

**Dhananjayrao Gadgil Library**



**GIPE-PUNE-009233**

C O N T E N T S.

Plantation Labour in India. By Rajani Kanta Das. 1931  
pp.1-103.

The Art of War in Ancient India. Being the Vishwanath  
Marayan Mandlik Gold Medal Essay. By Govind Tryamba  
Date. 1929. pp.104-158.

Tales of India. Contributed by People who have lived  
in India. By E.T.Paxton & Others. 1930. pp.159-188.

Lajp (Mother). Impresses of Rosalie Harvey of Masik an  
her Friends the Lepers. By A. Donald Miller. pp.199  
210.

Press and Press Laws in India, By Hemendra Prasad  
Chose. 1930. pp.211-263.

Short History of Indian Materialism, Sensationalism  
and Hedonism. By Lakshminaranjan Shastri. 1930.  
pp.264-292.

-----000-----

24

## **PLANTATION LABOUR IN INDIA**

BY THE SAME AUTHOR

1. FACTORY LABOUR IN INDIA
2. FACTORY LEGISLATION IN INDIA
3. THE LABOUR MOVEMENT IN INDIA
4. HINDUSTANI WORKERS ON THE PACIFIC COAST  
*Walter de Gruyther & Co., Berlin, 1923*
5. PRODUCTION IN INDIA  
*Visva-Bharati Bookshop, Calcutta, 1924*
6. THE INDUSTRIAL EFFICIENCY OF INDIA  
*P. S. King & Son Ltd., London, 1930*

# PLANTATION LABOUR IN INDIA

BY

**RAJANI KANTA DAS, M. SC., PH.D.**

*Former Lecturer in Economics, New York University, Sometime Special Agent  
Bureau of Labour Statistics, United States Government*

**R. CHATTERJEE**  
**CALCUTTA**

Printed and Published by S. K. Das, B. Sc.  
PRABASI PRESS  
120-2, Upper Circular Road, Calcutta

X9(J4E1):9.261.113

G1

9233

Price Rs. 3/-

*To*

**Rajani Nath Mojumdar, Esq.,**

*A generous friend since early youth*

## PREFACE

*Plantation Labour in India* is a study in the rise, growth, condition and problem of the workers employed on various plantations in India, especially on the tea-gardens in Assam. The main object of the study is a critical analysis of the work and life of the labourer with a view to ameliorating their condition. A brief survey has, however been made of the conditions and prospects of the industry, which is a means of livelihood to a considerable number of the people and a source of income to the nation. Moreover, suggestions have been made for formulating a social policy towards the vast labour population, of which plantation labour is only a part and upon the moral and material development of which depends India's social progress.

As indicated in his other works, the author came to the study of the human factor in production in his search after the elements constituting industrial efficiency, and labour in organized industries, such as plantations and factories, gave him an excellent opportunity to that effect. A considerable amount of material on plantations had, in fact, been already gathered in connection with a survey of Indian agriculture in 1912, and after the completion of the monograph on factory labour in 1915, attempts were made to prepare a similar monograph on plantation labour, but it was not until he arrived in India in 1924 that he had an opportunity to collect sufficient material for the purpose.



The author met, however, with two great difficulties, namely, absence of private literature on the subject and the lack of sufficient information on plantations other than those in Assam. While he was not able to overcome these difficulties, he became convinced that practically all the valuable and available material on the subject had already been gathered. But his occupation in other work prevented him from publishing this monograph earlier.

The methodology and plan of work are the same in this monograph as in that on factory labour. An industrial system is an institution which grows out of social needs and only a genetic study can be helpful to the development of an intelligent social policy. While making a comprehensive, though concise, survey, the author has attempted to make it as objective as possible and has followed the historical and statistical methods.

In conclusion, the author welcomes this opportunity to express his deep gratitude to Mr. Ramananda Chatterjee, editor, *The Modern Review*, for genuine sympathy and kind interest in his work. He also wishes to thank sincerely Mr. Ashoke Chatterjee, M.A. (Cantab.), for kindly reading the manuscript and correcting the proofs.

Calcutta.

January, 1931.

RAJANI KANTA DAS

## CONTENTS

CHAPTER.	<i>Page</i>
I. INTRODUCTION.	1-13
1. Plantation Industries.	2
2. Cultivation of Tea.	5
3. Future of Plantations.	10
II. RISE OF PLANTATION WORKERS.	14-28
1. Growth in number of labourers.	15
2. Assam Tea-Garden Workers.	19
3. Indentured and Contract Labour.	21
4. Woman and Child Labour.	23
5. Local Sources of Supply.	26
III. PLANTATION LEGISLATION.	29-62
1. Historical Development.	30
2. Breach of Contract Act.	37
3. Administration of the Law.	42
4. Supervision and Inspection.	44
5. Offences and Penalties.	50
IV. CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT.	63-90
1. Supply of Labour.	63
2. Immigration into Assam.	66
3. Systems of Recruitment.	70
4. Terms of Labour Contract.	74
5. Termination of Contracts.	86
V. INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS.	91-101
1. Employers' Associations.	92
2. Labour Organisation.	93
3. Industrial Disputes.	94

CHAPTER.	<i>Page</i>
VI. SANITATION AND HEALTH.	102-125
1. Sanitary Provisions	102
2. Births and Deaths	108
3. Prevalent Diseases.	120
VII. HOURS OF WORK.	126-130
1. Legal Hours.	126
2. Overtime Work.	127
3. Weekly Holidays.	128
VIII. INDUSTRIAL EFFICIENCY.	131-139
1. Absenteeism and Turnover.	131
2. Vigour and Skill.	134
3. Supervision and Management.	135
4. Comparative Productivity.	136
IX. INDUSTRIAL REMUNERATION.	140-162
1. Basis of Rate-making.	140
2. Mode and Time of Payment.	143
3. Variation in Wage-rates.	144
4. Bonus and Concession.	150
5. Nominal and Real Wages.	156
X. STANDARD OF LIVING.	163-178
1. Housing Conditions.	164
2. Food and Clothing.	166
3. Alcohol and Narcotics.	169
4. Loans and Savings.	172
5. Education and Recreation.	174
6. Welfare Work.	175
XI. PROBLEMS AND CONCLUSIONS.	179-188
1. Outstanding Problems.	179
2. Concluding Remarks.	185
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
INDEX	

## APPENDIX.

### The genuineness of some of the Lokāyata-Sūtras rescued from obscurity.

The following Sūtras are attributed to Bṛhaspati, the founder of the Lokāyata School, and in them we find the statements of the Chārvākas quoted verbatim.

- (१) पृथिव्यप्तेजीवायुरिति तच्चानि
- (२) ततसमुदाये शरीरेन्द्रिय-विषय-संज्ञा
- (३) तेभ्यश्चैतन्यमे
- (४) क्लिप्तादिभ्योमदशक्तित्वत्
- (५) काम एवैकः पुरुषार्थः
- (६) अनुमानमप्रमाणम्
- (७) चैतन्य विशिष्टः कायः पुरुषः
- (८) मरणमेवापवर्गः

Of these eight Sūtras the first four are quoted by Bhāskarāchāryya as the Sūtras of Bṛhaspati of the Lokāyata school of philosophy. The first three are also quoted by Kamalaçila in his Commentary on the Tattvasaṅgraha and also by Guṇaratna in his Tarkarahashyadīpika.\* The third and second part of the fourth and the seventh are quoted by Çankara

---

\* Vide Brahma Sūtra Bhāshya of Bhāskarāchāryya and Çankara (III. 3. 53.—तथाच बाह्यस्थानि स्वादि ) and the Panjikā of Kamalaçila (Goekwad's Oriental Series, p. 520 तथाच तेषां सूत्रम्. From the context it is clear that the pronoun तेषां refers to the Lokāyatikas ) Vide also the commentary of Guṇaratna (on Sloka 84) of Saddarçana Samuchchaya.

in his commentary on the Vedānta Sūtra. The fifth, seventh and eighth are quoted by Sadānanda in the Advaita Brahmasiddhi as the sutras of Bṛhaspati.\*

The fifth Sūtra is quoted by Nilakantha (in his Commentary on the Gīta) as belonging to Bṛhaspati of the Lokāyata school.\* The sixth Sūtra is collected from the Commentary on the Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa named Tattvabodhavidhāyini of Abhaya-devasuri.† The seventh aphorism is quoted (in the Commentary on the Gīta) by Āṛidhara as belonging to Bṛhaspati of the Lokāyata school.‡

We know from the Panjikā of Kamalaçila on Çloka 1864 of the Tattvasaṅgraha\* and from other similar sources that a Çloka sometimes came to be called a Sūtra. We also know that works in a mixed style of Sūtras and verses are not rare in the field of Sanskrit Literature. The existing editions of Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra and Kautilya's Artha Çastrā may be mentioned as instances to the point. They are written in a mixed style of Sūtras and Çlokas, the substance of the Sūtras being sometimes summarised in the Çlokas. Mādhavāçhāryya in his Sarvadarçanasāṅgraha follows this mixed style of prose and verse when giving the Chārvāka view. In view of the facts, cited above, it will not be far from the truth to say that Bṛhaspati of the Lokāyata school also wrote his 'sūtra-work' in a mixed style of Sūtras and verses and that the verses quoted by Mādhava as belonging to Bṛhaspati are genuine i.e. they belong

---

\* तथाच वाईस्यस्यि सुवाचि.

\* Vide XVI. 11.—तथाच वाईस्यस्यम् सुवम्

† Vide Gujrat Puratattva series Vol. I., p. 70— तथाचि

इस्यस्यि सुवम्

‡ Vide XVI. 11.—तथाच वाईस्यस्यम् सुवम्

§ तथाच सुवम् कायादेवेति ।

to the lost Sūtra-work of Bṛhaspati. These verses are quoted below.

बृहस्पतिनाप्युक्तम्—

न स्वर्गो नापवर्गोवा नैवात्मा पारलौकिकः  
 नैववर्णाश्रमादौनां क्रियाश्च फलदायिकाः ॥ १ ॥  
 अग्निहोत्रं त्रयोवेदास्त्रिदण्डं भस्मगुणहनं ।  
 बुद्धिपौरुषह्रीनानां जीविका धाटनिश्चिता ॥ २ ॥  
 पशुश्च न्निहंतः स्वर्गं ज्योतिष्टोमे गमिष्यति ।  
 स्वपिता यजमानेन तत्र कक्षान्नहिंस्यते ॥ ३ ॥  
 मृतानामपि जन्तूनां श्राद्धं चेत् तृप्तिकारणं ।  
 निर्वर्णस्य प्रदोषस्य स्त्रेहः संवहं येच्छिखाम् ॥ ४ ॥  
 गच्छतामिह जन्तूनां व्यथं पाथेय कल्पनम् ।  
 गेहस्थ-कृत-श्राद्धे न पथि तृप्तिरवारिता ॥ ५ ॥  
 स्वर्गस्थिता यदादृप्तिं गच्छेयुस्तत्रदानतः ।  
 प्रासादस्योपरिस्थानामत्र कक्षान्नदोयते ॥ ६ ॥  
 यावज्जीवेत् सुखं जीवेद् ऋणं कृत्वा घृतं पिवेत् ।  
 भक्षीभूतस्य देहस्य पुनरागमनं कुतः ॥ ७ ॥  
 यदि गच्छेत् परं लोकं देहादेश विनिर्गतः ।  
 कक्षाद्भूयो नचायाति बभ्रुस्त्रेहसमाकुलः ॥ ८ ॥  
 ततश्चजीवनोपायो ब्राह्मणैर्विहितस्त्रिह ।  
 मृतानां प्रेतकार्यार्थाणि नलन्यदुविद्यते क्वचित् ॥ ९ ॥  
 तयो वेदस्य कर्तारो भण्ड-धूर्त्त-निशाचराः ।  
 जर्भरौ तुफंरीत्यादि पण्डितानां वचः स्मृतं ॥ १० ॥  
 अश्वस्यावह्निं शिञ्चन्तु पत्नीश्राद्धं प्रकौर्त्तितम् ।  
 भण्डैस्तद्वत् परं चैव श्राद्धं जातं प्रकौर्त्तितम् ।  
 मांसनां स्वादनं तद् वन्निशाचरसमोरितम् ॥ ११ ॥

इति

Mention may also be made here of some sūtras, ascribed to the Lokāyata school. They are not directly attributed to Bṛhaspati. On the other hand, there is no strong reason to prove that they do not belong to the work of Bṛhaspati. There are Sūtras quoted in some places as belonging simply to the Lokāyata or Chārvāka school, while in other places the same sūtras are attributed to Bṛhaspati.

Again, there is no ground for supposing that all these sūtras belong to Bṛhaspati ; for we know the names of other sūtrakāras of the Lokāyata school, namely Chārvāka, Purandara, Kambalāsvatara and Bhāguri.

In any case, there is no reason to believe that they are not the Lokāyata-sūtras. They may safely be collected as being the genuine Sūtras of the Lokāyata school.

The said Sūtras are the following :—

- (१) न धर्मांश्चरेत्
- (२) एष्यत्फलत्वात्
- (३) सांशयिकत्वाच्च
- (४) कोच्छवालिो हस्तगतं परगतं क्षुथ्यात्
- (५) वरमद्य कपोतः श्वो-मयूरात्
- (६) वरं सांशयिकान्निषकादसांशयिकः कार्षापणः
- (७) शरीरेन्द्रिय संघात एव चेतनः चित्रज्ञः
- (८) काम एव प्राणिनां कारणम्
- (९) परलोकिनोऽभावात् परलोकाभावः
- (१०) इहलोक परलोक शरीरयोर्भिन्नत्वात् तद्वतयो-  
रपि चित्तयोर्नैकः सन्तानः
- (११) एतावानेव पुरुषो यावानिन्द्रियगोचरः
- (१२) प्रत्यक्षमेवैकं प्रमाणं

The first six Sūtras are ascribed by Vātsāyana in his Kāmasūtra to the Lokāyata school.\* The seventh Sūtra is a quotation by Madusūdana in his Commentary on the Gīta, and it is stated to belong to the Lokāyata school.† The eighth Sūtra is found as a quotation by Çankarāchāryya in his Commentary on the Gīta. There it is referred to as an extract from the work of the Lokāyata school.‡ The ninth Sūtra is quoted in the Commentary on the Tattvasangraha as belonging to the Lokāyata school § This Sūtra is also quoted in the Commentary on the Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa in the same form and as belonging to the same Lokāyata school.¶ The next two Sūtras are found quoted in the Commentary on the Tattvasangraha as an extract from the work of the Lokāyata school.\* The last Sūtra stated above is quoted in the Commentary on the Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa as belonging to the work of the Chārvāka school.† We are tempted to add here another Sūtra in Çloka form of the Svabhāvavādins who are later on identified with the Lokāyatikas and the Chārvākas. This verse originally belonged to the standard work of the Lokāyata school. The verse is this :—

कः कन्टकानां प्रकरोति तैश्चरं  
विचित्रभावं मृगपक्षिणाञ्च ।

\* Vide Kāmasūtra I., 2. 25—30.

† इति लौक्यायतिकाः.

‡ इति लौक्यायतिकदृष्टिरियम्

§ तथाहि तस्यैतत् सूत्रम्. From the context it is evident that the pronoun तस्य refers to the Lokāyata school.

¶ Gujrat Puratattva series, p. 71.

\* Gaekwad's Oriental series, p. 523.

† Gujrat Puratattva series, p. 73.



मायुर्थ्यं मिदोः कटुतां च निम्बे  
स्वभावतः सर्वमिदं प्रवृत्तम् ॥

In addition to the above, we find some other Sūtras of the Lokāyata school which are directly attributed to persons other than Bṛhaspati. This proves that Bṛhaspati was not the only worker of this school. After him there must have been many workers in the field. There is, therefore, no strong evidence for the supposition that these Sūtras are not equally genuine. These Sūtras are—

- (१) प्रमाणस्यागौणत्वादानुमानादर्थनिश्चयोदुल्लेखः
- (२) कायादेव ततो ज्ञानं प्राणापानाद्यधिष्ठिताद्-  
युक्तं जायते
- (३) सर्वत्र पर्यनुयोग पराण्येव सूत्राणि बृहस्पतेः

Of these three Sūtras the first is quoted in the Commentary on the Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa as belonging to a work of the Lokāyata school and is attributed to a philosopher of the name of Purandara. § Perhaps, this Purandara was the author of a later sūtra work of the Lokāyata school in which the views of Bṛhaspati were expounded. The next sūtra is quoted in the Tattvasaṅgraha—as belonging to Kambalāsvatara, one of the earliest writers of the

‡ Bhattotpala's commentary on Bṛhatsamhitā, Saddarṣana Samuchchaya Vritti of Guṇaratna, Dallana's commentary on Śuṅgīta, Chapt. I.

§ *Vide* The Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa of the Gujra Purātattva series Vol. I., p. 70—एतच्च पौरुषं स्वम्. Most probably this Purandara is identical with Purandara mentioned in the Commentary of Kamalaçila and referred to in the Tattvasaṅgraha of Sāntarakshita. From the foot note of the page we know that this Purandara is mentioned also in the Syādbhādaratnākara.

)Lokāyata system.\* Perhaps, this Kambalīsvatara was another expounder of the system like Purandara. The last sutra is quoted in the Commentary on the Sammati Tarkaprakaraṇa—as a saying of the Chārvākas.†

From what has been said above, we may reasonably believe that all these passages are the statements of the Chārvākas quoted verbatim and they are equally genuine although compared with the vast ocean of Barhaspatya sūtras now lost, they are but a few drops.

---



---

\* Vide p. 521—वशाच स्वं - कायादिवेति कम्बलाश्वरोदितमिव ।

† Vol. I., p. 69—एवि चाब्जाकेरमिद्वियम्

*Extracts from some of the opinions on Prof. Dakshinaranjan Shastri's Chārvāka Shashti.*

Professor F. W. Thomas (Oxford) writes :—

We can hardly now hope to recover any original treatise of the school and we must therefore be grateful to you for giving us a collection of the most important passages where its statements are quoted verbally or in substance. The introductory essays also are in my view well informed and instructive.

Professor Louis dela Vallie Poussin (Brussels) writes :—

Your Chārvāka Shashti is a good piece of work and deserves praise both for Sanskrit and philosophical skill. The subject is one of the most obscure and interesting. I find, with pleasure, that you mention some facts and opinions which had escaped my notice.

Professor Keith (Edinburgh) writes :—

Your work appears to be very carefully carried out.

Professor Rapson (Cambridge) writes :—

It is an ingenious reconstruction of the tenets of the Lokāyata system.

Mahāmohopādhyāya Dr. Gangānāth Jhā (Vice-Chancellor, Allahabad University) writes :—

It is a most interesting compilation and bears evidence of great industry.

Mahāmohopādhyāya Professor Kuppaswami Shastri M.A., I.E.S. (Madras) writes in an article (Recent work in Indian thought. The New Era, December, '28) :—

It may not be out of place to refer in this connection to the somewhat amusing attitudinisation which one cannot help noticing in an interesting and useful compilation by Mr. Dakshinaranjan Shastri, M.A. which was published in Calcutta last month under the name of Chārvāka Shashti.

Professor Rādhākrishnan (Calcutta University) writes :—

Those who wish to study Indian Materialism from Sanskrit sources will find the book extremely useful. The New Era, Nov., 1928.

Principal Gopinath Kaviraj, M.A., (Benares) writes :—

The author has spared no pains to make his compilations thorough and useful. There is no doubt that this contribution to the study of Lokāyata Philosophy will find an honoured place in the history of Indian thought.

Professor Dhirendramohon Dutt, M.A., P.R.S., (Patna) writes :—

চার্কাকের মত সম্বন্ধে ধারাবাহিক কোন নিবন্ধ অপেক্ষিত বাহির হয় বাই।  
আপনি বিভিন্ন স্থান হইতে চার্কাকের মত সংলব্ধ করিয়া এবং ইংরাজী