings. The company is prohibited from trading in their corporate capacity, or upon a-joint stock; from borrowing money upon common seal, or from laying any restraints upon the trade wheh may be carried on freely from all places, and by all persous being British subjects, and paying the fine. The government is in a committee of nine persons, who meet in London, but who are chosen annually by the fremen of the company at London,

Bristol, and Liverpool; three from each place. No committee-man can be continued in office for more than three years together. Any committee-man might be removed by the board of trade and plantations; now by a committee of council, after being heard in his own defence. The committee are forbid to export negroes from Africa, or to import any African goods into Great Britain; but as they are charged with the maintenance of forts and garrisons, they may, for that purpose, export from Great Britain to Africa goods and stores of different kinds. Out of the monies which they shall receive from the company, they are allowed a sum, not exceeding eight hundred pound, for the salaries of their clerks and agents at London, Bristol, and Liverpool, the house-rent of their office at London, and all other expenses of management, commission, and agency in England. What remains of this sum, after defraying these different expenses, they may divide among themselves, as compensation for their trouble, in what manner they think proper. By this constitution, it might have been expected that the sparit of monopoly would have been effectually restraned, and the first of these purposes sufficiently answered. It would seem, however, that it lad not. Though by the 4 Geo. III. c. 20. the fort of Senegal, with all its dependencies, had been vested in the company of merchants trading to Africa, yet in the year following (by 5 Geo. Jll. c. 44.) not only Senegal and its dependencies, but the whole coast from the port of Sallee, in South Barbary, to Cape Rouge, was exempted from the jurisdiction of that company, was vested in the crown, and the trade to it declared free to all his majesty's subjects. The company had been suspected of restraining the trade, and of estableshing some sort of improper monopoly. It is not, however, very easy to conceive how, under the regulations of the 23d Geo. II. they could do so. In the printed debates in the House of Commons, not always the most authentic records of truth, I observe, however, that they have been accused of this. The members of the committee of nine being all merchants, and the governors and factors in their different forts and settlements being all dependent upon them, it is not unlikely that the latter might have given peculiar attention t. the consignments and commissions of the former, which would establish a real monopoly.

For the second of these purposes, the maintenance of the forts and garrisons, an annual sum has been allotted to them by parliament, generally about thirteen thousand pounds. For the proper application of this sum, the committee is obliged to account annually to the cursitor baron of exchequer; which aecount is afterwards to
be laid before parliantent. But parliament, which gives so little attention to the applieation of millions, is not hikely to give wuch to that of thirteen thousand pounds a year; and the cursitor baron of exchequer, from his profession and education, 18 not hikely to le profoundly skilled in the proper expense of forts and garrisons. The captains of his majesty's navy, indeed, or any other commussoned officers, appointed by tbe board of admiralty, may inquire into the condition of the forts and qarrisons, and report their olservations to that board. But that board seems to have no darect jurisdaction over the committec, nor any authority to correct those whose conduct it may thus inquare nto; and the captains of his majesty's navy, Lesides, are not supposed to be always deeply learned in the seience of fortufication. Removal from an office, which can be enjoyed only for the term of three years, and of which the lawful emoluments, even during that term, are so very small, ceems to be the utnost punishment to which any committeeman is liable for any fault, execpt direct malversation, or embezzlement ether of the public money or of that of the company; and the fear of that punishment can never be a motive of sufficient weight to force a continual and careful attention to a business to which be has no other interest to attend. The committee axe accused of having sent out brichs and stones from England for the reparation of Cape Coast Castle on the coast of Gumea; a business for which parliament had several times granted an extraordinary sum of money. These brichs and stones, too, wheh had thus been sent upon so long a voyage, were sald to have been of so bad a quaity, that it was necessary to rebuild from the foundation the walls which had been repared with them. The forts and garisons which he north of Cape Rouge, are not only maintained at the expense of the state, but are under the immedrate government of the executive power; and why those whech lie south of that cape, and which too are, in part at least, mantained at the expense of the state, should be under a diffurent government, it seems not very easy cien to imagnse a good reason. The protection of the Mediterranean trade was the orignal purpose or pretence of the garrisons of Gilraltar and Minorca; and the maintenance and government of those garrisons hus always been, very properly, committed, not to the Turkey company, but to the executice power. In the extent of its domimon connsts, in a great measure, the pride and dignty of that power, and it is not very lhioly to fall in attention to what is necessary for the defence of that dominion. The furrisons at Gibraltur and Minorea, accordingly, have never been neglected; though Minorea has been twice tahen, and is now
probably lost for ever, that disaster was neser even imputed to any neglect in the executive power. I would not, however, be understood to insinuate, that either of those expensive garrisons was ever, even in the smallest degree, necessary for the purpose for which they were onginally dusmembered from the Spanish monareby That dismemberment, perhaps, never served any other real purpose than to alienate from England her natural ally, the king of Spain, and to unite the two principal branches of the house of Bourbon in a much stricter and more permanent alliance than the ties of blood could ever have united them.

Joint stock companies, established either by rogal charter or by act of parliament, differ in several respects, not only from regulated companies, but from private copartneries.
I Cirst, In a private copartnery, no partner, without the consent of the company, can transfer his share to another person, or introduce a new wember into the company. Each member, however, may, upon proper warning, withdraw from the copartnery, and demand payment from them of his share of the common stock. In a joint stock company, on the contrary, no member can demand payment of his share from the company; but each member can, without their consent, transfer his share to another person, and thereby introduce a new member. The value of a share in a joint stock is always the price which it will bring in the market; and this may be either greater or less, in any proportion, than the sum which its owner stands credited for in the stock of the compan.'
2 Secondly; In a private copartnery, each partner is bound for the debts contracted by the company to the whole extent of his fortune. In a joint stock company, on the contrary, each partner is bound only to the extent of his slare.
3 The trade of a joint stock company is always managed by a court of directors., sub, at This court, indeed, is frequently subject in many respects to the control of a general court of proprictors. But the greater part of those proprietors seldom pretend to understand any thing of the business of the company; and when the spirit of faction happens not to prevail among them, give themselves no trouble about it, but receive contentedly such balf-yearly or yearly dividend as the directors think proper to make to them. This total exemption from trouble and from rish, beyond a linited sum, encourages many people to become adrenturers in joint stock companics, who would, upen no account, hazard their fortuncs in any private copartnery. Such compantes, therefure, commonly draw to themselves much greater stocks than any private copartnery can boast of. The tradug stock of the South Sea company at
one time amounted to upwards of thirtythree malhons eight hundred thousand pounds. The divided capital of the Bank of England amounts, at present, to ten millions seven hundred and eighty thousand 4 pounds. The directors of such companies, however, being the managers rather of other people's money than of their own, it cannot well be expected that they should watch tover it with the same anxious vigilance with 'which the partners in a private copartnery frequently watch over their own. Like the stewards of a rich man, they are apt to consider attention to small matters as not for their master's honour, and very easily give themselves a dispensation from having it. Negligence and profusion, therefore, must always prevail, more or less, in the management of the affairs of such a company. It is upon this account, that joint stock companies for foreiga trade have seldom been able to maintain the competition against private adventurers. They have, accordingly, very seldom succeeded without an exclusive -privilege; and frequently have not succeeded with one. Without an exclusive privilege, they have commonly mismanaged the trade. With an exclusive privilege, they have both mismanaged and confined it.
(The Royal African company, the predecessors of the present African company, had an exclusive privilege by charter: but as that charter had not been confirmed, by act of parliament, the trade, in consequence of the declaration of rights, was, soon after the Revolution, laid open to all his majesty's subjects. (The Hudson's Bay company)are, as to their legal rights, in the same situation as the Royal African company. Their exclusive charter has not been confirmed by act of parliament. (The South Sea company) as long as they continued to be a trading company, had an exclusive privilege confirmed by act of parliament; as have likewise the present united company of merchants trading to the East Indies.

The Royal African company soon found that they could not maintain the competition against private adventurers, whom, notwithstanding the declaration of rights, they continued for some time to call interlopers, and to persecute as such. In 1698, however, the private adventurers were subjected to a duty of ten per cent. upon almost all the different branches of their trade, to be employed by the company in the maintenance of their $f_{i r t s}$ and garrisons. But, notwithstanding this heavy tax, the company were still unable to maintain the competition. Their stock and credit gradually declined. In 1712, their debts had become so great, that a particular act of parlament was thought necessary, both for their security and for that of their creditors. It was enacted, that the resolution of two-thirds of these creditors in
number and value should bind the rest. both with regard to the time which stiould be allowed to the company for the pajment of their debts, and with regard to any other agreement which it might be thought proper to make with them concerning thove dehts.

In 1730, ther affairs wete in so great disorder, that they were altogether incapable of maintaining their forts and garrions, the sole purpose and pretext of thear institntion. From that year thll their final dissolution, the parliament judged it necessary to allow the annual sum of ten thousand pounds for that purpose. In 1732, after having been for many years losers by the trade of carrying negroes to the West Indica, they at lase resolved to give it up altogether; to sell to the private traders to America the negroes which they purchased upon the coast; and to employ their servants in a trade to the inland parts of Africa for gold dust, elephants' teeth, dying druga, \&ce. But their suceess in this more confined trade was not greater than in their former extensive one. Theur allurs continued to go gradually to deeline, till at last, being in every respect a bankrupt company, they were dissolved by act of parlidment, and their forts and garrisons vested in the present regulated company of merchants trading to Africa. Before the erection of the Royal African company, there bad licen three other joint stock companies surcessively established, one after another, for the African trade. They were all equally un. successful. They all, however, hal exclusive charters, which though not confirmed by act of parliament, were in those days supposed to convey a real exclusive privilege.

The Hudson's Bay company, before their misfortunes in the late war, had been much more fortunate than the Royal African company. Their necessary expense is muh smaller. The whole number of people a hom they maintain in their different settlemerits and habitations, which they have honoured with the name of forts, is said not to exceed a hundred and twenty persons. This number, however, is sufficient to prepare before hand the cargo of furs and other goots necessary for loading their ships, wheh, on account of the ice, can seldom remain above six or eight weeks in those seas. Thus advantage of haring a cargo ready prepared, could not, for several years, be acquired by private adventurers; and without it there seems to be no possibility of trading to Hudson's Bay. The moderate capital of the company, which, it is sald, does not exceed one hundred and ten thousand pounds, mas, besides, be sufficient to enable them to engross the whole, or almost the whole, trade and surplus produce of the miserable though extensive country eomprehended withtn their charter. No private adventurers, accord ingly, have ever attempted to trade to that
country in competition with them. This sompme, therefure, have always enjoyed an exelontive trade in fact, though they have no ropht to it in law. Over and dbove all this, the moul rate capital of thes company as said to be devided among a very small number of rroprictors. Hut a jount stock company, consthtig of a small number of propretors, with a moderate raputal, approaclice very nearly to the nature of a private copartnery, wiol way he tapmile of nearly the same degree of rystance and attention. It is not to ine wondered at, therefore, if, in consequence of these different advantages, the Hudson's liay company had, before the late war, been able to carry on their trade with a considerwhle degree of success. It does not seem probable, however, that their profits ever appromethed to what the late Mr. Dobbs inagroed then. A much more sober and julic inus writer, Mr. Anderson, author of the Llistorical and Chronological Deduction of Commeree, very justly observes, that upon chamoning the accounts wheh Mr. Dobbs hanself has given for acveral years together, of their exports and imports, and upon mahing proper allowances for their extraordinary risk and expense, it does not appear that their pronts deserve to be envied, or that they can much, if at all, exceed the ordinary profits of trade.
The South Sea company never had any fonts or garisons to mantain, and therefore were entucly exempted from one great expouse, to whech other joint stock companies tor foreign trade are subject. But they had an immense capital divided among an immense number of proprictors. It was naturally to be expected, therefore, that folly, neghigence, and profusion, should prevail in the whole management of their affairs. The hiwery and extravagance of their stock-jobhang propects are sufficiently known, and the evplication of them would be foretgn to the present subject. Therr mercantule projects were not much better conducted. The first trade which they engaged in was that of supplying the Spanish West Indies with negroe, of which (in consequence of what was called the Assiento contract granted them by the treaty of Utrecht) they had the exclusne privilege. But as it was not expected that much proht could be made by this trade, both the Portuguese and French companes, who had enjoyed it upon the same terms before them, having been ruined by st, they were allowed, as compensation, to send annually a ship of a certain burden to trade directly to the Spamsh West Indies. Of the ten voyages which this annuul ship was allowed to make, they are said to have ganed considerably by one, that of the Royal Carohave in 1731, and to have been losers, mose or less by almont all the rest. Their ill success was imputed, by their factors and
agents, to the extortion and oppression of Spantsh government ; but was, perhaps, prin. ctpally owing to the profusion and depredathons of those very factors and agents; some of whom are said to have aequired great fortunes even in one year. In 1784, the company petitooned the hing, that they might be allowed to dispose of the trade and tunnage of their annual ship, on account of the little profit which they made by it, and to accept of such equivalent as they could obtain from the king of Spain.

In 1724, this company had undertaken the whale fishery. Of this, indeed, they had no monopoly; but as long as they carrued it on, no other British subjects appear to have engaged in it. Of the eight voyages which therr ships made to Greenland, they were gainers by one, and losers by all the rest. After therr eighth and last voyage, when the $y$ had sold their ships, stores, and utensils, they found that their whole loss upon this branch, capital and interest included, amounted to upwards of two hundred and thirty-seven thousand pounds.

In 1722, this company petitioned the parliament to pe allowed to divide their immense capictl of more than thirty-three millions eig $y$ hundred thousand pounds, the whole of which had been lent to government, into two equal parts; the one half, or upwards of sixteen millions nine hundred thousand pounds, to be put upon the same footing with othir government annuities, and not to be subject to the debts contracted or losses incurred, by the directors of the company, in the prosecution of their mercantile projects; the other half to remain, as before, a trading stock, and to be subject to those debts and losses. The petition was too reasonable not to be granted. In 1733, they again petrtioned the parliament, that three-fourths of their trading stock might be turned into annuity stock, and only one-fourth reman as trading stock, or exposed to the hazards arising from the bad management of their directors. Both their annuty and trading stocks had, by this time, been reduced more than two mulions each, by several different payments from government; so that this fourth amounted only to $3,662,7841$. 8s. $6 d$. In 1748, all the demands of the company upon the king of Spain, in consequence of the Assiento contract, were, by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, given up for what was supposed an equivalent. An end was put to ther trade with the Spanish West Indes, the remainder of their trading stock was turned into an annuity stock, and the company ceased in every recpect to be a trading company.

It ought to be observed, that in the trado which the South Sea company earried on by means of their annual ship, the only tradeby which it ever was expected that they could
make any considerable profit, they were not without competitors, either in the foreign or in the home market. At Carthagena, Porto Bello, and La Vera Crus, they had to encounter the competition of the Spanish merchants, who brought from Cadiz to those markets Eurcpean goods, of the same kind with the outward cargo of their ship; and in England they had to encounter that of the English merchants, who imported from Cadiz goods of the Spanish West Indies, of the same kind with the invard caigo. The goods both of the Spanish and English merchants, indeed, were perhaps subject to higher duties. But the loss occasioned by the negligence, profusion, and malversation of the servants of the company, had probably been a tax much heavier than all those duties. That a joint stock company should be able to earry on successfully any branch of foreign trade, when private adventurers can come into any sort of open and fair competition with them, seems contrary to all experience.

The old English East India company was established in 1600 by a charter from Queen Elizabeth. In the first twelve voyages which they fitted out for India, they appear to have traded as a regulated company, ith separate stocks, though only in the gerf ral ships of the company. In 1612 they united into a joint stock. Their charter was exclusive, and though not confirmed by act of parliament, was in those days supposed to convey a real exclusive privilege. For many years, therefore, they were not much disfurbed by interlopers. Their capital, which' never exceeded seven hundred and forty-four thousand pounds, and of which fifty pounds was a share, was not so exorbitant, nor their dealings so extensive, as to afford either a pretext for gross negligence and profusion, or a cover to gross malversation. Notwithstanding some extraordinary losses, occasioned partly by the malice of the Dutch East India company, and partly by other accidents, they carried on for many years a successful trade. But in process of time, when the principles of liberty were better understood, it became every day more and more doubtful how far a royal charter, not confirmed by act of parliament, could convey an exclusive privilege. Upon this question the decisions of the courts of justice were not uniform, but varied with the authority of government, and the humours of the times. Interlopers multiplied upon them; and towards the end of the reign of Charles II., through the whole of that of James II., and during a part of that of William III., reduced them to great distress. In 1698, a proposal was made to parliament, of advancing two millions to government at eight per cent, provided the subscribers were erected into a new East India company, with exclusive privileges. The old East India company offered seven
hundred thousand poinds, nearly the amount of their capital, at four per cent. upon tho same conditions. But such was at that time the state of public credit, that it was more convenient for government to burrow two millions at eight per cent. than seven hundred thousand pounds at fuur. The proposal of the new subscribers was accepted, and a new East India company establithed in consequence. The old East India company, however, had a right to continue ther trade till 1701. They had, at the same time, in the name of their treasurer, subscribed, very artfully, three hundred and fifteen thousand pounds into the stock of the new. By a negligence in the expression of the act of parliament, which vested the Last India trade in the subscribers to this loan of two millions, it did not appear evident that they were all obliged to unite into a joint stock. A few private traders, whone subscriptions amounted only to seven thousand two hundred pounds, insisted upon the privilege of trading separately upon ther owa stocks, and at their own risk, The old East India company had a right to a separate trade upon their old stock till 1701; and they had likewise, both before and after that period, a right, like that of other private traders, to a separate trade upon the three hundred and fifteen thousand pounds, which they had subscribed into the stock of the new company. The competition of the two companies with the private traders, and with one another, is said to have well nigh ruined both. Upon a subsequent occasion, in 1730, when a proposal was made to parliament for putting the trade under the management of a regulated compzoy, and thereby laying it in some measure open, the East India company, in opposition to this proposal, represented in very strong terms what had been at this time the miserable effects, as they thought them, of this competition. In India, they said, it raised the price of goods so high, that they were not worth the buying; and in England, by overstocking the market, it sunk their price so low, that no profit could be made by them. That by a more plentiful supply, to the great: advantage and conveniency of the public, it must have reduced very much the price of India goods in the English market, cannot well be doubted: but that it should have raised very much their price in the Indian market, seems not very probable, as all the extraordinary demand which that competition could occasion must have been but as a drop of water in the immense ocean of Indian commerce. The increase of demand, besides, though in the beginning it may sometumes rase the price of goods, never fads to lower it in the long run. It encourages production, and thereby increases the competition of the producers, who, in order to undersell one another, have
scourse to new dasisions of labour and new infirosements of art, wheh might never otheru se bave been thought of. The miseruble eflats of which the company comphaned, aere the cheapness of consumption nad the encourngement given to production. precincly the two cffeets which it is the great finmess of political economy to promote. The compretition, however, of which they gave thas doleful account had not been allowed to be of long contmatance. In 1702, the two companses were, in some n.easure, united ly an indenture tripartite, to which the queen was the thord party; and in 1708, they uere, by act of parhament, perficely consolidated into one company by their presant name of the United Company of Merchants trading to the East Indies. Into this act it was thought worth while to insert a clause, allowing the separate traders to continue their trade tull Michaelmas 1711; lout at the same time ennowering the directurs, upon three years' notice, to redeem theur little capital of seven thousand two hundred pound, and thercby to convert the whole stock of the company into a joint stock. By the same act, the capital of the company, in consequence of a new loan to government, was nugmented from two millions to three mallions two hundred thousand pounds. In 171\%, the company advanced another million to government; but this mullion being rased, not by a call upon the propretors, but by selhng annuities and contracting bond-debts, it dad not augment the stock upon which the propretors could clam a dividend. It augmentel, however, their trading stock, it bung equally liable with the other three mullions two hundred shousand pound, to the losses sustained, and debts contracted, by the company in prosecution of there mercantile projects. From 1708, or at least from 1711, thes company, buing delivered from all competitors, and fully establushed in the monopoly of the Finghish commerce to the East Indies, carrned on a successful trade, and, from their profits, made annually a modrate dividend to their proprictors Durng the French war, which began in 1741, the ambition of Mr. Dupleix, the French governor of Pondicherry, involved them in the wars of the Camatic, and in the pohtics of the Indian primees. After many sigo.s successes, and equally signal losses, they at last lost Madras, at that time their promespll settement in India. It gras restored to them by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle; and about this tare the spirit of war rind conquest scems to hase taken possession of their survants in Inda, and never since to hase het them. During the French war, Whith began in 1755, their arms partook of the gencral good furtune of those of Great Brikate. They definded Madras, twok Pondhherry, recovered Calcutia, and acquired
the revenues of a rtch and extensive tertitory, amounting, it was then said, to upwards of three mulhons a year. They remained for several years in quiet possession of this revenue but in 1767, administration latd clann to then territorial aequisitions, and the resenue arising from them, as of right belonging to the crown; and the company, in compensation for this claim, agreed to pay to government four hundred thousand pounds a year. They had before this gradually augmented their dividend from about six to ten per cent.; that is, upon their capital of three milions two hundred thousand pounds, they bad increased it by a hundred and twenty-egght thousand pounds, or bad raised it from one hundred and nine-ty-two thousand to three hundred and twenty thousand pounds a year. They were attempting about this time to rase it still further, to twelve and a half per cent.; which would have made their annual payments to their proprietors equal to what they had agreed to pay annually to government, or to four bundred thousand pounds a year. But during the two years in which their agreement with government was to take place, they were restrained from any further increaso of dividend by two successive acts of parliament, of which the object was to enable them to make a speedier progress in the payment of their debts, which were at this tume estumated at upwards of six or seven millions sterling. In 1769, they renewed their agreement with government for five years more, and stipulajed, that during the course of that period, they should be allowed gradually to increase their dividend to twelve and a half per cent.; never increasing it, however, more than one per cent. in one year. This increase of dividend, therefore, when it had risen to its utmost height, could augment their annual payments, to their propnetors and government together, but by six hundred and eight thousand pounds beyond what they had been before their late territorial acqussitions. What the gross revenue of those territonal acquisitions was sur posed to amount, to, has already been trentroned; and by an account brought by the Cruttenden East Indiaman in 1768, the net revenue, clear of all deductions and mulitary charges, was stated at two mullions forty-eight thousand seven hundred and forty-seven pounds. They were sard, at the same time, to possess another revenue, arising partly from lauds, but chiefly from the customs established at their different settlements, amounting to four hundred and thirty-mine thousand pounds. The profits of their trade, too, accordang to the evidence of their chairman before the IIouse of Commons, amounted at thus time to at least four hundred thousand pounds a year; according to that of their accomptant, to at least tive hundred thouand; according to the lowest account, at
least equal to the highest dividend that was to be paid to their proprictors．So great a revenue might certainly have afforded an augmentation of six hundred and eight thou－ sand pounds in their annual payments；and， at the same time，have left a large sinking fund sufficient for the speedy reduction of their debts．In 1773，however，their debts， unstead of being reduced，were augmented by an arrear to the treasury in the payment of the four hundred thousand pounds；by another to the custom－house for duties un－ paid；by a large debt to the bank for money horrowed；and by a fourth，for bills drawn upon them from India，and wantonly ac－ cepted，to the amount of upwards of twelve hundred thousand pounds．The distress which these accumulated claims brought upon them obliged them not only to reduceall at once their dividend to six per cent．，but to throw themselves upon the mercy of govern－ ment，and to supplicate，f．rst，a release from the further payment of the stipulated four hundred thousand pounds a year；and，se－ condly，a loan of fourtuen hundred thousand， to save them from immediate bankruptcy． The great in rease of their fortune bad，it seems，only served to furnish their servants with a pretext for greater profusion，and a cover for greater malversation，than in pro－ portion even to that increase of fortune． The conduct of their servants in India，and the general state of their affairs both in In－ dia and in Europe，became the stbject of a parhamentary inquiry；in consquuence of which，several very important／alterations were made in the constitution of their go－ vernment，both at home and abroad．In India，their principal settlements of Madras， Bombay，and Calcutta，which had before been altogether independent of one another were subjected to a governor－general，assisted by a council of four assessors，parliament assuming to itself the first nomination of this governor and council who were to reside at Calcutta；that city having now become， what Madras was before，the most important of the English settlements in India．The court of the mayor of Calcutta，originally instituted for the trial of mercantile causer， which arose in the city and neighbourbood， had gradually extended its jurisdiction with the extension of the empire．It was now reduced and confined to the original purpose of its institution．Instead of it，a new su－ preme court of judicature was established， consisting of a chjef justice and three judges， to be appointed by the crown．In Europe， the qualification necessary to entitle a pro－ prictor to vote at their general courts was rased，from five hundred pounds，the original price of a share in the stock of the company， to a thousand pounds．In order to vote upon this qualification too，it was delared necessary that he should have possessed it，
if acquired ly his own purchase，and not by inlseritance，for at least oue year，motead of six monthis，the term requisite before．The court of twenty－four directers had before been chosen annually；but it was now en－ acted that each director should，for the future， be chosen for four years；sux of them，how－ ever，to go out of office by rotation e－ery year，and not to be capable of being re－choseti at the election of the six new directors for the ensuing year．In consequence of these alterations．the courts both of the proprictors and directors，it was expected，would tes likely to act with more dignity and standi－ ness than they had usually done befure． But it seems impossible by any alterations to render those courts in any respect hit to govern，or even to share in the government of a great empire；because the greater pare of their members mu⿻⿱⺈口⺕亅⿱⿱一口䒑日十 always have too little interest in the prosperity of that empire to give any serious attention to what may pro－ mote it．Frequently a man of great，some－ times even a man of small fortune is willing to purchase a thousand pounds share in India stock，merely for the influence which be ex－ pects to acquire by a vote in the court of proprictors．It gives him a share，though not in the plunder，yet in the appointment of the plunderers of India；the court of di－ rectors，though they make that appointurnit， being necessarily more or less under the influence of the proprietors，who not only elect those directors，but sometuncs overrule the appointments of their servants in Inda． Irovided be can enjoy this influence for a few years，and thereby provide for a certain number of his friends，he frequently caren little about the dividend，or even about the value of the stock upon which his vote is founded．About the prosperity of the great empire，in the government of which that vote fives him a share，he seldom cares at all．No other sovereigns ever were，or，from the nature of things，ever could be，so per－ fectly indifferent about the happiness or misery of their subjects，the improvement or waste of their dominions，the glory or disgrace of their administration，an，from irresistible momal causes．the greater part of the proprictors of fuch a mercantile company are，and necrssanly must be．This indiffer－ ence，too，wan mora likely to be increased than diminishel by some of the new regu－ lations，which werc made in consequence of the parliamentary imquiry．By a resolution of the House of Commons，for example，it was declared，that when the fourteen hundred thousand pounds lent to the company by government should be paid，and their bond－ debts be reduced to fifteen hundred thousand pounds，they might then，and not will then divide eight per cent．upon thetr capital； and that whatever remained of their resenuts and neat profits at home should be dividel
into four phirts; three of thom to be paid into the extleguer for the use of the putbice, and the fourth to be reserved as a fund, enther for the further reluction of their buadiduthy or fir the dushange of other enithagent exgencus wheh the company untrit labour under. But of the company wore bad stewards and bad sovercigns, when the whole of there net revenue and profits belonged to themselves, and were at their own dipposil, they ware surely not lakely to be better, when thrce-fuurths of them were to belong to other people, and the other fiomrh, thangh to be laid out for the bencitit of the company, yet to le so, under the inspextion, and with the approhation of other prople.
It might be more agreeable to the company that then own servants and dependants should have exther ter pleasure of wasting, or the profit of emberohng, whatever surplus might remath, atter paying the proposed dudend of egght per cent., than that it wonhd come into the hands of a set of people with whom these recolutions conld searce ful to set them in some measure at variance. The interest of thase serv iuts and dependants mught so fir predominate in the court of popmetors, as someqroes to dispose it to support the authors of depredations which had been committed in direct violation of us own authority. With the majorty of propmetors, the support even of the authority of their own court maght sometimes be a nattor of leys comsequence than the support of there who had set that authority at defunce.

The regulations of 1779, accordingly, did not put an end to the dowrders of the company , geveomment in Inda. Notuithtandiog that, daring a momentary fit of good combuet, they had at one tume collected mito the treasury of Caleutta more than three milhons sterling ; notu ithstanding that they lid atterwards extended either their dommon or their depredations uver a vast accesston of some of the rithest and most fertale countrics m India, all nas wated and deatroyed. They found thenselses altogether miprepmed to stop or resut the in ursion of Huder $A h_{1}$, and, in consequence of those disomiters, the company is now (1784) in greater distress than war: and, in order to phencht momedate bunkruptes, is once more refued to supplicate the assistance of government. Ditferent plans have been proposed by the dilkrent partios in parlament fol the better managenent of its allairs; and all thove phans seem to agree in supponing, what was indeed always abundintly evdent, that it as altogether unlit to govern its teriltonal possewions. Lien the com-

[^101]pany itself seems to be convareed of ith own incapacity so far, and seems, upon that account, wullug to give them up o goo wrniment.)

With the right of possessing forts and garrisons un distant and barbarous countries is necessarily conneeted the right of making peace and war in those countacs. The joint stuck compant, which have had the one right have constantly exercised the other, and have frequently had it expressly conferred upon them. Ilow unjuatly, how capriciously, how cruclly they have commonly evercised it, is too well known from recent experience.

When a company of merchants undertahe, at their own risk and expense, to establish a new trade with some remote and barbarous nation, it may not be unreasonable to incorporate them into a joint stock company, and to grant them, in case of ther success, a monopoly of the trade for a certain number of y cals. It is the easiest and most natural way in whib the state can recompense them for hazarding a dangerous and expensive experiment, of which the public is afterwards to reap the benefit. A temporary monopoly of this kind may be vindicated, upon the same princuples upon whinch a like monopoly of a now machine is granted to its inventor, and that of a new book to its author. But upon the expiration of the term, the monopoly ought certainly to determine; the fort and garrisons, if it was found necessary ta establish any, to be taken into the haruds of government, ther value to be pard to the company, and the trade to be laid open to all the subjects of the state. Dy a perpetual moncpoly, all the other subjects of the state are taxed very absurdly in two different way-: first, by the high price of goods, which, in the case of a free thade, they could buy much cheaper; and, secondly, by ther total exclaston from a brame $h$ of business which it might be both convement and profituble for many of them to carry on. It is for the most worthless of all purposes, too, that they are taxed in thas manner. It is merely to enable the compsny to support the neghgence, profusion, and malversation of ther own sersants, whow divorderly ronduct seldom allows the dia dend of the company to exceed the ordinart rate of profit in trades wheis are altogethea fice, and very frectucatly makes it fall even a grod deal trurt of that rate Without a monopol; however, a joint stock company, it would appear from experience, camot long carry on any branch of fureign trade. To buy in one market, in order to soll whth profit in another, when there are many competitors in both; to watch over, not ondy
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the occasional variations in the demand, but the much greater and more frequent variations in the competition, or in the supply which that demand is likely to get from other people, and to suit with dexterity and judgment bath the quantity and quality of each assortment of gouds to all these circumstances, is a species of warfare of which the operations are continually changing, and which can scarce ever be conducted successfully, without such an unremitting exertion of vigilance and attention as cannot long be expected from the directors of a joint stock company, The East India company, upon the redemption of their funds, and the expiration of their exclusive privilege, have a right, by act of parliament, to continue a corporation with a joint stock, and to trade in their corporate capacity to the East Indies in common with the rest of their fellow-subjects. But in this situa tion, the superior vigilance and attention of private adventurers would, in all probability, soon make them weary of the trade,

An eminent French author, of great knowledge in matters of political economy, the Abbé Morellet, gives a list of fifty-five joint stock companies for foreign trade, which have been established in different parts of Europe since the year 1600 , and which, according to him, have all failed from mismanagement, notwithstanding they had exclusive privileges. He has been milinformed with regard to the history of two or three of them, which were not joint stock companies and have not failed. But, in compensation, there have been several joint stock companies which have failed, and which be has omitted.

The only trades which it seems possible for a joint stock company to carry on successfully, without an exclusive privilege, are thoae of which all the operations are capable of being reduced to what is called a routine, or to such a uniformity of method as admits of little or no variation. Of this kind is, first, the banking trade; secondly, the trade of insurance from fire, and from sea risk and capture in time of war; thirdly, the trade of making and maintaining a navigable cut or canal; and, fourthly, the similar trade of bringing water for the supply of a great city.

Though the principles of the banking trade may appear somewhat abstruse, the practice is capable of being reduced to strict rules. To depart upon any occasion from those rules, in consequence of some flattering speculation of extraordinary gain, is almost

[^102]always extremely dangeroua, and frequently fatal to the banking company which attempts it. But the constitution of joint stock companies renders them, in general, more tenacious of estableshed rules than any private copartnery. Such companies, therefore, seem extremely well fitted for this trade. The principal banking compansis in Europe, accordingly, are joint stock companies, many of which manage their trade very successfully without any exclusive privilege. The Bank of Eingland has no other exclusive privilege, except that no other banking company in England shall consist of more than six persons. ${ }^{1}$ The two banks of Edinburgh are jount stock companies without any exclunive privilcge. 2

The value of the rink, either from fire, or from loss by sea, or by capture, though it cannot perhaps be calculated very exactly, admits however of such a gross estimation as renders it, in some degree, reducible to strict rule and method. The trade of insurance, therefore, may be carried on successfully by a joint stock company without any exclusive privilege. Nether the London Assurance nor the Royal Exchange Assurance companies have any such privilege.

When a navigable cut or cenal has been once made, the management of it becoms quite simple and easy, and it is reducible to strict rule and method. Even the making of it is so, as it may be contracted for with undertalers, at so much a mile, and so much a lock. The same thing may be said of a canal, an aqueduct, or a great pipe for bringing water to supply a great city Such undertakings, therefore, may bc, and accordingly frequently are, very successfully managed by joint stock companies without any exclusive privilege.

To establish a joint stack company, however, for any ugdertaking, merely because such a company might be capable of managing it successfully, or to exempt a particular set of dealers from some of the general laws which take place with regard to all their neighbours, merely because they might be capable of thriving if they had sucb an exemption, would certainly nut be reasonable. To render such an establishment perfectly reasonable, with the circumstance of being reducible to strict rule and methed, two other circumstances ought to concur: first, it ought to appear with the clearest evidence, that the undertaking is of greater and more general utility than the greater part of common trades; and, secondly, that it requires a greater capital than can easily be collected

[^103]into a private copartnery. If a moderate capital were suffictent, the great utility of the undertaking would not be a sufficient reason for establishong a joint stock company, because in this cesce the demand for what it was to jroduce would readly and easily be supphed by private adventurers. In the four trades above mentioned, both those cir(unstances concur.

The great and general utilty of the banking tide, when prudently managed, has been fully explaned in the second buok of this myury. But a public bank which is to support pubhe credit, and upon particular emergencies to advance to government the whole produce of a tax, to the amount perhaps of several millions, a year or two before it comes in, requires a greater capital than can easily be collected into any private copartnery.

The trade of insurance gives great security to the fortunes of private people, and by dividing among a great many that loss which wonld run an individual, makes it fall light and easy upon the whole socicty. In order to give thus security, however, it is necessary that the insurers should have a very large capital. Before the establishment of the two joint stock companies for insurance in London, a list, it is suid, was lad before the attortuev-general, of one hundred and fifty private insurers who had faled in the course of a few years.

That navigable cuts and canals, and the works which are sometimes necessary for supplying a great eity with water, are of great and general utihty, while, at the same time, they frequently require a greater expense than suits the fortunes of private people, is sufficiently obvious.

Except the four trades above mentioned, I have not been able to recollect any other, in which all the three circumstances requisite for rendering reasonable the estabishment of a joint stock company concur. The Enghish copper company of London, the lead smelting company, the glass grinding company, have not even the pretext of any great or singular utility in the object whinch they punue; nor does the pursult of that object suem to require any expense unsuitable to the firtunes of many private men. Whether the trade which those compancs carry on is teductible to such strict rule and methed as to render it fit for the management of a joint stock cunpany, or whether they have any reason to boast of their extraordmary profits, 1 do not pretend to know. The mine adventurers company has been long ago hankwiplt A share in the stock of the British Linen Company of Edinburgh sells, at presint, very much below par, though less so than it did some years ago. The joint stock companses, which are established for the public-spirted purpose of promotin.g some particular manufacture, over and above ma-
naging their own affairs ill, to the diminution of the general stock of the society, can, in other requects, scarce ever fail to do more harm than good. Notwithstanding the most upright intentions, the unavodable partality of their directors to particular branches of the manufacture, of which the undertakers mislcad and impose upon them, is a real discouragement to the rest, and necessanly breaks, more or less, that natural proportion which would otherwise establish itself between judicious industry and profit, and which, to the general industry of the country, is of all encouragements the greatest and the most effectual.

## ABTICLR II.

## Of the Expense of the Institutions for the Eduration of Youth.

The institutions for the education of youth may, in the sume manner, furnish a revenue sufficient for defrayiug their own expense. The fee or honorary which the scholar pays to the master naturally constitutes a revenue of this kind.

Even where the reward of the master does not arise al together from this natural revenue at stall is not necessary that it should be derived from that general revenue of the society, of whech the collection and application is, in most countries, assigned to the executive power. Through the greater part of Europe, accordingly, the endowment of schools and colleges makes either no charge upon that general revenue, or but a very stall one. It everywhere arses chiefly from some local or provincial revenue, from the rent of some landed sstate, or from the interest of some sum of money allotted and put under the management of trustees for this particular purpose, sometimes by the sovereign bunself, and sormetimes by some private donor.

Have those public endowments contributed, in gerseral, to promote the end of therr institution? Have they contributed to encourage the dingence, and to improve the abilities of the teachers? Have they directed the course of cducation towards objects more useful, both to the individual and to the public, than those to which it would naturally have gone of its own accord? It should not seem very difficult to give at least a probable answer to each of those ques. tions.

In every profession, the exertion of the greater part of those who exercise it is al. ways in proportion to the necessity they are under of maling that exertion. This necessity is grcatest with those to whom the emoluments of their profession are the only source from which they expect their fortune, or ewn their ordinary revenue and subsistence. In order to aiquire this fortune, or
even to get this subsistence, they must, in the course of a year, execute a certain guantity of work of a known value; and, where the competition is free, the rivalship of competitors, who are all endeavouring to justle one another out of employment obliges every man to endeavour to execute his work with a certain degree of exactness. The greatness of the objects which are to be acquired by success in some particular professions may, no doubt, sometimes animate the exertions of a few men of extraordinary spirit and ambition. Great objects, however, are evidently not necessary in order to occasion the greatext exertions. Rivalship and emulation rellder excellency, even in mean professions, an object of ambition, and frequently occasion the very greatest exertions. Great objects, on the contrary, alone and unsupported by the necessity of application, have seldom been sufficient to occasion any considerable exertion. In England, success in the profession of the law leads to some very great objects of ambition; and yet how few men, born to easy fortunes, have ever in this country been cminent in that profession!
The endowments of schools and colleges have necessarily diminisbed more or less, the necessity of application in the teachers. Their subsistence, so far as it arises from their salaries, is evidently derived fronin a fund altogether independent of their suchess and reputation in their particular professons.

In some universities the salary makes but a part, and frequently put a small part, of the emoluments of the teacher, of which the grenter part arises from the honoraries or fees of his pupils. The necessity of application, though always more or less diminished, is not, in this case, entirely taken atray. Reputation in his profession is still of some importance to him, and he still has some dependency upon the affection, gratitude, and favourable report of those who have attended upon his instructions; and thase favourable sentiments he is likely to gain in no way so well as by deserving them, that is, by the abilities and diligence with which he discharges every part of his duty.

In other universities the teacher is prohibited from receiving any honorary or fee from his pupils, and his salary constitutes the whole of the revenue which he derives from his office. His interest is, in this case, set as directly in opposstion to his duty as it is possible to set it. It is the interest of every man to live as much at his ease as he can; and if his emoluments are to be precisely the same, whether he does or does not perform some very laborious duty, it is certainly his interest, at least as interest is vulgarly understood, either to neglect it altogether, or if he is subject to some authority which will not
suffer him to do thix, to performit in as careless and slovenly a manner as that authority will permit. If be is naturally active and a lover of labour, it is has inserest to employ that activity in any way fiom which he can derive some advantage, rather than in the performance of his duty, from which he can derive none.

If the authority to which he is subject resides in the body corporate, the college, or university, of which he himself is a member. and in which the greater part of the other members are, like himself, persons who ether are or ought to be teachers, they are lihely to make a common cause, to be all very indulgent to one another, and every man to consent that his neighbour may neglect his duty, provided he himself is allowed to neglect his own. In the university of Oxford, the greater part of the public professors have, for these many years, given up altogether even the pretence of teaching.

If the authority to which be is subject resides not so much in the body corjorate of which he is a member as in some other extraneous persons, in the bishop of the drocese, for example, in the governor of the province. or perhaps in some minister of state, it is not indeed in this case very likely that he will be suffered to neglect his duty altogether. All that such superiors, however, can force hiro to do is to attend upon his pupuls a certain number of hours, that is, to give a certain number of lectures in the week, or in the year. What those lectures shall be, must still depend upon the diligence of the teacher; and that diligence is likely to be proportioned to the motives which he has for exerting it. An extraneous jurisdiction of this kind, besides, is liable to be exercised both ignorantly and capriciously. In ine nature it is arbitrary and discretionary : and the persons who exercise it, nether attending upon the lectures of the teacher themselves, nor perhaps understanding the sciences which it his business to teakh, are seldom capable of exercising it with judgment. From the insolence of oflice, too, they are frequently indifferent how they exercise it, and are very apt to censure or deprive him of his oflice wantonly and without any just cause. The perwn subject to such jurisdiction is necessarily degraded by it, and, instead of being one of the most respeetable, is rendered one of the meanest and most contemptible persuns in the society. It is by powerful protection only that he can effectually guard himwelf against the bad usage to which he is at all times exposed: and this protection he is mort hakely to gain, not by ability or diligence in his profession, but by obsequiousness to the will of bis superiors, and by being readr at all times to sacrifee to that will the rights. the interest, and the honour of the body cor-
porate of uhed he as a member Whoever has attended for any consuderable time to the admumstration of a French universaty must Suc hial uccasion to remark the effects which natually remilt from an arbitrary and extranemus jurishletion of thes kind.

Whatever forces a certain number of students to any college or university, indeprodent of the marit or reputation of the tuachers, tends more or less to diminish the aecessity of that merit or reputation.

The prisileges of graduates in arts, in law, phyaic and disinity, when they can be obt.dind only by residing a cortain number of gears in cortain universities, pecessarnly force a certann nunber of students to such univer. sities, independent of the inerit or reputation of the thachers. The privileges of graduates are a sort of statutes of apprenticeship, which have contributed to the improvement of education, just as the other statutes of apprenticeship have to that of arts and manufactures.
'The chavitable foundations of scholarships, cxhibitions, bursaries, \&c. necessarily attach a certain number of students to certain colliges, modependent altogether of the merit of those parthular colleges Were the students upon such charitable fuundations left free to chuose what college they liked best, such liberty might perhaps contribute to excite sonne emulation among diflerent colluges A regulation, on the contrary, which probibited even the independent members of every particular college from leaving it, and gomig to any other, without leave first asked and obtansed of that which they meant to abandon, would tend very much to extinguith that emulation.

If in each college the tutor or teacher, who was to instruct each student in all arts and sciences should not be voluntarily chosen by the student, but appointed by the hend of the college ; and if, in case of neglect, inhbility, or bad usage, the student should not be allowed to change him for another, wathont leave first asked and obtained; such a regulation would not only tend very much to extinguish all ennulation among the different tutoss of the same college, but to dinmmsli very much in all of them the necesity of diligence and of attention to then respective pupils. Such teachers, though very well paid by their students, mipht be as much dispesed to neglect them as those who are not prad by ticm at all, or who bave no other recompense but their Bulary.

If the toacher happens to be a man of sense, it nuist be an unpleasant thing to him to be cousciuus, while he is lecturing to his atulents, that he 15 ether speaking or reading nowsence, or what is very little better than nonseuse. It must, too, be unpleasant to hun to ouscrve that the greater part of his
students desert his lectuies, or jurhaps ationd upon them with plain enough marks of neglect, contenst, and derision. If be is obliged, therctore, to give a certain numb. of lectures, these motives alone. Fathout any other interest, might dapose him to take some pans to give tolerably good ones. Several different expedients, however, may be fallen upon, which will effectually blunt the edge of all those meitements to diligence. The teacher, instead of cxplaining to his pupils himself the science in which he proposes to instruct them, may read some book upon it ; and if this book is written in a foreign and dead language by inter. preting it to them into then own, or, what would give him still less trouble, by makang them interpret it to hmm, and by now and then making an occasional remark upon it, he may thatter himself that he is giving a lecture. The slightest degree of knowledige and application will enable him to do this, without exposing hinself to contempt or derision, or sayng any thing that is really foolish, absurd, or ridiculous. The disciphine of the college, at the same time, may enable him to force all his pupts to the most regular attendance upon his sham lecturc, and to mantain the most decent and respectful behaviour during the whole time of the performance.

The discipline of colleges and universitets is in general contraved, not for the benefit of the students, but for the interest, or, more properly spealing, for the ease of the masters Its object 2 s , in all cases, to manntain the authority of the master, and, whether he neglects or performs his duty, to oblige the students in all cases to behave to him as if he performed it with the greatest diligence and abnlity. It scems to presume perfect Wisdom and virtue in the one order, and the greatest weakness and fully in the other. Where the masters, however really perform their duty, there are no examples, I bcheve, that the greater part of the students ever neglect theirs. Nodiscipline as ever requisite to force attendance upon leetures which are really worth the attending, as is well known wherever any such lectures are given. Furce and restraint may, no doubt, be in some degree requisite, in order iv wibiofe chaldren or very young boys, to attend to those parte of education which it is tnought necussary for then $w$ acquire during that early period ur life; but after twelve or thrteen years of age, provided the master does his duty, force or restrant can scarce ever be necessary to carry on any part of education. Such is the gencrosity of the greater part of young men, that, so far from being disposed to neglect or despise the instructions of their master, provided he shows some serious intention of being of use to them, they are generally anelined to pardon a great deal of incorrech.
ness in the performance of his duty, and sometimes even to conceal from the public a good deal of gross negligence.

Those parts of education, it is to be observed, for the teaching of which there are no public institutions, are generally the best taught. When a young man goes to a fencing or a dancing school, he does not, indeed, always learn to fence or to dance very well; but he seldom fauls of learning to fence or to dance. The good effects of the riding school are not commonly so evident. The expense of a riding school is so great, that in most places it is a public institution. The three most essential parts of literary education, to read, write, and account, it still continues to be more common to acquire in private than in public schools; and it very seldom happens that any body fails of acquiring them to the degree in which it is necessary to acquire them.

In England, the public schools are much less corrupted than the universities. In the schools the youth are taught, or at least may be taught, Greek and Latin; that is, every thing which the masters pretend to teach, or which it is expected they should teach. In the universities the youth neither are taught, nor always can find any proper means of being taught, the sciences, which it is the business of those incorporated bodies to teach. The reward of the schoolmaster in most cases depends principally, in some cases almost entirely, upon the fees or botoraries of his scholars. Sehools have no exclusive privileges. In order to obtain the honours of graduation, it is not necessary that a person should bring a certificate of his having studied a certain number of years at a public school. If upon examination he appears to understand what is taught there, no questions are asked about the place where he learnt it.

The parts of education which are commonly taught in universities, it may perhaps be said, are not very well taught. 'But had it not been for those institutions, they would not have been commonly taught at all; and both the individual and the public would have suffered a good deal from the want of those important parts of education.

The present universities of Europe were originally, the greater part of them, ecclesiastical corporations, instituted for the education of churchmen. They were founded by the authority of the pope, and were so entirely under his immediate protection, that their members, whether masters or students, had all of them what was then called the benefit of clergy, that is, were exempt from the civil jurisdiction of the countries in which their respective universities were situated, and were amenable only to the ecclesiastical tribunals. What was taught in the greater part of those universitics was
suitable to the end of their institution, either theology, or something that was merely proparatory to theology.

When Christianity wis first eatablished by law. a corrupted Latin had become the common language of all the western parte of Europe. The service of the church, accordingly, and the translation of the Bible which was read in churches, were both in that corrupted Latin; that is, in the common language of the country. After the irruption of the barbarous nations who overturned the Roman empire, Latin gradually ceased to be the language of any part of Europe. But the reverence of the people naturally preserves the established forms and ceremonies of religion long after the circumstances which first introduced and rendered them reagenable are no more. Though Latin, therefure, was no longer understood any where by the great body of the people, the whole service of the church still continued to be performed in that language. Two different languages were thus established in Europe, in the aume manner as in ancient Egypt: a languare of the priests, and a language of the people; a sacred and a profane, a learned and an unlearned language. But it was necessary thit the priests should understand somethag it that sacred and learned language in which they were to officiate; and the atudy of the Latin language therefore made, from the beginning, an essential part of university education.

It was not so with that either of the Greek or of the Hebrew language. The infallible decrees of the church had pronounced the Latin translation of the Bible, commonly called the Latin Vulgate, to have been equally dictated by divine inspiration, and therefore of equal authority with the Greek and Hebrew originals. The knowledge of those two languages, therefore, not being indispensably requisite to a churchman, the study of them did not for a long time make a necessary part of the common course of university education. There are some Spanish universities, I am assured, in which the study of the Greek language has never yet made any part of that course. The firve reformers found the Greek text of the New Testament, and even the Hebrew text of the Old, more favourable to their opinions than the vulgate translation, which, as might naturally be supposed, had been gradually accommodated to support the doctrines of the Catholic Church. They set themselve'q, therefore, to expose the many ertors of that translation, which the Roman Cathole clergy were thus put under the necessity of defending or explaining. But this could not well be done without some knowledige of the original languages, of which the study was therefore gradually introduced into the greater part of univernities: buth of those

Which embraced, and of those which rejected the doctrines of the Reformation. The Greek language was conneeted with every part of that classical learning which, though at first principally cultivated by Catholics and Itahuns, happened to come into fashion much about the same time that the doctrines of the Reformation were set on foot. In the greater part of universities, therefore, that language was taught previous to the study of philosophy, and as soon as the student had made some progress in the Latin. The Hebrew language laving no connection with classical learning, and, except the holy scriptures, buing the language of not a single book in any esteem, the study of it did not commonly commence till after that of philowopliy, and when the student had entered upon the study of theology.

Originally the first rudiments both of the Greek and Latin languages were taught in unversities, and in some universities they stall contmue to be so. In others it is expected that the student should have previously acquired at least the rudiments of one or both of those languages, of which the study continues to make everywhere a very conviderable part of universty education.

The anctent Greek philosophy was divided into three great branches: physics, or natural phulosophy ; ethics, or moral philosophy; and logic. This general division seems perfectly agreeable to the nature of things.

The great phenomena of nature, the revolutions of the heavenly bodies, eclipses, comet.; thunder, lightning, and other extraolduary meteors; the generation, the life, growth, and dissolution of plants and amilinis; are objects which, as they necessarily cwate the wonder, so they naturally call forth the curiosity, of mankind to inquare into therr causes. Superstition first attempted to satisfy this curiosity, by referring all those wonderful appearances to the immedate agency of the gods. Phlosophy afterwards endenoured to account for thern from more fumblar causes, or from such as mankind were better acquainted with than the agency of the gods. As those great phenomena are the first objects of human curiosity, so the selenee which pretends to explain them must naturally have been the first branch of philowophy that was cultivated. The first philosophers, accordingly, of whom history has prescrved any account, appear to have been natursl phalosophers.

In every age and country of the world, men must have attended to the characters, designs, and actions of one another; and many reputable rules and maxams for the conduct of human life must have been laid down and approved of by common consent. As soon as writing came into fashion, wise men, or those who fancied themselves such, would naturally endeavour to increase the number
of those established and respected maxims, and to express their own sense of what was either proper or improper conduct, sometimes in the more artificial form of apologues, like what are called the fables of ALsop; and sometimes in the more simple one of apophthegms, or wise sayings, like the Proveribs of Solomon, the verses of Theognis and Pbocylides, and some part of the works of Hesiod. They might continue in this manner for a long time merely to multiply the number of those maxims of prudence and morality, without even attempting to arrange them in any very distinct or methodical order, much less to connect them together by one or more general principles, from which they were all deducible, like effects from therr natural causes. The beauty of a systematical arrangement of different observations connected by a few common principles, was first seen in the rude essays of those ancrent times towards a system of natural philosophy. Something of the same kind was afterwards attempted in morals. The maxims of common life were arranged in some methodical order, and connected together by a few common principles, in the same manner as they had attempted to arrange and connect the phenomena of nature. The science which pretends to investigate and explain those connecting principles, is what is properiy called moral philosophy.

Different suthors give different systems both of natural and moral philosophy; but the arguments by which they supported those difierent systems, far from beng always demonstrations, were frequently at best but very slender probabilities, and sometimes mere sophisms, which had no other foundation but the maccuracy and ambiguty of common language. Speculative systems have, in all ages of the world, been adopted for reasons too frivolous to have determined the judgment of any man of common sense, in a matter of the smallest pecuniary interest. Gross sophistry has scarce ever had any influence upon the opinions of mankind, except in inatters of philosophy and speculation; and in these it has frequently bad the greatest. The patrons of each system of natural and moral philosophy naturally en deaveured to expose the weakness of the arguments adduced to support the systems which were opposite to their own. In exarnining those arguments, they were necessarily led to consider the difference between a probable and a demonstrative argument, between a fallacious and a conclusive one, and logic, or the science of the general priciples of good and bad reasoning, necessarly arose out of the observations which a scrutiny of this kind gave occasion to. Though in its origin posterior both to physics and to ethics, it was commonly taught, not indeed in alt, but in the greater part of the ancient schools
of philosophy, previously to cither of those ociences. The student, it seems to have been thought, ought to understand well the difference between good and bad reasoning, before he was led to reason upon subjects of so great importance.

This ancient division of philosophy into three parts was in the greater part of the universities of Europe, changed for another mon five.

In the ancient philosophy, whatever was taught concerning the nature of the human mind or of the Deity, made a part of the system of physirs. Those beings, in whatever their essence might be supposed to consist, were parts of the great systern of the universe, and parts, too, productive of the most important effects. Whatever human reason could either conclude or conjecture concerning them, made, as it were, two chapters, though no doubt two very important ones, of the science which pretended to give an account of the origin and revolutions of the great system of the universe. But in the universities of Europe, where philosophy was taught only as subservient to theology, it was natural to dwell longer upon these two chapters than upon any other of the science. They were gradually more and more extended, and were divided into many inferior chapters, till at last the doctrine of spirits, of which so little can be known, came to take up as much room in the system of pialosophy as the doctrine of bodies, of which so much can be known. The doctrines concerning those two subjects were zonsidered as making two distinct sciences. What are called metaphysics, or pneumatics, were set in opposition to physics, and were cultivated not only as the more sublime, but for the purposes of a particular profession, as the more useful science of the two. The proper subject of experiment and observation, a subject in which a careful attention is capable of making so many useful discoverie:, was almost entirely neglected. The subject in which, after a few very simple and almost obvious truths, the most careful attention can discover nothing but olsscurity and uncertainty, and can consequently produce nothing but subtleties and sophisms, was greatly cultivated.

When those two sciences had thus been set in opposition to one another, the comparison between them naturally gave birth to a third, to what was called ontology, or the science which treat of the qualities and attributes which were common to both the subjects of the other two sciences. But if subtleties and sophisms composed the greater part of the metaphysics or pneumatics of the schools, they composed the whole of this cobweb sclence of ontology, which was likewise sumetimes called metaphysics.

Wherein consisted the happiness and per.
fection of a man, considered nok only as an individual, but as the member of a family, of a state, and of the great socicty of manhind, was the object which the ancient moral philosophy proposed to investigate. In that philosopby, the duties of human life were treated of as subservient to the happuness and perfection of human life. But when moral as well as natural philosophy came to be taught only as subservient to theology, the duties of human life were treated of as chiefly subservient to the happiness of a lite to come. In the ancient phadosmphy, the perfection of virtue was represented as necessarily productive, to the person who pogsessed it, of the most perfect happmess in this life. In the modern philosophy, it was frequently represented as generally, or rather as almost always, inconsistent with any degree of happiness in this life; and heaven was to be earned only by penance and mortification, by the austerities and abawment of a monk, not by the liberal, generons, and spirited conduct of a man. Casuistry and an ascetic morality made up, in most cases, the greater part of the moral philosplyy of the schools. By far the most important of all the different branches of philosophy becarne in this manner by far the moat corrupted.

Such, thercfore, was the conmon course of philosophical education in the greater part of the universities in Europe. Logic was taught first: ontology came in the second place: pneumatology, comprehending the doctrine concerning the nature of the human soul and of the Denty, in the thard - in the fourth followed a debased system of moral plitosophy, which was considered as immediately connected with the ductrines of pneumatology, with the immortality of the human soul, and with the rewards and punshmenta which, from the justice of the Leity, were to be expected in a life to come: a short and superficial system of physics usually concluded the course.

The alterations which the universitios of Europe thus introduced into the ancrent course of philosophy were all moast for the education of ecclesiasties, and to render it a more proper introduction to the study of theology. But the additional quantity of subtlety and sophistry, the casuistry and the ascetic morality which those alterations in. troduced into it, certainly did not render it more proper for the education of gentlemen or men of the world, or more likely ether to improve the understanding or to mend the heart.

This course of philosophy is what stall continues to be taught in the gre iter part of the universities of Europe; with nuore or less diligence, according as the constitutua of each particular university happens to render diligence more or lews nceesuary th the teachers. In some of the richese and best
enclowid universities, the tutors content thinxl.ca whit h thatching a few unconnected rirceds and parcels of this corrupted course; and even these they commonly teach very mipligently and superficially.

The $n$ improvements which in modern times hove bled made in several different branches of philosophy have not, the greater part of them, been made in universities, though some no doubt have. The greater part of univerwits hive not even been very forward to adopt those improvements after they were mate; and several of those learned societies have chosen to remain for a long time the sanctuaries in which exploded systems and absolute prejudices found shelter and proteton, alter they bad been hunted out of every other corner of the world. In generall, the richest and best endowed universities have been slowest in adopting those impprovenents, and the most averse to permit any considerable change in the established flan of education. Those improvements were more easily introduced into some of the poorer umversitics, in which the teachers, depending upon their reputation for the greater part of thar subsistence, were obliged to jus more attention to the current opinions of the world.

But though the public schools and univarsities of Europe were onginally intended duly for the education of a particular pro-fiwion-that of churchmen; and though they were not always very diligent in anstrum ing their pups's even in the sciences whin were supposed necessary for that profiswin, yet they gradually drew to themsolus the education of almost all other people, particularly of almost all gentlemen and nee of fortune. No better method, it sit ms, could be fallen upon of spending, with any advantage, the long interval between minary and that period of life at which mon begin to apply in good earnest to the rial busies of the world, the business which is to employ them during the remainder of their days. The greater part of what is taught in schools and universities, however, dues not seem to be the most proper preparaton for that business-

In England, it becomes every day more and more the custom to send young people to travel in foreign countries immediately upon their leaving scluoul, and without sending them to any university. Our young people, it is sad, generally return home much improved by their travels. A young man who goes abroad at seventeen or eighteen, and returns home at one-andtwenty, returns three or four years older than he was when he went abroad; and at that age it is very difficult not to improve a food deal in three or four years. In the cu'ire of his tavel, he generally acquires wine knowledge of one or two foreign lan-
guages; a knowledge, however. which is seldom sufficient to enable hum either to speak or write them with propriety. In other respects, he commonly returns home more conceited, more unprincipled, more i dissipated, and more incapable of any surious, he. . i . application either to study or to Lushness,: than he could well have become in so short a time, had he lied at home. By travelling: so very young - by spending in the most frivolous dissipation the most precious ya ts of his life, at a distance from the inspection and control of his parents and relations, every useful habit which the earlier parts of his education might have bad some tendency to form in him, instead of being riveted and confirmed, is almost necessarily either weakened or effaced. Nothing but the discredit into which the universities are allowing themselves to fall, could ever have brought into repute so very absurd a proctie as that of travelling at this early period of life By sending his son abroad, a father delivers hiniself, at least for some the, from so disagreeable an object as that of a son unemployed, neglected, and going to rum before his eyes.

Such lave been the effects of some of the modern institutions for education.

Different plans and different institutions for education seem to have taken place in other ages and nations.

In the republics of ancient Greece, every free citizen was instructed, under the diracto on of the public magistrate, in gymnastic exercises and in music. By gymnastic exercises it was intended to harden has body, to sharpen his courage, and to prepare hum for the fatigues and dangers of war ; and as the Greek militia was, by all accounts, one of the best that ever was in the world, this part of their public education must have ancowered completely the purpose fur which it was intended, By the other part, music, it + was proposed, at least by the philosophers and isturians who have given us an account of those institutions, to humane the mind, to soften the temper, and to dispose is for performing all the social and moral duties of public and private life.

In ancient Rome, the exercises of the Campus Martins answered the same purpose as those of the Gymnasium in ancient Grecee, and they seem to have answered it equally well. But among the Romans there was nothing which corresponded to the musical education of the Greeks. The morals of the Romans, however, both in private and public life, seem to have been not only equal, but, upon the whole, a good dual superior, to those of the Greeks. That they were superior in private life we have the express testimony of Polybius and of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, two authors well acquainted with both an. ions; and the whole tenor of the Greek and

Roman history bears witness to the superiority of the publie morals of the Romans. The good temper and moderation of contending factions seems to be the most essentid circumstances in the public morals of a free peropie But the tactions of the Greeks were ulmost always violent and sanguinary; whereas, till the time of the Graceli, no blqod had ever been shed in any Roman faction; and from the time of the Gracchi, the Roman republic may be considered as in reality dissolved. Notwithstanding, therefore, the very respectable authority of Plato, Aristotle, and Polybius, and notwithstanding the very ingenioús reasons by which Mr. Montesquieu endeavours to support that authority, it seems probable that the musical education of the Greeks hal no great effect in mending their morals, since, without any such education, those of the Romans were upon the whole superior. The respect of those ancient sages for the institutions of their ancestors had probably disposed them to find rauch political wisdom in what was perhaps merely an ancient custo $n$, contmued without interruption from' the earliest period of those socicties, to the times in which they had arrived at a considerable degree of refinement. Music and dancing are the great amusements of almost all barbarous nations, and the great accomplishments which are supposed to fit any man for entertaining his society. It is so at this day among the negroes on the coast of Africa. It was so among the ancient Celts, among the ancient Scandinavians, and, as we may learn from Homer, among the ancient Greeks in the times preceding the Trojan war. When the Greek tribes had formed themselves into little republics, it was natural that the study of those accomplishments should for a long time make a part of the public and common education of the people.

The masters who instructed the young people either in music or in military fxercises, do not seem to have been paid or, wen appointed by the state, either in Roms or even in Athens, the Greek republic of whose laws and customs we are the best informpd. The state required that every free citizen should fit himself for defending it in war, and should, upon that account, learn his military exercises; but it left him to learn them of such masters as he could find, and it seems to have advanced nothing for this purpose, but a public field or place of exercise, in which he should practise and perform them.

In the early ages both of the Greek and Roman republics, the other parts of education seem to have consisted in learning to read, write, and account according to the arithmetic of the times. These accomplishments the richer citizens scem frequently to have acquired at home, by the assistance of mome domestic ped.igogue, who was gene-
rally either a slave or a freedman; and the poorer citizens, in the schools of such maso ters as made a trade of teaching for bire. Such parts of edacation, however, were abandoned altogether to the care of the parents or guardians of each individual. It doss not appear that the state ever assumed any inspection or direction of them. By a lav of Solon, indeed, the children were acquitted from maintaining those parenta in therr old age who had neglected to instruct theyn in $j^{j} / 5$ some profitable trade or business.

In the progress of refinement, when philosophy and rhetoric came mrt fashion, the better sort of people used to send there children to the schools of phalosophers and rhetoricians, in order to be instructed in these fashionable sciences; but those schools were not supported by the public: they were for a long time barely tolerated by it. The demand for philosoplyy and rbetoric was for a long time so small, that the first professed teachers of either could not find constant employment in any one city, but were obliged to travel about from place to place. In this manner lived Zeno of Ele», Protagoraq, Gorgias, Hippias, and many others. As the demand increased, the schools both of philosophy and rhetoric became stationary : first in Athens, and afterwards in several otler cities. The state, however, secms never to have encouraged them further than by assigning to some of them a particular place to teach in, which was sometimes done wo by private donors. The state seerns to have assigned the Academy to Plato, the Lyceum to Aristotle, and the Portica to Zeno of Citta, the founder of the Stoics. Hut Epscurus bequeathed his gardens to his own school. Till about the time of Marcus Antoninus, however, no teacher appears to have had any salary from the public, or to have had any other enoluments but what arose from the honoraries or fees of lus scholars. The bounty which that philosophical emperor, as we learn from Lucian, bestowed upon one of the teachers of philosophy, probably lasted no longer than hus own life. There was nothing equivalent to the privileges of graduation; and to have attended any of those schools was not necessary, in order to be permitted to practise any particular trade or profession. If the opininn of their own utility could not draw scholars to them, the law neither forced any body to go to them, nor rewarded any body for having gone to them. The teachers had no jurisdiction over their pupils, nor any other authority besides that natural authority which superior virtue and abalitics never fail to procure from young people towards those who are intrusted with any part of their education.

At llome, the study of the cirn law masie a part of the education, not of the greater
part of the citizens, but of some particular fumbles The young prople, however, $x$ ho whied to anqure knowletge in the law, had no publie school to go to, and had no other method of studyiug it than by frequenting the company of such of their relations and fiends as were supposed to understand it. It is, perhaps, worth while to remark, that though the laws of the twelve tables were, many of them, copied from those of some anount Greek republics, yet law never seems to luare grown up to be a science in any republe of ancient Grece In Rome it becume a science very carly, and gave a considerable degree of illuntration to those citizens who had the reputation of understanding it. In the repulbies of ancient Greece, particularly in Athens, the ordinary courts of justice consisted of numerous, and therefore disorderly, bodies of people, who fiequently decided almost at randum, or as clamour, fachon, and party spirit happened to determunc. The ignominy of an unjust decision, When it k as to be divided among five hundrud, a thousand, or fifteen hundred people, (for some of their courts were so very numerous, could not fall very heavy upon any individual. At Rome, on the contrary, the principal courts of justice consisted either of a singie judge, or of a small number of judges, whose characters, especialls as they deliberated always in public, could not fail to be very much afficted by any rash or ungust decision. In doubtful cases, such courts, from their ansiety to avoid blame, would nuturally endeavour to shelter themselves under the example or precedent of the judges who had sat before them either in the same or in some other court. This attention to practice and precedent necessarily furmed the Ruman law into that regular and orderly system in which it has been delivered down to us; and the like attention has had the like effects upon the laws of every other country where such attention has taken place. The superionty of character in the lomans over that of the Greeks, so much remarhed by Polybias and Dionysius of Ilahcarnassus, was probably more owing to the better constitution of their courts of Justice than to any of the circumstances to wheh those authors ascribe it. The Romans are said to have been particularly distinguished for their superior respeet to an oath; but the people who were accustomed to make oath only before some diligent and well-informed court of justice would naturally be much more attentive to what they swore, than they who were accustomed to do the same thing before mobbish and disorderly asomblies.

The abilitics, both civil and military, of the Gretks and Romans, will readily be allowed to lave been at least equal to those of any modern nation; our projudue is, per-
haps, rather to overrate Rem. $\mathrm{y}^{\beta}$ ut extent in what related to nimitury ele state scems to have been at rowains to-trut those great abolities, for I canmoridinduced to lelieve that the musical education whate Grieks could be of much consequence in furming them. Masters, however, had bcen fuund, it seems, for instrueting the better sort of people among those nations in every art and selence in which the circumstances of their society rendered it necessary on convenient for them to be instructed. The demand for such instruction produced, what it always produces, the talent for giving it ; and the emulation which an unrestained competition never fals to excite appears to have brought that talent to a very high degree of perfection. In the attention wheh the ancient philosophers excited, in the empire which they acquired over the opintons and principles of their auditors, in the faculty which they possessed of giving a certain tone and character to the conduct and conversation of those auditors, they appear to have been mueh superior to any modern teachers In modern times, the diligence of public teachers is more or less corrupted by the circumstances which render them more or less independent of their success and reputation in their particular professions. Their salares, too, put the private teacher, who would pretend to come into competition with them, in the same state with a merchant who attempts to trade $u$ ithout a bounty, in competition with those who trade with a consideralle one. If he sells his goods at nearly the same price he cannot have the same profit; and poverty and beggary at least, if not bankruptey and rum, will infallibly be his lut. If he attempts to sell thers much dearer he is likely to have so fow customers, that his circumstances will not be moch mended. The privileges of graduation, besides, are in many countrics necessary, or at least extremely convenient, to most men of learned professions ; that is, to the far greater part of those who have occasion for a learned education But those privileges can be obtained only by attending the lectures of the public teachers. The most careful attendance upon the ablest instructions of any private teather cannot always give any tutle to demand them. It is from these different causes that the private teacher of any of the sciences which are commonly taught in unwersities is, in modern times, generally considered as in the very lowest order of men of letters. A man of real abilities can scarce find out a more humiliating or a more unprofitable employment to turn them to. The endowments of schools and colleges have, in this manner, not only corrupted the diligence of public teachers, but have rendered it almost mpossuble to have any good private ones.

Wetre there no public institutions for edu.
cation, no system, no science would be taught for which there was not some demand, or which the circumstances of the times did not render it either necussary or convenient, or at least fashionable, to learn. A private teacher could never find hus account in teaching ether an exploded and antiquated system of a scrence acknowledged to be uscful, or a stience universally believed to be a mere useless and pedantic heap of sophistry and nonsense. Such systems, such sciences, can subsist nowhere but in those incorporated socteties for education, whose prosperity and revenue are in a great measure udependent of their reputation, and altogether independent of their industry. Were there no public institutions for education, a gentleman, after going through, with application and abilities, the most complete course of education which the circurnstances of the times were supposed to afford, could not come into the world completely ignorant of every thing which is the common subject of conversation among gent'emen and men of the world.

There are no public institutions for the education of women, and there is accordingly nothing useless, absurd, or fantastical, in the common course of their education. They are taught what their parents or guardians judge it necessary or uscful for them to learn, and they are taught nothing else. Every part of their education tends evidently to some useful purpose: either to improve the natural attractions of their person, $q \mathrm{r}$ to form their mund to reserve, to modesty, to chastity, and to cconomy; to render them both likely to become the mistresses of a family, and to behave properly when they have become such. In every part of her life a woman feels some conveniency or advantage from every part of her education. It seldom happens that a man, in any part of his life, derives any conveniency or advantage from some of the most laborrous and troublesome parts of his education.

Ought the public, therefore, to give no attention, it may be asked, to the education of the people? Or, if it ought to give any, whit are the different parts of education which it ought to attend to in the different orders of the people? and in what manner ought it to attend to them?

In sume cases the state of society necessarily places the greater part of individuals ia such situations as naturally form in them,

[^104]without any attention of government, almime all the ablities and virtues which that state requires, or perhap,s can adinit of. In other cascs the state of the society docs not plate the greater part of the individuals in such situations, and some attention of governmint is necessary in order to prevent the almust entire corruption and degeneracy of the great body of the people.

In the progress of the division of labour, the employment of the far grenter part of those who live by labour, that $i$, of the gieat body of the people, comes to be confined to a few very simple operations; frequently to one or two. But the understanduigs of the greater part of men are necessarily format by their ordinary employments. The man whose whole life is spent in performing a fiw simple operations, of wheh the effects too are perhaps always the same, or very nearly the same, has no occasion to exert fins under. standing, or to exercise his invention in finding out expedients for removing difheulties which never occur. He natorally los 4 . therefore, the habit of such exertion, and generally becomes as stupid and ygnorant as it is possible for a human creature to beconse. The torpor of his mind renders him not only inc apable of relishing or bearing a part in any rational conversation, but of concentiug any gencrous, noble, or tender sentimelt, and consequently of forming any just judirment concerning many even of the ordhary duties of private life. Of the great and ex. tensive interests of his country he is altogether incapable of judging; and uuless very particular pains have been taken to render him otherwise, he is equally incapable of defending his country in war. The unifurmity of his stationary life naturally corsupts the courage of his mind, and makes him regard with abhorrence the irregular, uncertain, and adienturous life of a wolder. It corrupts even the activity of his Lody, and renders him incapable of exerting lus strength with vigour and perseverance, in any other employment than that to whech be has been bred. His dexterity at lis own particular trade scems, in this manner, to be acquired at the expense of his intellectual, sucial, and martial virtues. But in every inproved and civilised society thas is the state into which the labouring poor, that is, the great body of the people, must necessarily fall, uniless government takes some pains to prevent at.'

It is otherwise in the barbarous secectics,
having improved according to the increate of thoir numbers, and trie greater subinviston of their cm plosmpnts. The diston that mannartures are plosmpnts. The doston that manitactures are
 gtill more er roneous. It he catics and cour trus. bath in antiquity and in modern timea, that have bern most distinguished by thers proficiency in the arte and in commerce. have at the same tire bern the mont distinguisheid by their patmoserens and cuurage But it is undreessary to traved out of Brent
ent they are commonly called, of hunters, of shepherds, and even of husbandmen in that rude state of husbandry which precedics the improvement of manufactures, and the extension of foreign oommerce. In such 20 entics the rarned oecupations of every man oblige every man to exert hus capacity, and to urent expedients for removing difficulties which are continually occurring. Invention is kept alive, and the mind is not maffered to fall into that drowsy stupidity, which, in a civilised society, seems to benumb the understanding of almost all the inferior ranka of people. In those barbanous societies, an they are called, every man, it bas already been observed, is a warroor. Erery man too is in some measure a statesman, and can form a tolerable judgment concerning the interest of the society, and the conduct of those who govern it. How far their chiefs are good judgea in peace, or good leaders in war, is obvious to the olservation of almost every single man among them. In such a society, undeed, no man can well acquire that improved and refined understanding which a few men sometrmes possess in a more civilused state. Though in a rude society there is a good deal of variety in the occupations of every individual, there is not a great deal in those of the whole society. Every man does, or is capabie of doing, alruost every thing which any other man does, or is capable of doing. Every man has a considerable degree of knowledge, ingenuity, and invention; but searce any man has a great degree. The digree, however, whinhtis commonly possessed is genorally sufficient for conducting the whole simple business of the socicty. In a civilised state, on the contrary, though there is little varnety in the occupations of the greater part of the individuals, there is an almost infinate variety in those of the whole society. These varied occupations present an almost infinite variety of objects to the contemplation of those few , who, being attached to no particular occupation themselves, have leisure and inclination to examine the occupations of other people. The contemplation of so great a variety of ohyects necessaraly exereisest their miads in endlews comparisons and combinations, and rendra their understandings, in an extraor linary degree, both acute and comprehen-

- Britain for eonclade froon of the entire gallary of eviry egsemtion mananced by Dr. Smith in the para-
-     * Hraph. Onar manufactures have increased to sar unparoedented extrout during the lagt batf cepteury, and the bvisron of eneplopmente to carried furtirer in Fighland than in anr ether eountry; but, though poverumnetit bas done pothun in is the Fay of educainvin or othervase, for their linprovement. who will presarse to say thit the pervie employed in eors-



 coumtrs " ans, to mart as the shadow of a founditive for shch urgutariote. His grving chew eredit tope of the
sive. Unless those few, bowever, happen to be placed un some very particular situations thear great abilities, though bonourable to themselves, may contribute very little to the good government or happiness of their socrety. Notwithstaqding the great abilitica of those fiw, all the nobler parts of the human character may be in a great measure, obliterated and extunguished to the great body of the people.

The education of the common people zequires, perhaps, in a civlised and commercial society, the attention of the public more than that of people of some rank and fortune.
People of some rank and fortune are generally eighteen or nineteen years of age before they enter upon that particular business, profession, or trade, by which they propose to distinguish themselves in the world. They have before that full time to acquire. or at least to fit themselves for afterwards aequiring, every accomplishment which can recommend them to the public esteem, or sender them worthy of it Their parents or guardians gre generally sufticiently anntous that they fiould be so aceomphished, and are, in most cases, willing enough to lay out the expense which is neressary for that purpose. If they axe not always properly educated, it is seldom from the want of expense laid out upon their education, but from the improper application of that expense : it is seldom from the want of masters, but from the negligence and incapacity of the masters who are to be bad, and trom the difficulty, or rather from the imposenibity which there is, in the preeent state of things, of Ginding any better. The employmente too in which people of some rank or \&urtune spend the greater part of their lives are not, like those of the common people, simple and uniform - they are aimost all of them extremely complicated and such as exercise the head more than the hands. The understandings of those who are engaged in such employments tan seldom grow torpid for waut of exercise. The eubploysnents of people of some rank and fortune, besides, are seldorn sueh as harass them frome morning to night They generally have a good deal of leisure, during wheh they may perfect themselves in every branch either of useful or ornamental knowledge of which they may have lad the foundation, or
few instances in which Dr. Smith han muffered his midgurent to be owared by ancient prejudices. tie molght have kuown thit Geoeral Fhot's refitment of lypht horse, which so haghly distinguished itself dorng the sevel years' war, Was principally recruited from among the tallars of the metropoits. But, a respects the thatement that masufictures weaken the corporeal and martio porwers, it is mecessart oniv to call to mind that the great mamulactaring and trading towns rurnished by far the largest portion of recruit to the aray siarnag the late war: for every ane will
allow that the ereats of that contest proved, be rood alow that the ereats of that conitest proved, bevourd plare th the habrte of our people, onur troope are at buch distingabloed as ever for capacriy to bear fintypy and invincibit courage and remotution.
for which they may have acquired some taste in the earlier part of life.

It is otherwise with the common people. They have little time to spare for education. Their parents can scarce afford to maintain them even in infancy. As soon as they are able to work, they must apply to some trade by which they can earn their subsistence. That trade too is generally so simple and uniform as to give little exercise to the understanding; while, at the same time, their labour is both so constant and so severe, that it leaves them little leisure and less inclination to apply to, or even to think of any thing else.

But though the common people cannot, in any civilised society, he so well instructed as people of some rank and fortunc, the most essential parts of education, however, to read, write, and account, can be acquired at so carly a period of life, that the greater part even of those who are to be bred to the luwest occupations, have time to acquire them before they can be employed in those occupations. For a very small expense the public can facilitate, can encourage, and can even impose upon almost the whole body of the people, the necessity of acquiring those most essential parts of education.

The public can facilitate this acquisition, by establishing in every parish or district a little school where children may be taug't for a reward so moderate, that even a common labourer may afford it; the master being partly, but not wholly paid by the public; because if he was wholly, or even principally paid by it, he would soon learn to neglect bis business. In Scotland 'the establishment of such parish schools bas taught almost the whole common people to read, and a very great proportion of them to write and account. In England the establishment of charity schools has had an effect of the same kind, though not so universally, because the establishment is not so universal. If in those little schools the books, by whigh the children are taught to read were a little more instructive than they commonly are; and if instead of a little smattering in Latin, which the children of the common peoplefare sometimes taught there, and which can scarce ever be of any use to them, they were instructed in the elementary parts of geometry and mechanics, the literary education of this rank of people would perhaps be as complete as $\bar{i}$ can le. There is scarce a common trade which does not afford some opportunities of applying to it the principles of geometry and mechanies, and which would not therefore gradually exercise and improve the common people in those principles, the

1 The reader will find in a supplemental note, a short acoount of the Scotch system of Parochial
Fducation.
necessary introduction to the most sublime as well as to the most useful scrences.

The public can encourage the acquisition of those most essential parts of educition, by giving small premiums, and little badgea of distinction, to the children of the common people whu excel in them.

The public can impuse upon alinoat the whole body of the people the necessity of acquiring the most essential parts of education. by obliging every man to undergo an examination of probation in them befure be can oldtain the freedom in any corporation, or be allowed to set up any trade either in a village or town corporate.

It was in this manner, by facilitating the acquisition of their military and gymnast ic exercises, by encouraging it, and even by imposing upon the whole body of the people the necessity of learning those exercises, that the Greek and Roman republics maintained the martial spirit of their respective citizens, They facilitated the acquisition of those exercises by appointing a certain place for learning and practising them, and by granting, to certain masters the privilege of teaching in that place. Those masters do not appear to have had either salaries or exclusive privileges of any kind. Their reward consisted altogether in what they got from their scholars; and a citizen who had hearnt lis exercises in the public gymnasia had no sort of legal advantage over one who hiad learnt them privately, provided the latter had learnt them equally well. Those republics encouraged the acquisition of those excrcises by bestowing little premtums and badges of distinction upon those who excelled in them. To have gained a prize in the Olympic, Isthmian, or Nennsan games, gave illustration, not only to the person who gained it, but to hia whole family and kindred. The obligation which every citizen was under to serve a certain number of years, if called upon, in the armies of the repullic, sufficiently imposed the necessity of learning those exercises, without which he could not be fit for that service.

That in the progress of improvement the practice of mihtary exercises, unless government takes proper pains to support it, goes gradually to decay, and, together with it, the martial spirit of the great body of the people, the example of modern Europe sufficiently demonstrates Dut the security of every society must always depend, mure or less upon the martial spirit of the great borly of the people. In the present times, indeed, that martial spirit alone, and unsupported by a well-disciplined standing army, would not, perhaps, be sufficient for the defence and security of any society. But whire every citizen had the spirit of a soldier, a smaller standing army would surely be repuisute.

That spurit, becideq, would neeessarity do. minsh ver. muin the dangers to liberty, whether real or imaginary, whith are comunnly apprehended from a standing army. An it wolld very much facilitate the opurations of that army aganst a foreign invilur, so it would obstruct them as much if untortunaticly they should ever be directed *\%anst the constitution of the state.

The ancient institutions of Greece and Rome seem to have been much more effectual, for mantaning the martal spmet of the great budy of the people, than the establishutent of $u$ hat are called the militias of modern times. They were much more simple. When they were once established, they executed themselves, and it required little or no attention from government to manitin them in the most perfect vigour. Wheress to maintain, even in tolerable eseruton, the complex regulations of any modera miltia, requires the continual and phinful attention of government, without "hub they are constantly falling into total monlect and disuse. The influence, besides, of the ancuent instatutions was much more unuersal. By means of them the whole burly of the people was completely instructed in the use of arms. Whereas it is but a very suall purt of them who can ever be so intructed by the regulations of any modern militaa ; cicept, perhaps that of Switzerland lint a coward, a man meapable etther of ditening or of revenging himself, evidently w mots one of the most essential parts of the tharteler of a man. He is as much mutilated and leformed in his mind as another is in his body, who is etther deprived of some of its muat enwental members, or has lost the use of tham. He is evidently the more "retched and miserable of the two; because hippunes and misery, which reside altogether in the mind, must necessarily depend more upon the healthful or unhealthful, the mutiduted or entire state of the mind, than upon that of the body. Even though the martal phrit of the people were of no use towards the defence of the society, yet, to prevent that sort of mental mutilation, deformuty, and "rutcheducss, which cowardice necesurily inolves in it, from spreading themwhex through the great body of the people, would sull deserve the most serious attention of goverument ; in the same manner as it woull desarve its most serious attention to prevent a leprosy ur any other loathsome sni citunsive disease, though neither mortal our dangerous, from spreading itself among them; though, perhnys, no other public foul mught result from such attention besides the prevention of so great a public evil.
The same thing may be sald of the gross irmoiance and stupidaty which, in a civilised sixelety, seem so fripuently to benumb the andertandings of all the aferior ranks of
people. A man without the proper use of the intellectual faculties of a man, is, if pussible, more contemptible than even a coward, and seems to be mutilated and deformed in a still more essential part of the character of human nature. Though the state was to derive no advantage from the instruction of the infertor ranks of people, it would stll deserve its attention that they should not be altogether uninstructed. The state, however, derives no inconstderable advantage from their instruction. The more they are mintructed, the less liable they are to the delusions of enthusiasm and superstition, which, among ignorant nations, frequently occasion the most dreadful disorders. An instructed and intelligent people, besides, are always more decent and orderly than an ignorant and stupid one. They feel themselves, each individually, more respectable, and more likely to obtan the rexpect of their lawful superiors, and they are therefure more disposed to respect those supertors. They are more disposed to examine, and more capable of seeing through, the interested complaints of faction and sedition; and they are, upon that account, less apt to be misledinto any wanton or unnecessary opposition to the measures of government. In free countries, where the safety of government depends very much upon the favourable judgment which the people myy furm of its conduct, it must surely be of the highest importance that they should not, be dipposed to judge rashly or capriesously concerning it.

## ARTICIE 112.

Of the Expense of the Instidutions for the Instruction of Ptople of all Ages.
The institutions for the instruction of people of all ages are chefly those for religious instruction. This is a species of instruction of which the object is not so mucb to render the people good cutizens in this world, as to prepa, e them for another and a better world in a life to come. The teachers of the doctrine which contains this instruction, in the same manner as other teachers, may ether depend altogether for thear subsistence upon the voluntary contributions of their hearers; or they may derive it from some other fund to which the law of their country may cutitle them : such as a baded estare, a tathe or land tax, an established salary or stipeuu. 1henr exertion, their zeal ann industry, are likely to be much greater in the former situation than in the latter. In this respect the teachers of new religions have always had a considerable advantage in attacking those ancient and establubied systems of whish the olergy reposing themselves upon their benefices, had neglected to keep up the fervour of faith and devotion ta
the great body of the people; and having given themselves up to indoleuce, were become altogether incapable of makng any vigorous exertion in defence even of their own establishment. The clergy of an establushed and well-endowed religion frequently become men of learning and elegance, who possess all the virtues of gentlemen, or which can recommend them to the esteem of gentlemen; but they are apt gradually to lose the qualities, both good and bad, which gave them authority and influence with the inferior ranks of people, and which had perhaps been the original causes of the success and establishment of their religion. Such a clergy, when attacked by a set of popular and bold, though perhaps stupid and ignorant enthusiasts, feel themselves as perfectly defencelass as the indolent, efferninate, and full-fed nations of the southern parts of Asia, when they were invaded by the active, hardy and hungry Tartars of the north. Such a clergy, upon such an emergency, have commonly no other resource than to call upon the civil magistrate to persecute, destroy, or drive out their adversaries, as disturbers of the public peace. It was thus that the Roman catholic clerfy called upon the civil magistrate to persecute the protestants, and the church of England to persecute the dissenters; and that in general every religious sect, when it has once enjoyed for a century or two the gecurity of a legal establishment, has found jitwelf incapable of making any vigorepla defence against any new sect which chose to attack. its doctrine or discipline. Upon such occasions, the adrantage in point of learning and good writing may sometimes be on the side of the established church. But the arts of popularity, all the arts of gaining proselytes, are constantly on the side of its adversaries. In' England, those arts have been long neglected by the well-endowed clergy of the established church, and are at present chiefly cultivated by the dissenters and by the methodists. The independent provisions, however, which in many places have been made for dissenting teachers, by means of voluntary subscriptions, of trust rights, and other evasions of the law, seem very much to have abated the zeal and activity of those teachers. They have, many of them, become rery learned, ingenious, and respectable men; byt they have in general ceased to be very popular preachers. The methodists, without half the learning of the dissenters, are much more in vogue.

In the church of Rome the industry and zeal of the inferior clergy are kept more alive f by the pomerful motive of self.intarict, than perhaps in any established protestant church. The parochial clergy derive, many of them, a very considerable part of their subsistence
from the voluntary oblations of the preople; a source of revenue which confession pixes them many opportumties of improving. The mendicant orders derive their whole subsestence from such oblations. It is with them as with the hussars and light minntry of some annies: no plunder, no pay. 'Itre parochial clergy are like those tuachers whose reward depends partly upon thes salary, and partly upon the fees or honuraries which they get from their pupils; and these must always depend more or leas upon their industry and reputation. The mende. cant orders are like those teachers whore subsistence depends altogether upon their industry. They are obliged, therefore, to use every art which can atumate the devotion of the common people. The establishinenit of the two great mendicant orders of St . Dominic and St. Francis, it is observed by Machiavel, revived, in the thirteenth and fourteenth centurics, the languishing faith and devotion of the catholic church. In Roman catholic countries the spirit of devotion is supported altogether by the monks and by the poorer parochual clergy. The great dignitaries of the church, with all the accomplishments of gentlemen and men of the world, and sornetimes with those of men of learning, are careful enough to mantann the necessary disciphine over their infuriors, but seldom give themselves any troulite about the instruction of the people.
"Most of the arts and profersions in a state," says by far the most illustrions pholosopher and historian of the present agr, " are of such a nature, that, whle they promote the interests of the socicty, they are also useful or agreeable to some individuals; and in that case, the constant rule of the magistrate, except, perhaps, on the first introduction of any art, is, to leave the profession to itself, and trust its encouragement to the individuals who reap the benefit of it. The artisans, finding their profits to rise by the favour of their customers, increase, as much as possible, their skill and industry; and as matters are not disturbed by any injudicious tampering, the commodity is alway" sure to be at all times nearly proportioned to the demand.
"But there are also some callings which, though useful and cven necessary in a state, bring no advantage or pleasure to any individual; and the supreme power is obliged to alter its conduct with regard to the retainers of those professions. It must give them public encouragernent in order to their subsistence; and it must provide against that negligence to whel they woll naturally be subject, either by annexing particular honours to the profession, by estabishing a long suburdination of ranks and a struct dependence, or by some other expedient. The

persons employud in the finances, flects, and mayintracy, are instances of thas order of men.
" It may naturally be thought, at first brint, that the ecclestastics belong to the tirst class, and that thenr encouragement, as well as that of lawyers and phystetans, may safely be entrusted to the liberality of menviduals, who are attached to their doctrines, and $u$ tro find benefit or consolataon from their spiritual ministry and assistance Their industry and vigilance will, no doubt, be whetted by such an additional motive; and their skill in the profession, as well as their address in governing the nunds of the people, must recelve daily increase, from therr increasing practice, study, and attention.
" But if we consider the matter more closely, we shall find that this interested dingence of the clergy is what every wise itgialator will study to prevent, because, in every religion except the true, it is highly penntious, and it has even a natural tendency to pit vert the truc, by infusing into it a strong mixture of superstition, folly, and delusion. Each ghostly practitioner, in order to render himself more precious and sacred in the eyes of his retainers, will inspire them with the most violent ahhorrence of all other sects, and contimally endeavour, by some novelty, to excite the languid devotion of his audsence No regard will be paid to truth, moiuls, or decency in the doctrines inculeated. Eieny tenet will be adopted that best suits the disorderly aftections of the human frame. C'ustomers will be drawn to each conventicle by new industry and address in practising on the passions and credulity of the populace; and, in the end, the civil magistrate will find that he has dearly pad for his intended frigedity in saving a fixed cstablishment for the prests; and that, in reality, the most decent and advantugcous composition which lie can make with the spuritual guides, is to bribe their indolence, by assigning stated salanies to their protession, and rendering it superfluous for them to be farther active, than merely to prevent their flock from straying in quest of new pastures. And in this manner eeclesiastical establishments, though commonly they arose at first from relocious views, prove in the end advantageous to the political interests of society."

Mut whatever may have been the good or Lad elfeets of the independent provision of the clergy, it has perhaps been very seldom beroned upes them from any view to those ellects. Times of violent religious controvery have generally been times of equally violent politieal faction. Upon such occashous, ekh political party has either found 11, or imagined it, for his interest, to league iscle with some one or other of the contending rehgious sects. Fut this could be done orly by adopting or at last by favouring,
the tenets of that particular sect. The sect which had the good fortune to be leagued with the conquering party, necessarily shared In the victory of its ally, by whose favour and protection it was soon enabled in some degree to slence and subdue all its adversaries. Those adversaries had generally leagued themselves with the enemics of the conquering party, and were therefore the enemies of that party. The clergy of this particular sect having thus become complete masters of the field, and their influence and authority with the great body of the people being in its highest vigour, they were powerful enough to overawe the chefs and leaders of their own party, and to oblige the cinl magistrate to respect their opintons and inclinations. Their first demand was generally, that he should silence and subdue all their adversaries; and their second, that he should bestow an independent provision on themselves. As they had generally contributed a good deal to the victory, it scemed not unreasonable that they should have some share in the spoil. They were weary, besides, of humouring the people, and of depending upon their caprice for a subsistence. In making this demand, therefore, they consulted their own ease and comfort, without troubling themselves about the effect which it might have, in future times, upon the influence and authority of their order. The civil magistrate, who could comply with thes demand only by giving them something which he would have chosen much rather to take, or to keep to himself, was seldom very forward to grant it. Necessity, however, always forced him to submit at last, though frequently not till after many delays, evasions, and affected excuses.

But if politics had nover called in the aid of religion, had the conquering party never adopted the tenets of one sect more than those of another, when it had gained the vietory, it would probably have dealt equally and impartially with all the different sects, and have allowed every man to choose lis ow's priest and his own religion as he thought proper. There would in this case, no doubt, bave been a great multitude of religious sects. Alinost every different congregation might probably have made a little sect by itself, or have entertaned some pecular tenets of its own. Each teacher would, no doubt, have felt himself under the necessity of making the utmost exertion, and of using every art, both to preserve and to increase the number of his disciples. But as every other teacher would have felt himself under the same necessity, the success of no one teacher, or sect of teachers, could have been very great. The interested and active zoal of religious tenchers can be dangerous and troublesone only where there is either but one sect tolerated in the socie?s, or altere wo $a$

whole of a large society is divided into two or three great sects; the teachers of each acting by concert, and under a regular duscipiine and subordination. But that zeal must be altogether innocent where the society is divided into two or three bundred, or, perhaps, into as many thousand small sects, of which no one could be considerable enough to disturb the public tranquillity. The teachers of each sect, seeing themselves surrounded on all sides with more adversaries than $f$ iends, would be obliged to learn that candour and moderation, which is so seldom to be found amons the teachers of those great seets, whose tenets, being supported by the civil magistrate, are held in veneration by almost all the inhabitants of extensive kingdoms and empires, and who therefure see nothing round them but followers, disciples, and humble admirers. The teachers of each little sect, finding themselves alnost alone, would be obliged to respect those of almost every other sect; and the concessions whuch they would mutually find it both convenient and agreedble to make one to another, might in time probably reduce the dactrine of the greater part of them to that pure and rational religion, free from every misture of absurdity, imposture, or fanaticism, such as wise men have, in all ages of the world, wished to see established; but such as positive law has, perhaps, never yet established, and probably never will establist in any country; because, with regard te' religion, positive law always has been, and probably always will be, more or less influenced by popular superstition and enthusiasm. This plan of ecclesiastical government, or more properly of no ecclesiastical government, was what the sect called Independents, a sect, no doubt, of very wild enthusiasts, proposed to establish in England towards the end of the civil war. If it had been established, though of a very unphilosophical origin, it would probably, by this time, have been productive of the most philosophical geod temper and moderation with regard to every sort of religious principle. It has been established in Pennsylvania, where, though the quakkrs happen to be the most numerous, the law in reality favours no one sect more than another; and it is there said to have been productive of this philosophical good temper and moderation

But though this equality of treatment should not be productive of this good temper and moderation in all, or even in the greater part of the religious sects of a particular country; yet, provided those sects were sufficiently numerous, and each of them consequently too small to disturb the public tranquillity, the excessive zeal of each for its particular tenets could not well be productive of any very hurtful effects, but, on the contrary, of several good ones * and if the
government was perfectly decided, both to let them all alone, and to ublage them all to let alone one another, there is litte danges that they would not, of their own actord, subdivide themselves fast enough, so as suon to become sufficiently numerous.

In every civilised society, in every sochety where the distinction of ranks has once bect completely established, there have been etiways two different sehemes or systens of morality current at the same time, of $\mathbf{w}$ hach the one may be called the strict or auntere, the other the bberal, or, if you wall, the louse system. The former is generally ad mired and revered by the common prophe, the latter is commonly more esteened and adopted by what are called people of Eution, The degree of disapprobation with which we ought to mark the vices of levity, the vicua which are apt to arise from great prosperity, and from the excess of gaiety and good bumour, seems to constitute the principal diw tunction between those two opposite schemen or systems. In the liberal or loose syentem, luxury, wanton and even disorderly mirth, the pursuit of pleasure to some degrce of intemperance, the breach of chastity, at least in one of the two sexec, \&e., provided they are not accompanied with gross indecency, and do not lead to falsemood or monustice, are ge. nerally treated with a good deal of molulgence, and are cassly either excused or pardoned altogether. In the austere system, on the eontrary, those excesses are regarded nith the utmost abhorrence and detestation. The vices of levity are always ruinous to the common people, and a single wech's thoughtlessness and dissipatiou is often suffictent to undo a poor workman for ever, and to drive him, through despair, upon committing the most enormous crimes. The wiser and better sort of the comnon people, thersfore, have always the utmost abhorrence and detestation of such excesses, which their experience tells them are so immediately fital to people of their condition. The disorder and extravagance of several years, on the contrary, will not always ruin a man of fashion; and people of that rank are very apt to consider the power of indulging in some degree of excess as one of the advantages of their fortune, and the liberty of doing so without censure of reproach as one of the privileges which belong to their station. In people of their own station, therefore, they regard such excesses with but a small degrce of disepprobation, and censure then either very slightly or not at all.

Almost all religious sects have bequo among the common people, from whom they have generally drawn their earlicst as wril as their most numerous proselytus. The austere system of morality has accurdningly been adopted by those sects almuxt constanty or with very few exceptions, for there have
been some. It was the system by which they could best recommend themselves to that order of people to whom they first proposed there plan of reformation upon what had been before established. Many of them, perhaps the greater part of them, have even endeavoured to gain credit by refining upon this austere system, and by carrying it to some degree of folly and extravagance; and this excessive rigour has frequently reconmended them more than any thing else to the respect and veneration of the common people.
A. man of rank and fortune is, by his staton, the distinguished member of a great society, who attend to every part of his conduct, and who thereby oblige ham to attend to every part of it himself. His authority and consideration depend very much upon the respect which this society bears to him. He dare not do any thing which would disgrace or discredit him in it; and he is obliged to a very strict observation of that spans of morals, whether liberal or austere, twhich the general consent of this society prescribes to persons of his rank and fortune. A man of low condition, on the contrary, is far from being a distinguished member of any great society. While he remains in a country village, his conduct may be attendtd to, and he may be obliged to attend to at himself. In this situation, and in this situnation only, he may have what is called a charater to lose. But as soon as he comes into a great city, he is sunk in obscurity and darkness. His conduct is observed and at-. tended to by nobody; and he is therefore very likely to neglect it himself, and to abandon himself to every sort of low profitgay and vice. He never emerges so effecttally from this obscurity, his conduct never excites so much the attention of any respectable society, as by his becoming the memDer of a small religious sect. Ila from that moment acquires a degree of consideration when he never had before. All his brother sectaries are, for the credit of the sect, intercited to observe his conduct; and, if he Ewes occasion to any scandal, if he deviates very much from those austere morals which they almost always require of one another, to punch him by what is always a very severe punishment, even where no evil effects attend it, expulsion or excommunication from the sect. In little religious sects, accoldugly, the morals of the common people have been almost always remarkably regular mind uderly; generally much more so than in the established church. The morals of those little sects indeed have frequently been rather disagreeably rigorous and unsocial.

There are two very easy and effectual remedhes, however, by whose joint operation the state might, without violence, correct
whatever was unsocial or disagreeably rigorous in the morals of all the little sects n to which the country was divided.

The first of those remedies is the study of science and philosophy, which the state might render almost universal among all people of middling or mure than middling rank and fortune; not by giving salaries to teachers in order to make them negligent and idle, but by instituting some sort of probaton, even in the higher and more difficult sciences, to be undergone by every person before he was permitted to exercise any laberat profession, or before he could be received as a candidate for any honourable office of trust or profit. If the state inposed upon this order of men the necessity of learning, it would have no occasion to give itself any trouble about providing them with proper teachers. They would soon find better teachers for themselves than any whom the state could provide for them. Science is the great antidote to the poison of enthusiam and superstition; and where all the superior ranks of people were secured from it, the inferior ranks could not be much exposed to $1 t$.

The second of those remedies is the froquincy and gaiety of public diversions. The state, by encouraging, that is, by giving entire liberty to all those who, for their own interest, would attempt, without scandal or indecency, to amuse and divert the people by painting, poetry, music, dancing, - by all sorts of dramatic representations and exhibitions, - would easily dissipate, in the greater part of them, that melancholy and gloomy humour which is almost always the nurse of popular superstition and enthusiasm. Public diversions have always been the objects of dread and hatred to all the fanatical promoters of those popular frenzies. The gaiety and good humour which those diversons inspire, were altogether inconsistent with that temper of mind which was fittest for their purpose or which they could best work upon. Dramatic representations, bestiles, frequently exposing their arthices to pisblec ridicule, and sometimes even to publis execration, were upon that account, more than all other diversions, the objects of their peculiar abhorrence.

In a country where the law favoured the teachers of no one religion more than these of another, it would not be necessary that any of them should have any particular or immediate dependency upon the sovereign or executive power; or that he should have any thing to do either in appointing or in dismissing them from their offices. In such a situation, he would have no occasion to gie himself any concern about them, further than to keep the peace among them, in the same manner as among the rest of his sub-
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jects; that is, to hinder them from persecuting, abusing, or oppressing one another. But it is quite otherwise in countries where there is an established or governing religion. The sovereign can in this case neverbe secure, unless be has the means of influencing in a considerable degree the greater part of the teachers of that religion.

The clergy of every established church constitute a great incorporation. They can act in concert, and pursue their interest upon one plan and with one spirit, as much as if they were under the direction of one man; and they are frequently too under such direction. Their interest as an incorporated body is never the same with that of the sovereign, and is sometimes directly opposite to it. Their great interest is to maintain their authority with the people; and this authority depends upon the supposed certainty and importance of the whole doctrine which they inculcate, and upon the supposed necessity of adopting every part of it with the most implicit faith, in order to avoid eternal misery. Should the sovereign have the imprudence to appear either to deride or doubt himself of the most trifling part of their doctrine, or from humanity attempt to protect those who did either the one or the other, the punctilious honour of a clergy who have no sort of dependency upon him, is immediately provoked to proscribe him as a profane person, and to employ all the terrors of re,igion in order to oblige the people to transfer their allegiance to some more orthodox and obedient prince. Should he oppose any of their pretensions or usurpations, the danger is equally great. The princes who have dared in this manner to rebel against the church, over and above this crime of rebellion bave generally been charged too with the additional crime of heresy, notwithstanding their solemn protestations of their faith and humble submission to every tenet which she thought proper to prescribe to them. But the authority of rehgion is superior to every other authority. The fears which it suggests conquer all other fears. When the authorised teachers of religion propagate through the great body of the people doctrines subversive of the authority of the sovereign, it is by violence only, or by the force of a standing army that he can maintain his authority. Even a standing army cannot in this case give him any lasting security; because if the soldiers are not foremgers, which can seldon be the case, but drawn from the great body of the people, which must almost always be the case, they are likely to be soon corrupted by those very doctrines. The revolutions which the turbulence of the Greek clergy was continually occasioning at Constantinople, as long as the eastern empire subsisted; the convulsions which, during the course of several centuries, the turbulence of the

Roman clergy was continually occasioning in every part of Europe, sufficiently demonstrate how precarious and insecure must always be the situation of the sonercign who has no proper means of influencing the clergy of the estabhashed and governing religion of his country.

Articles of fatth, as well as all other spiritual matters. st is evident enough, are not within the proper department of a temporal sovercign, who, though he may be very well qualified for protecting, as seldom supposed to be so for instructing the people. With regard to such matters, therefore, his authority can seldom be sufficent to counterbalance the unted authority of the clergy of the established church. The puble tranquillity, however, and his own security, may frequently depend upon the doctrines which they may think proper to propagate concerning such matters. As he can seldom directly oppose their decision, therefore, with proper weight and authornty, it is necessary that he should be able to influence it; and he can influence it only by the fears and expectations which he may excite in the greater part of the individuals of the order. Those fears and expectattons may consist in the fear of deprivation or other punishment, and in the expectation of further preferment.

In all Christian churches the benefices of the clergy are a sort of freeholds which they enjoy, not during pleasure, but during life or good behaviour. If they held them by a more precarious tenure, and were liable to be turned out upon every slight disulligation either of the sovercign or of his ministers, it would perhaps be impossible fur them to maintain their authority with the people, who would then consider them as mercenary dependents upon the court, in the sincerity of whose instructions they could no longer have any confidence. But should the sovereign attempt irregularly, and by violence, te deprive any number of clergymen of their freeholds, on account, perhaps, of their having propagated, with more than orduary zeal, some factious or seditious doctrine, he would only render by such persecution, both them and their doctrine ten times more popular, and, therefore, ten times more troublesome and dangerous than they had been before. Fear is in almost all cases a wretched instrument of government, and ought in particular never to be employed a;rainst any order of men who have the smallest pretensions to independency. To attempt to terrify them, serves only to irritate their bad humour, and to confirm them in an opposition whinh more gentle usage, perhaps, might easily induce them either to soften or to lay aside altogether. The violence whach the French government usually employed in order to ublige all therr parhaments, or tove-
rugn couts of justice, to enregister any unpophar edict, very neldom suceeded. The means commonly employed, however, the infirisomment of all the refiactory members, one would think were furcible enough The pherse of the house of Stewart sometumes employed the lhe means in order to influwhe sume of the members of the parliament of Cugland. and they gencrally found them equally mitractable. The parlament of Enghind is now managed in another manner; and a very small experiment, which the duke of Chosecul made about twelve years ago upon the parlament of Paris, demonstrated suffictently that all the partiaments of France unght have hen managed still more easily in the same manner. That experinent was not pursued. For though management and perstusuon are always the casiest and safest intiuments of government, as force and viohence are the worst and the most dangerous, yet such, it seems, is the natural insolence of man, that he almost always disdains to use the good mstrument, except, when he cannot or dure not use the bad one. The French government could and durst use fores, and therctore desdaned to use management and persussion. But there is no order of men, it appears, I believe, from the experience of all dres, upon whom it is so danRercus, or rather so perfectly ruinous, to emplay force and volence, as upon the respected clergy of an established church. The right, the privilege, the personal liberty of erery individuat ectlestastic, who is upon good terms with his own order, are, even in the most despotic governments, more respected than those of any other person of nearly equal rank and fortune. It is so in cuery griulation of desputiom, from that of the gentle and muld govermment of Parss to that of the volent and furious government of Constantinople. Rut though this order of men can searce ever be forced, they may be managed as casily as any other ; and the security of the soveregn, as well as the public trunquility, seems to depend very much upon the means wheh he has of managing them; and thove means seem to consist altogether in the preferment which be has to bestow upon them.

In the ancient constitution of the Clirstian chureh, the bishop of each diocese was elerted by the joint vutes of the clergy and of the poople of the episcopal city. The prople did not long retann their right of eliction; and white they did retain at, they al nont always acted under the influence of the clergy, who, in such speritual matters, appeared to be their natural gudes. The clergy, huw ever, soon grew weary of the trouble of managing them, and found it cishur to elect their own bishops themselves. The ubot, in the same manner, was elected by the moiks of the moncsterg, at le ist in
the greater part of abbacies. All the infernor eceles:astical benctices comprehended withn the dhocese were collated by the Lishop, who bestowed them upon such ecclewastics as he thought proper. All church preferments were in this masner in the disposal of the church. The soveretgn, though he might have some indirect influence in those elections, and though it was sometimes usual to ask both his consent to elect, and his approbation of the election, yct haid no direct or cufficient means of managing the clergy. The ambition of every elergyman naturally led him to pay court, not so much to his sovereign, as to his own ordel, from which only he could expect preferment.

Through the greater part of Europe the pope gradually drew to himself first the collation of almost all bishoprics and abbacies, or of what were called consistorial benefices, and afterwards, by various machinations and pretences, of the greater part of inferior benefices comprchended wathn each diocese; little more being left to the bishop than what was barely necessary to give him a decent authority with his own clergy. By this arrangement the condition of the sovereign was stlll worse than it had been before. The clergy of all the different conntrics of Europe were thus formed into a sort of spiritual army, dispersed in different quarters indeed, but of which all the movements and operations could now be direated by one head, and conducted upon one umform plan. The clergy of each particular country might be considered as a particular detachment of that army, of which the operations could casily be supported and seconded by all the other detachments quartered in the different countries round about. Each detachment was not only independent of the sovereign of the country in which it was quartered, and by which it was maintained, but dependent upon a foreign sovereign, who could at any time turn its arms against the sovereign of that particular country, and support them by the arms of all the other detachments.

Those arms were the most formidable that can well be imagined. In the ancient state of Europe, before the establishment of arts and manufactures, the wealth of the clergy gave them the same sort of influence over the common people which that of the great barous gave them over their respectuse vassals, temants, and retaners. In the great landed estates, which the mistaken plety both of princes and pravate persons had bestowed upon the church, Jurisdictions were establssed, of the same kind with those of the great barons; and for the same reacon. In those great landed estates, the clorg3, or their bailitts, could easily heep the peace without the support or assustance either of the king or of anj other person; and neither
the king nor any other person could keep the peace there without the support and ussistance of the clergy. The jurisdictions of the clergy, therefore, in their particular baronies or manors, were equally independent, and equally exclusive of the authonty of the king's courts, as those of the great temporal lords. The tenants of the clergy were, like those of the great barons, almust all tenants at will, entirely dependent upon ther rmmediate lords, and therefore liable to be called out at pleasure, in order to fight in any quarrel in which the clergy might thank proper to engage them. Over and ،bove the rents of those estates, the clergy possessed, in the tithes, a very large portion of the rents of ald the other estates in every hingdom of Eurofe. The revenues arising from both those species of rents were, the greater part of them, paid in kind, in corn, whe, cattle, poultry, \&c. The quantity exceeded greatly what the clergy could themselves consump; and there were neither arts nor manufactures for the produce of Which they could exchange the surplus. The clergy could derive advantage from this immense surplus in no other way than by employing it, as the great barons employed the like surplus of thenr revenues, in the most profuse hospitality, and in the most extensive charity. Both the hospitality and the charity of the ancient clergy, accordingly, are said to have been very great They not only maintained almost the whole poor of every kingdom, but many knights and gentlemen had frequently no other means of subsistence than by travelling about from monastery to monastery, under pretence of devotion, but in reality to enjoy the hospitality of the clergy. The retainers of some oparticular prelates were often as numerous as those of the greatest lay-lords; and the retainers of all the clergy taken together were perhaps more numerous than those of all the lay-lords. There was always much more union among the clergy than among the lay-lords. The former were under a regular discipline and subordination to the papal authority. The latter were under no regular discipline or subordination, but almost always equally jealous of one another, and of the king. Though the tenants and retainers of the clergy, therefure, had both together been less numerous than those of the great lay-lords, and their tenants were probably much less numerous, et their union would have rendened them more formidable. The hospitality and charity of the clergy, ton, not only gave them the command of a great temporal furce, but increased very much the weight of their spiritual weapons. Those virtues prucured them the highest respect and veneratiou among all the inferior ranks of people, of whom many were constuntly, and ahnont all uecesiunalif, fed
by them Every thing belonging or solated to so popular an order, its possessions its privdeges, its dictrines, necessarily appeared sacred in the eyss of the comman people, and cery violation of them, whether real or pretended, the highest act of cicrilegious wickedness and profaneness. In thiw state of things, if the soverengn frequevty found it ditficult to resist the confederacy if a few of the great nobihty, we cannot wonder that he should find it still more so to resth the united force of the clergy of his own dominions, supported by that of the cleriny of all the neighbouring dominions. In sueh circumstances the wonder is, not that he was sometimes obliged to gield, but that be ever was able to resist.

The privileges of the clergy in thoseancient times, (which to us who live in the present times appear the most absurd, their total exemption from the secular jurwdiction, for example, or what in England was called the benefit of clergy, were the natural or rather the necessary consequences of this state of things. How dangerous must it have been for the sovereign to attempt to punish a elergyman for any crime whaterer, if his own order were disposed $t \omega$ protect him, and to represent either the proof as 11 sufficient for convicting so boly a man, or the punishment as too severe to be inficted upou one whose person had been rendered sacred by religion! The sovereign could, in such circumstances, do no better than liave him to be tried by the ecelesiastical court, who, for the bonour of therr own order, were interested to restrain, as much as possible, every member of it from committing enor. mous crimes, or even from giving occasson to such gross scandal as might dingust the minds of the people.

In the state in which things were through the greater part of Europe during the tenth, elerenth, twelth, and thirteenth centurice and for some time both before and after that period, the constitution of the church of Rome may be considered as the anost furmidable combination that ever was formed against the authority and security of cisil government, as well as against the horrty, reason and happiness of mankiad, wheh can flourish only where civil government is alhe to protect them. In that constitution the grossest delusions of superstition were supported in such a manner by the private interests of so great a number of people as put them out of all danger from any asault of human reason; because though human reason might perhaps bave been able to unvel, even to the eyes of the common people. some of the delusions of superatition, it could never have dissolved the tues of prisate interint. Had this constitution been attached by no other enemies but the fuble efforts of humat? reacon, it must have cmidured fur cier. Liut
that immense and well-built fabric, which all the a istom and virtie of man could never bave shaken, much less have overturned, was by the natural course of thinge, tirst weahened, and afterwards in part destroyed, and is sow hisely, in the course of a few centuries more, perhaps, to crumble into rums altogether.

The gradual mprovements of arts, manufacturr, and commerce, the same causes whech destruyed the power of the great baroms, destroyed in the same manner, through the greater jart of Europe, the whole tem poral power of the cleigy. In the produce of arts, manufuctures, and commerce, the clargy, like the great barons, found somethang for which they could exchange their rude produce, and thereby discovered the means of spending their whole revenues upon their own persons, without giving any consuduable share of them to other people. 'Wher charity became gradually less extensive, ther hospitaity less liberal or leas protuse. Their retaners became cousequently lens numerous, and by degrees dwandled away altogether. The clergy too, like the fieat barous, wished to get a better rent from thes landed estates, in order to spend it, in the same manner, upon the gratification of therr own private vanity and folly. But this merease of rent could be got only by granting leases to theor tenants, who thercby bes.me in a great measure independent of them. The thes of mterest, which bound the mfinor manks of the people to the clergy, nere in thas manner gradually brohen and dinolved. They were even broken and dissolved sooner than those which bound the cuno rimks of people to the great barons; butause the benetices of the church being, the greatel part of them, much smaller than the estates of the great barons, the possessor of earb bentice was much sooner able to sineld the whole of ats revenue upon his own prosul. Duing the greater part of the fourterenth and fiftenth centuries the power of the great barons was, through the greater pait of Europe, in full vigour. But the temporal power of the elergy, the absolute command which they had once had over the great thody of the people, was very much decated. The power of the church was by that tme ve, y nearly reduced, through the greater purt of Europe, to what arose from lier spantual authonty; and even that spiattual authority wis much weakened when it ceated to be supported by the charity and houmtality of the ehergy. The mfermor ranks of the prople no longer looked upon that onder, as they had done letore, as the comfurters of then distres, and the rehevers of there mbicuse $U_{n}$ the contrary, they were prow oked and dowinted by the vanity, luxury, and whatise of the ricker clergy, who apintad to qued upon their own pleasures
what had always before been regarded as the patrimony of the poor.

In this stuation of things, the sovereigns in the different states of Europe endeavoured to recover the influence which they had once had in the disposal of the great benefices of the church, by procuring to the deans and chapters of each diocese the restoration of theur ancient right of electing the bishop, and to the monks of each abbacy that of electing the abbot. The re-establishing of this anctent order was the obycet of several statutes enacted in England during the course of the fourteenth century, particularly of what is called the statute of provisors; and of the pragmatic sanction established in France in the fifteenth century. In order to render the election valud, it was necessary that the sovereign should both consent to it beforchand, and afterwards approve of the person elected; and though the election was stull supposed to be free, he had, however, all the indirect means which lus situation necessarily afforded him, of influencing the clergy in his own dommons. Other regulations of a simular tendency were established in other parts of Europe. But the power of the pope, in the collation of the great benefices of the church, seems, before the reformation, to have been no where so effectually and so universally restrained as in France and England. The concordat afterwards, in the sixteenth century, gave to the kings of France the absolute right of presenting to all the great, or what are called the consisto ral benefices of the Gallecan church.

Since the establishment of the pragmatic sanction and of the concordat, the clergy of France have in general shown less re-pect to the decrees of the papol court than the clergy of any other catholic country. In all the dasputes whach their soverign has had with the pope, they have almost constantly taken part with the former. This independency of the clergy of France upon the court of Rome seems to be principally founded upon the pragmatic sanction and the concordat. In the earler periods of the monarchy, the clergy of France appear to have been as much devoted to the pope as those of any other country. When Robert, the second promec of the Capetian race, was most uijustly excommoncated by the court of IRome, his own servants, it is said, thres the wituals wheh came fiom his table to the duge, and refused to tuste any thang thenselves which had been polluted by the contact of a person in bis situation. They were taught to do so, it may very safely be presumed, by the clergy of his own dozmmons.

The elaim of collating to the great benefices of the church, a clam in defence of which the court of liome had frequently shishen, and somethmes overturned tho
thromes of some of the greatest sovereigns in Christendorn, was in this manner either restrained or modified, or given up alugether, in many different parts of Europe, even before the time of the reformation. As the elergy had now less influence over the people, so the state had more influence over the clergy. The clergy, therefore, had both less power and less inclination to disturb the state.

The authority of the church of Rome was in this state of declension, when the disputes which gave birth to the reformation began in Germany, and soon spread themselves througit every part of Europe. The new ductrnes were everywhere received with a high degree of popular favour. They were propagated with ail that enthusiastic zeal which commonly animates the spirit of party, when it attacks established authority. The teachers of those doctrines, though perhaps in other respects not more learned than many of the divines who defended the established church, seem in general to have been better acquainted with ecclesiastical history, and with the origin and progress of that system of opinions upon which the authority of the church was established; and they had thereby the advantage in almost every dispute. The austersty of their manners gave them authority with the common people, who contrasted the strict regularity of their conduct with the disorderly lives of the greater part of their own clergy. They possessed, too, in a much higher degree than their adversaries, all the arts of popularity and of gaining proselytes; arts which the lofty and dignified sons of the church had long neglected, as being to them in a great measure uscless. The reason of the new doctrines recommended them to some, their novelty to many; the hatred and contempt of the established clergy to a still greater number; but the zealous, passionate, and fanatical, though frequently coarse and rustic eloquence with which they were almost everywhere inculcated, recommended them to by far the greatest number.

The success of the new ducirines was al must everywhere so great, that the princes who at that time happened to be on bad terms with the court of Rome, were by means of them easily enabled, in their own dominions, to overturn the church, wheh, having lost the respect and veneration of the inferior ranks of people, could make scarce any resistance. The court of Rome had disobliged some of the smaller princes in the northern parts of Germany, whom it bad probably considered as too insignificant to be worth the managing. They universally therefore, estabished the reformation in their own dominions. The tyranny of Christiern 11. and of Troll, archbishop of Upsal, enabled Gustavua Vasa to expel thern
both from Sweden. The pope favsured the tyrant and the archbishop, and Gust wrut Vass found no difficulty in establishing the reformation in Sweden. Chrivtern II. was afterwards deposed from the throne of Denmark, where his conduct had rendired hum as odious as in Sweden. The popre, how. ever, was still disposed to farour hom; and Frederick of Holstein, who had mounted the throne in his stead, revenged himsolf by fullowing the example of Gustavus Vish The magistrates of Berne and Zunh, who had no particular quarrel with the pope, established with great case the ruformation in their respective cantons, where Just bufure some of the clergy had, by an imponture somewhat grosser than ordinary, rendered the whole order both odious and contemptible

In this critical situation of its affairs, the papal court was at sufficient pains to cuitivate the friendship of the powerful suvereigns of France and Spain, of whom the latter was at that time emperor of Germany. With their assistance, it was enabled, though not without great difficulty and much blondshed, either to suppress altogether or to obstruct very much the progress of the reformation in their dominions. It was well enough inclined, too, to be complaisant to the hing of England ; but, from the circumstances of the times, it could not be so withoat giving offence to a still greater sovereign, Charles V. king of Spain and emperor of Germany. Heary VIII. accordingly, though be did not embrace himself the greater part of the doctrines of the reformation, was get enablet, by their general, prevalence, to suppress all the monasteries, and to abolsh the authority of the church of Rome in his domisions. That he should go so far, though he went no further, gave some satisfaction to the patrons of the reformation, who, having got possersion of the government in the reign of his son and successor, completed, without any difficulty, the work which IIenry VIII. had begun.

In some countries, as in Scotland, where the government was weak, unpopular, and not very firmiy established, the reformation was strong enough to overturn, not only the church, but the state likewise for attempting to support the church.

Among the followers of the reformation, dispersed in all the different countries of Europe, there was no general tribunal, which, like that of the court of Rome, or an cecumenical council, could settle all disputes among them, and with arresistuble authonty prescribe to all of them the precise himits of orthodony. When the followers of the reformation in one country, therefore, happened to differ from their brethren in another as they had no common judge to apped to, the dispute could never be decided; and
miny such disputes arose among them. I hase concerming the gavernment of the chureh, and the right of confirring eeclesiarsh al benefies, ware porthps the most intreotug to the peace and welfare of cival wnity. They gave burth, accordingly, to the two prinepal partics or secta among the followers of the reformation, the Lutheran and Calvimstic sects, the only sects among the in of which the doctrime and disciphine hane ever jet been established by law in any part of Europe.

The followers of Luther, together with what is called the church of L.ngland, preserved more or less of the episcopal government, establubted subordination among the chergy, gave the sovereign the disposal of all the Lishopricks, and other consistorial benehees within his dominons, and the reby rendired hum the real head of the chureb, and without depriving the buhop of the right of collating to the smaller benefices withon his duccse, they, eren to thase benefices, not only admitted but favoured the right of presentation both in the sovereign and in all other lay-patrons This system of clurch grovernuent was, from the begroning, twour ble to peace and good order, and to submisston to the civil sovereign. It bas never, accurdingly, been the oceasion of any tumult or cwil commotion on any country in whin it has once been establisheds The cburch of Lugland, in particular, has always whed hersulf, with great reason, upon the uncyceptunable loyalty of her principles. Under surh a government the clergy naturally endeavour to recommend themselves to the soverengn, to the court, and to the nobiLity and gentry of the country, by whose intluence they chefly expect to obtam prefi rment. They pay court to those patrons, sometimes, no doubt, by the vilest flattery und asucutation, but frequently too by cultisutug all those srts which best deserve, and whech are thert fure most hikely to gain them the enterm of people of rank and fortune; by therr hnowledge in all the different bisulhes of useful and ornamental learning, by the decent hiberality of their manners, by the social good humour of ther conversation, and by therr avowed contempt of those absurd and hipocritical austerities which ta. natices meuleate and pretend to practise, in order to draw upon themselves the veneration and upon the greater part of men of rank and fortune, who asow that they do not practise them, the abhorreuce of the common people. Such a clergy, however, while they pay their court in this manner to the higher ranhs of hfe, are very apt to negicet altorcther the means of maintainng their intuence and authority Fith the lower. Thev are listened to, esteemed and respeeted ly thear superiors, but before the mermors whe are froqueaty incapable of defending,
effeetually and to the conviction of such learers, therr own sober and moderate doctrines aganst the most i ignorant enthusiust who chuwes to attack them.

The followers of Zuinglus, or more properly those of Calvin, on the contrary, bestowed upon the people of each parish, wheneser the church became vacant, the right of electing their own pastor; and estublished at the same tume the nost porfect equality among the clergy. The former part of this institution, as long as it remained in wigour, seems to have been productive of nothing but disorder and confusion, and to have tended equally to corrupt the morals both of the dergy and of the people. The latter part seems never to have had any effects but what were perfectly agreeable.

As long as the people of each parish preserved the right of electing their own pastors, they acted almost always under the influence of the clergy, and generally of the most factious and tanstical of the order. The clergy, in order to preserve therr influence in those popular elections, iecame, or affected to become, many of them, fanantes themselves, encouraged fanaticism among the people, and gave the preference almost always to the most fanatical candidate. So small a matter as the appointment of a parish priest occasioned almost always a violent contest, not only an one parsh, but in all the neighbourng parishes, who seldom falled to take fart in the quarrel. When the parish happened to be situated in a great city, it divided all the inhabitants into two parties; and when that city happened ether to constutute itself a little repubhe, or to be the head and capital of a little republic, as is the case with many of the considerable cities in Switzeriand and Holland, every paltry dispute of this hind, over and above exasperating the ammosity of all thear other factions, threatened to leave behand it both a new schism in the church, and a new fuction in the state. In those small repullics, therefore, the magistrate very soon found it necessary, for the sake of preserving the public peace, to assume to humself the nght of presenting to all vacant benefices. In Scotland, the most extensive country in which this preshy terian form of church government has ever been established, the nghts of patronage were in effect abolished by the act whinh establushed presbytery in the beginning of the reign of Whiliam III. That act at least put it tu the power of certain classts of people in each parish to purchase, for a very small price, the nght of electing their own pastor The constitution which thes att extablished was allowed to subsist for about two and twenty years, but was abohshed by the $10 \% 1$ of Queen Anne, c. 12, on account of the confusions and disurders wheh this most pipular mode of alection had almost erery
where occassoned. In so extensive a country as Scotland, however, a tumuit in a remote parish was not so likely to give disturbance to government as in a smaller state The 10th of Queen Anne restored the rights of patronage. But though in Scotland the law gives the benefce without any exception to the person presented by the patron, yet the church requires sometimes (for she has not in this respect been very unform in her decisions) a certain concurrence of the people, lefore she will confer upon the presentee what is called the cure of souls, or the ecelesiastical jurisdiction in the parish. She sometimes at least, from an affected concern for the peace of the parish, delays the settlement till this concurrence can be procured. The private tampering of some of the neighbouring clergy, sometimes to procure, but more frequently to prevent this concurrence, and the popular arts which they cultivate in order to enable them upon such occasions to tamper more effectually, are perhaps the causes which principally keep up whatever remains of the old fanatical spirit, either in the clergy or in the people of Scotland.

The equality which the presbyterian form of church government establishes among the clergy consists, first, in the equality of authority or ecclesiastical jurisdiction; and, secondly, in the equality of benefice. In all presbyterian churches the equality of authority is perfect: that of benefice is not so. The difference, however, between one benefice and another is seldom so considerable as commonly to tempt the possessor even of the small one to pay court to his patron, by the vile arts of tlattery and assentation, in order to get a better. In all the presbyterian churches, where the rights of patronage are thoroughly established, it is by nobler and better arts that the established clergy in general endeavour to gain the favour of their superiors; by their learning, by the irreproachable regularity of their life, and by the fathful and diligent discharge of their duty. Their patrons even frequently complain of the independency of their spirit, which they are apt to construe into ingratitude for past favours, but which at worst, perhaps, is seldom any more than that indifference which naturally arises from the consciousness that no further favours of the kind are ever to be expected. There is scarce perhaps to be found anywhere in Europe a more learned, decent, independent, and respectable set of men than the greater part of the presbyterian clergy of Holland, Geneva, Switzerland, and Scotland.

Where the church benefices are all nearly equal, none of them can be very great; and this mediocrity of bencfice, though it may no doubt be carried too far, has, however, some very agrecable effects. Nothing but the most exemplary morals can give dugnity to
a man of small fortunc, The vices of levity and vanity necessarily render hem rubluhtos. and are besides almost as ruinous to him as they are to the cominon people. In his own conduct, thersfore, he is obliged to follow that system of morals which the common people respect the most. He gains their esteem and affection by that phan of life which his own interest and situation would lead him to follow. The comenon people look upon him with that kindness with which we naturally regard one who approaches somewhat to our own condation, but who, we think, ought to be in a higher. Their kindness naturally provokes his kindncss. Ile becomes careful to instruct them, and attentive to assist and relieve them. Ile does not even despise the projudices of people who are disposed to be so favourable to him, and never treats them with those conteqmptuous and arrogant airs whith we so often meet with in the proud dignitaries of opulent and well-endowed churches. The presbyterian clergy, accordingly, have more influence over the minds of the common people than perhaps the clergy of any other established church. It is accordingly in presbyterian countries only that we ever find the common people converted, without persecution, completely, and almost to a man, to the established church.

In eountries where church benefices are the greater part of them very thoderate, a chair in a university is generally a better establishment than a church benefice. The universities have, in this case, the picking and choosing of their members from all the churchmen of the country, who in every country constitute by far the most numerous class of men of letters. Where church benefices, on the contrary, are many of thent very considerable, the church naturally draws from the universities the greater part of their eminent men of letters; who generally find some patron who does himself honour by procuring them church preferment. In the former situation we are likely to find the universities filled with the most eminent men of letters that are to be found in the country. In the latter we are likely to find few eminent men among them, and those few among the youngest members of the society, who are likely too to be drained away from it, before they can bave acquired experience and knowledge enough to be of much use to it. It is observed by M. de Voltare, that father Porée, a jesuit of no great eminence in the republic of letters, was the ouly professor they had ever had in France whose works were worth the reading. In a country which has produced so many eminent men of letters, it must appear somewhat singular, that scarce one of them should have teen a professor in a university. The famous (inssendi was, in the begiunng of hus life.
profersor in the university of Aix. Upon whe bre darning of has genils, it was reprocentat to him, that by gong suto the church lir could casly find a much more quiet and confirtidite suldsstence, as well as a better situratin for pursuiag has studies; and he mand hately folloned the adive. The obare then of M. de Voltare may be apphed, I whwe, not only to l'rane, but to all other Homath cathole countries. We very rarely find many of than an eminent man of letters who in a prot sou in a umversty, except, perhaps, it the protesnons of law and physic; profes,ins thom whath the chureb is not so likely to diaw them After the church of Rome, thit of Lingland is ly far the richest and inat endowad church in Cliritendon. In Yughand, actordugly, the eliurch is continually drationg the universties of all their lest and ablest members; and an old college thtor, who is hnown and distinguished in Jurope as an emunent man of letters, is as rirely to be found there as in any Roman cathohe country. In Geneva, on the contirs, in the protesfant cantons of SwitzerI mid, in the proti stant countries of Germany, in Ilulland, in Scotland, in Sweden, and Denmath, the most emiuent men of letters whom thene countries hase produced, have, not all moded, but the far greater part of them, been profissors in unversities. In those eomontues the universities are continually draming the church of all its most eminent men of letters.

It may perhaps be worth while to remark, that of we except the poets, a few orators, and a few historians, the far greater part of the other emment men of letters, both of Grecee and Rome, appear to have been dither public or private teachers; generally vither of philoxopby or of rhetoric. This remarh will be found to bold true from the d.ens of Iysias and Isocrates, of Plato and Iristothe, down to those of Plutareh and Epictetus, of Suetonias and Quintalan. To mpore upon any man the necessty of teaching. year after year, in any particular branch of wience, seems in reality to be the most etfectual method for 'rendering him comphituly master of it himself. By beng obliged to go every year over the same gruund, of the is good for any thing, he necessarily becomes, in a few ycars, well acquanted with every part of it: and of, upon any particular pont he should form too hasty an opinion one year, when he comes in the course of his lectures to reconsider the sume subject the year thereafter, he is very likely tio correct it. As to be a teacher of science, is crtainly the natural employment of a nere man of letters, so is it likewise, perhanis, the education ohich is most hikely to render him a man of solid learning and hnuwledge. The mediovity of church benetices asturally tends to draw the greatcr part
of men of lattere, in the country where it takes plice, to the emplosment in which they ean be the most uevtul to the puble, and at the same tume to give then the thet education, perhaps, they are capable of rccelving. It tends to render their learung both as solid as possible, and as uscful as pucsible

The revenue of every cstabishid charch wuch parts of it excepted as may arise from particular lands or manors, is a branch, it ought to be observed, of the general revenue of the state, which is thus diserted to a purpose very different from the defince of the state. The tithe, for example, is a real landtax, which puts it out of the power of the proprutors of land to contribute so largely towards the defence of the state as they otherw ise might be able to do. The rent of land however is, according to some, the sole fund, and according to others, the princlual fund. fiom nluch, in all great monarchies, the exigences of the state must be ultmately supphed. The more of this fund that as given to the church, the less it is evident, can be spared to the state It may be latd donn as a certain maxim, that, all other things beng supposed equal, the nicher the church, the poorer must necessarily be, either the sonereign on the one hand, or the people on the other; and, in all cases, the less able must the state be to defend itsclf. In several protest. ant countres, particularly in all the protestant castons of Switzerland, the revenue which anciently belonged to the Roman catholic church, the tthes and church lands, has been found a fund sufficient not only to affurd competent salarics to the established clergy, but to defruy with hittle or no addution all the other expenses of the state. The magistrates of the powerful canton of Berne, in particular, bave accumulated out of the savings from this fund a very laige sum, supposed to amount to several molhons; part of which is deposited in a public treasure, and part is placed at interest in what are called the public funds of the different indubted natwons of Europe; chiefly in those of France and Great Britain. What may be the amount of the whole expense which the church either of Berne or of any oth tr protestant canton custs the state, I do not pretend to know. By a very exact account it appears, that, in 1755 , the whole revenue of the clergy of the church of Scotland, jneluding their glebe or church lands, and the rent of their manses or dwelling-houses, estimated according to a reasonable valuation, amounted only to 68,514l. 1s. $5 \frac{1}{12}$ d. This very moderate revenue afords a decent subsistence to nuse hundred and forty-four ministers. The nhole expense of the churth, including o hat is occasionally lad out for the building and reparation of churehes; and of the mansts of ministers, cannot well be suppused to exceed eiglty or eighty five thou-
sand pounds a year. The most opulent church in Christendom does not maintan better the uniformity of faith, the fervour of devotion, the spirit of order, regularity, and sustere morals in the great body of the people, than this very poorly endowed church of Scotland. All the good effects, both civil and religious; which an established church can be supposed to produce, are produced by it as completely as by any other. ${ }^{1}$ The greater part of the protestant churches of Switzerland, which in general are not better endowed than the church of Scotland, produce those effects in a still higher degree. In the greater part of the protestant cantons, there is not a single person to be found who does not profess himself to be of the established church. If he professes himself to be of any other indeed, the law obliges him to leave the canton But so severe, or rather indeed so oppressive a law, could never have been executed in such free countries, had not the diligence of the clergy before-hand converted to the established church the whole body of the people, with the exception of perhaps a few individuals only. In some parts of Switzerland, accordingly, where, from the accidental union of a protestant and Roman catholic country, the conversion has not been so complete, both religions are not only tolerated but established by law.

The proper performance of every scrvice seems to require that its pay or recompense should be, as exactly as possible, proportioned to the nature of the service. If ray service is very much underpaid, it is very apt to suffer by the meanness and incapacity of the greater part of those who are employed in it. If it is very much overpaid, it is apt to suffer perhaps still more by their negligence and idleness. A man of a large revenue, whatever may be his profession, tbinks he ought to live like other men of large revenueq, and to spend a great part of his time in festivity, in vanity, and in dissipation. But in a clergyman this train of life not only consumes the time which ought to be employed in the duties of his function, but in the eyes of the common people destroys almost entirely that sanctity of character which can alone enable him to perform those duties with preper weight and authority.

## Part IV.

## Of the Expense of supporting the Dignity of the Sovereagn.

Orfr and above the expenses necessary for enabling the sovereign to perform his several

[^105]duties, a certain expense is requiste fur the support of his dignity. This expene varies, both with the diderent periods of improve ment, and with the different forms of government.

In an opulent and improved nociet $y$, where all the different orders of poople are growing every day more expensive in their house, in their furniture, in their tables, in their dress, and in their equipage; it cannot well be expected that the sovercign should alone hold out against the fashion. He naturally, therefore, or rather necessanly becomeq more expensive in all those different articles too. $H_{1 s}$ dignity even $\begin{aligned} & \text { eems to require that be }\end{aligned}$ should become so.

As in point of dignity a monarch is more raised above bis subjects than the chief magistrate of any republic is ever supposed to be above his fellow-citizens, so a greater ex. pense is necessary for supporting that higher dignity. We naturally expect inore splendour in the court of a king, than in ths mansion-house of a doge or burgomaster.

## CONCEESION.

The expense of defending the socicty, and that of supporting the dignity of the chuef magistrate, are both laid out for the general benefit of the whole society. It is reasonable, therefore, that they should be defrayed by the general contribution of the whole society; all the different members contributing, as nearly as possible, in proportion to their respective abilities.

The expense of the administration of justice too may, no doubt, be considered as laid out for the benefit of the whole society. There is no impropricty, therefore, in its being defrayed by the general contribution of the whole society. The persons, however, who give occasion to this expense, are thove who, by their injustice in one way or another, make it necessary to seck redress or protection from the courts of justice. The persons again most immediately benefited by this expense, are those whom the courta of justice either restore to their rights, or maintain in their rights. The expense of the administration of justice, therefore, may very properly be defrayed by the particular contribution of one or other, or hoth of those two different sets of persons, accordung as different occasions may require, that is, by the fees of court. It cannot be necestary to have recourse to the general contribution of the whole society, except fur the cumiction of those criminals who have not themselves
glebeg and houses, were raised to that gim. But there can hardly be a doubt that auch an income ve tradequate to tupport a clpigyman in his proper star tion; and it secrus to be the graeral opmion that the mmimum money stipend ought to be raiced to 2504 . or 30 N . a year.

## iverite of fund sufficient fur paying those

 he..[7me loud or provincial expenses of a hich in in motit i, lual or prometal (what is land out. tor exaluple, upon the police of a par(i. nil ir toum of district) ought to be defrayed Pi) a kial or provencal revenue, and ought t i, nothurden upon the gencral revenue of i'd wosicty. It is unjust that the whole soa'y forid contribute towards an expense of whith the bemfit is confined to a part of

Tbe expense of maintaining good roads and commumications as, no doubt, beneficial to the whole society, and may therfore, without any muntice, be defrased by the सumeral contrabution of the whole society. I hus expence, however, is most immedutely and directly beneficed to thase who travel or cirry croods from one place to another, rimd tio thowe who consume such grods. The turuphe tolls in Eingland, and the duties callud peages in other countries, lay it altofather upon those two different sets of people, atal thereby discharge the general revenue of the society from a very considerable burdon.

The erpense of the institutions for education and religous ustruction is likerise, no doubt. benctictal to the whole society, and may sherefore, without mosustice, be ditraved by the general contribution of the ahde rxiety. This expense, however, marht prorhas, with equal propriety, and cren with sone advantage, be defray ed altogither by those who ruceive the immediate inatit of such education and instruction, or In the voluntary contribution of those who thinh the bave occasion for eather the one or the other.

When the iustitutions or public works which are benc ficial to the whole society wher camot be maintaned altogether, or are not montaned altogether, by the contribution of such particular members of the son iety as are noost umedrately benefited by them, the deficiency must, in most cases, be mute up by the general contribution of the n bole society. The general revenue of the $v_{x}$ ectr, over and above defraving the expense of ditencing the soclety, and of supporting the dignity of the chief magistrate, must inshe up for the detaciency of many particuItr branches of revenue. The sourees of this fintral or public revenue, I shall endeavour to eryhun in the following chapter.

1 Sie Memotres concernant leg Drouts et Impositran, ent turone tome i. p 3 This work was comm flide to the oritir of he congt lor the use of a

 of France I he arcoum of the l rench taxcs, which hatis up three vuluints in quarto, niay be regariad

## CIIAP II. <br> Of the Sintrces of the genema or puolic Revenuc of the Soctety.

The revenue which must defray, not onlv the expense of defending the society and of supporting the dignity of the chef magistrate, but all the other necessary erpenses of government, for which the constrtution of the state has not provided any particular revenue, may be drawn either, fint, from some fund which pecuharly belongs to the sovereign or commonwealth, and wheh is independent of the revenue of the people. or, secondly, from the revenue of the people.

## Pabt I.

Of the Funds or Sources of Revenue uhat $h$ may pecularly bulong to the Soreretgn or Commoneeald.
The funds or sources of revenue which may peculiarly belong to the soverengn or commonwealtb, must consist either in stock or in land.

The sovereign, like any other owner of stock, may denve a revenue from it, ether by employing it himself, or by lending it. His revenue is in the one case profit, in the other interest.

The revenue of a Tartar or Arabian chief consists in profit. It arises principally from the milk rnd increase of his own herds and flochs, of which he himsclf superintends the management, and is the principal shepberd or herdsman of his own horde or tribe. It is, however, in this earliest and rudest state of civil government only that profit has ever made the primespal part of the public revenue of a monarchical state.

Small republics have sometimes derived a consuderable revenue from the proht of mercantile projects. The republie of Hamburgh is said to do so from the profits of a publice wine cellar and apothecary's shop. ${ }^{1}$ The state cannot be very great of whict the sovrisign has leisure to carry on the trade of a whe merchant or apothecary. The profit of a public bank has been a source of rivenue to more consideraile states. It has leen so not only to llamburgh, but to Venice and Amsterdam. A revenue of this kind has even by some people been thought not below the attention of so great an empure as that of Great Britain. Rechoning the ordinary dividend of the bank of Eng. land at five and a half per cent., and its capital at ten nullwas seven hundred and
as perfectiv autbentic That of those of oxher Eurortai nations was compled from such informia. tons as the French myn sters at the different crouris could procure it is ravith oborter, and prolably nis gu'te so exact as that of the rreath taxes. (Noceby the aulive.)
eiglity thonsand pounds, the neat annual profit, after payung the expense of management, must amount, it is said, to five hundred and ninety-two thousand nine hundred pounds. Government, it is pretended, could borrow this capital at three per cent. interest, and by taking the management of the bank into its own bands, might make a clear profit of two hundred and sixty-nine thousand five hundred pounds a year. The orderly, vigilant, and parsimonious administration of such aristocracies as those of Venice and Amsterdam, is extremely proper, it appears from experience, for the management of a mercantile project of this kind. But whether such a government as that of England - which, whatever may be its virtues, bas never been famous for good economy, which, in time of peace, has generally conducted atself with the slothful and negligent profusion that is, perhaps, natural to monarchies, and in time of war has constantly acted with all the thoughtless extravagance that democracies are apt to fall into-could be safely trusted with the management of such a project, must at least be a good deal more doubtful.

The post-office is properly a mercantile project. The government advances the expense of establishing the different offices, and of buying or hiring the necessary horses or carriages, and is repaid, with a large profit, by the duties upon what is carried. It is, perhaps, the only mercantile project which has been successfully managed by, I believe, every sort of government. The capital to be advanced is not very considerable. There is no mystery in the business. The returns are not only certain, but immediate.

Princes, however, have frequently engaged in many other mercantile projects, and have been willing, like private persons, to mend their fortunes by becoming adventurers in the common branches of trade. They have scarce ever succeeded. The profusion with which the affairs of princes are always managed, renders it almost impossible that the $/$ should. The agents of a prince regard the wealth of their master as inexhaustible; are careless at what price they buy; are careless at what price they sell; are careless at what expense they transport his goods from one place to another. Those agents frequently live with the profusion of princes, and sometumes too, in spite of that profusion, and by a proper method of making up therr accounts, acquire the fortunes of princes. It was thus, as we are told by Machiavel, that the agents of Lorenzo of Medicis, not a prince of mean abilities, carried on his trade. The republic of Florence was several times obliged to pay the debt into which their extravagance bad involved him. He found it convenient, accordingly, to give up the business of merchant, the business to whuch his
family had originally owed their fortome and in the latter part of his hife to cmploy both what remained of that fortune, and the revenue of the state of which he had the disposal, in projects and expenses more suit able to his station.

No two characters seem more inconsistent than those of trade and sovercign. If the trading spirit of the Englist East India company renders them very bad sovereigns, the spirit of sovereignty seems to have rendered them equally bad traders. Whic they were traders only, they managed their trade successfully, and ware able to pay from their profits a moderate dividend to the proprietors of their stock. Since they lecame sovereigns, with a revenue wheh, it is said, was originally more than thiree milhons sterling, they have been obliged to beg the 。 extraordinary assistance of government in order to avoid immediate bankruptey. In their former situation, their servants in Indua considered themselves as the clerhs of merchants: in their present situation, those servants consider themselves as the minnter of sovereigns.

A state may sonetimes derive some part of its public revenue from the interent of money, as well as from the profits of suck. If it has amassed a treasure, it may lend a part of that treasure either to foreggn states or to its own subjects.

The canton of Berne derives a considerable revenue by lending a part of its treanure to foreign states; that is, by placing it in the public funds of the different indebted nations of Europe, chiefly in those of France and England. The security of this resenue must depend, first, upon the security of the funds in which it is placed, or upon the good faith of the government which has the management of them; and, secondly, ujon the certainty or probability of the continuance of peace with the dehtor nation. In the case of a war, the very first act of hostility, on the part of the debtor nation, might lo the forfeiture of the funds of its creditor. This policy of lending money to foreign states is, so far as I know, peculiar to the canton of Berne.

The city of Hamburgh ' has estallished a sort of public pawn-shop, which lends money to the subjects of the state upon pledges at six per cent. interest. This pawn-shop, or Lombard, as it is called, affords a revenue, it is pretended, to the state of a bundred and fifty thousand crowns, which, at four and sixpence the crown, amounts to $33,750 \mathrm{~L}$ sterling.

The government of Pennsylvania, without amassing any treasure, invented a method of lending, not money indeed, but what is equivalent to moner, to its suljects By

* See Némoires concerthant les Drote et limposia tions en kurove, tome i. p. 73.
whanomy to pruate peopie, at interest, and upen land su urity tu double the value. paper trill of credit to be redeemed fitwen years atter ther date, and in the mean time made trinsfrable from hand to hand like bank mutw, and declared by act of assembly to be a heril tuder in all payments from one whabatuit of the province to another, it raised a nuderate revenue, u huch went a considerable "न, tuwurds defraying an anmual expense of niwnit 4.50 O , the a hole ordinary expense of fhat frugal and orterly government. The vucers of an evpedient of this hind must luse dupended upon three dufferent circumlimus. firt, upon the demand for some other nustrument of commerce, besides gold and siluer money, or upon the demand for atuh a quantity of consumable stock as could wot be had whthout sending abroad the greater part of their gold and silver money, in order to purchase it, secondly, upon the [god credit of the governinent which made Hue of this expedient; and, thirdly upon the momleration wath $x$ hich it was used, the whole value of the paper bills of credit never aceedung that of the gold and shlver money n hach would have been necessary for carrying on their circulation, had there been no paper bills of ercdit. The same expedient was, npon dufferent occasions, allopted by several other American colones; but, from want of this moderation, it produced in the greater jart of them much more disorder than conventercy.

The unstable and perishable nature of stoch and eredit, however, render them unfit to be trusted to as the principal funds of that sume, stady, and permanent revenue, which can alone give security and dignaty to goverument. The government of no great nation, that was advanced beyond the sliepherd state, seems ever to have derived the gieater part of tits public revenue from such sharces.

Land is a fund of a more stable and permonent nature, and the rent of public lands, arcordingly, has been the principal source of the public revenue of many a great nation that was much advanced beyond the shepherd state. From the produce or rent of t'ie public lands, the ancient republics of Gicece and Italy derived, for a long time, the greater part of that revenue which defrayed the necesuary expenses of the comnumwealth. The rent of the crown lands constituted for a long time the greater part of the revenue of the ancient sovereigns of Litoper.

War and the preparation for war are the two cirrunstances which in modirn times oncsion the greater part of the necessary expene of all great states. But in the

2 The whlianry grose pel enue of Grent Bntan for the 3 ear chiling 31 at Marih lisos, manunted to
ancrent republics of Greece and Italy every cituzen was a solder, who toth served and prepared himself for service at his own expense. Nother of these tro circumstances, therefure, could occasion any very coneiderable expense to the statc. The rent of a very moderate landed estate might be fully sulficuent for defrising all the other necessary expenses of government.

In the ancient monarches of Europe, the manners and customs of the times sufficiently prepared the great body of the people fur war i, and when they took the field, they were, by the condition of their feudal tenures, to be maintanned either at their own expense or at that of their immediate lords, without bringing any new charge upon the sovereign. The other expenses of government were, the greater part of them, very moderate. The administration of justice, it has been shown, instead of being a cause of expense, was a source of revenue. The labour of the country people for three days before and for three days after harvest was thought a fund sufficient for making and maintunng all the bridges, highways, and other public works which the commerce of the country wat supposed to require. In those days the principal expense of the sovereign scems to have consisted in the maintenance of his own family and household. The officers of his household, accordingly, were then the great officers of state. The lord treasurer received his rents. The lord steward and lord chamberlan looked after the expense of his family. The care of his stables was committed to the lord constable and the lord marshal. IIs houses were all built in the form of castles, and seem to have been the principsl fortresses which he possessed. The keepers of those houses or eastles might be couss. dered as a sort of military governors. They seem to have been the only milntary ofticers whom it was necessary to maintain in time of peace. In these circumstances the rent of a great landed estate might, upon ordinasy occasions, very well defray all the necessary expenses of government.

In the present state of the greater part of the civilised monarchies of Europe, Ile rent of all the lands in the country, managed as they probably would be if they all belonged to one proprietor, would scarce per. haps amount to the ordinary revenue whict. they levy upon the people erea in peaceable times. The ordinary revenue of Great Britain, for example, including not only what is necessary for defraying the current expense of the year, but for paying the interect of the publis debts, and for sinking a part of the capital of those debts, amou ats to upwards of ten mullions a year. 1 But
fi5, 716,0771 , exclusive of the reventue derived from Ireland. The ordinary nett revenue of Great Britain $B 6$
the land tax, at four shillings in the pound, falls short of two millions a year. This land tax, as it is called, however, is supposed to be one-fifth, not only of the rent of all the land, but of that of all the bouses, and of the interest of all the capital stock of Great Britain, that part of it only excepted which is either lent to the public, or employed as farming stock in the cultivation of land. 1 A very considerable part of the produce of this tax arises from the rent of houses and the interest of capital stock. The land tax of the city of London, for example, at four shillings in the pound, amounts to $123,3991.6 \mathrm{~s} .7 \mathrm{~d}$. That of the city of Westminster to $63,092 l .1 \mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{~d}$. That of the palaces of Whitehall and St. James's to 30,754l. 6s. 8d. A certain proportion of the land tax is in the same manner assessed upon all the other cities and towns corporate in the kingdom; and arises almost altogether either from the rent of houses or from what is supposed to be the interest of trading and capital stock. According to the estimation, therefore, by which Great Britain is rated to the land tax, the whole mass of revenue arising from the rent of all the lands, from that of all the houses, and from the interest of all the capital stock, that part of it only excepted which is either lent to the public, or employed in the cultivation of land, does not exceed ten millions sterling a year, the ordinary revenue which government levies upon the people even in peaceable times. The estimation by which Great Britain is rated to the land tax is, no doubt, taking the whole kingdon at an average, very much below the real value; though in several particular counties and districts it is said to be nearly equal to that value. The rent of the lands alone, exclusive of that of houses and of the interest of stock, has by many people been estimated at twenty milhons; an estimation made in a great measure at random, and which, I apprebend, is as likely to be above as below the truth. But if the lands of Great Britain, in the present state of their cultivation, do not afford a sent of more than twenty millions a year, they could not well afford the half, most pruibably not the fourth part of that rent. if they all belonged to a single proprietor, and were put under the negligent, expensive, and oppressive management of his factors and agents. The crown lands of Great Britain do not at present afford the fourth part of the rent which could probably be arawn from them if they were the property of private persons. If the crown lands were more extensive, it is probable they would be still worse managed.
for the same year armounted to $6.1,178,4262$ See, for furthar particulars, the accounts at the end of this Folunng.
"Atre land tax at two ghullings in the pound does

The revenue which the great borly of the people derises frotn hand is in proportion, not to the rent, but to the produce of the land. The whole annual produce of the lind of every country, if we exect $p$ what is re. served for seed, is either amually eommamed by the great body of the people, or exchanged for something else that is donsumed by them. Whatever keeps down the produce of the land below what it wothld otherwise rise to, keeps duwn the revenue of the great body of the people, still more than it doce that of the proprietors of land. The rent of land, that portion of the produce which belongs to the proprietors, is sarce anyw here in Great Britain supposed to be more than a third part of the whole produce. If the land which, in one state of cultivation, aflurds a rent of ten millions sterling a ycar, would in another afford a rent of twenty millions; the rent being, in both cases, supposed a third part of the produce; the revenue of the proprictors would be less than it utherwise might be by ten mulions a year only; but the revenue of the great body of the people would be less than it otherwise might be by thirty milhons a year, deducting only whit would be necessary for seed. The population of the country would be less by the number of people which thirty millions a year, deducting always the seed could manitain, according to the partichlar mode of living and expense which might take place in the different ranks of men among whom the remainder was distributed.

Though there is not at present in Eurppe any civilised state of any kind which derives the greater part of its public revenue from the rent of lands which are the property of the state; yet in all the great monarchies of Europe there are still many large tracts of land which belong to the crown. They are generally forest ; and sometimes forest where, after travelling several milea, you will scarce find a single tree; a nere waste and loss of country in respect both of produce and population. In every great monarchy of Europe the sale of the crown lands would produce a very large sum of money, which, if applied to the payment of the public debts, would deliver from mortgage a much greater revenue than any which those lands have ever afforded to the crown. In countries where lands, improved and cultivated very highly, and yielding at the time of sale as great a sent as can easily be got from them, commonly sell at thirty years purchase; the unimproved, uncultivated, and low-rented crown lands might well be expected to sell at forty, fifty, or sixty years purchasc. The crown might immediately enjoy the reveoue
not at this moment emtainly excend cne-fifteenth part of the rant of the lamp. independentiy altosgestiver of the teut of hound and the interemt of crokk.

Whah thes great price would rodeem from mortrage. lin the course of a few gears it would probably enjoy another a we eune. When the crown lands bad become provate property, thicy would, in the course of a few years, hecome well amproved and well cultivated. The increase of ther produce would increase the population of the country, hy auginentmif the revenue and consumption of the popke But the revenue which the crown derives from the duties of customs and exche would necessarily increase with the revenue and consumption of the people.

The revenue wheh, in any civilised monardiy, the crown dernes fiom the crown lauds, though it appears to cost nothing to minuduals, in reality costs more to the soacty than perhaps any other equal revenue which the crown enooys. It would, in all casco, be for the interest of the society to replace this revenue to the crown by some other equal revenue, and to divide the lands among the poople, which could not well be done bether, perbaps, than by exposing them to public sale

Lamis for the purposes of pleasure and magmilicence, parks, gardens, public walks, Ae posseshons wheh are everywhere constderd as causes of expense, not as sources of revenue, seem to be the only lands which, in a great and covilised monarehy, ought to bebong to the crown.
l'ublic stock and public lands, therefore, the two sources of revenue which may pecuharly belong to the soveregn or commonucalth, beng both improper and insufficient tunds for deliaying the necessary expense of any great and civihsed state; it remains that thas enpense must, the greater part of it, be defiayed by taxes of one kind or another; the people contributing a part of their own private revenue in order to make up a pubLu wenue to the sovereign or commonw ealth.

## Part lis

 Of Tases.The private revenue of individuals, it has been shown in the first book of thas inquiry, anses ultmately from three dallerent sources. rent, proht, and wages. Every tax must hanally be faddiom some one or other of those three dillerent surts of revente, or fiom all of them undiflerently. I shall endenvour to pine the best account I can, firt, of those taxes which it is mitended should fall upon rent; secoudly, of those which it is mended ahould fall upon profit; thirdly, of those alich it is intended should fall upon wages; and, fouthly, of those which it is intended should fall indifferently upon all those three diflerent sources of private revenue. The particular consideration of each of these four diflenent sunts of tares will divide the second
part of the present chapter into four articles, three of which will require several other subduistons. Many of these taxes, it will appear from the following review, are not thally pand from the fund, or source of revenue, unon which it was mitended they should fall.

Before I enter upon the examination of particular taxcs, 1 : is neeessary to promse the four following maxims with regard to taxes in general.
I. The subjects of every state ought tol contribute towards the support of the government, as nearly as possible, in proporion: to their respective abiaties, that $15,7 \mathrm{tm}$ propertion to the-revemue which they respectively enjoy under the protection of the state. The expense of gevernment to the individuals of a great nation is like the expense of management to the joint tenants of a great estate, who are all obliged to contribute in proportion to their respective interests in the estate. In the observation or neglect of thus maxim consists what is called the equality or inequality of taxation. Every tax, it must be observed once for all, which falls finally upon one only of the three sorts of revenue above-mentioned, is necessarily unequal, in so far as it does not affect the other two. In the following examination of different taxes I shall seldom take much further notice of this sort of inequality, but shall, in most cases, confine my observations to that inequality which is occasioned by a particular tax falling unequally upon that particular sort of private revenue which is affected by it.
II. The tax which each individual is bound to pay ought to be certan, and not arbitrary. The time of payment, the manner of payment, the quantity to be pard, ought all to be clear and plain to the contributor, and to every other person. Where it is otherwise, every person subject to the tax is put more or less in the power of the tax-gatherer, who can eather aggravate the tax upon any obnoxious contributor, or cxtort, by the terror of such aggravation, some present or perquiste to lumself. The watcertainty of uazation encourages the insolence and favours the corruption of an order of men who are naturally unpopular, even where they are iediner usolent nor corrupt. The certauty of what each individual ought to pay is, in taxation, 1 \&r of so great importance, that a very considerable degree of inequality, it appears, I believe, from the experience of all nations, is not near so great an evil as a very small degree of un. certanaty.
III. Every tax ought to be levied at the tume or in the manner in whech it is most likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay it. A tax upon the rent of land or of houses, payable at the same tern at wheh
such rents are usually paid, is levied at the tune when it is most likely to be convenient for the contributor to pay, or when he is most likely to bave wherewithal to pay. Taxes upon such consumable goods as are articles of luxury, are all finally paid by the consumer, and generally in a manner that is very convenient for him. He pays them by little and little, as he has occasion to buy the goods. As he is at liberty too either to buy or not to buy, as he pleases, it must be his own fault if he ever sutfers any considerable meonveniency from such taxes.
IV. Every tax ought to be so contrived as both to take out and to keep out of the pockets of the people as little as possible, over and above what it brings into the pub. lic treasury of the state. A tax may either take out or keep out of the pockets of the people a great deal more than it brings into the public treasury, in the four following ways:-First, the levying of it may require 4 great number of officers, whose salaries may eat up the greater part of the produce of the tax, and whose perquisites may impose another additional tax upon the people. Scondly, it may obstruct the industry of the people, and discourage them from applying to certain branches of business which might give maintenance and employment to great multitudes. While it obliges the people to pay, it may thus diminish, or perhaps destroy, some of the funds which might enable them more easily to do so. Thirdly, by the forfeitures and other penalties which those unfortunate individuals incur who at. tempt unsuccessfully to evade the tax, it may frequently ruin therm, and thereby put an end to the benefit which the community might have received from the employment of their capitals. An injudicious tax offers ${ }^{2}$ great temptation to smuggling; but the penalties of smuggling must arise in proportion to the temptation. The law, contrary to all the ordinary principles of justice, first creates the temptation, and then punishes those who yield to it; and it commonly enhances the punishment too in proportion to the very circumstance which ought certainly to alleviate it, the temptation to commit the crime ${ }^{1}$ Fourthly, by subjecting the people to the frequent visits and the odious examinetion of the tax-gatherers, it may expose then to much unnecessary trouble, vexation, and oppression ; and though vexation is not, strictly speaking, expense, it is certainly equivalent to the expense at which every man would be willing to redeem himself from it. It is in some one or other of these four different ways that taxes are frequently so much more burdensome to the people than they are beneficial to the sovereign.

The evident justice and utility of the fore-
1 See Shetcher of the History of Mas, p. 474
going maxims have recommended them neore or less to the attention of all nations All nations have endeavoured, to the best of their judgment, to render their taves as equal as they could contrive; as certan, as convenient to the contributor, both in the time and in the mode of payment, and in proportion to the revenue which they brought to the prince, as little burdensome to the people. The following short review of some of the principal taxes which have taken place in different ages and countries will show that the endeavours of all nations have not in thts respect been equally successful.

## Afticle $L$

Taxes upon Rent.-Taxes upon the Revt of Land.
A tax upon the rent of land may eilher be imposed according to a certain casun, every district being valued at a certain rer., which valuation is not afterwards to be aitered; or it may be imposed in such a manner as to vary with every variation in the real rent of the land, and to rise or fall with the improvement of declension of its cultivation.

A land-tax which, like that of Great Britain, is assessed upon each district according, to a certain invariable canon, though it should be equal at the time of its first establishment, necessarily becomes unequal in ; process of time, according to the unequal . degrees of improvement or neglect in the cultivation of the different parts of the country. In England, the valuation according to which the different counties and parishes were assessed to the land-tax by the 4th of William and Mary, was very unequal even at its first pstablishment ihis tax, therefore, so far offends against the first of the four maxims above-mentioned. It is perfectly agreeable to the other three. It is perfectly certain. The time of payment fur the tax being the same as that for the rent, is as convenient as it can be to the contributor. Though the landlord is in all casos the real contributor, the tax is commonly advanced by the tenant, to whom the landlord is obliged to allow it in the payment of the rent. This tax is levied by a much smaller number of officers than any otber which affurds nearly the same revenue. At the tax upon each district does not rise with the rise of the rent, the sovereign does not share in the profits of the landlord's improvements. Those improvements sometimes contribute, indeed, to the discharge of the other landlords of the district. But the ajgravation of the tax, which this may sometimes occasion upon a particular estate, is always sa very small that it never can discourage those improvements, nor heep down the produce of the land below what it would
antherwise rise to. As it has no tendency to dmumsh the quantuty, it can have none to frase the price of that produce. It does not ubviact the industry of the people. It subyects the landlord to no other inconemency besdes the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

The advantage, however, which the landlord bas dorved from the invariable constancy of the valuation by whith all the linds of Great Britain are rated to the landtux, has been principally owing to some circumvances altogether extrancous to the nature of the tax.

It has been owing in part to the great prosperty of almost every part of the country, the rents of almost all the estates of Great Britan having, since the time when this valuation was first established, been contimully rising, and scarce any of them haing fallen. The landlords, therefore, have ahnout all gained the difference between the ax whish they would have pard, according to the present rent of their estates, and that which they actually pay according to the ancient valuation. Ilad the state of the country lieen difierent, had rents been gradurlly falling in consequence of the deciensum of cultuation, the landiords would alnoost all have lost this difference. In the thte of things which has harpened to take phace since the resolution, the constancy of the valuation has been advontageous to the 1 indlord and hurtful to the smereign. In a difierent state of things it might have been advantageous to the soveresorn and hurtful to the landlund.

As the tix an made payable in money, so the valuation of the land is expressed in money. Since the evablshment of this valuaion, the value of silver has been pretty unform, and there has been no alteration in the standard of the coin either as to weight or fineness. llad siser risen considerably in its value, as it scems to have done in the counce of the two centuries whel preceded the discovery of the mines of America, the constancy of the raluation might have proved very oppressure to the landlord. Had silver fulten considerably in its rabue, as it certanly did for about a century at least after the discovery of those mines, the same constancy of valuation would have reduced very much thas branch of the revenue of the soverema. ILad any considerable alteration been made in the standard of the money, etther by sinking the same quantity of stuer to a lower denomination, or by racang it to a higher; hid an ounce of salver, for example, instead of henug comed into five shalhings and twopence, been cuined euther into preces whach bore so low a denomination as two shillugs and sevenpener, or into preces which bore so bing a one as ten shallings and fourpence, it a ould in the one case have hurt the rerenue
of the proprictor, in the otber that of the sovercigh.

In circumstanes, therefore, somewhat different from those which have actually tahen place, this constancy of valuation might have been a very great inconveniency, either to the contributors or to the commonwealthIn the course of ages such carcumstances, however, must at some time or other hap pen. But though empires, like all the other works of men, have all hitherto proved mor. tal, yet every empire ams at inmortality Every constitution, therefore, which it is meant should be as permanent as the empire itself, ought to be convenient, not in certam circumstances only, but in all circumstances; or ought to be suited, not to those circumstances which are transitory, occasional, or accidental, but to those whach are necessary, and therefore always the same.

A tax upon the rent of land which varies with every variation of the rent, or which rises and falls according to the improvement or neglect of cultivation, is recommended by that sect of men of letters in France, who call themselves the economists, as the most equitable of all taxes. All taxes, they pretend, fall ultimately upon the reut of land, and ought therefore to be imposed equally upon the fund which must finally pay them. That all taxes ought to fall as equally as possible upon the fund whic's must finally pay them is certainly true. But without entering into the disagrecable discussion of the metaphysical arguments by Whach they support their very ingenous theory, it will sufficiently appear, from the following review, what are the taxes which full finally upon the rent of the land, and what are those which fall finally upon some other fund.

In the Venetian territory all the arable lands which are given in lease to farmers are taxed at a tenth of the rent. ${ }^{1}$ The leases are recorded in a public register which is Lept by the officers of revenue meach province or distruct. When the proprictor cultivates his onn lands, they are valuci according to an equitable ectungtion, and he is allowed a deduction of one-fifth of the tax ; so that for suca land he pays onis eight instead of ten per cent. of the suppostd rent.

A land-tax of this kind is certainly more equal than the land-tax of England. It ntght not, perhaps, be altogether so certain. and the assessment of the tax might frequently occasion a good deal more trouble to the landlord. It might too be a good deal more expensive in the lerying.

Such a system of administratuon, however, might perhaps be contrived as would, in a great measure, both prevent this unceciainty and moderate this expense.

The landlord and tenant. for expmete,

[^106]might jointly be obliged to record their lease in a public register. L'roper penalties might be enacted aganst concealing or misicepresenting any of the conditions; and if part of those penalties were to be paid to elther of the two parties whe informed against and consicted the other of such concealment or misrepresentation, it would effectually deter ther from combining together in order to defraud the public revenue. All the conditions of the lease might be sufficiently known from such a record.

Some landlords, instead of raising the rent, take a fine for the rencwal of the lease. This practice is in most cases the expedient of a spendthrif, who for a sum of ready money sells a future revenue of much greater value. It is in most case, therefore, burtful to the landlurd. It is frequently hurtful to the tenant, and it is alwayg burfful to the community. It frequently takes from the tenant so great a part of his capital, and thereby dirminishes so much his ability to cultivate the land, that he finds it more diflicult to pay a small rent than it would otherwise lave been to pay a great one. Whatever diminisbes bis abulity to cultivate, necessarily heeps down, below what it would otherwise buve been, the most important part of the revenue of the community. By rendering the tax upon such fines a good deal heavier than upon the ordinary rent, this hurtful practice might be discouraged, to the no small advantage of all the different parties concerned, of the landlord, of the tenant, of the sovereign, and of the whole community.

Some leases prescribe to the tenant a certain mode of cultivation, and a certain succession of crops during the whole continuance of the lease. This condition, which is generally the effect of the landlord's conceit of his own superior knowledge (a conceit in most cases very ill founded, ought always to be considered as an additional rent; as a rent in service instead of a rent in money. In order to discourage the practice, which is generally a foolish one, this species of rent might be valued rather high, and consequently taxed somewhat higher than common money rents. ${ }^{8}$

[^107]Some landlords, meta ad of a rent in trorey require a rent in kind, in corn, eat:le, poultry, wiae, oil, \&c. ; others again retuure a rent in service. Sucb rents are always more burtful to the tenant thau bencfictal to the landlord. They either take more or keep more out of the pocket of the former than they put into that of the latter. In every country where they take place, the tenants are poor and beggarly, pretty much according to the degree in wheh they take ploce. By valuing, in the same manner, such rents rather high, and consequently taxing them somewhat higher than common moncy rents, a practice which is hurtful to the whole community might perhaps be sufficiently discouraged.

When the landlord chose to occupy himelf a part of his own lands, the rent might be valued according to an equitable arlitration of the farmers and landlords in the neighbourhood, and a moderate ahatement of tho tax might be granted to him in the same manner as in the Venctian curritory; pro vided the rent of the lands which he gecuphed did not exceed a certain sum. It is of inol portance that the landlord should be encouraged to cultivate s part of his own land. Ins capital is generally greater than that of the tenant, and with less skill he can froquently raise a greater produce. Tine landlord can affurd to try experiments, and is generally disposed to do so. His unvuecessful experiments occasion only a moderate loss to himself. 1 lis successfil ones contribute to the improvement and better cultiration of the whole country. It might be of importance, however, that the abate ment of the tar sbould encourage him to cultivate to a certain extent on'y. If the landlords should, the greater part of them, be tempted to farm the whole of their own lands, the country (insteal of sober and industrious cenants, who are bound by their own interest to cultivate as well as their capital and skill will allow them) would be filled with idle and protligate bailiff, whowe abusive management would swon degrade the cultivation, and reduce the sanual produce of the land, to the diminution, nut only
spaking, be bis ohjert to make the most of it thring the term of his occupation, Without carintin abotit tise state in which be leaves it. Althotigh, theretore. restrictions as to the mode of cultivaturg at tarm in the early part of a lease of comaderable duruans the earlf part of a lease of coanderable finrd wh may perhapa be fairly objectso tor bo cominord who to keep hic extize permanently ie goonl orciep, etiche erer to let a farm without hayng duwn rules fir the management, which the terant shoutd bo bround to foflow during the ani or seven yrars immediat ty precedung the termination of the $h$ ame. It in erue that these rules may not always be the best that nukbs be derused. but ther can barilly be wi very defiat've as hut to preserve the farm from bu ine overropped
 and exhaustri prewnisig to the whant in ange it ; and, If they do thia, they zenbe deridedly beve ticial
of the revenue of thi ir masters, but of the mint impurtant part of that of the whole sericity
Suth a sustem of administration might, prours, free a tax of this lind from any digree of uncertanty which could occasion wither opprosion or meomentency to the ontributor, and might at the same tirue Wetumentuce into the common managei, int of land such a plan or poliey as might cuntribute a geod deal to the general 1 m frimemrnt and good cultivation of the comatry.

The expence of lerying a land-tax, which , mbid with eriry variation of the sent, would no drubt lee somewhat greater than that of hiving one which was aiways rated accordugit to a fired valuation. Some additional "Irelse would necessanly be ineurred, both hy $t^{\prime}$ ic difirent register offices which it nonld be proper to establush in the different divits of the country, and by the different Whathons wheh minglt oceasionally be made of the linds when the proprietur chose to ok apy bumulf. The expene of all this, humcher. might be very modateate, and much law what is meurred in the levying of "anv uther faxes, which afford a rery incomderible revinue in comparison of what "M, it tady tre drawn from a tax of this hind.

1 he diccouragement which a variable land in of this Lind might give to the improvewent of land sems to be the most importnut iffecthon $=$ hich can be made to it The lambird would certanily be less disposed to inpruse, when the sovereign, who contrilused notlung to the expense, was to share $\because$ the profit of the improvement. Even thin olyertion might perhaps be obviated by allowing the landlord, before he began his mpromement, to ascertan, in conjunction with the officers of revenue, the actual value of has land, according to the equitable armiration of a certain number of landlords and infroers in the mighbourhood, equally clamen be twoth parties; and by rating bion atcurding to thas raluation for such a numther of sears as might be fully suffictent for his complete indemanication. To draw the atentern of the sonerwirn towards the amprovemont of the land, from a regard to the mereave of his own revenue, is one of the primpaladantages nrinused by this ppecies of land-isa. The term, thercfire, alluwed ur the indernmifation of the landlord ought int to be a gnat deal longer than what was nere sury fir that purpuse, lest the remutethes of the intertst should discourage too much thas attenthas. It had trettr, hou"i.. be sumewht too lent than in any rinjeit tou short No inctement to the etherthen of are sonetugn ean crer coultorl. Weace the smallest uscourasimiont to that of tire landbrd. The attention of the
sovereign can be, at best, but a very gentral and rairue consideration of a bat is libily to contribute to the better cultivation of the greater part of his domunions. The attention of the landlord is a particuiar and monte consideration of what is likely to be the most edrantageous application of every inels of ground upon his estate. The priucipal attention of the sovereign ought to be to encourage, by every means in his power, the attention both of the landlord and of the furmer; by allowing both to pursue their own moturest in thur own way, and according to their own judgment; by gising to both the most perfect security that they shall enjoy the full recompense of their own irdustry; and, by procuring to both the most extenswe marhet for every part of theis produce, in consequence of etablishing the easiest and safest commumeations both by land and by water, through every part of his own dominions, as well as the most unbounded freedom of exportation to the dominions of all other princes.
If by such a system of adruinistration a tax of this kind could be so manarged as :o give, not ondy no diseouragement, but, on the contrary, some encouragement to the improvement of land, it does not appear hhely to occasion any other inconseniencr to the landlord, except always the unavordable one of being obliged to pay the tax.
In all the vanations of the state of the socety, in the improvement and in the declenston of agriculture; in all the vartations in the value of salver, and in all those in the standard of the coin, a tar of this hind would of its own accord and without any attention of government, readily sut itself to the actual situation of things, and would be equally just and equitable in all thuse ditlerent changes. It would, therefore, be much more proper to be established as a perpetual and unalterable regulation, or as what is called a fundamental law of the commonwealth, than any $\operatorname{tax}$ which was alwass to be levied according to a certain valuation.

Some states, instead of the simple and obvious expedient of a register of leases, have had recourse to the laborious and expensire one of an actual survey and valuation of all the lands in the country. They have susFected, probably, that the lessor and lessee, in order to dafraud the public revenue, might combine to conceal the real terms of the lease. Doomsday-book seems to bave been the result of a very accurate survey of this hind.

In the ancient dominions of the ling of Trussia, the land-tax is assessed according to an actual survey and valuation, which $1 s$ revewed and altered from time to time.' According to that valuation, the lay propria-
 114, 115, 116, Ec
tors pay from twenty to twenty-five per cent. of their revenue : ecclesiastics from forty to forty-five per cent. The survey and valuation of Silesia was made by order of the present king; it is said with great accuracy. According to that valuation, the lands belonging to the bishop of Breslaw are taxed at twenty-five per cent. of their rent. The other revenues of the ecclesiastics of both religions, at fifty per cent. The commanderies of the Teutonic order, and of that of Malta, at forty per cent. lands held by a noble tenure, at thirty-eight and one-third per cent. Lauds held by a base tenure, at thirty-five and one-third per cent.

The survey and valuation of Bohemis is said to bave been the work of more than a hundred years. It was not perfected till after the peace of 1748 , by the orders of the present empress queen, 1 The survey of the duchy of Milan, which was begun in the time of Charles the VI., was not perfected till after 1760. It is esteemed one of the most accurate that has ever been made. The survey of Savoy and Piedmont was executed under the orders of the late king of Sardinia. 2

In the dominions of the king of Prussia, the revenue of the church is taxed much higher than that of lay proprietors. The revenue of the church is, the greater part of it, a burden upon the rent of land. It seldom happens that any part of it is applied towards the improvement of land; or is so employed as to contribute in any respect towards increasing the revenue of the great body of the people. His Prussian majesty had probably, upon that account, thought it reasonable, that it should contribute a good deal more towards relieving the exigencies of the state. In some countries the lands of the church are exempted from all taxes. In others they are taxed more lightly than other lands. In the dutchy of Milan, the lands which the church possessed before 1575 , are rated to the tax at a third only of their value.

In Silesia, lands held by a noble tenure are taxed three per cent. higher than those held by a base tenure. The honours and privileges of different kinds annexed to the former, his Prussian majesty had probably imagined would sufficiently compensate to the proprietor a small aggravation of the tax; while at the same time the humblating inferiority of the latter would be in some measure alleviated by being taxed somewhat more lightly. In other countries the system of taxation, instead of alleviating, aggravatcs
${ }^{1}$ Mémoirea concernant lea Droits, \&c. tome f. p. $83,{ }^{2} 4$.
${ }_{3}$ Id. tome !, p. 280 . \&c.; alco p. 287. \&ec. to 316.
3 Id tome $10 . \mathrm{p} 139.8 \mathrm{sc}$.
T The view taken by Dr Sinith, of the effert of taxes on reat, is incomplete, from his having made no distunction hat ween their influence on the rent of land, properiy so called, or on the sum paid to the
this inequality In the dominions of the king of Sardinia, and in thove provinces of France which are subject to what is called the real or predtal taille, the tax falls altogether upon the lands held by a base tenure. Those held by a noble one are cvempted.

A land-tax assessed according to a general survey and valuation, how equal socver it may be at first, must in the course of a very moderate period of time become unequal. To prevent its becoming so would reguire the continual and painful attention of government to all the variations in the state and produce of every dafferent farm in the country. The governments of Prussia, of Rohemis, of Sardinia, and of the duchy of Malan, actually exert an attention of thas kind; an attention so unsuitable to the nature of government, that it is not likely to be of long continuance, and which, if it is continued, will probably, in the long run, occasion much more trouble and vesation than it can possibly bring reliff to the contributors.

In 1666, the geuerality of Montauban was assersed to the real or predial taulle, according, it is said, to a very exact survey and valuation. ${ }^{3}$ By 1727 this assessment had become altogether unequal. In order to remedy this inconveniency, government has found no better expedient than to impose upon the whole generality an additional tax of a hundred and twenty thousand livrea. This additional tax is rated upon all the different districts subject to the taille according to the old assessment. But it is levied only upon those which in the actual state of things, are by that assessment under-taxed; and it is applied to the relief of those which, by the same assessment, are over-taved. Two districts, for example, one of which ought, in the actual state of things, to be taxed at nine hundred, the other at eleven hundred livres, are by the old assessment, both taxed at a thousand livres. Both these distracts are, by the additional tax, rated at eleven hundred livres each. But this additional tax is levied only upon the district undercharged, and it is applied altogether to the relief of that over-charged, which convequently pays only nine bundred livres. The govermmeut nether gains nor loses by the additional tax, which is applied al together to remedy the inequalities arising from the old assessment. The application is pretty much regulated according to the discretion of the intendant of the generality, and must there fore be in a greal measure arbitrary. 4
landlord for the use of the natural and inherent powers of the woll, and therr fintuence on that part of the gross rent which consiste of a return for the capital laid out on buidings, improvernents, the. I he riader is reterred, for some further observarens un this subject, to the sumplemental note on 13ses on the Hent of Laud.

## Tures which are proportioned, not to the Rent, $t u x t$ lie I'roduce of Land.

Taxes upon the produce of land are in reanty taxes upon the rent; and, though thy may be originally advanced by the farmer, are finally paid by the landlord. When a certan portion of the produce is to be paid anay for a tax, the farmer computes, as well as he can, what the value of this portion is, one year with another, likely to amount to, and he mahes a proportionable abatement in the rent $w$ hach he agrees to pay to the landlard. Thure is no farmer who does not 'uhuh is a land tax of this hind, is, one year with another, hkely to amount to.

The ththe, and every other land-tax of tois hind, under the appearance of perfect cquality, are very unequal taxes; a certain purtion of the produce being, in different sutudtions, equivalent to a very different portion of the rent. In some very rich lands the froduce is so great, that the one half of it is fully sufficient to replace to the farmer his cupital employed in cultivation, together with the ordunary profits of furming stock in the nughbourhood. The other half, or, what comes to the same thing, the value of the other balf, he could afford to pay as rent to the landlond, if there was no tithe. But if a tenth of the produce is taken from him in the way of tithe, he must require an abatement of the fifth part of his rent, otherwise he cannot get back his capstal with the ordinary profit. In this case the rent of the laudlord, instead of amounting to a half, or five-tenths of the whole produce, will amount only to four-tenths of it. In poorer lands, on the contrary, the produce is sometumes to small, and the expense of cultivation so great, that it requires four-fifths of the whole produce to replace to the farmer his capital with the orduary profit. In this case, though there was no tithe, the rent of the landlord could amount to no more than one-fifth or two-tenths of the whole produce. But if the farmer pays one-tenth of the produce in the way of tithe, he must require an equal abatement of the rent of the landlord, which will thus be reduced to one-tenth only of the whole produce. Upon the rent of rich lands the tithe may sometimes be a tax of no roore than one-fifth part, or four shillings in the pound; whereas upon that of poorer lands, it may sometimes be a tax of one-half, or of ten shillings in the pound.

The tithe, as it is frequently a very unequal tax upon the rent, so it is alvays a frat discouragement both to the improsements of the landlord and to the cultivation of the fumer. The one cannot venture to make the most important, which are generaly the most es ensive, improvements; nor the other to rave the most valuable, which
are gencrally too the most expensive, crors, when the church, which lays out no part ot the expense, is to share soverylirgely in the profit. The cultivation of madder wis, for a long time, confined by the ththe to the United Provinces, which, buing presbyterian countries, and upon that account exempted from this destructive tax, enyoycd a surt of monopoly of that useful dying drug agrainst the rest of Europe. The late attempts to introduce the culture of the plant into England, have been made only in consequence of the statute which enacted that five shillings an acre should be received in lieu of all manner of tithe upon madder.

As through the greater part of Europe the church, so in many ditferent countries of Asia the state is principally supported by a land-tax, proportioned not to the rent, but to the produce of the land. In China, the principal revenue of the sovereign consists in a tenth part of the produce of all the lands of the empire. This tenth part, however, is estimated so very moderately, that, in many provinces, it is said not to exceed a thirtieth part of the ordinary produce. The land-tax or land-rent which used to be pand to the Mahometan government of Bengal, before that country fell into the hands of the English East India company, is said to have amounted to about a fifth part of the produce. The land-tax of ancient Egypt is said likewise to have amounted to a fitth part.

In Asia, this sort of land-tax is said to interest the soveretgn in the improvement and cultivation of land. The sovereigns of China, those of Bengal while under the Mahometan government, and those of ancicut Egypt, are said, accordingly, to have been extremely attentive to the making and maintauing of good roads and navigable canals, in order to increase as much as possible both the quantity and value of every part of the produce of the land, by procuring to every part of it the most extensive market which their own domunions could afford. The tithe of the church is dinaded into such small portions, that no one of its proprictors can have any interest of thas kind. The parson of a parish could never find his account in making a road or canal to a distant part of the country, in order to extend the market for the produce of his own particular parish. Such taxes, when destined for the maintenance of the state, have some advantages which may serve in some measure to balance their inconveniency When destined for the maintenance of the church, they are attended with nothing but inconveniency.

Taxes upon the produce of land may be Ievied either in hind, or, according to a certain valuation, in moncy.

The parson of a partsh, or a gentleman $r f$ small fortune who lives upon his estate, may sometimes, perlaps, find some advantage in
receiving, the onc his tithe, and the other his rent, in kind. The quantity to be collected, and the district within which it is to be collected, are so small, that they both can oversce, with their own eyes, the collection and disposal of every part of what is due to them. A gentleman of great fortune, who lived in the capital, would be in danger of suffering much by the neglect, and more by the fraud of his factors and agents, if the rents of an estate in a distant province were to be paid to him in this manner. The loss of the sovereign, from the abuse and depredation of his tax-gatherers, would necessarily be much greater. The servants of the most careless private person are, perhaps, more under the eye of their master than those of the most careful prince; and a public revenue, which was paid in kind, would suffer so much from the mismanagement of the collectors, that a very small part of what was levied upon the people would ever arrive at the treasury of the prince. Some part of the public revenue of China, however, is said to be paid in this manner. The mandarins and other tax-gatherers will, no doubt, find their advantage in continuing the practice of a payment which is so much more liable to abuse than any payment in money.

A tax upon the produce of land which is levied in money, may be levied either according to a valuation which varies with all the variations of the market price, or according to a fixed valuation, a bushel of wheat, for example, being always valued at one and the same money price, whatever may be the state of the market. The produce of a tax levied in the former way will vary only according to the variations in the real prom duce of the land, according to the improvement or neglect of eultivation. The produce of a tax levied in the latter way will vary, not only according to the variations in the produce of the land, but according to both those in the value of the precious metals, and those in the quantity of those metals which is at different times contained in coin of the came denomination. The produce of the former will always bear the same proportion to the value of the real produce of the land. The produce of the latter may, at different times, bear very different proportions to that value.

When, instead either of a certain portion of the produce of land, or of the price of a certain portion, a certain sum of money is to be paid in full compensation for all tax or tithe, the tax becomes, in this case, exactly of the same nature with the land-tax of England. It neither rises nor falls with the rent of the land; it neither encourages nor discourages improvement. The tithe, in the greater part of those parishes which pay

[^108]what is called a modus in lieu of all othes tithe, is a tax of this kind. Durmg the Mahometan government of Bingal, instead of the payinent in kind of a fifth part of the produce, a modus, and, it is sad, a very moderate onc, was established in the greater part of the districts or zemindariey of the country. Some of the servants of the East India company, under pretence of restoring the public revenue to its proper value, have, in some provinces, exchanged this modus for a payment in kind. Under their management this change is likcly both to discourage cultivation and to give new opportunitus for abuse in the collection of the puble revenue, which has fallen very much below what it was said to have becn when it tirst fell under the management of the company. The servants of the company may, promap, have profited by this change, but at the expense, it is probable, both of their masters and of the country. ${ }^{1}$

## Taxes upon the Rent of IIouses.

The rent of a house may le distinguiched into two parts, of which the one may very wat properly be cal'ed the building rent, the other is commonly called the ground rent.'r

The building rent is the interest or profit of the capital expended in bulding the house. In order to put the trade of a builker upon a level with other trades, it is necessary that this rent should be sufficient, first, to pay him the same interest which he would have got for his capital if he had lent it upon good security; and, secondly, to keep the house in constant repair, or, what comes to the same thing, to replace, within a certain term of years, the capital which had been employed in building it. The building rent, or the ordinary profit of bulding, is therefore evas where regulated by the ordinary interest of money. Where the market rate of interint is four per cent. the rent of a house which, over and above paying the ground-rent, affords six or six and a half per cent. upon the whole expense of building, may perhaps afford a suflicient profit to the buuder Where the market rate of intcrest is five per cent. it may perhaps require seven or seven and a half per cent. If, in proportion to the interest of noney, the trade of the builder affords at any time a much greater profit than this, it will soon draw so muct capital from other trades as will redise the profit to its proper level. If it aflurds at any time much less than this, other trades will soon draw so much espital from it as will again raise that profit.

Whatever part of the whole rent of a :euse is over and shoric what is suthcent fir aftording this reasonaille profit, naturally goes to
ncety than Dr. Smith seems to have suppoond. Sio supplenental nute on thre subject
the eround-rent ; and where the owner of the ground and the owner of the bulding are two diflerent persons, is, in most ease9, completely padd to the former. This surplus rent is the price which the inhabitant of the house pays for some real or supposed atvantage of the situation In country frumes, at a distance from any grat town, where there is plenty of ground to choose ufor, the ground-rent is scarce any thing, or no more than what the ground whinch the fotere stands upon would pay if employed in a, riculture. In country villas, in the neighthourhood of some great town, it is sometnines a grod deal higher; and the peculiar conwentency or beanty of situation is there frefuently very well pand fur. Ground-rents are generally lighest in the capital, and in those particular parts of it where there happens to be the greatest demand for houses, whatever be the reason of that demand, whether for trade and busmess, for pleasure and suciety, or for mere vanity and fashion.

A tax upon houre-rent, payable by the fenint, and proportioned to the whole rent of each honse, could not, for any considerable time at least, affect the building rent. If the builder did not get has reasonable profit, lie vould be obliged to quit the trade; whikh, by rassing the demand for building, womd in a short tume bring back its profit in its proper level with that of other trades. Nenther would such a tax fall altogether ijon the ground-rent; but it would divide lixit in such a manner as to fall, partly upon th.. qublabsant of the house, and partly upon the owner of the ground.

I et us, suppose, for example, that a parunuhar purson judges that he can atford for house-rent an expense of sixty pounds a ycur; and lit us suppose too that a tax of four slullings in the pound, or of one-hfth, 1 'uable by the iuhabitant, is land upon houserent, - a house of sinty pounds rent wall, in this c ise, cont him serenty-two pounds a year, whith is cweive poutuds more than be thinks le call afford. Ho will, thercfore, content iunse if wath a worse hotise, or a house of hity pounds rent, which, with the additional ton pounds that he must pay for the tax, will make up the sum of sixty pounds a year, the whone wheh be judges he can afford; and, in onder to pay the tux, he will give up a pirt of the additional conveniency which he might have had from a house of ten pounds a juar more rent. Ile wall give up, I say, a part of tints additional convenmency ; for the will stdum te ubliged to give up the whole, but will, in conserquence of the tax, get a Inetier house fir fity pounds a year, than be poulit have got if there fad been no tax. for as a tux of thas band, liy tahimp away this puticuiar complator, must dmanis! the conmetition for houses of sixty pounds reath to it thust havwise dimiwas it for those
of fifty pounds rent, and in the stane manmes for those of all other rents, cxeept the lwest rent, for which it would for some time 16 crease the competition. But the rents of every class of houses fur which the competition was dimmshed would necessarily be more or less reduced. As no part of this reduction however could, for any consuderable ume at least, affect the bunding retit, the whole of it must, in the long run, necessarily fall upon the ground-rent. The final payment of this tax, therefore, would fall, partly upon the inhabitant of the house, who, in order to pay his share, would be obliged to give up a part of his convenmency; and partly upon the owner of the ground, who, in order to pay his share, would be obliged to give up a part of his revenue. In what proportion this final payment would be divided between them, it is not perhaps very casy to ascertain. The division would probably be very different in different circumstances, and a tax of this kind moght, according to those different circumstances, affect very unequally both the intrabitant of the house and the owner of the ground.

The inequality with which is tax of this kind might fall upon the owners of different ground-rents would arise altogether from the accidental inequality of thas division. But the inequality wath which it might fill upon the inhabitants of different houses would arise not only from this but from another cause. The proportion of the ex. pense of house-rent to the whole enpense of living is different in the different degrees of fortune. It is perhaps highest in the bighest degree, and it diminushes gradually through the inferior degrecs, so as in general to be lowest in the lowest degree. The necessaries of life occasion the grcat expense of the poor. They find it difhcult to get food, and the greater part of their little revenue is spent in getting it. The luxuries and vanities of lafe occasion the principal expense of the rich; and a magnifieent house embelushes and sets off to the best advantage all the other luxurnes and vanuties which they possess. A tax upon house-rents, therefere, would in general fall heavest upon the rich; and in this sort of inequality there would not, perhaps, be any thing very unreasonable. It is not very unreasomalile that the ruh should contribute to the public expense, not only in proportion to thear revenue, but something more than in that proportion.

The rent of houses, though it in some respects resembles the ient of land, is in one respect essentially dillerent from it. The rent of land is paid for tho use of a productive subject. 'The land which pays it produces 1t. The rent of hou-es is paid for the use of an unproductive subject. Neither the

1 Thw opmaion 48 coasistent with Mr. South theors of protuchse and ubproductuve expendicures
house nor the ground which it stands upon produce any thing. The person who pays the rent, therefore, must draw it from some other source of revenue, distinct from and independent of this subject. A tax upon the rent of houses, so far as it falls upon the inhabitants, must be drawn from the same source as the rent itself, and must be paid from their revenue, whether derived from the wages of labour, the profits of stock, or the rent of land. So far as it falls upon the inhabitants, it is one of those taxes which fall, not upon one only, but indifferently upon all the three different sources of revenu:, and is, in every respect, of the same nature as a tax upon any other sort of consumable commodities. In general, there is not perhaps any one article of expense or consumption by which the liberality or narrowness of a man's whole expense can be better judged of than by his house.rent. A proportional tax upon this particular article of expense might perhaps produce a more considerable revenue than any which has hitherto been drawn from it in any part of Europe. If the tax indeed was very high, the greater part of people would endeavour to evade it as much as they could, by contenting themselves with smaller houses, and by turning the greater part of their expense into some other channel.

The rent of houses might easily be ascertained with sufficient accuracy, by a policy of the same kind with that which would be necessary for ascertaining the ordinary rent of land. Houses not inhabited ought to pay no tax. A tax upon them would fall altogether upon the proprietor, who would thus be taxed for a subject which afforded him neither conveniency nor revenue. Houses inhabited by the proprietor ought to be rated, not according to the expense which they might have cost in building, but according to the rent which an equitable arbitration might judge them likely to bring if leased to a tenant. If rated according to the expense which they might have cost in building, a tax of three or four shulings in che pound, joined with other taxes, would ruin almost all the rich and great families of this, and, I believe, of every other civilised country. Whoever wall examine with attention the different town and country houses of some of the richest and greatest familhes in this country, will find that, at the rate of only six and a half or seven per cent. upon the original expense of building, their bouse-rent is nearly equal to the whole neat rent of their

[^109]estates. It is ${ }^{\circ}$ the accumutated expmor of several successive gencrations, haiu out upon objects of great beauty and maymincence. indeed, but, in proportion to what they cost, of very small exchangeable value. ${ }^{1}$
Ground-rents are a still more proper subject of taxation than the rent of houses. A tax upon ground-rents would not raise the rents of houses. It would fall altogether upon the owner of the ground-rent, who acts always as a monopolist, and exacts the greatest rent which can be got for the use of his ground. More or less can be got for it, according as the competitors happen to be richer or poorer, or can afford to gratity their fancy for a particular spot of ground at a greater or smaller expense. In every country the greatest number of rich competitors is in the capital, and it is there accordingly that the highest ground-rents are always to be found. As the wealth of those competitors would in no respect be increased by a tax upon ground-rents, they would not probably be disposed to pay more for the use of the ground. Whrther the tax was to be advanced by the inhabitant or by the owner of the ground, would be of little importance. The more the inbabitant was obliged to pay for the tax, the less he would incline to pay for the ground so that the final payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the owner of the ground-rent. The ground-rents of uninhabited houses ought to pay no tax.

Both ground-rents and the ordinary rent of land are a species of revenue which the owner, in many cases, enjoy without any care or attention of bis own. Though a part of this revenue should be taken from him in order to defray the expenses of the state, no discouragement will therely le given to any sort of industry, The annual produce of the land and labour of the socicty, the real wealth and revenue of the great body of the people might he the same after such a tax as before. Ground-rents, and the ordinary rent of land, are therefore, perhap, the species of revenue which can best bear to have a peculiar tax imposed upon them.

Ground-rents seem, in this respect a more proper subject of pecular taxation than even the ordinary rent of land. The ordinary rent of land is, in many cases, owing partly at least to the attention and good management of the landiord. A very heavy tax might discourage too much this attention and good management. Ground-rents, so far as they exceed the ordinary rent of land, are altogether owing to the good government of the sovereign,

[^110]which, by protecting the industry eather of the whole peopic or of the mhabitants of some particular place, enables them to pay so much more than its real value for the ground which they build their honses upon; of to make to its owner so much more than compensation for the loss which he maght sustan by thas use of it. Nothing can be more reasunable than that a fund which owes st, existence to the good government of the state should be tayed pecultarly, or shouid contibute something more than the greater part of other funds towards the support of that government.

Though, in many diferent countries of Europe, taxes have been imposed upon the rent of houses, I do not know of any in which ground-rents have been considered as a sepurate subject of taxation. The contrivers of taxes have probably found some difficulty in ascertaming what part of the rent ought to be considered as ground rent, and what part ought to be considered as building rent. It shouid not. however, seem very difticult to distinguish those two parts of the rent trom one another.

In great Britain the rent of houses is suppused to be taxed in the same proportion as the rent of land, by what is called the anmual land-tax The valuation, according to which each different parish and district is assessed to this tax, is always the same. It was onginally extremely unequal, and it still contumes to be so. Through the greater part of the kingdom this tax falls still more lightly upon the rent of houses than upon that of land. In some few districts only, which were originally rated high, and in which the rents of houses have fallen cousiderably, the land-tax of three or four shillings in the pound is satd to amount to an equal proportion of the real rent of houses. Lutrnanted houses, though by law subject to the tax, are, in most districts, exempted from at by the favour of the assessors; and this exemption sometimes occasions some little vartation in the rate of particular houses, though that of the district is always the same. Improvements of rent, by new buildmps, repars, \&c., go to the duscharge of the distict, which occasions still further variatums in the rate of particular houses.

In the province of Holland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ every house is taxed at two and a half per cent. of its value, without any regard elther to the rent which it actually pays or to the circumstance of its being tenanted or untenanted. There secms to be a hardship in obliging the propretor to pay a tax fir an untenanted house from which be can derive no revenue; especailly so very heavy a tax. In Holldnd,
1 Ménoires concernant les Drolts, \&c. p 223.
2 In 1Has the house auty was repealed, but the conviction having gatued ground that it was much lisx objectionable than the window tax, the latter was repeated in 1851 , when also the former was reinposed. It only affects bouses worth 201 . a ycar and upwards, beirg at the rate of 9 d . per $\pm$ on their rent, provided they are not farmhousts, or in part orrupited as snops, tor, in such caseq, the duty is ouly fid per $E$. But it bis ditheult to dizeren any good
where the market rate of interest dues not exceed three per cenc., two and a half per cent. upon the whole value of the house mist in most cases, amount to more than a third of the bulding rent, perhaps of the whole rent, The valuation, indeed, according to which the houses are rated, though very unequal, is said to be always below the real value. When a house is rebuilt, improved or enlarged, there is a new valuation, and the tax is rated accordingly.

The contrivers of the several taxes which in England have, at diffirent times, been imposed upon houses, seem to have imagned that there was some great difficulty in ascertaining, with tolerable exactness, what was the real rent of every house. They have regulated their taxes, therefore, accordmg to some more obvious circumstance, such as they had probably imagined would, in most cases, bear some proportion to the rent.
The first tax of this kind was hearth-money; or a tax of two shillings upon every hearth. In order to ascertan how many hearths were in the house, it was necessary that the tax-gatherer should enter every room in it. This odious visit rendered the tax odious. Soon after the revolution, therefore, it was abolished as a badge of slavery.

The next tax of this kind was a tax of two shillings upon every dwelling-house inhabited. A house with ten windows to pay four shillings more. A house with twenty winwindows and upwards to pay eight shulings. This tax was afterwards so far altered, that houses with twenty windows, and with less than thirty, were ordered to pay ten shillings, and those with thirty windows and upwards to pay twenty shillings. The number of windows can, in most cases, be counted trom the outside, and, in all cases, without entering every room in the house. The visit of the tax-gatherer, therefore, was less offensive in this tax than in the hearth-money.

This tax uas afterwards repealed, and in the room of it was established the windowtax, which has undergone too several alterations and augmentations. The window-tax, as it stands at present (January 1775), over and above the duty of three shilhings upon every house in England, and of one shiling upon every house in Scotland, lays a duty upon every window, which in England aingments gradually from twopence, the lowest tatc, upon houses with not more than seven windows, to two shillings, the highest rate, upin houses with twenty-tive windows and upwards ${ }^{2}$

The principal objection to all such taxes is their mequality; an inequality of the $x$ orst kind, as they must fiequently fall much hea-
reakon why any description of houses should be exempted. The occupiers of $10 l$ houses have been entrusted with the elective franchise, and it is but reasonable that those to whom so valuable a privilege has been given should, at all events, contrioute therr far pruportio: of the tax on that description ot property which has been made the teas of their abuny to exercise the tranchuse. See farther Treatise on Tuxactoin, 3rded., p 65.
 house of ten pounds rent in a country town may sometimes have more windows than a house of five hundred pounds rent in London; and though the inhabitant of the former is likely to be a much poorer man than that of the latter, yet so far as his contribution is regulated by the window-tax, he must contribute more to the support of the state. Such taxes are, therefore, disectly contrary to the first of the four maxims above-mentioned. They do not seem to offend much against any of the other three.

The natural tendency of the window-tax, and of all other taxes upon houses, is tolower sents. The mure a man pays for the tax, the less, it is evident, he can afford to pay for the rent. Since the imposition of the window-tax, however, the rents of houses have, upon the whole, risen more or less in almost every town and village of Great Britain with which I am acquainted. Such has been almost everywhere the increase of the demand for houses, that it has raised the rents more than the window-tax could sink them,-one of the many proofs of the great prosperity of the country, and of the increasing revenue of its inhabitants. Had it not been for the tax, rents would probably have risen still higher.

## ARTICLE II.

## Taxes upon Profit, or upon the Revenue arising from Stoch.

The revenue or profit arising from stack naturally divides itself into two parts: that which pays the interest, and which belongs to the owner of the stock, and that surplus part which is over and above what is necessary for paying the interest.

This latter part of profit is evidently a subject not taxable directly. It is the compensation, and in most cases it is no more than a very moderate compensation, for the risk and trouble of employing the stock. The employer must have this compensation, otherwise he cannot, consistently with his own interest, continue the employment. If he was taxed directly, therefore, in proportion to the whole profit, he would be obliged either to raise the rate of his profit, or to charge the tax upon the interest of money; that is, to pay less interest. If he raised the rate of his profit in proportion to the tax, the whole tax, though it might be advanced by him, would be finally paid by one or other of two different sets of people, according to the different ways in which he might employ the stock of which he had the man nagement. If he employed it as a farming stock in the cultivation of land, he could raise the rate of his profit only by retaining a greater portion, or, what comes to the same
thing, the pice of a greater portion of the produce of the land; and as this could be done only by a reduction of rent, the filual payinent of the tax would fall upon the land. lord. If he employed it as a mercantile or manufacturing stock, he could raise the rate of his profit ouly by raising the price of tha poods; in which case the finul payment of the tax would fall altogether upon the consumers of those goods. If he dad nut raise the rate of his profit, he would be obliged to charge the whole tax upon that part of it which was allotted fur the interest of money. He could afford less interest for whatever stock be borrowed, and the whole weight of the tax would, in this case, fall ultumately upon the interest of moncy. So far as he could not relieve himself from the tax in the one way, he would be obliged to relieve himself in the uther.

The interest of money seems at firts sight a subject equally capable of beng taxed dsrectly as the rent of land. Like the rent of land, it is a neat produce which remains after completely compensating the whole risk and trouble of employing the stock. Av a tax upon the rent of land cannot raise rents, lecause the neat produce which remans after replacing the stock of the farmer, together with his reasonable profit, cannot be gruater after the tax than before it ; so, for the same reason, a tax upon the interest of money could not raise the rate of interect; the quantity of stock or money in the country, like the quantity of land, being supposed to remain the same after the tax as before it. The ordinary rate of profit, it has been shown in the first book, is every where regulated by the quantity of stock to be employed in proportion to the quantity of the einployment, or of the business which must be done by it. But the quatity of the employment, of of the business fore done by stock, could netther be ingeased nor diminished by any tax upon the interest of money. If the quantity of the stock to be employed, thercfore, was neither increased nor diminshed by it, the ordinary rate of profit would necessarily remain the same; but the portion of this profit necessary for compensating the nok and trouble of the employer would likewise remain the same, that risk and trouble beibry in no respect altered. The residue, therefore, that portion which belongs to the owner of the stock, and which pays the intereat of money, would necessarily remain the same too. At first sight, thercfore, the interest of money seems to be a subject as fit to be taxed directly as the rent of land.

There are, however, two different circumstances which render the interest of money a much less proper subject of direct taxaturo than the rent of land.

Firat, The quantity and value of the tand which any man possesaeq can never he a
socset, and can always be ascertained with grtat cxatesss; but the whole amount of the capital stork which the possesses 15 almost uhtody a secret, and can scarce cerer le asectthud wath tolerable exactness. It is hable, Wuiles, to ulmost continual variations. A war whilom pexes away, frequently not a l.with, sometumes searee a single day, in uluch it doeg not rise or fall more or less. An mquasiton minto cuery man's prisate carcumbtaticle, and an myuntion which, in whin to aecommodate the tax to them, n Hedud over all the fluctuations of his forrilte, would be a source of such continual and emilkss vexation as no perple could apport

Sicondil, Land is a subject which cannot te removed, whereas stock easily may. The propretor of land is necessarily a cinzen of the particular country in which his estate bu4 Ithe propretor of stock is properly a ctiren of the world, and is not necessarily atheled to any particular country. He would be apt to abandon the country in whin he was exposed to a vexatious inquistion, in order to be assesbed to a burdensome tax, and would remove his stock to some enher country, where he could enther carry on hin busness or enjuy lis fortune more at his cuse. lly remoring his stock, he would put an eud to all the industry which it had mainthited in the country which he left. Stock cultrates land; stock employs bahour. A Gur u hich tended to drise anay stók from any pirtacular country would so far tend to dry up every souree of revenue, both to the soucregra and to the sockty. Not only the profts of stock but the rent of land and the w uges of labour would necessarily be more or lews hammished by its removal.

The nations, accordingly, whe have attompted to tax the revenue arising from stenh, insted of any severe mquistion of thas hasl, have been obliged to content them-s-lics with some very loose, and, thereforc, nore or less abibitrary estimation. The ex-
-treme mequality and uncertanty of a tax assersed in this manner can be compensutcd ouly by its extreme moderation; in consequence of which, every man finds hambelf rated so very much below his real revenue that he gives himself little disturbance therugh has neghbour should be rated somewhit lower.

By what is called the land-tax in England, it was untended that the stork should be taxed ta the same proporton as land. When the tav upon hand was at four shillings in the pound, or at one-fifth of the supposed rent, it was intended that stock should be taxed at one-tifh of the supposed interest. When the present anmual lund-tax was first impored, the legal rate of anterest was ax per tent. Eaery buaired pounds stork, accordbety, was surip ed to be taved at twanty-
four slallings, the filth part of stx pounde. sume the legal rate of anterest has bren reduced to tave per cent, every hundsed pounds stock is supposid to be taxed at twenty slallings only. The sum to he rased, by what is called the land-tax, was divided between the country and the principal towns The greater part of it was lad upon the country, and of what was lad upon the towns, the greater part was assesxd upon the houses. What remaned to be assessed upon the stock or trade of the towns (for the stock upon the land was not meant to be taxed) was very much below the rcal value of that stoch or trade. Whatever mequalities, therefore, there might be in the original assessment gave hitle disturbance. Every parss and district still contanues to le rawd for its, land, its housen, and its stock, according to the origiual assessment ; and the almost universal prospenty of the country, which in most places has rassed very much the value of all these, has rendered those inequalitues of still less importance now. The rate too upon each district contmuing almays the same, the uncertainty of this tax, so far as it might be assessed upon the stock of any individual, bas been very much dimmished, as well as rendered of much less consequence. If the greater part of the lands of England are not rated to the land-tax at half their actual value, the greater part of the stoch of England is, perbaps, scarce rated at the fifneth part of its actual value. In sume towns the whole land-tax is assessed upon housts; as in Westmuster, where stock and trade are fice. It is otherwise in London.

In all countries a severe inquisition intr, the circumstances of private persons has been carefully avoided.

At Hamburgh l , every inhabitant is ouliged to pay to the state one-fourth per cent. of all that he possesses; and as the wealth of the people of Hamburgh consists principaly in stock, thus tar may be considered as a tan upon stock. Every man assesses himself, and, in the presence of the magistrate, puts annually into the public coffer a certan sum of money, which be declares upon oath to be one-fourth per cent of all that be possesses, but without declanng what it emounts 10 , or being hable to any exammation upon that subject. This tax is generally supposed to be pard with great fidchty. In a small republic, where the people have entire confidence in their magistrates, are convinced of the necessuty of the tax for the support of the state, and believe that it will be fathfully applied to that purpose, such conscientious and voluntary payment may sometimes be expected. It is not peculiar to the people of Hamburgh.

The canton of Underwald in Switzerland is frequently ravaged by storms and inundations
' Merorrea er necruant les Drgits, ome if pe.

and is thereby exposed to extraordinary expenses. Upon such occasions the people asserable, and every one is said to declare with the greatest frankness what he is worth, in order to be taxed accordingly. At Zurich the law orders, that, in cases of necessity, every one chould be taxed in proportion to his revenue; the amount of which be is obliged to declare upon oath. They have no suspicion, it is said, that any of therr fellow citizens will deceive them. At Basil the principal revenue of the state arises from a small custom upon goods exported. All the citizens make oath that they will pay every three months all the taxes imposed by the law. All merchants, and even all innkeepers, are trusted with keeping themselves the account of the goods which they sell cither within or without the territory: At the end of every three months they send this account to the treasurer, with the amount of the tax computed at the bottom of it. It is not suspected that the revenue suffers by this confidence. ${ }^{1}$

To oblige every citizen to declare publicly upon oath the amount of his fortune must not, it seems, in those Swiss cantons, be reckoned a hardship; at Hamburgh it would be reckoned the greatest. Merchants engaged in the hazardous projects of trade all tremble at the thoughts of being obliged at all times to expose the real state of thear circumstances. The ruin of their credit, and the iniscarriage of their projects, they foresee, would too often be the consequence. A sober and parsimonious people, who are strangers to all such projects, do, not feel that they have occasion for any such concealment.

Ia Holland, soon after the exaltation of the late prince of Orange to the stadtholdership, a tax of two per cent. or the fiftieth penny, as it was called, was imposed upon the whole substance of every citizen. Every citizen assessed himself and paid his tar in tne same manner as at Hamburgh; and it was in general supposed to have been paid with great fidelity. The people had at that time the greatest affection for their new government, which they had just established by a general insurrection. The tax was to be yaid but once, in order to relieve the state in a particular exigency : it was, indeed, too heavy to be permanent. In a country where the market rate of interest seldom exceeds tnree per cent., a tax of two per cent. amounts to thirteen shilings and fourpence in the pound upon the highest neat revenue which is commonly drawn from stock. It is a tax wnich very few people could pay without enctoaching more or less upon their capitals. In a particular exigency the people may, from great public zeal, mahe a great effurt, and give up even a part of their capital, in
${ }^{1}$ Mémoires concrernant les Droits, tome i. p. 163. 166. 151.
order to relieve the state; but it is impore sible that they should continue to do sos for any considerable time: and if they did, the tax would soon ruin them so completely as to render them altugether incapable of supporting the state.

The tax upon stock, imposed by the landtax bill in England, though it is proportioned to the capital, is not intended to dimmish or to take away any part of that capital. It iw meant only to be a tax upon the inturest of money, proportioned to that upon the rent of land; so that when the latter is at four shillngs in the pound, the former may be at four shillings in the pound too. The tar at Hamburgh, and the still more moderate taxes of Underwald and Zurich, are meant, in the same manner, to be taxes, not upou the capital, but upon the interest or neat revenue of stock: that of Holland was meant to be a tax upon the capital.

## Taxes upon the Profits of particular Empluyments.

In some countries extraordinary taxcs are imposed upon the profits of stock; sometimes when employed in particular branches of trade, and sometimes when employed in agriculture.

Of the former kind are in England the tax upon hawkers and pediars, that upen Thackithey coaches and chars, and that which the keepers of alehouses pay for a licence tó retail ale and spirituous lipuors. During the late war, another tax of the same kind was proposed upon shops. The war having been undertaken, it was said, in defence of the trade of the country, the merchants, who were to profit by it, ought to contribute towards the support of it.

A tax, however, upon the profits of stock employed in any particular branch of trade can never fall finally upon the dealers, (who must in all ordinary cases lhave their reasonable profit, and, where the competition is free, can seldom have more than that profit,). but always upon the consumer, who must be obliged to pay in the price of the goods the tax which the dealer advances, and generally with some overcharge.

A tax of this kind, when it is proportioned to the trade of the dealer, is finally paid by the consumer, and occasions no oppression to the dealer. When it is not so proportioned, but is the same upon all dealers, though in this case too it is finally paid by the consumer, yet it favours the great, and occasions some oppression to the small dialer. The tax of five shilings a weck upon every hackney coach, and that of ten shillings a year upon every backney chair, so far as it is advanced by the different keepers of such coachers and chairs, is exactly enough proportioned to the extent of their respective dealings It
wither fivours the great, nor oppresses the smollir dealer. The tax of twenty shillings a yer for a iteence to sell ale, of forty shilimes for a heence to sell spirituous liquors, and of forty shallings more for a licence to s. 11 wine, being the same upon all retailers, must necessaraly give some advantage to the great, and occasion some oppression to the small dealers. The former must find it more casy to get back the tus in the price of their powds than the latter. The moderation of the tax, however, renders this inequality of less importance; and it may to many people dipear not improper to give some discourigement to the multaplication of little alehouces. The tax upon shops, it was intended, should be the same upon all shops. It could not well have been otherwise. It would line been impossible to proportion with tolerable exactness the tax upon a shop to the extent of the trade carricd on in it, without such an inquisition as would have been altorether insupportable in a free country. If the tax had been inconsiderable, it would hase oppressed the small, and forced almost the whole retail trade into the hands of the great dealers. The competition of the former bung taken away, the latter would have enjoved a monopoly of the trade; and, like all other monopolists, would soon have combined to rase thir profits much beyond what was necessary for the payment of the tax. The final payment, iustead of falling upon the shopheeper, would have fallen upon the consumer, with a considerable overcharge to the profit of the shopkeeper. For these reasons, the project of a tax upon shops was land assde, and in the room of it was sulpstututed the subsidy 1759.1

What in France is called the personal ${ }^{6}$ talle, is perhaps the most important tax - upon the profits of stock employed in agriculture that is levied in any part of Europe. - In the disorderly state of Europe during the prevalence of the feudal government, the sovereign was obliged to content himself with taxing those who were too weak to refuse to pay taxes. The great lords, though willing to assist him upon particular emergencles, refused to subject themselves to any convtant tax, and he was not strong enough to force them. The occupters of land all ower Europe were, the greater part of them, originally bondmen. Through the greater pirt of Curope they were gradually emancupited. Some of them acquired the property of handed estates which they held by some base or ignoble tenure, sometimes under the kung, and sometimes under some other great lord, like the ancient copyholders of England. Others, without acquirng An equal tay laid on the profits of the capital
pestrd in every dintermit emplovment. would fall Festind in every difterent enplovmerat. Would fall
wholly on the capitalists, and would nether affiect wholly on the capitalists, and would nettier affire
che prices of commudities nor the distribution of
the property, obtained leascs for terms of years, of the lands which they occupted under their lord, and thus became less dependent upon him. The great lords seem to have beheld the degree of prosperity and independency which this inferior order of men had thus come to enjoy, with a malignant and contemptuous indignation, and wilhngly consented that the sovereign should tax them In some countries this tax was confined to the lands which were held in property by an ignoble tenure; and in this case the talle was said to be real. The land-tax established by the late king of Sardimia, and the taille in the provinces of Languedoc, Provence, Dauphiné, and Brittany, - in the generality of Montauban, and in the elections of Agen and Condom, as well as in some other districts of France, are taxes upon lands held in property by an ignoble tenure. In other countries the tax was laid upon the supposed profits of all those who held, in farm or lease, lands belonging to other people, whatever might be the tenure by which the proprietor held them; and in this case the talle was said to be personal In the greater part of those provinces of France, which are called the countries of elections, the taille is of this kind. The real taille, as it is imposed only upon a part of the lands of the country, is necessarily an unequal, but it is not always an arbitrary tax, though it is so upon some occasions. The personal taille, as it is intended to be proportioned to the profits of a certann class of people, which can only be guessed at, is necessarily both arbitrary and unequal.

In France the personal taille at presert (1775) annually imposed upon the twenty generalities, called the countries of elections, amounts to $40,107,239$ hivres, 16 sous. ${ }^{2}$ The proportion in which the sum is assessed upon those different provinces, varies from year to year, according to the reports which are made to the king's councll concerning the goodness or badness of the crops, as well as other circumstances, which may either increase or diminish therr respective abilities to pay. Each generality is divided into a certain number of elections; and the proportion in which the sum imposed upon the whole generality is divided among those different elections varies likewise from year to year, according to the reports made to the councl concerning their respective ablities. It seems impossible that the council, with the best intentions, can ever proportion, with tolerable exactness, either of those two assessments to the real abilities of the province or district upon which they are respectively laid. Ignorance and mis-

[^111]information must always, more or less, mislead the most upright council. The proportion which each parish ought to support of what is assassed upon the whole election, and that which each individual ought to support of what is assessed upon his particular parish, are both in the same manner varied, from year to year, according as circumstances are supposed to require. These circumstances are judged of, in the one case, by the officers of the election, in the other by those of the parish; and both the one and the other are, more or less, under the direction and influence of the intendant. Not only ignorance and misinformation, but friendship, party animosity, and private resentment, are said frequently to mislead such assessors. No man subject to such a tax, it is evident, can ever be certain, before he is assessed, of what he is to pay. He cannot even be certain after he is assessed. If any person has been taxed who ought to have been exempted, or if any person has been taxed beyond his proportion, though both must pay in the mean time, yet if they complain, and make good their complaints, the whole parish is reimposed next year, in order to reimburse them. If any of the contributors become bankrupt or insolvent, the collector is obliged to advance his tax; and the whole parish is reimposed nest year, in order to reimburse the collector. If the collector himself should become bankrupt, the parish which elects him must answer for his conduct to the receiver-general of the election. But, as it might be troublesome for the receiver to prosecute the whole parish, he takes at his choice five or six of the richest contributors, and obliges them to make good what had been lost by the insolvency of the collector. The parish is afterwards reimposed, in order to reimburse those five or six. Such reimpositions are always over and above the taille of the particular year in which they are laid on. ${ }^{2}$

When a tax is imposed upon the profits of stock in a particular branch of trade, the traders are all careful to bring no more goods to market than what they can sell at a price sufficient to reimburse them from advancing the tax. Some of them withdraw a part of their stocks from the trade, and the market is more sparingly supplied than before. The price of the goods rises, and the final payment of the tax falls upon the consumer. But when a tax is imposed upon the profits of stock employed in agriculture, it is not the interest of the farmers to withdraw any part of their stock from that employment.

[^112]Each farmer occuples a certan quantity of land, for which he pays rent. for the proput cultuvation of this land, a certan quantup of stock is necessary ; and by uthdrawing any pat of this necessary quantity, the farmer is not likely to be more able to pay ether the rent or the tax. In order to pay the tax, it can never be his interest to dunininh the quantity of his produce, nor consequently to supply the market more sparingly than before. The tax, therefore, will never enable him to raise the price of his produce, so as to reimburse himself by throwing the final payment upon the consumcr. The farmer, however, must have bis reasonable profit as well as every other dealer, otherwise he must give up the trade. After the imposition of a tax of thas kind, he can get this reasonable profit only by paying less rent to the landlord. The more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the less be can afford to pay in the way of rent. A tax of this kind, imposed during the currency of a lease, may, no doubt, distress or ruin the farmer. Upon the renewal of the lease, it must always fall upon the landlord. ${ }^{2}$

In the countries where the personal taille takes place, the farmer is commonly assebord in proportion to the stock which he appears to employ in cultivation. He is, upon thas account, frequently afraid to have a goud team of horses or oxen, but endeavours to cultivate with the meanest and most wretchid instruments of husbandry that he can. Such is his distrust in the justice of his assecsors, that he counterfeits poverty, and wishes to appear scarce able to pay any thing, for fear of being obliged to pay too much. By this miserable policy, he does not, perhaps, always consult hus own interest in the most effictual manner; and he prolably loses more by the diminution of his produce than he saves by that of bis tax. Though, in consequence of this wretched cultivation, the market is, no doubt, somewhat worse supphed, yet the small rise of price which this may occasion, as it is not likely even to indemnufy the farmer for the diminution of his produce, it is still less likely to enable him to pay more rent to the landlord. The public, the farmer, the landlord, all suffer more or less by this degraded cultivation. That the personal taille teuds, in many different way, to dis courage cultivation, and consequently to dry up the principal source of the wealth of every great country, I have already had occasion to observe in the third book of thas Iaquiry.

What are called poll-taxes in the wouthern provinces of North America, and in the West
country pays no rent ; and it is, consmiterily, imposoble that its producera sboull be indermitiad for a tax on profits by making a deduction from reat. For some remarks on tirs eubject, ses reference in the preceding note.

Indan islands, annual taxes of so much a had upon every negro, are properly tases uqun the profits of a certan species of stock emilised in agriculture. As the planters are, the greater part of them, both farmers and humblurd, the final payment of the tax fulls upon then in their quality of landlords whthut any retribution.
Taxes of sa much a head upon the bondmen employed in cultuvation, seem anciently to have been common all over Europe. liere subsists at present a tax of thas kind in the empire of Russia It 15 probably upon this eccount that poll-taxes of all kinds have often been represented as badges of blavery. Every tax however $1 s$, to the person who pays ith a badge, not of slavery, but of literty. It denotes that he is subject to fromernment, medeed, but that, as he has some property, be cannot himself be the property of a master A poll-tax upon slaves is aturither diferent from a poll-tax upon frumen: the latter is paid by the persons upon whom it is imposed, the former by a ditfirent set of persons. The latter is either altogether arbutrary or altogether unequal, and, in most cases, is both the one and the other; the furmer, though in some respects uncqual, different slaves being of different जalues, is in no respect arbitrary Every master who knows the number of his own 'anes, hnows exactly what he has to pay. Thwe ditierent taxes, however, being ealled lif the same name, have been considered as of the sime nature
The taxes which in Hohand are imposed upon men and mand servants are taxes, not ypon stuck, but upon expense, and so far riemble the tares upon consumable commodtiles. The tax of a guinea a head for every man serraut, which has lately been amprosed in Great Dincan, is of the same hind It falls beaviest upon the madding rank. A man of two hundred a sear may keep a suggle man servant. A man of ten thouzand a ye whill not keep fifty. It does not afteet the poor.

Taxes upon the profits of stock in particular employments can never affect the interest of money. Nobody will lend his money for less interest to those who exercise the taxed than to those who exercisc the untared employments. Taxes upon the re* cnue arning from stock in all employments, w!ait the government attempts to levy them "ith any degree of exactness, will, in many ches, fill upon the interest of money. The vingtieme, or twenteth penny, in France, is Itax of the same kind with what is called the land-tax in Englaud, and is assessed, in the sathe manner, upon the revenue arising from land, bouses, and stock. So far as it ahcus stork, it is assessed, though not with areat ricrour, wet with much more exan mess
than that part of the lund-tex of Cugland whech is imposed upon the same fund. It in many cases falls altogether upon the interest of money. Money is frequentiy suuk in France upon what are called contracts for the constitution of a rent, that is, perpetual annuities redeemable at any time by the debtor upon repaynent of the sum originally advanced, tut of which thes redemption is not exigible by the creditor, except in particular cases. The vingtieme seems not to have raised the rate of those annuities, though it is exactly levied upon them all.

APPENDIX TO ARTICLES L. AND II.
Taxes upon the Capital Value of Land. Houses, and Stock.

While property remains in the possession of the same person, whatever permanent taxes may have been imposed upon it, they have never been intended to diminish or take away any part of its capital value, but only some part of the revenue ansing fiom it. But when property changes hands, when it is tansmitted either from the dead to the living, or from the living to the lising, such taxes have frequently been imposed upon it as necessarily take away some part of its capital value.

The transference of all sorts of properts from the dead to the living, and that of immoveable property, of land and houses, from the living to the living, are transactions which are in their nature etther pulhe and notorious, or such as cannot be long concealed. Such transactions, therefore, may be taxed directly. The transference of stock, or moveable property, from the living to the living by the lending of moncy, is frequently a secret transaction, and may always be made so. It cannot easly, there fore, be taken directly It has been tased indirectly in two difierent ways. first, by requiring that the deed, contaning the obligation to repay should be written upon paper or parchment wheh had paid a certan stamp-duty, otherwise not to be valid; secondly, by requiring, under the luke penalty of invaludity, that it should be recorded either in a public or secret register, and by imposing certain duties upon such registration. Stamp duties, and duties of registran tion, have frequently been imposed lheewise upon the deeds transferring property of all kinds from the dead to the living, and ufon those transfernng immoveable property from the living to the living, - traisactuons which might easily have been taxed directly.

The sicesima bereditatum, the twentieth penny of inheritances, imposed by Augustus upon the anctent Romans, was a zax upon
the transference of property from the dead to the living. Dion Cassius, ${ }^{1}$ the author who writes concerning it the least indistinctly, says that it was imposed upon all successions, legacies, and donations, in case of death, except upon those to the nearest relations, and to the poor.

Of the same kind is the Dutch tax upon successions. ${ }^{2}$ Collateral successions are taxed, according to the degree of relation, from five to thirty per cent. upon the whole value of the succession. Testamentary donations, or legactes to collaterals, are subject to the like dutics. Those from husband to wife, or from wife to husband, to the fiftieth penny. The luctuosa hereditas, the mournful succession of ascendants to descendants, to the twentieth penny only. Direct successions, or those of descendants to ascendunts, pay no tax. The death of a tather, to such of his children as live in the same house with him, is seldom attended with any increase, and frequently with a considerable diminution of revenue; by the loss of his industry, of his office, or of some liferent estate, of which he may have been in possession. That tax would be cruel and oppressive which aggravated their loss by taking from them any part of his auccession. It may, however, sometimes be otherwise with those children who, in the language of the Roman law, are said to be emancipated; in that of the Scotch law, to be forisfamiliated ; that is, who have received their portion, have got families of their own, and are supported by funds separate and independent of those of their father. Whatever part of his succession might come to such chuldren would be a real addition to their fortune, and might therefore, perhaps, without more inconveniency than what attends all duties of this kind, be liable to some tax.

The casualties of the feudal law were taxes upon the transference of land, both from the dead to the living, and from the living to the living. In ancient times they constituted, in every part of Europe, one of the principal branches of the revenue of the crown.

The heir of every immediate vassal of the crown paid a certain duty, generally a year's reat, upon receiving the investiture of the estate. If the heir was a minor, the whole rents of the estate, during the continuance of the minority, devolved to the superior without any other charge, besides the mainterance of the minor, and the payment of

1 Lib. Iv. See also Burman de Vectigalibus Pop. n m.cap. xi, and Bouchaud del' Imp it du Vingtieme sur les Succensions. (Nute by the author)
2. 2, 4 See Mémorres concernant lee Droits, \&c., totne i., p. 225 ; p. 154 ; p. 157.

- At present (1863) the case is different. Bonds given as a security for the payment of any defimate fum of money cost-

the widow's dower, when there happened to be a dowager upon the land. When the minor came to be of age, another tax, called relief, was still due to the superior, which generally amounted lukewise to a gear'a rent. A long minority, which in the present times so frequently disburdens a great estate of all its incumbrances, and restoris the family to their ancient splendour, could in those times have no such eflect. The waste, and not the disencumbrance of the estate, was the common effect of a long minority.

By the feudal law the vassal could not alienate without the consent of his superior, who generally extorted a fine or composition for granting it. This fine, which was at first arbitrary, came in many countrics to be regulated at a-certain portion of the price of the land. In some countries, whers the greater part of the other feudal customs have gone into disuse, this tax upon the alienation of land atill continues to make a very considerable branch of the revenue of the sovereign. In the canton of Derne it 19 so high as a sixth part of the price of all noble flefs; and a tenth part of that of all ignoble ones. In the canton of Lucerne the tax upon the sale of lands is not universal, and takes place only in certain districts; but if any person sells his land in order to remove out of the terntory, he pays ten per cent. upon the whole price of the sale. ${ }^{4}$ Taxes of the same kind upon the sale either of all lands, or of lands held by certain tenures, take place in many other countries, and make a more or less considerable branch of the revenue of the sovereign.

Such transactions may be taxed indirectly, by means either of stamp-duties or of dutieg upon registration; and those duties either may or may not be proportioned to the value of the subject which is transferred.

In Great Britain the stamp-duties are higher or lower, not so much according to the value of the property transferred (an eighteen-penny or half-crown stamp being sufficient upon a bond for the largeat sum of money) as according to the nature of the deed. ${ }^{5}$ The highest do not exceed six


Stamps on conveyanced also vary whi variatuone In the value conveyed.
aver coses.

## Cuar. 11.

OF I AND, HOUSES, \&c.
pounds upon every hices of paper, or shin of parchment; and these hugh duties fall chiefly upon grants from the crown. and upon certan law proceedngs, without any rudrd to the value of the subject. There are in Gieat Britain no duties on the registratwon of deeds or writings, except the fees of the officers who keep the register; and these are seldom more than a reasonable secompense for their labour. The crown duries no revenue from them.

In Holland', there are both stamp-dutes and duties upon registration; which in some (ases are, and in some are not, proportioned to the value of the property transferred. All tentaments must be written upon stamped paper of wheh the price is proportioned to the property dispused of; so that there are stanups which cost fiom threepence, or three ituers a sheet, to three hundred florins, equal to about twenty-seven pounds ten shilings of our money. If the stamp is of an miteror price to what the testator ought to have made use of, his succession is confiscated. This is over and above all their other saxes on succewion. Except bills of exchange, and some other mercantile bills, all olnc: deeds, bouds, and contracts, are subject to a stamp-duty. Thas duty, however, does not rise in proportion to the value of the sulpect All sales of land and of houses, and all mortrages upon eather, must be registesed, and, upon registration, pay a duty to the state of two and a half per cent. upon the amount of the price or of the mortgage. Hhs duty is extended to the sale of all ships and vessels of more than two tons burthen, whether decked or undecked. These, it seems, are considered as a sort of houses upon the water. The sale of moveables, when it is ordered by a court of justice, 15 subject to the lhe duty of two and a half per cent.

In France there are both stamp-duties and dutes upon registration. The former are consulered as a branch of the aides or excise, and in the provinces where those dutics take place, arc levied by the excise ofhcers. The latter are considered as a branch of the domain of the crown, and are levied by a different set of officers.

Those modes of taxation, by stamp-duties and by duties upon registration, are of very modurn invention. In the course of little more than a century, however, stamp-duties Lue, ии Europe, become almost unversil, and duties upon regstrstion cxitremely common. There is no art which one government somer fearns of another than that of

[^113]draining money from the pockets of the people. ${ }^{2}$

Taxes upon the transference of property from the dead to the living fall finally, as well as immediately, upon the person to whom the property is transferred. Taxes upon the sale of land fall altogether upon the seller. The seller is almost always under the necessity of selling, and must therefore take such a price as he can get; the buyer is scarce ever under the necessity of buying, and will therefore only give such a price as he likes. He considers what the land will cost him in tax and price together: the more he is obliged to pay in the way of tax, the less he will be disposed to give in the way of price. Such taxes, therefore, fall almost always upon a necessitous person, and must therefore be frequently very eruel and oppressive. Taxes upon the sale of newbuilt houses, where the building is sold without the ground fall generally upon the buyer, because the buIdder must generally have bis profit; otherwise he must give up the trade. If he advances the tax, therefore, the buyer must generally repay it to him Taxes upon the sale of old houses, for the same reason as those upon the sale of land, f.ll generally upon the seller, whom, in most cases, etther couveniency or necessity obliges to sell. The number of new-built houses that are annually brought to market, is more or less regulated by the demand. Unless the demand is such as to afford the bulder his profit, after paying all expenses, he will build no more houses. The number of old houses which happen at any time to come to market, is regulated by accidents of which the greater part have no relation to the demand Two or three great bankrupteles in a mercantule town will bring many houses to sale, which must be sold for what can be got for them. Taxes upon the sale of groundrents fall altogether upon the seller, for the same reason as those upon the sale of land. Stamp-duties, and duties upon the registran tion of bonds and contracts for borroved money, full altogether upon the borrower, and in fact are always pard by hira. Duties of the same Lind upon law proceedings fall upon the sutors. Tbey reduce to both the capital value of the subject in dispute. The more it costs to acquire any property, the loss must be the neat value of it when acquired.

All taxes upon the transference of property of every hind, so far as they diminish the capital value of that property, tend to
the best new tax! Among many others, that of the rectigal charke, or stamp-duty, was surgested and. having been approved of, it x as mtroduced by an Ordnance in 164 . settung forth its necessuy, and the advantages whikh it was suppoeed would residt from its mposition. See Herkman's /history of Ineentions, vol 1 p 379 Eng trans
diminish the funds destined for the maintenance of productive labour. They are all more or less unthrifty taxes that increase the revenue of the sovereign, which seldom maintains any but unproductive labourers, at the expense of the capital of the people, which maintains none but productive. 1

Such taxes, even when they are proporthoned to the value of the property transferred, are still unequal; the frequency of transference not being always equal in property of equal value. When they are not proportioned to this value, which is the case with the greater part of the stamp-duties, and duties of registration, they are still more so. They are in no respect arbitrary, but are or may be in all cases perfectly clear and certain Though they sometimes fall upon the person who is not very able to pay, the time of payment is in most cases sufficiently convenient for him. When the payment becomes due, he must, in most cases, have the money to pay. They are levied at very little expense, and in general subject the contributors to no pther inconveniency besides always the unavoidable one of paying the tax.

In France the stamp-duties are not much complained of; those of registration, which they call the contrôle, are. They give oc. casion, it is pretended, to much extortion in the officers of the farmers general who collect the tax, which is in a great measure arbitrary and uncertain. In the greater part of the hibels which have been written against the present system of finances in France, the abuses of the contrôle make a principal article. Uncertainty, however, does not seem to be necessarily inherent in the nature of such taxes. If the popular complaints are well founded, the abuse must arise not so much from the nature of the tax as from the want of precision and distinctness in the words of the edicts or laws which impose it.

The registration of mortgages, and in general of all rights upon immoveable property, as it gives great security both to creditors and purchasers, is extremely advantageous to the public. That of the greater part of deeds of other kinds is frequently inconvenient and even dangerous to individuals, without any advantage to the public. All registers which, it is acknowledged, ought to be kept secret, ought certainly never to exist. The credit of individuals ought certainly never to depend upon so very slender a security as the probity and religion of the inferior officers of revenue, But where the fees of registration have been made a source of revenue to the sovereign, register offices have commonly been multiplied without end, both for the deeds which ought to be registered, and for

[^114]those which ought not. In France there are several different sorts of vecret registers This abuse, though not perhaps a necessary, it must be acknowledged is a very natural. effect of such taxes.

Such stamp-duties as those in England upon cards and dice, upon newspapers and periodical pamphlets, \&e. are properly taxes upon consumption; the final payment falla upon the persons who use or consume such commodities. Such stamp-duties as those upon licenses to retail ale, wine, and apirituous liquors, though intended perhaps to fall upon the profits of the retalers, are likewise finally paid by the consumers of those liquors. Such taxes, though called by the same name, and levied by the same officers and in the same manner with the stamp-duties above mentioned upon the transference of property, are however of a quite diffcrent nature, and fall upon quite different funds.

## ABrick IIt.

## Taxes upon the Wages of Labour.

The wages of the inferior classes of work. men, I have endeavoured to show in the first book, are everywhere necessarily regulated by two different circurnstances. the demand for labour, (and the ordinary or T, average price of provisions.) The demand for labour, according as it happens to le either inereasing, stationary, or declining, or to require an increasing, stationary, or declining population, regulates the subvistence of the labourer, and determines in what degree it shall be, either liberal, moderate, or scanty: The ordinary or average price of provisions determines the quantity of money which must be paid to the workman in order to enable him, one year with another, to purchase this liberal, moderate, or scanty subsistence. While the demand for labour and the price of provisions, therefore, remain the same, a direct tar upon the wages of habour can have no other effect than to raise them somewhat higher than the tax Let us suppose, for example, that in a particular place the demand for labour and the price of provisions were such as to render ten shillings a week the ordinary wages of labour; and that a tax of one-fifth, or four shallings in the pound, was imposed upon wages. If the demand for labour and the price of provisions remained the same, it would still be necessary that the libourer should in that place earn such a subsistence as could be bought only for ton slullings a week, or that after paying the tax he should have ten shillings a week free wages. But in order to leave him such free wages after paying such a tax, the price of haterur munt in that place soon rise, not to twelie shit. lings a week only, but to twelve and six
frace; that 14, in order to enable lime to pay a tiv of one-hitu, has wages must nucessaraly woun ise, not one-fifih part only, but onelouzth. Whatuver was the proportion of the tax, the wages of labour must in all cuses rise, not only in that proportion, but in a hepher proportion. If the tax, for example, was one-tenth, the wages of labour must necessarily soon rise, not one-tenth part only, lut one-erghth.

A direct tax upon the wages of labour, therefore, though the labourer might perlutps pay it out of his hand, could not properly be aad to be even advanced by him; at least if the demand for labour and the average price of provisions remained the same after the tax as before it. In all such cuses, nut only the tax, but something more than the tax, would in reality be advanced by the person who immediately employed him. The final payment would, in different cades, fall upon different persons. The rise wheh such a tnx might occasion in the wages of manufacturmg labour would be alvanced by the master manufacturer, who would both be entitled and obliged to charge it, with a profit, on the price of his roods. The final payment of this rise of wages, thercfore, together with the addstonal profit of the master manufacturer, would fall upon the consumer. The rise wheh such a tax might occasion in the wiges of country labour would be advanced by the farmer, who, in order to maintain the same number of labourers as before, would be obliged to employ a greater capit.l. In order to get back this greater cipntal, together with the ordinary profits of atock, it would be necessary that be should ritain a larger portion, or, what comes to the same thing, the price of a larger portion, of the produce of the land, and consequently that be should pay less rent to the landlord. The tinal payment of this rise of wages, therefore, would in this case fall upon the landlord, together with the additional profit of the farmer who had advanced at. In all custs a direct tix upon the wages of labour, must, in the long run, occasion both a greater reduction in the rent of land, and a greater rise in the price of manufactured goods, than would have followed from the proper assessment of a sum equal to the produce of the tax, partly upon the rent of land, and partly upon consumable comzo lathes:

If direct tares upon the mages of labour haten not alarays occasioned a proportional rise in those wages, it is because they have prenerally occasioned a considerable fall in tike demand for labour. The declension of

[^115]indurtry, the decrease of employment for the poor, the diminution of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, have generally been the effects of such taxes. In consequence of them, however, the price of labour must always be higher than it otherwise would have been in the actual state of the demand, and this enhancement of price, together with the profit of those who advance it, must always be finally paid by the landlords and consumers.

A tax upon the wages of country labour does not raise the price of the rude produce of the land in proportion to the tax; for the same reason that a tar upon the farmer's profit does not rase that price in that proportion.

Absurd and destructive as such taxes are, however, they take place in many countries. In France, that part of the taille which is charged upon the industry of workmen and day-labourers in country villages, is properly a tax of this kind. Their wages are computed according to the common rate of the district in which they reside; and that they may be as little liable as possible to any overcharge, their yearly gains are estimated at no more than two hundred working days in the year. - The tax of each individual is varied from year to year according to different circumstances, of which the collector or the commissary, whom the intendant appoints to assist him, are the judges. In Bohemia, in consequence of the alteration in the system of finances which was begun in 1748 , a very heavy tax is imposed upon the industry of artıficers. They are divided into four classes. The highest class pay a hundred florins a year; wheh, at two and twenty pence halfpenny a florin, amounts to 91. 7s. 6d. The second class are taxed at seventy; the third at fifty; and the tourth, comprehending artificers in villages, and the lowest class of those in towns, at twenty-five florins. ${ }^{3}$

The recompense of ingenious artists and of men of liberal professons, I have endeayoured to show in the first book, necessarily keeps a certain proportion to the emoluments of inferior trades. A tax upon this recompence, therefore, could have no other effect than to raise it somewhat higher than in pioportion to the taz. If it did not rise in this manner, the ingenious arts and the liberal profissions, being no longer upon a level with other trades, would be so much desorted that they would soon return to that level.

The emoluments of offices are not, like those of trades and professions, regulated by the free competition of the market, and do not, therefore, always bear a just proportions
are not indpmanified for ft by a rise of prices. See supplemevial aote on this subject
supplamentai ante on this subject
p. lus
to what the nature of the employment requires They are, perhaps, in most countries, higher than it requires; the persons who have the administration of government being generally disposed to reward both themselves and their immediate dependants rather more than enough. The emoluments of offices, therefore, can in most cases very well bear to be taxed. The persons, besides, who enjoy public offices, especially the more lucrative, are in all countries the objects of general envy, and a tax upon therr emoluments, even though it should be somewhat higher than upon any other sort of revenue, is always a very popular tax. In England, for example, when by the land-tax every sort of revenue was supposed to be assessed at four shillungs in the pound, it was very popular to lay a real tax of five shillings and sixpence in the pound upon the salaries of offices which exceeded a hundred pounds a-year ; the pensions of the younger branches of the royal family, the pay of the officers of the army and navy, and a few others less obnoxious to envy, excepted. There are in England no other direct taxes upon the wages of labour.

## ARTICLE IV.

Taxes which it is intended should fall indifferently upon every dyferent Species of Revenue.
The taxes which it is intended should fall indifferently upon every different species of revenue are capitation taxes, and taxes upon consumable commodities. These must be paid indifferently from whatever revenue the contributors may possess; from the rent of their land, from the profits of their stock, or from the wages of their labour.

## ousi a and suncertum <br> Capitation Taxes. \& id $\because$ inc

Capitation taxes, if it is attempted to proportion them to the fortune or revenue of each contributor, become altogether arbitrary. The state of a man's fortune varies from day to day, and without an inquisition more intolerable than any tax, and renewed at least once every year, can only be guessed at. His assessment, therefore, must in most cases depend upon the good or bad humour of his assessors, and must therefore be altogether arbitrary and uncertain.

Capitation taxes, if they are proportioned, not to the supposed fortune, but to the rank of each contributor, become altogether unequal; the degrees of fortune being frequently unequal in the same degree of rank.

Such taxes, therefore, if it is attempted to render them equal, become altogether arbitrary and uncertain; and if it is attempted to render them certain and not arbitrary, become altogether unequal. Let the tar be light or heavy, uncertainty is always a great grievance. In a light tax, a considerable
degree of inequality may be supported; in a heary one it is altogether intolerable.

In the different poll-taxes which took place in England during the reign of WisLiam III., the contributors were, the greater part of them, assessed according to the degree of their rank; as dukes, marquesses, carls, viscounts, barons, esquires, gentlemen, the eldest and youngest sons of peers, \&c. All shopkecpers and tradesmen worth more than three hundred pounds, that is, the beter sort of them, were subject to the same assessment, how great soever might be the difference in their fortune. Their rank was more considered than their fortune. Several of those who in the first poll-tax were rated according to their supposed fortunc, were afterwards rated according to their rank. Serjeants, attomeys, and proctors at law, who in the first poll-tax were assessed at three shillings in the pound of their supposed income, were afterwards assessed as gentlemen. In the assessment of a tar which was not very heavy, a considerable degree of inequality had been found less insupportable than any degree of uncertainty.

In the capitation which has been levied in France without any interruption since the beginning of the present century, the highest orders of people are rated according to their rank, by an invariable tariff; the lower orders of people, sccording to what is supposed to be their fortune, by an assessment which varies from year to year. The officers of the king's court, the judges and other officers in the superior courts of justice, the officers of the troops, \&c, are assessed in the first manner. The inferior ranks of people in the provinces are assessed in the second. In France, the great easly submit to a considerable degree of inequality in a tax which, so far as it affects them, is not a very beary one ; but could not brook the arbitrary ansessment of an intendant. The inferior ranks of people must, in that country, suff. patiently the usage which their superiurs think proper to give them.

In England, the different poll-taxes never produced the sum which had been expected from them, or which it was supposed they might have produced had they been exactly levied. In. France the capitation always produces the sum expected from it. The mild government of England, when it assessed the different ranks of people to the poll-tax, contented itself with what that assessment happened to produce; and required no compensation for the loss which the state might sustan ether by those who could not pay, or by those who would not pay (for there were many such), and who, by the indulgent execution of the law, wers not forced to pay. The more sevcre government of France assesses upon each generahty
a eertain sum, which the intendant must find as be can. If any province complains of benig assersed too high, it may, in the assessment of nest year, obtain an abatement proportioned to the overcharge of the year belore; but it must pay in the mean time. The mitendant, in order to be sure of finding the sum assessed upon his generality, was empowered to assess it in a larger sum, that the Culure or snabslity of some of the conthbuths night be compensated by the overAharge of the rest; and tlll 1765 , the fixation of this surplus assessment was left altogether to his discretion. In that year, indeed, the counull assumed thas power to itself. In the capitation of the provinces, it is observed by the perfectly well-informed author of the Memors upon the Impositions in France, the proportion which falls upon the nobility and upon those whose privileges exempt them from the taille, is the least considerable. The largest falls upon those subject to the taille, who are assessed to the capitation at so much a pound of what they pay to that other tax.

Capitation taxes, so far as they are levied upon the lower ranks of people, are direct taxes upon the wages of labour, and are attended with all the inconveniencies of such tuxes.

Capitation taxes are levied at little experise; and, where they are rigorously exicted, afford a very sure revenue to the state. It is upon this account that in countries where the ease, comfort, and security of the unferior ranks of people are little attended to, capitation taxes are very common. It is in general, however, but a small part of the public revenue, which, in a great empire, has wer been drawn from such taxes; and the greatest sum which they have ever allorded, might always have been fuund in some other way much more convenient to the people.

## Tures upon consumable Commodities.

The impossibulity of taxing the people in proportion to their revenue, by any capitation, seems to bave given occasion to the ilivention of taxes upon consumable commodilles. The state not knowing how to tax directly and proportionally the revenue of its subjects, endeavours to tax it indirectly by taxing their expense, which, it is supposed, will in most cases be nearly in proportion to their revenue. Their expense is tixed by taxing the consumable commodities upon which it is laid out.

Consumable commodities are either necessarica or luxuries

By necessaries I understand not only the commodities which are indispensably necesary for the support of life, but whatever the custom of the country renders it indecent for cerlitable people, even of the lowest
order, to be without. A linen shist, for erample, is, strictly speaking, not a necessary of life. The Grecks and Romans lived, I suppose, very comfortably, though they had no linen; but in the present times, through the greater part of Europe, a creditable daylabourer would be ashamed to appear in public without a linen shirt, the want of which would be supposed to denote that dtsgraceful degree of poverty, which, it is presumed, nobody can well fall into without extreme bad conduct. Custom, in the same manner, has rendered leather shoes a necessary of life in England. The poorest creditable person of either sex would be ashamed to appear in public without them. In Scotland, custom has rendered them a nece-sary of life to the lowest order of men, but not to the same order of women, who may, without any discredit, walk about barefooted. In France, they are necessarics nether to men nor to women; the lowest rank of both sexes appearing there publicly, without any discredit, sometimes in wooden shoes, and sometimes barefooted. Under necessaries, therefore, I comprebend not only those things which nature, but those things which the established rules of decency, have rendered necessary to tho lowest rank of people. All other things I call luxuries; wathout meaning, by this appellation, to throw the smailest degree of reproach upon the temprrate use of them. Beer and ale, for example, in Great Britain, and wine, even in the wine countries, I call luxurics. A man of any rank may, without any reproach, abstan totally from tasting such liquors.Nature does not render them necessary for the support of life; and custom nowhere renders it indecent to live without them.

As the wages of labour are everyshere, regulated partly by the demand for it, and partly by the average price of the necessary articles of subsistence, whatever raises this average price must necessarily raise those wages, so that the labourer may still be able to purchase that quantity of those necessary articles which the state of the demand for labour, whether increasing, stationary, or declining, requires that he should have. 1 A tax upon those articles necessarily rases their price somewhat higher than the amount of the tax, because the dealer, who advances the tax, must generally get it back with a profit. Such a tax must, therefore, occasion a nise in the wages of labour proportionable to this rise of price.

It is thus that a tax upon the necessaries of lufe operates exactly in the same manner as a direct tax upon the wages of labour. The labourer, though he may pay it out of his haud, cannot, for any considerable time at least be properly sad even to advance it. It must always in the long run be advanced
' See book l. chap 8 .
to him by his immediate employer in the advanced rate of his wages. His employer, if he is a manufacturer, will charge upon the price of his goods this rise of wages, together with a profit; so that the final payment of the tax, together with this overcharge, will fall upon the consumer. If his employer is a farmer, the final payment, together with a like overcharge, will fall upon the rent of the landlord. ${ }^{1}$ )

It is otherwise with taxes upon what I - call luxuries, even upon those of the poor. 'The rise in the price of the taxed commodities will not necessarily occasion any rise in the wages of labour. A tax upon tobacco, for example, though a luxury of the poor, as well as of the rich, will not raise wages. Though it is taxed in England at three times, and in France at fifteen times its original price, those high duties seem to have no effect upon the wages of labour. The same thing may be said of the taxes upon tea and sugar, which in England and Holland have become luxuries of the lowest ranks of people; and of those upon chocolate, which in Spain is said to have become so. The different taxes which, in Great Britain, have in the course of the present century been imposed upon spirituous liquors, are not supposed to have had any effect upon the wages of labour. The rise in the price of porter, occasioned by an additional tax of thee shillings upon the barrel of strong beer, has not raised the wages of common labour in London. These were about eighteen pence and twenty pence a day before the tax, and they are not more now.

The high price of such commodities does not necessarily diminish the ability of the inferior ranks of people to bring up families. Upon the sober and industrious poor, taxes upon such commodities act as sumptuary laws, and dispose them either to moderate, or to refrain altogether from the use of superfluities which they can no longer easily afford. Their ability to bring up families, in consequence of this forced frugality, instead of being diminished, is frequently, perhaps, increased by the tax. It is the sober and industrious poor who generally bring up the most numerous families, and who principally supply the dernand for useful labour. All the poor indeed are not sober and industrious, and the dissolute and disorderly might continue to indulge themselves in the use of such comrodities after this rise of price, in the same manner as before, without regarding the distress which this indulgence might bring upon their families. Such disorderly persons, however, seldom rear up numerous families; their children generally perishing from neglest,

[^116]mismsmagement, and the scantiness or unwholesomeness of their food. If by the strength of their constitution they survive the hardships to which the bad conduct of their parents exposes them, yet the example of that bad conduct commonly corrupts their morals, so that instead of bcing useful to society by their industry, they become public nuisances by their vices and disorders Though the advanced price of the luxuries of the poor, therefore, might increase somewhat the distress of such disorderly fambling, and thereby diminish somewhat their ability to bring up their children, it would not probably diminish much the useful population of the country.

Any rise in the average price of necessaries, unless it be compensated by a proportionable rise in the wages of labour, must necessarily diminish more or less the ability of the poor to bring up numerous families, and consequently to supply the demand for useful labour, whatever may be the state of that demand, whether increasing, stationary, or declining, or such as requires an mereasing, stationary, or declining population.

Taxes upon luxuries have no tendency to raise the price of any other commorlities except that of the commodities taxed. Taxes upon necessaries, by raining the wages of labour, necessarily tund to raise the price of all manufactures, and consequently to diminish the extent of their sale and consumption. ${ }^{2}$ Taxes upon luxurics are finally pard by the consumers of the commodithes tuxed, without any retribution. They fall indifferently upon every species of revenue, the wages of labour, the profits of stock, and the rent of land. Taxes upon necessaries, so far as they affect the labouring poor, are finally paid, partly by landlords in the dminished rent of their lands, and partly by rich consumers, whether landlords or others, in the advanced price of manufactured goods; and always with a considerable overcharge. The advanced price of such manufactures as are real necessaries of life, and are destined for the consumption of the poor-of coarse wioollens, for example - must be compensated to the poor by a farther advancement of their wages. The middling and superior ranks of people, if they understood their own in. terest, ought always to oppose all taxes upon the necessaries of life, as well as all direct taxes upon the wages of labour. The final payment of both the one and the other falla altogether upon themselves, and always with a considerable overcharge. They fall heaviest upon the lanillords, who alwass pay in a situble capacity: in that of lanuiords, by the reduction of their rent; and in that of ruch consumers, by the increase of their expense.

Rates of Proft and Wages on the Value of Commodities.

The observalion of Sir Matthew Decker, that certan taxes are, in the price of certan goorda, sometunces repeated and fccumulated four or fise tmes, is perfectly juat with refind to taxes upon the necessaries of hife. In the price of leather, for example, you m'ast pay, not only for the tax upon the leather of your own shoes, but for a part of that apon those of the shoemaker and the tanner. You must pay too for the taz upon the salt, upon the-cesand upon the candles which those workinen consume while employed in your aervice; and for the tax upon the leather which the saltraker, the soapmaker, aud the candlemaker consume whle employed m their service.

In Cieat Britain, the promepal taxes upon the necessaries of hife are those upon the four commodities just-now mentioned, sait, leather, soap, and candles.
Salt is a very ancient and a very umversal sulject of taxation. It was taxed among the Komans, and it is so at present in, I beheve, eviry part of Europe. The quantity annu ally consumed by any individual is so small, and may be purchased so gradually, that nobedy, it scems to have been thought, could feel very sensibly even a pretty heavy tax uprin it. It is in England taxed at three shallugy and tourpence a bushel; about thee times the original price of the commodity. ${ }^{1}$ In some other countries the tax is sull hagher. Leather is a real necessary of hife. The use of hanen renders soap such. In countries where the winter nights are long, cundles are a necessary instrument of trade. Leather and soap are in Great Britain taxed at three halfpence a pound; candles at a penny, ${ }^{2}$ taxes whach, upon the original price of leather, may amount to alout eight or ten per cent. ; upon that of soap to about twenty or five and twenty per cent, and upon that of candles to about tourteen or ifteen per cent,--taxes which, though lighter than that upon salt, are stull very heavy. As all those four commodities are real necessarres of hife, such heavy taxes upon them must increase somewhat the expense of the sober and industrious poor, and must consequently raise more or less the wages of their labour.
In a country where the winters are so cold as in Great Britan, fuel is, during that scuson, in the strictest sense of the word, a necessary of hife, not only for the purpose of dresung victuals, but for the comfortable subssistince of many different sorts of workmen who work within doors; and coals are the cheapest of all fuel. The price of fuel has so mportant an influence upon that of labour, that all over Great Britain manufac-
${ }^{1}$ In England the salt-tax, previousiy to ite repeal, in ly2.4, was as high as fiteen shillings a bushel.

* The duty on candles whs repeated in 1831, and the duty on soap shared the same fare in 1853.
tures have confined thenselves pronculally to the coal countries; other parts of the country, on account of the higls price of thes necessary artacle, not being able to nork so cheap. In some manufactures, besides, coal is a necessary instrument of trade; as in those of glass, 1ron, and all other metals. If a bounty could wany case be reasonable, it might perhaps be so upon the transportation of coals from those parts of the country in which they abound, to those in which they are wanted. But the legislature, instead of a bounty, has imposed a tax of three shulhings and three-pence a ton upon coal carried coastways, which upon most sorts of coal 15 more than sixty per cent. of the original price at the coal-pit. Coals carried either by Jand or by inland navigation pay no duty. Where they are naturally cheap, they are consumed duty-free, where they are naturally dear, they are loaded with a heavy duty. ${ }^{3}$

Such taxes, though they raise the price of subsistence, and consequently the wages of labour, yet they afford a considerable revenue to government, which it might not be easy to find in any other way. There may, therefore, be good reasons for continuing them. The bounty upon the exportation of corn, so far as it tends in the actual state of thllage to rase the prioe of that necessary article, produces all the like bad effects; and instead of affording any revenue, frequently occasions a very great expense to government. The high duties upon the importation of forelgn corn, wheh in years of mo. derate plenty amount to a prohibition, and the absolute prohibition of the mportation either of live cattle or of salt provisions, which takes place in the ordnary state of the law, and which, on account of the scarcity, is at present suspended for a limited time with regard to Ireland and the British plantations, have all had the bad effecte of taxes upon the necessaries of life, andproduce no revenue to government. Nothing seems necessary for the repeal of such regulations, but to convince the public of the futhity of that system in consequence of which they have been established.

Taxes upon the necessaries of life are much higher in many other countries than in Great Britain. Duties upon flour and rneal when ground at the mill, and upon bread when baked at the oven, take place in many countries. In Holland the money pnce of the bread consumed in towns is supposed to be doubled by means of such taxes. In heu of a part of them, the people who live in the country pay every year so much a head, according to the sort of bread they are

8 The duties on coal for home consumption, whether carned by cea or land, were repeaded in 1831.
supposed to consume. Those who consume wheaten bread pay three guilders fifteen stivers; about six shillings and ninepence halfpenny. These and some other taxes of the same kind, by raising the price of labour, are said to have ruined the greater part of the manufactures of Holland. ${ }^{1}$ Similar taxes, though not quite so heavy, take place in the Milanese, in the states of Genoa, in the duchy of Modena, in the duchies of Parma, Placentia, and Guastalla, and in the ecclesiastical state. A French author ${ }^{2}$ of some note has proposed to reform the finances of this country, by substituting in the room of the greater part of other taxes this most ruinous of all taxes. There is nothing so absurd, says Cicero, which has not sometimes been asserted by some philosophers.

Taxes upon butchers' meat are still more common than those upon bread. It may indeed be doubtful whether butchers' meat is anywhere a necessary of life. Grain and other vegetables, with the help of milk, cheese, and butter, or nil, where butter is not to be had, it is known from experience, can, without any butchers' meat, afford the most plentiful, the most wholesome, the most nourishing, and the most invigorating diet. Decency nowhere requires that any man should eat butchers' meat, as it in most places requires that he should wear a linen shirt or a pair of leather shoes.

Consumable commodities whether necegsaries or luxuries, may be taxed in two different ways. the consumer may either pay an annual sum on account of his using or tonsuming goods of a certain kind; or the goods may be taxed while they remain in the hands of the dealer, and before they are delivered to the consumer. The consumable goods which last a considerable time before they are consumed altogether, are most properly tazed in the one way; those of which the consumption is either immediate or more speedy, in the other. The coachtax and plate-tax are examples of the former method of imposing; the greater part of the other duties of excise and customs, of the latter.

A coach may, with good management, last ten or twelve years. It might be taxed, once for all, before it comes out of the hands of the coarhmaker: But it is certainly more convenient for the buyer to pay four pounds a year for the privilege of keeping a coach ${ }^{8}$, than to pay all at once forty or forty-elght pounds aditional price to the coachmaker; or a sum equivalent to what the tax is likely to cost him during the time he uses the same coach. A service of plate, in the same manner, may last more than a century. It is certainly easier for the consumer to pay

Give shillings a year for every hundred ouncea of plate, near one per cent. of the value, than to redeem this long annuity at five and twenty or thirty years purchase, which would enhance the price at least flive and twenty or thirty per cent. The different taxes which affect huuses are certainly more conveniently pard by moderate annual payments than by a heavy tax of equal value upon the firit building or sale of the house.

It was the well-known proposal of Sir Mathew Decker that all commudatics, even those of which the consumption is either immediate or very speedy, should be taxpd in this manner; the dealer advancing nuthing; but the consumer paying a certain annuad sum for the licence to consume certain goods. The object of his scheme was to promote all the different branches of foremg trade, particularly the carrying trade, by taking away all duties upon importation and exportation, and thereby enabling the merchant to employ his whole capital and credit in the purchase of goods and the freight of ships, no part of either being diverted towards the advancing of taxes. The project, however, of taxing, in this manner, goods of immediate or speedy consumption seems hable to the four following very important objections:-First, the tax would be more unequal, or not so well proportioned to the expense and consumption of the different contributors, as in the way in which it is commonly imposed. The taxes upon ale, wine, and spirituous higuors, which are advanced by the dealers, are finally paid by the different consumers, exactly in proportion to their respective consumption. But if the tax were to be paid by purchasing a licence to drink those liquorn, the sober would, in proportion to his congumption, be taxed much more heavily than the drunken consumer. A family which exercised great hospitality would be taxed much more lightly than one who entertanned fewer guests. Secondly, this mode of taxation, by paying for an annual, halt-yearly, or quarterly licence to consume certain goods, would diminish very much one of the principal conveniences of taxes upon goods of speedy consumption, the piece-meal payiment. In the price of threepence halfpenny, which is at present pard for a pot of porter, the different taxes upon malt, hops, and beer, together with the extraordinary profit which the brewer charges for having advanced them, may perhaps amount to about three halfpence. If a work man can conventently spare those three halfpence, he buys a pot of porter; if he cannot, he contents himself with a pint, and, as a penny saved is a punny got, he thus gans a farthing by his temperance; he pays the tax piece-meal, as he can afford to pay
horues; and $2 t$. If it be drawn by one borse: these duties being reduced when the dameter of the wheels ts under 30 inchen. There are alio dutues on carrisget with two wheels, \&e.
it, and when he can alford to pay it, and every act of payment is perfectly voluntary, and what he can avond if he chooses to do su. Thurdly, suid bue: riold onerate less as sumptuary lans. Whan the license was once purchased, whethar the purchaser drunk much or drunk lutle, has tax would be the same. Fourthly, if a workinan were to pay all at once, by yearly, half-yearly, or quarterly payments, a tax equal to what he at present pays, with hittle or no incoureniency, upon all the differcot pots and punts of porter wheh he drinks in any such period of time, the sum might frequantly distres him very much. This mode of tavation, the refore, it seems evident, could nevir, without the most grievous oppression, produce a revenue nenily equal to what is derived from the present mode without any oppresstion. In several countries, however, commoditues of an immediate or very speedy consmmption are taxed in thes manner. In Holland, people pay so much a head for a heense to drank tea. I have already mentoned a tux upon bread, wheh so far as it is consumed in farm-houses and country villages, is there levied in the same manner.

The dutues of excise are imposed chietly upon goods of home produce destined for home consumption; they are imposed only upon a few sorts of goods of the most general use. 'There can never be any doubt either concerning the goods which are subject to thove duties, or concerning the particular dluty which each species of goods is subject to They fall almost altogether upon what I call luxuries, excepting always the four dutres above mentioned, upon salt, soap, leather, candles, and perhaps that upon green glass.

The duthes of oustoms are much more anlent than those of excise. They seem to hive been called customs, as denoting customary puyments which had been in use from tume tmmemorial; they appear to have been ongually considered as taxes upon the prolits of merchants. During the barbarous thes of feudal anareby, merehants, like all the other mhabitants of burghs, were concidered as little better than emancipated bondmen, whose persons were despised, and whose g.uns were envied. The great nobulty, who had consented that the king should tallage the profits of their own tenants, wire not unwilling that he should tallage likewice those of an order of men whom it was wuch less ther interest to protect. In those ignorant times, it was not understood that the profits of merclants are a subyect wot tavable directly; or that the final payment of all such taxes must fall, with a considerable overcharge, upon the consumers.

The gains of alien merchants were looked upon more unfavourably than those of Enghish merchants; it was natural, therefore, that those of the former should be taxed nure heasily than those of the latter This
distinction betwen the duties upon alus and those upon English merchants, whach was begun fiom ignorance, has been continued from the spirit of monopoly, or in order to give our ow a merchants an advantage both in the home and in the foreign market
With this distinction, the ancient duties of customs were imposed equally upon all sorts of goods, necessanies as well as luxuries, goods exported as well as goods imported. Why should the dealers in one sort of goods, it seems to have been thought, be more favoured than those in another? or why should the merchant exporter be more lavoured than the merchant importer?
The ancient customs were divided into three branches. the first, and perhaps the most ancient of all those duties, was that upon wool and leather. It seems to have been chiefly or altogether an exportation duty. When the woollen manufacture came to be established in England, lest the king should lose any part of his customs upon wool by the exportation of woollen cloths, a like duty was mposed upon them. The other two branches were, first, a duty upon wine, which being imposed at so much a ton, was called a tonnage; and, secondly, a duty upon all other goods, which, beng imposed at so much a pound of their supposed value, was called a poundage. In the forty-seventh year of Edward III., a duty of sixpence in the pound was imposed upon all goods exported and imported, except wools, woolfells, leather, and wines, which were subject to particular duties. In the fourteenth of Richard II. this duty was rased to one shlling in the pound; but three years afterwards, it was again reduced to sxxpence. It was rased to eightpence in the second year of Henry IV.; and in the fourth of the same prince, to one shlling. From this time to the ninth year of Wilham III. this duty continued at one shilling in the pound. The duties of tonnage and poundage were generally granted to the ling by one and the same act of parlianent, and were called the subsidy of tonnage and poundage. The subsidy of poundage having contmued for so long a time at one shilling in the pound, or at five per cent., a subsidy came, in the language of the customs, to denote a general duty of this kind of five per cent. This subsidy, which is now called the old subsidy, still continues to be levied according to the book of rates established in the twelfth of Charles II. The method of ascertaning, by a book of rates, the value of goods subject to this duty is sand to be older than the time of James I. The new subsidy, imposed by the ninth and tenth of William III., was an additional five per cent. upon the greater part of goods. The one-thind and the two-third subsidy made up between them another five per cent, of which they were
proportionable parts. The subsidy of 1747 made a fourth five per cent. upon the greater part of goods; and that of 1759 , a fifth upon some particular sorts of goods. Besides those five subsidies, a great variety of other duties have occasionally been imposed upon particular sorts of goods, in order sometimes to relieve the exigencies of the state, and sometimes to regulate the trade of the country, according to the principles of the mercantile system.

That system has come gradually more and more into fashion. The old subsidy was imposed indufferently upon exportation as well as importation. The four subsequent subsidies, as well as the other duties which have since been occasionally imposed upon particular sorts of goods, have, with a few exceptions, been laid altogether upon importation. The greater part of the ancient duties which had been imposed upon the exportation of the goods of home produce and manufacture, have either been lightened or taken awsy altogether. In roost cases they have been taken away. Bounties have even been given upon the exportation of some of them. Drawbacks too, sometimes of the whole, and, in most eases, of a part of the duties which are paid upon the importation of foreign goods, have been granted upon their exportation. Only half the duties imposed by the old subsidy upon importation are drawn back upon exportation: but the whole of those imposed by the latter subsidies and other imposts are, upon the greater part of goods, drawn back in the same manner. This growing favour of exportation, and dis couragement of importation, have suffered only a few exceptions, which chiefly concern the materials of some manufactures. These, our merchants and manufucturers are willing should come as cheap as possible to themselves, and as dear as possible to their rivals and competitors in other countries. Foreign materials are, upon this account, sometimes allowed to be imported duty-free; Spanish wool, for example, flax, and raw linen yarn. The exportation of the materials of home produce, and of those which are the particular produce of our colonies, has sometimes baen prohibited, and sometimes subjected to higher duties. The exportation of English wool has been prohibited. That of beaver ckins, of beaver wool, and of gum Senegal, has been subjected to bigher duties; Great Britain, by the conquest of Canada and Se negal, having got almost the monopoly of those commodities. 3

That the mercantile system has not been very favourable to the revenue of the great body of the people, to the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, I have endeavoured to show in the fourth book of this Inquiry. It seems not to have been See oubè, p. 297, note.
more favourable to the revenue of the nope reign, so far at least as that revenue depends upon the duties of custors.

In consequence of that system. the importation of several sort of guods has been prohulted altogether. Thus prohibition has in some cases cniturely prevented, and in others has very much diminished the importation of those commodities, by reducing the importers, to the necessity of smuggling. It has entirely prevented the importation of foreign woollens; and it has very much diminished tbat of foreign silks and vulsets. In both cases it bas enturely annibilated the revenue of custorns which might have beell levied upon such importation.

The high duties which have been imposed upon the importation of many different wertn of foreign goods, in order to discourage their consumption in Great Britain, have un many cases served only to encourage smugaling; and in all cases have reduced the revenue of the customs below what more moderate duticn would have afforded The suying of Dr. Swift, that in the arithmetie of the curtoms two and two, instead of makug four, make sometimes only one, holds perfictly true with regard to such heavy duties, which neser could have bcen imposed, had not the mercantile system taught us, in many cases, to employ taxation as an instrument, not of ree venue, but of monopoly.

The bounties which are sometimes givel upon the exportation of home produce and manufactures, and the drawbacks which are paid upon the re-exportation of the great.r part of foreign good, bave given oceaswn to many frauds, and to a species of smukghthg more destructive of the public revenue thata any ather. In order to obtain the bounty or drawback, the goods, it is well known, are sometimes shipped and sent to sea; but soon afterwards clandestinely relanded in some other part of the country. The defilcation of the revenue of customs occasioned by bounties and dran backs, of which R great part are obtained fraudulently, is tery crait. The grose produce of the custome, in the year which ended on the 5th of January, 1755, amounted to $5,068,00 \%$. The bountite which were paid out of this revenue, though in that gear there was no bounty upon corn, amounted to $167,800 \mathrm{~L}$. The drawbacks which were paid upon debentures and certificates, to $2,156,800$ l. Bounties and draw. backs together amounted to $2,324,600 \%$. In consequence of these deductions the rerenue of the customs amounted ooly to 2,743.4001. . from which, deducting 287,900 . for the expense of management in galarics and othtr incudents, the neat revenue of the customs for that year comes out to be $2,455,300$. The expense of management amounts 14 this manner to between five and sux per cent. upon the gross revenue of the custurns, and
to something more than ten per cent. upon what remans of that revenue, after deductung what is paid away in bounties and draubucks.
Heavy duties beng imposed upon almost all gouita amported, our merchant importers smupgle as much, and make entry of as hitle as they can. Our merchant exporters, on the contrary, muke entry of more than thi'y export; sometimes out of vanity, and to pass lor great dealers in goorls whech pay no duty; and sometmes to gan a bounty or a drawhack. Our exports, in consequence of these different frauds, appear upon the custom-house books greatly to overbalince our imports; to the unspeakable comfurt of those politicians who measure the national prosperity by what they call the balance of trade.
All goods imported, unless particularly excupted, and such exemptions are not very numerous, are hable to some duties of customs. If any goods are imported not mentioned in the book of rates, they are tixed at $4 s{ }_{9}^{9} d$. for every twenty shillings shiue, necording to the oath of the importer, that iv, nearly at fise subsidies, or five permadage dutaes. The book of rates is extruely comprehensive, and enumerates a great variety of articles, many of them hittle ued, and therefore not well known. It is upon thas account frequently uncertain under what artucle a partucular sort of goods onght to be elassed, and consequently what duty they ought to pay. Mastakes with regard to this sometimes ruin the customhouse officer, and frequently occasion much trouble, expense, and vexation to the importer. In point of perspicuity, precision, and distinctness, therefore, the duties of customs are much inferior to those of uscise.

Iu order that the greater part of the members of any society should contribute to the pubhe revenue in proportion to their respectice expense, it does not seem necessary that every single article of that expense should be taxed The revenue which is livied by the duties of excise is supposed to fall as equally upon the contributors as that which is levied by the duties of customs; and the duties of exerse are imposed upon a few articles only of the most genetal use and consumption. It has been the opinoon of many people, that, by proput inanagement, the duties of customs uneht hhewne, without any loss to the public'revenue, and with great advantage to ionega trade, be confined to a few artucles onlv.

The foreign articles of the most gencral use and consumption in Great Britain scem at present to consist chiefly in foreign wnes and brandies; in some of the productions of America and the West Indies, sugar, rum,
tobacco, cocoa-nuts, \&e. ; and in some of those of the East Indres, tea, coffee, chmaware, spicertes, of all kinds, several sorts of piece-goods, \&c. These different articles afford, perhaps, at present, the greater part of the revenue which is diawn from the duties of customs. The taxes which at present subsist upon foreign manufactures, if you except those upon the few contaned in the foregoing enumeration, have, the greater part of them, been imposed for the purpose, not of revenue, but of monopoly, or to give our own merchants an advantage in the home market. By removing all prohibitions, and by subjecting all foreign manufactures to such moderate taves as it was found from experience afforded upon each article the greatest revenue to the public, our own workmen might still have a considerable advantage in the home market; and many articles, some of which at present afford no revenue to government, and others a very inconsiderable one, might afford a very great one.

High taxes, sometimes by diminishing the consumption of the taxed commodities, and sometumes by encouraging smuggling, frequently afford a smaller revenue to government than what might be drawn from more moderate taxes

When the diminution of revenue ts the effect of the diminution of consumption, there can be but one remedy, and that is the lowering of the tax.

When the dimmution of the revenue is the effect of the encouragement given to smuggling, it may perhaps be remedied in two ways: either by dimmishing the teniptation to smuggle, or by mereasing the difficulty of smuggling. The temptation to smuggle can be diminished only by the lowering of the tax, and the difficulty of smuggling can be increased only by establishing that system of administration which is most proper for preventing it.

The excise laws, it appears, I believe, from experience, obstruct and embarrass the operations of the smuggler much mose effectually than those of the customs. By introducing into the customs a system of admunistration as similar to that of the excise as the nature of the different duties will admit, the difficulty of smuygling might be very much increased. This alteration, it bas been supposed by many people, might very easuly be brought about.

The importer of commodities liable to any duties of customs, it has been said, might, at his option, be allowed either to carry them to his own prixate warebouse, or to lodge them in a warehouse provided either at his own expense or that of the publac, but under the key of the custom-house officer;-and never to be opened but in his presence. If the merchant carried them to his own private
warchouse, the duties to be immediately puid, and never afterwards to be drawn back; and that warehouse to be at all times subject to the visit and examination of the custom-house officer, in order to ascertain how far the quantity contained in it corresponded with that for which the duty had been paid. If he carried them to the public warehouse, no duty to be paid till they were taken out for home consumption. If taken out for exportation, to be duty-free; proper security being always given that they should be so exported. The dealers in those particular commodities, either by wholesale or retail, to be at all times subject to the visit and examination of the custom-house officer; and to be obliged to justify by proper certificates the payment of the duty upon the whole quantity contained in their shops or warehouses. What are called the exciseduties upon rum imported are at present levied in this manner; and the same system of administration might perhaps be extended to all duties upon goods imported; provided always that those duties were, like the duties of excise, confined to a few sorts of goods of the most general use and consumption. If they were extended to almost all sorts of goods, as at present, public warehouses of sufficient extent could not easily be provided, and grods of a very delicate nature, or of which the preservation required much care and attention, could not safely be trusted by the merchant in any warehouse but his own.

If, by such a system of administration, smuggling to any considerable extent could be preventel, even under pretty high duties; and if every duty was occasionally either heightened or lowered according as it was most likely, either the one way or the other, to afford the greatest revenue to the state, taxation being always employed as an instrument of revenue, and never of monopoly, it seems not improbable that a revenue, at least equal to the present neat revenue of the customs, might be drawn from duties upon the importation of only a few sorts of goods of the most general use and consumption; and that the duties of customs might thus be brought to the same degree of simplicity, certainty and precision, as those of excise. What the revenue at present loses by drawbacks upon the re-exportation of foreign goods, which are afterwards relanded and consumed at home, would, under this system, be saved altogether. If to this saving, which would alone be very considerable, were added the abolition of all bounties upon the exportation of home produce - in all cases in whinch those bounties were not in reality drawbacks of some duties of excise which had before been advanced-it cannot well be doubted but that the neat revenue of customs might, after an alteration of this
knd, be fully equal to what it had eves been before.

If, by such a change of system, the puhlio revenue suffered no luss, the trade and tnanufactures of the country would certainly gain a very considerable advantage The trade in the commodatics not taxed, by far the greatest number, would be perfectly free, and might be carried on to and from all parts of the world with every possible advantage. Among those commodities would be comprehended all the neceskaries of life, and all the materials of manufacture. So far as the free importation of the necescarics of life reduced their average money price in the home market, it would reduce the money price of labour, but without reducug in any respect its real recompense. The value of money is in proportion to the quantity of the necessaries of life which it will purchase; that of the necessaries of life is altogether independent of the quantity of money which can be had for them. The reduction in the boey price of labour would necessarily be attended with a proportionalle one in that of all home manufactures, which would thereby gain some advantage in all foreign markets. The price of some manufactures would be reduced in a still greater proportion by the free importation of the raw materials. If raw silk could be imported from China and Indostan duty-free, the silk manufacturers in England could greatly un. dersell those of both France and Italy. There would be no occasion to prolilit the importation of foreign silks and velvets; the cheapness of their goods would secure to our own workmen not only the possession of the home but a very great command of the foreign market : even the trade in the commoduties tazed would be carried on with much more advantage than at present. If those commodities were delivered out of the public warehouse for foreign exportation, being in this case exempted from all taxes, the trade in them would be perfectly free. The carrying trade in all sorts of goods would, under this systern, enjoy every possible advantage. If those commodities were delivered out for home consumption, the importer not being obliged to adrance the tar till he had an opportunity of selling his goods, either to some dealer or to some consumer, he could always afford to sell them cheaper than if he had been obliged to advance it at the moment of importation. Under the same tares, the fortign trade of consumption, even in the taxed commodities, might in this manner be carried on with much more advantage than it can at present.

It was the object of the famous excise scheme of Sir Robert Walpole to eatablish, with regard to wine and tobaceo, \& system not very unlike that which is here proposed.

But though the bill which was then brought into parhament comprehended those two commodities only, it was generally supponid to bg meant as an introduction to a more extensive scheme of the same kind. laction, contined with the interest of tmuqghing merchants, raised so violent, though so unjust a clamour aganst that bill, that the minster thought pouper to drop it; ard from a dread of exciting a clamour of the same hind, none of ths successors have dised to resume the project. ${ }^{1}$

The duttes upon foreign luxuries imported for home consumption, though they sometines fall upon the poor, fall prineipally upon people of mulding or more than middhing tortune. Such are, for example, the duthes upon foreign wines, upon coffee, chocolate, tea, sugar, \&ic.

The dutics upon the cheaper luxuries of home produce, destmed for home consumption, fall pretty equally upon people of all ranks in proportion to their respective, expense. The poor pay the duties upon mant, hops, beer, and ale, upon their own consumption. the rich, upon both their own consumption and that of their servants.

The whole consumption of the inferior ranks of people, or of those below the moddling rank, it must be observed, is in eviry country much greater, not only in quantity but in value, than that of the mudduing and of thase above the middling rank. The whole expense of the inferior is much greater than that of the superior ranks. In the first place, almost the whole capital of every country is annually distributed anong the inferior ranks of people, as the uages of productive labour. Secondly, a ghe at part of the revenue arising from both tie rent of land and the protits of stock is annually distributed among the same rank, in the wages and mantenance of menial servant, and other unproductive labourers. Thardy, some part of the profits of stock belongs to the same rank, as a revenue arisbig from the employment of their small capitals. The amount of the profits annually made by small shopkeepers, tradesnen, and retailers of all hinds, is everywhere very considerable, and makes a very constdebable portion of the annual produce. Fourthly and lastly, some part even of the rent of land bulungs to the same rank; a considerable part to those nho are somewhat Loluw the muddling rauk, and a small part evin to the lowest rank; common labourers sometumes possessing in property an acre or two of lamu. Inoush the expense of those mbertor ranks of people, therefore, taking

[^117]them individually, is very small, yet the whole mass of it, taking them collectively, amounts always to by much the largest portion of the whole expense of the society: what remains of the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, for the consumption of the superior ranks being always much less, not only in quantaty but in value. The taxes upon expeuse, therefore, which fall chiefly upon that of the superior ranhs of people, upon the smaller portion of the annual producc, are likely to be much less productive than ether those which fall indifferently upon the expense of all ranks, or even those which fall chiefly upon that of the inferior ranks, than eitber those which fall indafferently upon the whole annual produce, or those whach fall chiefly upon the larger portion of it. The excise upon the materials and manufacture of home-made fermented and spirituous liquors is accordingly, of all the different taxes upon expense, by far the most productive; and this branch of the excise falls very much, perhaps principally, upon the expense of the common people. In the year which ended on the 5 th of July, 1775, the gross produce of thas bianch of the excise amounted to $3,941,837 l .9 \mathrm{~s} .9 \mathrm{~d} .{ }^{2}$

It must always be remembered, however, that it is the luxurious and not the necessary expense of the inferior ranks of people that ought ever to be taxed. The final payment of any tax upon their necessary expense would fall altogether upon the superior ranks of people; upou the smaller portion of the annual produce, and not upon the greater. Such a tax must in all cases elther raise the wages of labour, or lessen the demand for it. It could not rase the wages of labour without throwng the final payment of the tax upon the superior ranhs of people. It could not lessen the demand tor labour without lessening the annual produce of the land and labour of the country, the fund from which all taves must be finally paid. Whatever might be the state to which a tax of this kna reduced the demand for babour, it nust always rase wages hugher thnn they otherwise would be in that state; and the final payment of this enhancement of wages must in all cases fall upon the superior ranks of pcople.

Fermented liquors brewed, and spirituous liquors distilled, not for sale but for private use, are not in Great Britain liable to any duties of excise. This exemption, of which the object is to save private famizes from the odious visit and examination of the taxgatherer, occasions the burden of those duties to fall frequently much highter upon 9,000,000l a year, was repealed in 18 in The emose yroduce of the duturg on Brati-h spurits and ualt, in ureat Britan, for the resr ended thes 31 ot
 nett produce to $12,22+2,04 \mathbf{n}^{\prime}$
the rich that upon the poor. It is not, indeed, very common to distul for private use, though it is done sometimes; but in the country, many middling and almost all rich and great families brew their own beer. Their strong beer, therefore, costs them eight shillings a barrel' less than it costs the common brewer, who must have his profit upon the tax, as well as upon all the other expense which he advances. Such families, therefore, must drink their beer at least nine or ten shillings a barrel cheaper than any liquor of the same quality can be drunk by the common people, to whom it is everywhere more convenient to buy their beer, by little and little, from the brewery or the alehouse Malt, in the same manner, that is made for the use of a private family is not liable to the visit or examination of the targatherer; but in this case the family must compound at seven shillings and sixpence a head for the tax. ${ }^{1}$ Seven shillings and gixpence are equal to the excise upon ten bushels of mait,-a quantity fully equal to what-all the different members of any sober family, men, women, and children, are at an average likely to consume. But in rich and gleat families, where country hospitality is much practised, the malt liquora consumed by the members of the family make but a small part of the consumption of the house. Either on account of this composition, however, or for other reasons, it is not near so common to malt as to brew for private use. It is difficult toimagine any equitable reason why thuse who either brew or distal for private use should not be subject to a composition of the same kind.

A greater revenue than what is at present drawn from all the heavy taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, noight be rased, it has fre. quently been said, by a much lighter tax upon malt; the opportunities of defrauding the revenue being much greater in a brewery than in a malt-house; and those who brew for private use being exempted from all duties or composition for duties, which is not the case with those who malt for private use.

In the porter brewery of London, a quarter of malt is commonly brewed into more than two barrels and a half, sometimes into thrpe barrels of porter. The different taxes upon malt amount to sir shillings a quarter; those upon strong beer and ale to etght shillings a barrel. ${ }^{\circ}$. In the porter brewery, therefore, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, amount to between twenty-six and thirty shillings upon the proriuce of a quarter of malt. In the country brewery, for common country sale, a quarter of malt is seldom brewed into less than two barrels of strong aud one barrel of small beer ; fre-

1 This dastinction has long ceased to exist; the mait that is utaed in private families being subjected to the asme dity as thas which is used in the publuc brewertes.

9 The exrsting (1863) duty on malt amounts to
quently into two barrela and a half of atrong beer. The diferent taxes upon amall beer amount to one shilling and four-pence a barrel. In the country breu ary, therefors, the different taxes upon malt, beer, and ale, seldom amount to less than in enty-three shithags and four-pence, freguendy to twentysux shillings upon the produce of a quartir of math laking the whole kingdorn at an average, therefore, the whole ahount of the duties upon malt, beer, and ale cannot be estimated at less than twenty-four or twentyfive shallings upon the produce of a quarticr of malt. But by taking off all the dufferent duties upon beer and ale, and by tripling the malt tax, or by raising it from six to eighteen shillings upon the quarter of malt, a greater revenue, it is said, might be rased by this single tax than what is at preseut drawn from all those heavier taxes.
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In 1774, the old tax produced - $\quad-644,614$ it by The additional - - -8107458 \&
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gong by -
Under the old malt-tar, indeed, is comprehended a tax of four shillings upun the hogshead of cyder, and another of ten shillings upon the barrel of mum. ${ }^{3}$ In 1774, the tax upon cyder produced only 3,083l. 6s. 8d. It probably fell somew hat short of its usual amonnt; all the different taxes upon cyder

25, 7d, a bushel, or 208 dd . a quarter. There in no longer, as a, ready gtated, any duty on beer.

- The duties on cyder, perry, and mure bare all been repealed.
having that year produced less than ordinary. Tbe tix upon mum, though much heavier, is still less productive, on account of the smaller consumpucan of that hiquor. But to balance natever may be the ordinary amount of those two taxes, there is cotnprehended under what is called the country excise, first, the old excise of six shallings andeght-pence upon the hugshead of cyder; secondly, a like tux of six shillings and eight-pence upon the bugshead of verjuice; thirdy, another of Wht shallings and name-pence upon the hogribedd of vinegar; and lastly, a fourth tax of weven-pence upon the galion of mead or methechin: the produce of those different taxes will probably much more than counterbalance that of the duties impused, by what ts called the annual malt-tax upon cyder and mum.
Mult 18 consumed not only in the brewery of beer and ale, but in the manufacture of luw wines and spirits. If the malt-tax were to be rased to enghteen shilings upon the quarter, it might be necessary to make some abatement in the different excises which are monposed upon those particular sorts of low wines and spirits of which malt makes any part of the materials. In what are called nait sprits, it makes commonly but a third part of the materials; the other two-thirds being either raw barley, or one-third barley and one-third wheat. In the distillery of malt spurits, both the opportumty and the temptation to smuggle are much greater than eather in a brewery or in a malt house: the opportunty, on account of the smaller bulk and greater value of the commodity; and the temptation, on account of the supetior height of the duties, which amount to Ss. logd. upou the gallon of spirits. By turceastug the dutzes upon malt, and reducing those upon the distillery, both the opportumtes and the temptation to smaggle would be dimunshed, which might occasion a stall further augmentation of revenue.
- It has for some time past been the policy of Great Britan to discourage the consumphon of spirituous hquors, on account of their supposed tendency to rum the health and corrupt the morals of the common people. According to this policy, the abatement of the taxes upon the distllery ought not to be so great as to reduce, in any respect, the price of those Liquors. Spirituous higuors inght remain as dear as ever. while at the same tune, the wholesome and invigorating liquors of beer and ale might be considerably reduced in their prace. The people mizht thus be in part relueved from one of the burdeus of which they at present complan the

1 Though the duties directly imposed upon proof spirtis atiount only to $2 s$ gd per gallon, these added to the duties upon the low wines, from which they are distilled, amount to 3s lozd. Both low wines sond orwof spirics are, to prevent frauds, now rated acordiag to what they gauge in the wash. (Note by the sulthor.)
most, while, at the same time, the revemic might be considerably augmented.

The objections of Dr. Davenant to this alteration in the present system of excise duthes seem to be without foundation. Ihose objections are, that the tax, instead of dividing itself as at present, pretty equally upon the profit of the maltster, upon that of the brewer, and upon that of the retaler, would, so tar as it afficted profit, fall altogether upon that of the maltster; that the maltster could not so easily get back the amount of the tax in the adranced price of his malt, as the brewer and retaller in the advanced price of their liquar; and that so heavy a tax upon malt might reduce the rent and profit of barley land.

No tax can ever reduce, for any considerable tume, the rate of profit $m$ any particular trade, which must always keep its level with other traces in the neignbourhood. The present dutres lipon inat, beer, aiñ ale, do not affect the prohts of the dealers in those commoditics, who all get back the tax with an adduonal protit in the enhanced price of their goods. A tax, indeed, may render the goods upon which it is mposed so dear as to dimush the consumption of them. But the consumption of malt is in malt liquors; and a tax of eighteen shilings upon the quarter of malt co..ld not well render those liquors dearer than the different taxes, amounting to twenty-four or twenty-five shilings, do at present. Those hquors, on the contrary, would probably become cheaper, and the consumption of them would be more likely to merease than to diminish.
It is not very easy to understand why it should be more difficult for the maltster to get back eighteen shillings in the advanced pice of his malt, than it is at present for the brewer to get back twenty-four or twentyHive, sometimes thirty shilings, in that of his liquor. The malister, indeed, instead of a tax of six shillings, would be obliged to advance one of etghteen shillings upon evary quarter of malt. But the brewer is at present obliged to advance a tax of twenty-four or tuentydive, sometimes thirty shilings, upon every quarter of malt which he breite It could not be more inconvenicut tor the maltster to advance a lighier tax than it is at present for the brewer to adrance a heavic $r$ one. The maltster does not always keep in his granaries a stock of malt which it will require a longer time to dispose of than the stock of beer and ale which the brewer frequently keeps in his cellars. The former, therefore, may frequently get the returns of his money as soon as the latter. But what-

The duty on British spirits entered for home consumption in the United Kingdom has been, smice 1860, no kess than 10s, a gallon. This, however, is a most eppressive duty, and it would be more productive were it roduced to 7 s a gallon. See Treatse on Taxauon, 3rd ed., Pp. 364-370.
ever inconveniency might arise to the maltster from being obliged to advance a heavier tax, it could easily be remedied by granting him a few months longer credit than is at present commonly given to the brewer.

Nothing could reduce the rent and profit of barley land which did not reduce the demand for barley. But a change of system, which reduced the duties upon a quarter of malt brewed into beer and ale from twentyfour and twenty-five shillings to eighteen shillings, would be more likely to increase than diminish that demand. The rent and profit of barley land, besides, must always be nearly equal to those of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land. If they were less, some part of the barley land would soon be turned to some other purpose; and if they were greater, more land would soon be turned to the raising of barley. When the ordinary price of any particular produce of land is at what may be called a monopoly price, a tax upon it necessarily reduces the rent and profit of the land which grows it. A tax upon the produce of those precious vineyards, of which the wine falls so much short of the effectual demand, that its price is always above the natural proportion to that of the produce of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land, would necessarily reduce the rent and profit of those vineyards. The price of the wines being already the highest that could be got for the quantity commonly sent to market, it could not be raised higher without diminishing that quantity; and the quantity could not be diminished without still greater loss, because the lands could not be turned to any other equally valuable produce. The whole weight of the tax, therefore, would fall upon the rent and profit; properly upon the rent of the vineyard. When it has been proposed to lay any new tax upon sugar, our sugar planters have frequently complained that the whole weight of such taxes fell, not upon the consumer, but upon the producer; they never having been able to raise the price of their sugar after the tax higher than it was before. The price had, it seems, before the tax, been a monopoly price; and the argument adduced to show that sugar was an improper subject of taxation, demonstrated, perhaps, that it was a proper one; the gains of monopolists, whenever they can be come at, being certainly of all subjects the most proper. 1 But the ordinary price of barley has never been a monopoly price; and the rent and profit of barley land have never been above their natural proportion to those of other equally fertile and equally well cultivated land. The different taxes which have been imposed upon malt, beer, and ale, have never lowered

[^118]the price of barley: have never reduced the rent and profit of barley land. The price of malt to the brewer has constantly risen in proportion to the taxes imposed upon it; and those taxes, together with the different duties upon beer and alo, have constantly ether raised the price, or, what comes to the same thing, reduced the quality f f those commodities to the consumer. The final payment of those taxes lins fallen constanily upon the consumer, and not upon the pruducer.

The only people likely to suffer liy the change of system here proposed are thone who brew fur their own private use. Lut the exemption which this superior rank of people at present enjoy, from very heavy taxes which are paid by the poor labourer and artificer, is surely most unjust and unergual, and ought to be taken away, even though this change was never to take place. It has probally been the interest of this superior order of people, however, which bas litherto prevented a change of system that could not well fail both to increase the revenue and to relieve the people.

Besides euch duties as those of customs and excise above mentioned, there are several others which affect the price of goods more unequally and more indirectly. Of this kind are the duties which in French are called peages, which in old Saxon times were called duties of passage, and which seem to have been originally established for the same purpose as our turnpike tolls, or the tolls upon our canals and navigable rivers, for the maintenance of the road or of the navigation. Those duties when applied to such purposes, are most properly imposed according to the bulk or weight of the goods. As they were originally local and provincial duties, applicable to local and provinctal purposes, the administration of them was in most cases intrusted to the particular town, parish, or lordship, in which they were levied; such communities being in some way or other supposed to be accountable for the application. The sovereign, who is alto gether unaccountable, has in many countrics assumed to himself the adminstration of those dutics; and though he has in most cases enhanced very much the duty, he bas in many entirely neglected the application. If the turnpike tolls of Great Britain stould ever become one of the resources of government, we may learn, by the example of many other nations, what would probably be the consequence Such tolls are, no doubt, finally paid by the consumer; but the consumer is not taxed in proportion to his expense when he pays, not according to the value, but according to the bulk or weight of
and to become producers of angar. And, in point of fact, its frice bat risen with the increase of the duty.
what he consumes. When such duties are mimposed, not aceording to the bulk or weight, but according to the supposed value of the goods, they become properly a sort of inland customs or excise, which obstruct very much the most important of all branches of commence, the minterior cominerce of the country.

In some small states, duties similar to those passage duttes are imposed upon grods carred across the territory, either by land or by water, from one forignn country to another. These are in some countries called tramit-duties. Some of the little Italian statics which are situated upon the Po, and the rivers whel run into tt, derive some revenue from duties of this hind, which are pand altogether by foregners, and which perhaps are the only duties that one state tan mpose upon the subjects of another, without obstructing in any respect the indastry or commeree of its own. The most impurtant transit-duty in the world is that levied by the hing of Denmark upon all merchant ships whuch pass through the Suund.

Such taxes upon Juxuries as the greater part of the dutieg of customs and excise, though they all fall indifferently upon every different species of revenue, and are pard finally, or witbout any retribution, by whocher consumes the commodities upon which they are imposed, yet they do not always fall equally or proportionably upon the reveuue of every individual. As every man's humour regulates the degree of his consumption, every man contributes rather accordug to has humour than in proportion to his revenue the profuse contribute more, the parsunonious less, than theur proper proporton During the minority of a man of great fortune, he contributes commonly very hitte, by his consumption, towards the support of that state from whose protection he derives a great revenue. Those who hive in another country contribute nothing, by their consumption, towards the support of the government of that country in which is struated the source of their revenue. If in thas latter country there should be no land. tax, nor any considerable duty upon the tranference either of moveable or immoveable property, as is the case in Ireland, such absentees may derive a great revenue from the protection of a government to the support of which they do not contribute a single slulhng This inequality is likely to be greatest in a country of which the government is in some respects subordinate and dependent upon that of some other. The people who possess the most extensine property in the dependent, will in this case geuerally choose to live in the governing country. Ireland is precisely in this situamon, and we cannot theretore wonder that
the proposal of a tax upon absentees should be so very popular in that country. It might, perlaps, be a little difficult to ascertain either what sort or what degree of absence would subject a man to be taxed as an absentee or at what precise tume the tax should ether begin or end. If you except, however, this very peculiar stuation, any inequality in the coutribution of individuals which can arise fiom such taxes, is much more than compensated by the very circurnstance which occasions that mequality; the cırcumstance that every man's contribution is altogether voluntary; it being altogether in his power etther to consume or not to consume the commodity taxed. Where such taxes, therefore, are properly assessed. and upon proper commodities, they are pand with less grumbling than any other. When they are advanced by the mercliant or manu. facturer, the consumer, who finally pays them, soon comes to confound them with the price of the commodities, and almost forgets that he pays any tax.

Such taxes are, or may be, perfectly certain; or may be assessed so as to leave no doubt concerning either what ought to be paid, or when it ought to be paid; concerning either the quantity or the time of payment. Whatever uncertainty there may sometimes be, exther in the duties of customs in Great Britam, or in other duties of the same kind in other countries, it cannot arise from the nature of those duties, but from the inaccurate or unskilful manner in which the law that imposes them is expressed.

Taxes upon luxuries generally are, and always may be, pad piece-meal, or $m$ proportion as the contributors have occasion to purchase the goods upon which they are imposed. In the time and mode of payment they are, or may be, of all taxes the most convenient. Upon the whole, suck. taxes, thefefore, are perhaps as agreeable to the three first of the four general maxims concerning taxation as any other. They offend in every respect aganst the fourth.

Such taxes, in proportion to what they bring into the public treasury of the state, always take out or keep out of the pockets of the people more than almost any other taxes. They seem to do this in all the four different ways in which it is possible to do it. on lux wriss a $a .8 \mathrm{mit}^{1}$.

First, The levying of such tases, even when imposed in the most judicious manner, requires a great number of custom-house and excise officers, whose salaries and perquisites are a real tax upon the people, which brings nothing into the treasury of the state. This enpense, however, it must be acknowledged, is more moderate in Great Britain than in most other countries. In

the year which ended on the 5th of July, 1775, the gross produce of the difterent duties, under the management of the commisstoners of excise in England, amounted to $5,5 \cup 7,308 L$. 18s. $8 \frac{1}{4} d$., which was levied at an expense of hitlle more than five and a half per cent ${ }^{1}$ From this gross produce, however, there must be deducted what was paid away in bounties and draw backs upon the exportation of exciseable goods, which will reduce the neat produce below five millions. ${ }^{2}$ The levying of the salt duty, an excise duty, but under a different management, is much more expensive. The neat revenue of the customs does not amount to two miltions and a half, which is levied at an expense of more than ten per cent. in the salaries of officers, and other incidents. ${ }^{3}$ But the perquisites of custom-house officers are everywhere much greater than their salaries; at some ports more than doulle or triple those salaries. If the salaries of offcers, and other incidents, therefore, arnount to more than ten per cent. upon the neat revenue of the customs, the whole expense of levying that revenue may amount, in salaries and perquisites together, to more than twenty or thirty per cent. The officers of excise receive few or no perquisites; and the administration of that branch of the revenue being of more recent establishment. is in general less corrupted than that of the customs, into which length of time has introduced and authorized many abuses. By charging upon malt the whole revenue which is at present levied by the different duties upon malt and malt liquors, a saving, it is supposed of more than fifty thousand pounds, might be made in the annual expense of the excise. By confining the duties of customs to a few sorts of goods, and by levying those duties according to the excise laws, a much greater saving might probably be made in the annual expense of the customs.

Secondly, Such taxes necessarily occasion some obstruction or discouragement to certain branches of industry. As they always raise the price of the commodity taxed, they so far discourage its consumption, and consequently its production. If it is a commodity of home growth or manufacture, less labour comes to be employed in raising and producing it. If it is a foreign commodity of which the tax increases in this manner the price, the commodities of the same kind which are made at home may thereby indeed gain some advantage in the home market and a greater quantity of domestic industry may thereby be turned toward preparing

[^119]them. But though this rise of price in a foreign conmodity may encourage domeatic industry in one particular hranch, it necessarily discourages that industry in almose every othcr. The dearer the Birmiugham manufacturer buys his foreign wne, the cheaper he necessarily sells that part of his hardware with which, or, what comes to the same thing, with the price of which he buys it. That part of his hardware, therefonc. becomes of less value to him, and he has less encouragement to work at it. The dearer the consumers in one country pay for the turplus produce of another, the cheaper they necessarily sell that part of theor own surplus produce with which, or, what comes to the same thang, with the price of which they buy it. That part of their own surplus produce becomes of less value to them, and they have less encouragement to increase its quatitity. All taxes upon consumable commodities, therefore, tend to reduce the quantity of productive labour below what it otherwise would be, either in preparing the commodities taxed, if they are home commodities; or in preparing those with which they are purchased, if they are foreign commodne's. Such taxes too always alter, more or less, the natural direction of national industry, and turn it into a channel always different from, and generally less advantageous than that in which it would have run of its own accord. a trucennetr, a pirate

Thirdly, The hope of evading such taxes by smuggling gives frequent occasion to forfeitures and other pen.lues, which entirely ruin ye sougglef a person who, thouth no gofet indily tameoblefor yiola $x$ the fat of in obontic ming those of naturai fustice, and would pavghaenangvery fespotin etcellentertzon, hat hot the iadsoifif country made that a crime which nature neyt meant to be so. In those corrupted goternments where there is at least a general suspicion of much unnecessary ezpense, and great misapplication of the public revemue, the laws which guard it are little respected, Not many people are scrupulous about smugpling. when, without perjury, they can find any easy and safe opportunity of doing so. To pretend to have any acruple about buying smuggled goods, though a manifest encouragement to the violation of the revenue laws, and to the perjury which almost always at tends it, would, in most countries, be regarded as one of those pedantic pieces of bypocrisy which, instead of ganning credit with any body, serve only to expose the person who affects to practise them to the auspicion of

60f. $159.814 d$. per cent. In 1860-61 a groes revenue of $70,560,998$ cost 66 . 10 s . per cent. for corlection, the charge on account of the Poat Office revenue for that year beng $58 / 12 \mathrm{~s}$. 2 d . per cent.
2 The neat produce of that year, after deductiog all expenses and allowances, smounted to $4,975,5524$. Ig\%. 6d. (Note by the author.)
treng a greater knave than most of his neighbours By this moluligence of the publie, the smugerer as often encouraged to continue a trade which he 15 thus taught to consider as in some measure innocent; and when the scuerty of the revenue laws is ready to fall upon hum, he is frequently disposed to dufend with violence what he has been aecustomed to regand as his just property. Frombeing at first, perhaps, rather imprudent than criminal, he at last too often becomes one of the hardiest and most determined violators of the laws of society. By the ruin of the smuggler, his capital, which had before been employed tn mantaning productive labour, is absorbed either in the revenue of the state or in that of the revenue officer, and is employed in maintaining unproductive, to the dimanution of the general capital of the socuty, and of the useful industry which it muft otherwise have maintained.

Fuurthly, Such taxes, by subjecting at least the dealers in the taxed commodities to the frequent visits and odious examination of the tax gatherers, expose them sometimes, no doubt, to some degree of oppression, and always to much tronble and vexation; and though vexation, as has already been sand, is not strictly speaking expense, it is certainly equivalent to the expense at which every man would be wiling to redeem himself from it The laws of excise, though more effectual fon the purpose for which they were instituted, are, min this respect, more vexatious than those of the cuctoms. When a merchant has mported goods sulyect to certain duties of customs, when he has paid those duties, and lodged the goods in his warehouse, he is not in most cases hable to any further trouble or veration from the custom-house officer. It is otherwise with goods subject to duties of excise. The dcalurs have no respite from the continual vists and examination of the exche officers. The duthes of excise are, upon this account, more unpopular than those of the customs; and so are the officers who levy them. Those officers, it is pretended, though in general perhaps they do their duty fully as well as those of the customs, yet, as that duty obliges them to be frequently very troublesome to some of their neighbours, conmonly contract a certain hardness of character which the others frequently have not. This olservation, however, may very probably be the mere suggestion of fraudulent dealers, whose smuggling is either prevented or detected by their dilagence.

The mconveniencies, however, which are pernaps in some degree inseparable from tazes upon consumable commodities, fall as light upes the people of Great Britain as upon those of any other country of which the government is nearly as expensive. Our statu in not perfect, and might be mended;
but it is as good of better than that of most of our nughbours.

In consequence of the notion that duties upon consumable goods were taxes upon the profits of merchants, those duties have, in some countrieg, been repeat d upon every successive sale of the goods. If the profits of the merchant importer or merchant maunfacturer were taxed, equality scemed to require that those of all the middle buyers who intervened between either of them and the consumer should likewise be taxed. The famous aicavala of Spain seems to have been established upon the principle. It was ar first a tax of ten per cent, afterwards ot fourteen per cent., and is at present only six per cent. upon the sale of every sort of property, whether moveable or immoveable; and it is repeated every time the property is sold. ${ }^{1}$ The levying of this tax requires a multitude of revenue officers sufficent to guard the transportation of goods not only from one province to another but from one shop to another. It subjects not only the dealers in some sorts of goods but those in all sorts, every farmer, every manufacturer, every merchant and shopkeeper, to the continual visits and examination of the taxgatherers. Through the greater part of a country in which a tax of this kind is established, nothing can be produced for distant sale. The produce of every part of the country must be proportioned to the consumption of the neighbourhood. It is to the alcavala, accordingly, that Ustaritz imputes the rum of the manufactures of Span. He might have imputed to it likewise the declension of agriculture, it being imposed not only upon manufuetures but upon the rude produce of the land.

In the kingdom of Naples there is a similar tax of three per cent. upon the value of all contracts, and conserquently upon that of all contracts of sale. It is both lighter than the Spanish tax, and the greater part of towns and parishes are allowed to pay a composition in heu of it. They levy this composition in what manner thcy please, generally in a way that gives no interruption to the interior commerce of the place. The Neapolitan tax, therefore, is not near so ruinous as the Spanish one.

The uniform system of taxation, whel, with a few exceptions of no great consequence, takes place in all the different parts of the United Kingdom of Great Britann, leaves the interior commerce of the country, the inland and coasting trade, almost enturely free. The inland trade is almost perfectly free, and the greater part of goods may be carried from one end of the kingdom to the other, without requiring any permit or .let-pass,
${ }^{1}$ Mémotre concernant lea Droits, \&c. tome $i$. p. 455 .
without being subject to question, visit, or examination from the revenue officers. There are a few exceptions, but they are such as can give no interruption to any important branch of the inland commerce of the country; goods carried coastwise, indeed, require certificates of coast-cockets. If you except coals, however, the rest are almost all duty-free. This freedom of interior commerce, the effect of the uniformity of the system of taxation, is perhaps one of the principal causes of the prosperity of Great Britain; every great country being necegsarily the best and most extensive market for the greater part of the productions of its own industry. If the came freedom, in consequence of the same umformity, could be extended to Ireland and the plantations, both the grandeur of the state and the prosperity of every part of the empire would probably be still greater than at present. ${ }^{1}$

In France, the different revenue laws which take place in the different provinces require a multitude of revenue officers to surround not only the frontiers of the king. dom but those of almost each particular province, in order either to prevent the importation of certain goods, or to subject it to the payment of certain duties, to the no small interruption of the interior commerce of the country. Some provinces are allowed to compound for the gabelle or salt-tax ; others are exempted from it altogether. Some provinces are exempted from the exclusive sale of tobacco, which the farmers generally enjoy through the greater part of the kingdom. The aides, which correspond to the excise in England, are very different in different provinces. Some provinces are exempted from them, and pay a composition or equivalent. In those in which they take place and are in farm, there are many local duties which do not extend beyond a particular town or district. The traites, which correspond to our customs, divide the kingdom into three great parts: first, the provinces subject to the tariff of 1664 , which are called the provinces of the five great farms, and under which are comprehended Picardy, Normandy, and the greater part of the interior provinces of the kingdom; secondly, the provinces subject to the tariff of 1667, which are called the provinces reckoned toreign, and under which are comprebended the greater part of the frontier provinces; and, thirdly, those provinces which are said to be treated as foreign, or which, because they are allowed a free commerce with foreign countries, are, in their commerce with the other provinces of France,

[^120]subjected to the same duties as other forcign countrics These are Alsace, the three bishoprics of Mentz, Toul, and Verdun, and the three citics of Dunkirk, Bayonne, and Marseilles. Both in the provinces of the five great farms, (called so on account of an ancient division of the duties of customs into five great branches, each of which was originally the subject of a particular farm, though they are now all united into one, and in those which are said to be reckoned foreign, there are many local duties which do not extend begond a particular town or district. There are some such even in the provinces which are said to be treated as foreign, particularly in the enty of Marseilles. It is unnecessury to observe how much, both the restraints upon the interior commerce of the country, and the number of the revenue officers, must be multiplied, in order to guard the frontiers of those different provinces and districts which are subject to such different systems of taxation. ${ }^{2}$

Over and above the general restrainta arising from this complicated system of revenue laws, the commerce of wine, after corn perhaps the most important production of France, is, in the greater part of the provinces, subject to particular restraints, arising from the favour which has been shown to the vineyards of particular provinces and districts, above those of others. The provinces most famous for their wines it will be found, I believe, are those in which the trade in that article is subject to the fewest restraints of this kind. The extensive market which such provinces enjoy, encourages good management both in the cultivation of their vineyards, and in the subsequent preparation of their wines. ${ }^{8}$

Such various and complicated revenue laws are not peculiar to France. The little dutchy of Milan is divided into aix provinces, in each of which there is a different system of taxation with regard to several different sorts of consumable goods. The still smaller territonies of the duke of Parma are divided into three or four, each of which has, in the same manner, a system of its own. Under such absurd management, nothing but the great fertility of the soil, and bappiness of the climate, could preserve such countries from soon relapsing into the lowest state of poverty and barbarism.

Taxes upon consumable commodities may either be levied by an administration of which the officers are appointed by government and are immediately accountable to government, of which the revenue must in this case vary from year to year, according to the occasional

[^121]
varctions in the produce of the tax; or they may le let in farm for a rent certuin, the fariner beng allowed to appoint his own oficers, who, though obliged to levy the tax in the manner direeted by the law, are under bus imineduate inspection, and are mamedately accountable to him. The best and most frugal way of levgug a tax can never be by tarm. Over and above what is necessary for poving the stipuisted rent, the salaries of the uthcers, and the whole expense of admingtrathon, the farmer must always draw from the produce of the tax a certan profit, proportioned at least to the adiance which he makes, to the risk which he runs, to the trouble which he is at, and to the hnowledge and skill which it requires to manage so very complusted a concern. Govermment, by establishang an administration under their own mimediate inspection, of the same kind with that which the farmer establishes, might at least save this profit, wheld is almost always exorbitant. To farm any considerable branch of the public revenue requires enther a great captal or a great credit; circumstances which would alone restiain the competition for such an undertaking to a very small number of people. Of the few who have this capital or credit, a still smaller number have the necessary knowledge or experichce; snother corcumstance which restrains the competition still further. The very few who are in condition to become competitors, find it more for their interest to combine together: to become co-partners instead of compentors, and when the farm is set up to auction, to offer no rent but what is much below the real value. In countries where the public revenues are in farm, the farmers are generally the most opulent people. Therr wealth would alone excite the public modyontion; and the vanity wheh almost always accompanies such upstart fortunes, the foolsh ostentation with which they commonly display that wealth, excites that indignation still more.

The farmers of the public revenue never find the laws too severe, which punish any sttempt to evade the payment of a tax. They have no bowels for the contributors, who are not their subjects, and whose untversal bankruptey, if it should happen the day after their farm is expired, would not much affect their interest. In the greatest exigencus of the state, when the ancuety of the sovercign for the exact payment of his revenue is necessanly the greatest, they seldum finl to complain that without laws more rigorous than those which actually take place, it will be impossible for them to pay even the usual rent. In those moments of public distress their demands cannot be disputed. The revenue lawe, therefore, become gradually more and more severe. The most sangumary are always to be found in coun-
tries where the greater part of the public revenue is in farn; the mildest, in countries where it is levied under the immediate inspection of the sovereign. Even a bad sovereign feels more compassion for his prople than can ever be expected from the furmers of his revenue. He knows that the permanent grandeur of his family depends upon the prosperity of his poople, and he will never knowngly run that prosperty for the sake of any momentary interest of bis own. It is otherwise with the farmers of his revenue, whose grandeur may frequently be the effect of the rum, and not of the procperity of his people.
A tay is sometimes not only farmed for a certan rent, but the farmer has, besides, the monopoly of the commodity taxed. In France, the duties upon tobacco and salt are levied in this manner. In such cases the farmer, instead of one, levies two exorbitant profits upon the people. the profit of the farmer, and the still more exorbitant one of the monopolist. Tobaceo being a luxury, every man is allowed to buy or not to buy as he chooses. But salt being a necessary, every man is obliged to buy of the farmer a certain quantity of at; because if he did not buy this quantity of the farmer, he would, it is presumed, buy it of some smuggler. The taxes upon both commodities are exorbitant. The temptation to smuggle, consequently, is to many people irresistible; whule at the same time the rigour of the law, and the vigulance of the farmer's officers, reuder the yrelding to that temptation almost certainly rainous. The smuggling of salt and tobaceo sends every year several hundred people to the galleys, besides a very considerable number whora it seuds to the gibbet. Those taxes levied in this manner yleld a very considerable tevenue to government In 1767, the farm of tobacco was let for twenty-two milhons five hundred and forty-one thousand two hurdred and seventy-eight hivres a year; that of salt, for thirty-six milions four hundred and nuety-two thousand four hundred and four livres. The farm in both cases was to commence in 1768, apd to last for six years. Those who consider the blood of the people as nothing in comparison with the revenue of the prince may perbaps approve of this method of levying taxes. Simılar taxes and monopohes of salt and tobacco have been established in many other countries, particularly in the Austrian and Prusstan dominions, and in the greater part of the states of Italy.

In France, the greater part of the actual revenue of the crown is derived from eight different sources: the talle, the capitation, the two vingtiemes, the gabelles, the aldes, the trates, the domaine, and the farm of to bacco. The five last are, in the greater part of the provinces, under farm. The three
first are everywhere leried by an adminis. tration under the immediate inspection and direction of gove rnment ; and it is universally ack nowl edged that, in proportion to what they take out of the pockets of the people, they bring more into the treasury of the prince than the other five, of which the administration is much more wasteful and expensive.
The finances of France seem, in their present state, to admit of three very obvious reformations; first, by abolishing the taille and the capitation, and by increasing the number of vingtiemes, so as to produce an additional revenue equal to the amount of those other taxes, the revenue of the crown might be preserved; the expense of collection might be much diminished; the vexation of the inferior ranks of people, which the taille and capitation occasion, might be entirely prevented; and the superior ranks might not be more burdened than the greater part of them are at present. The vingtieme, I have already observed, is a tax very nearly of the same kind with what is called the land-tax of England. The burden of the taille, it is acknowledged, falls finally upon the proprietors of land; and as the greater part of the capitation is assessed upon those who are subject to the taille at mo much a pound of that other tax, the fipal payment of the greater part of it must likewise fall upon the same order of people. Though the number of the vingtiemes, therefore, was increased so as to produce an additional revenue equal to the amount of both those taxes, the superior ranks of people might not be more burdened than they are at present. Many individuals, no doubt, would, on account of the great inequalties with which the taille is commonly assessed upon the estates and tenants of different individuals. The interest and opposition of such favoured subjects are the obstacles most likely to prevent this or any other reformation of the same kind. Secondly, by rendering the gabelle, the aides, the trates, the taxes upon tobacco, all the different customs and excises, uniform in all the different parts of the kingdom, those tayes might be levied at much less expense, and the interior commerce of the kingdom might be rendered as free as that of England. Thirdly and lastly, by subjecting all those taxes to an administration under the immediate inspection and direction of government, the exorbitant profits of the farmers general might be added to the revenue of the state. The opposition arising from the private interest of individuals is likely to be as effectual for preventing the two last as the first-mentioned scheme of reformation. ${ }^{1}$
The French system of taxation seems, in every respect, inferior to the British. In Great Britain, ten millions sterling are annually levied upon less than eight mulliona

[^122]of people, without its beiny pussible to say that any particular order is oppressed. I'ron the collections of the Abbé Expilly, and the observalions of the author of the Essay upon the Legislation and Commerce of Corn, it appears probable that France, including the provinces of Lorraine and Bar, contams about twenty-three or twenty-four millions of people-three times the number, perhaps, contained in Great Britain. The soil and climate of France are better than those of Great Britain. The country has been much longer in a state of improvement and cultivation, and is, upon that account, better stocked with all those things which it requires a long time to raise up and accumu. late, auch as great towns, and convenuent and well-built houses, both in town and country. With these advantages, it might be expected that in France a revenue of thirty milhona might be levied for the support of the state, with as little inconveniency as a revenue of ten millions is in Great Britain. In 1765 and 1766, the whole revenue paid into the treasury of France, according to the best, though, 1 acknowledge, very imperfect, accounts which I could get of it, usually run between 308 and 325 milhons of livres; that is, it did not amount to fifteen milhons sterling; not the half of what might bave been expected, had the people contributed in the same proportion to their numbers as the people of Great Britan. ${ }^{2}$ The people of France, however, it is generally acknowledged, are much more oppressed by taxes than the people of Great Britain. France, however, is certainly the great empire in Europe which, after that of Great Britain, enjoys the mildest and most indulgent goverrigeot.

2The gross revenue of Frande may (firs) be eatlmated at about 1,900 muluons fro, collected at the rate of about 10 per cent. We sutyoits an account bhowing the gross income of the french empite in 185 G , Fith the expense of collection, \&ec.:-


- The above, with other suman eall lctiva fir departmontal expensea, minking fumu, and, xcracrdaary resources, mande a grand total of !, gituthise fr

This is a sery large revenue, but being fanly distri buted, and affecting all classes, is is not half so opprisonve as the taxation of lese than a fourth part in amount referred to by $S m a t h$. At present ( 10,3 the law of equal succestion is the oniy great drawbact e-
 the promperity at Finnce. The reader wrif ind ta tho
Eupplemental Note on the disposal of property by wit. an account of that law, and of ite practucal untiuerare

In I lolland, the heavy taxes upon the necessaries of life have ruined, it is sad, thar principal manufactures, and are likely to discourage, gr.ulually, even their fisheries and their trade 10 ship-bulding. The taxes upon the necessaries of life are incousiderable in Great Britain, and no manufacture has hitherto been ruined by them. The British taxes which bear hardest on manafacture s are some duties upon the importaton of raw materials, particularly upon that of raw silk. The revenue of the states general and of the different cities, however, is sud to amount to more than five mullions two hundred and fifty thousand pounds sterling; and as the inhabitants of the United Provinces cannot well be supposed to amount to more than a third part of those of Great Britain, they must, in proportion to their number, be much more heavily taxed.

After all the proper subjects of taxation have been exhausted, if the exigencies of the state still continue to require new taxes, they nut be imposed upon improper ones. The tares upon the necessaries of life, therefore, may be no impeachment of the wisdom of that republice, which, in order to acquire aud to maintain its independency, has, in spite of its great frugality, been involved in such expensive wars as have obliged it to contract great debts. The singular countries of 1 Holland and Zealand, besides, require a considerable expense even to preserve their existence, or to prevent their being swablowed up by the sea, which must have contrilsuted to increase considerably the load of taxes in those two provinces. The repubhuman form of government scems to be the principal support of the present grandeur of Holland. The owners of great capitals, the great mercantile farnilies, have generally either some direct share or some indirect influence in the administration of that govermont. For the sake of the respect and anthonty which they derive from this situatot, they are willing to hive in a country where their capital, if they employ it themselves, will bring them less profit, and if they lend it to another, less interest; and where the very moderate revenue which they can draw from it will purchase less of the necessates and comeniences of life than in any other part of Europe. The residence of such wealthy people necessarily keeps alive, in spite of all disadvantages, a certain degree of industry in the country. Any public calamity which should destroy the republican form of government, which should throw the whole administration into the hands of nobles and of solders, which should annepilate altogether the importance of those wealthy merchants, would soon render it disagreeable to them to live in a country Where they acre no longer likely to be
much respected. They would remove both their residence and their capital to some other country, and the industry and commere of ISland would soon follow the capitals which supported them.

## Dutch Finance. Dusinaali. CHAP. III. <br> Of Public Debts.

In that rude state of society which prescedes the extension of commerce and the improvement of manufactures, when those expensive luxuries which commerce and manufactures can alone introduce are altogether unknown, the person who possesses a large revenue, I have endeavoured to show in the third book of this Inquiry, can spend or enjoy that revenue in no other way than by maintaining nearly as many people as it can mandan. A large revenue may at all tunes be said to consist in the command of a large quantity of the necessaries of life. In that rude state of things it is commonly paid in a large quantity of those necessaries, in the materials of plain food and coarse clothing, in corn and cattle, in wool and raw bides. When nether commerce nor manufactures furnish any thing for which the owner can exchange the greater part of those materials which are over and above his own consumptimon, he can do nothing with the surplus but feed and clothe nearly as many people as it will feed and clothe. A hospitality in whit there is no luxury, and a hberahty in which there is no ostentation, occasion, in this situaton of things, the principal expenses or the rich and the great. But these, 1 have likewise endeavoured to show in the same book, are expenses by which people are not very apt to ruin themselves. There is not, perhaps, any selfish pleasure so frivolous, ot which the pursuit has not sometimes ruined even sensible men: a passion for cockfighting has ruined many. But the instances, I believe, are not very numerous of people who have been rimed by a hospitality or liberality of this bind; though the hospitality of luxury and the liberality of ostentation have ruined many, Among our feudal ancestors, the long time dung which estates used to continue in the same family, suficiently demonstrates the general disposition of people to hie ut hin their income. Though the rustic hospitality, constantly exercised by the great landholders, may not to us in the present times seem consistent with that order which we are apt to consider as ingeparably connected with good economy, yet we must certainly allow them to have been at least so far frugal as not commonly to have spent their whole income. A part of their wool and raw hides they had generally an opportunity of selling for money. Sure
part of this money, perhaps, they spent in purchasing the few objects of vanity and luxury with which the circumstances of the times could furnish them : but some part of it they seem commonly to have hoarded. They could not well indeed do any thing else but hoard whatever money they saved. To trade was disgraceful to a gentleman, and to lend money at interest, which at that time was considered as usury, and prohibited by law, would have been still more so. In those times of violence and disorder, besides, it was convenient to have a hoard of money at hand, that in case they should be driven from their own home, they might have something of known value to carry with them to some place of safety. The same violence which made it convenient to hoard made it equally convenient to conceal the hoard. The frequency of treasure-trove, or of treasure found of which no owner was known, sufficiently demonstrates the frequency in those times both of hoarding and of concealing the hoard. Treasuretrove was then considered as an important branch of the revenue of the sovereign. All the treasure-trove of the kingdom would scarce perhaps in the present times make an important branch of the revenue of a private gentleman of a good estate.

The same disposition to save and to hoard prevailed in the sovereign, as well as in the subjects. Among nations to whom commerce and manufactures are little known, the sovereign, it has already been observed in the fourth book, is in a situation which naturally disposes him to the parsimony requisite for accumulation. In that situation the expense even of a sovereign cannot be directed by that vanity which delights in the gaudy finery of a court The ignorance of the times affords but few of the trinkets in which that finery consists. Standing armies are not then necessary; so that the expense even of a sovereign, like that of any other great lord, can be employed in scarce any thing but bounty to his tenants and hospitality to his retainers. But bounty and hospitality very seldom lead to extravagance, though vanity almost always does. All the ancient sovereigns of Europe, accordingly, it has slready been observed, had treasures : every Tartar chief in the present times is said to have one.

In a commercial country abounding with every sort of expensive luxury, the sovereign, in the same manner as almost all the great proprietors in his dominions, naturally spends a great part of his revenue in purchasing those luxuries. His own and the neighbouring countries supply him abundantly with all the costly trinkets which compose the splendid, but insignificant pageantry of a court. For the sake of an infesior pageantry of the same kind, his nobles dismiss their retainers, make their tenants independent, and become gradually them-
selves as insignificant as the greater part of the wealthy burghers in his dominions. The same frivolous passions which influence their conduct influence his. How can it be supposed that be should be the only rich man io his dominions who is insensible to pleasures of this kind? If he does not, what he is very likely to do, spend upon those pleasures so great a part of bis revenue as to dibihtate very much the defensive power of the state, it cannot well be expected that he should not spend upon them all that part of it which is over and above what is necessary for supporting that defensive power. His ordinary expense becomes equal to his ordinary revenue, and it is well if it does not frequently exceed it. The amassing of treasure can no longer be expected, and when extraordinary exigencies require extraordinary expenses, he must necessarily call upon his subjects for an extraordinary aid. The present and the late king of Prussia are the only great princes of Europe who, since the death of Henry IV. of France, in 1610, are supposed to have amassed any considerable treasure. The parsimony which leads to accumulation has become almost as rare in republican as in monarchical governments. The Italian republics, the United Provinces of the Netherlands, are all in debt. The canton of Berne is the single republic in Europe which has amassed any considerable treasure: the other Swiss republics have not. The taste for some sort of pageantry, for splendid buildings, at least, and other public ormaments, frequently prevails as much in the apparently sober senate-bouse of a little republio as in the dissipated court of the greatest king.

The want of parsimony in time of peace, imposes the necessity of contracting debt in time of war. When war comes, there is no money in the treasury but what is necessary for carrying on the ordinary expense of the peace establishment. In war an establishment of three or four times that expense becomes necessary for the defence of the state, and consequently a revenue three or four times greater than the peace revenue. Supposing that the sovereign should havewhat he scarce ever has - the immediate means of augmenting his revenue in proportion to the augmentation of his expense, yet still the produce of the taxes, from which this increase of revenue must be drawn, will not begin to come into the treasury till perhaps ten or twelve months after they are imposed; but the moment in which war begins, or rather the moment in which it appears likely to begin, the army must be augmented, the flect must be fitted out, the garrisoned towns must be put into a posture of defence ; that army, that fleet, those garrisoned towns, must be furmshed with arms, ammunition, and provibions: ap irc medrate and great expense must be incurred
in that moment of immediate danger, which will not wat for the gradual and slow returns of the new taxes. In this exigency governmont can have no other resource but in borrowing.

The same commercial state of society which, by the operation of moral causes, brings government in this manner into the necessity of borrowing, produces in the subjects both an ability and an inclination to lend. If it commonly brings along with it the necessity of borrowing, it hkewise bringer with it the facility of dong so.
A country abounding with merchants and manufacturers necessarily abounds with a set of people through whose hands not only their own capitals, but the capitals of all those who ether lend them money or trust them with goods, pass as frequently, or more frequently than the revenue of a private man, who, without trade or business, hives upon his meme, passes through his hands. The revenue of such a man can regularly pass through his hands only once in a year; but the whole amount of the capital and credit of a merchant, who deals in a trade of which the returns are very quick, may sometimes pass through his hands two, three, or four times in a year. A country abounding with merchants, and manufacturers, therefore, necessarily abounds with a set of people who have it at all times in their power to advance, If they choose to do so, a very large sum of money to government. Hence the ability in the subjects of a commercial state to lend.

Commerce and manufactures can seldom flourish long in any state which does not emory a regular administration of justice; in which the people do not feel themselves $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ sure in the possession of their property; in which the fath of contracts is not supported by law; and in which the authority of the state is not supposed to be regularly employed in enforcing the payment of debts for all those who are able to pay. Commere and manufactures, in short, can seldom flourish in any state in which there is not a certain degree of confidence in the justice of government. The same confidence a hath disposes great merchants and manafacturers, upon ordinary occasions, to trust their property to the protection of a particular government, disposes them, upon extraordinary occasions, to trust that governmont with the use of their property, By lending money to government, they do not even fur a moment diminish their abihty to carry on their trade and manufactures; on the contrary, they commonly augment it. The necessities of the state render government, upon most occasions, walling to borrow upon terms extremely advantageous to the bender. The security which it grants to the original creditor is made transferable to any
other creditor, and, from the universal confidence in the justice of the state, generally sells in the market for more than was originally pard for $1 t$. The merchant or monad man males money by lending money to government, and instead of dumping. increases his trading capital. He generally considers it as a favour, therefore, when the administration admits him to a share in the first subscription for a new loan. Hence the inclination or willingness in the subjects of a commercial state to lend.

The government of such a state is very apt to repose itself upon this ability and willingness of its subjects to lend them money on extraordinary occasions. It foresees the facility of borrowing, and therefore dispenses itself from the duty of saving.

In a rude state of society there are no great mercantile or manufacturing capitals The individuals who hoard whatever money they can save, and who conceal their hoard, do so from a distrust of the justice of governmont, from a fear that if it was known that they had a hoard, and where that hoard was to be found, they would quickly be plandered. In such a state of things few people would be able, and nobody would be willing, to lend their money to government on extraordinary exigencies. The sovereign feels that he must provide for such exigencies by saving, because he foresees the absolute impossibility of borrowing. This foresight increases still further his natural disposition to save.

The progress of the enormous debts which at present oppress, and will in the long run probably ruin, all the great nations of Europe, has been pretty uniform. Nations, hike private men, have generally began to borrow upon what may be called personal credit, without assigning or mortgaging any particular fund for the payment of the debt; and when this resource has failed them, they have gone on to borrow upon assignments or mortgages of particular funds.

What is called the unfunded debt of Great Britain is contracted in the former of those two ways. It consists partly in a debt which bears, or is supposed to bear, no interest, and which resembles the debts that a private man contracts upon account ; and partly in a debt which bears interest, and which resembles what a private man contracts upon his bill or promissory note. The debts which are due either for extraordinary services, or for services either not provided for or not paid at the true when they are performed; part of the extraordinaries of the army, nary, and ordnance, the arrears of subsidies to foreign princes, those of seamen's wages, \&c. usually constitute a debt of the first kid. Navy and exchequer bills, when are issued sometimes in payment of a part of such debts, and sometimes for other pu:-

poses, constitute a debt of the second kind; exchequer buls bearing interest from the day on which they are issued, and navy bills six months after they are issued. The Bank of Fingland, either by voluntarily discounting those bills at their current value, or by agreeing with government for certain considerations to carculate exchequer bills, that is, to receive them at par, paying the interest which happens to be due upon them, keeps up their value and facilitates their circulation, and thereby frequently enables government to contract a very large debt of this kind. In France, where there is no bank, the state bills (billets d'état ${ }^{1}$ ) have sometines sold at sixty and seventy per cent. discount. During the great recoinage in King William's time, when the Bank of England thought proper to put a stop to its usual transactions, exchequer bills and tallies are said to have sold from twenty-five to sixty per cent. discount; owing partly, no doubt, to the supposed instability of the new government established by the revolution, but partly too to the want of the support of the Bank of England.

When this resource is exhausted, and it becomes necessary, in order to raise money, to assign or mortgage some particular branch of the public revenue for the payment of the debt, government has, upon different occasions, done this in two different ways: sometimes it has made this assignment or mortgage for a short period of time only, a year, or a few years, for example; and sometimes for perpetuity. In the one case the fund was supposed sufficient to pay, within the limited time, both principal and interest of the money borrowed. In the other it was supposed sufficient to pay the interest only, or a perpetual annuity equivalent to the interest, government being at liberty to redeem at any time this annuity, upon paying back the principal sum borrowed. When money was raised in the one way, it was said to be raised by anticipation; when in the other, by perpetual funding, or, more shortly, by funding.

In Great Britain, the annual land and malt taxes are regularly anticipated every year, by virtue of a borrowing clause constantly inserted into the acts which impose them. The Bank of England generally advances at an interest, which, since the revolution, has varied from eight to three per cent., the sums for which those taxes are granted, and receives payment as their produce gradually comes in. If there is a deficiency, which there always is, it is provided for in the supplies of the ensuing year. The only considerable branch of the public reve. nue which yet remains unmortgaged, is thus regularly spent before it comes in. Like
${ }^{1}$ See Examen des Rélexaona Polıuques sur lea Finauces.
an improvident spendeltrift, whose pressing ocrasions will not allow him to wait for the regular payment of his revenue, the state is in the constant practice of borrowing of its own factors and agents, and of paying auterest for the use of its own money.

In the reign of King Willam, and during a great part of that of Queen Anne, bufore we had become so familar as we are now with the practice of perpetual funding, the greater part of the new taxes were imposed but for a short period of time, (for four, tive, six, or aeven years only,) and a great part of the grants of every year consisted in loans upon anticipations of the produce of those taxes. The produce being frequently insufficient for paying, within the limited term, the principal and interest of the money borrowed, deficiencies arose, to make grod which, it becane necessary to prolong the term.

In 1697, by the 8th of William I11. c. 20. the deficiencies of several taxes were charged upon what was then called the first general mortgage or fund, consisting of a prolongation to the first of August 1706, of several different taxes, which would have expired within a shorter term, and of which the produce was accumulated into one general fund. The deficiencies charged upon this prolonged term alnounted to $5,160,459 \mathrm{l}$. 14 s . 9 fld.

In 1701, those dutieq, with some others, were still further prolonged for the hike purposes thll the first of August 1710, and were called the second general mortgage or fund. The deficiencies charged upon it amounted to $2,055,999 L$ 78. $11 \frac{1}{2} d$.

In 1707, those duties were still further prolonged, as a fund for new loans, to the first of August 1712, and were called the third general mortgage or fund. The sum borrowed upon it was $983,254 \mathrm{l}$. 11 s .9 d.

In 1708, those duties were all (except the old subsidy of tonnage and poundage, of which one moiety only was made a part of this fund, and a duty upon the importation of Scotch linen, which had been taken off by the articles of union) still further continued, as a fund for new loans, to the first of August 1714, and were called the fourth general mortgage or fund. The sum borrowed upon it was $925,176 \mathrm{~L}, 93,2 f \mathrm{~d}$.

In 1709, those duties were all (except the old subsidy of tonnage and poundage, which was row left out of this fund altogether) still further contiuued for the earue purpose to the first of August 1716, and were cailed the fifth general mortgage or fund. The sLm borrowed upon it was $922,029 \mathrm{l}$. 62.

In 1710 , those duties were again prolonged to the first of August 1720, and were called the sixth general mortgage or fund. The sum borrowed upon it was $1,296,552 \mathrm{l}$. 9s. $11 \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d}$.
In 1711, the same duthes, (which at the
tune were thus subject to four different antieapatuons, together with several others, were contmuad for ever, and made a fund for paying the inturest of the capral of the South Sea company, which had that year advanced to governinent, for paying debts and making good defienencies, the sum of 9,177,967l. 15s. 4d.; the greatest loan which at that time had ever been made.
Hefore this period, the principal, and, so far an I have been able to obserce, the only taxes which in order to pay the interest of a debt had becn impused for permetuity, were those for paying the interest of the money which had been advanced to govermment by the bank and East India coinpany, and of what it was cupected would be advanced, but which was never advanced, by a projected land bank. The bank fund at this tume amounted to $3,375,027 l .17 \mathrm{~s}$. $101 / d$., for which was paid an annuity or interest of $206,501 l$. 13s. $5 d$. The Enst India fund amounted to $3,200,0001$., for wheh was pad an annuity or interest of 160000 . ; the bank fund being at six per cent., the East India fund at five per cent. interest.

In 1715, by the first of George I. c. 12, the ditferent texes which had been mortgrared for paying the bank annuity, together uith several others which by this act were lihewise rendered perpetual, were accumulated into one common fund, called the aggregate fund, which was charged, not only with the payments of the bank annuity, but with several other annuities and burdens ul' riflerent hinds. 'This fund was afterwards dHemented by the third of George I. c. 8. and by the fifth of George 1. c. 3. and the duferent duties which were then added to it were liken the rendered perpetual.

In 1717 , by the third of George I. c. 7. wheral other tases were rendered perpetual, and accumulated into another common fund, culled the general fund, for the payment of certain annuities, amounting in the whole to $724,8496.6 \mathrm{~s}$. 10 , $d$.

In consequence of those different acts, the gredter part of the taxes which before had ben anticipated only for a short term of y ars were rendered perpetual as a fund for paying, not the capital, but the interest only. of the money which had been borm rowed upon them by different successive antrerpations.

ILad money never been raised but by anticuation, the course of a few years would have liberated the public revenue without any other attention of government besides that of not overloading the fund, by chargnig it with more debt than it could pay nithin the limited term, and not of anticipatmig a second time before the expiration of the first anticipation. But the greater part of kuropean governments have been incawhe of those attentions. They bave fre-
quently overlaanci the fund even upon the first anticipation; and when this happened not to be the case, they have generally taken care to overload it, by anticipating a second and a thurd time before the expiration of the first anticipation. The fund becoming in this manner altogether insufficient for paying both principal and interest of the money borrowed upon it, it became necessary to charge it with the interest only, or a perpetual annuty equal to the interest; and such improvident antiespations necessarıly gave birth to the more ruinous practice of perpetual funding. But though this practice necessarily puts off the liberation of the public revenue from a fixed period to one so indefinte that it is not very likely ever to arrive; yet as a greater sum can in all cases be raised by this new practice than by the old one of anticipations, the former, when men have once become famliar with it, has in the great exigencies of the state been universally preferred to the latter. To relieve the present exigency is always the object which principally interests those immediately concerned in the administration of public affuirs. The future luberation of the publis revenue they leave to the care of posterity.

During the reign of Queen Anne, the market rate of interest had fallen from six to five per cent. ; and in the twelfth year ot her reign five per cent. was declared to be the highest rate which could lawfully be taken for money borrowed upon private security. Soon after the greater part of the temporary taxes of Great Britain had been rendered perpetual, and distributed into the aggregate, South Sea, and general funds, the creditors of the public, like those of private persons, wrere induced to accept of five per cent. fot the interest of their money, which occasioned a saving of one per cent, upon the capital of the greater part of the debts which had been thus funded for perpetuity, or of one sixth of the greater part of thi annuities wheh were paid out of the three great fundy above mentioned. This saving left a considerable surplus in the produce of the different taxes which had been accumulated into those funds, over and above what was necessary for paying the annuties which were now charged upon them, and laid the foundation of what has sinoe been called the sinking fund. In 1717, it amounted to $323,434 l .75 .7 \frac{1}{2}$ d. In 1797 , the interest of the greater part of the public debts was still further reduced to four per cent. ; and in 1759 and 1757, to three and a half and three per cent. ; which reductions stull further augmented the sinking fund.

A sinking fund though instituted for the payment of old, f.wilitates very much the contracting of new debts. It is a subsidiary fand always at hand to be moitgaged in and

therefore, one might think, be a fund fir borrowing nearly as much; but those who, in order to make family settlements, and to provide for remote futuraty, buy ints the public stocks, would not care to parchase into one of which the value was contmually diminishing: and such people rake a very considerable proportion both of the propric. tors and purchasers of stock. An annuity for a long term of years, therefore, though its intrinsic value may be very nearly the same with that of a perpetual annuity, will not find nearly the same number of purchas. ers. The subscribers to a new loan, who mean generally to sell their subscription as soon as possible, prefer greatly a perpetual amnuity redeemable by parhament, to an irredeemable annuity for a long term of years of only equal amount. The value of the former may be supposed always the same, or very nearly the same; and it maked, therefore, a more convenient transferable stark than the latter.

During the two last-mentioned wars, annuities, either for terms of ycars or for liver, were seldom granted but as premiums to the subscribers to a new loan, over and above the redeemable annuity or interest upon the credit of which the loan was supposed to be made. They were granted, not as the proper fund upon which the money was borrotred, but as an additional encouragement to the lender.

Annuities for lives have occasionally been granted in two different ways: either upon separate lives, or upon lots of lives, which in French are called tontines, from the name of their inventor. When annuties are granted upon separate lives, the death of every modnvidual annuitant disburdens the pubie revenue so far as it was affected by has annurty. When annuities are granted upon tontues, the liberation of the public revenue doeq not commence till the death of all the annuitants comprehended in one lot, which may sometimes consist of twenty or tharty persons, of whom the survivors suceed to the annurties of all those who die before them; the lase survivor succeeding to the annuities of the whole lot. Upon the asme revenue more money can always be raised by tontines than by annuities for separate lives. An annuity with a right of survivorsbip, is really worth, more than an equal annuty for a separate life; and, from the confidence which every man naturally has in his own good fortune, the principle upon which is founded the surcess of all lotteries, such an zunuity genécully sells for something more than it is worth. In countries where it is usual for government to rase money by granting annuises, tontines are, upon this account, generally preferred to annuities for separate hives. The expeduent which will rase mot money, is almost always prcferred to that which is
likely to brius dbout in the speediest manner the liberition of the public revenue.

In France a much greater proportion of the public debts consists in annuites for lives than in England. According to a memoir presented by the parlament of Bordianx to the knig in 1764, the whole public debt of France is estimated at twenty-four hundred milloons of livres; of wheh the captal for which annuities for lives bad been granted is supposed to amount to three hundred millions, the eighth part of the whole public debt. The annuties themselves are computed to amount to thirty millons a year, the fourth part of one hundred and twenty millions, the supposed interest of that whole dibt. These estimations, I know very well, are not exact; but having been presented by so veryrespectable a body as approximations to the truth, they may, I apprehend, be constdered as such. It is not the different degrees of anxiety in the two governments of France and England for the hberation of the rublie revenue which vecasions this difference in their respective modes of borrowing. It arises altogether from the different views and interests of the lenders.

In England, the scat of government being in the greatest mercantile city in the world, the merchants are generally the people who advance money to government. By advancing it, they do not mean to diminish, but, on the contrary, to mercase their mercantrle capitals; and unless they expected to sell wath some profit their share in the subscription for a new luan, they never would subicribe. But if by advancing their money they were to purchase, instead of perpetual sonuities, annuthes for lives only, whether their own or those of other people, they would not always be so likely to sell them with a profit. Annuitues upon their own lives they would always sell wath loss; because no man will give for an annuity upon the hfe of another, whose age and state of health are nearly the same with his own, the same price which he would give for one upon bis own. An annuity upon the life of a third person, indeed, is no doubt of equal salue to the buyer and the seller; but its real value begins to diminish from the moment it is granted. and continues to do so more and more as long as it subsists. It tun never, therefore, make so convenient a transfurable stock as a perpetual annuity, of which the real value may be supposed always die malle, on we, wand the sam.

Iu' France, the seat of government not beng in a great mercantile erty, merchants do not make so great a proportion of the people who advance money to government. The people concerned in the finances, the farmers general, the receivers of the taxes which are not in farm, the court bankers, se., male the greater part of those who ad-
vance their money in all public exigencies Such people are commonly men of mean birth, but of great wealth, and fiequently of great prude. They are too proud to marry their equals, and women of quality disdan to marry them. They frequently resolve, therefore, to hive bachelors; and having nenther any families of therr own nor much regard for those of their relations, whom they are not always very fond of acknowledging, they desire only to live in splendour during their own time, and are not unwilling that their fortunes should end with themselves. The number of rich people, besides, ! who are either averse to marry, or whose condition of hfe renders it etther improper or inconvenient for them to do so, is much greater in France than in England. To such people, who have hittle or no care for posterity, nothing can be more convenient than to exchange their capital for a revenue, which is to last just as long and no longer than they wish it to do.
The ordinary expense of the greater part of modern governments in time of peace being equal or nearly equal to their ordmary revenue, when war comes, they are both unwilling and unable to increase their revenue in proportion to the increase of their expense. They are unwilling, for fear of offending the people, who, by so great and so sudden mu increase of taxes, would soon be disgusted with the war; and they are unable, from not well knowing what taxes would be sufficient to produce the revenue wanted. The faclity of bortowing delivers them from the embarrassment which this fear and inability would otherwise occasicu. By means of borrowing they are enabled, with a very moderate inciease of taxes, to raise from year to year money sufficient for carrying on the war; and by the practuce of perpetual funding, they are enabled, with the smallest possible increase of taxes, to raise annually the largest possible sum of money. In great empires, the people who live in the capital, and in the provinces remote from the scene of action, feel, many of them, scarce' any inconveniency from the war, but enjoy at their ease the amusement of reading in the newspapers the exploits of their own fleets and armies. To them this amusement compeusates the small difference between the taxes which they pay on account of the war and those which they had been accustomed to pay in time of peace. Ihicy are commonly dissatisfied with the re. turn of peate, which puts an end to this arpusement, and to a thousand visionary hopes of conquest and national glory, from $A$ longer continuance of the war.

The return of peace, indced, seldom relieves them from the greater part of the tares imposed during the war. These are mortgaged for the interest of the debt con-
tracted in order to carry it on. If, over and above paying the interest of this debt, aud defraying the ordinary expense of governmont, the old revenue, together with the new taxes, produce some surplus revenue, it may perhaps be converted into a sinking fund for paying off the debt. But, in the first place, this sinking fund, even supposing it should be applied to no other purpose, is generally altogether inadequate for paying, in the course of any period during which it can reasonably be expected that peace should continue, the whole debt contracted during the war; and, in the second place, this fund is always applied to other purposes.

The new taxes were imposed for the sole purpose of paying the interest of the money borrowed upon them. If they produce more, it is generally something which was neither intended nor expected, and is therefore seldom very considerable. Sinking funds have generally arisen not so much from any surplus of the taxes which was over and above what was necessary for paying the interest or annuity originally charged upon them as from a subsequent reduction of that interest. That of Holland in 1655, and that of the ecclesiastical state in 1685, were both formed in this manner. Hence the usual insufficiency of such funds.

During the most profound peace, various events occur which require an extraordinary expense, and government finds it always more convenient to defray this expense by misapplying the sinking fund than by inposing a new tax. Every new tax is immediately felt more or less by the people. It occasions always some murmur, and meets with some opposition. The more taxes may have been multiplied, the higher they may have been raised upon every different subject of taxation; the more loudly the people complain of every new tax, the more diffcult it becomes, too, either to find out new subjects of taxation or to raise much higher the taxes already imposed upon the old. A momentary suspension of the payment of debt is not immediately felt by the people, and occasions neither murmur nor complaint. To borrow of the sinking fund is always an obvious and easy expedient for getting out of the present difficulty. The more the public debts may have been accumulated, the more necessary it may have become to study to reduce them, the more dangerous, the more ruinous it may be to misapply any part of the sinking fund, -the less likely is the public debt to be reduced to any considerable degree, the more likely, the more certainly is the sinking fund to be misapplied towards defraying all the extraordinary expenses which occur in time of peace. When a nation is already overburdened with taxes, nothing but the necessities of a new wasnothing but either the animosity of national
vengeance, or the anxiety for national sepurity, can induce the people to submit, with tolerable patience, to a new tax. Hence the usual misapplication of the sinking fund.

In Great Britain, from the time that we had first recourse to the ruinous expedient for perpetual funding, the reduction of the public debt in time of peace has never borne any proportion to its accumulation in tune of war. It was in the war which began in 1688 , and was concluded, by the treaty of Ryswick in 1697, that the foundation of the present enormous debt of Great BriLiia was laid.

On the 31st of December 1697, the public debts of Great Britain, funded and unfunded, amounted to $21,515,742 l .138$. $81 / 2$. A great part of those debts had been contracted upon short anticipations, and some part upon annuities for lives; so that before the 31st of December 1701, in less than four years, there had partly been paid off, and partly reverted to the public, the sum of $5,121,0411$. 128. 0 3. 4. ; a greater reduction of the public debt than has ever since been brought about in so short a period of time. The remaining debt, therefore, amounted only to $16,994,70 \mathrm{IL}$. Is. 7 T d .

In the war which began in 1702 , and which was concluded by the treaty of Utrecht, the public debts were still more accumulated. On the 31 st of December 1714, they amounted to $53,681,0761.5 s .6 \frac{1}{2} d$. The subscripion into the South Sea fund of the short and long annuities increased the capital of the public debts, so that on the 31 st of December 1722 it amounted to $55,282,9781$. is. 334 . The reduction of the debt began in $17: 3$, and went on so slowly that on the 31 st of December 1739, during 17 years of profound peace, the whole sum -paid off was no more than $8,328,3541$. 17s. 1131 ; the capital of the public debt at that time amounting to 46,954,623l. Ss. $4 \frac{7}{12}$ d.

The Spanish war, which began in 1739, and the French war which soon followed it, occasioned a further increase of the delis, which, on the 31st of December 174s, after the was had been concluded by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, amounted to $78,293,915 l$. 18. 10 and. The most profound peace of seventeen years' continuance had taken no more than $8,328,3541$. 178 . 113,2 . from $21 . \mathrm{A}$ war of less than nine years' continuance added $31,938,6891.18 s$. 6!d. to it. ${ }^{1}$

During the administration of Mr. Pelham, the interest of the pubhe debt was reduced or at least measures were taken for reducing it, from four to three per cent.; the sinking fund was increased, and some part of the public debt was paid off. In 1753, before the breaking out of the late war, the funded di bt of Great Britain amounted to $72,259,6: 3 L$.
I See Sames Porticthwalte'a History of the Puhive Revenue.
(n tiee 5ha of Jonuarv 17in, at the conclusoon of the prace, the funded dibtanrounted
 detes has bew otated at $13,9-7,545 \mathrm{~h}$ 28, ed Bint the expune occastoned by the war dud not end with the wind hutun of the peace, so thit thoush on the filth of January 1764 the furtud dult was mincaved (partly by a wew duall. and partly tiv funding a part of the
 thate still rathaned (according to the very $u_{t}$ il mfermed author of "Conadderations an the Iracie and Imances of Great Britan" ) an unfundid debt, which was brought to account in thit and the fullow ung year, of s, $9: 50.0172 .12 \mathrm{~s}$. $21 \mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{t}$. In 1704, therefore, the puthe debt of Grat Britan, funded and unfunded torther, amounted, according to thas author, to $199,516,8072$ 2s. 44. The ammaties for lives toon which had been prantud as premums to the subserilers to the mew hans in 1757, extumated at fourteen y carn purchase, were ralued at $472,5 \times 1 \times$. ; and the amnumes for long terms of years sratted as premiums litewise, in 1765 and 1762. mamated at 971 years' purchave, were valutd at $6,8.6,57.56$ During a peace of alwut seven years' continuance, the prudent and truly patriot admmistration of Mr. Mibun was not able to pay off an old dibt of ax milions Durng a war of nearly the wime contmuance, a new debt of more than serent-five mollions was contracted.
On the 5th of January 1775 the funded debt of Grest Britan amonited to $124, n 96,0 \times 6 /$. 1s. 6 I 2 The unfundech exclasme of a larse wil hat debt to $4.150,236 \mathrm{~L}$ 3s. 11 \% . Buth tugether, to 129.1t6.393l. 5s. 6d. According ti) this account, the whole debt pad off duling cleven sears prufound peace amounted only to $10,415,4741$ 16s. erg Even this amall reducion of debt, however, has not lurn all made from the savings out of the ord, nary revenue of the state, Several extrinews sums, altogether independent of that ohhary revemue, have contributed towards at. Amongt the we may rechon and didntion Al sluilung in the pound land-tar for ehree wirn, the two mithons receved from the $\Gamma$ wi Iudia company, as udemmification fur ther terratoral acyustions; and the one hamired and iten thonsand pounds recened twou the bank for the renemal of ther dirter To thew must be added several Cinic sums which, as thiv arme out of the late wir, ought fertians to be considered as d. ducthons from the expenses of it. The priticridare, -

[^123]
If we add to this sum the balance of the earl of Chatham's and Mr Caleratts accounts, and other army savurs of the same hand, together with what has been recemed from the bank, the Eat Indua company, and the additional shiling in the pound land-tax. the whole must be a good deal more than five millions. The dibt, therefore, which, stuce the peace, has tren pard out of the sarings from the ordnary revenue of the state, has not, one jear wath another, amounted in haif a mullou a year The sinking fund buts no doubt, been conoderably augnented suce the peace, by the debt which has been paid off, by the reduction of the redermable four percents wo three por cents, and br the annumeq for lives wheh have fallen in, and, of peace were to continue, a mallion, perlisps, mirite now the anmually gired out of it to wards the dixharice of the debt. Another million, accordingly, was padd in the course of last year, but, at the same thme, a larke coval hist debt was left unpard, and we are now intolved in a new uar : which, in ats progress, bay prove as expensive as any of our former wars, ${ }^{2}$ The new debt whech will probally be contracted before the end of the next campaga may perhaps be nearly equal to all the old dibt whick has been pard off from the samizs out of the ordinary revenue of the state. (It would be altogether chimencal, therefore to expect that the pulde debt should ever be completely dichareed by any savines. which are hikely to be made from that ordinary revenue as it stands at present. ${ }^{3}$ )

The pablic funds of the diffrent indulited natuons of Europe, particularly thime of Encland, have by one author been represented as the accumalation of a preat copital superadided to the other capital of the combtry, by means of whith its trade os extinded, its manufuctures are multiphed, and it 1 mis culturated and mprowed much besond what they could have leen by means of that oiher captal obly. He thes not conouder that the caphal which the trat ereditors of the public adianced to conerament, was, from the nioment in wheh he adsanced it, a certain for tion of the annual produce turned away from srruing in the functon of a capital, to serve in that of a revenue, from mantaing productive lobourers to mantain urproductive
${ }^{3}$ For an armunt of the proatess of the natanial dethe s wee the commencement of tie American war to the prosent periont. and the operation of the to the procent petion. and to operation ${ }^{\text {of }}$ the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{te} \mathrm{n}$.
oncs, and to be spent and wasted, generally in the course of a year, without even the hope of any future reproduction. In return for the capital which they advanced they obtanned, indeed, an annuity of the public funds, in most cases, of more than equal value This annuity, no doubt, replaced to them their capital, and enabled them to carry on their trade and business to the same or perhaps to a greater extent than before; that is, they were enabled either to borrow of other people a new capital upon the credit of this annuity, or, by selling it, to get from other people a new capital of their own, equal or superior to that which they had advanced to government. This new capital, however, which they in this manner either bought or-borrowed of other people, must have existed in the country before, and must have been employed, as all capitals are, in maintaining productive labour. When it came into the hands of those who had advanced their money to government, though it was in sone respects a new capital to them, it was not so to the country; but was only a capital withdrawn from certan employments, in order to be turned towards others. Though it replaced to them what they had advanced to government, it did not replace it to the country. Had they not advanced this capital to government, there would have been in the country two capitals, two portions of the annual produce, instead of one, employed in maintaining productive labour.

When, for defraying the expense of government, a revenue is raised within the year from the produce of free or unmortgaged taxes, a certain portion of the revenue of private people is only turned away from maintaining one species of unproductive labour towards maintaining another. Some part of what they pay in those taxes might, no doubt, have been aceumulated into capital, and consequently employed in maintaining productive labour ; but the greater pat would probably bave been spent, and consequently employed in maintaining unproductive labour. The public expense, however, when defrayed in this manner, no doubt binders more or less the further accumulation of new capital ; but it does not necessarily occasion the destruction of any actually existing capital.

When the public expense is defrayed by funding, it is defrayed by the annual destruction of some capital which bad before existed in the country; by the perversion of some partion of the annual produce which had before been destined for the maintenance of productive labour towards that of unproductive labour. As in this case, however, the taxes are lighter than they
${ }^{3}$ The total nett revpsue of Great Bntain and Ireland, for the year ended 5th of Januars, 1837,
would have been, had a revenue sutficient for defraying the same expense been rased withm the year, the prisate revenue of individuals is necessanilv less burdened, and consequently their ability to save and accumulate some part of that revenue into capital is a good deal hess mpired. If the method of fundugg dest roy more old capital, it at the same time hinders less the accumulation or acquisition of new capital than that of defraying the public expense by a revenue raised within the year. Lnder the system of funding, the frugality and induatry of private people can more easily repars the breaches which the waste and extravagince of government may occasienally make in the general capital of the society.

It is only during the continuance of war, however, that the system of funding lias thas advantage over the other system. Were the expense of war to be defrajed always by a revenue raised within the year, the taxey from which that extraotdinary rovenue was drawn would last no longer than the war. The ability of private people to accumulate, though less during the war, would have been greater during the peace than under the system of funding. War would not neces sarlly have occasioned the destruction of any old capitals, and peace would have occasoncd the accumulation of many more new. Wars would in general be more speedily concludert, and less wantonly undertaken. The people, feeling during the continuance of the wat the complete burden of it, would soon grow weary of it; and government, in order to humour them, would not be under the necessity of carrying it on longer than it was necessary to do so. The foresight of the heavy and unavoidable burdens of war would hinder the people from wantonly calling fur it when there was no real or solid intereat to fight for. The seasons during which the ability of private people to accumulate was somewhat impaired, would occur more rarcly, and be of shorter continuance. Thoes, on the contrary, during which that ability was in the highest vigour, would be of much longer duration than they can well be under the system of funding.

When funding, besides, has made a certain progress, the multiplication of taxes which it brings along with it sometumes impars as much the abilty of private people to accumulate, even in tume of peace, as the other system would in time of war. The peace revenue of Great Britain amounts at present to more than ten millions a year. 1 If irce and unmortgaged, it might be sufficient, with proper management, and without coniracting a shilling of new debt, to carry on the most vigorous war. The private revenue of the inhabitants of Great Brnain is ut present
amometed to $52,919,3581$, of which Ireland produced 4,748,3 24
as much encurnbered 10 tinc of peace, their absibty to accumulate as as much impared as at would have been in the time of the most exgenwive war, had the permicious system of thathatg never been adoptid.

Is the payment of the interest of the publie dilh, it has been sud, it is the rigit hand whaln pas the left. The money dues not forout of the country. It is only a part of the revenue of one set of the inhabitants wheh is trandirred to another, and the natwin in not a farthing the poorer. Thas apology is founded altogether in the sophistry of the nis reantile syiem, and, after the long exmomation which $I$ have already bestowed upen that system, it may perhaps be unbiessary to say any thing further about it It surposes, bessder, that the whole public luht is owng to the inhabitants of the conntry, which happens not to be true; the Uutch as well as several other foreign na-

The property belonaing to foreigners in our fumble is redily very inconstderable see p. it., $7_{1}^{1 t}$
 - Atis fillius In point of fact, the payments on acwhit of the buterest of the publice debt are really What the apulosich of the funding system represent the in a dut of the right hand to the left, or are so mut b westith transierred from one class of societs to minether it is ouviotis houerer, that the question ath rovert to the minfuence of pubile debte on hit in il prouperitredoes not depend so much on the pur oft of tie interest, as on the manner in which ife prom inal :ar theh it is pad belt been emplorad Nur thus pelncipal eras pot lent by one set of ind is dit is to wother, thit to guvemment, who have spent it an alike ent, ryines It, in tach, bus been, gene
 a rivnur tromith, the rew muke of the stockholdars is (se howiv un rived trom hases hud on the capital ard ricentw of others

1) sut the in mediate effect of loans on $n$ tiomal h, ith in a atill cleurer pint of view, it us suppose tha arountry. With artimilions of people and feur minderd mibhons of cuptal engenges in war, and that If מinerninent toorrows and spends fitiv milhons of the wat ohal aphat if the ardinath tate of protis
 wis of this atalt iren honsig to the wir would be torty mulums, hut at its close and after the fifty milionos hat te $n$ horroused and spent, it would only be thirtl-
 (b) ainabiot means of enploning labour And alif it hat lee true that the country is aot deprised of $A_{1}$, imemest of the dethe, fir that is mereir transfi rixi trumsine clixs to snother, it is no leva true ti, it it is dapred ot the memmederined from dity milhoms of capt 4 , and that the prombictive primer n' ith firmerly fed and rlothed an mitith part of tire uhathentiz baink fost to the state, they will has rindin. thi, for a white at least, ter subproteme ati re'rion the eurt ants of thine who, it mav
 br
Th's dexerine has bump ably stated br Mr Justice Bimn inte - By treatis of nur natotid debt, says F. - the qus. i". of prowerty th the kingdom is



 jurer, in pubhe tahb, in parhamentary fisurity and

1 This suptorex of coarce, that no satmers have foen marter lion expensedurn. that pertod. Hut it is obrious that ohatever oun sither mitht eronant to. they whut not aftect the pratac ple ladd 1, 1 wis
tions, having a very conside ralie share io our pubile funds. 1 But though the whole dett were owing to the inhalutaits of the country. it would nut upon that account be hiss perniclous.?

Land and capital stock are the two original sources of all revenue both private and pubhe. Capital stock pays the wares of pro ductive labour, whether employed in agriculture, manufactures, or cominerce. Tle management of those two original sources o revenue belongs to two different sets of peuple, - the propretors of land, and the owners or employers of capital stok.

The proprictor of land is interested for the sake of his own revenue to heep lise estate in as good condition as he can, by bualding and repairng his tenants' houses, by mahmg and mantanning the necessary drans and inclosures, and all those other exponse inprovements which it properly belongs to the
l' it is undoubted!y sufficient for the creditors of tha Fublic to rely on But the it whit is the plerige which the public fatith has pawned tor the sceuraty of thesse debus? The hand, the ir the and the persumat industry of the subject, from which the money must arise that simplims the serpral taxes in these, theremore, and in th ze only lhe pruperty of the public creditors does reauls and intimsicalifyexist : and of course the land, tre trau and the peraonal induatry of individuals, are dimmmsned in cherr true value just 80 much as ther are plentred to ansu er. If A s ineome amount to l(a)d per annum, and ha is so tar indrhted to $H$ that he pais shin Sud per annim for his interest. one half of the salue of $A$ oproperty it transfrred to 13 the creditor. The cridutor's property exists in the demand which he has upon the perty exists in the demand which he has upon the
di btor, and noahere else; and the debior is on! a dibur, and noatieter else; and the debior is ont a
trusce to his creditor for one half of the value o! hits income. In short, the property of a creditur of the public consiats in a certain portion of the nattonal tanps hy how much, the rcfure, he is the richer, ky so much the nutlow, whath pas these tasic, is tie puorer "-com "refarics, sol ip 3.7

It is not, bow wer, miant, by anvinung now stated, to question the expectiency of contracting loant: that tepends on tosaliy differeat considerations. Nathondi independence and honvour must be matntained at all hasards Pruvided the ordinary revenue of a state the inadequate to defray any unusual expendiume it mav have to sustam, and it is judged better to borrow in in to mpuse additional taxes to ment the inemer, there can the no obiection to a lexan It winitd probiols be gining too far to athrm that all the wars in which we bove been engaged, that all the mars in which we bise been engeged 8 the e the kerolution, were just and nocessar. oud the least burdensome manitr. But if so, the conthe least burdensome manrit. But if so, the contraction of the nation tu de ht would be completery justitut The riberiey and murease of our domsnonns, the on-utertion of our rights and liberties, and our crimp ins un land and sea, are the zeal equaraInenta of the privice debt, and of all tire hlond and
 the vare quite as anople, and combluce as truch on our prospernis as a natwr as uf mey had been realised $\therefore 7$ til amercase of puphation and wealth Nosarne twos call be tow great that are required to preserve nodlomai sectir"t and madepnadence: and a liman expaded on zrmes or tuets employed for surth a purpose is quite as well and protitabiy employed, as it it had been idud out on agriculture, or $m$ promoting manufiactures or trade

Neuther must the indirecteffect of loans, and of the it xes if posed to ditray the intercse, be lowt sizht of
 When these taxes ate wut carried to teo $\operatorname{cose}^{+3}$ at a heipht, the sexert a rery benetizhal hifincmic orer
findubtry, and go fir, ty the itumulis they give to
 more than reflace, the suins lent to goverminent See ame, p hw, buet
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$$ The Italian republics seem to have begun it. Genoa and Venice, the only two remaining which can pretend to an independent existence, have both been enfeebled by it. Spain seems to have learned the practice frore the Italian republics, and (its taxes being probasely less judicious than theirs), it has, in proportion to its natural strength, been stull more enfeebled. The debits of Spain are of very old standing. It was deeply in dit before the end of the sixteenth century, about a hundred years before England owed a shulling. France, notwithstanding all its natural resources, languishes under an ojpreqsieve load of the same kind. The republic of the United Provinces is as much enfeebled by its debts as either Genoa or Venice. Is it lely that in Great Britain alone a proctide which has brought either weakness or desolation into every other country should prove altogether innocent?

The systern of taxation established in those different countries, it may be said, is inferior to that of England. I believe it is so. But it ought to be remembered, that when the wisest government has exhausted all the proper subjects of taxation, it must, in cases of urgent necessity, have recourse to improper ones. The wise republic of Illland has, upon some occasions, been obliged to have recourse to taxes as inconvenient as the greater part of those of Spain. Another war begun before any considerable liberation of the public revenue had been brought about, and growing in its progress as expenside as the last war, may, from irresistible necessity, render the British systera of taxation as oppressive as that of IIviland, or even as that of Spain. To the honour of our present system of taxation, indeed, it lias hitherto given so little embarrassment to in. dustry, that, during the course even of the most expensive wars, the frugality and good conduct of individuals seem to have been able, by saving and accumulation, to repair all the breaches which the waste and extravagance of government had made in the general capital of the society. At the conclusion of the late war, the most expensive that Great Britain ever waged, her agricullure was as flourishing, her manufacturers as numerous and as fully employed, and her commerce as extensive, as they had ever been before. The capital, therefore, which supported all those different bran, hes of industry must have been equal to what it had ever been before. Since the peace, agriculture has been still further improved, the rents of houses have risen in every town and village of the country, a proof of the increaseing wealth and revenue of the people; and the annual amount of the greater part of the old taxes, of the principal branches of the
exeine and cuskomay perticular, has been contunually ineremaing, an equally ciear proof of an incressing consumpuom, and consequentily of an ineressing produce, which could elone support shat consumption Great Britain seetus to support with ease a burden whieh, half a century ago, racbondy believod her capable of supporting. Ler ua not, howerer, mpon this aceount rashly conclude that ste in eapable of supporting any burden; mor evea be too confident that she conld support, without great distress, a burden a litule greater than what-hus alrendy been hide upoa ber.
When metonnal debts have once beea secumulated to a certais degrec, there is scerce. I belvera, a single instance of their having beve fairly and completely paid. The liben ation of the public revenua, if it hasever been brought about at all, hes always been brought about by a bankruptey; sometines by an arowed one, but always by a realoce, though frequently by a pretended payment.

The ralsing of the deromination of the win bas been the most usual expedieat by which a ral publie bankruptcy has been disquised under the appearance of a pretiended payment. If a sixpence, for example, sitould eitber by act of parliament or rural proclametuon, be raised to the denomination of a shilling, and trenty sixpences to thut of a pound sterling, the person wha under the old denomination, had borrowed twenty shillungs, or wear four ounces of silver, would, under the pew, pay rith tweoty sirpences ar with something less than two dunces A aacional debt of about a hundred and twenty-eight milliwas, mear the capital of the funced and unfunded debt of Grest Britam, mytht in this manner be paid whit about sist p-four millions of our present money. . It mould indeed be a pretended parwent oaly, and the ereditors of the pubhe would really be defrauded of ten shillings in the pound of that was due to thern. The ealemity, toon, would extend moch further than to the ereditors of the public, and those of erery private person would suffar a proportionable loss; and this rithout any adcantage, but in moot cuses Fith a great additwoal kess to the ereditors of the publie. If than ereditars of the prablic, indeed, were Reperally sauch in debs to ocber people, they mipht in some meanure comprasstie thiz dose by paying theur creditors is the same coin in which the pustio had paid themes but in mont countries the erectitors of the publie are, the gremer part of them, realliy fricile, 5 ph stand more in the relation of ereditors theo in thut of dicteors tr-bards the rust of their fillow-citumas A proeminal




payment of this kind, therefone, instead of alleviating. afgravates ia most caset the lose of the creditore of the public; and, withoost any adrantage to the public, extende the calamity to a great number of other innocent people. It accasions a general and mont pernicious subvernion of the fortupes of private people, eariching in moost casen the idle and profose debior at the expease of the inductrious and frugal ereditor, and tranoportung a great part of the natuoasal capital fracn the bands whech were likely to incrense and improve it to those who are hitely to dussipate and destroy it. When it becomen peeessary fur a state to declare itself bankrupe, in the same manner as when it beromes neressary for an indirydual to do so, a fur, open, and avocred bantruptry is always the mensure which is both least dishonourable to the debtor and least hurtful to the ereditor. The honour of a state is surely very poorly prodided for, when, in order to eover the disgrace of a real bankruptey, it has reeourse to a juggling trick of this thod, 20 easily seen through, and at the same tume so extremely pernicious.

Almost all stases bowerer, ancient as well as modero, when reduced to this necessity. have, upon some gecastons, played this very jugghngetrick. The Kumans at the end of the first Punie war, neduced the As, the coin as or denomination by which they computed the value of all their otber coins, from contwining twelre ounces of copper to contaia ooly two ounces; that is, they raised two ounces of copper to a denomination Thich had always before exprested the value of twelve ounces. 1 The republic was in this manner enabled to pay the great debts which it had contrseted with the rixth part of what it really owed. So suddea and 00 greas a back ruptcy, we should in the present tumes be apt to maagine must have ocenswared a very violent popular clamocur. It does not appesar to hare ocenspocied any. The law which cascted it wras like all other laws relating to the coin, introduced and carried through the assrubbly of the people by a tribune, and was probably a very popaLar law. In Rome, as in all other ancient republics, the pucr people were constantly in ditt to the rach and Lhe great, Fbo, in order to secure their rotes at the annual elections. used to kod them money at exorbitana inwrest, which, being mever paid, sion meenmulated into a sum too greas either firr the debtor to pay or fir any body else wn anj fur bume The debroor, fur fear of a very severa eneretion, wes oldiged, without any further gratuity, to nobe for the cuodidate whora the ereditue reconamended. In spite of all the
 chumet the value of the evirebcy: tuat thet it prect eas is ectablich e correct propurtoen hetweom dn cuppar mell selver coing

Laws against bribery and corruption, the bounty of the candddates, together with the occasional distributions of corn which were ordered by the senate, were the principal funds from which, during the latter times of the Roman repubhe, the poorer eatizens derived their subsistence. To deliver themselves from this subjection to their creditors, the poorer cotizens were continually calling out either for an entire abolition of debts, or for what they called new tables, that is, for a law which should entitle them to a complete aequittance, upon paying only a certain proportion of their accumulated debts. The law which reduced the coin of all denominations to a sixth part of its former value, as it enabled them to pay their debts with a sixth part of what they really owed, was equivalent to the most advantageous new tables. In order to satisfy the people, the rich and the great were, upon several different occasions, obliged to cousent to laws buth for abohshing debts and for introducing new tables; and they probably were induced to consent to this law, partly for the same reason, and partly that, by liberating the public revenue, they might restore vigour to that government of which they themselves had the principal direction. An operation of this kind would at once reduce a debt of a hundred and twenty-eight millions to twenty-one mallions three hundred and thirty-three thousand three hunded and thirty-three pounds six shillings and eightpence. In the course of the second Punic war, the As was still further reduced, first, from two ounces of copper to one ounce, and afterwards from one ounce to half an ounce; that is, to the twenty-fourth part of its original value. By combining the three Roman operations into one, a debt of a huatred and twenty-eight millions of our present money might in this manner be reduced all at once to a debt of five millions three hundred and thirty-three thousand three hundred and thirty-three pounds six shillings and eightpence. Even the enormous debt of Great Britain might in this manner soon be pand.

By means of such expedients the com of, I belicye, all nations has been gradually reduced more and more below its onginal value, and the same nominal sum has been gradually brought to contain a smaller and a smaller quantity of silver,

Nations have sometimes, for the same purpose, adulterated the scandard of their coin; that is, have mixed a greater quantity of alloy in it. If in the pound weight of our silver coin, for example, instead of eighteen pennyweight, according to the present standard, there was mixed eight ounces of alloy, a pound sterling. or twenty shillugs of such coin, would be worth little more than six shilliugs and eightpence of
our present money. The quantity of silver contained in six shillings and eightpence of our present money would thus be rased to very nearly to the denomination of a pound sterling. The adulteration of the standard has exactly the same effect with what the French call an augmentation, or a direct rasing of the denomination of the coin.

An augmentation, or a durect rassing of the denomination of the coll, always ts , and from its nature must be, an open and avowed operation. By means of it, pieces of a smaller weight and bulk are called by the same name which had before been given to pieces of a greater weight and bulk. The adulteration of the standard, on the contrary, has generally been a concealed operation. By means of it, pieces were issued from the mint of the name denominations, and, as nearly as could be contrived, of the same weight, bulk, and appearance, with pieces which had been current biffore of much greater value. When King John of France, in order to pay hus debts, adulterated his coin, all the officers of his munt were sworn to secrecy. Buth operations are unjust: but a simple augmentation is an injustice of open violence; whereds an adulteration is an injustice of treacherous fraud. This latter operation, thereforc, as soon as it has been discovered - and it could never be concealed very long - has alway excited much greater indignation than the former. The coin, after any consuderable augmentation, has very seldom been brought back to its former weight; but after the greatest adulterations, it has alnost alway; been brought back to its former finencoss. It has scarce ever happened, that the fury and indignation of the people could otherwise be appeased.

In the end of the reign of Henry VIII., and in the beginning of that of Ldward VI., the English coin was not only rased 111 its denomination, but adulterated in its standard. The like frands were practised in Scotland during the minority of James VI. They have opcasionally buen practised in most other countrics.

That the public revenue of Great Britain can ever be completely liberated, or even that any considerable progress can ever be made towards that hiberation, while the surplus of that revenue, or what is orer and above defraying the aanual expense of the peace establishment, is to very smail, it seans altogether in vain to expect. That hideration, it is evident, can never be brought about without either some very consuderable augmentation of the public revenue or some equally considerable reduction of the pablic expense.

A more equal land-tax, a more equal tar
; See Du Cinge Gloskary, voce Moneta; the Begedictine edition
upon the rent of houses, and suchalterations in thic present systein of customs and excise as those which have been mentioned in the foregong chaptur, wight, perhaps, without me reasing the burden of the greater part of the peoule, but only distributing the weight of it more equally upon the whole, produce th consuderable augineutation of revenue. The most sangune projector, however, could searce flatter hmself, that any augmentation of this hind would be such as could give any reasonable bopes either of liberating the public revenue altogether or even of maknig such progiess towards that liberation in time of peace as either to prevent or coinpasate the further accumulation of the publec delt in the next war.

By extending the British system of taxathon to all the different provinces of the empire inhabited by people of either British or European extraction, a much greater auginentation of revenue might be expected. 'lhis however could scarce, parhaps, be done, consistently with the prineiples of the British constitution, wathout admating into the Uritish parlament, or, if you will, into the states-general of tho British empire, a far and equal representation of all those different provinces, that of each province bearing the same proportion to the produce of its uxes, as the representation of Great Britain mught bear to the produce of the taxes levied upon Great Britan. The private interest of many powerful individuals, the confirmed proudices of great bodius of people, seem muleed at present to oppose to so great a change such obstacles as it may be very difficult, perhaps altogether impossible, to surmount. Without, however, pretending to determme whether such a union be practic,sble or impracticable, it may not perhaps be inuroper, in a speculative work of this kind, to consader how far the British syctem of tation might be applicable to all the different provinces of the empire; what revenue nught be expected from it, if so applied; and in what manner a general union of this hind mught belikely to affect the happiness and pronperity of the diffurent provinces comprehended within it. Such a speculation can at worst be regarded but as a new - Vtopia, less amusing certanly, but no mone useless and chmerical than the old one

The land tax, the stamp-duties, and the different duthes of cuntons and excise, constrite the fuir principal branches of the Bitush taxes.

Ircland 15 certainly as able, and our American and West Indian plantations more dhe, to pay a lund-tax than Great Britain. Where the landlord is subject nesther to tithe nor poor's rate, he must certanly be more able to pav such a tax, than where he is subyect to both those other burduns. The
tithe, where there is no modus, and where it is levied in kind, dimmishes more what would otherwise be the rent of the landlord, than a land tax which really amounted to five shillings in the poind. Such a tithe will be found in most cases to amount to more than a fourth pdit of the real rent of the land, or of what rembans after replacing completely the capital of the farmer, togetber with his reasonable profit. If all moduses and all impropriations were taken away, the complete church tithe of Great Britain and Ireland could not well be estimated at less than six or seven mulhons. If there was no tithe either in Great Britain or Ireland, the landlords could affind to pay six or seven milions additional landtax, without being more burdened than a very great part of them are at present America pays no tithe, and could thercfore very well afford to pay a land-tax The lands in America and the West Indies, modeed, are in general not tenanted nor leanced out to farmers. They could not therefore be ascessed accord. ing to any rent-roll. But nather were the lands of Great Britain, in the 4 th of Whlham and Mary, assessed according to any rent roll, but according to a very loose and inaccurate estimation. The lands in America might be assessed either in the same manner, or according to an equitable valuation in consequence of an accurate survey, like that which was lately made in the Milanese, and in the dominions of Austria, Prussia, and Sardinia.

Stamp-duties, it is evident, might be levsed without any variation in all countries where the forms of law process, and the deeds by which property both real and personal is transferred, are the same or nearly the same.

The extension of the custom-house laws of Great Britain to Ireland and the plantations, provided it was accompanicd, as in justice it ouglit to be, with an extension of the ficedom of trade, would be $n$ the highest degree advantagcous to both. All the invidious restraints which at present oppress the trade of Ireland, the distinction between the enumerated and non-enumerated commodities of America would be entirely at an end. The countries north of Cape Finisterre would be as open to every part of the produce of America as those south of that cape are to some parts of that produce at present. The trade between all the different parts of the Britich empure would, in consequence of thas unaformity in the custombouse laws, be as free as the coasting trade of Great Britain is at present. The British empre would thus afford witun itself an immense internal market for every part of the produce of all its different provinces. So great an estension of market would soon compensate, both to Ireland and the plant
ations, all that they could suffer from the increase of the duties of customs.

The excise is the only part of the British system of taxation which would require to be varied in any respect according as it was applied to the dafferent provinces of the empire. It might be applied to Ireland without any variation; the produce and consumption of that kingdom being eautly of the same nature with those of Great Lritain. In its application to America and tue West Indies, of which the produce and consumption are so very different from those of Great Britain, some modification might be necessary, in the sawe manner as in its application to the cider and beer counties of England.

A fermented hiquor, for example, which is called beer, but which, as it is made of molasses, bears very little resemblance to our beer, makes a considerable part of the common drink of the people in America. This liquor, as it can be hept only for a few days, cannot, hke our beer, be prepared and stored up for sale in great breweries; but every private family must brew it for their own use, in the same manner as they cook their victuals. But to subject every private fat mily to the odious vists and examination of the tax-gatherers, in the same manner as we subject the keepers of alehouses and the brewers for public sale, would be altogether inconsistent with liberty. If, for the sake of equality, it was thought necessary to lay a tas upon this liquor, it might be taxed by tasing the material of which it is made, either at the place of manufacture, or, if the circumstances of the trade rendered such an excise improper, by layugg a duty upon its importation into the colony in which it was to be consumed. Besides the duty of one penny a gallon innposed by the British parliament upon the Importation of molasses into America, there is a provincial tax of this kind upon their importation into Massachusetts Bay, in ships belunging to any other colony, of eightpence the hogshead; and another upon their importation from the northern colonies into South Carolina, of fivepence the gallon. Or, if neither of these methods was found convenient, each family might compound for its consumption of thes liquor, either according to the number of persons of which it consisted, in the same manner as provate families compound for the malt-tax in England; or accordeng to the different ages and sexes of those persons, in the same manner as several different taves are levied in Holland, or nearly as Sir Matthew Decker fro poser that all taxes upna consumable commodities should be levied in England. This mode of taxation, it bas already been observed, when applied to objects of a speedy consumption, is not a very convenient one. It might be adopted, however, in cases where no betier could be done

Sugar, rum, and tubaceo, are commedities which are nowhere necessaries of life, which are become objects of alrnost unversal consumption, and which are therefore exiremely proper suljects of taration. It a umon with the colonies were to take place, those commodities might be taved either bofore they go out of the hands of the manufacturer or grower; or, if this mode of taxation did not snit the circumstances of those persons, they might be deposited in public warebouser, both at the place of manufacture and at all the different ports of the empire to which they might afterwards be transported, to remain there, under the joint custody of the owner and the revenue officer, till such time as they should be delivered out, either wo the consumer, to the merchant retaler for home consimaption, or to the merchant exporter: the tax pot to be advanced tull such delivery. When delivered out for exportation, to go duty-free, upon proper secunty being given, that they should reaily be exported out of the empire. These are perhaps the principal commodities, with regard to which a umon with the colonies ranght require sone considerable change in the present system of British taxation.

What might be the amount of the rerente which this system of taxation, extuded to all the different provinces of the empre, might produce, it must, no doubt, be ditogether impossible to ascertain with twler able eractness. By means of this system, here is annually levied in Great liritain, upon less than eight mallions of people, more than ten millions of revenue. Ircland contains more than two millions of people, and, according to the accounts lad before the curgress, the twelve associdted provinces of America eontain more tban three. Those accounts, howevef, may have been exaggerated, in order, perbaps, ether to encourage their own people, or to intimidate those of thas country; and we shall suppose, therefure, that our North American and West Indian colonces taken together, contain no more than three millions; or that the whole British empare, in Europe and America, contans no more than thirteen mulions of inhabitants. II upon less than eight milions of intaditancs this system of taxation raises a reverue of more than ten milhons sterling, it ought upou thirteen mullions of inhabitants to rase a revenue of more than sixteer miltions two bundred and fifty thousand pounds stering. From this revenue, eupposiag that this ststem could produce it, must be delucted tae revenue usually raised in Ircland and the plantations for dufraying the expense of their respectuve cival governments. The expense of the civil and mulitary establishment of Ireland, together wath the interest of the public debt, amounts, at a medium of the two years, which ended March 1775, to
sumothing less than seven hundred and fifty thousand pounds a year. By a very exact arcount of the revenuc of the prominit coloiteq of Amertea and the Wist lindics, it anounted, before the commenerment of the pherent disturbances, to a hundred and fortyone thousand eight hundred pounds in they account, however, the revenue of Marylund, of North Carolina, and of all our late acquisitions buth upon the coutment and in the slands, is ormtted; which may perhaps make a difference of thirty or forty thousand pounds For the sake of even numbers, thatione, let us suppose that the revenue userssary for supporting the coval government of lielsind and the plantations niay amount to a mullon. There would remain, consequenth, a revenue of fifteen milhons two liurdred and ifty thousand pounds, to be apphed towarts defraying the geneial experme of the empire, and towards payng the puthe debt Butat fron the present revemue of Greit Britan a million could in peaceable thens be prared towards the payment of that dibt, six mullions two hundred and fifty thou and pomods could very wall be spared foom they improved revenue. This great ahinit fund tox might be augmented every yt i liy the interest of the debt which had let $n$ discharged the year before, and might it this manner increase so very rapidly, as to be suthecent in a few years to discharge the while debt, and thas to restore com$\left.1^{\text {bet }}\right]_{3}$ the at present debiltated and lanHimbhug vgou of the empire. In the mean thac the prople might be relleved from some of the mat burhensume taxes; from those whuh are mposed etther upon the neceswrucs of life or upon the materials of manufotur. The labouring poor would thus be edrbleded to we better, to work cheaper, and fo send thur goods cheaper to market. The cheapness of their goods would increase the demand for them, and consequently for the Jinur of those who produced them. This irereace in the demand for labour would loth mercase the numbers and improve the urewrmbances of the labouring poor. Their ci numpition would increase, and together with it the revenue arising from all those artules of ther consumptom upon which the taxea might be allownd to reman.

The reveria arising from this syytem of taxition, howeser, might not manediately nerease in proportion to the number of people who were subjected to it Great mdulyca e would for some time be due to those provinees of the enpire which were thus subjected to burdenv to which they had not before been accustomed; and even when the same taxes came to be leved every where ds exactly as posuble, they would not every where proluce a revenue proportioned to the numbers of the people. In a poor country the conrumption of the puncipal com-
modities suljcet to the duties of customs and excise is very small; and in a thinly mhabited country the opportunties of smuggling are very great. The consumption of malt liquors among the incerior ranks of pecple in Scotland is very small, and the excise upon malt, betr, and al, produces less there than in England, in proportion to the numbers of the people and the rate of the duties, which upon malt is different, on account of a supposed difference of quality In these particular branches of the exese, there is not, I apprehend, much more smuggling in the one country than in the other. The duties upon the distalery, and the greater part of the duties of customs, in proportion to the numbers of puple in the respective countries, produce less in Scotland than in England, not only on account of the smaller consumption of the taved commoditris, but of the much greater facility of smuggling. In Ireland the inturior ranks of people are still puorer than in Scotiand, and many parts of the country are almost as thanly inbabited $I_{n}$ Ireland, thercfore, the consumption of the taxed conmodities might. in proportion to the number of the people, be still less than in Scotland, and the facility of smugghng nearly the same. In America and the West Indies the a hite people, even of the lowest rank, are in much better circumstances than those of the same rank in Engiand, and therr consumption of all the luxuries in which they usually indulge themselves is probably much greater. The blacks, indeed, who make the greater part of the inhabitants both of the southern colonies upon the continent and of the West India islands, as they are in a state of shavely, are, no doubt, in a worse condition than the poorest people ether in Scotland or Ireland. We must not, however, upon that account, imagine that they are worse fed, or that their consumption of articles which might be subjected to moderate dutiss is less than that even of the lower raniks of people in England. In order that they may work well, it is the intcrest of their master that they should be fed well, and hept in good heart, in the same manner as it is his interest that has working cattle should be so. The blacks, accordngly, have almost every where their allowance of rum and of molasses or spruce beer, in the same nanner as tye white servants; and thas allowance would not probably be withdrawn, though those articles should be subjected to moderate dutes. The consumption of the taxed commodities, therefore, in proportion to the number of mhabitants, would prolably be As great in America and the West Indies as in any part of the Branh empire. The opportimities of smugghng, mdeed, would ve much greater; Amertea, in proportion to the exteat of the country, bening rauch anore thanly mhabited
than either Scotland or Ireland. If the revenue, however, which is at present raised by the different duties upon malt and malt hquors, were to be levied by a single duty upon malt, the opportunity of smuggling it the most important branch of the excise would be almost entirely taken away t and if the duties of customs, instead of being imposed upon almost all the dafferent articles of importation, were confined to a few of the most general use and consumption, and if the levying of those duties were subjected to the excise laws, the opportunity of smuggling, though not so entirely taken away, would be very much diminished. In consequence of those two apparently very simple and easy alterations, the duties of customs and excise might probably produce a revenue as great in proportion to the consumption of the most thinly inhabited province, as they do at present in proportion to that of the moost populous.

The Americans, it has been eaid, indeed, have no gold or silver money; the interior commerce of the country being carried on by a paper currency, and the gold and silver which occasionally come among them being all sent to Great Britain in return for the commodities which they receive from us; but without gold and silver, it is added, there is no possibility of paying taxes. We already get all, the gold and silver which they have. How is it possible to draw from them what they have not?

The present scarcity of gold and silver money in Aunerica is not the effect of the poverty of that country, or of the inability of the people there to purchase those metals. In a country where the wages of labour are so much higher, and the price of provisions so much lower than in England, the greater part of the people must surely have wherewithal to purchase a greater quantity, if it were eather necessary or convenient for them to do so. The searcity of those metals, therefore, must be the effect of choice, and not of necessity.

It is for transacting either domestic or foreign business, that gold and sulver money is either necessary or convenient.

The domestic business of every country, it has been shown in the second book of this Inquiny, may, at least in peaceable tirces, be cransacted by means of a paper currency, wath nearly the same degree of conveniency as by gold and silver moncy. It is contenient for the Americans, who could always employ with profit in the improvement of ther lands, a greater stoek than they can easily get, to save as much as possible the expense of so costly an instrument of commerce as gold and silver; and rather to employ that part of their surplus produce which would be necessary for purchasing those metals, in purchasing the mstruments of
trade, the materials of clothing, several parts of bousehold furniture, and the iron work necessary for building and extending their settlements and plantations; in purchasing, not dead stock, but active and productive stock. The colony governments find it for their interest to supply the people with such a quantity of paper money as is fully suff. cient, and generally more than sufferent, for transacting ther domestic business. Some of those governments, that of Pennsylvania particularly, derive a revenue from leading this paper money to their subjecte, at an interest of so much per cent. Others, like that of Massachusetts Bay, adrance, upon extraordinary emergencies, a paper money of this kind for defraying the public expence, and afterwards, when it suls the convs. niency of the colong, redcem it at the diprectated value to which it gradually f.sis. In $1747^{\prime}$. that colony paid in this motumer the greater part of its public debts, woth the tenth part of the monty for which its bills had been granted. It suits the convenu ney of the planters to save the expense of em. ploying gold and silver money in ther domestic transactions; and it suits the conveniency of the colony governments to sup) ply them with a medium, which, though attended with some very considerable disad. vantages, enables them to save that expence. The redundancy of paper money neccsarily banishes gold and sllver from the domestic transactions of the colonies, for the same reason that it has banished those metals from the greater part of the domestic transactuons in Scotland; and in both countries it is not the poverty, but the enterprising and projecting spirit of the people, their doure of employing all the stock which they can get as active and productive stock, whyh has occasioned this redundancy of paper money.

In the exterior commerce which the different colonies carry on with Great Dritain, gold and silver are more or less emploged, exactly in proportion as they are more or less necessary. Where those metals are not necessary, they seldom appuar. Whire they are necessary, they are generally found.

In the commerce between Great Britain and the tobacco colonies, the Broti,b grods are generally advanced to the colontits at a pretty long credit, and are afterwards paid for in tobacco, rated at a certain price. It is mole convenient for the colonists to pay in tobaceo than in gold and silver. It would be more convenient for any merchant to pay for the goods which his correspondents had sold to him in some other sort of goods which he might happen to deal in, than in moncy. Such a merchant would have no
I See H'tchinson's History of Manachuaptts Bay vol. is. F 4so ot 3 .g.
oceasion to keep any part of his stuck by him memployed, and in raty moncy, fur answemg occasonal demand. Me could bire at all times a larger quantity of goods in hin shop or warchouse, and he could dal to a greater nxtent But it seldom happens to be comemem for all the correquondents of a merchant to resome payment for the Foods whath they sull to hom, in goods of wine other kind which he wappens to dival in The Bittsh mercharts pho toade to Vuginia and Maryland hapera to be a partwehar set of corrcopondente, to whom it is more corvement to recive pumment for the goods which they sell to thone colonies in tobacco than in gold and siver. They expret to make a profit by the sile of the t. liaces Ther cuuld make none by that of the rild and shour. Gold and silver, theicfires, wey soldom appear in the commence betwen Great britan and the tobacco coloman Marglandand Virgina ha e as little conamon for those motala in their toreggn as I' thar donnestic commerce They are stad, atcondmgly, to lave less gold and silver money than any other colonies in Ame-ica. Hhey are rechond, howeter, as thrivig, and amequently as rech, as any of their ne inhmous.
lu the nurthern colonics, Pennsylvania, Nen York, New Jursey, the four governmuts of New England, \&e, the value of hatr own produce which they export to Gicat lintain is not equal to that of the whufactures which they import for their uns) use, and for that of some of the other colonns to whwh they are the carriers. A budnce, therefore, must ba paid to the mo--hir country in gold and silver, and this bunce thev generally find.

In the sug, ar culonies the value of the prodifte ammally exported to Great Britain, is munh greater than that of all the goods inported tion hume. If the sugar and rum amunuity ant to the mother country were pid for in those colonies, Great Britain would be ohlged to send out every year a wy laree balance in money; and the trade to the West Indes wrould, by a certain specien of politicians be constered as extremely didutivantageous. But it so happens that many of the principal propnetors of the auger plantations reside in Great Britain. Chour rents ane remitted to them in surgir and rum, the poduce of theis estates. The -nuar and rum which the Went India merchamt, purcbase in those colomes upon therr own account are not equal in value to the poods whin they annually sell there. A hahure, therefore, must necessanly be pand to thein in gold and silver, and this balance twis is gever illy found.

The diftuculty and tregularity of payment from the different colomes to Great Dintun have not been at all m propoition to the
greatness or malluess of the bilances whoh wereserpectively due from them. l'ayments have in general been more regular from the northern than from the tobleco colonies, though the former have gencrally pand 4 pretty large balance in money, while the latter have etther pand no balance, or a much smaller one. The difficulty of getting pasment from our different sugar colomus has been greater or less in proportion, not $s u$ much to the extent of the balances reveltively due from them, as to the quantity of uncultivated land which they eontaned; that is, to the greater or smaller tomptation which the planters have been under of orentrading, or of undertahing the settlement and plantation of greater quantitics of waste lund than suted the extent of their capitals. The returns from the gre at island of Jamaic , where there is still nuch uncultivated land, have, upon this account, been in generd more irregular and uncertain than those fiom the smaller islands of Barbadoes, Antugua, and St. Christopher', which huve for these many yedrs been completely cultinated, and have, upon that decount, athorded less field for the speculations of the planter. The new acquistions of Granula, Tubago, St. Vincent's, and Dommus, have opened a new field for speculations of the knid, and the returns from those slands have of late been as irregular and uncertan as the se tiom the great island of Jamanca

1. is not, therefore, the poverty of the colonics which oceasions, in the greater part of them, the present scarcity of gold and silver money. Their great demand for active and productive stock makes it convenicnt fur them to have as I.ttle dead stock as possible; and disposes them, upon that account, to content themselves ."ith a cheaper though less commodious inst rument of conirterce than gold and silver. They are therely enabled to convert the value of that guld and silver into the instruments of trade, into the maternals of clothing, into inuselold furmture, and into the iron wure nec ssiry for building and extending their se ${ }^{\circ}$ terme nth and plantations. In those bramblies of L ,smess which cannot be transacted withou. gisid and silier money, it appears that they can al was ${ }^{4}$ find the mechsary quantity of those metals, and of they fiequently do not find $3 t$, thons fulure is geneally the effect, not of the $x$ necessary powenty, but of their unnecessary and excessive euterprise. It is not beatise they are poor that thear payments are irregular and uncertain, but hecause they are two eager to become excessively rich. Though all that part of the produce of the colons taxes which was over and above what was necessary for defraving the expense of their own cinl and milatary establishments were to be rembted to Great Britain in gold and silver, the coluniss have abundantly where-
withal to purchase the requisite quantity of those metals. They would in this case the obliged, indeed, to exchange a part of their surplus produce, with which they now purchase active and productive stock, for dead stock. In tranuacting their domestic business they would be obliged to employ a costly instead of a cheap instrument of commerce; and the expense of purchasing this costly instrument might damp somewhat the vivacity and ardour of their excessive enterprise in the improvement of land. It might not, however, be necessary to remit any part of the American revenue in gold and silver. It might be remitted in bills drawn upon and accepted by particular merchants or companies in Great Britain, to whom a part of the surplus produce of America had been consigned, who would pay into the treasury the American revenue in money, after having themselves received the value of it in goods; and the whole business might frequently be transacted without exporting a single ounce of gold or silver from America.

It is not contruy to justice that both Ireland and America should contribute towards the discharge of the public debt of Great Britain. That delt has been contracted in support of the government established by the revolution; a government to which the protestants of Ircland owe, not only the whole authority which they at present enjoy in their own country, but every security which they possess for thear hiberty, therr property, and their relgion; a government to which several of the colonies of America owe their present charters, and consequently their present constrtution, and to which all the colonies of America owe the liberty, security, and property which they have ever since enjoyed. That public debt has been contracted in the defence, not of Great Britan alone, tut of all the different provinces of the empire; the immense debt contracted in the late war in particular, and a great part of that contracted in the war hefore, were both properly contracted in defenc: of America.

By a unins: with Great Bitain, Ireland would : dan, besides the freedom of trade, other advantagea much more important, and wheh would much more than compensate any, increare of taves that might accompany that union By the umon with England, the muldling and inferwor ranks of people in Scotland ganed a complete deliverance from the power of an aristocracy whieh had always before oppressed them. By a union with Great Britun, the greater part of the people of all ranks in Ireland would gann an equally conpplete deliverance from a much more oppresuve aristocracy; an anstocracy not founded, like that of Scotland, in the natural and respectable distinctions of birth and for-

[^124]tune, but in the most odious of all distinethons, those of religious and political prejudices; distinctions which, more than any other, animate both the insulence of the oppressors and the hatred and indignation of the oppressed, and wheh commonly render tie inhalutants of the same country more hostice to one another than thase of different coulatries ever are. Wahout a union with Great Britain, the inhathitgota of Ireland are not. likely, for many ages, to comader themwelves as one people. ${ }^{1}$
No opprossive aristocracy has ever prevaled in the colonies. Even they bowever would, in point of happues and trompulfty, $\underset{r}{ }$ un considerably by a union whth Gicat lritan It would at least deliver then from those rancorous and wrulent factions whith are in. separable from all small democracieq, and which have so frequently divided the affections of their people, and disturbed the tranguliey of their governments, in ther furm wh nomply democratical. In the case of a total sepiration from Great Britain, which, whess jrevented by a union of thas hind, secmes tery likely to take plice, those factions would le ten times more varalat than eser. Betore the commencement of the present disturbances, the coercne power of the mother country.had almays been able to rectran thone factions from breaking out into any thing worse than gross brutality and insult. If that coercive power were entircly tak en away, they would probably soon break out into open violence and bloodshed. In all great countries which are united under one umfurm government, the spint of party commonly prevails less in the remote provinces than m the centre of the empire. The distance of those provinces from the capital, from the principal seat of the great scramble of factom and ambition, makes them enter los men the views of any of the contending partics, and renders them more indiffircht ard mapartial spectators of the conduct of all. The spirit of party prevails less in Scotand than in England. In the case of a unnon it would probably prevail less in Ireland than in Suctland, and the colomes would protiality soun enjoy a degree of concord and unanumity at present unknown in any part of the Lritish empire. Woth Ireland and the culonits, 1:deed, would be subjected to heavir taxes than wy which they at present pay. In ennseguence, however, of a datgent and faithful application of the public revente to. ward the discharge of the nationad delt, the greater part of those taxes mipht not be of Jong continuance, and the pubic revenue of Great liritain might won be reduced tw what was nucessary for mantainitug a nooderate peace establishment.

The territorial acquistions of the Fast

India compmany, the undoubted right of the rrown, that is, of the ecaue ant people of Grat Britain mupht be rendered another source of revenve more abundait, perheps, than all thume sirtady menturned. Those countrics are representud as more fertie, more ertensive, and in popportion to thesr exiont mueh rectier and wore populens than Great Britana - In ordir to drem a great revenue from them, it would not pridebty be necesary to intruduce any arw oyacem of taxation into countrics whets are alreais sufficiently and more than suficuntir cand. It mught perthapa baco preper to lighten than to aggrarake the burikn of those unFertmate comintres, and to ecdeavour to draw a nerenue from them, not by anmoning new taxea but by preventane tbe cabrizienent and misapplication of the greater part of timese ahach they alrindy proy.

If it stould be found impracticable for Great Britain to draw any equsiderable aximentation of rewenue from any of the resuruces ahove mentuoned; the oaly resoarce thich can remain to ber, iin a dimp nutwo of ber expense fa the mode of collicting and in that of expending the puthie nevenue, thought in both tlacre may be still room for improvernent, Great Bntain neetos to he at lenst as ceanominal as any of her neiphbours The miluary establushment which she maintuns for ber own defence in time of peace is more modernter than that of any Europenn state which can pretend to riral ber either un wealith or in power. Ninge of those artucles, chentore, secm to admas of any conadarable reduction of expenart Tbe expense of the peece establisbment of the collonges was before the commewerment of the presert disturbances, very considerable, and is an expemon which toay, aod if no revenue can be drame from then, ought cartainly to be saved altogether. This constant expense in time of peace. -thousth wery great, in insugarficant in cormparisen with what the defevee of the colonies has cost us in turne of exar. The lest Wisr, Which was underiasen alwgether on aceount of the colonices cost Groat Britan, it has alreedy bren observed, upwands of nirety mit-
 palty undertahea on therr nccount; in which. and in the Freach war that was the consequence of it Great Britain spent apmords of forty pallhorsh a great part of which ought justly to be charged to the coloases In those two wers the colunies cose Ginat Bnxian much more than double the sum Which the national debt awoupted to trefore whe composesotement of the first of them

IInd it not been for those wars that duth mught and probably would by thas ture bave been completely paid: and bad it nut been for the colonies, the former of those rans might not, and the hither ecrianily woold nat have been urdertakin. II was because the eoloniss were cupposed to les provinces of the Bntish empure, that this expease las out upon thern. - But countrics thich eodtritute tuether terenue mor military force towards the suppeort of the empire, canmot be consideted as pronuces They may perthaps be concoderid am appendages, as a sort of splerded and showy equipage of the empire. But if the empure can no longer support the erpense of keeping up this equipage, it oughs eettrinly to lay in down; and if it cannot rase its revenue m proportion to ats expense. it ought at least to necommodate is expense to its nevenue. If the colonics, notwithstandang therr refirsal to submit to Bontinh thice, are still to be considered as pronukes of the British empire, thiv definux in some future wrat may ena Great Br.tain as great an expense as it ever has dorie in aly firime 5 war. The rulere of Great Briain have, for mone than a century past, amund the prople Fith the innagization that they possensed a great empire on the west side of the Atlantic. This empira however, has hitherto encted in imagination only. It has hatherw heen, not an empire, but the projet of an enopire: not a gold naime, but the progert of a gold mine; a project which has cost, which continues to eost, and which, if pursued in thie same ray it has been bitherta, is likely to cost immense expense, wathout beang likely to bring any protit ; for the efiects of the mosopoly of the colony trude, it has been strown, are, to the preat hody of the prople, mere loss instead of profit. It is surely now time that cur rulers should either reelise this golden dream, in wheh they have beea indulgicg themsetves, perhaps as wedl es the people, or that they should awete from it themaselsce, and endemarar to ariten the propice If the projert cannot te complet an at ought to be given $v_{1}$. If 3 ng of the prociexcs of the Bruch empire cannot be made to contribute sexards the support of the athole empire, it is surely tume that Great Britann st ould free bersulf from the expense of defending thove provinces in umce of war, and of supportung any part of their civil or military estathshments in tume of prace, apd endeavour to eccommodate her future vies. and devigns to the real mediocrity of her circumstances.
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[^0]:    still superior to the expenees atteodiag their comper

[^1]:    1 The reader may see In the article Monisy, Encyciopuedia Britannica, an ncrouns of the suceestive debaketacnts practised on the eomn of Bngland, seusiand, France, and some other evuntries.

    The deternaination of the circumstances which equlate the value of commoditues forms one of the

[^2]:    1 This is an error lato which Dr Smith was betritiel his trusting to the Dictomnaire des Monnotes ot 1 hun in ium ifi 1771 , the semgorage on gold in ot Butathiurn ifi 1771 , the senguorage on goid in Fralice wis fixed at $14-15$ per cent, and on siliver at $17-11$ I'r cent At this moment it is so low as hidilly ta caver the expense of coinage, being only atwut 1 in rcint on gold, and 14 percent on silver. re Stater, Adonizustrotion des Finances, tome ful. PE

    For a further llustration of thu principle, sce note on Moner, end of the rolume
    ${ }^{3}$ It hat beta aireduly shown (Introductory Dise course, s 2 , that the doctrine lad down to thus chap-

[^3]:    1 The wages of a capitalist in superintending the employment of his capital or ctock, in any department of industry, are most commonty reckoned as a puition of the profite of that capital. These, bowever, though generally confounded, are, in truth, totally distinct and separate itens: and when the former are, as they always may be, deducted and set soblide, the remainder forms the net return to, or Frofit on the capital employed ; and may, therefore, be said to be the wages of the labour originaily ex-

[^4]:    See note on Rent, at end of the volume.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ An erroneous position. Sce note on the Effect of Variations in the Rates of Wages and Profits on the Value of Commodities end of the volume.
    2 Though Dr. Smuth erred in estimating the elements that enter mito, and form the natural price of comuodutus, the pronclple had dore in this chapter,

[^6]:    1 This is too strongly stated. Monopoly prices are generally, but not always, the highest that can be got.
    ${ }_{2}$ Pernaps Dr. Smith has rather overrated the effect of corporstion privileges. At all events, they do not enrich those whom they protect from competi-

[^7]:    twon, by enabling them to realise greater wages and profita than they would do were they aholished but pronta than they would do were they ahoished, bat both the one and the other, and which is wholly the result of the monopoly.

[^8]:    $t$ The statements and reasonings in thus chapter are, generally speahing, accurate, iberal, and con-

[^9]:    1 The common and ordinary rate of wage in any country, really depends on the magnitude of that portion of its capital which is appropriated to the payment of wapes, compared with the number of its labourers, See note on Wages, end of the volume.
    The lawe preventing ouiuntary combinations among workmen for advancing their wagen, or for regulating the term on which they mell their la-

[^10]:    1 The increase of population in Great Britain has been greatly acceleruted sunce 1776 , when the Wealth

[^11]:    1 Tho great increase of communication between the various provinces of the empire, th ti has taken place of late rears, in conseguence of the extraordinary factiuse of converance afforded iy means of tmproved roads, cenals, railways, and steam navigation, has brought the prices of alt sorts of produca, and the wages of wobour in different districts much neurer to a commo ievel than at the pertod of the publication of the Wi alth of Nations. Persod of the southem counties of England the wagee of tabour were in many places artificially reduced, in consequence of the practice oudoyted in 1793. and erabluced aimast to the pricsent day, of pay ing them partly out of the poor rabes

[^12]:    It Is nacissuly to heqr In mind that these remarhs of 1 Dr smith are iptuable only to the condition of thr labuurers in $17 \frac{5}{5}$. See pote on Wages, end of the inlowna

[^13]:    1 The mortailiy formerlg so destructive in the earlier periods of iffe, has been very greatly dimlaished whin the last forty or finy years. see note on Population at the end of the volume

    - It may do so by giving increased efficacy to the principle of morail restransf, or by diminishing the (nimber of marriupes.
    - The statements made by Dr. Smith un thit

[^14]:    resulting elther (1) from the dimasished fertility of : the solls, to which every adraning country muat resort or (2) from an thicreace of taxation, or (a) from a rise of wages. See supplemental note on the (Ircumstances which Detertane the kate of i'rofit.

[^15]:    ' Prices, generflly gheaking, sre the same. whether profts or wages be high or low. They depend on The quastity of lubigar required to produce commodulies, and not on the mode in which thete

[^16]:    1 See note, ante, p. 34.
    2 It may now be rated at from 50s, to 608 a month.
    3 It an singular that Dr. Smith should not have alluded to the practice of impressment, in enumer. ating the disadvantages triciciant to the sea service.

[^17]:    For some remarke on this practice, the effert it has in deterring young mpn from entering the spa eervice, and the means by which it might be abolisited with. out injury to the vary, seo bote on im resumeat, end of the voiuma.

[^18]:    I Inen yarn it bow princtpally spun by mestus of maktuery.

[^19]:    Althouph there can he no doubt that corporation privileret are hith oppressive and vexations, still we cannot help thinking that their injurious operation
    

[^20]:    - The Slatute of Apirenticeship ads requ dicd in
    
    

[^21]:    I Whatever may have been the case formerly, the statement in the text is most certaruly inapplicable at present (1863.) Instead of its being true that the workmen employed in manufactures and commerce are less intelingint and acute than those emploged in agriculture, the fact is distinctiy and completely the reverse The neavers, and other mechanics of Glasgow, Manchester, Birmingham, \&c. possess far more general and usefthinformation than is posserssed by the agriculturil labourers of any part of the empire And this is really what a more unprejudiced inquiry into the subject would lead to anticipate. The various occupations in which the husbandman successively engages, their constant hability to be affected by so variable a power as the weather, and the perpetual change in the appearance of the objects which daly meet his eyes, and with which he is conversant, wccupy bis attention, and render him a stranger to that ennui and desire for adventitious ctranger to that enuui and desire for adventitious Phentement whach must exer be felt by those who are
    constantly engaged in uurushing the point of a pio, or in performing the same endless routine of precisely : inflar operations. This want of excitement cannot, nowever, be so cheaply or eftectually gratitied in any wav as it may be by stimuluting, that is, by cuttivating the mentri pouers. Must workinen have no time for thssipation : and though ther had, the wages of labour in old settled and densely peopled countrics are toro low, and the propensty to save and accumulate son powerful, to permit their generally seeting to divert themselves by intulging in riot and excess.

[^22]:    Hut it is next to impossible for any such com-1 trisume to have the flect supposed The momernt a combiliontion to forco prices up to an artiticial eley tidon begins to tuke effect, the interest of thaividuala to serente from it benomes to great to. allow to to te matmaind for any onsherable neriod

[^23]:    See the st incute of habourers, 25 Ed. III
    ${ }^{2}$ By an act passed in 1817 ( 57 Ceo. III. cap. 99), bishops are empowered to lucense curates and as sign them salanes, which are in no case to be fist than $\boldsymbol{x} 80$ a year, and which are to facrease up to filso a year, according as the population of the

[^24]:    parish increases in magnitude. But though this act has certainly made a very decided improvement in the condution of curates, stull it may be doubted, for the reasons atated by Dr. Smith, whether its pro visions be not sometimes defeated by a privela arrangeneut betwen thetn and thetr employert

[^25]:    - An art passed in 1812, ralsed the stupends of such 'Scoth clergy men as were below $x 150$ a year, exclusise of their houses and glebes, to that sum. It scems, how ever, to be generally admitted that such an incorne is madequate to support a clergyman in his proper station ; and that the minmum stipend, exclusive of glebes and houses, should be raised to ( $\mathcal{E}$ ".nl or $\mathcal{E} 300$ a year.

[^26]:    It The act of William III., which obliged a poor Iman to procure a certuficate before he could remove from a pansh, was repealed in 1795; and it was at fith same tume dechared that no poor persons should

[^27]:    i Voyages d'un Philosophe.
    The atatements in the text, with respect to the profits of the sugar p'anters, were most probably greatly exaggerated at the period when Dr. Smith Frote, sind have long been altogether inapplicable. Instead of beng exceedingiy profitable, the buginess.

[^28]:    1 Douglas's Summary, vol it p372,374
    2 In point of fact, however, no portion of this turptur will go to the lindiord, uniess the fice fields under cultivation be of duterent productive pow ers. The best landy in indiana are probably as fertile as

[^29]:    I See his orefuce to Anderson's Dipiomata Scotur.

[^30]:    $t$ For eome ohservalhons mi the cetcels of the bounty, see $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ te on the C.rgt Laws, find of the ©worme

[^31]:    

[^32]:    3 See Tracto on the Corn Trade: Tiact Sul

[^33]:    -Solorzano, vol. 11
    F Potosi can no longer claim this distinction The mines of Gunamuato, in Mexico, discovered In 1760,

[^34]:    quantities brought to market. It it requira fourtecn or Atteen times the labour and expense to produce a pound of gold that is reanired to produce a pound of silver, the value of the former will be fourteen or fifteen timea greater than that of the latter, and this, whether the

[^35]:    1 The system of husbandry in Scotland has been vastil improved ance the close of the A merican war. In ail the lower dustricts of the couptry, it is nar.

[^36]:    1 This is really the case with corn and every sott of raw produce. See note on Rent, end of the volume.

[^37]:    D Dr Smith has not made any allusion, ether here or in any other part of this work, to the cotton manufacture At the tume when he wrote, it was. indeed. carried on only to a small extent, and none could have antucupated the wonderful progress it had

[^38]:    3 This is pertertly true of thetr prospective and lasting intersats, but it ig not quite true of their immediate interesta Thesc are not unfrenurnty pretty strongly opprosed to those of the nther clasues 3 When br smith wrote this paragtaph, he had furgut the bounty on the expurtation oi curn to other countruss and the act prohbiuns the umportation of Irwh cattle moto Great Bratan These, mdependendy ot the corn laws of 1 mol and 1815 , are suthitent to show that the spirit of monopoly has untiorri, lv heen as powertul unong landiurds as amotig manaine harere or meachants.

[^39]:    1 This opinion must be received with much modification. The improved education and greater mintelligence of the labourers enable them to perceive their own real and lasting interests infinitely better at present than at any former period.
    This is a most erroneous statement. Proft is much higher in the United States than in elther England or France ; but will any one be bold enough to sidy that they are going fast to rum ? The very

[^40]:    - Yery litte reliance can be piaced on the acFinsif pithes at this enriv peried it is obviously impurable that sobigh a price as lid 16 per quarter

[^41]:    - Wanting in the account The year 1646 suppilied by bishop Fleetwood.

[^42]:    1 The grounds on which Dr. Smith has divided the stock of a country into capital and revenue seem to be unsatisfactory, and lead to erroneous conclusions. The capital of a nation really conprises all those portions of the produce of industry existing in it, that may be directly employed ether to support buman existeuce, or to facititate production. Porcions of stock employed without any view to the produrtion of revenue, are often the most productive. The stock, for example, that a master manufacturer employs in his own consumption, and manudacturer empioys in his own consumption, and
    whithot which he could not subsist. is ladd out as revenue; and yet it is quite clear that it contributes as mucb to merease his wealth, and consequently that of the country, as any Equal quantuty of otock prpended on the artikans in his tervice. it it at ways extramely difficult to say when stock is, or is not, productivily employed; mod ally defiviminn of capled whith miolves the determination of this pinis, can aprre wily to embarrass and obscure

[^43]:    S Sce proceding note.
    I A dwelling-bouse ts indirectly at least, if not dircity, a suirce of revenue. To endble any tinctul or indastrious undertaking to be carried on those emploted in it must be lodgend. and it therefore follows, that the civstal laha out in ? lathing bouses tor such persons is emploged as I muh for the publte advantakn as in it were veated

[^44]:    - That to pris ded the value of anld contrine $t e$
     $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{u}$ should the value of gotd dechbe, or should

[^45]:    1 Dr. Smith admits that a very graall part only of the metallic money of a coun try belongs to the idie classes of which he is now sp eaking; and it is diffcult to ape in what respect the etr consumption of the equivatents obtained for sul th money, can be more

[^46]:    1 The dran of gold, previously to 1772 , hers alluded to, has sometimes been considered not us the effect of an over-tssue of bank paper, but of the degraded sate of the bulk of the guld coin then in carculation. It is certam, howevir, that of the Batk of England had narrowed her iscues a little, the value nat only of her own papor, but of the dentiwed

[^47]:    gold currency, would have been rareed. The price of billon would, in consequence, have fallen, and the e would no lonper hive been a motive to demand coin from the hank. For some fartber eifuctations of this principle, sce aote on blonep, end of tho volurse.

[^48]:    I The Bank of England began to lssue ten pound notes in 1759 , five pound notes 101793 ; and one and two pound motes in March 1797. The notes for less than five younds were noostly withdrawn from eircuation in 1822 . During the ensis of 1426, how. ever, it was judged expedient to make a fresh issue

[^49]:    * It is singular Dr. Smith should have advanced so tutenable a proposition There asevidently no reason for supposing, were the hasury haws repealed, and men allowed to bargain firs the use of money as they are allowed to bargaun for the use of land, houses, se., that they would be less careful of their miterest in the former case than in the latter. The prudence and success of persons engaged in new and unusual bodertaking" are alwiys comsidered douhtful; and they raraly obtain loane on aich easy terms as those

[^50]:    augment the capital of the country, to furmish subsistence to an increasing population, and to add to the comforts and enjoy ments of societp.

    It shouid be recolfected that profits and rent form the only sources from which any grest amoant of taxes can ever be derived; and were a goveroment to atternpt, hy lettering the employment of capital, to force it into chanuels where it would gield a lems anount of nett nentit, they would not onl check the amount of nett vrotit, they wout not onig cherk the lucseast of the nutional caystat, but woulh gradually $\Delta \% d y s$ be drawn, and depress the country in relation

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Smith does not gay that the importation of foreign commodities has any tendency to force capital abroad; and, unless it did this, it is plain that his statement with respect to the effect of cbanging a home for a foreign trade of consumption, us quite inconsistent with the fundamental principle he has elsewhere established, that industry is always in proportion to the amount of capital. Suppose, for the sake of illustration, that the case put by Dr. Smith actually occurs -- that the Scoteh manufacturea are sent to Portugal; it is obvious, if the same dement to Portugali it is obvious, if the same deas before they began to be sent abroad, that additional enpital and labourers will be required to furmish cormmodities for both the 1 ondon and Portuguese markets. In this case, thereiore, instead of the industry of the country sustaming any diminution from the export of Scotch manuiactures to a fon eign country, it would evidently be augmented, and a new field would be discovered for the profitable empioyment of stock. But if, when the scotch merchants begin to export manufactures to Portugal, the London merchants also find out a foreign market where they may be suppled at a chearer rate nith the ponds they previlously imported fiom scotland, all foter-

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ But the fact of capital being employed in the carrying trade, shows that the bett profits if yields are guite as great as any that ronid be oitanted by emploting it in arrictiture of the home trade; anil

[^53]:    - We have stated at lewph, in a supplement it note on the Jopomal of Property by Will, and the Policy
     the opthans of Dr smokh as to the Chaton of primongenture or the custom of leaving the whote

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ The best account ever published of the Infuence of slavery over national wealth and civilisation, and the various modifications it has undergone, will be found in the third volume of the Cours deconome Potrigue of M. Storch, Paris, 1223 .

    The species of slavery, aliuded to in the text, was pot altogether extinct in Scotland when the Wealth of Nations was published. Colliers, coalbearers, saiters, and all individuals employed in colleries and maltworks were placed, by the old law of Scotland. enforced by several comparatively modern statutes, in the exact condition of the adscripli glebae of the intddie ages. Thay were bound to perpetual service

    - at the works to which they belonged; upon a sale of the works the new propiletor acquired a right to their service; all persons were prohibited from re, ceiving them into thenr employment, whout the express consent of their last master; and, in the event of thear dosprting to another work, and being clarmed whthin twelve months, their employers were obliged to restore them within twents-four hours, under a high penalty' such was the state of the Scotch colleers, scc. so late as 1775 ; but in that year an act zose pdised ( 15 (ico 111 cap. 2\%) for the abonlition of this species of servitude, and fieciaring tinat

[^55]:    ISee Madoz. 2 Seef Piftol.

[^56]:    I No legitimate inference, with respect to what would have been the progress of Europe under a system different from that which obtaned durng the middle ages, can be drawn from observing what has middie ages, can Ae ardun from observing in Americi Owing to the thmess of taken place in Amerra Owing to the thmness of the aboriginal population, and their ignorance of the chonce of boundless tracts of fertile and unoccupsed land This circumstance was, of itself, almost suffrent to have insured therr rapid progress; but thear

[^57]:    and eciencea of Kurope. A vast amount of wealth lias been transferred acroas the Atlantic, to be employed in countries where the facilities of accumulafimn are so very great; whule the importation of manufacurea goods from Europe has permitted the celonists to devote their principal attention to agriruiture, - A pursuit in which the vast extent of their furtile and unoccupird land give them a natural and dectiled advautige. It is phan, thereforr, that, without mome very powerful countervaing circuin-

[^58]:    stances, the progress of America could not fall to the infuntely more rapod than that of Europe and, though it must be edmited that aume of our inxthitthons have not been the moat favourable that might have been devised for protaning the progresw of
     pared with that of thes Amertcans, ztforts harity even a presumption, wuch lest a provef, of thesp taibe nonty.

[^59]:     - the sctence of the lavis Fintal repuiate the producifra, distribntion, and constmption of those arucles © Fiprofucts that haw exichangrabie relue, and are,
    

[^60]:    tions on the exportation of the pirectiose metula, and gave nase to the notrons with respect to the hararca of trade.

[^61]:    i For an account of the circumstaners which eceom sion cuep-tranty. se the supptmumal note on Conomerfial hevilsies

[^62]:    I See anfe, p. 96. noto.
    2 It appears from the official statements printed by order of the House of Commons, chat the expenses incurred by this country on account of the armies in the Perinsula in 1812 and 181 $\delta$, amounted to $31,767,000 \mathrm{~L}$. of which only $3,284,000$, were temitted in con and bullion: and it may doubted whether the remittances in specie would have been so great, had It not been for the reduction of its value causef liv the great adidional quantities of bank paper that were then thrown into circulation. When a foretgs were then thrown into circulation. When a foretgn
    debt has to be discharged, the merchant naturaily debt has to be discharged, the merchant naturaily
    nelects those commodutes for exportanon by which selects those commodutes for exportation by which
    it may be most advantageously paid. If bullion be one of them, it will of course be exported; but if otherwise, not. Buhion, however, is of all commodities that of which the value spproaches nearest to an equality in dufferent countries ; and it is therefore

[^63]:    Seemiti. D. 1FA.

[^64]:    ${ }^{2}$ This lumitation and an the restrainks formenly imposed on the commerce Detween Great Britam and Ireland, have bean repealed.
    2 The imports of foreign corn bave greatly increased since 1766, when che tracts on the Corn Trade were poblished During the haif dozen years ending With 1837, they fell off very considerably; a conse-

[^65]:    1 For an inquiry into the policy of the navigation art, and of the siterations made in th, the reader is peferred to the etuplemental note on the Navigation faws, where the atibject is treated of at considerable leavgth.
    2 There can be no doubt that taxen upon the

[^66]:    pocessaries of life raise the tragoe of lalmir: bus it Whll be shown, in the surppietnericsl ante on the thiot of Vartanoms in the rates of Hagrs, and Prome out the value of Commoditres, that a nise of wavitho. bot oceasion a general rise in the prite of co butach: tes.

[^67]:    - 1 See ante note p. 201

[^68]:    1 French cambrics and lawns may now (1863) be imported, ilke all other vaneties of linen goods, duty free
    4 The restrictions and prohibitions with which the trade with France was fettered continued, notwathstanding the denuncrations of Hume and Smith, to mantain their baleful ascendancy down to our own

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following are the prices at which the bank of Amaterdam at present (September, 1775) seceives bullion and coin of different kindis; sILTER.
    Mexico dollars - 7 Guilders.
    $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { French crowns } \\ \text { English silver coin }\end{array}\right\}$ B- 22 per mark.
    Mexuco dollars, new coln . 2110
    Pucatoons :
    Rix-dollars : $\quad: \quad 8$
    8
    Bar ailver, contadning 11-12 fine silver, 21 per mark, and in this proportion down to $\frac{f}{6}$ fine, on which 6 vo guilders are given.
    Fine bars, 28 per mark.

[^70]:    dition to meet the claims upon them, this statemont of her affairs was realif equivalent to a deciaration of bankruptcy. In consequence, bank monev, which had previously borme a premium of $s$ per cent over had previously borme a pretnium of s per cenc over the current metalic money of Hiland, momediately
    fill to 16 per cent. below it. This petrmordinary fril to 16 per cent. below it. This extrmordinary
    decline marked the fall of an indirution whirt hal enjoyed for nearly two centurtes unlumited crodit in the commerctal world, and rendered the errateat spryces to the country $u$ which it was estabilishot!. Storeh, Cowrt d'E conomic Patitrque, iv. p. 96, Ia \% 1823.

[^71]:    1 Merchants now very generally entertan more liberal and enlarged, and, at the same time, more correct views of their real interests In proof of this It is sufficient to refer to the pention subsconbed by all the most eminent merchante of Landon, and lad defore the House of Commons in 1820. It recognises opfore the Kouse of commons in 1820 . It recognises 10 the most unqualified manner the superior adran-
    tage of unrestricted competinou ; and prava for the repeal of all prohibitions and regutations intended to protect domestic industri. and irr the abolition of all dutipa on infueseation not amposed for the sake of refenue. The presentation of such a pretiton marks

[^72]:    See ante, note 2a, p 164
    2 The poputation of france amounted, according to the census of 1832 to $37,472,762$

[^73]:    3 The popritation of the Uniced Staten io $18 x, 1$

[^74]:    ${ }^{2}$ For an arcmunt of the impor'd of tobaceo and sugar into the U. himgdom, with t've entries for home consumption, and the revenue derived thert from, see Commercal Dicionary.

    2 These res'rictions are now repealed.
    2 The discriminating duty on French wine, imposed by the Methuen treaty in 1703, was, perbaps, the most objectionable of the old duties and regulations. Its impolicy was set in a very strakang poant of view by Hume in hrs Essay on the Balance of 1 rade, published in 1752. But such was the etrengit of the unterests and prejudices

[^75]:    - But if the toounty cause an incrrased exportation of corn, it a'so causes an increased production. It ia t"ua, bunever, fasmuch as a larger guantyty of corn Eves sridom the nbtanted without resorting to inferior latus regulomg a greater amount of ropltal and

[^76]:    labour for their cultivation, that average prices ara commoaly ralsed by means of a bounty, but they arp nesel rased to its whole, and seldom, perhape. to more than half its amount See supplemental ptite

[^77]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Dr}$. Smith here confounds the utility of corm, or Its capacity to feed and support human bemgs, with tts price, or value, as compared with other things. The former does not vary; but the latter varies with every vanation in the fertulty of the souls on

[^78]:    been no depreciation. To suppose that the trade of a country ahould be permanently affected by regulations whi h reduce the value of gold and silver in it below their natural level, is equivalunt to supporing that it apight he permanently affected by degrading the shindard of ita coln. The real disadvantage of restriftions on the exportation of the prectous metals consists, in so far as theve add factutionsiv to thels supply, to di prive the country of the value of the comracdlties a would ubtain mexihange for the turDhes metal.

[^79]:    1 The bounty tended to lower proftes, but not to raise the price of any commodity except corn.
    2 A permanent and equal bounty, hke that which was long established in this country, could not have thesp effects. Its operation must have been uniform and steady. It is true, that on the whole it must have occasioned a greater exportation than would have taken place without it ; but not a greater exportation at one tume than at another.
    8 There is a great deal of exapgeration in thrs statement. The influence of a bounty on the ex-

[^80]:    increased quantity of produce ts requred. But ina annch do an midutional supply of corn can rarely be obtained without resortang to mfortor lands, this is onuatlo the cate in agriculture. And bence it toliows, thitt tit eranumg of a bounty on the exportation of raw protice occnions a taulty distribution of the
     nathonal capu bl, hy attracting more of to to agneut
    ture than pould naturally have gone, while, by ture than nould naturally have, gone, whate, by
    fintins thin cuttuation of poor colta, it rasers ite cost anil price to the bome consumer A bounty on manut wtured powde is obyectionable ouly in the tirst of these rospe ers It does not cause a permanent nise of pities ; and w, for that reanon, der adedly less invurious then a biunty on the exportathen of corn or cilar raw pachuce For a darther dascussion of thi subje $t$, sue supplemental note on the corn 1 7w:
    -I his serms to be a most fallacious statement. Dr sumith ans, that the value of coun is equal to the Guatile of laburr of will wamaim, and he the guantity of lalwhe af wen the same quantaty of
    ther tor
     tor $n$ h is the tymach, at all imes, of le thate the sane

[^81]:    - Several important changes have been made in the herring-fithery requlations since the publication of the $X$ ealth of Nations. An accoum of thege

[^82]:    I Mr. Buchanan, in a note on this pasage, obsarves - " Those who still umagine that cors is artificially ra'sed in price, would do well to consider that es the aupply of provisions is hable to great that, at the supply of provieinns is hable to great ecrinomy of natire for tauking a smaller supply last achromy of nature for tating a smater supply last as long as a larger Enplly: that here is no way of
    thus regulating the consumpton but by the price. thus regulating the consumption but by the price,
    nud thdt it is, atcordingly, in reforence to this great nud that it is. atcordingly, in reference to this preat
    object that the price is invariably fixed. It neither object that the price in invariably lixed. It neither
    can be lonered nor hacressid but for the sake of more exactly suming the ditly and weekly waste to the supply of the gedr. It wet suppose, tor example, th at the supply fils to one sear one twelith below the level of an average crop. (uhuch we know frequenti) happons,) it would, if consumption were to go on at the ordinart rate, be cobsumed in the course of eltien muntha. leavitig the last month wholly unprosided for But thas we tuon aeter

[^83]:    gustanged. The more general diffiston of those liberal and entarged principlea which the judges arad even merchants of $17 \mathrm{Q}^{5}$ and isou ancered at an aimetract and theoretueal, wij) is future effectuuly chteld thone engaged in the corn trade from theng drapged nito Court, and trwated like teions, for endeworiting ios oupply the public with food, and to destritute it on the best mainer.

[^84]:    seliner-Connssatre en la Cour des Monoozés a Yariz (Nitelb) the author)

[^85]:    1 The population of the different countries and cuties of Ameracs has been greatly increased sume the publication of the Wealit of Nations We bave gived some statements ad to the present populatius

[^86]:    1 The revolution in Et. Domingn occasioned the destruction of a great many plantations, and the el. vorts of gugar from it are now very truling.

[^87]:    I Sede note, $a^{+2}$. p 267
    8 It the monopioly of the colony trade really cansed an inerense in the rate of profit, it would, in so tar, be ithduubtedly advantageous - for a comparatisely linh rate of protit is oile of the very best tests of macomai prosperity. (See ande, p. 150, pote) But the monopoly in question has no such effect. bee
    

    * Ihis ctatement if partls true and partly erro-

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is singular how Dr. Smith should have said that the monopoly of the colony trade had driven us from some of the most productive branches of the trade of Europe, when, with the exception perhaps of the trade to France, our commerce with every otber country was much greater than it had ever been before.
    2 We have alresaly shown the fallacy of this doe-

[^89]:    © Dr. Smith justly maintams that the monopoly of the colony trade us productupe of no real advantage to the mother country. But if it had really raised the rate of protit, us be contends it did, it would have been advantagrous. Having once admintted that it had that effect, $h_{t}$ had no means of proving that it was disadvantageotis, but by resorting to his theorv with respert to the comparative advantageousnese of the eapitais vested in different busmesses; s theory which is equally at variance with Dr. Smith's own system, and with the prineples of the gerence. see entr: P. 154, note.

    * Ir: Smuth scems to have greatly overrated this

[^90]:    1 This elahorate and excellent worl throwa a great deal of tifit, not meiri) on the hustory of the woolien manufature, but on that of the domestic

[^91]:    policy of the country. It was first published in 1747, in 2 vols. Bvo, and again in 1757, in 4 pols. 4to.

[^92]:    1 The prohubitson of the importation of clockcasea, watch-cases, \& ce, no longer exists.
    2 This prohibition has been repealed.
    The duties on these articles have been since wholly repealed. See Tariff in Commercial Dictuonayy.

[^93]:    4 The duties and prohibitions referred to under there heads, with many more of the same description, have all been repealed. And uf we exceptccal. therr repeal may be regarded as adoantageous. We believe, however, that it would heve been good belueve, however, that it would hove been gooxt poncy to have conthined the export duty of 5 s a tho ton; and we have elsewhere stated the grounds

[^94]:    1 For come account of the rise of this sy stern, fha its distinguishing features, sea lintroductory $\mathrm{D}_{1}$. conshr, pp. $\mathbf{x x} \times 1 \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{xluif}$.

[^95]:    1 The reader will find a pretty full account of the lite of this mgensus and excelient person in the

[^96]:    tionally greater amount of profluce. See precellus reterences.
    ESoe topuk i. chap. i.

[^97]:    1 There is reason to doutt this assertion. Seo supplemental note on the Navigation of the Auctetit Egyptiahs.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plin. 1. ix e 39

    - Plim. l. vif e 48.

    3Tho statements with respect to the prices of

[^99]:    Wealth of Nations, are doduced from the tables of Arbuthnot which are of very doubtiul authority. see ante. $p$ 61, nute

[^100]:    1 They are to be found in Tyrrel', History of England.

[^101]:    lor an account of the changes elfacted in the conctitet lens of the last India company in lime ash of to firion int rombitaon. sce supplementat

[^102]:    This privilege was restricted in 1826 to within a circle of sixty-five miles round london. A bank for the issite of notes or paper moner established beyoud that distabce may now consiat of any number of partuers ; and banks of deposit, or banks fur taking care of other pcople'e money, may consist of any

[^103]:    nurober of partners, either in London or anywhice else.
    ${ }^{2}$ There are now several Jofat atock hanking companiet which tssue potes in Edinburgh and ofists parts of Scotland.

[^104]:    - The statements in this paragraph are as unfounded as can well be imagined. We have already (antc, p. 5 ) ) endeavoured to show that there $1 d$ no ground whatever for the notion that agricultural fabuurers are more inteligent than those employed in mauulactures and commerce, or that the facultifs In waunartures und commerce, or that the facultifs
    of the latter are impared in consrquence of their of the fatter are impared sin consruutence of their Gict is, sudeed, distinctly and completely the reverse ; the manufacturing popriation being noiformly better itiormed than the agriculsural, aud ther inteligence

[^105]:    1 Estumatiug the present revenue of the Scotch clergy, exclusive of thear gleber and houses, at wout. a year cach at an average, the entire cost of the estabhshment walt amount to about 190,0004 , a year. We have already observed (p. 61, note) that by as art passed in 181i, the stipends of such Seotch clercymen as ware below 150, a year, exclusive of ther

[^106]:    I Búmotres coacernant les Drots, p $240,24$.

[^107]:    See p 174. note.
    There ss great room for doubting whether the censure here passed upon the conditions in lesases be well-founded. In fact, the best practucal farmers concur in opmion that suth conditiona, if Judiciously dinv'sed, may be of great service to agmiculture, and that they ought never to be dispensed with.
    1 his, it muat be observed, is not a questinn that can be deculed on the principle of leaving every one to be regulated by bus own serase of what is most allvantageous; for here we have tuo parthes, the landiord and the tenant, ench with separate and often contlicting wasemsis. It is for the lamiliord otuterest that his farm hould always be in good order, and nore especialiy that it should be so when the lease is about to expure, inzsmuch as the rent that it will then bring will depud very mueb on this circimeLiduce. But the tenut is in a very diderent shituation lis uterest at the fario is lisuited to the period fur thacb his lease cadlues, anu it mest. generaily

[^108]:    - The question with reapect to the real meidence and effict of taxes, proportiond to the grnos produce of the land, if one of much greater duiculty and

[^109]:    hat it 1s, notwithstanding, fundamentally erroneous The house that an individual lives in may not in all cases be directly productive, but that it is indirectiy so is obvious ; for how could production be carried on were those engaged in it deprived of the alietter and accommodation afforded by houbes? The food whurb supports the workman is not, in fact, more

[^110]:    necessary to his existeace than bif houre; and, it the former be held to be productive, the lacter inust, it is plan, be so too.

    Slnee the first pubication of this book, a tat neariy apon the above-mintumed prumples has beas imposed. (Note by the author.)

[^111]:    capital. See supplemental note on Taxes and Protits.
    : Mémoires concernant les Droits, Act. tome it p 17

[^112]:    1 The tailie was abolished at the Revolution. For an account of the present Freach land tax, or Corntribunow Fonctere, see bupplemental note on Taxed on lrofts.
    2 This etatement is fallacious. A large portion of tie produce brought to maiket in every extensive

[^113]:    1 Mémires concernant les Droits, \&c. tome i. p 2.3 Memenirs

    - Starnp-dutios were first levied in Holland. Sturnp-dutits were first levied in Holland.
    Most of the act ubtumed methods of thation havng then resorted to the repubste, in oriter to provide furds fir cartring on her contest whith the Spamsh monzrithe alupted the novel device of ont ring a
    

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ See $D$ 119, noten

[^115]:    1 The statements in thas article as to the effect of tixes on tho wages of libour require much morhicathin it is onty under certain cincumatanecs that surh tasra occusinn a rise of wages; and in these camen the rive fails wholly on the empluyers, who

[^116]:    1 See ante, p 391, note.
    2 For a refutation of this statement, see supplemental note on the Effect of Varzations in the

[^117]:    1 The adopnon of the war-housing and booding prstam has tully reahised all the whantages potnted out he Dr Sisth and is one of the greatiat imprusebuthts ever chectud in the comine it al and binanctal 1 diry of the cetantry

    - 'I he duty un beer, vhich produced about

[^118]:    1 The price of sugar cannot be saili to be a monopoly price. All individuals are at liberty to carry hieir capital and mdustry to Jamasca, Cuba, \&č,

[^119]:    1, 2 We shall give in a Supplemental Note, to which we beg to refer the reader, an account of the different items constatuing the revenue of the $\mathbb{U}$. Kingdom In 1861 and 1862 with the sums charged to the account of their collection. And we may further mention that in 18A1-42 a gross revenue of 51,693,510/. was collected at a c st of 62. I 48 . 1464 per cent, the item of Poat Office revente costing no less than

[^120]:    1 Alroost all the restrictions on the commerce between Great Britain and Ireland were repealed in 1826. The intercourse between the two countries is now conducted on the principle of a coanting trade.

[^121]:    These distinctions were abolished at the Revolution. The same systern of equal taxation is nom extabllshed un every part of France.
    ${ }_{3}$ The tuternal trade of $f$ rance is now quite fres.

[^122]:    *Taxea in France are now placed aimont on the footing suggeated by Smith. The talle and capitatoon have been abolished, and replaced by the contrabution fonciere ; the different taxes have been rendered equal throughout the kangdom, and they are chuely collected by officera apposnced by government.

[^123]:    1 The Aretcan mar
    : In tha proverd more exponeive than anv of
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^124]:    1 The incorporating unwn with Irelind effected in Mni, has hitherto only partial'y deliverred the peropte oif that coulutry from the domanathin of the uppressive

