

X723·23111 Nan C5·1 15425

CONTENTS.

	Ahmednagar Collectorate.	15	1425
1.	Sangamner Taluka (Original Survey Settlement of the Village of Nanduri.)	1895.	pp. 1- 5.
2.	Kopargaon Taluka. X723.2:/// </th <th>1890</th> <th>pp. 6-13.</th>	1890	pp. 6-13.
3.	Sangamner Taluka.	1907.	pp. 14-88.
4.	Koparsacn Taluka.	1907.	pp. 89-150.
١ .	こんつ テロスカバ ウームフ		,
5.	Parner Taluka.	1920.	pp.151-194.
6.	Shevgaon Taluka (including Fathardi Mahal)		pp.195-247.
_	1. 3.4.5/115H F3)	
7.	Akola Taluka.		pp.248-301.
8.	Nagar Taluxa.	1916.	pp.302-354.
	PINALT	U	

REVISED APPENDIX Q

FOR THE

KOPARGAON TALUKA

OF THE

HMEDNAGAR DISTRICT.

REVISE Showing the effect of Revision Settlement proposals on Government occupied

T				<u></u>			Br ro	unu Si	EVAR.	·						
	Спочр.	Maxis	num I	Intes.	1	Ory-erop.			Ric		a	arden,		٠.	Total.	. 1
Fame of Village.	Number of Gr	Dry-drop.	Rice.	Garden.	Arm.	Angestment.	Áverage,	Ares.	Assessment,	Average.	Arra.	Assessment,	Average, f	Area.	Assessment.	Average
8	8	•	5	6	7	8		10	11	14	18	16	18	16	17	18
Group I.		Ra. s. p.	Ra.	ßs. a. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Re. a. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Re.	Acres.	Re.	Re, e, p.	Agres,	Rs.	Rs. c. (
Chandegawan	,	1 10 0			565	-880	1 7 11				м. в	,	3 5 4	888	687	1 4 6
Sawaiwhir Khurd	111	180			2,169	889	0 11 7	.,,			M. 10		0 14 8	1,178	946	0 12 4
Sawalwihir Bu	n	180			1,246	670	0 11 2		-		М, 24	\$2	D 14 B	1,270	802	011
Nighoja	п	1 8 0		in .	1.029	1,088	101				M 38	38	1 8 6	1,082	1.061	1 0
Nimpson Korhale	п	1 8 0			1,386	1,167	0 18 9			│	И. \134	196	176	1,450	1,865	0 16
Shirdi	11	180]		3,237	2,704	1 8 5		l		M. #81	419	1 7 10	2,608	3,125	1 11
Biregson	п	180			190	286	170				M, 93	82	178	\$31	816	1 7
Mandurkhi Bu	11	1 8 0			1,938	1,184	0 18 10	,	,		M. 156	246	198	1,469	1,380	0 15
Nandurkhi Khurd	111	180			208	200	0 29 0				M. 196	190	183	624	489	0 16 1
Sakruzi	m	1 8 0			1,739	9.278	1 4 11				M, 295	864	1 9 11	1,964	2,637	10
Dahigeon Korbale	n	180			1,423	1,115	0 12 7			<u>.</u> .	M. 108	189	2 6 7	1,696	1,304	0 25
Korbala	п	180			4,845	2,609	0 0 0				M. 265	257	0 15 6	4,910	3,866	0.0
Khadke	п	180			1,118	81,6	012 8				Mr. 90	180	3 8 9	3,210	940	0 19
Wake	п	180			656	418	0 10 8	,	۱.,,		N. 80	85	163	696	454	0 10
Pimplas	11	180		4	1,151	1,268	117	_			M. 850	587	1 10 10	1,501	1,850	1
Kankuri	n	180			1958	876	1 0 11				M, 118	174	188	941	1,050	1 1 10
Managaon	11	1 8 0	1	10 0 0	848	890	074				∫ML 70	r 80	0 18 9) . -	\$ 480	},
Į.	1		-	1	1	1		**	***	"	P. 38	\ \ ₩C24	1 16 10	יי	₹₩.0.94	1
Ranjangaon Deah mukh.	III	160	***		5,088	2,105	0 6 8		***	***	М. 948	268	108	6,981	9,356	0 7 1
Anjanapur "	n	180			. 833	. 444	086				М, 108	tri	118	986	884	000
Astegnou	IX	189	-		6,980	4,566	# 13 E		·	· 🗕	M. 958	1,358	169	6,836	6,924	0 19 10
Kopergaon	11	180			2,868	2,764	0 15 5				M. 162	292	1 6 11	8,025	2,896	0 18 10
Kokamthan	п	1 8 0			6,906	7,981	1 2 4	٠,,		-	М. 79	108	1 6 10	6,895	8,099	186
Jeur Kumbhari "	п	180			2,067	1,716	0 28 8	•••		•••	M. 62	80	1 8 7	2,119	1,795	0 18 7
Rahata	11	1 8 0			4,492	4,638	118		***		16, 498	784	1 7 10	4,986	5,667	1 1 10
Bui,	Ш	1 6 0		or	2,994	3,388	1 3 1		-	-	M. 109	147	1 5 7	8,108	3,535	1 3 3
Dorhala	111	160			1,859	1,198	0 10 B		***		M. 202	280	162	2,061	1,420	6 11 5
Walki	ш	160	-	***	651	492	0 30 4	. •••			M. 67	, 109	184	718	526	0 11 8
Kakadi	ш	1 6 0	-		4,518	1,596	0.58		744		M. 61	1 46	0 14 B	4,569	1,642	0 5 9
Bahadurpur ,	m	160			1,019	588	0 9 8		•••		M., 165	191	1 2 6	1,117	778	6 10: 6
Jaulke	m	160		10 0 0	843	630	0 13 0		4	-	M. 68 P. 8	134 W 0.6	1 6 7	988	₹ 788 ₩.O. 6	e 18° 0
Bahaduegbad											∫ M. 55	68	189	1,015	687] o 10 8
Bededureded	ш	160	"	10 0 0	961	759	0 13 9	***			(M. 48	₩.C 6	100)	l ₩.c. e	,
Shahapur Budrukh	ш	1 5 6		10 0 0	816	465	9191			•••	P. 10	{ ₩.č.9	}1 14 5	668	W.G. 9	}0 12 7
Soyegaon	ıŋ	1 6 0			650	266	079				М. 19	21	118	869	256	0 8 3
Pohegaon Budrakh	ш	1 0 0	-	10 0 0	2,309	1,998	8 15 10			187	M. 136 P. 19	141 21 W.C18	1 0 7 }1 12 8	3,464	\$,155 W.C.18	0 14 1
Derde Korhela],v	240	1		2,291	1,125	0 19 11	-,	140		ML 50	62	108	1,861	1,177	0 14 1
Dharangaon	ш	160			3.014	3,591	181				M. 2	В	1 6 0	8,016	8,594	1. 9 1
Kumbhari	111	1 6 0			2,172	2,691	1 3 7				M. 5	7	165	2,177	2,505	1 7 7
Sauwateer	m	160		<u> </u>	7,264	6.162	0 13 7				M. 76	76	0 15 7	7,840	6, 266	Ø 13 6
Sade	m	1 0 0	_		2,212	2,467	1 1 10			_	м	δ	140	3,216	2,478	I- 1 10
Jeur Patode	ш	1 5 0	"]	948	1,028	114						_ [948	1,028	1 1 4
	1	1 "	١ "	1	1	1	~ ~ ~ ~		1	1	1					

APPENDIX Q. land in 128 villages of the Kopasgaon Táluka of the Ahmednagar Dietrict.

	BE REVISION SURVAY. Masimum Rates. Dry-orop. Bice. Garden. Total.														1	
Max	iraum Ref	es.	1	ry-orop.		_;	Bice		1	Gardeo.			Total	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	фетеляе.	Rame of Village.
Der-Groß.	Rice.	Garrden,	Arres	Assempent.	Average.	Arres.	Aribentment,	А устава.	Ares.	A. sessment.	Average.	Arat	Assessment,	Arcrage.	Percentage 1	Walter of Vallages
19	9 0	3 1	28 .	23	94	25	#6	ΣŲ	296	29	- 30	31	83	98	34	.36. ~
. p.	Ra. a.	Ba. s. p.	Acres.	Re.	Ra. s. p.	Acres.	Rg.	Hs.	,Aores,	Bs.	Ra. g. p.	Acres.	Re.	Rs. a., p.	1	Graup I.
	**		-685	901	1 6 10	190	ļ		M. \$	6	200	558	797	1 6 10	-48	Chandegawan
8 6			1,169	840	0 11 7		·		ML 10	ı a	0 14 5	1,173	849	0 11 7	+01	Sawa lwihir Khurd .
0 0	***		1,246	871	0 11 2		a.	-	M. 24	28	0 14- 8	1,270	. 893		401	Sawelwibir Budrukh
			1 000	3.000	101	1					12.1	ŀ				
8 0	***	***	1,029	1,088	0 18 9	***	"	-	М. 23	. 28	188	1,062	1,081	. 3 6 2°.	+0.0	Nighoja
8 0	***		2,227	2,701	18 5	***		,	M. 184 M. 261	195 421	173	1,490 2,508	8,122	1.311	÷0°0 +0°08	Nimgson Koyinie Shirdi
8 0	***		199	286	1 6 11	***			M. 52	, 89	1 8 9	221	518	170	+0%	L
8 0	***	14	1,818	1,138	0 18 10			,,,,	M. 156	242	1 9 4	1,499	1,380	e 15 0	+00	Biregaon Nandurkki Budrukh
7	_		,					. '	1	1			1	1 7	1	
8 0			898	299	0 12 0	•••			M. 126	190	189	524	489	0 14 11	+00	Nandurkhi Khurd .
9 0	-		1,739	2,971	1 4 11	***			XI. 235	1864	1 9 11	1,964	2,635	1 5 6	-0.08	Struri
8 0	***		1,422	1,116	0 13 7	***		-	M. 108	180	1 6 7	1,525	1,254	6 13 2	+00	Danigaon Korhale .
	-		4,646	2,609	0 9 0		·	-	N, 265	257	0 15 8	4,910	3,866	0 9 4	+00	Korhala .
8 9	***		1,118	816	011 8		***	• • •	M. 98	190	1 2 2 3	1,218	946	0 12 5	+0*0	Khadke .
• •	~		655	417	0 16 8	***		'	M. 30	87	1 8 9	dR6	454	0 10 7	+0-0	Wake
	rn.		1,154	1,261	1 2 6	**		-	M. 880	689	1 10 11	1,501	1,849	189	-0.02	Pimplas
	***	, ;	838	874	1 6 11				M. 113	176	1 8 11	941	1,080	1 1 10	+0.0	Kankuri .
9 0	•	-	865	895	0 7 6		-		M. 91	. 95	0 34 12	918	680	, p n 1	+010	Managaon .
8 0	***		5,038	2.302	0 7 4					276	1 1 2	1	2,578	0 7 10		
"	-	-	0,000	2,802		~	. ***		M. 948	270	1 3 3	5,281	2,074	0 7 10	+9*8	Ranjangson Desi
0 0	***	677	883	461	0 6 6				M, 108	111	118	988	, 56g	0 9 6	4040	Anjanapur .
0 0	***	-	5,880	4,566	0 12 5			-	M. 956	1,958	169	8,838	5,924	Ø 18 10	+00	Antagaon .
8 0	**1	***	2,846	3,746	0 15 5			,	M. 162	282	1 6 11	8,009	2,976	0 16 16	+-0*06	Kopergaen .
8 0	***		8,859	7,968	1 2 4				M. 79	109	161	6,938	7,967	184	-0%	Kokamthau .
8 0	1***	 	9,067	1,714	0 19 8	***			M. 52	81	1,811	2,119	1,795	0 18 7	+00	Jeur Kumbhari .
9 0	***	100	4,494	4,888	1 1 8	***		, ,,-	14. 491	- 734	2 7 11	4,985	5,567	1 1 10	+00	Bahata .
8 D	***		2,994	8,008	187	-			M. 109	180	178	8,109	8,628	189	+6.8	Rul .
• D	***		1,839	1,809	0 11 8				M, 202	809	1.8 6	2,081	1,613	0 13 6	+9.7	Dozhala .
8 0	-		6 63	454	011 2		-	-	M. 67	119	1 10 9	718	, 866	0 13 7	+6 02	Walki .
8 0	***		4,618	1,775	0 6 8	***	. ***		M, 61	58	108	4,669	1,828	0 8 8	+11.3	Kakadi .
8 0	*10	,	1,012	635	0 8 11				M. 165	308	2 8 1%	1,177	830	0 11 3	47'4	Bahadurpur .
4 0	***		850	699	0 18 B		;		ML 89	138	198	989	838	9 14 4	+ 10-5	Jaulke .
•					₹					Ì	1	1				
• •			900	886	6 18 11			-	M. 68	76	1 8 1	1,018	613	0 14 8	+9.0	Bahadurahad ,
. 0			200					1			1				'	[
٠ ١	•	**	698	634	0 18 8	**		-	14. 48	- 46	116	\$58	580	0 13 11	+12.5	Shahapur Budrukh.
	•••	` 140	556	304	0 8 6		-	 ,	M. 15	31	1 6 5	\$89	316	0 0 10	+101	Soyegama .
	•	_	3,313	3,178	1 21 0	1		l '	:	178			2,356	0 15 6		Poheguon Bodrukk.
	-"	_	177	-110	"	÷			M. 158	1/16	1 2 7	3,484	A,300	y 45 •	+9'3	
	-	200	1,591	3,860	109	•		-	M. 50	63	0 8 10	2,341	1,419	1 ,0 10	+30-0	Derde Korbala .
	***	-	8,614	1,690	2 4 2			i	и. з	. 8	1 8 0	8,016	8,698	1 4 7	+0*04	Dharangaen ,
	544		2,178	2,736	1 4 2			-	M. B	8	197	8,177	2,744	1 4 2	+8%	Kumbhari
* 0			7,364	6,721	0 14:10	-			M. 76	79	108	7,340	6,800	9 1s 10	+8.4	Sanwatear
	***	-	12,198	7,654	184				MF. 6	5	1 4 0	3,190	2,659	184	+16	Sade .
8 0				1,111									2,111		+8*8	

REVISED APPENDI

									By you	KER S	UBYST		By yorker Survey.						
		Group,	Max	imen	Bates.		Dry-cro	р.]	Bios		T	Garden.			Total,			
Mumber	Name of Village.	Number of Gr	Dry-erop.	Biton.	Garden,	Arm.	Afressment.	Average.	Page 1	Ameriment,	Average.	A.	Arrestment.	A verage.	Arm	economics.	į		
1	*	8	-		6	7	8	•	10	11	18	18	14	18	16	17	18		
	Group I—contd.		Re. p. p.	Ra,	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Res	Re. a. p.	Acres.	Be.	Re.	Acres.	Be.	Re. s. p.	Acres,	Ba.	Rs. s.		
11	Mumbitpur	m	1 8 0		-	1,405	1,480	0 15 4				M. 13	19	175	1,809	1,440	0 18		
12	Ghari	171	1 6 0			980	965	108			•••	M. 10	11	111	940	966	10		
15	Hingni Dauch Budrukh	10	1 8 0	#	[-]	641	729	1 8 3				100		u.	64i	799	3 8		
66	Dauch Khurd	ш	1 6 0	***	-	1,084	1,188	100		~		M. 95	25	100	1,109	1,150	10		
18	Gondegaon	111	166			1,139	888	0 18 7	***	***		M. 80	64	1 2 3	1,185	940	0 13		
67	Chitali	III	1 8 0		-	3,814 3,380	1,766	0 12 3	140		***	M. 167	199	1 4 8	9,471	1,968	0 19		
	Nandur Budrukh	111	1 6 4			1,384	1,683 840	0.8 0		-	***	M. 67	190	1 6 1	8,467	1,808	0.6		
6	Mamdapur	111	1 6 0			2,756	1,568	0 11 0	4	1 :	***	M. 66	90	180	1,290 2,993	939	0 11		
50	Banjank nol	m	1 6 0		-	998	614	0 9 10	u.			M. 48	70	174	1,046	1,818	0 10		
12	Dighi	ш	160			1,098	401	079				M. 29	37	1 4 6	1,127	528	0 7		
12	Khairgaon	m	160			3,580	1,821	011 5		vies	***	M. 85	110	148	2,625	1,981	0 13		
8	Nimgaon	ш	160			3,574	2,847	9 19 8			148	M. 91	128	3 6 0	2,668	3,972	0 10		
٠	Wakdi	ш	1 5 0			. 8,477	5,852	0 10 1				M. 561	966	188	9,088	6,218	0 11		
١į	Matulthan	ш	1 8 0			2,184	2,812	124	744	.,	***	M. 76	109	178	2,909	2,491	1, 1		
8	Khandala	ш	160			8,358	1,667	087	190	***		M- 81	119	176	3,889	1,788	9 6		
	Bajuri	ш	160	***		8,597	1,468	0 8 8	***			M. 177	288	1 6 1	3,774	1,701	0 7		
1	Shirasgaon	m	1 8 0			3,446	1,100	072		***		M. 115	188	1 5 2	9,659	1,253	0 7		
1	Gondavni Jalgaon	121	1 8 0	-	-	4,391	3,000	978		***		M. 291	819	171	6,618	2,319	0 8		
1		ш	160	-	-	3,449	1,543	0 10 1		***		Mr. 63	81	1 4 11	2,611	1,634	0 10		
	Ves	III	160	•••.		1,776	1,868	109	***	***	•••				1,776	1,668	1 0		
1	Banjangaen Khurd.	III	160	7"	-	3,788	1,787	0 10 B		***		M. 181	184	186	2,869	1,971	0 11		
	Puntamba	Ī	1 4 0	"	"	1,408	1,444	105	***		**	M. 168	246	183	1,571	1,000	1 1		
1	Wari '	17	3 4 0	-	-	19,580	18,177	0 14 10			. 19	M. 599	368	0 9 10	\$0,170	18,646	0 14		
.	Shapgwe	IV	140			8,654 5,087	4,558	0 18 6	•"	***	444	M. 185	118	0 13 6	8,769 8,085	8,198	0 12		
1	Chanda	ι'n	1 4 0	ł	-	3,714	1,700	016 6				M. 70	40	0 14 3	3,784	4,508 1,768	0 10		
,	Kamere	IV	140	-		2,446	1,849	0 8 10	,	150	***	H. 36	62 93	0 14 2	3,472	1,708	0 ,6		
ď	Shingnapur	IV	3 4 0			978	776	0 13 8	***		r++	M. 21	20	0 15 8	200	798	0 19		
ŀ	Bangwi Bhusar	1	1 10 0			2,359	2,766	1 11		***		M. O	19	154	3,360	2,798	1 3		
H	Dhamori	п	180			2,465	3,964	199	- :	244		M. 97	48	1 10 8	8,481	9,999	1 0		
1	Madhi Budrukh	17	1 6 0			1,259	1,050	0 18 4			1#*	M. 80	96	1 # #	1,839	1,140	9 18		
ij	Madbi Khurd	IV.	140			617	585	0 15 2		eta		M. 31	1 30	100	649	816	6 IS		
١	Hinganwedhe	IV	2 6 0		-	547	516	0 16 1		·		M. 7		0 18 9	554	572	0 18		
1	Derde Chanded	ETI	1 8 0			1,192	1,310	108	***		***	M. 36	85	1 5 6	1,218	1,248	10		
1	Sonari	IA	140			` 1,159	1,249	118			444				1,159	1,340	1,1		
'	Mahegaon Desh-	PV	1 4 0			2,354	1,944	0 18 8			<i>y</i> -1,	M. 82	81	9 15 0	2,386	1,975	0 23		
,	Kolgaon	ŧγ	140			1,687	1,509	١				M. Y		0 6 10	1,844	1,811	018		
١	Shahajapur	17	1 4 0	-		902	£18	011 5	,*** 			M. 30	14	0 11 3	992	497	4 0		
,	Velapur	īv	1 4 0		<u></u>	1,602	1;187	0 11 10				м. в	27	0 0 7	1,607	1 190	0 11		
1	Manjur	37	1 4 0			2,841	2,195	0 13 4				м. в	1	1 5 4	2,844	3,199	0 19		
•	Chas :	IA	240		1	1,769	1,420	0 12 11	-			M. 89	88	108	1,796	1,455	0 12		
ı	Handewadi	IA	140	,	-	491	310	0 10 1	***		-	-	μ		401	#1 9	6 TO		
۱	Bakhatarpur	TV	1 4 0		1	1,222	719	0 9 5	119			M. 22	14	0 10 2	1,244	720	0 9		
;]	Wadgaon	W	1 4 0		1	797	617	0 12 8			-	M. 16	27	1 3 3	813	686	0 13		
,	Morwis	DV.	2 4 0		,	1,840	971	011 7					-	- 1	1,846	971	0 H		
1	Mayegaon Devi	17	140			1,384	1,168	D 19 8	g ja			M. 16	16	1	1,898	1,103	0 13		
9	Malegaou Thadi	14	3 4 9	3		2,480	2,140	014 1	***	•••	***	M. M.		1 . 4	3,454	3, 100	0 14		
•	Bayande	IV	2 4 0			1,325	2,187	0 15 8	1			M. 138	136	108	2,346	2,513	0 15		

ï	***************************************					BT RE	AIRION	Burray	•,							
Ha	rimum Re	kes.	E	ry-crop.			Rice	•		Garden.			Total.		torease,	Name of Village.
Dry-crop.	Eles.	Garden.	Area.	Assessment.	Averago	Ares.	Assessment	Average.	Arna.	Assessment.	Average.	Area.	Assessment.	Average.	Percentage increase.	Name of Sinage
19	20	\$1	22	28	24	25	26	37	28	29	80	81	82	83	34	35
₽ p.	Ra. a.	Rs. a, p.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Re.	Acres.	Bs.	Ва. а. р.	Acres.	Re.	Rs. a, p.		Group I-contd.
8 0	***		1,495	1,654	108				ML 18	21	1 9 10	1,508	1,575	109	+87	Murshitpur
8 0	***		930	1,035	1 1 10				м. 10	13	182	940	1,047	1 1 10	+8.4	Ghari
B 0	•••		641	796	188					٠		641	788	1 8 8	+8'09	Hingai
8 0	-		1,08\$	1,223	1 2 1	•••			ML 35	27	118	1,109	1,250	1 2 0	+7.0	Dauch Budrukh
8 0	•		1,129	967	0 18 7	-		-	М. 66	70	1 4 0	1,185	1,027	0 18 10	+8-2	Danch Khurd
8 0		-	2,314 3,380	2,021 1,862	0 14 0 0 B 10	""		-	M. 157	227 181	173	9,471 8,467	1,993	0147	+14'6	Chitali
8 0 B			3,380 1,284	1,802	0 13 1				M. 66	104	1 9 8	1,290	1,028	0 12 9	+95	Mander Budrakh
8 0			3.761	1,754	0 10 2				M. 162	267	195	2,833	3,011	011 0	+11.0	Mamdapur
. 0		1	1,009	689	011 0				M. 48	89	198	1,046	758	0 11 7	+10.8	Banjankhol
8 0	•••	ایدا	1,098	548	0 7 11				M. 29	40	1 6 1	1,127	588	083	+10.4	Dighi
8 0	res		2,850	2,039	0 12 10			-	M. 86	119	165	2,635	2,159	0 18 1	+11.7	Khairgaon
8 0			2,574	1,609	0 11 8			***	M. 91	187	181	2,665	1,048	0 11 8	+9-8	Nimgson
8 O			8,477	6,617	0 10 7				M. 561	918	1,10,0	9,089	6,530	011 7	+5.03	Wakdi
8 0	***	-	3,184	2,544	181	***			M. 75	119	195	2,209	2,668	188	+10.0	Matuithan
8 0	•••	-	8,258	1,916	0 9 5				Mr. 83	133	1 10 8	8,839	2,040	0 9 10	+147	Khandala ,
0	44		₩8,607	1,677	0 7 5	""			M., 167	240	170	8,774	1,917	0 8 2	+12.7	Bajari Shirasgaon
8 0 8 0	144	"	3,478 4,391	1,294 2,286	080	**	-5-1	1	M. 116 M. 221	165 348	16H	2,698 4,612	1,399 2,874	088	+11.7	Shirasgaon Gondavni
8 0		' "	3,499	1,662	0 11 0	""		-	M. 62	546 87	1 6 5	2,694	1,749	011 8	+7.7	Jalgaon
8 0	***	-17	1,776	2,047	1 2 5				 0.3	"		1,778	3,047	1 3 5	+9-9	Kanhegam
8 0	199		1,766	2,047	0 11 16				M. 108	158	1 9 7	2,869	2,268	0 12 4	+11.0	Ÿes
. 0	и		1,408	1,580	1 111				M. 188	287	1 10 8	1,671	1,847	1 2 10	49 3	Banjangaon Khurd
8 0	***		19,585	21,487	117	-			M. 601	755	141	20,136	22,212	118	+19'8	Puntamba
8 0			3,654	3,706	1.08				M. 185	138	104	8,789	8,844	183	+20.4	Wari
8 0	•••		5,001	5,108	114	·		١	M. 46	1.47	0 15 8	5,040	5,455	118	+18*8	Shingwe
. 0	***		2,714	2,654	918 0			-	ML. 70	74	1011	2,784	3,108	0 12 1	+196	Chande
8 0	***		9,448	1,823	0 10 7	***			M. : 36	27	207	3,672	1,649	0 10 8	+20.3	Kasare
8 0	•••	-1.0	1 978	.*************************************	0 15 8				ME. 91	28	116	999	954	0 16 8	+19-8	Shinguapur
8 0	•.•		2,359	9,548	118	۳.	•••.		M. 9	- 10 43	1 1 9	2,368 2,482	4,002	118	-8·6 +0·08	Dhamori
8 0	***	-	2,455 1,259	3,969	0 15 11	***	•		M. 87	116	1 10 8	1,482	1,371	105	+19-6	Madhi Budrukh
9 0	111		617	697	1 8 1	***	***		M. 81	87	1 8 1	848	784	1 2 1	+19.2	Madhi Khurda
. 0			547	691	1 2 3		·		M. 7	7	100	554	628	1 2 2	+20'8	Hinganwedhe '
6 0	٠		1,191	1,807	117			.,.	M. 26	8R	1 7 5	1,918	1,845	118	+4 03	Derde Chandod .
8 0	***		1,169	1,601	1 4 P							1,169	1,501	7-4 D	+20 3	Sonari
9 0	**		. 2,864	9,818	0 15 9	47		-	W. 33	38	180	3,366	3,856	0 15 10	+198	Mahegaon Dashmuk
	5 .m		1,837	1.576	0 18 -9			_	M. F		0 0 2	3,844	1,580	0 18 9	+20 5	Kolgaon
8 0	-	,	902	617	0 10 11		***		M. 83	18	0 14 6	822	635	011 0	+ 20-6	Shahajapur
a o		<u> </u>	1,609	1,483	0 16 3		-		м. 6		0 28 10	1,607	1,426	0 16 2	+198	Velapur
8 0	•	144	2,841	3,637	0 14 10			l "	M.		154	3,844	8,651	0 16 10	+ 201	Manjar

M. ,23

M. 16

M. 16 M. 18 M. 133

1,344 890 011.4

n 1 5 6

17 0 13 4

1.344 850 0 11 4 + 2706 Mainsaupur ... 94
1.340 1,175 0 14 0 + 20% Mayegan Deri ... 95
1,280 1,489 1 0 7 + 20% Mayegan Deri ... 27
2,864 2,866 1 0 11 + 19% Malegan Thadi ... 98
2,864 2,766 1 3 20 + 13% Rayan Deri ... 98

84

1,233

707

1,840 1,384 2,430 9,295

REVISED APPENDIX

	Ì								Br	AOBECTS.	BURVE	t.						
•	Group.	I	,	Max	imum l	Rates.	· ·	Dry-0 rop			Rice.		,	Garden.			Total.	
Name of Village,	Number of Ch		Dry area.		Blo.	Garden.	Arra.	Assessment.	Average.	Arm.	Agreement.	Average.	A100.	Assessment.	Average.	Į.		Avena
1	8	1	4	1	6	6	7		9	10	11	12	18	14	1.5	18	39	18
Group Z-sonold.	1	B	les a	. P.	B4	Be. c. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Ra. a. p.	Acres	Ba.	Bs.	Aores.	Re,	Ba. a. p.	Acres.	Ba.	Bu, b,
Brahmaugaon Takii.	IA		1 4	۵			8,831	8,181	0 18 4	;			M. 270	970	100	4,091	3,461	0 18
Tažii	JA.	ļ :	1 ,6	0			1,564	1,487	0 15 8		1		ML 86	98	1 1 20	2,650	1,683	0 15
Yesgavia	I¥	١.	1 4	0]		1,481	1,323	6 19 7	***		***	M. 94	212	1 8 1	1,778	1,436	0 12
Bhojada	ĬΨ	1 :	1 4	0			2,185	2,887	104	ing			M. 116	158	9 5 6	2,101	2,495	10
Lanki	I¥	1	1 4	0	-		850	888	0 16 0	101	49.	***	М. 111	140	1 4 2	961	978	10
Dahigaon Bodka	TV	:		. 0		,	2,017	1,987	0 15 9				M, 249	· 195	1 411	3,166	3,152	1 0
Pohogaon Badrakh.	ΙV	ı				1	960	676	0 9 7	i ten			M. 81	89	117	1,011	684	9 10
Karanji Budruk .	IV	1'	1 16	ò		} {	8,224	2,370	0 11 9			,440	M. 179	1230	1 8 11	8,401	2,590	0 11
Boild	ΙΨ	1.	1 4	0]	660	690	100	***	***	ing.	M. 28	80	1 2 6	698	720	1 (
Anchalgaou	W	í`	1.4	0		1 1	791	807	118			***	M. 141	188	151	872	908	1
Vordi	ΙÝ	1	. 4				859	478	0 8 10	7		,	M. 64	64	100	922	637	
Ehirdi Ganesh	TV	1	. 4	_			1,989	1,381	0 15 1				M. 48	56	1 2 8	1,408	1,887	0 11
Rampur	IV	ł	1 4	ີຄ		1 `	691	670	0 15 8				M. 5	6	182	696	Uen	91
	EV	1		0	<u> </u>	~	2,096	1,787	0 14 1		,	;··	M. 10	69	1 8 6	3,078	1,856	0 1
	IV	1		0		**	6,905	4,434	0 11 6	234			Mr. 189	281	179	6,894	4.715	6 1
Brahmangson Votal	IV		-	0	<u> </u>	- E-	1,172	582	0 7 6	98		,	M. 146	194	188	1,319	748	• 1
Malewadi	17	١,	. 4	0			1,387	1,889	e 15 II	740	,	·	M. 04	89	1.5 7	1,468	1,471	1 6
Jafarabad	ДA	1	L -4	Đ			1,000	980	0 14 6	rds		***	M. 2	8	180	* 1,082	963	0 k
Navegacz	IV.	١,		0			. 8,268	3,863	0 11 8	à 140	**	ų.	M. 42	85	1,611	8,305	2,488	91
Buregach	T٧	1	1 4	0			2,561	1,700	0 13 2							2,562	1,790	0 1
Padhegnon Khurd .	rv) :	1 4	0	- mail		686	893	0 9 10	***	A4.		М. 8	10	.1 4 0	644	402	0 10
Nategaon	Į٧	1		ø			1,159	1,090	0 15 2				м. 97	127	276	1,946	1,223	9 14
Dhotre	₩.	(i					2,666	8,224	0 18 4	. 444	,	3774	M. 159	177	1 1 10	2,815	2,391	0 11
Khopidi	v	1 :	., 1 9		,	-	844	678	0 12 9				м. 79	52	180	914	750	0 11
Tilavani.	((1,271	970	0 13 3	-44	ш,	,	м. 72	7 1	0 15 9	2,348	3,041	0 1
Strangage Tilevani.	7	1					1,100	890	0 12 4				M. 50	- 46	0 14 9	1,219	945	0 1
Kasti	v	1					1,947	919	0 11 9		,		M. 126,	. 183	115	1,872	1,056	8 11
Godhegaon	٧.	i i			<u> </u>		1,186	1,169	0 15 8			-14	м. 96	119	1 3 5	1,286	1,292	0 31
Ghoyegaon	₹	ļ	1. 2	ē			1,144	971	0 10 9			***	M. 62	26	0 13 9	1,186	807	6 10
	7	1					B28	889	011 7			_	M. 16	20	1 4 0	542	402	0 1
Talegaon Maie	AI.	1	10	-		-	2,131	1,040	0 7 10	, ;e			н. 77	57	9 11 10	2,198	1,097	
	YI.	i	1 D			-	5,072	1,115	0 6 8	,47	-	,,,,,	M. 80	65	one	2,762	1,170	0
Ukadgaon	VI.	1		-	1		2,072 847	546	8 10 4				M. 56	56	9 18 7	919	602	0.19
Apegaon	VL	}	l o					, Jan		-3*				1	1			- "
loisd of the Group	•••		.,,			-,-	369,07 5	2,08,760	0 12 11			{	M. 11,800 P. 74	14,952 75 W.C. 59	1 4 2	} 270,949	{2,183,787 ⟨W.C. 56	o 12

Explanation :-- M. = Motasthal, Note,--Persentage above in seitmen M Q-concluded.

1	i		By Revision Survey.														
		Per-		Total,			Garden.			Rice			Dry-srop.	1	ites.	aimum Re	30
Yumilior	Name of Vilage	centage inergase.	Average.	Авчекатепt.	Area.	Arongo,	Assessment.	Area.	Average.	Amesment.	Åres.	Arcrago.	Assessment.	Area.	Garden.	Birto,	Dryeron
9	. 85	84	38	82	81	80	200	28	27	26	25	24	28	25	21	20	19
Ť	Group I—concid.	1	Rs. a. p.	Re.	Acres.	Ba. a. p.	Ru.	Acres.	Re.	28.	Acres.	Rs. u. p.	Rq.	Acres.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a.	#, n. p.
9	Brahmangaon Takii	+201	108	4,145	4,091	138	302	M., 246				1 0 0	8,848	8,846			8 0
8	Taklı	+20.0	1 2 5	1,899	1,650	1 5 7	116	м. 86			***	1 3 8	1,788	1,564	-	***	
ء	Yesgaon	+19'6	0 15 6	1,716	1,775	1 8 10	184	ME, 94			***	0 15 1	1,582	1,661			
	Bhojade	+901	1 4 0	1,995	2,431	1,98	186	H. 116			***	186	2,809	2,285		~	8 0
	Lauki	+18*9	184	1,168	961	181	167	M. 111				1 2 9	296	850		•••	8 0
-	Dahigaon Bodka	+19'6	183	2,609	2,166	1 8 10	231	M. 149	***		***	1 2 10	3,378	2,017		· •••	8 6
	Pohegaou Budrukh	+20'6	0 12 4	801	1,041	1 6 9	105	M. 81			.**	0 11 7	. 698	960		***	8.0
-	Karanji Budrukh	+19'5	0 14 7	8,094	3,401	176	262	M. 177	•••		***	0 14 1	3,682	8,224		м,	8.0
	Bolki	+ 20-7	1 4 8	869	886	162	86	M., 28	α.			1 4 2	838	660	- 1		8 0
	Anchalgaon	+19.8	1 5 10	1,190	872	199	327	M., 141			•••	1 5 1	965	781		***	8 0
	Vogdi	+18.8	0 11 2	844	922	180	76	М. 84		***	144	0 10 7	558	868	**		9 0
	Khirdi Ganesh	+1975	1 2 3	1,598	1,406	164	67	M. 48			***	1 8 0	1,531	1,358	-	***	8 0
	Rampur	+1978	1 2 3	817	717	1 6 5	7	М. Б	***		***	1 2 2	810	712	-	-	8 0
	Nanr	+19.8	1.12	8,229	2,075	111 1	53	M. 49			•••	1 0 11	2,140	2,036	-		8 0
	Undirgaon	+20*4	0 14 2	6,870	6,894	114·1	866	М. 189	,,,		**	0 13 8	5,321	6,205	***	***	8 0
n	Brahmangaon Votal.	+ 29*8	011 3	922	1,819	1,98	282	М. 146		***	***	0 9 4	696	1,173		,	8 0
		+19%	184	1,756	1,459	1 9 5	106	ML: 96	•••			1 8 1	1,651	1,387	-	-	6.0
		+198	1 1 5	1,176	1,089	180	8	M. 8		-	***	1 1 5	1,176	1,080			8 0
- 1	Nayegaon	+304	6 14 B	2,985	3,305	196	67	M. 43	***		-4-	0 14 1	2,968	8,263	70		8 0
j	Suregaon .	+ 22'2	0 19 8	2.186	2,562						 ,	0 13 8	2,186	2,562			8.0
		+25'4	0 12 8	634	Sal.	1 8 9	12	м. 8	,\		***	0 19 5	499	686	٠.,,		8 0
		+16.6	128	1.461	1,246	1 10 1	137	M. 84				191	1,814	1,163	-	694	8 0
	l	+884	1 3 2	3,199	2,815	183	240	M, 1369				119	- 3,949	2,668		-14	8 0
·~ []		+84'4	119	1,016	914	192	110	ML. 70	_		***	1 1 2	906	844			8 0
		+82 3	105	1,377	1,849	1 4 3	91	M. 73				102	1,286	1,271			8.0
- 1	Sirasgasa Tilavani	+34-8	109	1,276	1,219	168	63	M. 60				107	1,811	1,159		U4 .	8 0
	l	+821	1 0 8	1,894	1,379	174	182	M. 125	-		.,.	0.15 7	1,812	1,817			8 0
		+89*7	1 6 8	1,701	1,286	1 10 0	158	M. 98			-	1 6 9	1,549	1,188	40	-	8 0
	_	+82 7	8 14 5	1,071	1,186	1 5 6	40	М. 42		-		0 15 6	1,022	1,144		440	8 0
		+354	101	544	542	111 0	27	M. 16		-	***	0 15 9	817	526			a e
	·	+49'6	0 11 11	1,541	2,198	1 2 3	88	M. 77	<u></u>			0 11 9	1,558	2,191		**	8.0
		+58-6	0 10 8	1,762	2,752	115	87	M. 80				0 10 0	1,678	2,672			# 0
		+68•0	102	921	918	161	87	MC 88		-		0 15 9	834	. 847		•••	6.0
																	
	l					ا ا	10 40-	34 No 1000				0 14 6	9,85,144	259,058		7 ,	_
1	Total of the Group	+134	0 14 11	3.61,820	270,817	1 6 8	16,685	M.11,759	!		***	Are of	2,00,500	904,FF06		4	-

i-Patasthai, W. C. w Water Coss. streespective of the water coss.

H. V. SATHE,

Acting Superintendent, Land Records and Registration, C. D.

18 1 5/225 (5)

INDEX TO THE CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO THE SECONI REVISION SETTLEMENT OF PARNER TALUBA OF THE AHMEDNAGAR DISTRICT.

•	Paras.	Pages.			Paras.	Pages.
Letter No. S-893, dated 22nd May				PPENDICHE-continued.		
Letter No. S-893, dated 22nd May 1916, from J. H. Garrett, Esquire, I. C. S., Assistant Settlement Officer,			Appendi	K.—Selling price of garden		
Western Talukas, Ahmednagar, to				and parasthal lands in Government and		
the Collector of Ahmedragar, sub- mitting proposals for the Second			_	Inam yıllages		88-40
Revision Settlement of Parner Taluka		120	Do.	M.—Leases of dry crops lands		41-48
' 1	98		Do.	M1.—Leases of Garden		44-44
Contents.	•		Do.	N Prices from 1888-89 to	"	22-20
ntroduction	9-8			1915 in the Parner Taluka		44
ieneral Features	4-5	:::	Do.	NPrice, from 1884-85 to		_
limate and Rainfall	6—10 11—16		`	1914 in Junuar Taluka	·	4
rop Experiments	16A 17—18	•••	Do.	N.—Prices from 1892-93 to 1913 14 in Sirur		
rrigated Crops	19-28		_	Taluka		6
Markets and Fairs	24—25 26—27	•	Do.	O1.—Changes in occupied area for 198 villages		}
opulation Water supply and Irrigation	2852		l	in which settlement	1	1
rade and Industries	98—96	:::	_	1584 85		4
live and Dead Stook	87—89 40	•••	Do.	Ol.—Changes in occupied area for 8 Insm		
Value of Land	41-42	•••	ł	viilages in which		
lents Comparative value of land	48-47 48-49			Bettlement was in- troduced in 1884-85 .		
Prices	50-52		Do.	O1.—Changes in occupied area for the Inam	ì	
Vages Revenue History	58 54—56		١.	village of Nighoj in	ļ	Į .
griculturists and non-Agriculturists	57 58		}	which settlement was introduced in		l
roups	5966			. 1885-86		5
lates	67—72 78—74		Do.	O1.—Changes in occupied area for the Inam	ĺ	1
longlusion and Settlement Guarantee.	75		ł	village of Alakuli in which settlyment		
nam Villages	75		l	was introduced in		l
APPENDICES.			Do,	1909-10 Ol.—Changes in occupied		5
ppendix A. Beinfall for the years				area for 6 Inam]
Do. B.—Details of cultivation	•••	2122	Ī	Revision Settlement	` `	
and Crops Do. B1.—Showing area irrigated		2827	l	was introduced in the year 1911-12		, a
from different sources			- Do.	O2Land Revenue Colle-	***	•
of Irrigation and acreage of crops grown			i	stions for 103 villages settled in 1884-85		6
in Government and		[Do.	Q.—Effect of Revision Settlement for Gov-		-
Inam villages during the years 1909-10		1	١ _	erument villages		545
Do. C.—Principal weekly Bazars.		28 29	Do.	Q.—Effect of Revision Settlement for Inam		1
Do. DPopulation according to			g	villages		60-6
Do. E.—Population according to		29	Stateme: percen	tages and in annas per Rupee.		6:
religion and educa-		29	Collector	's Memorandum No. L. N. D. 6, dated 2nd June 1916		
Do. F Agricultural Steek in	••		forwar	ding the above correspondence		
Government Villages . Do. F1.— Do. Inam	***	80		nis remarks ant Commissioner No. S T.—81		636
Villages		81	dates	12th June 1916, forwarding		ļ
Do. G.—Shops and Industries Do. H.—Schools together with	• •••	31	remar	ks to the Co manioner, C. D.		65—76
their everage attend- ance in the year			Lotter . June 1	No. Genl. 878, dated 17th 916, from the Commissioner,		
1914-15		81	O. D.	, to Government submitting		
Do. J.—Sources of water supply for Government villa-		,	propos	his remarks the Settlement		7171
Do. J.— See	•••	82	Governn	tent Resolution, Revenue tment, No. 6729, dated 7th		
villages		83	July	1916, passing orders on the		
Do. K Selling value of dry			Bettler	ment report Appendix Q for Government		73-7
crop inner in Carera 4						
orop tands in Govern- ment villages Do. K.—Selling value of dry-orop	• • • •	8486	vilinge D	8	•	7781

From

J. H. GARRETT, Esq., I. C.S.,

Assistant Settlement Officer,

Western Tálukas, Ahmednagar;

To

THE COLLECTOR, Ahmednagar.

Ahmednagar, 22nd May 1916.

Sir,

1. I have the honour to submit proposals for the Second Revision Settlement of the Parner taluka.

The whole of the preliminary work was done by Mr. N. H. Hey. He unfortunately fell ill and I now submit the proposals based on his notes.

2. There are 104 Government and 13 alienated villages. The former were last settled in the years and under the authority given below:—

Villages.	Year of Settlement.	Authority.	Where printed.
102	1884-85	Government Resolution No. 6846 of 25th August 1884.	Selection No. CLXXVII.
1 (Padli Ale)	1883-84	Government Resolution No. 5579 of 28th July 1883.	Selection No. CCV.
1 .(Ranjangaon)	1875-76 .,.	Not available.	

- 3. Four villages in the south-west, between the Kukadi and Ghod rivers, have been transferred to Sirur táluka; one, Padli Ale, has been received from Sirur on the west, adjoining Alkuti, and two Government and one Inam villages have been transferred to Nagar táluka in the extreme southeast. No other changes have been made since the last settlement. Two villages, Kanhur and Ranjangaon, became wholly khálsa during the lease.
- 4. Parner is bounded on the north by Sangamner and Rahuri talukas, on the east by Nagar, on the south by Shrigonda, on the south-west by Sirur,—the Ghod and Kukadi rivers forming the boundary all the way—, and on the west, north of Kukadi river by Junnar taluka.

The north and north-east of the taluka is filled with a mass of rugged and stony hills running steeply down to the south bank of the Mula. The same chain of hills rises sharply up from its northern bank, and fills the south of Rahuri and Sangamner talukas, the river flowing through in a deep gorge.

South of this a broad chain of hills covers the whole centre of the taluka running east and west. In formation this is originally a single high plateau with rugged cliff-like edges on the north and south, and it retains this character in places notably at and around Kanhur. But elsewhere it has worn and weathered into a straggle of broken hills.

Between this and the northern chain lies the broad dale of Wasunda and the long but narrower valley of Dhotra,—through which runs the Nagar-Junnar road—, opening out into rolling flat country on the east at Bhálavni.

и 851-1

South of the central plateau there is a strip of flattish ground between it and the Kukadi and Ghod rivers on the south-west, but due south there are low rugged hills all along the Shrigonda border and in the south-east an offshoot of the central range runs roughly parallel with the Sina river into the south of Nagar and north-east of Shrigonda tálukas.

The Mula on the northern boundary and the Kukadi and Ghod on the south-western, are the only rivers, the rest are only brooks or little hill streams.

(5. The soil is very varied. The best is found not in the valleys, but on some of the high tablelands or "pathárs", like that of Kanhur. This is a fine purplish black soil, very fertile, and fairly retentive of moisture. It grows the best wheat. There are fairly fertile black or dark brown soils in some of the valleys, and some of the redder soils answer well to tillage; but the true deep black cotton soil is lacking and very little of that crop is grown.

The "mail" lands of the hill slopes and poorer uplands are thin, greyish or reddish soils, coarse and stony, yielding only inferior crops of bajri or jawari.

- 6. Parner has a fairly healthy climate much like that of Nagar. The extremes of heat and cold are not great as a rule, the central plateau particularly being cool in summer, and except in a few hilly villages in the north malaria is nowhere very virulent or widespread.
- 7. There is only one rain gauge, that at Párner itself. Appendix A gives the statistics of rainfall in detail from the year 1905. As will be seen the monsoon kharif and monsoon rabi rains are nearly equal, while the antemonsoon and late rains are scanty but seldom fail altogether.
- 8. As regards the quality of rainfall in the taluka generally I cannot do better than refer to the remarks of Mr. Stewart, the Settlement Commissioner, in paragraph 8 of his review of Colonel Laughton's First Revision Settlement Report, wherewith I heartily agree. But besides the villages of the south and south-east mentioned by him, my own inquiries, coupled with the details of "annewari" suspensions and remissions supplied by the Mamlatdar, lead me to believe that the villages of the extreme east and north-east, bordering on Nagar, also belong to the "famine tract" of very fluctuating and uncertain rainfall.
- 9. Roughly speaking those villages lying on a level with or north of Parner and west of a line drawn from the latter to Dhoki have the best climate. East and south of these the rainfall becomes less certain, till the worst climate is reached in the extreme south and east, bordering on Shrigonda and Nagar.
- 10. The following table gives the figures of Parner and Nagar for 11 years 1905 to 1915:—

)	Period 1905—1915.	,	A. ga.	Monsoon Kharif.	Monsoon Rabi.	Total average of year.
Párner	***	***		•••	9.91	8-22	` 21·10
Nagar	***	***	***	, s inc	10.22	8.73	22:09

Compared with Nagar the total average fall is a little less, but, except in the eastern and southern fringe, its distribution and regularity are better. In Nagar on the whole extremes are greater. In recent famine years Párner has suffered less on the whole than Nagar. The number of rainy days during the monsoon is on an average 7 more in Párner than in Nagar. This shows that the rainfall is more evenly distributed than in Nagar. The statistics without this explanation hardly bear out the conclusion that Nagar is worse off than Párner as regards rainfall. It is hardly necessary to point out that an evenly distributed light rainfall is far better than heavy downpours with long breaks.

- 11. Appendix B gives the area under different crops during the years 1909-10 to 1913-14 with the average and percentages.
- 12. The total occupied area is 265,205 acres. Of this the net area cropped is 243,018 acres and the fallow 22,187 acres. 10,364 acres are twice cropped, making gross area cropped 253,382 acres. The percentage for each crop is calculated on this last figure.
 - 13. The following table summarizes the information in the appendix:-

		Cro	ja.		Area in acres.	Percentage of gross area cropped.
Jawari	•••	•••	•••	 	 65,108	25.69
Bajri	***		• • • •	***	 109,713	43.29
Kharif pulses			• • • •	***	 26,998	10.65
Saffiower	•••	***	***		 12,230	4.82
Tur and Gran	a				 22,576	8.90
Wheat	***	•••	***	***	 10,433	4.11
Sugarcane					 116	0-04
Tobacco	***	•••	4	***	 46	0.01

For comparison with the figures of the First Revision Settlement I give a different table.

14. In this I have added the figures for the 4 villages ceded to Sirur and the two Government villages given to Nagar and deducted those for Padali Ale, transferred from Sirur, so as to get statistics for the same area as was included in the taluka at the time of First Revision Settlement.

Details of area under cultivation as compared with that of the time of the First Revision Settlement.

		1		At First Revi	slon 1834-85.	At present ti	ime 1913-14.
N	ams of Commo	odity.		Area.	Percentage of occupied area.	, Area.	Percentage of occupied area.
					,,		<u> </u>
Occupied area	***	• • •		255,404	100.	283,324	100-
Jawari		•••		75,855	29.7	68,477	24.1
Bajri.	•••			109,568	42.9	116,529	41.1
Wheat	•••	***		10,982	4.3	10,751	3.7
Gram		***		2,554	1.0	3,201	1.1
Safflower-seed	•4•	•••		9,705	3.8	12,230	4.3
Kharif pulses,	. e., Kulthi	. Math.	Tur and	•		, -	
Mug.				32,946	12.8	67,927	289
Unsown	400	•••		13,794	5.4	4,209	1.48

It will be seen that cultivation has extended, the biggest increase being in safflower, gram and the kharif pulses.

- 15. Kharif crops are in the majority forming 66.08 per cent. of the total cultivation. Coarse grains for local consumption greatly predominate. The money making crops are wheat, grain, and safflower. Cotton is only grown in Jamgaon to a trifling extent in one or two villages near it. Sugarane is unimportant. There are still some good orange and lemon gardens left and mango groves form very pleasant camping grounds in a good few villages; but grapes are only grown in one garden at Pimpri-Jalsen.
- 16. It is noticeable that there is no extension of wheat cultivation. This is a sure indication of the general poor quality of the sil.

16A. There are no reported experiments from this taluka. In fact, the Nagar District is very poorly represented throughout owing to the frequent occurrence of abnormal seasons.

Sales of standing crops. A few examples of sales of standing crops have been obtained; these relate to 1914-15:—

Village.		Village. Crop.		Are	۵.	Asse	Re. a. p. Rs. 7 0 0 40		Percentage of assessment on price,	
Daithane Gunjal Bhalavani Jamgaon Bhandgaon Sheri Kohokadi Randhe Chinoholi	**** *** *** ***	Do. Do. Do.		A. 6 7 13 15 13 8 14 1	9. 30 10 24 7 34 3 38 17	7 8 15 8 12				17.5 16.0 13.6 9.4 12.0 6.5 6.8 2.2

The figures are, of course, less accurate than those of crop experiments as the "khoti" price is considerably less than the gross market value of the outturn. It is safe to conclude that the purchaser in these cases has the best of the bargain. In spite of this the percentage of assessment on khoti price is quite low in most cases.

- 17. Appendix B' gives details of irrigated crops. The gross area of irrigated crops averaged 10,762 acres or 4 6 per cent. of the gross cropped area. This percentage is low and indicates that Párner is in a sterile tract.
- 18. The progress of irrigation can be estimated roughly from the increase in wells. Owing to the rocky character of much of the taluka there is not much scope for bagait cultivation but the increase in the number of wells in the 103 villages is from 1,626 at the time of the First Revision to 2,980 at the present time. The increase is therefore over 80 per cent. This increase is most satisfactory. In the adjoining taluka of Nagar which is on the whole less broken than Parner the increase in wells is only 50 per cent.
- 19. The taluka cannot be called well off in this respect as compared with Nagar, and there has been but little improvement during the current settlement. The only new roads made during the lease are, the extension of the metalled road from Parner to Supa as far as Sarola Railway Station and the branch some two miles beyond Parner to Visapur Station.
- 20. On the other hand the Nager-Junner road between Bhalawani and Savargaon has been allowed to fall into such disrepair that in most places it is now no better than a cart-track of the roughest kind.
- 21. This is a great evil as it is along this road that the produce of all the north and middle of the taluka goes to Ahmednagar, and that of several western villages to Junner market. And it is the more disgraceful as a toll is levied on this road by the District Local Board at Takli-Khatgaon, just inside the Nagar boundary.
- 22. The thorough repair of this road is the work most urgently called for in the taluka, and next to it that of the road from Parner to the foot of the Chincholi Ghat and its continuance through Wadjhira to Alkuti and the Junner border.
- 23. The cart-track from Kurund through Nighoj to Alkuti does not so much need making as it runs through fairly flat country all the way and forms a very fair road in dry weather.

Beyond the two above noted the only made roads in the taluka are the 'Nagar-Poona highway, crossing it in the south-east from Supa to Sirur, and the road from Parner to Sangamner through Kanhur, Takli and Wasunda.

There are middling good country roads and tracks nearly everywhere however, and all but two or three villages are more or less easily accessible to carts in the fair weather.

- 24. Appendix C gives the list of markets in the tauka. The figures of sales are as supplied by the Mamlatdar, checked where possible by a rough census of the market made by Mr. Hey on the spot. But they are anything but accurate as sales are not registered nor records kept, and they merely give a rough idea of the relative size of the markets.
- 25. Mr. Hey has seen them all, and none is of any real importance. The big markets whither carthoads of produce go outside the taluka, being Ahmednagar, Junnar, and Sirur always called by the people "Ghodnadi". Of these Nagar is the most important for the disposal of produce. To the local markets come only headloads of grain together with vegetables, fruit and sometimes tobacco, while small purchases are made from the stallholders of cloth and trinkets, sweetmeats, dried fish and the like.

There are no fairs of commercial importance.

Population.

26. Appendix D gives details of population according to occupation.

The following table gives the population at various periods in the villages both Government and inam, now included in the Parner taluka:—

Number of village	ден. Уеат.		Population.	Variation per cent. from previous census.	
117	{	1881 1891 1901 1911	68,548 79,063 72,615 82,342	15·3 · 8·1 13·3	

These figures show satisfactory progress except for a setback after the famines of 1899 and following year. The population is now greater than ever before.

27. The population is 78.3 per cent, dependent on agriculture. This is natural in a taluka like Parner where there are no industries except the minor services required to meet the needs of the agricultural population.

Appendix E gives the numbers of the principal castes. The agricultural castes are Marathas and Kunbis (46,129) and 4,680 Malis. Practically all these are pure agriculturists.

On the whole these classes are careful and thrifty cultivators. But they are excessively conservative and show little of the enterprise of the Kanadi or even the Thakur of the Sinnar and Akola tálukas.

No doubt the continual struggle against a precarious rainfall is a great check on improvements. A cultivator who ventures on a large outlay for improved implements, careful tillage, heavy manuring, embanking, levelling and the like may be caught by one or two bad seasons. The credit of very few farmers can stand this. He must have an immediate and reasonably sure return on his outlay. On the whole caution is perhaps the best policy in the Deccan.

Water-supply and Irrigation.

28. The number of wells and budkis compares with the number at 1st Revision Settlement as below:—

					t First Revision Settlement	In 1912-18.
For irrigation For other purposes In disuse	***	44.5 4.14 4.44	, see ee-	***	1,626 60 788	2,980 196 462

The increase in irrigation wells is striking, so also the reduction in uscless wells.

29. Irrigation wells are found all over the taluka, but Parner and a few villages near it are particularly well supplied, while the villages of the east and north-east are about the worst off in this respect.

The talaka is well supplied with drinking water, there being wells for that purpose in all the villages.

- 30. There were no less than 6,389 acres settled under patasthal rates at the 1st Revision Survey. But subsequent experience showed that, the settlement having been made in a year of specially heavy rainfall following or 3 or 4 other good years, the state of things then found was quite misleading. Many of the pata afterwards failed altogether and remissions had to be repeatedly granted, till, after exhaustive inquiries on the spot by the Subdivisional Officers in 1909 and 1910 a large number were struck off the list and the water rate permanently remitted under Government Resolution No. 4631 of 15th May 1911.
- 31. As Mr. Hey also toured in the taluka in a year of unusually good rainfall, following on another good year, I consider his own observations not safe ground whereon to propose the assessing of any new pats or the re-assessing of any old ones. The present area of assessed patasthal land is 644 acres, with a total water rate of Rs. 1,398-8-0, is found in 26 villages only.
- 32. The general remission of patasthal assessment is a very great boon to the lucky owners. In years of good rainfall like 1914 and 1915 they derive great advantage from the water at very little expense. They are hardly yet convinced that the remission is a permanent concession.
 - 33. Parner is a purely agricultural taluka. There are no industries beyond the usual handicrafts necessary to every rural district.

Even the larger activities connected with the grain and produce markets lie outside the taluka. It is for this reason that the export business is directed more towards Nagar and Sirur than towards the stations of Sarola and Visapur. These stations receive goods but are little used, even by villages which are nearer to these stations than to Nagar.

34. The following table compiled from information supplied by the Exports. Imports. Courtesy of the G. I. P. Railway Company gives the figures of exports and imports at stations near to Parner tailuka:—

Exports in maunds from stations on G. I. P. Railway.

Sarole,					1905.	1910.	1915.
Grain, pulses and	oil-seeds	•••	•••		893	5,037	14,837
Cotton	***	•••			Nil.	5	Nil.
Other articles	***	•••			3,253	785	6,296
			Total	••	4,146	5,827	20,633
-	Visapur.	***************************************			. 1905.	1910.	1915.
	obasalin	•••			1,462	7,356	32,729
Grain, pulses and	OAL-SOCOED						
• •	444	•••	· .	•••	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.
Grain, pulses and Cotton Other articles		•••	٠.		Nil. 6,498	Nil. 6,621	Nil. 8,758

Nagar		1985.	1910.	. 1915.
Grain, pulses and oil-seeds	000	 186,032	236,152	629,320
-Cotton	··· .	 109,424	180,244	136,396
Other articles	***	 141,017	136,621	196,023
	Total	 436,503	553,017	961,739

35. It is of course impossible to make an estimate of how much of these exports come from Párner. Nagar Station is the export centre of several tálukas and parts of the Hyderabad State. The figures are useful however to show that very little use is made of the stations at Visápur and Sárola. The increase of exports in 1915 from these stations is due principally to the good crop. Nagar shared in the increase in a similar manner.

The exports in order of importance are (1) jawari and bajri, (2) pulses, (3) cotton-seed (Nagar Station only), (4) wheat.

36. The class "other articles" consists chiefly of tanning barks, firewood, skins, bones and manufactured goods of wood and wool and metal.

Imports in maunds at stations on G. I. P. Bailway.

		Sárola,			- 1905.	1910,	1915.
Total	0.87	***	•••		3,192	8,657	5,767
		Visápur.			1905.	1910.	1915.
Total			•••	. ***	27,149	7,430	13,199
		Nagar.			1905.	1910,	1916.
Total		•••	•••		654,894	901,535	782,426

The figures of imports fluctuate greatly according to the season. Thus in 1905 nearly 20,000 maunds of grain were imported at Visapur, largely no doubt for the Deccan Convict Gang.

37. Appendix F gives the statistics of live and dead stock at First
Revision Settlement (1885) and at intervals since up
to the cattle census taken by Mr. Hey in 1915.

There was a steady increase up to 1895, but then the big famine of 1899-1900 and the lean years following caused a setback

- 38. It is the general opinion that the effect of the famine cannot be judged from the mere figures. The useless cattle—old oxen, cows, etc.,—were the first to go. The stock which survived was the better sort. In the last two years there has been no sign that cattle are deficient. The total number of male cattle has again reached the high level of 1894-95. In all the more important classes there is an improvement over the pre-revision figures. At the present time there is every reason to believe that cattle are adequate for all purposes.
- 39. There has been also a marked increase in ploughs. Carts show a slight falling off which can only be explained as due to faulty enumeration.

The following table gives the figures at the First Revision Settlement and at the present time:—

				1883-84.	1915.	Difference per cent.
Cattle (male) Cows, she-buffaloes and Carts Ploughs	young	***	••• ••• •••	. 21,697 26,384 1,710 3,537	25,822 36,421 1,417 4,641	+19 01 +38·04 -17·13 +31·21

40. Appendix K gives the sale prices of dry-crop land arranged in three value of Land. periods 1885-86 to 1904-05, 1905-06 to 1909-10, and 1910-11 to 1914-15. These sales were carefully selected by Mr. Hey and consist only of genuine sales of unencumbered lands for cash.

Similar information for patasthal and motasthal bagait is given in Appendix K^1 .

Mr. Hey has prepared the statistics for patasthal and motasthal separately but the distinction cannot be rigidly accepted as patasthal lands are in many cases watered by wells. The pats are to a great extent only the second string.

41. The following tables give an abstract showing villages where sale prices are unusually high and unusually low:—

I.—Villages where averag price of jirait land exceeds times the survey assessme	00 Thinge	s where average sale price of bagait land eds 150 times the survey assessment.
Pimpri Jalsen Vadjhira Garkhind Darodi Padali Darya Hatalkhind Esdare Vadgaon Darya Kasare Savargaon Tikhol Akkalwadi Pimpalgaon Turuk Vadgaon Gund Panoli II.—Villages where avera price of jirati land is less it times the survey assessi	sale	nfles are so few and relate to s parcels of land that the statistics be relied on.
Shirapur Renavdi Dhoki Dhotre Khurd Sirsule Supe Vaghunde Khurd Vaghunde Budruk Ralegan Therpal Gadilgaon Malkup Bhalavni Raitale Baburdi Bhoyre Gangarde Kedus Kurund Kohokadi Mhase Khurd	See note	above.

These lists are not relied on for the grouping of individual villages but, taken as a whole, support the proposed grouping. In the first list there is a marked preponderance of villages proposed to be put in the new Group I while in the second list all but two villages fall in the new Groups II and III. As far as the sale prices are a true indication the assessment is very moderate.

- 42. The sale statistics obtained by Colonel Laughton at the time of the First Revision Settlement show that the sale price of land was at that time on an average 24.1 multiples of the original survey assessment or 20.1 multiples when corrected to the existing assessment, as against 76.3 multiples in the present settlement period. The figures cannot be relied on for a strict comparison, but it is safe to conclude that most of the apparent increase is real.
- 43. Statistics for rents are given in Appendix M and M¹. The rents taken are cash-rents or rents for a fixed quantity of produce. The usual rents in this taluka are cropshare rents but these are useless for Settlement purposes and have been omitted. The transactions have been rigidly scrutinized and all doubtful cases excluded. The figures in all cases give the total amount paid by the tenant. Hence in cases where the tenant pays assessment, this is added to the rent.
- 44. These statistics are, next to those of prices, the most important guide to the settlement Officer in framing his proposals. Rents are useful both as a guide to grouping and as an indication of the pitch of the assessment. If it be admitted that Government is entitled to 40 per cent. of the rental value, a general increase of even 66 per cent. in the present assessment would not cause any hardship in most parts of the taluka.
- 45. The assessment at present amounts to the very low proportion of 191 per cent. of the rental value. The percentage of assessment to rent in Colonel Laughton's time was 22'4 per cent. on the then existing assessment which is equivalent to about 26'18 per cent. on the existing assessment.

It is of course useless to attempt any exact comparison with the rental figures given by Colonel Laughton as these relate only to registered leases. Such leases are for the most part transactions between sawkar and tenant. Registered leases form a very small part of the present statistics. But it may be taken for granted that such rents are higher than genuine rents. It is therefore a fair conclusion that the real increase in rents is much greater than the apparent increase.

46. The following statement gives the villages in which dry crop rents bear an exceptionally low ratio to the assessment:—

Villages in which rents are less than 20 per cent. of the assessment,

Parner. Kasare. Chincholi. Sawargaon. Karjune Harya. Tikhol. Shirapur. Majre Sheri. Kinhi. Randhe. Babulwade. Padli tarf Ale. Dhoki. Gatewadi. Ralegan Sinde. Diksal. Vadgaon Gund. Majampur. Vaghunde Bk. Vadner Bk. Sultanpur. Valvane. Vadgaon Savtal. Bhoyre Gangarde. Galdipur. Vadegavhan.

This list shows that in spite of an existing higher average rate of assessment the proposed Group I is more strongly represented than the proposed lower groups.

47. The following table shows in a compact form the statistics of sales, and rents:—

Proposed Groups,				(Average m	Rents. (Average percentage of rent represented by assessment).		
				18851904.	1905—1910.	1911—1915.	1885—1915.
1		•••		54 ·38	78.75	93·90	19.7
11		•••		24·11	38.51	51.44	24.08
ш		••••		27:11	40.07	45.68	31.91

This table gives a very clear indication, first, that there has been a striking rise in land values during the lease in all parts of the taluka and, secondly, that the selling and letting values of land strongly corroborate the proposed grouping: the highest group has the highest land values. The formation of the groups is based on entirely independent considerations. These figures therefore are decidedly remarkable.

48. It is now one of the principal objects of revision settlement to secure the relative equality of assessment in neighbouring talukas.

The value of land as ascertained by statistics is perhaps the best guide to ascertain whether assessments worked out independently on other data fit in with those of other talukas.

For the present a comparison with Nagar Táluka is alone possible.

The following table gives comparative figures for the two talukas:-

Comparative value of dry-crop land in Parner and Nagar Tálukas.

Táluka.		Sales in	Rents. (Percentage of assessment represented by rent.)			
		1	18851904.	1905—10.	1911—15.	
						
Párner	•••	•••	36.81	5 3 ·52	68-30	23.17
Nagar	•••	•••	1871	31.35	33.79	30·15

This table strongly confirms the conclusion that Parner Taluka is from the agricultural point of view more prosperous than Nagar. It is somewhat startling to find that a taluka like Nagar which has infinitely greater advantages of markets and communications is less able to bear enhancement of assessment than Parner.

49. Another comparison which is useful to check conclusions about the economic progress of the taluka is made in the following table:—

Sale price of land (dry-crop and bagait) in Parner Taluka in multiples of the existing assessment,

Before first Revision Settlement.						188 <i>5</i> -1904.	1908—1915.
21		***	•••	***		42.4	61:5

The corresponding increase in Nagar Taluka is from 181 multiples to 432 multiples. Parner therefore shows better progress than Nagar,

 Appendix N gives statistics of prices recorded at Parner during Prices.

The crops for which price statistics are available cover no less than 95.9 per cent. of the produce of the taluka. But the prices of jowari and bajri are far more important than any others. Wheat is important only in villages of the Kanhur plateau. Gram is negligible.

Jowari and bajri fluctuate in much greater measure than either wheat or gram. And what is more important jowari and bajri depend much more on local conditions. A high price of these grains generally means that the local crops are deficient. On the other hand, a local bumper crop of wheat often coincides with high prices. The reason of this is obvious and need not be discussed here.

Owing to the violent fluctuations of bajri and jowari it is easier to get an idea of the trend of prices by taking decennial averages. In taking averages I have included even famine years. This course is sometimes objected to as tending to give abnormal results. It cannot be helped. It is, I think, safer to take the figures as they stand rather than to attempt to get at normal prices.

51. The following abstract gives the course of prices of four principal grains:—

Periodi		Sars per rupee.							
Feriod,		Jowári.	Bájri.	Wheat.	Sefflower-seed.				
1853-541862-63		473	381	29}	403				
1863-64-1872-73	•••}	311	251	10	311				
1873-74—1882-83	***	- 241	234	131	161				
1888-891897	•••;	19‡	161	131	14%				
18981907	•••	17}	151	9\$	141				
1908—1915 (8 years)	•••	14	12}	81/2	101				
Increase per cent. last 8 yes last decade of previous le	ase	73.0	62:7	55.8	60.9				

It may be admitted that the figures are not absolutely exact. Allowing for a reasonable margin of error the rise in prices since the period on which the First Revision Settlement was based is nearly double the 33 per cent. which is the highest permissible increase in assessment. For the purposes of settlement it is enough to establish the fact. The causes of the universal rise in prices need not be discussed here.

52. It might be supposed that prices at Parner would be less than at the important centre Nagar. The average price of jowari during last 8 years was 14 sers at Nagar and 14 sers at Parner. Bajri was 12\frac{1}{2} sers at Nagar and 12\frac{1}{2} sers at Parner. The Nagar cultivator has no advantage therefore over the Parner cultivator in this respect. Similarly the prices at Junnar were 14 and 12\frac{1}{4}, and at Sirur 13\frac{1}{2} and 12\frac{3}{4} for jowari and bajri, respectively. The prices at all four centres on the average are remarkably uniform.

53. It is the general experience in Parner Taluka as in all parts of India that the labourer can obtain a much higher wage than formerly. He does no more work. He is more independent and has not learnt any improved methods. All this is a drawback to the cultivator. It to some extent discounts the rise in money-value of his produce.

But Párner Táluka has a great advantage over a táluka like Nagar where at times labour can hardly be got.

From the inquiries of classers it appears that at the time of the First Revision Settlement daily wages ordinarily ranged from annas 1 to annas 3 per day. Exceptionally wages might rise to annas 4 in a few villages during harvest. The normal rate now is annas 4 per day, rising to annas 6 in times of heavy demand.

The wages of "saldars" or labourers by the year has risen from about Rs. 48 to Rs. 90.

The effect of this rise is of course disadvantageous to the cultivator. But the question in this taluka is not acute and the additional cost of cultivation is more than covered by the additional profits, even though assessment is taken on a 33 per cent. higher basis.

54. The revenue history of the Taluka can be seen from Appendices O's and O's. The former shows changes in area occupied, unoccupied and alienated; the latter shows the course of revenue collection.

The most important part of Appendix O^{ι} is that relating to unoccupied assessed waste.

At the introduction of the First Revision Settlement there was an area of 9,386 acres of cultivable waste. The waste area dropped to 3,792 acres in the next 2 years. This large drop in waste area was due to the taking up of 3,778 acres for cultivation in 1885-86, to the transfer of 2,059 acres to forest and of 2,017 acres of waste land to other talukas in 1886-87. There were other minor causes of increase and decrease. It is clear that the introduction of the First Revision Settlement was well received and had a marked effect in inducing people to take up more land.

The only other point which requires explanation in Appendix O' is the drop of nearly Rs. 8,000 in assessment in 1911-12. This was due to the permanent remission of patasthal cess in a large number of villages.

- 55. Appendix O⁸ shows a somewhat unsatisfactory state of collections beginning from the year 1899-1900. This however is due entirely to bad seasons. In ordinary years recovery is exceedingly easy. It has been found for instance in 1913-14 that the recovery of nearly twice the assessment in one year is by no means difficult. There are at present no arrears outstanding.
- 56. Coercive processes are not usually required. Up to 1908-09 it was usual to issue notices fairly freely. Now it is never done. From 1909-10 the average number of coercive processes of all kinds was only 30 per annum. This is not bad considering that forfeiture of non-economic holdings is now enforced strictly on failure to pay assessment when due.
- 57. During the last few years steps have been taken to ascertain whether there is any tendency for the land to pass into the hands of non-agriculturists. The figures are at present inaccurate because ideas differ as to classification. Some seem to think that Mhars and Kolis who live by field labour are non-agriculturists, others are confused by the classification of economic and non-economic holders and class the non-economic holder as a non-agriculturist although he ekes out his living by field labour.

The figures at present available are as follows:-

		Year.	•		Area held by agriculturists.	Ares hald by non- agriculturists.
1912-13		•••	•••		820,951	41,609
1918-14 1914-15	***	•••	***	:::	321,208 314,238	40,462 47, 538
						•

The proportion of total land held by non-agriculturists is in the latest year 13.1 per cent. of the whole. This is quite satisfactory and is far better than the adjoining Nagar taluka where 25.66 per cent. is held by non-agriculturists. The apparent transfer of 7,000 acres in 1914-15 from agriculturists to non-agriculturists is not easy to explain. In so far as it is not due to wrong classification it probably denotes only temporary mortgages and so-called sales entered into for raising capital.

58. The táluka is by no means difficult to deal with. It is marked by no great variations in soil, physical features or rainfall. In respect of communications and markets no part is very conveniently situated. All the trade centres—Ahmednagar, Sirur and Junnar—lie outside the táluka. It is of very little importance to the cultivator whether he lives 5 or 15 kos from his dealer provided he has a decent cart-road leading there. Párner has several good made roads and in most parts the cart-tracks are passable even in the rains.

The taluka is, of course, liable to famine and since 1399 has had several severe blows. But its present conditions is decidedly happy. Cattle are as numerous as ever they were, carts and ploughs are plentiful, wells have been sunk in large numbers and cultivation has absorbed nearly all the waste land.

The labour problem which is so serious an impediment to agriculture in many places is not acute in Parner. Owing to the increase in population there is a sufficient supply of labour available.

It is quite evident from the high sale price, and more especially, the high letting price of land, that agriculture is very profitable in this taluka.

I show in a later paragraph that the First Revision rates were extremely moderate—there was hardly any increase in assessment. The increase in revenue was due almost entirely to the more careful classification and measurement.

This being so the rise in prices during the lease ought to be followed by a proportionate rise in assessment. But the rise in prices greatly exceeds the 33 per cent, increase which is permissible by rule. The cultivator will therefore be better off, even if the full increase is taken, than he was in 1884.

contains only the village of Kanhur. Ever since the Original Settlement in 1854-55 this village has borne the high rate of Rs. 1-14-0. This was 0-6-0 higher than the rate in surrounding villages at the Original Settlement. At the First Revision Settlement this rate was kept unchanged while the adjoining villages were raised to Rs. 1-10-0. Kanhur is in an excellent wheat growing tract and has good communication with Párner and the railway at Visápur and Sarole. But the first advantage is covered by the classification while many other villages are better off as regards communications. Mr. Stewart, the Settlement Commissioner, in reviewing the proposals for the first revision, wrote: "I would have been tempted to do away with the great distinction between this village and those immediately adjoining, seeing that Kanhur is a bazar village of no special importance; but the village is half alienated and, although it may be easy for the British Government to saorifice a little revenue to symmetry of grouping, it is not to be expected that the alience of a single village can afford to do so".

As Kanhur became wholly khálsa in 1896-97 this consideration no longer holds. There is no justification on Survey principles for rating Kanhur more highly than neighbouring villages.

60. Mr. Hey's proposals follow in their general outlines the present grouping. The new Group I follows the old Groups I and II with changes on the horders only. There is reason to believe that this tract, especially towards the west, has a better rainfall than the eastern and southern parts of the taluka. It may be argued that under the lenient system of suspensions and remissions now in force, less weight need be given to climate. But I do not think so. The remission of assessment which may amount to less than 5 per cent. of the value of a normal

erop hardly affects the economic situation of a cultivator. It is no compensation for the loss of his crop. The character of the rainfall must therefore remain the basis of grouping.

The tract is traversed by a good metalled road through Vasunde, Kanhur and Parner whence there is easy access to the markets of Sirur, Nagar and to the railway at Sarola and Visapur. The plateau round Kanhur has always been regarded as the most prosperous part of the taluka.

Some villages in this group, such as Karegaon, Akkalwadi, Pimpalgaon Rotha, Nandur Pathar and Kasare, are in very broken country with poor communications. But there is no indication either from rents, sales or otherwise that they should be given any concession. The hills no doubt contribute to a better rainfall.

- 61. Mr. Hey considers that eight villages on the eastern part of the existing Group I are worse off than the rest of the group as regards climate. These villages (Dhoki to Jamgaon) are approaching the precarious tract to which the western half of Nagar Taluka belongs. The average sale-price of land in these 8 villages is 33'4 multiples of the assessment as against 74.5 for the proposed Group I. The assessment averages 22'4 per cent. of the rent in these villages as against 19'7 per cent. for Group I. The contrast is not very marked but there is sufficient corroboration of the view that these 8 villages are less prosperous than the rest and deserve some concession.
- 62. One village, Vadgaon Savtal, at present forming part of Group III, seems to be more appropriately included in the same group as Dhoki. It can easily bear the rise of 7 annas in the rupee. I accordingly place it in Group II.
- 63. Mr. Hey continues this Group II round the southern edge of Group I. This formation is based on climate grounds rather than on other considerations. Naturally the proposed Group II owing to its shape merges imperceptibly into Group I on one side and Group III on the other. It is really a transition group formed in order to avoid an unnecessarily steep drop in rates. There is a well marked distinction between the central villages of the taluka and those on the southern and eastern boundary. The formation of this transition group is the most obvious way to smooth out the difference.
- 64. On the north there is no transition group between the proposed Group I and Group III. The villages on the north probably enjoy a better rainfall than most parts of the taluka but they are at a great disadvantage owing to the poor communications and distance from markets. Mr. Laughton in paragraph 41 of his report gives an unfavourable description of these villages. "These are all hilly villages with a poor population, and scarcely any facilities in the shape of roads and markets—they are situated above the plain on an arid plateau with very little good soil and great difficulty in obtaining water during the hot season while those adjacent to them in the valleys below are favoured in every way both as regards roads and water." The same considerations exist at present and justify the grouping.

The inclusion of the eastern and southern villages in the lowest group is purely due to the deficient rainfall which is usual in this tract.

65. There are two villages which require special mention.

Padli-Ale was transferred from the Sirur táluka in 1886. It is at present assessed at Rs. 1-8-0 as against Rs. 1-10-0 in the adjoining Párner villages. There is no reason to maintain the distinction. I accordingly propose to place it in Group I.

Ranjangaon was formerly alienated. It lapsed to Government in 1914-15. The present maximum rate is Re. 1-0-0. The original authority for the settlement could not be traced. The Settlement was introduced in 1875 and was not revised with the rest of the taluka. There is nothing to justify the continuance of the exceptional treatment of this village. It has enjoyed an undeserved advantage for 40 years and must now put up with an exceptionally heavy increase in order to rectify the past error.

- 66. In conclusion, I may remark that the differences between the three Groups are not very striking. Had this been an Original Settlement it would have been tempting to make a single group of the whole taluka. But this could not now be done without an undue sacrifice of revenue. The division into 3 groups no doubt rather verges on hair splitting as the Survey settlement cannot be reduced to mathematical accuracy. But it is, I think, fair to weigh the respective advantages of rainfall, communications and markets, and to make slight differentiation in maximum rates accordingly.
- 67. There is one village, Supe, which geographically falls in Group III rather than Group II. But it is an exceptionally prosperous village, most favourably situated at the junction of main roads. Its sales and rents support the proposal to place it in Group II.

Maximum rates.

68. The rates at present in force are as follows:—

	TOTTOWB		·			
*.	Group.		No. of villages.	Máximum rate.		
I II III IV Ungrouped	•••	501 4 201 4 4 4 4 8	1 46 48 8	Rs. a. p. 1 14 0 1 10 0 1 8 0 1 6 0 1 0 0		

The information of these rates produced an increase of 19.8 per cent. in the assessment over the Original Settlement. This increase was extremely moderate in view of the fact that prices of produce had risen over 200 per cent. during the thirty years' lease. The real increase was moreover less than what appears. The original measurement was, as Mr. Laughton remarked, found to be exceedingly faulty. The occupied cultivable area was found to measure 255,404 acres as against recorded 227,956 acres; that is to say, the occupied area was found to be 12.0 per cent. greater than the recorded measurements. This important difference is due almost entirely to the more careful classification of "pot kharab." Mr. Stewart writes: "The country is of that broken nature in which oultivation is usually sparse, and in which under the old system lands out of cultivation were examined in a very perfunctory manner to ascertain their possibilities of profitable cultivation. Waste areas surrounding a piece of cultivated land were included with that piece in a Survey number, usually of large extent, under the head of Pot Kharab, whereas the careful examination of the present survey shows many portions of this so-called "Pot Kharab" to be of real value for cultivation, and already utilised for the purpose without any visible or appreciable improvement." The increase of assessment at the First Revision Settlement was in fact due less to the increase in maximum rate than to the improved methods of measurement and classification. It is only necessary to refer to Appendix M on pages 32, 33 of the First Revision Settlement report to see that alteration in maximum rate had very little to do with the increase in assessment, e.g.:—

· Village.	Percentage change in maximum rate.	Percentage change in assessment.
Kanhur Akalwadi Pimpalgaon Rotha Dhotre Kurd	+ 8·25 + 8·25	+ 23·1 — 1·4 + 54·6 — 9·4

The results throughout are equally surprising.

^{69.} My object in referring to this matter is only to show that there was little real increase in the pitch of assessment at the First Revision Settlement. The increase is due very largely to the correct classification of Pôt Kharab.

But in spite of the very low price of produce during the first lease it appears from paragraph 33 of Mr. Laughton's report that the assessment was recovered without difficulty.

It need hardly be argued that even the imposition of the full permissible increase of 33 per cent. would leave the landowner far better off than he was in 1882-83 and a fortiori in 1851-52.

70. It has been suggested that in spite of the rise in value of produce, the cultivator has no more surplus owing to the difficulty of obtaining labour. This argument deserves consideration; but it hardly applies to the Parner Taluka. The labour problem is acute only in large business centres and on railways. Parner is little affected. Labour can be had at 4 annas a day for the most part. The labourer accepts this rather than leave his village to get 6 or 8 annas in Nagar.

71. The rates proposed are as follows:-

	Group.		Proposed maximum rate,
T II III	*** ***	000 000 400	Rs. a. p. 2 2 0 2 0 0 1 14 0

The effect of these proposals will be as follows:-

Numb	aber of villages. Old Group. , New Group.				Increase per cent.	Increase to nearest \(\frac{1}{2} \) anna in rupee.
1 86 1 10 25 1 22 7		**** *** *** *** *** *** ***	I III III III IV III IV Ungrouped.	1 1 11 11 11 111 111	13·8 80·7 41·6 23·0 33·3 45·4 25·0 36·3 70·3	2 5 6 4 5 7 4 5 1

The total increase in dry crop assessment will be Rs. 31,249 or 30.25 per cent.

72. The increase is quite moderate except in Vadgaon Sávtal where the rise is 45.4 per cent. and in Ranjangaon, where it is 87.5 per cent. In the latter village the permissible limit of 66 per cent. increase is exceeded; but I respectfully submit that the present increase is necessary in order to rectify an old mistake.

In this village the assessment of motasthal is under a maximum rate of Rs. 3. It was the practice at the time of the original survey to impose a high motasthal rate in order to avoid loss of revenue to the inamdar. It is contrary to survey principles to retain this rate. Although the present rate can be paid without difficulty it will be necessary to reduce the maximum rate of bagáit from Rs. 3 to Rs. 1-14-0, i.e., by 37-5 per cent. or 6 annas in the rupee. This will result in the total increase of the village being 70-3 per cent. instead of the full 87-5 per cent. stated above.

73. The patasthal irrigation in this taluka is of a poor description. The amount of water cess imposed at the First Revision Settlement amounted to Rs. 9,156. In 1911 Government in Resolution No. 4631, dated 15th May 1911, abolished water-cess amounting to Rs. 8,129. There remains now water-cess of Rs. 1,027 only, scattered over 25 villages.

All the bandbarás except one at Bhálavni are kaccha. Those which remain are found to hold water in normal years. But none of the pats flow long enough to grow the better class crops such as sugarcane. The patasthal cultivation is not secure as in many villages of Nasik District where the cultivator can safely afford to improve his land by manure, careful embankment and laborious tillage.

I do not consider that any detailed villagewar statement of existing patasthal would serve any useful purpose. The pats were all inspected by Mr. Hey, but in 1915 and 1916 the water-supply was abnormal so that no fair deduction could be made.

74. As for the water-cess to be fixed now I propose no change. Government has adopted a liberal policy in precarious talukas by abolishing water-cess freely. The owners of land on which water cess is remitted get advantage from the water, occasionally at any rate, without any charge. It is therefore not unreasonable to give some concession to those who have a slightly more regular water-supply but have to pay for it.

75. In conclusion, I recommend that the rates as finally sanctioned be guaranteed for a period of 30 years. In some recent Settlement reports shorter periods have been recommended. But I consider that the revision of rates at more frequent periods is undesirable. The system of 30-year settlements is well established in this Presidency. The risk of some loss of revenue was no doubt foreseen when this policy was adopted. But the advantage of liberality towards the cultivating class outweighs the possible loss of revenue. The present system of suspension and remission, and the permanent remission of patasthal assessment in many villages are precedents for generous treatment. It does not seem desirable to be more severe in the direction of settlement leases. I would only suggest that if the introduction of the rates in this taluka does not take place in the same year as in Shevgaon Pathardi, the guarantee may be for the same period as for that taluka.

Párner Inám Villages.

The Inam villages of Parner Taluka are 13 in number.

All have been surveyed and settled except Nighoj. The inamdar refused settlement of this village.

The information about the settlement of each village is as follows:-

Name o	af village.		Existing se		Peri	od of a	settlement.	Authority.	
•				or	From		То		
Narayangavan Loni Mavla	•••	•••	Revision	***	1886-87 1884-85		1913-14		G. R. No. 5062 of 2nd August 1887. G. R. No. 3586 of
Rui	•••		-			.		***	4th May 1885.
Pimpri Gavli	•••	•**	37	***	3>		33	***	33
Mhaena	***		"	•••	**	•••	"		37 33
Mandve Khurd			> >		3>		49		"
Dhavalpuri			,,,	•••	**		1)	•••	"
Palsi			"	•••	N.		>\$	•••	,,
Nighoj	***	•••	. "	•••	1885-86		.9 .1		*
Alkuti	***	•••	Original	•••	1909-10	**-	"	•••	Notification No. 11108A of 7th
Pimpri Pathar	•	•••	ss.	••	1911-12		22	•••	December 1910. Notification No. 4171-E of 29th
Karand	 .		я	•••	1912-18		33	•••	April 1911. Notification No. 6202-A of 4th July 1912.
Javle	***		22		,p		,,,	•••	

It is unnecessary to make a detailed inquiry into the conditions of each village. Scattered as they are over the taluka they differ in no respect from adjoining Government villages.

The rates at present in force are as follows:-

•					Rs.	8.	p.
Karandi	***		***		1	14	0
Narayangavan	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	***	***	•••	1	12	0
Alkuti	• ••	•••	***		1	10	0
Loni Mavla	***	•••	***	060	. 1	10	0
Nighoj	***	•••	***	•••	. 1	10	0
Pimpri Pathar	***	441	***	•••	.1	10	0
Javla		***	*** -		1	8	0
Mhasne ·	***	***	***	> -	. 1	8	0
Mandve Khurd		4" 1		**	. 1	8	0
Pimpri Gavli	•••	***	•••	•••	. ' 1	8	0
Rui	•••	1 914			. 1	8	0
\mathbf{D} havalpuri	***	•••	***	***	. 1	6	0
Palsi -	***	***	***	•••	. 1	6	0

According to the usual practice the inam villages have been rated as far as possible at the same rates as adjoining Government villages.

Karandi adjoins Kanhur which had from the time of the Original Settlement a higher rate than its neighbours. When Karandi was settled it was found necessary to put Karandi in the higher class in order to avoid loss to the inamdar. Kanhur will now fall in Group I and Karandi naturally follows.

The increase will be 13.3 per cent. or annas 2 in the rupee. I may point out that in Karandi there are 58 acres of Government land which are rated at Rs. 1-10-0 and will therefore take an increase of 30.7 per cent. or 5 annas in the rupee.

Narayangavan has at present an exceptional rate of Rs. 1-12-0 although it is surrounded by villages rated at Rs. 1-5-0. The maximum rate of Rs. 1-12-0 was imposed at the Original Settlement in 1878-79 and kept unchanged at the 1st Revision Settlement. Mr. Stewart writes "Narayangavan is an exceptionally good village." In view of these considerations I propose to place Narayangavan in Class II rated at Rs. 2-0-0. The village lies on the border line between Groups II and III. The increase in assessment will be 14-28 per cent. or $2\frac{1}{3}$ annas in the rupee. I do not see any reason to make any special increase in the rate merely because this village has borne a higher rate hitherto.

Next come four vilages, Alkuti, Loni-Mávla, Pimpri Pathar and Nighoj, rated at Rs. 1-10-0. There can be no doubt that the first three will fall in Group I proposed to be rated at Rs. 2-2-0. Nighoj on the other hand would naturally fall into Group II. I propose to adopt this grouping as Nighoj verges on the dry southern strip. Two Government villages Sirsul and Vadgaongund adjoining it on the north have been similarly dealt with.

Javle and Mahasne at present rated at Rs. 1-8-0 will also fall in Group II, taking 33 per cent. increase like surrounding Government villages.

Rui and Pimpri Gavli are at present rated are Rs. 1-8-0 but they are in the dry south-eastern portion of the taluka. They will be included in Group III and take an increase of 25 00 per cent.

The remaining villages Mandve Khurd, Dhavalpuri and Ralsi fall into the lowest group. Mandve Khurd is at present assessed at Rs. 1-8-0, while Palsi is at Rs. 1-6-0. The only object of this distinction was to save the inamdar from loss. There is no longer any reason for the distinction.

The problem of dealing with the patasthal assessment in inam villages in this taluka is extremely difficult. Government has ruled in G. R. No. 11926, dated 11th November 1915, that the strict letter of the law is to be applied in Inam villages. The practice of the Survey Department was to assess every pat even though its flow was only occasional. For the purposes of fixing the maximum water-cess on each pat a certain date was selected as the probable date up to which a pat was expected to flow. Naturally this date was arbitrary. The only basis of the estimate is (1) personal observation during one or two years, (2) records of crops grown, and (3) general repute.

It has been found in very many talukas that personal observation based on one or two inspections is most misleading. For example, an inspection of pats during 1915-16 would give an altogether misleading idea. Pats which have not flowed for ten years are now flowing well. There is ample water everywhere for rabi crops, such as wheat, gram and vegetables. But it cannot be admitted that these are normal conditions.

Crop statistics are misleading because they show the crops sown; they may or may not have matured. It is not even certain whether they received pat water or not. Wheat, and more especially gram, grows well enough without any irrigation. It is also usual to grow the ordinary dry crops, bajri and jowari, in bagait land—irrigating if water is available. The patasthal of eleven inam villages was inspected during the years 1882 and 1883. The rainfall in 1882 was 28 96 inches and in 1883 36 25 in both years above the average. It follows that an inspection under these conditions could only be misleading.

I am now proposing to strike off the patasthal assessment on the so-called bandharas which are put up only on the rare occasions of abnormal water-supply like the present year. This proposal does not, I think, violate the orders of Government referred to above. I am not proposing to strike off assessment on any pat merely because it is precarious.

The detailed proposals based on Mr. Hey's personal inspection are as follows:—

Karandi.—No change is proposed.

Narayangavan.—No change is proposed.

Alkuti.-Retain.

Loni Mavla.—There are 20 petty bandhárás, all kaccha. Only eight flowed in 1914-15. During 1915-16 they all flowed but this is no indication. It is not possible to make any accurate statement as to which are entirely useless. I feel that the water cess must be retained, as the pats are certainly useful at times.

Nighoj.—There is water cess of Rs. 566-15-0 imposed on 13 bandharas. Of these 11 are not worth calling bundharas and can only be put up in exceptional years. I would therefore propose to retain only the useful pats on the larger streams reducing the proposed water cess. The effect of this will be to reduce water cess from Rs. 566-15-0 to Rs. 403-12-0.

Pimpri Pathar.-No change is proposed.

Javle.—The patasthal assessment was imposed after inspection in 1907-08 and was judicious. Although the pats are not very important I cannot recommend any change as it was found that in 1910—a year of good rainfall—more area was irrigated than has been treated as patasthal.

Eui.—The water-supply in this village is fair. I propose no change.

Dhapalpuri.—There are five assessed pats in this village but they are now non-existent. Even in 1915-16 no water was taken. I therefore propose to remit the water cess entirely.

Palshi.—The remarks against Dhawalpuri apply to this village. I propose entire abolition of patasthal.

I consider that none of the patasthal in these villages can bear enhanced water-cess. All bandharas are kaccha.

Where there is a really reliable flow, as in many villages of the Násik District, I consider that enhancement of water-cess should follow pari passu with enhancement of dry-erop rate. But in the case of villages in a precarious tract like Párner—and more particularly in inám villages—I consider that any enhancement would be an undue burden on the rayat.

In conclusion, I recommend that the rates in inam villages be guaranteed for a period to end at the same date as in Government villages.

J. H. GARRETT,
Assistant Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX A.

Statement showing Rainfall recorded at Parner, district Ahmednagar, for the years 1905—1916.

Yes	Year.	Early (1st Jan 10th A	nary to	Ante Mo (11th A 4th Ju	pril to	Mousoon (5th Ju 14th Au	ine to	Monson (15th Au 21st Oct	gust to	Late 1 (22nd Oc 31st Dec	tuher to	Tota	d.	Remarks
2011		Fall.	Rainy days.	Fall,	Rainy days.	Fall.	Rainy days.	Fall	Rainy days.	Fall,	Rainy days.	Fall	Rainy days,	
	_	Inches,	No.	Inches.	No.	Inches.	No.	Inches,	No,	Inches,	No.	Inches,	No.	
1005	•"			0.58	1	4-94	15	4 14	11	0.27	1	9.63	28	i
1906	-	1*28	1	80-0		7.03	18	33.77	23	0.18	1	20*20	42	1
1907		C*76		1.88	8	1284	28	2.88	11	1'36	1	19 89	44	g
2908				44	***	5786	14	8-24	17	0.62	3	14-41	* R4	Ē
1999		·		4.48	7	5-96	20	7*85	16			18:29	48	
1910				0.42	1	18-12	20	17-85	28	2150	8	84-19	50	8
1911		0.59	1	8.75	8	11-30	19	1.80	5	1'80	4	19:46	89	됩
1912		1 80.		1.76	1,	5.80	15	643	10	2.42	8	16 41	29	Fide remarks on the reverses.
1913				1.83	4	18-15	22	2*86	9	0*48	1	18*25	36	- 55
1914		100		1.19	3	10.53	28	18-79	26	0.77	2	31-28	58	-
1015		0.89	8	8.81	5	18:50	23	7-80	16	0.88	. 8	81*18	49	Į.
	1		·											Į
Averag	ا. ه	0 27	1	1.78	2	8-81	20	8*32	35	0.97	3	21 10	40	1

杨温

Remarks with regard to the character of Rainfall Season in Ahmednagar, Párner and Akola Tálnkas of the Nagar District during 1906—1916.

1905.—Rain during the year was much below the average. There was light rain in the aute monsoon period. Monsoon set in early in June but general sowing rain was not received till at the end of the month. Sowing was continued in July except in Parner where the fall was insufficient. The fall in August was insequence except in Akola and the crops began to suffer. The rain in September was again deficient and the break having prolouged to the middle of October, kharif crops almost withered. The fall in the third week of October and in November was carriy and insufficient for general rabi sowing and the seedlings where germinated did not fare wall. December was almost rainless. Sesson on the whole was very poor.

1906.—Except at Akola the total rain of the year was in excess of the average. Ants monsoon rain was practically absent. The usual sowing rain commenced early in June and kharif sowing started everywhere. It was continued and completed in July under favourable rain. The seculing evers in good condition. They were favoured by good rain in August and the crops promised well. It also enabled rain sowing to be undertaken in places. But the rain in September was much below requirements and affected kharif crops. The rain in October was again light and rain sowing was checked. The November fall was partial and slight rain in December helped but little. The season on the whole was fair for kharif and poor for rabi.

1907.—The year's rain was in excess of the average at Parner and Akola; at Nagar it was in defect of the Fairly heavy rain fell in the ante moneous period and it was useful for preparation of land. The moneous began rather late in June. The fall being inadequate kharif sowing commenced only in laces. July rain was favourable for the completion of kharif sowings and the seedings were in good condition. The August fall further helped them. But September rain was scanty and being received only in the last week the standing crops suffered, October opened with very light rain and Kovembea was practically dry. Babi sowing was retarded and the seedlings where germinated wanted more rain. December was rainless. Season on the whole was poor.

1908.—Except at Pérner the rain during the year was slightly in excess of the average. Ante monsoon rain was absent. Regular monsoon opened timely in June but the fall being insufficient tharif sowing was confined to moist places only. In July the fall was light and scattered and general kharif sowing was retarded. August rain was easin deficient and the crops where germinated grew stunted. Good rain in September was beneficial to the surviving kharif crops and gave rabi a good start and large areas were put under these crops. In October rain fell in the last week and was much below requirements. The break was useful for completing the rabi worings. Practically no rain fell in November or December, Rabi crops on light soil suffered. Season on the whole was poor to fair.

rell in November or December. Ashi crops on light soil suffered. Season on the whole was poor to tark.

1909.—The rain during the year exceeded the average except at Parner. The ante monston rain was good and useful ifor proparation of land. The monston set in early in June a flording sufficient moisture for kharif sowings which progressed everywhere. They were completed in July though the fall in this month was below the average, August rain was seasonable and the crops throw well. In Reptember the fall except the average and was very beneficial to the standing crops. Us also induced rabi sowing which was completed in October though the fall in that month was below the requirements. The seedlings were doing well. November was rainless and the December fall was light and partial. Rabi crops required more rain for their proper development. Season on the whole was fairly good for kharif and poor to fair for rabi crops.

good for kharif and poor to fair for rath crops.

1910.—The total fall was much above the average. There was light rain in anto monsoon period. Regular monsoon opened timely in June and kharif sowing was commenced except in Akola where it was retarded owing to excessive moisture. The fall in July was beneficial for completion of kharif sowings and the escellings were thriving. But heavy rain at the end of August and in Reptember proved injurious to these crops turning them yellow in places. Lands were ready for rabi cultivation but sowing was retarded for want of a break. In October rain fell in first and last weeks affecting cotton but was useful for rabi sowings which were pushed on. The rabi crops were favoured in Kovember with useful rain. December was dry. The rabi prospects were light but a severe frost later on in the season prejudicially affected their yield. Season on the whole was middling.

1911.—Bain during the year was sheet of the average. Ante monsoon rain was fairly good. The monsoon set in early in June and the fall being insufficient kharif sowing was confined to moist places. July rain exceeded the arearge and facilitated the retarded tharif sowing. The seedings were favoured by ascell rain in August and were in fair condition. But September rain was far below requirements and affected the crops injuriously and rain was urrently needed to save them and also to starf rain sowing. The fall in October was very light and did not help rain sowing, which were taken in hand only after useful rain at the end of November. There was no rain in December though more was badly wanted for the growth of rabi seedlings. Season on the whole was very poor.

1919.—Except at Akola the total fall was in defect of the average. Aute monsoon rain was light. The monsoon ommenced in the second week of June and the fall heing inadequate kharif sowing was confined to light soil. July rain was general and meeful for the completion of kharif sowings. The fall in August being seanty the seedlings began to suffer, except in Akola where they were fair. September rain did not improve situation as the fall of the mouth was only a few cents and kharif crops further deteriorated. Rabi sowing was also delayed. October rain was "extraordinarily partial"; rabi sowing was started in places but more rain was required to guah them on. Esin in the third week of November enabled further rabi sowing to be undertaken. There was no rain in December though it was badly wanted for rabi crops. The season was fair in Akola and very poor elsewhere.

1913.—Rain during the year was in excess of the average except at Párner. Fairly good rain fell in the ante monsoon period. The monsoon opened timely in June and the fall was enflicient for khard sowings which were in rapid progress everywhere. July rain though below average was neeful for the completion of khard sowings and for helping growth of seedlings. The fall in August though below requirements was general and beneficial to the standing crops. They were further favoured by seasonable rain in September. The rain also induced rabi sowings for which, however, more rain was needed. The fall in October was favourable for rabi sowing and the seedlings were generally in fair condition. There was no rain in November or December though rabi crops required it for proper development. The season on the whole was fairly good.

1914.—The total fall of the year exceeded the average at Parner and Akola and was below it at Ahmednagar. Ante monsoon rain was light and partial. Moneon opened timaly in Jane and the thatif sowing was started everywhere. It was completed in July under favourable rain and the seedlings were in good condition. August rain was upto requirements. The fall in September was almost continuous which threstened damage to the standing crops in parts of Farner and Akola. It however gave a good start for rabs sowing which was completed in October and the standing crops throve well. Novamber rain was beneficial and December was rainless. Bati crops continued to be in good condition. The season on the whole was good.

1915.—The total fall of the year was much over the average. Ante monsoon rain was useful for the preparation of the land. Regular monsoon opened in the third week of June and the fall being beneficial kharif sowing was commenced in the last week. July rain was more than double the average and kharif sowing was continued though retarded in places and transplantation commenced in Akola. Light scattered rain was received in August which was quite inadequate and the standing crops began to wither in parts of Nagar and Parner. Belief bowerer came in September when the full was general considerably above the average and somewhat crossive in places, if received the kharif crops and enabled rabi sowing being started but the latter was retarded owing to subequent continuous rain. It was returned under favourable rain in Cetober and was completed in November. The rabi prospects were good. Some useful rain fell in December and the crops throve well. Season on the whole was good.

APPENDIX B.

APPENDIX Details of Cultivation and Crops in the Government

											Occu	e bua belqu	noccupied :	LTOR.
	,		Nu	mber a	nd area of villa	gen.					Area for which Agricultural statistics			
Táluka,	Years.	Gove	rament.		Aljenated.	Gov	otal of ernment,			Per-		Area occupied.		
		Num-	Area in	Num-	Area in	Num-	Area in	VII- lages.	Area in acres,	ecutage of column 10 on the	Cropped	l (Net).	Fallow.	
		ber.	acres.	ber.	attiren.	ber.	#eroa			grons area.	Irri- gated.	"Not irri- gated.	Fallow.	Total
1	2	8	4	5	6	7		9	10	21	18	18	14	15
			Acres.		Acres.		Acres.		· Acres.		Acres.	Acres,	Acres.	Acres
ć	1904-05	204	369,438	14	97,964	104	868,436	104	£63,438		10,265	283,708	18,707	362,6
1	1905-06	108	868,488	14	97,884	109	869,438	103	868,438		4,846	199,987	58,946	202,0
- 1	1906-07	108	368,488	i4	97,884	103	309,438	108	368,438		6,452	237,53A	18,708	262,6
- 1	1907-08	108	868,433	16	97,885	108	868 483	108	868,483		6,881	229,750	28,100	263,6
- 1	1906-09	108	268,438	16	97,865	108	368,478	103	368,439		6,862	240,447	15,458	262,7
- 1	l													
Ратот.	1909-10	103	868,430	34	98,346	108	868,430	109	369,480	-	6,920	242,676	16,097	285,6
ā j	1910-11	108	868,484	14	98,880	108	869,484	108	368,434	,	11,062	340,178	13,076	284,8
i	1911-12	103	368,439	24	. 100,548	108	,369,489	108	868,429		18,218	216,068	36,208	265,6
1	1919-13	108	68,439	16	100,548	108	368,439	103	3(8,439		8,072	229,599	27,576	265,24
i	1913-16	108	868,439	14	100,548	108	868,439	103	868,439	***	9,669	237,716	17,977	205,2
.]	Averages of: years 1909-10 to 1913-14	103	368,486	14	99,664	108	368,436	708	368,436	100	9,771	289,947	23,167	266,9
_	Percentages of Crops on the gr		aropped (colum	n 53).				<u>'</u>				,	

•				,									Своря—	oon ti muri
			Pu	leos,		Orch	ard and Ga	rden pro	duco.	Drug	s and Na	reotice.		
Táloka.	. Учин	Mug and Udid.	Math and Kalith,	Others,	Total.	Vega- tables.	Green Fødder.	Fruit trees.	Total.	Tobacco	Others.	Total.	Condi- ments and spices.	Sugar-
1	. 2	29	30	81	82	33	84	85	36	87	,89	39	40.	41
	1904-05	198	3,386		8,079	628	. 187	68	781	37		97	356	96
ſ		1 .	17,985	87	36,386	445	165	94	704	18	_	16	828	187
]			25,067	4.	53,708	161	102	73	836	27		87	328	70
- 1	1905-09	1	21,430	123	41,848	265	76	104	443	52		53	818	87
- 1	1908-09		20,972	708	87,414	423	80	109	601	7 39		80	830	90
i							 							
é Ì	1909-10	2,439	24,668	109	51,006	85	81	187	258	- 66		-66	371	40
Párner	1010-11	. 3,598	23,655	310	80,108	20	80	119	227	43	-	42	862	- 80
- 1	1911-12 .	6,809	19,807	95	48,160	36	268	160	464	40		142	. 476	234
, I	1912-13	1,678	22,169	· 108	45,678	78	238	206	501.	26	-	26	432	170
	1918-14	7,062	25,087	109	55,529	60	289	167	946	42	"	45	409	
	years 1909-10 t	5 c 3,686	22,976	136	49 ,674	45	179	154	378	46		46	430	116
	Percentages Crops	1-58	. 9-07	0.02	19-56	9.01	0.07	6.08	0.14	0.03		0-00	0.17	0-06

								•	Crops.				
ere available	B.							Cereals.					
		Ares un	occupied.	•									
Available for		Not avai oultiv	lable for ation.	Total.	Jowari.	Bajri.	Paddy.	Wheat.	Coarse grains.	Others.	Total.	Tar.	Gram.
oultivation.	F	orest,	Others,	10141,						,			
, 16		17	18	19	20	21	32	23	24	25	26	27	28
Acres.		cres.	Acres,	Acres.				•					
8,618		65,079	87,001	105,768	169,658	14,089	. 9	28,137	120	189	207,202	1,991	8,510
8,628		65,074	87,063	105,760	50,768	108,371	14	2,360	466	140	162,116	15,054	366
8,628		65,074	87,06%	105,759	44,743	126,598	17	11,804	1,384	124	184,664	22,029	1,546
8,569		65,076	• 87,107	105,752	64,190	109,884	18	9,(160	769	185	184,006	16,250	1,305
8,544		65,075	87,047	105,666	82,280	102,626	85	6,395	. 822	111	194,609	14,302	1,620
													
8,655		63,040	87,148	102,737	57,594	122,688	82	7,815	1,075	157	189,556	22,022	1,776
8,646.		68,508	87,151	104,100	66,54 <u>1</u>	112,089	44	14,498	986	188	193,220	20,500	8,206
2,148	#	62,136	88,66	102,950	62,187	103,067	88	8,146	950	119	174,503	19,287	1,659
8,404		62,186	88,052	108,192	77,689	101,631	7	9,018	1,075	128	189,548	18,795	2,402
2,390		62,187	88,680 .	108,177	62,581	108,945	84	12,691	2,225	286	196,662	21,530	1,691
2,789		69,390	88,062	, 108,251	68,108	209,718	. 80	10,483	1,258	155	186,697	20,429	2,147
		''			25:69	48-29	0.01	4-15	0*48	90.0	73 68	8.03	0.85

		Oi	l-Seeds.				Ì	Fibres.]	
Begame.	Linssed.	Castor oil seed.	Saffiower seed,	Cthers,	Total,	Dyes.	Cotton,	Others.	Total.	Miscellaneous including roots and tubers and starches,	Gross area ero pped.	Deduct area twice oxopped.	Remainder Net area eropped.
	49	44	45	40	67	48	49	50	51	.59	58	. 64	56
											Acres.	Acres.	Acres.
195	450	:	28,058	207	28,919		114	148	268	704	945,689	1,716	248,978
617	77	1	6,815	970/	7,280		62	667	729	602	205,760	1,428	204,832
1,035	118	1	8,100	490	9,784		1,609	1,054	2,568	2,52	251,645	7,469	248,976
697	23		12,786	465	18,924 .		1,585	698	812,2	376	242,955	6,874	236,581
y 678	136	***	16,418	867	17,904	•••	บ	819	880	507	262,841	5,082	247,809
													
,167	103	سي ا	11,540	460	18,366		548	1,158	1,699	868	256,751	7,155	249,596
,078	4 273	1 1	18,016	594	14,961	-	1,862	1,141	2,508	817	261,819	10,580	251,289
749	465	2	10,818	688	12,717	`,	468	996	1,449	608	286,716	7,484	229,281
748	190	11	13,502	294	14,685			729	720	784	251,968	14,287	287,671
603	*963 *	,	19,965	498	18,617		974	1,178	2,002	558	259,668	12,38\$	247,285
907	263		19,980	507	18,909		666	1,087	1,698	540	263,562	***	-
0.00	.018	-	4-83	0.30	6-40		0.36	0.47	0-87	0-302	100	-	-

APPEND
Details of cultivation and grops in the alienated vaniant

		-			•					of call						
				Number	and area	of villages	•			Area for v	vhish Agric	nituraj statio	rtics are a	niiable.	·	,
Táluka,	Years.	Gove		Aller	asted.	T	otal,	• 1			٨	rya occupie	ı	Ate	a unoces	npled.
		TA OTHER	Area in	Num-	Area in	Num-	Area in	Villages.	Area in Acros.	Percent- age of column 10 on the gross	Cropped (I	fet'. Fallor	. Total.	Avail-	Not ave	ilable vation
		ber-	acres.	ber.	aores,	ber.	Bores.			area.	Irriga. Ir	fot ign-		tion.	Forest	Othe
1	3	3	4	5	8.	. 7	8	9	10	n	12	18 14	18.	18	17	16
	1904-06 1906-06 1906-07 1907-08			14 14 14	97,894 97,884 97,884 97,865	14 24 14	97,814 97,864 97,864 97,865	10 10 10	Acres, 85,907 85,907 85,907 85,908	Per cent.	1,771 4 1,027 4 730 4	ores. Aeros 1,686 19,73 2,602 20,46 5,088 18,41 1,330 22,08	0 65,687 0 64,195 5 64,263	\$,630 4,518 4,874 4,390	Acres 66	17,2
}	1908 09	epared.		16	97,855	14	97,865	10	85,968		1 1	18,06	•	2,066		
Párner	1800-10 1810-11 1911-13 1918-14 Average of 8 years from 1808-10 to 1818-	Soparate Appendix B prepared		74 16 14 16 14 - 14	98,330 103,548 103,548 103,548 103,548 93,864	24 * 16 14 14 14	99,345 98,330 100,543 100,548 100,548 99,684	11 19 16 16 16 16	90,495 91,521 100,548 100,548 100,548 98,780	97-05	2,018 61 8,524 61 2,200 6	1,501 17,09 1,618 16,28 2,790 21,15 7,216 19,04 17,659 17,68	7 70,918 2 78,466 7 78,466 0 78,466	1,708 1,707 1,707 1,707 1,707	66 60 70 70 70 68	18,6 90,8 20,8 20,8
	Percentage of crops on the gross area cropped (column 53)	- 	Į							:. .		•		194	***	
			.		· · ·	·		-;	rope- sar	ıfimusă.	~~~ ~ ~ ~	7	· 1			Şri
		Pulses	BCOM	tinged.	Or	obard and	Garden pro	iuce,	Di	rage and Na	sreotice,			-		·
Tauka,	Усаг _{ве}	Others.	•	Total.	Voge- table.	Green Fodder	Fruit trees.	Total.	Tobase	o. Others	. Total.	Condiment and spic-s.	Sugar	1	earns.	Limes
1	2.	81	-	82	33	84	86	36	, 87	88	83	60	41		42	48
(1904-06	Acres.		Acres 1,263	Acres. 74	T .	Acres,	Acres.	1	0	Acres.		Acr	7 9	88 24	≜cres
	1906-07 1907-08	***		4,469 6,626 5,082 4,387	108 128 117	29	8 11	209 184 150 139	. 1	1 16 13 7 .41	10	2	13 17 19	8 11 8	206 54 61	*******
Parner.	1909-10		 s	5,369	11 7	11	28	62	1-	19	, u	1	1 .	. 0	194 256	4.2.
	1911-13 1912-19 1918-14 Average of 5 years from 1999-10 to 1918-		1	6,470 5,984 6,339 6,458	10 25 16	90 16) 388 5 35 7 19	428 225 111 171		8	11	45 3 7	7 6	48 62 26	909 156 199 21-1	* \#; * *; !
-	from 1908-10 to 1918- 14. Percentage of crope on the gross area cropped (column 53).	0.0	١	. 11-12	0-08	0.13	B 0-25	0-29	0**	38 0·0	0 5-0:	e ora		0.00	0:37	91

Total				of the Ah		-,		G						
Total. Jordel. Jordel. July					Careala			Сгоря,	1	Pir	iless.	·		
Total	.	_	.		1	· 	.]	[T	Yeare.	Tá
Asten. Acres. Ac	irl.	Jowar	ari. Ba	ri. Paddy.	. Whea	de Grans.	Others,	Total.	Tur.	Gram,	Mug snd Udid.	Math and Kulith.	-	
20,570	_ -	20	<u>, </u>	1 23	28	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	. 2	-
20,070 27,000 11,070 701 36 51 40,588 500 113 31 754 100-05 11,183 13,175 100-05 11,183 13,185 1	xs.	Acres.	es. Ac	es. Acres.	Acres	Acres.	Acres.	· Acras,	Acres	Aores.	Agree	Acres.		1-
### 27.644 0.770 \$7,647 \$770 108 \$2 \$7,520 \$2,576 \$6 \$265 \$3,255 \$1000 07 \$11,677 \$13,137 \$13,107 \$713 \$60 \$25 \$35,631 \$1,676 \$66 \$271 \$3,091 \$1007 06 \$3,000 \$17,002 \$23,694 \$6 \$777 \$80 \$11 \$40,636 \$1,657 \$66 \$63 \$2,463 \$1000 07 \$30,600 \$13,680 \$30,600 \$8 \$2,779 \$71 \$8 \$46,700 \$2,843 \$225 \$138 \$34,481 \$1011-12 \$30,600 \$13,680 \$30,600 \$8 \$2,779 \$71 \$8 \$46,700 \$2,843 \$225 \$138 \$34,481 \$1011-12 \$32,092 \$13,113 \$1,277 \$80 \$80 \$1,490 \$3,473 \$460 \$146 \$3,277 \$1211-12 \$32,092 \$13,113 \$1,277 \$80 \$80 \$1,490 \$3,273 \$251 \$304 \$3,271 \$1011-12 \$32,092 \$13,413 \$1,297 \$80 \$80 \$1,490 \$3,293 \$150 \$665 \$4,000 \$1911-14 \$13,470 \$15,613 \$20,001 \$3 \$1,861 \$111 \$44 \$46,033 \$2,004 \$257 \$507 \$3,589 \$4,000 \$1911-14 \$1,000 \$	- 1		- 1		- 1	1 *		l		1	1	I	1904-05	.h
21,67 18,137 21,707 713 60 35 34,621 1,700 60 27 2,809 1207-06 2 20 20 17 2,809 1207-06 2 20,800 17,800 22,804 8 377 80 111 40,656 1,657 66 68 2,482 1208-09 20,800 123,800 20,800 123,800 20,800 8 2,177 71 6 44,700 2,848 220 128 5,482 1208-10 20,800 123,800 20,800 8 2,177 71 6 44,700 2,848 220 128 5,482 1208-10 22,800 16,800 2,8497 3 1,500 68 3 50 44,900 2,848 220 128 5,482 1201-11 22,800 16,801 20,800 4 1,301 208 60 60,811 3,988 815 662 4,000 1212-13 22,002 18,811 20,800 4 1,301 208 60 60,811 3,988 815 662 4,000 1212-13 22,002 18,811 20,001 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 44 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 144 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 144 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 144 48,013 2,004 257 507 5,880 Arran of 5 yr face 10,000 3 1,561 111 144 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 18	- 1					1		!	1	{	1		Į.	-
20,070 17,002 23,094 5 577 80 11 40,686 1,657 66 63 2,082 1908.09 20,000 13,000 31,000 51,015 4 43,0 116 42 46,001 3,160 60 103 3,043 1910-10 23,002 16,000 32,000 5 5,175 71 6 46,000 2,343 220 131 5,483 1910-11 23,002 16,000 39,007 3 1,090 68 55 46,000 9,572 213 304 33,211 1911-13 23,002 13,113 81,113 1,227 60 50 50,501 3,360 315 662 4,000 1915-14 24,000 15,413 20,001 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 227 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 24,000 15,413 20,001 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 227 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 24,000 15,413 20,001 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 227 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 25,000 3,000 3,000 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 227 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 26,000 3,000 3,000 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 207 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 26,000 3,000 3,000 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 207 207 3,889 4,000 1915-14 27,000 3,000 3,000 3 1,681 113 44 46,012 2,004 2,007 2,000 2,00	1					1	1 :		!	l		ļ		
30,008 15,560 20,660 6 2,177 71 6 45,000 2,572 218 394 3,483 1910-11 23,000 15,500 15,500 25,472 218 394 3,237 1911-13 25,000 15,500 25,472 218 394 3,237 1911-13 25,000 15,500 2,178 409 146 3,203 1101-13 25,000 4 1,512 25,000 4 1,512 25,000 4 1,519 200 50 10,541 3,203 110 105 25 25,000 4 1,512 25,000 4 1,519 200 50 10,541 3,203 110 105 25 25,000 1 10,551 20,000 1 2,577 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				1	- 1	í	1	1	ſ					
30,008 15,800 20,600 5 2,179 71 6 45,700 2,845 200 131 5,462 1310-11 21,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 116,000 22,000 4 1,810 200 00 61,800 2,173 400 146 31,000 146 3,800 1101-12 22,000 1 1,641 22,000 4 1,810 200 00 60,001 3,800 310 000 4,600 1301-14 14,000 116,000 1 1,651 20,000 1 3 1,801 111 44 46,018 2,600 2,600 1301-14 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,000 1 14,000 116,	_ -		_ -		-	_		48,001	9.100		100	9.040	1000 10	•
23,002 10,000 20,407 9 1,000 62 35 46,000 3,572 219 500 8,231 1011-12 23,002 15,113 81,113 1,927 80 80 60 65,611 3,508 319 662 4,000 115-14 23,002 10,461 32,000 4 1,866 200 60,611 3,508 319 662 4,000 115-14 21,470 15,613 20.601 8 1,561 111 44 46,013 2,504 257 207 9,390 Average of 5 y from 1909-10 to 1 21,470 15,613 20.601 8 1,561 111 44 46,013 2,504 257 207 9,390 Average of 5 y from 1909-10 to 1 21,470 15,613 20.601 8 70 00 2-67 0-19 0-19 0-19 8F-67 4-91 0-44 0-51 5-96 Percentage of 6 y from 1909-10 to 1 21,470 15,613 20.601				1	1	1 -				l	i		1	P.
22,022 16,621 32,039 4 1,819 208 50 50,611 3,828 819 655 4,060 1012-14 31,470 15,613 20.691 8 1,551 111 44 66,013 2,504 257 307 3,839 Average of 5 yroun 1900-to be 1 14. ***********************************					1	1	1 '	46,905	,	í	l		1 -	
31,470 10,513 20,691 3 1,551 111 44 48,013 2,504 257 297 5,399 200 14. 1300-10 to 1.	,218	19,2	' 1		1		1	'	ł	1	l		į.	-
### \$6188 \$2.86 \$0.00 \$2.67 \$0.19 \$0.08 \$2.67 \$4.31 \$0.44 \$0.51 \$5.65 \$7.50 \$1.60 \$1.50 \$1	- 1				1	1	1 1	(1			1		
Crops—constituted, Pibros.	,018	. 10,0	0,018	1,660,1	1,0	111	"	. 40,010	2,504	201	207	0,000	from 1909-10 to 1918	
Pibres P	8188	\$ 81	181SS	52 :95 0-04	0 2	67 . 0-19	0-08	62 ⁻ 67	4:31	0'44	0.21	8.88	Percentage of erop on the gross are gropped (column 59)).
						Gran	-sontinued							†
Saffi-wer Others Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Total Saffi-wer Saffi-w		· · · · · ·		` 							•			1
astor Saffi-wer seed. Others. Total. Dyes. Cotton. Others. Total. Total. Cotton. Others. Total. Saffi-wer seed. S	,								***************************************					
44 45 46 47 48 40 .50 51 51 52 53 54 85 2 1,671 48 1,737 5 110 145 44,086 897 43,089 1908-06 1,687 75 1,887 224 710 388 46,519 700 45,918 1908-06 2,699 16 2,711 224 47 271 42,886 518 42,175 1907-08 2,699 16 2,711 3 78 75 47,899 752 47,178 1908-06 2,898 85 2,878 60 114 174 122 53,898 505 52,894 1908-10 2,898 113 2,678 101 285 396 205 57,683 1,388 68,314 1911-12 2,894 101 2,742 178 98 271 126 62,047 58,419 1012-13 2,894 101 2,742 118 148 141 389 202 68,076 1,320 66,755 Avenue of X 1 from 1908-10 to 11.	Other	Mower	Others.	1	Dyce.	Cotton.	Others.	Total.			area twice	Remainder net area cropped.	Years,	7
Acres. Ac	1			_ *								•		
8,443 21 8,592 90 88 126 45,596 289 45,307 193-05 1,071 48 1,727 8 110 145 44,088 987 43,099 1906-05 1,817 75 1,687 224 710 888 46,819 700 46,818 1200-07 1,412 11 1,607 224 47 271 42,888 518 42,175 1807-09 2,699 16 2,711 8 78 75 47,999 752 47,178 1908-09 2,699 185 2,077 888 148 541 139 55,706 1,090 84,626 1910-11 2,884 193 3,679 101 365 396 205 57,683 1,388 58,814 1911-128 2,708 61 2,688 91 91 349 61,466 2,047 58,410 1913-13 2,186 113 2,605 178 98 271 196 62,169 1,833 60,627 1013-14 2,804 101 2,742 149 131 389 209 68,076 1,920 66,756 Aremage of 5 3, from 1900-10 to 11.	46	48	46	47	48	49	_50	51	27	58	54	66	3	-
1,671 48 1,737 8 110 145 44,086 987 43,089 1905-06 1,667 78 1,857 224 109 838 46,619 700 45,818 1906-07 1,412 11 1,607 224 47 271 42,686 518 42,176 1907-08 2,699 16 2,711 2 76 75 47,599 752 47,178 1908-09 2,699 16 2,711 3 78 75 47,599 752 47,178 1908-09 3,385 189 3,097 898 148 541 139 55,700 1,050 54,636 1910-11 3,388 189 3,673 101 265 396 296 57,683 1,339 68,214 1911-12 3,708 51 2,688 91 91 246 61,466 2,047. 59,410 1912-13 3,196 112 3,505 178 98 271 195 62,169 1,633 60,27 1913-14 2,804 101 2,742 148 131 289 208 65,076 1,920 56,755 Average of X 1 from 1903-100 to 11.	Aore	cres.	Aores.	Acres.	Ī	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres:	Acres.	Acres.	Acres,		
1,887 75 1,887 224 109 888 46.519 700 45.818 1200-07 1,413 11 1,607 224 47 271 42,688 518 42,175 1207-08 2,589 10 2,711 24 3 78 75 47,590 752 47,178 1208-09 3,385 85 2,676 60 114 174 123 55,896 505 53,894 1209-10 3,388 128 3,673 101 265 396 206 57,683 1,388 68.314 1211-12 3,388 128 3,673 101 265 396 206 57,683 1,388 68.314 1211-12 3,705 51 3,688 91 91 249 61,466 2,047 59,410 1212-13 3,105 118 2,505 178 98 271 125 62,109 1,633 60,27 1213-14 2,304 101 2,742 149 131 389 208 65,076 1,320 66,755 Average of 5 7 cm 1,000 66,750 Average of 5 7 cm 1,000						1				ł :			1	-[]
			1		- 1	- 1				1		[11
2,699 16 2,711 \$ 78 76 47,990 752 47,178 1908-00 3,305 85 2578 60 114 174 123 53,090 505 53,594 1909-10 2,479 125 3,007 393 143 541 139 56,700 1,080 54,636 1910-11 3,358 129 3,675 101 265 396 295 57,682 1,388 58,314 1911-12 3,705 61 2,688 91 91 249 61,466 2,047 59,410 1912-13 3,195 112 2,505 178 96 271 195 62,159 1,633 60,127 1012-14 3,294 101 2,742 148 131 269 203 68,076 1,320 56,756 Arenge of 5 7 from 1909-10 to 1	1		1		- 1	i			**	1			i e	
9,395 85 2578 60 114 174 123 53,399 505 52,594 1900-10 115 149 139 55,000 1,000 54,020 1910-11 116 149 541 139 55,705 1,000 54,020 1910-11 117 250 501 57,683 1,388 56,110 1911-13 118 2,705 61 2,688 91 91 91 249 61,466 2,047 59,410 1913-13 118 2,006 117 95 95 271 195 62,169 1,633 60,627 1913-13 119 2,304 101 2,742 148 131 389 203 68,076 1,320 56,758 Arenge of 5 3 from 1900-10 to 11.	Į.			1	- 1	1		75	•••		752	47,178	1908-09 .	-
\$,589 1.85 \$,907 \$89 1.49 541 139 55,700 1,050 54,620 1910.11 \$,388 139 \$,679 101 \$65 396 206 57,663 1,338 56,314 1911-12 \$,708 51 2,668 91 91 249 61,466 2,047. 59,410 1912-13 \$,106 112 2,605 178 88 271 195 62,169 1,633 60,527 1913-14 \$,204 101 2,742 148 141 289 203 68,076 1,320 56,755 Arenge of 5 7 from 1909-10 to 11.		9,304		9 170		* 80	314	174	139	53.899	508	53,894	1909-10	
9,388 189 1,679 101 265 396 206 57,683 1,389 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 58.314 1911-13 1,399 1,499 1	4		ą.	i		I					1	l	1	
2,196 112 2,005 178 95 271 195 02,159 1,832 00,627 1013-14 2,804 101 2,742 149 141 289 203 08,076 1,920 56,758 Avenge of 5 3 from 1909-10 to 11.	1	2,388	139	1	·	101	36 5			1	1	1	į.	-
1, 3,106 - 118 2,606 178 96 271 196 05.108 1,005 06.076 1,920 56,956 4verage of 5 3 from 1909-10 to 1 1.	+	٠.	* . *		ı			ŧ		1	l .			-
	V.	, I	ν	1				l			ŀ	1	Average of 5 year from 1909-10 to 191	re
on the gross		N 4-13	0 17	6-72		0.38	0'34	0.50	0°95	100:00			Percentage of Gro on the gross are eropped (column 53	ps ea

This is for 14 villages, i.e., includes Rajangson which became kháisa in 1914-15.

APPENDIX Bu.

Showing area (in-acres) irrigated from different sources of irrigation and acreage of crops frown under them in Government and Indm villages in Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar District, during the years 1909-10—1913-14.

				Area irriga	ted from	•		Cropp irrigate	ing of
, Y	ears,	Govern- ment oanals.	Private canals.	Wells.	Tanks,	Other sources.	Total,	Wheat,	Rice
Governme	nt villages.								
909-10	٠		184	6,420	***	500	6,920	8,297	19
1910-11 •			***	6,585	601	4,497	17,082	5,565	15
L911-12 .				12,025		1,188	19,218	8.023	29
1912-13 .	ne Va	1		7,798	***	279	8,072	2,041	1
1918-14 •	•• •:		402	9,252	•••	317	9,569	8,607	4
Augrage .			***	8,415	146	1,856	9,771	8,507	11
Inám	villa ger.	1		i .			•		
1909-10 .			***	1,898	***	***	1,898	264	٠
1910-11 .		,,,	***	1,651	***	862	2,618	944	•
1911-12 .	'**			8,287	640	287	8,524	752	***
1912-13		·	* ***	2,200	***	973	2,200	531	
1918-14 .	**		٠.,	2,866	100.	2	2,868	882	
Average .		, vas	•••	2,279		120	2,899	574	1

			Croppi	ng of irriga	ted area—co	ntinuod.		Deduot	,
Yea.	re,	Other cereals and pulses.	Sugar-	Other food crops.	Non-food crops,	Fodder crops.	Total.	eropped with irriga- tion more than once.	Balance net irrigated area
Governmen	t villages.				·		,		
1909-10		8,018	46	580	579	81	7,558	688 .	6,920
1910-11	***	- 5,489	88	445	714	89	12,355	1,278	11,082
1911-12		• 9,148	224	- 682	970	268	14,587	1,824	13,218
1912-13	***	4,786	170	1,044	608	228	8,878	801	8,072
1918-14	***	5,087	.58	754	758	229	10,487	918	9,569
Average		5,495	116	731	724	179	10,762	991	9,771
· Indm vi	llages.							}	
1909-10 🚥	***	894	9.1	165	181	18	1,481	88	1,898
1910-11	***	751	29	169	183	. 9	2,085	72	2,018
1911-12		2,104	46	643	544	80	4,169	645	8,524
1912-13	***	3,486	62	308	111	165	2,	413	2,200
1918-14	•••	2,005	26	222	165	77	2,881	18	2,868
Average		1,438	84	801	227	70	2,646	246	2,899

APPENDIX C.

Statement showing the villages in which the principal weekly Basars are held in the Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate.

		Days on which	Weekly	Sales.		,
Number.	Villages.	Bazar is held.	Articles.	·	Amount.	Chief articles traded in.
1	2	3	4		5	в
		Ì			Nearly Rs.	
1	Alkuti .	Sunday	Grain	·	50	Jowari, bájri, tur.
2	Jamgaon .	Saturday	Grain	***	810	Jowari, bájri, wheat, pulses and mis-
8.	Supe	Wednesday	Grain		215	cellaneous groceries. Jowari, bajri.
			Cloth		150	Wheat and cloth.
	. 1		To	stal	365	Miscellaneous groceries.
4	ŀ	Sunday	Grain Cloth Vegetables Fruits	otal	, jo 10	Jowári, tájri and sloth.
5	Ranjangaon	Thursday		••	} 50	Jostári, bájri and cloth.
. 6	Kanhur .	Wednesday	. Grain		800	Wheat, bájri and jowari.
7	Javale .	Thursday	Grain		10	Jowari and bajri.
8	Nighoj	Tuesday	Grain		150	Jowári, bájri.

APPENDIX D.

Details of population according to occupation by percentages in the Parner Taluka (as per the Imperial Census of 1911).

•			Agricult	ural popula	tion.			•	Non	sgricul	tural popt	alation,
	Having i	nterest in Is	nd.			Labourers.						Total
Land occu- pants not cultivat- ing.	Land occupants cultivat- ing.	Tenants .and sharers cultivating.	Others.	Total.	Farm Servants.	Field labourers and crop watchers,	Total.	Total agricul- tural- populs- tion-	Crafts.	Un- skilled labour.	Othors.	non- agricul- tural popula- tion.
1	3	8	4	6	6	7	8	'9	10	11	12	13
Population	1,277	54,498	88	55,805		8,696		64,504	10,084	519	7•285	17,938
Percentages .	1.2	66.8		67.7		10.6		78.8	12.8	0.6	8-8	21-7

APPENDIX E.

Details of population according to religion and education in the Parner Taluka

	ν.	Per-	İ					Relig	gion.	7.	Man Land			
· Fex.	As per Imperial				18	lindu Cas	tes.		4_	Musalm	B.B. SOCIA	OL-1-		
*	census of 1911.	able to read or learning.	Brah- mins.	Dhan- gare.	Kunbis.	Mahára.	Malis.	Mará- thas.	Others.	Shaiks.	Gebers.	tians.	Paršis.	Others
Males Females	45 0-0	7·2 0·3	1,171 1,197	1,496 1,500	5,057 5,088	3,277 3,410	2,378 2,307	17,974 18,065	7,123 7,234	919 907	610. 494	1294 255	***	890 856
Total	82,842	8-7	2,368	2,996	10,090	6,587	4,680	86,039	14,867	1,826	1,000	549	#"	1,746

APPENDIX F.

Statement showing the Agricultural stock in Government villages in the Primer Taluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate during the years 1884-85—1915.

- /							Ca	itie.					ļ			١.				Płoyeż
		Por	plough.	breo	or ding.	For of purpos		Milk on	ttle.	Young :	stock.			`		Plou	ghs.	Ca	rts.	18
Number of villages.	Yeur.	Oxen.	He-buffs loes.	Bulls.	Bull Buffaloes.	Охег.	He-buffaloes.	Cows.	She-buffaloes.	Calves, *	Buffalo calvos.	Total.	Horses and ponies.	Shoep.	Gonta	Small.	Large (s. s. of sever 2 cattle.)	Por passengue.	For produce and grods,	Crapped land per pair
1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	19	18	14	15	16	17	, is	19	20	81
108	1881-85		N	ot ava	liable.		ĺ	28,798	3,847	Not a vall	able.	26,648	1,889	47,	760	2,694	3,986	498	1,540	Not av
108	1891-92	23,852	16	181	13	483	39	17,729	3,914	9,473	1,967	58,057	1,280	34,266	19,210	2,582	8,690	591	1,598	10*28
103	1894-95 4.	24,813	14	77	20	940	78	18,755	8,080	13,077	1,512	62,315	626	32,566	18,898	155	4,378	883	1,695	1.1
103	1998-99	900		8		30	í	602	58	410	289	3,057	101	648	955		150	25	80	Not av
103	1901-02	17,843	1	20	8	140	8	9,484	1,181	7,701	717	36,518	888	21,982	21,609		8,200	818	1,670)
109	1905-06	16,152	9	29	6	188	2 01	12,397	1,397	10,153	945	41,246	4 702	29,498	17,106	280	8,339	598	1,724	81-97
103	1909-10	21,008	88	. 28	11	208	15	14,838	1,771	12,421	1,162	63,444	967	47,880	21,863		4,007	800	1,804	28.73
104	1915	25,513	14	23	20	273	22	. 18,958	2,463	13,423	1,588	62,205	978	24,912	21,208	4,6	41	1,4	17	20*09 for 1918

APPENDIX F2.

Statement showing the agricultural stock of the Inam villages in the Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate during the years 1894-95 to 1915.

								CA1	7LE.							İ					of plough
			For p	lough.	bree	or ding.		other coses.	M	iik Lie.	You sta	ung ock.				1	Plot	ighs.	Ca	rts.	Par
	Ygars		Ожев.	He-buffaloes.	Buile.	Bull-buffhloes.	Oxen.	He-buffaloes,	Cowe.	Phe-buffaloss,	Calves.	Buffuloes calves.	Total .	Horses and ponies.	Sheep.	Goste.	Small.	Large (1.6., of cover 2 cattle.	For passengors,	For produce and goods.	Cropped land per
	3 .		8	4	5	8	7	8	9	10	11	19.	13	16	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	1894-95 1898-09		4,282	. 3	4	2	342	18	2,780 Not	884 availab	- 1,711	177	9,658	78	8,167	1,928	29	941	80	163	Not ava
ŀ	1901-02	***	9,329	2,	4	í	84		1,101	, 141	786	97	4,495	110	4,480	2,751		892	67	157	,
1	1905-06		2,441	4	***	***	43	9	1,679	145	1,800	108	5,720	128	7,852	8,194	83	899	81	180	61.55
į	1909-10		8,357	14	4	3	171		2,282	201	2,110	132	8,274	249	14,656	5,360		843	80	227	15 60
-	1915	-	4,167	12	12	10	80	3	8,818	814	2,564	213	10,698	270	5,660	4,928	87	\$ \	<u> </u>	*	86 18 for 1918

APPENDIX G.1

Statement showing shops, industries, etc., in the 117 Government villages of the Parner Taluka, Ahmednagar District.

			Han	d industri	ies.	-		Mechani	cal power	
Shops.	Liquor shops.	Oil presses.	Cotton looms,	Woollen looms,	Bilk looms.	Gins.	Gins.	Rice husk- ing maching.	*Cotton presses.	Grinding mills.
411	. 1	14	67	59		***		-		***

APPENDIX H.

Statement of echools together with their average attendance in the year 1914-15.

8	۴			Local Bo	ard Schools		,	L unicipal	Schools.		Private	Schools.	
of villagor,	1	Во	ye.	Gir	rla.	Boy	79.	Gu	ris.	Bo	ya.	Remarks.	
Mumber		Number of Schools	Average atten- dance.	Number of Schools.	Average atten- dance,	Number of Schools.	Average atten- dance,	Number of Schools	atten-	Number of Schools,	atten-	•	
1	3		8	•	6	6	,	8	8	10	11	12 .	18
108	Párner		21	889	1	74.0		,			16	163	
107	Do.	٠,	43	1,136-9	1	50*2	-				11	189	

The upper line of figures is that of previous settlement,

APPENDIX J.

Statement showing wells, Budkis, Tanks and other sources of water-supply in the 103 Government villages of the Parner Tdluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate for the year 1912-13.

		1		Wel	ls, Budk	s and th	e lika.					Tanks,		
Numbe of Villages	Télnès	irrie	For .	beings	rinking of human but not igation.	other i but irriga drukin	ttle and ng and purposes not for tion of ng supply numan ings.	lin d	isate.	iy	For dyntion.	1 .	or drinki supply o Lusu bei solely.	i "
		Pakka.	Kaoba.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Faller in or in die repair	Othe		Kach	. Pak	ka. Ka	chs.
1	3	8	4	8	8	7	В	9	10	11	19	1.8		14
108*	Párner .	2,491	549	161	21	7	. 7	. 86	00 10	2	-			
			Ta	oke.					Other so	nyoes.				Ē
umber of illages.	Táluka,	washi other p but n irrigat drinking of he	don or	In d	isuso.		or ation.	supply o	inking i human solely.	washing ther post in the contract of the contr	ion or	In di	ense.	
		Pakka.	Kacha.	Fallen in or in dis- repair,	Other causes.	Pakka,	Kacha.	Pakko.	Kacha.	Pakka,	Kacha.	Fallen in or in dis- repair.	Other causes.	Remarks.
1	1,	` 15	16	17	18	19	20	;21	22	28	54	35	26	27
103*	Párner		. 8	2		20	67	488	,	15	. 6	35	15	

[¥]Rantanonon is not included

Abstract.

District.	Details.		indicis and	Tar	ke-	Other	ources.
		Pakks,	Kaoha.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Pakka.	Kacha.
, 1		8	4	8	8	,	8
.hmednagar	;	2,431	549			200	67
	For drinking supply of human beings but not for irrigation	161	21			486	,
	For cattle and washing and other purposes, e.c	7	,	·	8	25	
	In disuse		68			_	50
	Total	2,509	577 + 463 = 1,689		3+2	460	75 + 60: 125
	Total recorded at the priginal settlement			2,6	7\$		=

APPENDIX J.

Statement showing wells, Budkis, Tanks and other sources of water-supply in the Alienated villages of the Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate for the year 1912-13.

					₩e	lle, Budh	is and the	like.				Ta	nks.		
Number of villages.	Táluka.	2	F irrig	or ation.	For dressing supplements to the	beings	washing purpose for irri drinkin	s but not gation or g supply uman	1	disuse.		or ation,	huma	frinki oply o n beli olely.	ŧ ~
			Pakka.	Касіч.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Pakka-	Kacha.	Falle in of in dis repai	Othe		Kacha,	Pakki	Ra	cha.
1	8		3	4	б	6	7	8	9	_ 10	11	12	13	1	16
14*	Pázner		670	91	26	1	3	, ,-	80						•••
	,			T	nks.					Othe	r sources.				<u></u>
Number of village.	Tálcka	•	washi: g purpos for irri drinki: of b	attle and and offe as but no gation or ig supply uman ings.	t In	diause.	F	or ation.	For dr supp human sol	to of	For eath washing a purposes for irriga draking of hu being	nd other but not stion or supply man	In dia	use.	
	•		Pakka.	Kacha	Fallen in or in dis- repair	Causes		Kacha.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Pakka.	Kacha.	Fallen in or in dis- repair.	Other cau	Remarks.
1,1	3		15	16	17	18	19	20	31	92	28	24	25	28	27
14"	Parner			1			2	5	158	2	80		25		•

^{*}This is for 14 villages, i.s., includes Ranjanguon which became Knulsa in 1914-15.

Abstract.

District.	Details.	Wells, B	udkis sud liko.	Tar	ıks.	Other s	onress.
DIRECTION,	,	Pakks.	Kacha.	Pakks.	Kacha.	Pakka.	Kacha,
1	, 3	8	6	6	6	. 7	8
Alum-daagar •••	For irrigation	. 570	91			3	б
	For drinking supply of human being but not for irrigation.	28	1	•••	•••	153	2
·	For cattle and washing and other pur poses, etc.				1	80	
	In distance	• 8	3	=		2	•
	Total .,	- 598	92 +32 = 124		1	185	7+25-32
	Total recorded at the original settlement of θ villages only.				03		

Information for remaining villages not available.

34

APPENDIX K.

Statement showing the selling value of dry crop lands in the villages of the Parner Taluka, Ahmednagar District.

-radd ∀			}	1885-9	3-1904-05.			1905-0	7909-16.			1010-11	-191416	-,
Serial number in A	Name of village.	Group number.	Area of land sold.	Assess- ment.	Sale price.	Number of times the assess- ment re- presonted by the price,	Area of land soid.	Assess- ment.	Sale price.	Number of times the assess- ment re- presented by the price	Area of land sold,	.townersea	Sale price.	Number of times the sames ment in preser ted by the prices,
	Group I.		A. g.	Ra. a. p.	Re. ap.		Acres,	Bs, a. p.	Rs. a. p.		A. g.	Re. a. p.	Rs. a, p.	
1	Kánhur	1	76 0	42 6 0	2,578 0 0	80-85	145	78 0 0	4,811 0 0	61-97	137 0	107 8 0	8,415 0 0	79-27
2	Părner	I	189 0	100 0 0	4,390 0 0	49 8	290	103 8 0	8,908 O O	80.06	199 0	108 0 0	9,584 0 0	NB-04
3	Gánji Bholrá	I	`				60	2000	1,977 0 0	63-95	172 0	43 9 0	. 4,899 0.0	119 62
· •	Pimpri Jalsen	1	82 0	10 0 0	699 0 0	68 09	6	200	850 D 0	178-00	89 0	700	1,300 0 0	185 71
- Б	Chincholi	1	56 0	12 8 0	835 0 0	66:00	20	10 8 0	1,250 0 0	139-04	194 G	88 6 0	2,715 0 0	81.05
6	Vadzīre	I	148 0	42 8 0	2,588 0.0	59-6	115	86 0 0	4,589 0 0	198-91	Da 0	33 8 o	6,122 0 0	188-74
7	Koldare	I			*****		81	23 0 0	1,650 6 6	82-5	· 20) 0	500	400 0 0	83.00
8	Devi Bhoire	I	1 0	0 10 0	\$0.00	82:00	47	16 0 0	1,817 G 0	62-81	9 5 0	29 0 0	1,783 0 0	₽ 916
8	Pábal	1	17 Q	14 0 0	685 0 0	89.31	16	13 8 0	·775 0 0	67:40	10 0	780	to) n q	1 86 6
10	Shirapar	1	85 0	32 0 0	271 0 0	12:31	55	19 9 0	810 0 0	91· 2 93	\$ 3 Q		200 D 0	. 80:00
11	Chombhut	1	68 0	16 B G	431 8 0	1	82	21 0 0	939 O O	44:71	ma		***	-
39	Renavadi	I	30 0	99 B 0	592 60	17-68.	15	13 8 0	525 G G	40.88			******	
3	Kalas	1	-17 0	#80	149 0 0	81-1	59	28 0 0	9,098 9 0	71.48	65 0	88 E O	8,649 0 0	108 08
•	Garkhind	ī	24 0	5 6 0	485 0 0	88 18	38	6.00	1,176 0 0	146-87	11 0	880	# 440 0 0	125-71
6	Majre Sheri	I	47 0	14 0 g	199 0 a	14:91	53	36 0 0	1,500 0 0	41.6	46 0	21 0 0	2,257 0 0	1.07:47
8	Rándhe	I	11 0	500	400 60	80.00	84	42,00	2,962 8 0	70-53	232 0	99 8 6	8,710 0 0	97 48
7	Daredi	I	23 0	600	1,079 0 0	179'8;	15	400	899 6 0	924/75	8 0 0	148 6	8,814 8 0	923 58
8	Babhulvade	1	6 0	880	31000	85.71	45	19 0 0	1,825 0 0	96.05	18 0	900	1,000 0 0	11:1
9	Pádali Daryá	I	6 0	200	859 0 0	175.00	14	6.00	3,500 0 0	416.6	26 0	0 10 0	8000	440.00
נע	Hátál Khiud	1	11 0	100	505 0 0	:	9	200	500 0 0	250 00	2 0	0 12 0	100 0 0	t 1
11	Virioli	I	490	22 0 0	759 0 0	84 46	16	9 0 0	825 0 0	91.6	30 s	17 8 0	1,090 0 0	-82 34
33	Esdare	1	44 0	28 O d	1,675 0 0	72.83	9	800	525 O G	87.5	49 0	1700	2,407 0 0	331-17
23	Vadgáca Darys	1	17 0	400	859 8 0	89 87	. **	21.00	2,700 0 0	128 57	15 0	7 8 0	850 O O	119-3
24	Gárgundi	1.	24 0	12 8 0	1,047 0 0	83:78	8	400	280 8 0	72-6	***	}	******	
25	Bhoudre	I			*****		6	600	800 0 0	50.00	8 0	100	99 0 0	99 00
26	Pimpalgáon Rothá .	I	44 0	48 0 0	409 00	11.60	26	8 6 0	500 0 0	89.88	60 0	2) 0 a	1,8000	
27	Kásáre	I	20 0	500	500 0 0	100.00	18	7 8 0	1,820 0 0	176.00	28 0	11 0 0	1,815 0 0	119 84
28	Nándur Pathár	1	26 0	600	599 9 0	99.75	447	\$5.80	1,520 8 0	59-63 89-76	. 44 0	18 8 0	1850 (10	67 00
29	Sávargáon	I	79 0	14 8 0	1,177 8 0	91.2)	43	8 8 0	754 8 0	71.91	116 0	}		164-84
80	Karjune Haryá	I	329 G	4100	8,108 0 0	ł .	211	10 8 0	5,580 0 0 5,834 0 0	47.07	971 0 448 0	58 0 0 182 0 0	6,956 0 0	131-24
Sì	Vásande,	I	587 0	196 0 0	13,251 6 0	67-80	418		2,088 0 0	50:31	823 0	182 0 0	10,060 4 9	70.18
38 1 38	Takli Dhokeshwar .	1	329 ·U	96 0 0 3 0 0	4,636 9 0 20 0 0	100.00	122 26	800	599 Ø 0	190-8	323 U	. 5 0 0	7,827 0 0 800 0 0	58·60 100 to
	Tikhol	1	20 0 89 0	-18 8 0	1,232 6 0	72-00	1,26	56 0 B	8,581 8 0	120.9	26 0	14.8.0	3,187 9 0	160 00
31 35	Rinhi Pimpalgáon Turnk.	I			1)	16	200	500 0 0	250-03	18 0	580	812 0 0	80.45
36	A'kalvádi	. I	7 0	180	200 0 0	198-8) :	1		^			01% O 0	
86 87	Koregion	1	22 0	700	120 0 0	17:14	,	200	200 0 0	140-8	11 0	4 6 0	300 0 0	66.6
37 88	Pádli Tarf A'le	1	58 O	16 0 0	865 0 0	19:21	166	63.00	8,484 0 0	65-21	65 0	18 0 n	1,878 0 0	93.05
	Total of Group I		8,435 0	846 10 0	46,065 0 0	54-38	2,530	987 8 0	69,140 0 0	78.75	2,884 0	1,459 6 0	99,487 B 0	98'90
	_											0 6 10		
	Average per acre	•••		0 5 a	18 14 8			0 5 11	27 5 8			U B 10	84 7 12	
1	Group II.			- •						1				*
39	Dhoki	11	. 179 0	36 8 0	422 0 0	15.92	159	22.00	500 0 D	25.60	223 0	43 9 0	1,042 0 0	24 51
40	Dhotre Khurd	II			14114		81	2 C C	80 0 0	26-6	·	····		}
41	Dhotre Budruk	Ì	24 0	300	175 O e	58-8	8	0 8 0	100 0 0	200-00	128 0	22 0 0	1,480 0 s	60.09
42	Hivre Korde	n		***	20,000		8	680	299 0 0	64-36			~	
48 -	Garegion	n :			M4-14	- 1	29	14 0 0	1,071 0 0	73-88	****		*****	
44	Dikesl	n	10	G 12 G	75 0 0	100.00]			19 0	8 0 6	8 0 R4	89:97
(<u> </u>	j	<u> </u>		1			1	1	1		- 1		

. 85

AFPENDIX K -- continued.

			1895-66	3190 <u>4-</u> 05			1905-0	6-1909-10.	, •		1910-11-	-1914-15.		
Name of village.	Group number,	Area of land sold.	Assess- ment.	Sale price.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Assess- ment.	Sale price.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Assessment.	Sale price,	Number of times the assess- ment re- presented by the price.	
Group II—contd.		A. g.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. s. p.		Acres.	Re. a. p.	Rs. s. p.		A. g.	Re. ts. p.	Rs. a. p		
Pádali Tarf Kánhur.	11	87 0	18 8 0	615 0 0	45.5	20	11 8 0	60g g G	52-17	10 0	500	800 0 6	60-00	ĺ
Jámgáon	П	21 3 0	182 0 0	8,781 0 0	20.69	107	54 0 0	2,108 8 0	30.04	207 0	116 0 0	3,888 0 €	88 44	Į
Sirmle	п	89 0	56 0 0	1,158 8 0	20-68	23	11 0 0	808 0 0	28.00	64 0	40 0 0	1,432 0 (858	
Vadgion Gund	* II					4	180	249 0 0	166.00	10 0	500	604 0 0	1208	
Loni Kolhyáchi	II	12 0	580	800 00	54-54	108	42 8 0	1,835 0 0	42 94	27 0	11 0 0	544 0 0	49 46	ĺ
Hange	п	25 0	2000	450 0 0	22 5	87	25 0 0	1,176 0 0	4/7:00	25 0	34 0 0	725 0	51-78	
Supe	II	100 0	85 0 O	874 0 0	10 68	97	4000	1,873 0 6	34-88	44 0	18 B 0	760 0 0	56.39	ĺ
Vághunde Khurd	п	7 0	880	60 00	17:16	23	10 0 0	450 0 0	45.00		P11-01	*****	-	ĺ
Vághunde Budruk	II .	- 20 0	14 8 0	299 D 0	20-62	81	19 0 0	699 O O	81:47			*****]]	
Bultánpur	11	'				12	700	800 0 0	42.85	5 Q	800	400 a a	188-3	
Mungsi	11	80 0	85 0 O	1,000 0 0	28 57	14	900	600 0 0	56.6			*****		Į
Vadner Haveli	11					11	800	810' 0 0	56.6	5 0	300	400 0 0	133-9	ĺ
Gatewadi	и	ŀ			:	81	800	820 C C	40.00	24 0	900	1,150 0 0	127-7	1
Ghánegéon	11.	16 0	700	825 0 0	46-42	12	580	884 0 0	69 81	50	3 8 0	650 Ø 0	157-14	ļ
Játegáon ,	ń		,			8	200	100 0 0	50.00	41 0	900	600 0 0	68-6	
Rálegan Bindi	п	67 0	17 8 0	1,267 0 0	72:39	2	0 8 0	25 0 0	50.00	20	080	60 0 0	120 00	
Pánoli	u			*****		7	8.00	-625 O O	1,	53 0	11 0 0	2,338 6 0	212 54	ŀ
Vadule	II	85 0	15 0 0	480 0 0	B2:00	90	63 0 0	2,660 0 0	61-15	59 0	29 0 0	,1,625 0 0	70 65	ļ
Sángvi Suryá	II			******		65	14 0 0	#86 0 0	41.65	189 0	4300	2,839 0 0	54-39	
Pimpelner 4	п	61.0	40 8 0	465 0 0	11.48	48	82 8 0	1,482 0 g	44.08	13 0	.8 8 0	476 0 0	5k 89	
Majampur	II	28 0	800	250 0 0	81.28		/	····		86 / 0	10 0 a	550 0 0	5 5 00	
Hakikatpur	11	62 0	13 0 0	221 0 0	17:28					102 0	26 0 0	1,000 0 0	88:46	
Ralegan Thorpal	II	96 0	8000	814 00	14:08	6	600	99 0 0	16.6			*****		
Gádilgáon	п	52 0	81 0 0	496 0 0	16.0	48	28 8 0	276 0 0	10.18	68 0	25 0 0	7 75 0 0	31.00	
Vadner Budruk	II	90 0	84 6 0	88 00	28 61	80	24 8 0	1,649 8 0	67:33	100 0	49 0 0	2,700 à 0	55 10	
Apdhup	ĬI	25 0	14 9 0	499 00	84 41	2	200	100 0 0	50 00	7 0	500	500 0 0	100.00	
Palwe Khard	ú	15 0	900	100 4 0	61.4	43	24 0 0	880 0 6	36.6			*****		
Palwe Sudruk	n.	39 0	15 o. 0	1,027 0 0	68-46	10	4 8 0	300 0 0	686	12_0	800	160 0 0	50-00	
Vadgáon Bávtal	п	82 Q	880	.40 00	,	827	57 8 O	878 0 0	9.97	427 0	74 0 0	8,012 0 0	40.70	
Total of Grap II	п	1,854 0	613 4 0	14,779 8 0	24 11	1,482	578 8 0	22,280 0 0	88.51	1,639 0	581 0 0	29,915 0 0	51 44	
,					<u>:</u> -			<u>.</u>	 				- -	l
Average per sore	104		0 7 2	10 14 2			0 6 8	15 0 6			0 5 1	16 6 8		
Group III.		١				1			1					
Málkup	ш	5 0	800	90 0 0	80:00		***	*****	-	410	28 O O	650 0 0] []	1
Kilkup	ш	-		(80 4 4		11	500	178 0 0	85.00	10 0	780	560 0 0	78 8	
Bhálavani	m.	0 20		80 0 0	60-00	61	48 0 0	798 0 0	18 44	199 0	123 8 0	2,298 0 0		į
Vadyson Amil	ш	8 0	800	245 0 0	40:83	6	480	50 0 0	11 1	8 0	4 8 0	60 0 0	1 1	
Bhandgion	111	.	- ,	13410		10	10 0 0	200 0 0	20 00	80	3 0 0	90 0 0	1 1	
Barola A'dhái	Ш	***		*****	-	5	200	150 0 0	75-00	18 0	880	276 0 0	88'14	
Daithans Gunjál	ш	. 1 0				12	11 0 0	. 757 0 0	69 81	11 0	900	789 0 0	1	
Bhoyra Pathar	m	59 0	1	-,	•	10	700	800 0 0	1	80 0	22 0 0		i i	į
Bhoyra Khurd	ш		-	101-11		128	33 0 0	1,570 6 0		8) 0	980	476 0 0	\$)00	į
Shabajapur	ш	8 0	, - • •	}	J		***	-	-]]	
Pimpalgáon Kaudá	nt	18 0	8 8 0		!	83	10 0 0	561 0 0	t i	31 0	11 0 0	850 0 0	1 1	
Válavane	HI	140 0	1	99 D (t .	8	100	50 0 0		15 0	700	490 0 0	1 1	ĺ
Ráitále	Цh	7 0	400			7	400	60 0 0	1			****	-	į
Astgton	ш	89 0		784 0 0	ł	31	10 0 0	800 0 0	1		******	***,	-	į
Báburdi	Ш	54 1	29 0 0		1	17	19 8 0	56 8 0 0	26:67	0 39	180	50 0 0	1. 1	
Bhoyel Gangueds	III	26 0	700	150 0 0	21-48			******		10 0	800	86 0 6	3#3	

36

APPENDIX K.—continued.

- Dag					1904-05,			4 1965-	06-1906-10.			1910-11-	-1914-15,		
Serial number in Appendix Q.	Name of village,	Group Number.	Area of land sold.	Авяоке- шепі,	Bale price.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Aures- ment.	Sale price.	Number of times the sesses ment represented by the parce,	Area of	Amontmact,	Sale price,	Number of times, the assessment represented by the price.	Bemerke.
	Group III—contd.		A. g.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. a. p.		A. g	Rs. a. p	'Rs. a. p.]	A. g.	Be. c. p.	Ra. a. p.	,	
90	Kadus	m	45 0	87 0 0	١ ٠	1	16	11.0	500 0	45-48	'				
91.	Pádalı Yevti	m					80	25 8	1,649 0 0	67 32	4 0	800	[1 1	
92	Vadegavhán	111	843 0	151 0 0	4,520 00	29-98	168	.62 0	2,162 0	84 87	810 0	8100	5,881 0 (1 1	
98	Kurund	III	98 0	18 0 0	324 g c	18.	193	70 0	1,031 0 (34-58	95 0	81 0 0	1,290 0 (87-94	
94	Kohokadi	m	104 0	42 0 0	448 0 0	10.6	87	86 0	648 0 (18:00	79 0	50 8 0	1,887 6 (24 49	
95	Mhass Khurd	m	197 0	108 B C	979 0 0	9:46	44	26 0	598 0 0	23-98	j				
96	Gájdipur	III	98 0	806	100 0	12.5	46	80	180 0 0	82.00	225 0	20 0 0	958 0 (42.08	
97	Vankute	ın	8.0	800	50 ac	10.6	69	24 8	827 0 (\$3-75	245 0	80 0 0	8,902 0 (49:77	
98	Desvandi	ш	78 0	80 B C	694 8 0	20.47	26	90	418 0 6	49.55	57 0	34 0 0	915 0	89-18	
99	Pokhari	III	353 (67 D C	8,554 0 (44:8)	708	116 G	8,856 0	77-00	638 O	68 0 6	5,979 0 1	77-52	
100	Palaspur	m	101 0	17 0 t	1,820 0	V7-84	09	16 0	1,634 0	108-98	61 0	13 8 0	1,582 0	194796	
101	Kátalvedhe	ın	116 0	. 20 o c	1,513 0	75 65	61	10 0	0 947 0 0	64.7	59 0	900	900 0	100.00	
102	Bánjangtion	HI	434 0	137 ρ (8,189 8	23.28	460	197 0	4,729 0	24.00	807 0	158 0 0	E,520 0	0 84-98	
	Total of Group, III.		3,221 20	742 0	20,218 0	87-11	2,366	764 0	30,615 8	40-07	2,500 89	766 0 0	84,997 0	0 46.68	
	Average per acre		292	0 5	913			0.5	19 15 (-	0 4 10	18 15 10		
	Grand Total		6,010 30	2,201 14 (81,063 8 6	86-81	6,879	2,280 0	1,23,044 8	68-69	7,218 39	2,408 14 (1,64,399 8	68-30	
	Average per nore	-		0 5 10	18 75			9 5	8 19 2		-	0 8	27 19		

APPENDIX K.

Statement showing the selling value of dry crop lands in idnm villages of Farner Taluka, Ahmednagar L....

				············	<u> </u>									
o'r]	1	885-88190	M-05.]	1905-051	209-10.			1910-111914	-15.	,	
Berial Ma, ta Appendix	Hame of village,	Ares of land sold.	Assess- ment.	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment re- presented by the price.	Area of land sold,	Assest- ment,	Sale price.	Number of times the sesses ment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Assessment.	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment re- presented by the price.	Bemarks
1	3	3	-	6	8	,	8	9	10	11	138	18	14	16
-	 	1		<u> </u>	1	<u>.</u>	 	<u>).</u> 	1					· -
	Group I.	Acres,	Ва. в. р.	Re. a. p.		A, g.	Bs. a. p.	, Вв. а. р.	1 1	Acres.	, Roi a. p.	Rs, a, p.		ł
1	Alkati	21.9	69 0 0	3,061 0 D	Ι.	117 0	52 0 0	1,224 0 0	23 53	,144	84 0 0	2,700 0 0	82-16	
3	Pimpri Pathir	7	880	400 0 0	, ,	0.5	0 4 0	100 0 0	400-00	15	10 0 0	900 0 8	80.00	
8	Karandi	105	78 0 0	8,397 0 0	4	25 0 25 0	17 0 0	900,000	52194	31 50	11 8 0 20 a o	800 6 0	69°86 70°85	
4	Loni Mávalyáchi	28	8 0 0	760 0 G	12.5	20 U		289 4 a	48-16	` 00	30 g 0	2,185 0 0	70.65	,
	Total of Group I	849	159 8 0	4,958 0 0	81.08	165 5	75 4 0	2,513 0 0	386	230	186 a a	6,555 0 0	48-19	+
	Average per Acre	,,,,	0 7 1	18 13 11	349		0 7 8	15 & 8	***	123	0 9 8	±8 8 0		, '
	Group II.											-		
,8	Nighoj	456	209 0 0	8,079 0 0	14-78	865 0	191 0 0	5,575 0 Q	2 9-18	144	66 8 0	8_226 0 O	48-51	
. 6	Javals	879	221 8 0	4,320 0 0	1945	89 O	49 0 0	896 O O	18:20	6).	54 0 0	1,460, 0 0	42-94	
17	Máráyan Gavhán	56	22 0 0	1,081 0 0	50*53	7 0	6 8 0	400 0 0	61-58	51	21 8 0	975 O O	40-69	
8	Mhame			******	Na.		***	*****	,,,`	65	24 0 0	900 0 0	87-5	
							L	 _	<i>-</i>					e.
•	Total of Group II	892	461 9 0	8,460 0 0	18.75	461 0	245 8 8	6,870 0 0	27.97	351	146:00	6,461 0 0	44 25	i i
	Average per acre '	`	081	978	 .	***	` 0 −8.7	14 14 8	k,,,		0 7 7	9020	1	
,	, ,													ŗi
	Group III.								١. ١	ĺ	1			
9	Mändye Khurd	20	18 0 0	. 396 0 0	80.48	79 0	4500	1,674 0 0	87*2	10	600	700 0 0 0	118-6	
io	Palsi '	180	64 0 0	1,060 0 0	16 56	407 0	183 8 0	4,088 0 0	80*43	, 269	181 0 0	7,904 Q Q	60.83	
11	Dhavalpuri 🔐 🔐	12	700	198 0 0	28-28	108 0	227 0 0	607 0 0	27:59	21.9	80.00	1,807 0 0	\$8 51	7
13	Rat	#8	10 0 0	199 0 0	19-9	9 0	8 8 0	ER 0 0	15.7	` 4 1 5	11 0 0	300 -0 0	27.27	
18	Pimpri Gavali	1	100	50 Q p	50-00	••• !		****	-		******	9	***	
	Total of Group III	191	95 0 0	1,008 0 0	20 08	598 0	204 0 0	6,899 0 0	81-86	618	187 0 D	10,211 0 0	54 6	
٠	Average per acro	***	0 7:11	, 9 16 4			0 5 5	10 11 S	414		0 5 9	19 14 5	•••	
,	Grand total	1,442	708 0 0	15,821 0 0	21.7	1,224 6	525 13 0 (526)	15,783 0 0	800	1,064	489 0 0	23,227 0 0	49-53	
	Average per serò		0 7 10	9 13 11		, -	0 6 10	12-14 8	;		070	21 18 8		

APPENDIX K.

Statement showing the celling price of garden and Patasthal land in Government Villages in Parner Taluka of Ahmednagar District.

•										···				<u></u>
P.]		1865-86-	1904-08.			1908-08-	-1909-10.			1910-11~	1014-15.	•
Bertel Ro, in Appointing	Name of village	Group Number.	Area of land sold.	Assess- ment,	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Assess- ment.	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price.	Area of land sold.	Assessment.	Bale-price.	Num of tu the as mout prese by t
1	a , `	8	•	5	5	7	8	0	10	11	ía.	19	14	14
	Group No. L .		A. g.	Rs. s. p.	Řa. a. p.		Ä. 17.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. a. p.		A. g.	Ra. a. p.	Rs. s. p,	
. 1	Kénbur -	1	M 0 5	0 4 9	80 0 0	120·0	M 10	100	200 0 0 200 0 0	290 194-75	M 10	180	775 0 0 810 0 0	\$16 88
1,2	Parner	1	M 17 0	7 0 0	2,875 0 0 2,879 0 0	162-78	P s o	700	680 0 0		P 18 0	11 0 0	3,825 0 p	120
8	Gánji Bhoirá	,	urnt		America	-	l as as o		800 0 0	59-89	[M 4 0	800	800 0 0	160
-	('	.,	ĺ	ĺ.,		·	M 8 0	800	300 6 0	150	M 0 85	100	200 0 0 1,800 0 0	1.800
, 4 , 5	Pimpri Jalsen Chincholi	1	******	-	97415 18414	-			,		M 1 50	100	199 0 0	199
; [Vaduire	1	(M 70	# D 0	300 B 6	TOO	1 M 8 0	100	860 0 C	1	1M 6 0	800	700 Ø C	280
8		Ι.	(P 10	100	800 0 0	806	{P 10	080	100 0° 0	250	₹₽ 8 0	880	1,400 0 0	400
.8 10	Bevi Bhoyare Shirapur	I.	M 1 0	800	\$00 Q Q	300	M 2 0	800	500 0 0	250	6 3 0-11		******	***
žì.	Chombbut	ī	M f o	0 8 0	45 0 0	90-0	# 1 0	100	75 0 c	75.0	ulter	ness n	***	48
13	Kalas	ı	M, X' O	1.80	100 0 0	83.6		·	<u></u>		₹ № 8 0	180	100 0 0	96
1.3		-						1		, ,	(M .1 0	180	200 0 0 200 0 0	183 200
194	Gárkhinđi	1	P 50	180	464 8 0	309·6	M 70	880	649 8 0	8.672	TP 20	100	202 0 0	180
16	Majré Sherî	3	344 54F Ó	20' B Q.	600 0 0	* 89°08	92.00	A 424	405		****	•••••	*****	
₹16	Ranche	1	# g 0	800	698 0 0	231-0)At 8 g	200	860 0 0 249 6 0	880	M 13 0	800	5,500 ° 0 ° 0	819
17	Darodi	i	M 9 0	4 8 0	500 O Q	111.1	M & O	200	749 6 0 800 0 0	63·16	M 13 0	800	600 0 0	1EQ 2000
18	Pádali Darya	1	P 50	8 9 0	900 0 0	160%	* 11 0	11 0 0	2,295 O G	208-68	æ 60	800	3,000 9 0	666
21	Viroli	1	P 8 0	-1 0 D	150 0 0	1,50-0	P 8 0	100	250 0 o	150 >	P 50	3 9 0	900 0 0	957
28	Vadgaon Darya	1	4	البيد.	,		galling.	160	970 C g	- An-	P 2 0	300	900 0 0	196 200
26 27	Pimpelgam Rotha. Kasare	I T	******		*****	\	P R O	100,		970	P 8 0	106	200 0 0	100
3		1	, -				(·miro	100	300 Đ Q	300	ум ов	080	80 0 Q	100-0
28	Nandur Pathar	I	M 8 0	4.0.0	aco o o	. 900	P 8,0	100	150 0 0	150	(P 6,0	880	1,128 0 0	449.3
29	Bavargaon	1	(M 8 0	180	100 0 0	, 65°8	M 26 0	800	100 0 0	12-6	P 8 0	. 100	300 Ø D	900-s
. 80	Karjune Harya	1	tP 10	1 0 0	100 0 8	100%	M 5 0	800	974 6 0	324·8	M 17 0	700	1,728 6 0	247 6
,			1]		****	M 11 0	18 0 0	1.218 D 1	88-68	₹# 8 0	40,0	856 0 0	216-0
. 31	Visunde	1	M. 2 0	8,00	598 0 0	199-8	, ,	-			CM SO	200	405 0 0 400 0 0	282-5
- 62	Tākli Dhokeshwar	1	M 0 3	0 3 -0	"96 o d	702-0	M 20 0	17 6 0	900 0 0 129 8 0	52:94 88:0	P 2 0	200	695 0 0	200°6 912°5
38	Tikhol	ì	P 4 0	600	. 798 0 0	188 0	P io	200	300 D 0	150'0	P 8 0	400	600 0 0	150-9
184	Kinhi	,	M 2 0	100	200 0 0	2000	(m 20	280	460 U 0	184.0	} M 2 0	800	500 D O	165 6
] [-	*	}	1	(P 0 0	0 4 0	85 0 0	140-0			,eco 160	
37 88	Roregion Padali, tari Als	1 1	P 4 0	200	275 Q Q	,	M J o	300	100 0 0	881	H 20	800	880 O O	128.0
, 100			(M 86 7	98 6 0	13,798 8 0	187'59	(M 11s e	102 0 0	18,614 8 0	183-47.	(M 86 81	91 0 0	25,6 0 0	946-44
-	Total of Group No.L	-	128 7	-		,	P 25 25	, pref		-	P 78 28	S BF FTE	, er su	
, ,	Average per sore			011 6	99 1 6	-		0 11 8	97 15 1	-	.equ.	089	i 187 0 5	
,	Group No II.				1			, .			·			4
. 45	Coregion	ır	*		1 200.000	•	P 10	-8 0 0	301, 0 O	190-8	и ои	100	150 0 0	180 0
45	Pádli, tarf Eánhor	f	711-990		,		M 20	200	460 0 0	#30-0			E>,-1	-
	* * , · ,	1	٠.,	•	٠,	1								

89

APPENDIX K. -continued.

		٠							<i>.</i>					*
dis Q	,			1885-86-	-1904-05 _* /			1905-08	- 1909-10.	•		1910-11-	-1914-16.	
Serial No. in Appendix Q	v Name of village.	Group Number.	Area of land sold,	Assess- ment,	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment ropresented by the price.	Area of land sold	Assest-	Sale-price.	Number of times the assessment re- presented by the price.	Area of land sold.	A secontradat.	Sale-price.	Number of times the susero-ment represented by the price,
1	3	8	4	5 *	0	7	8	9	10	11	19	Îs	f4	16 -
	jroup No. II-contd	٠.	A. g.	Rs. s. p.	*Rs. a. p		A, g.	Ва. а. р.	Rs. a. p.		A. g.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. a. p.	
46	Jámgáon	n	M 11 0	18 0 0	957 0 0	73.61	M 11 0	18 0 U	150 0 0	63.76 60.0	}	******	**	
#	Sirente	'n	M 2 0	100	184 O D	184-0	M 10	100	200 0 0	200				·
40	Loni Kolhyachi	11	ME 8 0	8 8 0	1,840 0 0	152.94	¥ ₹ '0	800	¥50 0 0	188-3	3K 10 0	900	1,000 0 0	111 1
50	Hángo	11	M 8 0	460 0 0	158 8 0		M io	1 0 0	299 Ö O	200-6	XI 2 2	200	850 O O	17519
59	Jategion	11	**	**	Witte	 ,,	M S O	100	200 0 0	200	} ·	7,	nem ,	
60	Rálegun Sindi Sangvi Suryá	11	******	***		77.	M 10	1080	100 0 0 99 0 0	2000	14 7 0	180	*****	
68	Pimpalner	11	111111				3	*			M 8 0	180 380	960 0 0	442
64 88	Hakikatpus	11			100.00	***	M 26 0	800	1470 0 0	52-2		3, 8,0	900 0 0	257-14
70	Vedner Budruk	u	*****				M 8 0	800	199 0 0	66.8		******		£
73	Palwe Budrůk		•••	***	******		ik so	800	350 O O	116-6				
ł	Tadadon Conta						(M 10	200	100 0 0	BO-Q	lı		_	{
76	Vadgéon Sávial	Ħ	PH LIN	***			P 10	180	100 0 0	66.6	}	17,190	*****	."
- 1	. •						a_ _						-	1-1-
	Total of Group No. 11.		M 24 0	25 8 0	2,651 0 0	#11·B0	P 6 0	}45 B O	4,217 0 0	80-68	M 23 15	17 0 0	8 ₁ 063 0 'di '	180 17
}	,						- 60 4				·	<u> </u>	2000	
	Average per acre .1	۰		110	119 13 8	t m	******	0 10 11	62 0 2		end 1.70	0 12 4	, 139 9 7	
- 1	Group No. III.				,,									1
75	Milkop	ш	M 10	100	99 0 0	99.0	15 100	,,,,	ent,,,,		817743	34,747	******	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
76	Kálkup	ш	itares	9			*****	,,,					*****	
27	Bhalavani	'nп	M 10	100	90 0 0	90.0	*****	¹			M 10	200	250 0 0	180-0
70	Bhándgton	111					*****	***	*****		MIO	180	300 0 0	138'3
61	Daithane Gunjál	ín	M 20	8 8 0	160 0 0	45 71	14444	- 14		•••	PP-1/1	*****	*****	
84	Shahajāņar	m	200120	48.0			MA 10	100	99 0 0	199-0		****	* ******	`
86-	Pimpulgáon Kowdú	171	M 3 G	200	245 0 0	128.0	******		ai	-	H 3 0	100	800 0 0	2000 0
60	Báburdi	m	*****	***	*****	••·	M 40	300	209 D o	69.6	Aberts	100/44	*****	,
96	Pádali Evti	ш	M 8 0	2.00	100 0 0	50.0	*****		899 0 0	90-81	MAD	100	80 0 0	50. 0
198	Vádegavhán Kurund	m	Já 10	1 8 0	236 0 0	236 00	M 18 0	11 0 0	450 0 0	80.0	M 60	700	, 900 0 0 1,700 0 0	114-28
88	Vankute	111	M 1.0	100	100 0 0	100	M 10	900	300 0 0	400.0	M 8 0	200	275 0 0	65.88 187.5
LOO	Dosvandi	111	M . 9 0	e 0 0	200 0 0	,				,				197.6
101	Pokhari	III		_	gramma.		-1× 10	0 6 0	100 0 0	400.0	M 31 0	800	1,600 0 0	80019
tos	Palaspur	ш		/ *** •	******		M *2 0	0 8 0	150 D O	800.0				~~
LOS	Extalvedhe	ш	M 80	100	100 0 0 800 0 0	Į.	}P 0 0	0 2 0	100 0 0	800-0	PRO	4 8 0	05Q O g	182/5
104	Ranjangion	m	34. 88 0	15 8 0	629. O O	40.61	X 15 0 P 10 0	19 ° 0	396 G G	20-84 8-80	}M 0 0	100	100 0 0	100-0
,	Total of Group No. 111.	-	M 63 0	38 8 8	2,257 Q A	61.88	M 48 0	}79 1B 0	2,902 0 0	86-27	M 89 9	} 50 B O	5,8 3 5 0 0	115-54
	verage per acre			0 9 10	38 4 0	-		1 6 0	50 O 6		.,	0 8 1	50 15 0	*
ì	Grand Total	-	M 169 7	}164 6	17,813 B C	115-28	M 238 0	}220 5 O	20,789 8 0	90-78	M 197 13 P 89 26	}168 8 0	, 81,506 0 0	100-78
•	Average per sere	-		0 11 8	54 6 7	-	******	0 13 1	78 8 10	-		0 8 10	109 12 6	

40

APPENDIX K1.—continued.

3	•			1865-96-	-1904 OS.	,		1905-06	-1909-10.	1		1910-11	1914-15.	— }
Sorial No. in Appendix Q.	Name of village.	Group Number.	Area of land sold.	Assess- mont.	Sale-prigs.	Number of times the assessment represented by the price,	Ares of land sold.	Asrees- ment,	Sale-price.	Number of times the Assessment re- presented by the price.	Area of land solds	Assessment	Sale price.	Numb of the the ness ment a present by ob price
1	*	*	4 9	В	6	*	8	đ	10	ħ	19	13	16	10
	Insm Villages. Group No. I.		A. g.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. a. p.	84-84	A. g.	Ba. a. p.	Ra, a. p.		A, g.	Bs. p.	Re. a. p.	
1	Alkuti 🐆	Į,	P 10	3 6 0	95 0		{ MC 40 0	71.8		1	ж 9 о	25 0 0	1,099 0 0	4
8	Eurandi	1	Wilso		*******	 .	(P 10	40'0	1	\$0.00	} x 2 0	8 8 0	800 0 0	200
	Loui Mavalychi ,	1	*****	**		ļ. "	M 8 0	4 0 0	800 0 0	200-90	M 8 0	800	225 0 0	119
	Total of Group No. 1		M 90 0	}46 B 0	1,598 8	84-261	М. 50 0 Р т о	}84 0 1	8,824 0 (45.53	M 14 0	26 6 0	1,634 0 0	64
	Averageper sore	411	554.144	1 3 7	89 18	.**	******	1 10	76 15 1	3 144	*****	1 16 8	180 4 6	-
.	Group No. II.													
5	Xighoj	п	E io o	10 0 0	1,121 0 1 825 0 1]	}м во	20 6 (1,896 0 (68.09	M 10	780	840 0 0	В
	Javie	п	*****		******		M 5 0	18 0 1		79.07	м 20	100	920 0 D	994
	Total of Group No. 11.		M M 0 P 28 0	}48 0 0	1,446 0 1	\$8.62	м 18 о	88 8 1	2,846 0	70:03	W 4.0	8 8 0	1,560 6 0	388
	Average per sore `	***	****	111	.86 %		1001111	29	180 7			.2 2 0	890 0 0	-
13 8	Gro _{sep} No. III. Mandre Khurd Rul	111 111	40 p. 11.2	***			 M 1 0	8 0	99 0	- 0 83 00	м 70	7 0 0	400 0 0	58
	Total of Group No. 111,	-				,	M I O	.80	99 0	88*00	жуо	7 8 0	400 0 0	58
•	Vactatio bet ware ***		*11***	-""	12170.0		1-7-11	80	99 0		*****	1 1 1	87 2 3	
•	Grand Total	-	{M 60 0 P 20 0	}80 8 (3,039 8	0 #3 96	{M 66 0	120 8	6,389 0	0 59-02	M 25 0	42 B O	8,784 0 0	90
	Averege per sore	244		1 1 10	87 15 1	9	Peters	1 18	7 96 7	2 -	Affice	111 3	151 8 8	-

APPENDIX M.

Statement showing leases of dry crop lands in the Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar District.

1 2 8 5	Group No. I. Kánhur Párner	Acres.	Rs. a. p.		assessment,	
2 8			, r.	Rs. s. p.		
8	Parner	287	182 8 Q	.684 Q Q	26.68	
i		1,017	479 0 0	2,477 0 0	19-88	
5	Gánji Bhoirá	12	4 0 Q	10 0 0	4000	
- 1	Chineholi	100	26 8 0	155 0 0	17:09	
8	Devi Bhoire	127	55 0 0	190 8 0	28 87	
10	Shirapur	98	41 8 0	259 0 0	16-02	
15	Majre Sheri	45	25 8 0	200 0 0	12.75	,
16	Randhe	95	42 0 0	220 0 0	19•09	
18	Bábhulváde	51	23 8 0	160 0 0	14-68	
20	Hatalkhind	19	600	2280	26.6)
21	Viroli	6	.2 8 0	12 0 0	20.83	
23	Vadgaon Darya	110	40 0 0	183 0 0	21.85	
26	Pimpalgaon Roths	12	600	25 0 0	24.0	
27	Каваге	126	4100	373 0 0	10-99	•
129	Sávargaon	27	800	75 O Q	10-6	
80	Karjune Harya	86	. 700	86 0 0	19-4	
31	Vásunde	61	22 8 0	65 o d	34-61	•
82	Tákli Dhokeshwar	52	88 8 0	175 0 0	22-0	
88	Tikhol	86	17 0 0	9500	17:89	
84	Kinhi	257	93 0 0	502 0 0	.18-52	
85	Pimpalgaon Turak	92	23 0 0	79 V O	29-11	
88	Pádali Tarf Ale	4	100	15 0 0	6.8	
	Total of Group No. I	2,720	1,185 0 0	6,013 0 0	19-70	
	Averages per acre		0 6 11	2 8 4		
.	Group No. II.					
30	Dhoki	17	600	\$8 0 O	15.78	
42	Hivre Kords	286	128 0 0	637 0 O	20.09	
48	Goregaon	8	780	14 0 0	58 ⋅57	
44	Diksal	98	43 0 0	247 0 0	17:40	
45	Pádali Tarf Kánhur	13	12 0 0	21 0 0	57:14	
46	Jámgaon	77	60 0 0	154 0 0	88-96	
47	Siraule	21	12 0 0	42 0 0	28-57	
48	Vadgaon Gund	9	800	9100	8.79	
49	Loni Kolhyschi	854	125 8 0	807 0 0	40-37	
50'	Hange	58	65 8 0	142 0 0	39.08	ļ
51	Supe ***	716	. 313 0 0	1,477 0 0	21.19	

42
APPENDIX M-continued.

Seria No. in Appen- du Q.	Name of village.	Ares of land loased.	Assessment.	Rents.	Percentage of rent represented by the assessment.	Remarks.
-	Group No. II continued.	Acres.	Re. a. p.	Rs. s. p.		
58	Vághunde Budruk	1	180	50 0 0	8-0	
54	Sultéupur	24	900	50 0 0	18.0	
55	Mongsi ***	68	45 0 0	188 0 0	24-59	
56	Vadner Haveli	22	800	25 0 0	82.0	
57	Gatevádi	54	17,00	9000	18.8	
58	Ghánegaon	. 59	47 0 0	· 135 0 0	84.81	
59	Játegaon	42	208 0	88 0 0	23.29	
60	Balegan Sindi	185	52 0 0	. 320 0 0	16.25	
6 I	Pánoli	188	74 0 0	340 0 0	21.78	
62	Vadule	9	500	15 0 0	38.3	
68	Sángvi Surya	42	1980	83 O G	23-49	
64	Pimpainer	935	219 0 0	465 0 0	47-09	
65	Májampur	21	400	2500	.16.0	
- 66	Hakikatpur	44	25 0 0	105 0 0	28.80	
67	Bálegan Therpal	181	58 8 0	262 0 0	29.82	•
-68	Gunore	46	17 8 0	78 0 0	22.43	
69	Gádilgaota	18	8 8 0	40 0 0	21-25	
70	Vadner Budruk	50	17 0 0	.95 8 0	17:80	
71	Apdhup	- an	80 0 0	115 0 0	26.08	
72	Palwe Khurd	700	53 0 0	172 0 0	80.81	
73	Palwe Budruk	54	86 O O	141 0 0	25.63	
74	Vadgaon Sávial	83	28.8 0	205 0 0	10-75	
1.2						
	Total of Group No. 11	8,256	1,566 0 0	6,312 8 0	2408	
	Averages per acre 🐱		0 7 8	1 15 0	*****	
	Group No. 11L					
75	Méikup	40	81 0 0	.52 O O	. 59.61	
76	Kálkup	8	6 8 0	18 0 0	86.1	
77	Bhélavani	26	18 8 0	83 0 0	56-06	
82	Bhoira Pathár	27	14 0 0	54 0 0	25-92	
-83	Bhoirs Khurd	13	400	16 0 0	25-0	
85	Pimpalgaon Kanda	33	680	11 8 0	5 6-52	
86	Válavano	14	4.0 0	25 0 0	16.0	
87	Ráitále	34	15 0 0	30 0 .0	. 50-0	
83	Astgaon	.43	20 0 0	4780	42.10	
190-	Bhoire Gángarda	16	5 'O O	29 0 0	17-24	
91	Kadus	192	81 8 0	248 8 0	83.47	
92	Fádali Evti	432	103 8 0	866 0 0	28-00	
93	Vadegavhan	50	12 8 0	70 0 0	17-85	
		1		L,	1 2, ""	· ·

43

APPENDIX M-continued.

Serial No. in Appen- dix Q.	Name of village.		Area of land leased.	Assess	mel	o t ,	Rents.	Percentage of rent represented by the assessment.	Remarks.
	Group No. III—continued	ı.	Acres.	Rs.	8-	p.	Rs. a. p.		
94	Kurusd	•••	24	4	8	0.	20 0 0	22.5	
95	Kohokadi	***	121	53	0	0	150 0 0	853 ,	
97	Gájdipur 👊	***	87	• 5	0	0	. 86 0 0.	13.8	
	Total of Group No. III		1,116	383	8	.0	1,201 8 0	81:91	
	Average per acre		*****	0	5	5	112	***,	
	Grand Total		7,091	8,134	8	0	13,527 0 0	23:17	
	Average per acre	٠	*****	0	7	0	1 14 6	503-44	•
	INAM VILLAGES. Group No. I.								
1	Alkati		98	56	8	0	134 0 0	42•16	
8	Karandi	•••	127	76	0	0	. 271 8 0	27-99	
	Total of Group No. I	•••	225	132	8	0	405 8 0	32.67	
	Average per acre			. 0	9	5	1 12 10		
	Group No. IL.			: 					
7	Narayan Gavhan	•••	11	4	0	0	16 0 0	25.00	
8	Mhasne ?		112	60	8	0	106 8 0	56-80	
	Total of Group No. II	***	123	64	8	0	122 8 0	52.65	
	Average per acre	.•••	*****	σ	8	4	0 15 11	.,	
'	Group No. III.			No ex	itry	•		.	
	Grand Total	191	848	197	0	0	528 Q O	37 31	
	Average per sere	٠,.	*4****	. 0	9	0	1 12 8		

44
APPENDIX M¹,

Statement showing leases of Garden land in the Parner Taluka of the Ahmednagar District.

		Ameun	iagar District			
Serial No. in Appen- dix Q.	Name of village.	Area of land leased.	Assessment.	Reut.	Percentage of rent represented by the assessment.	Remarks.
	Group No. I.	A. g.	Rs. a. p.	Ra, a, p.	Rs.	-
1] _{= 4} ,	86 0	29 0 0	. 886 0 0	7.57	,
2	Párner	852 0	1 212 0 0	2,072 0 0	10-23	
3	Gánji Bhoira	. 21 6	5 0 0	65 0 0	7-69	1
5	Chincholi	24 0	18 8 0	285 0 0	7:02	
10	Shirápur	. 20	1 8 0	40 0 0	8-7.5	
12	Renavadi	81 0	88 0 0	118 0 0	82.76	
14	Gárkhind	. 10	080	25 0 0	2:0	
16	Ránd he	18 0 1	1580	96 0 8	16:14	
17	Darodi	10	080	9,00	5.5	
18	Bábhulváde	60	480	50 0 0	. 80	
19	Padali Darya	20	180	55 0 0	2.72	
23	Vadgeon Darya	. 40	200	16 0 0	12.5	
26	Pimpalgáon Botha	. 10	0 8 '0	50 0 O	1.0	
32	Tákli Dhokeshwar	. 81 0	18 0 0	,40 0 0	32 5	
84	Kinhi	. 60	.700	108 8 0	6.76	
35	Pimpalgion Turuk	15 0	. 700	6000	. 11.6	
87	Koregáon	20	200	45 0 0	4:4	
98	Pádali Tarf A'le	. 15 0	J5 8 0	90 0 0	17:3	
•	Total of Group No. I	. 563 0	871 8 0	8,553 8 6	10:45	
	Average per acre		6 10 8	6 4 11	111	
	· Group No. II.	1 "				
43	Goregion	. 20	7.00	2000	85.0	
44	Diksal	. C 16	. 040	, 12 0 0	2:08	
45	Pádali Tarf Kánbur	1	800	40 0 0	0.0	
46	Jámgáon	. 80 0	23 0 0	188 0 0	17-29	
49	Loni Kolhyáchi	T i	. 600	87 0 0	6.89	
50	Hange	1	4 0 -0	85 0 0	4.70	
51	Supe	. 50 0	54 8 0	408 0 0	13:35	
,60	Rálegan Sindi	. 10	100	80 O Q	8-8	
68		. 10	100	11 0 0	9.09	
70	Vedner (Budruk) ***	. 07	. 080	10,00	1.87	
72	Palwe (Khurd)	. 80	12 0 0	50 0 0	24:0	4
73	Palwe (Budruk)	. 30	500	61 0 0	8-19	
•	Total of Group II	. 124 23	121 15 0	947 0,0	12:88	,
-	Average per acre	*******	0 15 7	7 9 2	616	

45
APPENDIX M¹.—concluded.

Ferial No. iu Appen- dix Q.	Name of village.	:	Area of land leased.	Assessment.	· Rent.	Percentage of rent represented by the assessment,	Remarks.
			A. g.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. s. p.	Rs.	•
	Group No. III.	٠.					
17	Bhálavani		2 0	800	25 0 0	12.0	
78	Vadgion Amli		8 0	500	₽8 0 0	8'92	1
79	Bhandgáon	•	8 0	800	80 O O	10.0	
86	Válavane		4 0	200	20 0 0	10-0	
89	Báburdi		90	800	99 0 0	8.08	
90	Bhoirá Gángarda	•••	40	580	39 0 0	14·10	
92	Pádali Yevati		47 0	26 8 0	274 0 0	9-67	
93	Vádegavhán		13 0	500	4500	11.1	
95	Kohokádi	•••	0	800	85 0 0	9.41	
	Total of Group No. III	٠	97 0	71 0 0	723 0 0,	9.82	*
•	Average per acre	tas	1,51100	011 8	7 7 8	******	
	Grand Total	•••	784 23	56417 0	5,223 8 O	10.80	
	Average per acre			0 11 6	6 10 5	404148	
1	INA'M VILLAGES.	Í					
	Group No. L.						
1	Alkuti		21 0	88 8 0	170 0 O	22.64	
8	Karandi		8 0	2 8 0	30 O O	8.3	
	Total of Group No. I		24 0	41 0 0	200 O B	20-5	
	Avorage per acre	•		1 11 4	8 5 4	*****	
	. Group No. II.			,			
7	Náráyangavhán		16 0	18 d o	50 0 0	. 86*	
	Total of Group No. II		. 16 0	18 0 0	50 0 0	36-	
	Average per acre		******	1 2 0	3 2 0		
	Group No. III.			No ent	ry.		
	Grand Total		40 0	. 900	250 0 0	28.6	
	Average per acre	•••	\$p\$++#	177	6 4 0	******	

46
APPENDIX N.
Statement showing the prices prevalent in the Parmer Talluka from 1888-89 to 1916.

•				Seer = 80	Per Ruper tolus. Chb	e. atak=5 tols	.	_	
Years.		Jowáci.	Bájri.	Wheat,	Tur del.	Gram,	Safflower seed.	Kalthi.	Remarks
1		2	8	4	5	6	7.	8	9.
		Seer, ch.	Seer. ch.	Seer. ch.	Seer, ch.	Seen ch.	Seer. ch.	Feer. ch.	
1888-89	•}	16 9	14 12	14 1	19 1	16 7	14 0	20 9	
1889-90		17 14	15 6	18 18	10 14	14 3	14- 5	18 15	
1890-91	•••	19 15	18 4	14 14	18 11	J6 B	_18 B	23 10	
1891-93		22 8	17 7	11 2	12 6	18 10	16 6	23 10	
1892-9		17 1.8	17 4	. 10 0	10 9	18 8	14.4	22 2	
1898-94		20 2	17 10	11 14	13 8	15 14	16 4	82 10	
1894-95		21 14	18 8	15 0	11 12	17 5	17 12	27 7	t
1895	***	27 15	22 9	15 12	`11 7	16 2	14 14	27 2*	
1696	•••	18 6	. 15 18	13 1	10 5	19 7	12 2	18 15	
1897	•••	8 6	8 3	7 0	6 7	78	9 18	9 14	
1898	***	J6 11	16 1	10 7	87	12 0	20 8	12 9	
1899	•••	15 0	18 6	10 14	9 14	12 9	16 11	17 12	
1900	***	8 7	8 15	. 7 4	6 15	8 8	19 1	10 11	
1901	***	12 7	78 0	7 8	7 11	10 4	12 11	14 18	
1902	***	16 4	25 B	8 6	10 9	11 10	13 9	19 11	
1903	. 	81 9	24 7	12 2	10 12	12 15	16 8	85 14	
1904	***	26 5	21 13	12 13 ·	11 1	15 0	17- 8	82 18	
1905	***	17 14	12 14	12 10	10 7	14 0	16 0	24 %	
1906		`12 10	12 8	8 4	7 19	9 4	10 14	28 0	
1907		1 7 18	. 18 1	8 8	8 2	9 14	8 8	23 7	
1908	***	12 9	12 9	7 10	8.5	7 6	80	17 1	
1909	•••	18 2	15 3	8 8	79	9 6	15 7	20 2	
1910		18 0	17 8	8 14	11 5	11 9	19 15	• 29 2	
1911	***	. 14 4	13 7	10 14	14 9	14 11 ·	10 7	24 12	
1912	•	10 15	.9 8	8 0	7 14	11 4	8 6	18 0	
1913	***	11 11	11 3	8 4	8 0	11 9	8 10	12 5	
1914		15 0	12 0	8 0	60	10 0	90	17 2	
1918	429	15 7	18 18	75	6 12	8 8	10 11	28 7	

* Figures from 1895 re resent average prices or the calendar year.

47
APPENDIX N—continued.

Statement showing the prices prevalent in the Junnar Túluka from 1884-35 to 1914.

Y саги.				Seer = 8	0 tol	Per Ru as. C	pee.	k=5 te	olas.			٠		Remarks.
		Jowá	ri.	Bájri	-	Whe	st.	Tår di	al.	Gran	a.			Memaras.
1		2		8	-	4		5		6		7	•	8
]	Scer.	cb.	Seer.	ch.	Seer.	cb,	Seer.	cb.	Seer.	ch,			
1884-85	•	20	0	15	0	14	0	13	0	20	0			
1885-86	•••	19	0	35	0	13	0	13	0	20	0		1	
1886-87	•••	23	0	19	0	16	0	14	0	20	0		-	
1887-88	•••	24	0	21	0	17	0	13	0	20	0			
1888-89		17	0	15	0	16	0	15	0	23	0			
1889-90	***	17	0	18	0	14	0	15	0	23	0	١ ،		
1890-91	141	19	0	16	0	14	0	16	0	22	0			
J891 -92	•••	18	0	15	0	11	0	9	0	14	0			
1892-98	100	19	0	18	0	12	0	12	0	17	0	1		
1898-94	•••	17	0	15	0	13	0	14	0	20	0			
1894-95	**	20	0	14	0	14	0	2)	0	15	0			
1895	***	22	0	20	0	15	0	12	0	20	0	1		
1896	•••	18	0	14	0	11	0	11	0	14	0			
1697	•••	9	0	` 8	,0	7	0	6	8	7	8			
1898 1899	***	17	0	15	0	10	0	9	0	14	0	}		
		13	0	12	0	10	0	9	0	7	0		•	
1900 1901	***	18	О	12	0	8	0	8	0	n				
1902	•••	18	0	1	0	9	0	9		11	. v			
1902	.,.	89	0	15 29	0	13	0	9	0 _.	17	0	}	i	}
1904	. ***	21	0	16	0	13	0	10	0	14				
1905	•••	15	0	12	0	10	0	9	0	12	0	,		
1906	•••	15	0	13	.0	9	0	7	0	10	0			
1907	***	15	0	14	0	7	0	7	0	1	0	[
1908	•••	15	0	18	0	8	0	6	0	7	0			
1909	• .,.	16	0	16	0	10	0	7	0	10	0	1	•	
1910		18	0	16	0	9	0	9	0	14	0			
1911	***	12	0	. 9	0	9	0	9	0	11	0	1		
3912		10	0	8	0.	8	0	8	0	1.5	0		ļ	
1918	***	12	0	10	0	7	0	7	0	12	0	}		
1914	, \$81	14	0	12	0	9	0	6	0	8	0			

48
APPENDIX N-continued.

Statement showing the prices prevalent in the Sirur Taluka from 1992-93 to 1913-14.

·					-			
Years.			Seer -	Per F 80 tolas.	upee. Chetak = 5	tolas.		Remarks.
		Jowari	Bájri.	Wheat.	Rice.	Tur pulse.	Gram.	Average and
1		2	8	4	5	6	7	8
	- i	Ster. ch.	Feer. eb.	Seer. ch.	Seer. ch.	Seer. ch.	Seer. ch.	
1892-98	***	19 9	16 15	10 2	8 12	12 9	15 8	
1893-94	•••	19 9	15 8	11 9	8 12	71 3	15 9	
1894-95	***	22 6	19 12	14 8	8 12	11 8	34 ,2	
1895-96	•••	22 8	15 8	15 1	8 12	12 6	24 2	
1896-97		7 0	5 10	5 13	5 13	5 9	5 18	
1897-96	•••	12, 9	13 7	7 4	8 13	70	7 2	
1895-99	***	3 18	9 13	8 11	. 8 0	8 6	8 9	
1899-1900		8 6	8 2	8 8	90	70	8 9	
1900-01 .	624	12 8	13 4	7 14	97	7 7	10 10	
1901-02		13 14	14 6	. 811	10 13	9 13	18 0	•
1902-03	***	28 12	23 1	17.13	6 15	11 5	13 3	•
1908-04	· •••	24 7	19 9	. 18 8	96	12 4	16 0	
1904-05	•••	16 8	11 15	11 14	8 6	10 0	12 13	
1905-06	***	18 13	11 9	8 11	7 10	8 5	9 4	
1906-07	•4•	17 6	16 1	8 5	7 9	8 9	10 1	
1907-08	•	12 8	11 1	7 7	6 8	6 12	Not avail-	
1908-09	•4•	15 14	18 5	7,10	78	6 15	able, 9 9	
1909-10	***	13 8	ļ6 2	8 8	7 10	10 14	12 8	
1910-11	•••	15 B	18 1	9 4	7.8	12 14	18 12	
1911-12	***	10 12	9 1	8 1	6.8	9 9	10 10	
1912-13 •		11 0	11.4	8 5	6.0	7 7	8 12	
1913-14	***	14 7	12.9	7 11	5 11	11 6	80	
1914	Lev	14 8	12 4	8 1	5 15	6 4	7 15	

Statustics from 1884-85 to 1891-92 are not available,

APPENDIX O1.

Changes in accupied area for 103 Government villages of the Parner Taluka of Ahmednagar District into which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the year 1884-85.

ķ	land pa	Fovernment ying fall sment,	cultivable	ed assessed o Govern- land.		revenue of nated butire partially.	ely or	ment, o	d Govern- csupicd, i and inam,	
Year.	År08,	Acsessment.	Area.	Absencinent.	Агеа,	Full as ** esment.	Quit rent.	Åres.	Assesment (full).	Bemarks.
1		. 8.	4	5	6	7	8	Ď	10	11
	Acres.	Bs.	Acres.	Be,	Acres.	Rs.	B s.	Acres.	ßs.	1
1884-85	229,812	1,00,871	9,886	2,948	18,013	6,991	8,187	256,793	1,10,306	
1885-86	255,938	1,23,296	7,598	1,878	18,208	8,187	3,169	181,794	1,83,361	Increase due to
1886-87	288,216	1,14,768	8,798	791	16,123	7,699	3,048	25 8,161	1,23,453*	introduction of revised measure-
1687-88	288,335	1,14,755	8,427	748	16,128	7,690	3,046	267,886	1,23,400	ments.
1888-69	. 239,564	1,15,058	2,996	679	16,099	7,698	8,852	258,657	1,23,685	transfer of villages to other Talukas.
1889-90	240,468	1,15,819	\$,637	798	16,094	7,897	3,355	260,184	1,34,007	* Contraction
1890-91	240,704	1,15,381	8,458	54	16,094	7,837	8,353	260,26	1,24,022	}
1891-92	244,774	1,19,426	8,181	688	18,100	7,989	8,387	264,055	1,27,048	
1892-93	244,584	1,18,420	2,790	689	. 10,700	7,939	8,387	263,474	1,27,007	
1993-94	216,063	1,15,648	1,8;7	412	16,099	7,939	8,887	264,039	1,27,000	ř
1894-95	246,251	1,18,678	1,732	890	16,099	7,939	8,887	264,082	1,27,907	
1895-96	- 246,729	1,18,866	1,241	281	16,099	7,980	8,387	284,083	1,27,008	
1896-97	246,726	1,18,816	1,243	261	16,099	7,989.	8,887	264,069	1,27,018	
1897-98	248,728	1,18,816	1,243	261	18,099	7,989	9,387	284,083	1,27,016	
1898-99	246,724	1,18,812	1,248	261	16,039	2,919	8,887	264,068	1,27,012	
1899-1900i	240,723	1,18,81%	1,242	261	16,099	7,939	8,337	284,004	1,27,012	
1900-01	246,700	1,19,807	1,281	208	16,099	7,939	8,587	264,080	1,27,014	
1901-09	246,691	1,18,800	1,281	206	16,089	7,939	8,887	284,071	1,27,007	
1902-08	248,691	1,19,808	1,284	259	16,099	7,939	8,587	264,054	1,27,001	
1908-04	216,644	1,18,773	1,204	269	16,098	7,988	3,887	284,008	1,28,970	
1004-05	246,587	1,18,740	1,264	259	16,091	7,934	8,887	£63,942	1,26,933	
1905-06	246,532	1,18,712	. 1,284	259	16,091	7,934	8,	268,587	1,26,905	
1906-07	248,883	1,18,718	1,284	250	18,097	7,994	8,387	253,68B	1,26,906	
1907-08	246,589	1,18,715	1,266	259	16,090	7,984	8,687	268,898	1,26,908	
1908-09	246,671	1,16,787	1,189	214	16,090	7,934	3,387	263,950	1,26,985	
1908-10	216,503	1,18,726	1,885	301	16,090	7,934	3,367	263,987	1,26,961	
1010-11	248,670	1,18,774	1,284	278	16,028	7,908	3,877	263,982	1,26,958	
1911-19	246,463	1,10,905	1,492	881	-16,028	7,544	8,355	263,082	1,15,830	
1919-13	246,388	1,10,843	1,871	448	16,026	7,544	8,855	2,63,982	1,18,829	
1918-14	246,311	1,10,652	1,645	438	16,028	7,544	8,855	263,984	1,18,629	
	<u> </u>		, ,	· ·			· !	·	•	

APPENDIX OL

Changes in occupied area for 8* inám villages of the Parner Táluka of Ahmednagar District unto which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the year 1884-85.

*				4			٠.				•
,·		land pa	Joversment Jing full ment	Unoccupi cultivable ment	ed assessed Govern- land.	Land the	e revenue quated entir partially.	of which oly or	Total land to occupied, and	Jovernment unoccupied nám.	
Year.		Aren.	A se essment.	Afer.	Amenerment,	Årθt.	Fall assessment	Quit rent.	Aren.	Assessment (fall).	Romarks.
1		, 3	8	•	5	6	7	18	9	10	tı.
	.]	Acres.	Rs.	Acres,	Ba.	Acres.	Ra.	4 Bs.	Acres,	Re.	
1881-85		45,408	15,918	138	8	2,986	1,311	358	48,505	17,237	1. Loui Mávia
1885-95		45,408	15,918	138	8]	2,966	1,811	888	49,505	17,287	2. Dhavalpuri. 3. Mándro Khurd
1888-87		45,433	16,918	186	8	2,966	1,311	855	48,508	17,287	5. Mhasne.
1867-88		#5,438	16,918	188 ,		2,986	1,811	855	49,505	1,7,287	7. Rul.
1886-89	-	45,478	16,918	136	١.	2,966	1,811	355	49,505	17,987	8, Palsi,
1889-90		45,403	15,918	138	8	8,966	1,811	855	48,505	17,237	Į
1890-91		45,408	15,918	136	1.8	1,968	1,811	955 .	48,505	17,987	İ
1891-92	44	46,408	15,919	.136		2,986	1,811	866	48,605	17,237	1
1892 93	•	45,109	16,918	138	, 8	2,966	1,911	855	49,505	17,287	
1898-94	•••	45,408	15,918	133	8	2,968	1,311	355	48,505	17,837	1
1894-95		45,408	_ 15,918	138	8	2,966	1,811	\$65	48,505	17,237	
1895-98	٠.,	45,408	15,918	136	8	2,966	1,911	955	48,500	17,287	
1896-97	•	45,498	15,918	- 186	. 8	2,966	1,811	856	48,506	17,397	
1897-98	٠.,	- \$5,407	75,918	138	* 8	2,966	1,811	* 885 ·	48,505	17,237 ~	
1898-99 -	***	45,403	75,918	136	- 8	3,968	1,311	· 355	49,50%	17,237	
1839-1900		45,408	16,919	136	8	2,966	2,921	365	48,508	17,937	
1900-01		45,377	15,908	136	. 8	2,984	1,309	863	49,477	17,220	
1901-02	**	45,377	15,903	188	B	2,984	1,309	`866	48,477	17,220	
1902-03	•••	45,377	15,908	136	8.	2,964	1,300	865	48,477	17,220	
1908-04	•••	45,349	15,884	133] · 8 ʰ	2,240	1,054	337	47,724	18,948	
1904-05	***	45,818	15,894	186	. 8	2,240	1,054	387	47,794	16,946	
1805-08	***	45,376	15,912	196	₹ 8	2,218	1,025	837	47,724	16,046	
F808-04		\$5,375	16,912	188	8 7	3,213	1,025	` 837	47,724	18,945	
rao⊾-08		45,875	16,912	136	·* 8	2,913	1,026	837	47,724	38,946	
1908-09		45,375	15,916	136	. 8	2,218	1,0%	357	47,734	16,945	
1908-30	٠.,	45,875	15,918	136	8 ;	3,213	1,025	937	47,721	16,945	
1010-11	•••	45,371	15,908	186	8	`2,213	1,025	337	47,720	18,989	
1911-18		45,507	15,914	167	ın.	3,213	1:025	237	47,887	16,950	
1912-13		45,697	15,914	167	11	5,218	1,025	897	47,887	16,950	
1918-14		65,507	16,014	167	и 1	2,213	1,025	337	47,887	16,950	
			·				· · · · · ·				

51

APPENDIX O'.

Changes in occupied area for Indm village Nighoj of the Parner Taluka of Ahmeduagar District into which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the year 1885-86.

Year.	,	Occur Govern land po full asses	ying ,	culti Gover	enpied essed vable nment ad.	a lier	the reve which is ated ento partially	rely	Gover Gover	pied and	Remarks
-		Area.	Assess- mert.	.Area.	Assess- ment.	Area,	Full assess- ment.	Quit rent.	Area	Assess- ment (fult).	
1		2	8	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	· n
		A ores.	Rs.	Acres	Rs.	Acres.	Ka.	Re.	Acres.	Rs.	
1885-86	•••	9,363	16,122	374	68	148	107	30	9,885	6,297	
1886-87		9,363	6,122	374	68	148	107	80	9,885	6,297	ļ
1887-88	,	9,363	6,122	374	68	148	107	30	9,885	6,297	1
1888-89	•••	9,868	6,122	874	68	148	. 107	80	9,585	6,297	ļ.
1889-90		9,363	6,122	874	68	143	107	80	9,885	6,297	
1890-91		9,363	6,122	874	68	148	107	29	9,8%	6,297	
1891-92	•**	9,363	6,122	374	68	· 148	107	29	9,885	6,297	ĺ
1892-98	·	9,363	6,122	874	68	148	107	29	9,885	6,297	
L898- 94	***	9,363	6,122	374	68	148	107	29	9,885	6;297	
1894-95	•••	9.233	6,016	508	174	148	107	29	9,834	6,297	1
1895-96	٠.,	9,361	6,122	- 876	63	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	}.
1896-97		9,872	6,125	365	66	148	107	. 29	9,885	6,298	
1897-98		9,372	6,125	365	·66	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	} .
1898-99	:	9,872	6,125	865	66	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	1
1899-1900		0,372	6,125	365	66	. 148	107	29	9,885	6,298	١,
1900-01		9,372	6,125	365	66	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	
1901-02	•••	9,872	6,125	365	66	- 148	107	29	9,885	6,298	
1902-08	425	9,872	6,125	365	66	148 .	107	29	9,885	6,298	1.
1908-04		9,372	6,4.25	865	66	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	1
1904-05	p=1	9,872	6,125	865	63	148	107	29	9,885	6,295	
1905-06	***	9,872	6,125	885	66	148	107	39	9,885	6,298	1
1906-07	•••	19,872	+6,125	365	66	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	<u> </u>
1907-08	•••	9,872	6,125	365	68	148	107	29	`D,885	6,298	1
1908-09		9,277	6,104	459	86	148	107	29	9,834	6,297	l
1909-10	•••	9,277	6,104	459	86	148	107	29	9,884	6,297	1
1910-11		9,872	6,125	365	68	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	
1911-12	291	9,264	• :6,109	472	81	148	107	29	9,884	6,297	1
1 912-18	. •••	9,521	6,156	216	85	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	1
1918-14	•••	9,521	6,156	1216	85	148	107	29	9,885	6,298	1
1914-15		9,524	6,158	218	84	148	107	29	9,885	6,297	1

APPENDIX O1.

Changes in occupied area for Indm village Alakuti of Phrner Thluka of Ahmednagar District into which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the gear 1909-10.

- Year;		Occupied Government land paying full assessment.			ssed 1	of alien	the rever which is ated entir partially	ely	Total Gover occu unoc and	Bemarks.	
		Area. Asi		Ares.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Full 883988- ment.	Quit rent.	Å768.	• Assess- ment.	
		2	8	d .	_ 5	6	7	8,	9	10	11
,		Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Ba.	Acres.	Rs.	Ra.	Acres.	Rs.	
1909-10	·	8,378	, 2,299	8	1	408	167	24	3,789	2,406	ł
1910-11		. 8,378	2,238	8	1	408	167	24	. 8,789	2,406	1
1911-12		3,378	2,288	8	1	408	187-	24	3,780	2,406	٠.٠
1912-13		-8,378	2,238	8 .	1	408	167	4	3 883	2,406	1
1913-14		3,373	2,238	8.	-1	408	167	24	8,789	2,406	

APPENDIX O1.

Changes in occupied area for 3th Inam villages of the Parner Idluka of Ahmednagar District into which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the year 1911-12.

Year	,	Occu Govern land pe full assess	ment ying	asse culti Gover	cupied essed vable nment	alien	the rever of which ated entir partially.	ely	Govern	l land nment pied, supied iném.	Remarks
		Area.	Assess- ment.	Area.	Assess- ment.	Arca:	Full assess- ment-	Quit sent,	Ares.	Assess-	
1	_	2	3	4	, 5	6.	7	. 8	. 8	10-	11
	`	Acres.	Rs,	Acres,	Ra.	Acres.	Ra.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	
1911-12	*#3	7,625	5,631	117	49	569	877	85	8,871	6,087	1.
1912-13		7,696	5,659	167	39	569	877	85	8,872	6,075	
1918-14	••	7,724	5,680	79	18	569	877	`85	8,872	6,075	1

^{*(}I) Jávle, (2) Pimpri Pathar, (3) Karandi

53

APPENDIX O2.

Land revenue vollections for 103 villages of the Párner Táluka, Ahmednagar District, into which the Revision Settlement was introduced in the year 1884-85.

Year.		Year's fixed land revenue demand.	Collections.	Balance remaining uncollected out of column 2.	Collections of past year's arrears.	Remissions.	Remarks
• 1		2	3	4	. 5	6	7
		Bs.	Rs.	Ra.	Bs. a. p.	Rs. s. p.	
1884-85		1,10,182	1,10,155	27	0111		
1885-86	***	1,38,267	1,33,211	56	. 27 2 4	******	
L 8 86-8 7		1,28,452	1,23,452	*****	56 1 6	*****	
188 7 -88		1,23,437	1,28,487	* ***	`	*****	
1888-89	***	1,24,158	1,24,158	******		******	
L889-90		1,24,351	1,24,351	*** 134	<i></i>	*****	
1890-91		1,24,391	1,24,391	*****	•	*****	
1891-92	•••	1,27,228	1,27,228	104.00	******	******	
1892-98		1,27,176	1,27,176				
1893-94		1,27,487	1,27,437	******	240	*****	
1894-95	*	1,27,414	1,27,414		8080	,	
1895-9 6		1,27,542	1,27,542	-	244***	*****	
1896-97	***	1,27,608	1,27,603		******		
189 7-98 ,	740	1,27,604	1,26,059	1,545	804 13 8	******	
1898-99"	·	1,27,550	1,25,603	1,947	1,682 2 3	hes	
1899-1900		1,27,602	48,073	79,529	842 5 6	******	
1900-01	•••	1,27,597	58,980	68,617	*****	*****	
L901-02		1,27,590	20,816	1,06,774	6,307 11 2	*****	
1902-08	•••	1,27,540	1,28,254	4,286	9,235 1 1	10	
1908-04	•••	1,27,456	1,22,665	5,391	3,965 18 5	*****	
1904-05	•••	1,31,058	85,826	45,232	8,502 12 5	*****	
1905-06		1,29,387	7,382	1,22,055	780 4 5	9,005 7 4	
1906-07		1,29,887	1,16,310	13,077	13,891 7 0	8,848 8 5	
1907-08		1,29,373	25,544	1,03,829	26,940 6 18	7,631 13 1	
L908-09		1,19,387	77,874	41,518	15,271 18 7	*****	
1909-10		1,27,504	1,09,455	18,049	19,599 8 10	*****	
1910-11	•	1,27,490	1,18,347	9,143	87,782 12 11	Онатов	
1911-19		1,19,618	48,285	71,838	3,981 3 8	*** **	
191 <u>2</u> -18		1,19,625	62,645	56,980	9,216 6 5	926 15 1	
1918-14		1,19,553	1,18,858	700	71,988 15 7	11 8 2	

APPENDLE

Effect of Revision Settlement proposals on

-		ļ	·				- •		Under	Pone	er Switzen		est of Rev		- V C MEG ILL	Po o bos	*** 0
			м	arimum	reios.		Dry-crop.			Ric			Garden.			Total	Ì
nber.	Name of Village,	of Group.	crop.		lm.		Assessment,	aga.		Assessment.	ege.	,	Amesument.	į,		ment.	;
Number.		ģ	Dig.	Rios.	Garden	Area	<u> </u>	Average	Area		Average	P P P		Avenge	- E 4	4	Average.
1	,	8	4	5	6	7	9	9	10	11	18	18	16	18	16	17	19
1	Group I. Kánhur	1	Rs. s. p.	•	Rs. s. p. M. 1 14 0	Aores. 8,286	Re. 5,197	Rs. a. p.	Acros,	B-6.	H4. a. p.	Acres.	Ra. 388	Bs. a. p	Acros. 8,534	Ba. 5,576	Rs. a. 2
2	Párner	п	1 10 0	{	M. i 10 0	14,547	5,749	0 6 8		~	{	M. 443	652	1 8 11	3,040	6,418	0.6
					P. 8 T 9)	9,		-14	-	" l	P. 51 M. 77	{%. 73	1 2 10	[0,20	7
8	Gánjibhoyara	11	1 10 0	" {	P. 8 0 0	2,208	671	0 6 a		•••	{	P. 83	8. 94 W. 58	} 3 12 1	2,918	I,058	0 7
€ 5	Pimpri Jaisen Chincholi	п	1 10 0	-	M. 1 10 0	1,656	448	0 4 8				Mf. 225	263	199	1,879	710	0 8
	Vadjhira	11	1 10 0	1 10 0	M. I 10 0	8,731	605 1,474	0 4 10	19		114	M. 208	164 217	0 12 11	9,140 8,949	769 1,704	0 8
7	Koldara	11	1 10 0			610	179	0 4 9				m. 300			610	1,704	. 4
В	Devibhoyata ",	n	1 10 d		M. 1 10 0	3,069	1,462	076	148	***		M. 270	268	0 15 7	8,333	1,715	0 8
9	Pábal	n	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	1,288	699	0 8 8	-		"	M. 20	25	1 4 0	1,808	736	0 8
10 11	Shirápur Chombhut	n	1 10 0	٠	M. 1 10 0	8,603	1,887	0 5 11	***	***		ML 95	46	150	3,688	1,888	0 6
13	Renvadi	11	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0 M. 1 10 0	2,743 570	1,802 512	0 7 7	***	***	444	M. 166 M. 96	246	177	2,909 668	1,547 669	0 B
18	Kalas	II	1 10 0	!	M. 1 10 0	2,086	1,194	0 8 7	**	***		M. 91	94	108	2,177	1,218	0 8 1
14	Garkhind	ır	1 10 0	{	M. I 10 0 P. 8 0 0	1,899	4277	0 5 5	***	-	 {	M. 88 P. 7	8. 8 W. 10	1 8 4 }2 9 1	3,444	561	0 6 1
15	Majre Sheri	n	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	888	496	0 8 10				M. 12	16	154	308	519	0 9
18	Rándhe	11	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	2,968	1,575	0 8 6	***	-	•••	M. 82	40	1 4 0	2,985	1 615	0 8
17	Darodi	п	1 10 0	{	M. 1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	1,440	***	0 6 11		-	<u>~</u> {	M. 41 P. 19	{8. 14 {W. 13	1 1 9	} 1,500	517	0 8
18	Bábulwáde	II	1 10 0	••	M. 1 10 0	2,754	1,884	0 8 0	·		444	M. 191	156	1 4 7	2,876	1,540	0 8
119	Pádali Darya 🔔	п	1 10 0	{	M.1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	} 1,108	541	078			{	M. 149 P. 15	(S. 181 (W. 35	1 9 1	1,950	740	0 8
20	Hatalkhind	11	1 10 0	- {	M, 1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	} 780	282	.0 .5 .9			{	M. 7 P. 4	{B. 3 ₩. 2	1 0 0	791	\$92	1 4 0
21	Viroli	-11	1 10 0	-	M. 1 10 0	1,502	698	0 7 4	***			M. 14	44	8 2 8	1,818	739	0 7
22	Badare	11	1 10 0	÷{	M. 1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	1,927	564	0 7 7		•••	{	M. 18 P. 8	{p. 4 ₩: 4	1 8 7	1,243	612	0 7 1
23	Vadgaan Daryn	п	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	1,197	544	0.7 4	***		m	м, э	8	100	1,190	547	0 9
24	Gárgundi	11	1 10 6	{	M, 1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	} 1,901	606	0 9 6			- {	M. 6 P. 1	{8. 080 W. 180	} 2 0 0	3,806	706	0 8
25	Bhondre	II	1 10 0	\	M. 1 10 0	-889	. 878	0 6 10				M. 4		0 12 0	878	376	0 6 1
26	Pimpalgaon Rotha .	щ	1 10 0	1 10 0 {	M.1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	2,694	1,29\$	9 6 9	2	1	0 8 0	M. 78 P. 10	{8. 9 ₩. 14	1	3,978	1,934	0 7
27	Kásáre	π	1 10 6	{	M. 1 10 0 P. 8 6 0	} 1,821	617	0 5 0			- {	H. 15 P. 9	8. 4 W. 7	f -	3,845	447	a #
28	Nandur Pathar	11	1	1 10 0	M. 1 10 0 P. 8 0 0	3,592	1,108	0 6 9	2	1	0 8 0	M. 48 P. 14	{8. 11 ₩. 86	0 18 4 8 5 8	2,856	1,191	0 7
29	Sivargaon	II	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	8,091	909	0 4 8				м. 7		1 4 7	8,089	918	0 4
30	Karjune Harya	II	i .	1 10 0	M.1100 P.800	4,000	988	0 3 10	3	. *	100{	M. 65 P. 40	∫S. 24 1 W. 39	0 18 6 }1 9 2	4,166	1,100	Q 6
31	Vásunde	n	I 10 0		M. 1 10 0	6,243	2,166	0 5 8		i san		м. 240	831	1 8 8	6,509	2,467	0 6
92 53	Tákli Dhokeshwar . Tikhol	11	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	4,027	1,774	070	;•4			M. 190	1571	189	4,147	1,945	07
•		n		٦ ,	M. 1 10 0 M. 1 10 0	1,965	488	0 8 11	***		(M. 13	11 51	014 6	1,977		•
84	Kinhi	n	1 10 0	- {	P. 8 0 0	3,184	1,934	0 6 3	***	***	- {	P. 11	8. 8 W. 19	}	3,197	1,912	0 4
85	Pimpalgaon Turak .	II '	1 10 0	{	P. S 0 0	} 1,000	347	0 5 1	-		- {	H. 31 P. 9	{8, 6 6 8 18	}2 0 0	1,120	277	0 6

Q.

Government occupied land in the Parner Taluka.

-			,					AISTOR SE									1
1	Magimum	rates.		Dry-crop.	,	<u> </u>	Blo	e.		Garden.	·		Total.		10880	<u>'</u>	
Dry-crop	Rica	Gardon,	Å768.	Ausensment.	Averages	Δ768.	Авоните.	Avorage.	Area.	Assosment.	Average.	Å168.	Assasment, .	Average.	Percentage increase.	Name of Village.	Number
19	20	21	22	28	24	26	26	27	28	29	90	81	38	38	84	35	81
	Re. s. p.	1	Acres.	Rs,	Ва. а. р.		Rg.	Rs.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. n. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. š. p.		Group I.	Ť
2 2	230	_,	6,286	6,635	0 11 3	3	ı	0 8 0	M 246 M. 442	486 724	1 12 4	8,534	6,272	0 11 9	12:46	Kánhur	. 1
9 5	o	M. 320	14,547	7,546	0 8 8		***	- {	P, 51	{8. ₩. 73	}2 9 1	15,040	8,401	0 8 11	31.26	Pårner	ሳ '
2 2		M. 220	2,208	1,148	0 8 8		٠.,	{	M. 77 P. 33	{8. 43 ₩. 58	1 8 6 }8 0 11	3,818	1,867	0 9 5	31·16	Ganjibhoyara	
* 2 c		н. 220	1,656	, 598	0 5 8	1			M 923	344	188	1,879	932	0 711	81.26	Pimpri Jalsen	. 4
2 2 1	7	М. 220	1,946	704	0 6 6	1	***		M. 203	215	1 0 11	3,149	1,009	0 7 6	81 20	Chincholi	7
2 2 4	2 2 0	M, 2 2 0	9,731 610	1,935 2 35	088	1	17	168	Mr. 206	395	1 6 1	3,949 610	2,237 235	0 9 0	31-27	Vadjhira	
2 2 (M. 220	8,069	1,906	0 9 11	ě			M. 270		145	3.339	230	0 6 1	31·25 31·25	Koldara Devibboyara	. 2
3 2 (M. 2 2 0	1,288	917	0 11 4		,		M. 20	33	1 10 4	1,509	950	0 11 7	31.21	Pábal	1.
3 3 (M. 220	8,608	1,765	0 7 9			,	м. 85	60	111 5	3,638	1,815	0 7 11	81 23	Shirápur	. 10
2 3 6	•••	M. 220	2,748	1,700	0 9 21				M. 166	392	1 16 0	2,909	2,081	0 11 2	31′28	Chombhut	- 11
3 3 (M. 2 2 0	527 0	672	1 8 10	"			M. 96	196	2149	686	870	1 4 10	31 -242	Renvadi .	12
3 2 (M. 220	2,086	1,475	0 11 3	"	***	1	M. 91	128		2,177	1,599	0 11 8	81/19	Kalas	. 13
8 2 (M. 230	1,399	626	0 7 1		•		M. 38 P. 7	8. 10 ₩. 10	1 9 5 }2 13 8	} 1,646	706	079	31.07	Gårkhind	- 14
9 2 (м. в 2 о	896	651	0 11 7]]		•••	м. 12	21	1 12 0	908	672	0 11 10	81*25	Majre Sheri	.] 15
2 2 (M 220	2,958	1,067	0 11 2		***		М. 32	52	1 10 0	2,985	2,119	0 11 4	81 20	Rándhe	16
8 7 (-	M. 2 8 0	1,440	583	0 8 5				M. 41 P. 19	{6. 18 ₩. 13	1 7 4 }1 10 1	} 1,500	674	0 7 2	32 ·15	Darodi	17
8 3 6		M. 220	2,764	1,818	0 10 6				M. 191	205	111 1	2,875	2,021	0 11 2	81 129	Bábulwáde	. 18
		м. 220	1,198	710	0 0 6			{	M. 142 P. 15	8. 17 W. 25	1 7 9 }2 12 0	1,850	963	011 4	31.18	Pádali Darya	19
, ,		м. в 2 0	780	370	0 7 7		:		M. 7 P. 4	rs. 8	1 2 8	} 791	. 389	076	81*37	Hátalkhind	. 20
	B	W. 3 2 0	1,502	952	0,10 1			·	P. 4 M. 14	(₩. 2 18	146	1,516	970	0 10 2	31.25		١,
	j								M 19	98	200	\ \ \		0 10 2		Viroll]
\$ 3 (M, 220	1,897	768	0 9 11		***		P. 8	{8. 5 \W. 4	}3 0 0	1,848	801	6 10 8	81.08	Esdure	25
3 1		M. 220	1,187	714	0 9 7	-	**		и. з	. 4	184	1,190	718	0 9 7	81 2 6	Vadgaon Darya	2
3 8	•	M. 2 2 0	1,301	913	0 12 2			{	M. 6 P. 1	8. I W. 1-8-0	1 8 0 } 9 8 0	1,306	984-9	0 12 9	91:3 0	Gárgundi	- 24
213	o	M. 230	869	490	0 9 0	į l	444		M. 4	4	100	878	494	0 0 0	\$1.38	Bhondre	. 25
9 3	2 2 4	M. 320	2,894	1,608	0 8 10	2	1		M. 72 P. 10	8. 101 W, 14	1 6 5 }2 6 4	} 2,078	1,782	0 9 3	81.19	Pimpaigaon Botha	. 24
3 8	0 -	M. 2 8 0	1,821	547	0 6 7		.,,	{	yr 18	26	1 10 8	1,345	534	0 6 11	\$1.18	Kásáre "	. 2
								(w 40	59	}1 5 4 1 d 4	,			•		
	2 3 4	M. 9 2 0	2,592	1,446	0 8 11		1	080{		8 14 W. 36	}8 9 1	2,658	1,581	0 9 4	81.16	Nándur Pathya	7
		M. 220	8,081	1,103	9 6 7	ٔ ا	-		M. 7	12	111 6	3,088	1,208	0 6 2	B1-26	Sávargáon	- 3
	0 3 3 0	P 800	4,069	1,907	0 8 2	3	8	1 8 0	P. 40	{w. ss	}1 12 0	4,168	1,439	0 5 5	. 81.29	Karjune Harve	- 3
3 3		X 8 8 0	6,946	2,848	0 7 3	-		·	M. 260	421	1 9 10	8,509	8,284	080	81-24	Vásunde .	s
11	9	M. 2 2 0	6,087	2,538	0 9 :	-	-		M. 120	221	1 18 10	4,147	2,532	0 9 10		Tákli Dhokeshwar	
	٦ - ١	M. 220	1,963	610	9 8	1	-	<u></u> ر	M. 12	36	1 2 8	1,977	654	6 5 8	31.06	Tikbel .	- 3
2 3	9	P. 800	8,134	1,630	0 8 :	s		- {	M. 52 P. 11	(8. 10 W. 19	1 4 7 }8 10 2	3,107	1,716	0 8 7	51.35	Kiahi .	
1 1	0	M 220	1,000	453	0 6			{	M. 21 P. 9	8. 8 W. 13	0 13 2	1,150	401	0 7 0	*B1*23	Pimpalgaon Turak	

															APPE	NDD
<u> </u>									Under	. Горман	Settleman					
	-da	Ma	ximum F	lates.		Dry-crop.			Ric	e.		Garden.			Total.	
Name of Village.	Number of Group.	Dry- etrop.	Blos.	Garden.	Атеа.	Ausonement.	Averages	Å190.	Assessment,	Åverags.	Arres.	Assessment,	Average.	Area.	Assessment,	Average.
8	8	•	6	6	7	8	9	10	n	13	18	● 14	16	18	17	18
Group I-contd.		Rs. 4. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Ra.	Bs. a. p.	Aores.	Rs.	Bs. s. p.	Acres.	Ra.	Ba a. p.	Acres.	Ra.	Bs. s. p
Akalwádi	Ħ	1 10 0	-		656	232	0 5 8	***			-	******		685	282	0 5 8
Koregáon	IÌ	1 10 0		P.8.0 0	808	276	0 5 1			- '	P. 18	8. 81 W. 67	4 14 2	881	884	0 4 17
Pádli Tari A'le	m	1 8 0	1 B 0	M.1 8 0	2,640	1,001	0 6 1	3	8	1 9 0	Mr. 86	119	1 6 1	3,727	1,118	0 8 6
Total for Group I				***	98,424	41,189	Ø 8 8	22	21	0 15 3	3,499. Details. M. 3,255 P. 244	6,500 9 919 (S. 210-8 (W. 870-6	1 4 6 1 3 5 }2 6 1	101,945	46,710	0 7 5
Group II.		1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	1,706	402	0 8 9				M. 11	19	1 1 8	1,717	416	0 8 1
Dhoki	11	1 10 0		M.1 10 0	841	194	0 8 8		***		м. э	9	100	848	198	0 8 6
Do. Budruk,	п	1 10 0	,	M.1 10 0	2,637	688	0 8 10		***		M. 18	19	100	2.050	816	0 8 10
Hivre Korde	n	1 10 0		м. 1 10 0	5,83 0	1,248	0 5 11			***	M. 46	68,	160	8,875	1,308	0 6 5
Goreguon t:.	m	1 10 0	{	M.110 0 P.8 0 0	8,940	1,578	0 6 4			{	M. 119 P. 96	125 {8, 101 W, 220	3 5 6	4,148	3,019	07
Diksal	n	139 0		M. 1 10 0	1,380	668	078				М. 36	82	1 4 5	1,405	695	0 7 10
Pádli Tarf Kánhur .	ù	1 10 0		M. 1 10 0	1,505	922	0 9 9		**		M. 125	120	0 15 4	1,680	1,042	0 10 2
Jámgáon	II	1 10 0	·/-	M. 1 10 0	4,959	2,865	087	"	•••		M. 132	180	1 8 9	8,091	3,845	0 8 11
Sirgule	u	1 10 0	~	M. 1 10 0 M. 1 10 0	4	489 177	0 6 8	****	***	"	M. 24	· 26	110	967 508	514 247	0 9 8
Vadgám Gund Lonikolhváchi	ш	180	180	M.1 8 0	2,746	1,117	0 6 6	₁	٠-,	100	M. 27	89	1 9 5	9,834	1,207	0 8
Hange	m	180	1 1	M.1 8 0	5,048	8,224	0 10 2		144	>44	M. 239	291	1 9 0	5,282	8,616	0 10 2
Supe	щ	180	'	M.1 B 0	- 3,629	1,588	0 7 0		444	-	M. 144	104	1 5 6	3,778	1,782	076
Vaghunda Khurd	ш	180	~	M.180		415	0 9 1	٠.,	***	-	M. 69	· 67	1 7 4	1,490	607 - 998	0 10 3
Do. Budruk . Sultánpur	ш	1 B 0	"'	M.1 8 0	1,450 266	891 141	0.99	* 1	***	200 hay	M. 10	18	1 8 0	276	156	0.6
Mungai	ш	180		M.1 8 0		693	0 10 5				M, 19	21.	118	1,076	713	0 10 1
Vadner Haveli	m	180	1	M.1 8 0	2,019	921	0 7 2	745	-		M. 63	68	1 8 0	2,072	984	6 7 1
Gatewádi	ш	180		FF. T 8 0	1,197	499	0 6 6	484,	bu .		М., 18	15	1 2 5	1,210	804	0 0 1
Gháneghan	ш	180		M.I 8 0	879	457	0 8 8		٠.,,	,	M. 16	18 20	9 18 10	895 1,885	. 475 488	0 8 1
Játegáon	Ш	186		M.1 8 0	1,808	466 393	0 5 8		•••	~· 	M. 107	ı 7 81	0 13 1	1,482	478	0 6 1
Ralegali Sindi	ш	180		M.1 8 0	1,375 2,686	925	0 4 6	***	· · · ·	-	M. 120	120	0 14 8	2,608	1,085	0 5 10
Vadule	111	180		M.1 8 0	1,190	868	0 7 5		***	berë .	M. 24	18	0 19 0	1,214	574	07
Sángvi Surya	ш	1 8 0		м.180	1,773	671	9 6 0	***	•••	-	M. 70	75	111	1,849	748	0 8 4
Pimpalner	ш	1 8 0	{	M.1 8 0 P. 8 0 0	8,518	2,088	0 9 5			{	M. 88 P. 18	{8, 23 ₩. 78	1 S 1 7 S S	8,804	3,225	0 9 11
Májampur	ш	1.8 0		M.1 8 0	717	205	9 4 6		٠,	`	м. 6	6	100	728	271	04
Hakikatpur	ur	180	1	M.1 8 D		403	0 5 6		***	. -	M. 30	80	100	1,191	433	0 5 1
Bálegan Therpal	ш	180		M.180	-,	9 796 .	0 7 0			-	M. 102 M. 65	106 74	105	1,907	901 744	0 7 6
Gunore	ш	180	Į į	W.1 8 0		670	0 8 11	***	914	***	M. 65			777	468	0 9 1
Gádligaon Vadner Budruk	H	180		и. 1 8 0	777 R,111	468 1,017	097			_	M. 93	* 115	1 4 0	2,203	1,132	0 8 1
A'pdhap	ш	1 8 0		M.1 8 0	1,029	574	0 8 11	***	140		M. 58	64	1 8 8	1,082	638	0 9 1
Palve Khurd	m	1 8 0	1	M.1, 8 0	2,120	1,120	0 8 5				H. 101	129	1 6 8	3,221	1,248	0 8 1
Do, Budruk	ш	180	-	M.1 B 0	2,965	1,455	8 7 10	٠:	~ *	- ,	M., 88 M. 89	111	1 1 2 0	8,048		}
Vadgaon flavtal	TV	1 6 0	٠ {	M.1 5 0 P. 8 0 0	6,088	1,035	0 4 0		***	{	P. 18	{8. 6 ₩. 23	}1 10 8	4,148	1,099	• 4 :
Total of Group II		-	-		70,430	81,789	0 7 2	1	y 1	100	2,292 Details, M. 2,166	2,946 2,501	1 4 6	72,783	84,660	0 3 3
1			,	- 1						1	P. 127	8. 131 W, 814	}8 8 0		1.4	L

						Unon	Bavus	TOR SET	TLEMENT,		-		e.		i	1	1
ж	azimum I	Bates.	<u> -</u>	Dry-crop	.		Rice	•		Garden.			Total		Ingreage.	-	
Dri-erop.	Rios.	Garden.	Aroa.	Assessment.	Average,	Arra.	Assessment.	Average.	Area.	Assessment,	Average.	Ares.	Assessment,	Àverage.	Percentage Incr	Name of village	ņ
19	20	81	23	28	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	81	83	88	84	35	3
Ba, a. p	Be, a. p.	Ba, a. p.	Acres.	Rs.		Aores.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Acres.	Bs.	Rg, a. p.	Aores.	Rs.	Bs. a. p.		Group I-contd.	
	-		656	804	0 7 6		""	 ,		(B. 41		655	804	0 7 8	81.08	Akalwádi	
2 2 (2 2 (1 "	P. 080	2,840	863	0 5 8		",		P. 18	₩. 87	}5 7 1	681	460	084	81:27	Koregaon	
3 8 (***	98,424	1,314 59,125	0 7 11	* 2 22	26	1 8 0	M. 86-	6,671-8	1 11 8	101,945	1,464 58,822-8	0 9 2	\$1·18 \$8·92	Padli Tari Ale Total for Group I	-
							,		Details. M. 3,255 P. 244	6,027 S. 274 W. 870 8	1 8 8 }210 2						
							_			[W. 870 B	,					Group II.	
9 0 (M.200 M.200	1,708 811	502	0 4 8	***		113	м. 11	15	169	1,717	517	049	24-87	Dhoki	4
		M. 2 0 0	2,637	242 791	0 4 9				M. 3	- 18	188	848 2,850	344 807	0 4 7	24-95	Dhotre Khurd Do. Budruk	1
200		M.2 0 0	8,380	1,567	6 7 5				M. 45	77	111 4	8,875	1,684	078	24-92	Hivre Kords	4
30	1 {	M.200 P. 800	} 8, 940	1,968	0 7 11			{	M. 112 P. 96	156 8. 126 W. 220	1 6 8 }# 0 8	} 4,14s	2,468	098	24.95	Согодоста	4
e o e		M. 200	1,890	829	0 9 7			,,,,	м. 25	40	197	1,405	989	0 9 10	25:03	Diksal	. 4
200		M.200	1,505 4,959	1,152 8,881	0 12 2	***	•••		M. 176 M. 132	15°) 225	-1 8 8 111 8	1,680 5,091	1,302 9,556	012 0	24-95 24-91	Pádli Tari Könbur. Jámgaon	4
200		M. 200	848	818	011 6				M. 24	82	1 5 4	867	648	0 11 10	24-90	Siraule	4
		M.200	453	#21	0 7 9				м. 56	97	1 8 10	509	308	098	24-89	Vadgáon Gund	4
80 6 20 6	3 0 0	M.1200 M.1100	5,048	1,466	0 8 6	1	1	1 0 0	M. 239	117	188	2,824 5,282	1,594 4,613	0 9 11	81·23 81·23	Lonikolhyáchi Hange	N.C.
2 D (1	M. 200	3,629	3,084	0 9 2	"			M. 239	255	1 15 4	8,773	2,389	0 9 11	/81-26	Supe	61
		M, 9 0	729	545	0 13 11				M. 68	221	1,14 8	792	666	0 18 5	31 736	Vaghunde Khurd	5
200	7	M. 2 0 0	1,450	1,169	0 19 10	-	•••		M. 40	62	189	- 1,490	1,281	0 13 2	51.23	Do. Budrak	
	-	M. 200	208 1,057	165 908	0 18 8	-		"	M. 10 M. 19	20 28	178	276 1,078	· 205	0 11 10	81·47 31·27	Sultánpur Mungsi	54 85
	•	M. 2 0 0	2,019	1,309	0 9 6				M. 58	68	100	3,072	1,392	0 9 11	81-30	Vadner Haveli	64
200	-	M-200	1,107	642	0 B 6	, 			м. 18	20	187	1,210	669	0 8 9	81-84	Gatewádi "	- 5
206 206)	M. 200	1,802	- 600 619	0 10 11		***	.""	M. 16 M. 28	. 94 . 26	180	896 1,825	634 638	011 1	81·36 81·27	Ghanegáon ,	
	.i	M. 200	1,876	514	0 5 11				M. 107	108	0 15 10	1,482	620	0 6 8	81.07	Ralegan Sindi"	d
3 0 (-	M. 800	2,686	1,214	072		-		M. 20	144	183	2,806	1,850	0 7 8	81-90	Pánol s	81
80 80]	M. 200	1,100	780 881	0 9 9	***		1**	M. 34	25	100	1,314 1,848	754 979	0 9 11	31·36	Vadule s Sángvi Sprya	61
2 D (,	M. 2 0 0 P. 6 0	3,518		l	***	7"	۳.,	M. 88	98	176	3.664	9,897	0 18 0	81.21	Pimpalner	86
	1 : 1	1	1	2,760	013 5	. ***	""	- {	P 1	{8. 76 ₩. 76	}7 13 8			4			-
8 0 C	-	M. 200	1, 161	260 536	0 8 0	•••	-		14. 6	. 8	1 6 4 1 4 B	728 . 1,191	977 687	061	91·27 31·25	Majampur Hakikatpur	66
300	-	M.200	1,805	1,048	078	-		***	M. 102	139	157	1,907	1,188	0 9 11	11:50	Rálegan Thorpal	67
8 0 6	1	M. 2 0 0	1205	879	0 12 8	1		'	M. 65	97	1 7 10	1,270	9 1 16	0 19 8	9 1 10	Gunore	68
8 0 (8 0 (J	 M 800	777	814	0 12 7		-	-	****	Mel Pels		777	614	0 18, 7	81·27	Gådligson	. 60
2 0 I		M. 8 0 0	1,039	1,886	010 1	-	-	_	M. 53	161 84	1108	2,202 1,093	1,486 837	0 10 9	83-19	Vadner Budrok Apdbup	70
s 0 (-	M. 8 0 0	1,190	1,470	0 11 1	-	_	_	M. 101	169	1 10 7	3,221	. 1,438	0 11 0	31-15	Palve Khurd	72
2 4 (-	M. 2 0 0	2,965	1,910	0 10 8	-	 .		M. 68	146	118 1	8,080	2,058	G 10 P	31-3 6	Do. Bedruk	78
2 0 0	- {	M. # 0 0 P. 8 0 0	4,008	1,468	0 4 8	-	-	-{	M. 89 P. 18	{B. 11	1 6 6 }1 28 4	} #148	1,570	069	-42-73	Vadgasto Savial "	- 74
	-		70,430	41,925	0 9 4	1	1	100	3,202	8,796	1 10 0	72,728	44,949	0 9 10	29-86	Total of Group M.	84
	1]	1		,			İ	Details. M. 2,165 P. 127	8,24A 18. 166	1 7 11 } 8 19 5				1		
		1	1	1	1	l	}	\$		}(₩. 814	,,	l '	1	۱ . - '	١	i	

. 1	j				. 1										<u> </u>		_
	Name of village.	group.	Max	imum re	tes.		Dry-crop.		_	Rice	•		Garden.			lotal,	ī
Number.		Rumber of g	Dry-crop.	Bioe.	Garden.	Area.	Assessment.	A verage.	Arm.	Азвенителя.	Avenage.	Area.	Assessment.	Avonge.	Ares.	Amegraent	
1	. 2	8	4	5	•	7	8	9	to	11	19	18	` 14	15	16	17	ľ
1	Group III.		Rs. a. p.		Be, s, p.	Acres.	Rs.	Ra. a. p.	Aores.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Agres.	/ Ra.	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Re,	,
75	Malkup	m	180	***	M. 180	1,608	929	0 -8 10				M. 85	36	105	1,708	988	ı
76	Kálkup	111	1 8 0		M. 180	1,498	1,006	0 10 9	ı			M. 80	100	1.87	1,579	1,108	Į,
77	BhAlpani	ш	1 8.0		ML 180	4,824	3,741	0 10 1		1	1	M. 213		I a I	4,658	8,089	1
			i	. (P. 800	,	1	1	}	1	\ \ \	P. 17	₹W, 80	8 6 7	ľ		ľ
78	Vadgáon Amble	Ш	1 9 0	***	M. 190	968	888	0 14 7	-	-	-	M. 160		1 6 7	1,128	1,109	1
79	Bhándgánn	III	1 8 0	***	M. 180	1,230	701	0 10 8	1	-	-	M. 98	}	188	1,828	909	ľ
80	Bárolá Adbái	ш	1 8 0	194	M. 180	1,607	587	0 6 10	ł	***		Mr. 90	i	117	1,897	088	ľ
81	Daithane Ganjál	III	180		M. 180	5,487	1,506	0 10 1		274		Mr. 200	1	1 8 10	2,678	1,821	1
82	Bhoyará Pathár an	111	1		M. 180	1,498	763	0 8 1	-	-		M. 88	· ·	1 0 11	1,581	798	ľ
83	Bhoyará Kd Sháhájápur	III	180		M. 180	1,434	859 423	0 6 2	-	-"	-	ML 84	1	0 15 2	1,408	604 460	1
84		III	1 8 0		M. 180	2,102	ł	1 ' ']]		+		1	2,170	i i	ľ
85	Pimpalgaon Kliauda			•			618	0 6 2]	-					l i	8/1	ľ
56	Valvane	ĹΙΙ	1 9 0	,	M. 180	2,580	1,123	0 6 11	·	**	l " ,	ML. 175	1	169	3,165	1,956	ľ
87	Ráyatále	íu	1 8 0	{	P. 800	1,883	- 962	0 8 4	-	-	{	P. 76	40 04	8 8 4	3,015	1,843	ľ
.8	Astagáon	111	1 9 0	<u></u>	M. 180	8,278	1,428	0 0 21				M, 168		1 8 4	8,446	1,647	ŀ
ê9	Báburdi	m	180		M. 180	.1,498	851	0 9 1				M. 124	178	1 6 2	2,620	1,628	l
90	Bhoyre Gangarda .	ш	180	***	M. 186	2,051	884	0 8 10				M. 62	88	1 6 8	2,113	973	ŀ
ญ	Kadus	m	1 8 0	{	M, 180	3.785	1,851	0 7 2			{	M. 82		110	9,820	1,829	١,
92	Pádali Yevati	ш	.1 0 0		P. 800 M. 180	} _{8,089}	792	0 4 3	-40	,	- 1	P. 12 M. 136	134	0 15 9	3,267	1,055	١,
	1		1	, f	P, 800	μ.		1	-	, ·**	""	P. 48	(""	}8 1 1	<i>y</i>		
93	Vådegavan	m	1 8 0	Laga	M. 180	5,193	1,713	0 8 8	•••		-	M. 818	843	182	8,510	2,095	10
944	Kurund	III	1 8 0		M. 180	1,819	512	0 4 6	**	****	-	M. 87	ļ	1 2 1	1,855	664	10
95	Kohokadi	in	180	100	M. 180	2,787	1,285	0.7.4	-	-		M. 20	[1 4 11	2.849 1.706	1,857	1
96	Mhass Kd.	ш	1 8 0		M, 180	1,688	887	0.8 4	146	-		M. 20		0 14 4	1.700	,912	1
97	Cajdipur	IV	2 8 0	{	M. 160 P. 800	886	150	0 2 3			{	P. 4	{8. 3 ₩. 8	}s 78 0	} 880	170	ľ
œ	Vandante	14	7 6 0	{	M. 160 P. 800	8,408	953	0 4 1	44		- {	M. 86	8. 4 W. 7	0 15 6	3,475	1,097	ŀ
269	Tás	Ι¥	1 8 0	m		879	213	0 8 12		٠,.	-		6 041	· .	879	212	ļ
oen	Degwandi	ĬΔ	1 6 0	ii.	M. 160	1,749	683	0.5 4			-	ML 15	137	1 8 1	1,768	600	1
101	Pokhari * %.	ΙV	1 6 0	-	M. 160	8,190	1,684	0 8 8	-			M. 30	40	154	8,220	1,7%	ŀ
108	Palsapur	IA	1 8 9	1.6	M. 160	8,908	571	0 8 1		9	100	Mt, 19	ī	184	8,686	608	0
108	Katalwedhe	ĮΨ	1 0 6	180	M, 160 P. 800	3,220	597	0 2 23	15	15	0 18 9	M. 7	8. 8 W. 8	1 2 8	3,240	626	1
104	Ránjangáon	Ť	100	{	W. 800	7,187	2,679	0 0 0	.,		{	M. 175	(S. 70	8 10 10 \$4 4 6	7,422	# 8,912	
أ	' 			<u> </u>	P. 800	Ľ				<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	P. 110	₹₩. 401*				L
30	Total of Group III	Si .			·	76,807	80,887	0.84	24	31	0 14 0	2,855	6,409	1 8 5	79,218	84,781	١,
_				-		ļ	 				ļ <u>.</u>			<u> </u>			-
					1		-				}	Details. M. 2,612 P. 278	8,494 {8. 868 W. 714	1 5 0			
104	Grand Total	Tree.	*	_		245,161	103,284		47	. 48	0 14 7	8,678	11,849	1 5 10	259,864	115,176	١,
.va		! ",	"] -	₹.	200,101	100,200		"	_	" " '	Details.		1			ľ
	•	ľ	1	ļ ·	"		'	7		•	\	IM A 039	9,854 (8 596 9 (W 1,398 8	1 8 7 }a 1 0	.	į	l.
- 1	_		t		}	l	j	Į	1	l	· `	1	ć μ° τ'992 β	1			ĺ

Q-continued.

		, 🔺			¥	Usda	n Rav	mion Ber	Lement,								
rin	num h	atos.		Dry-erop.			Ric	0.		Garden.	,		Total.		Increase.	N1	
	Bioe.	Garden.	Aras.	A uneszment.	Aruzga.	Δrve.	Assessment,	Average.	Årra.	Assesment.	Average.	Ara.	Assessment	Average.	Porcentage In	Name of village.	
_	20	21 ,	29	28	24	25	98.	37	. 28	29	80	81	82	38	84	85	ļ
Ra	. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Aores.	Rs.	Ba. a. p.	Agres.	Ba,	Ra, a, p	Acres.	Rs.	Re. a. p.	Acres.	Re.	Bs.a. p		Group II.	1
		M. 1140		1,152	0 11 0			•••	м. за	`45	-146	1,708	1,107	0 11 2	24.94	Málkap	-1
		M. 1140	1,408	1,257	0,18 4		***	7,	ML, 86	125	178	1,579	1,382	0 14 0	24.05	Kā)kup	4
		M. 1 14 0	4,821	8,428	0 12 8		,	{	M. 312		1 6 7	4,553	8,789	0 18 8	25 00	Bhályani "	J
	٦	P. 8 00	ייע				"	۱ . " ۱	P. 17	16.11.	}8 11 8	1,128	1,886	187	24-97	Vadgáon Amble	
		M. 1160	1	1,104	1 2 1			-	M. 160		1 12 2	1,828	1,186	0 18 8	21.97	Bhándgáon	1
	***	M. 1 14 0	1,280	999	0 12 10	i i	٦٣.	***	M. 90	1	1 6 0	1,697	853	0 8 1	25-07	Sárolá Adbei	./
	117	M, 1140		784	0.12	1	***	***	M. 200	820	1 8 10	2,678	2,276	0 18 7	24.98	Deithene Gunjál	۱.
	***	M. 1 14 0		1,956 954	0 70 2				M. 8	į	1 5 4	1,631	998	0 10 6	83*06	Bhoyara Pathar	٠
		M. 1160 M. 1160	1 1	699	9 7 6			444	M. 34		1 10 4	1,468	755	0 8 2	26.00	Bhoyard Kd,	٠
		M. 1 14 0	962	529	0 8			***	M. 39	46	1 2 10	1,001	678	0 9 2	25-00	Sháhájápar	
•		M. 1 14 0	2,103	1,016	078			·	M. 68		1 4 0	3,170	3,101	0 8.1	24.97	Pimpalgao: Khauda	١
		-] -	٠,	}					291	1 10 7	2,755	1,695	0 9 10	25 00	Válvane	,
		M. 114 0	2,680	1,401	0 8 8	-		**	М. 178		1 10 11	h i	ļ	i .		ł	
	11	M. 1 14 0	1,889	1,202	0 10 1			l {	M. 10:	(8. 117	3 B B	2,015	1,638	0 18 0	56-44	Rayatale .	
	Ų	P. 8 0 0	ا ا		١	j		' . '	M. 166	W. 147	1 10 8	8,446	30,059	0 9 6	25 01	Astagáon	٠.
	- 1	M. 1 14 6		1,779	0 8 8] "	-	' -	M. 124	215	111 8	1,620	1,379	0 12 7	25'02	Báburdi "	٠.
		M, 1 14 0	1,496	1,084		1 .	***	411	м. в	1	1 12 4	2,718	1,215	0 9 2	25 00	Bhoyrá Gangardá	
		M. 1 14 0	1 '	1,105	087		""		M. 81	1	1.5 0	h		ا ا	24*96	Kadus	ĺ
	4 1	M. 1 14 0 P. 8 0 0	3,785	1,564	0 8 11	-	114		e. 12	∫®: 16	18 6 4	2,829	1,816	0 9 3	33 790	Kadus	
	- 1	P. 6 00 M. 1140	K I		1)	•]]	M. 186		1 8 7	, ,,,,,	1 0000	0 6 4	24.03	Pádii Y (wati	J
		P 8 0 1	3,088	990	9 6 1		411		P. 45	(S 81	}8 5 6	8,267	1,293	" "	and 946	1	
	-	M. 1 16 9	5,192	R,141	0 8 1				vr. 818	1-	1 8 0	5,510	- 2,618	077	24:09	Vádega vahi	-
		M. 1 14 9	1,810	610	0 8 1		- <u> </u>		M. 8		168	1,858	699 -	0 5 11	37 60	Karand ·	-
		M. 1 14 0		1,606	0 0 1	ŀ	_		м. ы	90	1 10 2	2,842	1,698	0 9 6	21 98	Kohokadi	٠
		M, 1 14 0		1,109	0 10	(M. 20	81	189	1,708	1,140	0 10 8	25 00	Mhase Kd	1
		M. 1 14 0	h		i	ł		١,	м. 10		182	} 980	226	0 8 6	34.56	Gajdipur	۱.
		P. 8 00	1 966	202	0 8	-		- 1	P. 4	₩8]s o o) ···				1 ::	1
	ſ	M. 1 14 0	h	,	١	1	١.		M. 60		1 4 10	8,175	1,377	0 6 4	31-31	Vánkute	
		P. 8 00		1,279	0 0	7	-		LP. e	{8. 8 ₩. 7	}200	ν.				Tás	0
			879	285	g 18 (·			***	879	. 285	0 13 0	84-19	Deswandi	
	.,,	M. 1 14 0	1,748	763	0 7	-			М. 18	1	1 8 6	1,763	806	0 7 8	34:03 84:39	Pokhadi	1
	41	M. 1140	8,190	2,203	0 4	-			M. 80		1 12 9	8,220	2,317 810	0 4 4	84.83	Palaspur 3	1
4		M. 1140	1	767	0 4 1	9	12	1 6 6	M. 16		1 20 1	2,956	020	"	-	_	
1 1		M. 1 14 0		8/2	0 81	3.5	- 16	1 1 0	M. 1	15 4	1 8 1 }1 11 8	8,850	841	0 4 1	84 85	Kátálwedho	
	٠,٠	P. 8 00	1			1		'	P. 1	(₩. Β	1 10 0	ĥ.					1
		M. 1 14 0	7.13	8,395	0 18	-			M. 176	CS 151	} 6 13 4	9,432	6,310	0 13 4	70'3	Ránjangáon	i
		P. 8 00	۱	' `	1	١.	١.	۱ '	P. 110	(W. 401	ا - "	Ì)				1
_						 	 		 								ļ
		}	#6.SD7	40 186		34			9,885	8,017	1 19 8	79,918	45,801 -	0 9 1	90.83	Total of Group, 111	1
	-		76,307	40,186	8.	7	~	-		1							1
-	} _	 					-		1	1	1						١
	,	1		1	1	1		1	Details M 3,61	6,021	188	1	1	۱ ، ۱			Ì
		l						1	P. 207	1/0 200	{s 15 0						1
			245 161	1,84,638	0 8	9 67	56	1 8 8	8,67	1 -	1 10 8	263,894	1,49,072 8	9 9	29.78	Grand Total +	*
		-	1			1	ł	1	Details	19,294	1 8 8	h	1	(· ·	1
		1	1		1				M. 6, 3			}	ľ			}	Ì
		I	ļ	1	i	ſ	1	1	1	{₩. 1,396	ت تروا	ľ	Į	1	l	1	1

-			,					,	Urdn	Говн	IN SHITE	BRBWZ,					
ļ	Râms of village.	a	Ma	zimum B	ates.		Dry-crop,		<i></i>	Bloc	,		Garden.			Total.	
Number.		Number of group.	Dry-erop.	Blos.	Garden.	Area.	Astengra ent.	Average	Area.	Assessment	Average,	Ama.	Assessment.	Avangs	Arm.	Aspessment,	A vertacre.
1		8	•	5	8	7	8	9	16	11	128	13	14 .	15	16	17	1i
N	IMAM VILLAGES. Group I.		Re. s. p	Rs. a. p	Re, a, p.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Aores.	Ba,	Re.	Acres.	Bg.	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Ra.
2	Alkuti	m	1 10		P. 6 00	8,353	1,869	0 8 11				P. 111	S. 61	}2 18 8	3,464	3,300	0 11
9	Pimpri Pathar	ш	1 10	{	M. 1 10 0 P. 6 0 0)	494	0 10 1			* {		{8. 11 ₩. 87	1 \$ 10 } 2 10 8	889	594	0 1
. 8	Karand:	1	1 16	∮	M. 1 14 0		3,048	0 10 8	-			M. 81	1	1 8 8	8,116	3,161	01
4	koni Mavlyschi	111	1 10	- {	M, 1 10 0		1,677	0, 1	-		{	M. 91 P. 801		1 8 4 }2 1 6	8,768	9,195	0 1
-	· \ •	-		1-		-						 			-		 -
,	Total of Group I	-	•		**	10,689	5,988	0 0		161		M. 16	198	3 0 2 1 8-3 }2 5 5	}	7,080	0 1
,		-	-	-	 		,,		-	<u> </u>		 			<u> </u>	 	-
5	Group II. Bighoj	111	1 10	0 1	P. 8 0 0	1	5,097	0 8 6		-		P. 887	6. 421 W. 567	}2 14 10 1 8 0	i	6,085	0.3
6	Javle	ΙV	1 8	o {	M. 1 8 0		9,867	0 10 1		-		M. 15	1	300	4,081	2,819	0 1
7	Navayan Gav han	n	1 19	d{	M. F12 (1,201	0 8-				M. 2 P. 16	1 88 18. 203	1 8 0	8,210	1,587	0
, 8	Mbasne	17	1.5	1	M. 1 80		480	0 6 2				м. 7		176	1	587	10 7
. 		-		-	ļ	-		-	-	-		-		 	ļ ——	 	-
*	Total of Group II			-		17,42	9,148	0 8 4	-	544		88	1	9 8 5	18,905	11,012	0 9
,	,				}					`		M. 25 P. 62	826 7 {8. 761 W. 810	3 8 1	}		
	,		 	<u> </u>	ļ.,.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_		ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		ļ	_
9	" Group III. Mandyl Kd	14	-	d	M. 1 8	1,16	914	0 12 1				M. S	85	161	1,178	950	0 12
10	Palshi	14	. -	1	M. 1 8	٠	ł		1 .			м. в	3 47	0 18 5	} 12,698	8,910	0.4
					P. 8 0 0	7						M. 17		1 2 8	,		
11	Dhavalpuri	114	1 8	1 '	P. 8 0	10,11		0 % 8	-	-	- 1	P. 17	11.00	} 4 1 1 6 10	10,872	8,608	0 3
12	Rui	4	1.0	9	P. 8 G	3,19		ſ	1	-		P. 13	8. 155 W. 822	}4 1 9	2,401	1,711	0 11
19	Pimpri Gavli 🕳	17	1 6	0 ***	ML I BY	3,24	1,200	6 B C				М. 6	76	1 8 5	2,207	1,975	0 8
	, ,		1	1	1					•		60	1,184	114 6	39,241	77.44	
5	Total of Group III	"	-			37,63		0 4 4	-	-	"	M. 41:	4	1 9 6	}	11,465	
إنجع	-	_	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 `							 	ļ	1	-
18	Grand Total	-	-		-	00	25,426	0 6 2	-		~ {	2,081 ML 881 P. 1,97	4.148 1,037 18. 1,296 W. 1,830	1 8 9	87,728	Se.00	

						Uso	er Re	nge noisie	TLEMENT.	Sa					, 7.1 20	
М	arimum	Rates.	•	Dry-crop.			Bio	e.		Garden.			Total.		Іпстеває г содава 17.	Name of Village.
Dry-crop.	Ribe.	Garden.	Area.	Assessment.	Averages	Area.	Assessment.	Average.	Area.	Åssessment.	Average.	Area.	Assosment.	Average.	Percentage I column 82 over	
19	20	21	223	28	24	25	286	27	29	29	80	81.	82	29	. 84	35
a. D.	Re. a. p.	Bs. a. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Acres.	Re.	Rs. s. p.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.		IMAM VIIMAGES.
\$ 0		P. 8 0 G	3,853	3,453	0 11 8	-			P. 111	ł	3 2 5	8,481	2,803	0 12 11	81-24	Group I.
8 0	-{	M. 3 20 P. 8 00	t 777	616	0 13 4	·		{		{8. 14 ₩. 87	1 5 1 2 18 4	839	757	0 14 5	20-26	Pimpri Pathár
8 0	{	M. 2 2 0 P. 6 0 0	8,046	2,396	0 12 1		•••	{	M. 20 P. 40	{8. 52 ₩. 31	1 5 4 }2 1 3	3,116	3,419	0 12 5	19 11	Karandi
3 0	{	M. 2 20 P. 8 00	8,468	2,070	0 9 (-		- {	M. 91 P. 209	144 {8. 245 W. 251	1 9 8	3,763	2,710	0 11 6	81-21	Loni Mav!yáchı
			10,639	7,487	0 11 2	-		{	543 M. 65 P. 878	1,223 242 8. ** 391 W. 589	3 4 0 1 7 5 }2 9 5	11,182	8,689	0 13 5	24:78	Total of Group I .
0 0		P. B 10 0	9,566	6,632	0 11	-			P. 170	{g. 265 ₩. 404	}8 14 11	9,736	7,301	0 11 11	24 99	Group II,
6 9	- {	M. 2 0 0 P. 8 0 0	t 8748	8,107	0 18 1	-		{	M. 158 P. 127		1 9 0	4,031	8,654	0 14 6	S1·26	Javie .
0 0	{	M. 2 00 P. 8 00	8 029	1,389	0 7			- {	M. 24 P. 363	88	1 9 4 }2 2 4	8,316	1,789	0 8 10	16 65	Narsyau Gavhan .
00) ·-	M. 2 00	1,240	680	0 8				M. 73	140	1 14 8	1,822	770	0 9 8	\$1·17	Mhaene
**		-	17,592	11,756	0 10 1		. =1	. ,	718 M. 353 P. 460	1,749 422 (S. 680 W. 47	3 7 3 1 10 8 } 3 14 1	18,305	18,507	0 11 9	25168	Total of Group 11
	<u> </u>				 	-		<u> </u>			ļ					a
14 0	1 ,	M. 1 14 0 M. 1 16 0	1,147	1,142	0 16 11			(M. 26	l	111 8	1,178	1,187	102	24-94	Group III. Mándvi .
14 a	,	P. 8 00 M. 1 14 0	18,930	6,181	0 8 4			- {	P. 6 M. 179	{8. 4 ₩. 0	}0 10 8 1 9 1	12,998	8,348	0 8 5	34.85	Palebi .
14 0		P. 8 00 M, 1140	} 19,119	4,333	0 8 7	-	***	- {	P. 74 M. 96	/a an	}1 4·11	19,872	4,710	0 8 10		Dhavalpuri ,
14 0 14 (, ,	P. 8 9 0 M. 1 14 0	} 2,190 2,241	1,872	0 10 0			{ 		{8. 194 W. 328	}4 7 2 1 10 10	3,401	2,058 1,594	013 8	24-98 , 25-01	Rui Pimpr Gavii .
-							<u> </u>				<u> </u>					,
"		ent.	87,633	19,587	0 8 8				608 M. 413 P. 196		3 1 5 1 9 8 }3 2 4	88,241	14,797	0 8 2		
			65,864	83,753	0 7 11		_	<u> </u>	1,884	4,261			36,993	0 8 8	2/70	Grand Total .
					}			}. {	M. 834	1,317	1 9 4	h				

62
Statement showing the proposed increases in percentages and in annas per rupee of existing assessments in Parner Taluka.

sed group	.	N:	mber of liages.			Propose grop r	d dry ate.	Increase per cent.		
1			2	. 8		•		. 8	8	
ernment Uages.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Re.	38.	Rs.	28.		Re.	85.
151	***		1.	1	14	2	2	18.3	0	2
***		4	36	1	10	2	2	30.7	9	5
***	•••		1	1	8	2	2	41.6	0	61
	•••		10 1	1	10	2	0	23.0	0.	4
***	***		25	1	8	2	0.	38.8	0	5
***	***		1	1	. 6	*2	0	45.4.	0.	7
•••			. 22	1	8.	1	14	25.0	0	4
•••	•••		7	1	6	1	14	86-3	0	51.
***	•••		1	. 1	0	1	14	70.8	0	11
n village	8'.		· •							
***	400		1.	1	14	. 2	2	13.3	0	2
•••			8	1	~ 1 0	2	2	30.7	0	5 -
	4.		1	1	12	2	G	14.28	. 0.	21
•••	•••		1	1	10	. 2	0	23.0	0	. 4
	•••		2	1	8	2	0	* . 33.3	0	5
***	•••		• 3	1	8	1	14	25.0	0	. 4
***	•••	:	2	1	6	1,	. 14	36.3	0	51
	rernment diages.	n villages.	n villages.	1	1 2 8			Rec. Section Rec.	Rec. Section Per cent. Per cent.	Red group Villages Crop rate Crop rate Per cent Per rule

Below Settlement Report No. S.—393, dated 22nd May 1916, from the Assistant Settlement Officer, Western Talukas, Ahmednagar, to the Collector of Ahmednagar.

No. Lnd. 79-1916 of 1916.

Ahmednagar, 2nd June 1916.

Forwarded with compliments to the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Poona.

2. The report submitted by Mr. Garrett was to a considerable extent drafted by Mr. Hey before he left. The grouping and rates were settled between. Mr. Hey and myself in consultation. I saw the northern half of the taluka in the famine year and the remainder in the year following. I have again seen some of it this year.

The taluka was comparatively lightly hit in the last famine, and its history shows that towards the west at any rate it enjoys a more certain rainfall than most of the district. Mr. Garrett, judging from statistics alone, is under the impression that the average total rainfall is less than the neighbouring taluka of Nagar though its distribution is better. He has however only examined the figures for the last ten years. The statistics given in the last statistical atlas shew clearly that the rainfall is superior to that of the Nagar Taluka, and it is a matter of common knowledge that it is less liable to drought, while the pathar lands are specially favoured climatically.

- 3. In paragraph 16 Mr. Garrett notes there has been no extension of the wheat area, but he omits to mention that the pathar wheat has a valuable reputation of its own in all local markets where it commands a specially high price. The year 1913-14 was a good kharif but a bad rabi year.
- 4. As regards communications I must correct a few misconceptions. The toll at Takli covers the Nagar-Párner road vid Jamgaon which is in very fair order and provides access to far the most important market for Párner produce.

The branch road to Takli-Dhokeshwar constructed in the famine of 1899-1900 is hardly used and is not of sufficient importance to justify heavy expenditure by the impoverished District Local Board, and its construction vid Sawargaon to Junnar is entirely out of the question so long as the Poona authorities shew their inability to keep their roads in passable condition.

In any case it is a far cry to Junnar and the Anna Ghát would be presumably costly to maintain. Nagar is the natural market.

The Parner-C hincholi road with its continuation to Alkuti is quite passable in the fair season and steps are being taken to eliminate gradually the few difficult crossings that remain.

- 5. Mr. Hey's comparison between the Thákurs and Kanadis of Akola and the Párner ryot is justified. At the same time the Párner cultivator has not the same incentive to protect and improve his fields. Even in Párner however good work has been done where there is a demand for land in the more hilly portions.
- 6. The figures given in paragraph 29 are interesting and afford a marked contrast, as I anticipated they would, to the similar statistics worked out for Shevgaon. The taluka undoubtedly suffered no such set back at the last famine as the eastern talukas. In paragraph 46 there has been a slip of the pen. The villages quoted are of course those in which rents have an exceptionally high ratio to the assessment, the percentage of rent represented by the assessment being less than 20. The next paragraph makes this clear.
- 7. The comparison with Nagar given in paragraph 48 is interesting and I believe perfectly fair. With a better appreciation of the superiority of the Parner climate, less surprise will be caused by these statistics.

- 8. The prices given by Mr. Garrett in his paragraph 52 are a little remarkable. As noted above, the Junnar market is of little importance. Ghodnadi, i. e., Sirur, is the principal market for the southern villages, and Nagar for the rest.
- 9. Mr. Garrett has rightly commented on the labour difficulties which have been taken into consideration when submitting all these settlement proposals. A large family with several working members is not affected, but I have been much struck in making certain recent enquiries with the numerous cases of a general decline in prosperity dating from a reduction of man power and the employment of unsatisfactory servants.
- 10. As regards transfers of land from agriculturists to non-agriculturists, I commented in the last administration report on the unreliability of the figures owing to the difficulties of classification. Mr. Garrett's explanation is probably correct.
- 11. Coming to the proposals themselves, I recommend the adoption of the groups and rates suggested. The reduction of Kanhur from its pride of place is obviously sound. The suggestion that the northern groups enjoy better rainfall is not, I think, correct; the rainfall tends to decrease towards Sangamner. The new grouping is, I consider, an undoubted improvement on the old, and its appropriateness is fully borne out by the figures given in paragraph 47. I agree with the view taken as regards Padali-Ale and Ranjangaon. Supa can also well bear the increase proposed.
- 12. In paragraph 71 the percentage increases are clearly shewn. No new group exceeds the 33 per cent. limit. Individual villages do, but to this there can be no objection.
- 13. A wise discretion has, I think, been exercised in dealing with the patasthal rates. The abnormally favourable late rains of last monsoon set pats running all over the district, but such occasional advantages may well be lightly taxed.
- 14. I recommend a 30 years' guarantee on the understanding that the same will be recommended for Nagar.
- 15. I accept the proposals made for the Inam villages for the reasons given, including those for Patasthal lands.

(Signed) P. J. MEAD, Collector of Ahmednagar.

No. S. T.-81.

Poona, 12th June 1916.

From

R. D. BELL, ESQUIRE, M.A., B.Sc., I. C. S.,
Acting Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records;

To

THE COMMISSIONER, CENTRAL DIVISION.

Sir.

- I have the honour of forwarding a Revision Settlement Report by Mr. J. H. Garrett, I. C. S., on the Parner Tajuka of the Ahmednagar District. The remarks of the Collector, Mr. Mead, accompany. The enquiries in the villages were made by Mr. N. H. Hey, I. C. S., who was unable to complete his report on account of illness. Notwithstanding the obvious difficulties with which he had to contend Mr. Garrett has submitted an excellent report.
- 2. Mr. Mead points out that the climate and rainfall of the taluka are somewhat superior to that of the adjoining taluka of Ahmednagar. The rainfall appears to be also better than it is, on the whole, in its southern neighbour, the Sirur Taluka of the Poona District. Exactly what this superiority amounts to will be discussed later.
- 3. As regards crops, the taluka stands or falls by its jowari and bajri, though wheat and the kharif pulses are important. The fallow area is not large; the average for the five years ending 1913-14 was only 8.4 per cent. of the occupied area (Appendix B, col. 14). It should be noted that the statement in paragraph 14 of the report is incorrect. It has been compiled by adding statistics of six villages to the figures for 1913-14 in Appendix B and deducting the returns of one village (Padli Ale). If the areas for wheat and "unsown" in paragraph 14 are compared with columns 23 and 14 of Appendix B, 1913-14, the incorrectness of paragraph 14 will be clear.
- 4. Irrigated cultivation is not important or of a high class. The area irrigated fluctuates considerably; in Appendix B it is shown as 4,300 acres in 1905-06 and as 13,200 acres in 1911-12; apparently water-sources were greatly improved by the heavy rainfall, 34 inches, of 1910. There is a recorded increase of 80 per cent. in wells but nothing to correspond in irrigated area. The average irrigated area, 11,234 acres, for the four years 1885-86 to 1888-89 may be compared with the figures in column 12 of Appendix B. It is probable that the explanation is the same as that elicited by two censuses of wells referred to in my report on Sirur—that many more wells than those returned as "in disuse" are never used.
- 5. There has been practically no improvement in communications during the lease. The Dhond-Manmád Railway barely touches the táluka in the southeast corner. The táluka appears to be not ill-off for roads. I agree with the local officers about the desirability of improving the old Nagar-Junnar road. The ghát portion between Ana and Belha in Junnar Táluka is in bad order, and as part of an inter-district road this portion might be restored at the expense of the Provincial Government. Junnar is still an important mart for bájri from the Nagar District.
- 6. The increase in population during the current settlement is an indication of prosperity. The present numbers of cattle are satisfactory. Appendix F must be read with caution. The figures for 1834-85 are incomplete. The year 1891-92 was a bad season when a drop in the numbers of agricultural stock was recorded over most of the Decean. Cattle in 1894-95 were as numerous as they are now. The return for 1898-99 is obviously wrong. The cropped area per pair of plough-cattle is given as 20 acres in 1915 and on that figure it cannot be said that cattle are more than sufficient for moderately good cultivation.

- 7. The selling and letting values of land are good. The tables given in paragraph 41 of the report are not, however, in accord with Appendix K. The rental statistics in Appendix M should perhaps be applied with caution. From paragraph 43 of the report it appears that they include rents for a fixed quantity of produce. It would have assisted in an appreciation of the statistics if these had been shown separately from cash rents. It is generally the case that rents in kind are on a higher scale than cash rents; indeed, they are often only an estimate of what the landlord's share of the crop would be on the customary share basis. In a bad season the landlord usually has to remit part of a fixed rent paid in kind while arrears of cash rents are more commonly added to the following year's rental. Some caution has also to be observed in transforming rents in kind into cash equivalents; it is not quite se simple an operation as it may appear on paper to be. I consider that Appendix M has lost some of its value by the combination of cash rents with rents in kind and the absence of any explanation as to how the latter were converted into cash equivalents.
- 8. Prices have risen greatly during the settlement but I cannot accept the comparison of the period 1908-1915 with the ante-settlement decade 1873-74 to 1832-83. To begin with, prices fluctuated in the wildest fashion during this decade; the return of prices given at page 25 of the last Settlement Report (Selection CLXXVII) shows that in 1877-78 and 1879-80 prices were about 4 times what they were in 1874-75 and later in 1881-82. If the discussion on prices in my report on Sirur is recollected it will be recognized that any arithmetical average for this period has no practical meaning. In the second place, while it is in one sense true that the current settlement was based on the prices of the decade just discussed, in the present case, and to some extent in all cases, this may be described as somewhat in the nature of a settlement fiction. In dealing with this very subject at the last settlement of the Párner Táluka the Survey and Settlement Commissioner, Mr. T. H. Stewart, alluded to the argument of "one of the able officers who sat upon the Deccan Riots' Commission that the period to be selected for the purpose of comparison with recent prices should be that in which it is incontestably shown that the settlement was successful, instead of adopting arbitrarily a period immediately preceding or succeeding the early settlement." The wisdom of that argument will not be contested, though the argument need not be pushed to extremes. The current rates were first levied in Párner in 1885-86. According to Appendix N prices at Párner are not available before 1888-89. It appears, however, from paragraph 52 of the report, and it will be accepted on general grounds, that the Price record of Ahmednagar will serve the purposes of this discussion. The following table is compiled from the Government of India publication "Prices and Wages in India." Prices are in rupees per maund so that the averages are truer than when working with seers per rupee.

Average prices in rupees per maund. .

	•	`.		Jow rl.	Bájri.	Wheat.
1) 1883—87 2) 1888—92 3) 1905—13	. ••• ••• •••	#** ##*	•••	1·7898 2·1586 2·8097	2·2216 2·5160 8·0470	2·7888 8·2292 4·077
Percentage increase Percentage increase Percentage total period (1)	in period (3) over perio	d (2)	20 30 57	13 21 87	16 26 46

During the first five years 1883-57 prices were remarkably steady and it is fairly accurate to take the average of this period as the price level at the introduction of the current settlement. The average level of prices in the next quinquennium was distinctly higher. This rise was general and not merely

local. It has been noticed in all my reports on the talukas of the Poona District. The "great rise in prices" during the five years 1886—90 is referred to in Appendix C at page 201 of "Prices and Wages in India," thirty-first issue, 1915. It is quite clear that the current settlement was introduced on a rising wave of prices and this fact must have contributed to its success.

The final period of comparison, 1905-1913, has been chosen so as to make the rise during the current settlement appear as large as possible. It omits the two years of low prices 1903 and 1904 and includes three years of phenomenally high prices, viz., 1908 (widspread failure of crops in Northern India), 1912 and 1913. Prices later than 1913 are not yet available in the publication quoted.

The rise in prices may fairly be described as over 40 per cent. but allowance must be made for the fact that the current settlement was introduced when they were rapidly on the rise.

- 9. The treatment of the important subject of revenue history is disappointing. Nothing is said of Appendix O³ except that the unsatisfactory collections are due to bad seasons. It is just in the long series of bad monsoons which began in 1896-97 that a thorough test has been applied both to existing grouping and existing rates. In the Haveli and Junnar Tálukas of the Poona District there are villages which have gone through the whole series of famine years without even getting into arrears. It is very nearly true of the wonderful champaign in central Junnar that it was unaffected by the famine years. What that means can only be understood after a comparison with the revenue history of Párner. It is especially to be regretted that the revenue history was not examined in the report with the object of checking the grouping. It is quite clear that if a group of villages has, in nearly all instances, paid two instalments yearly, since the introduction of the suspension and remission rules in 1904-5, it can bear a higher maximum dry-crop rate than a group which has ultimately paid all its revenue but which has suffered, say, one total and two partial crop failures; and that the second group in turn can pay a higher rate than villages where the good harvests are fully taxed for payment of arrears but are insufficient in frequency to prevent suspended revenue from being ultimately remitted.
- 10. The history of the assessed waste given in Appendix O1 is satisfactory. The same cannot be said of the history of collections in Appendix O2. According to this appendix the total demand for the eighteen years 1896-97 to 1913-14 was Rs. 22,72,924. I am doubtful of the correctness of the figures for 1904-05 to 1908-09, especially 1908-09. The demand according to Appendix O', columns 3+8, was Rs. 21,75,036. (For Nagar Taluka Appendices O' and O' are in agreement here). The total collections during the same 18 years were Rs. 17,47,717 (Appendix O', columns 3 + 5). The uncollected balance is Rs. 5,25,207. There were no arrears at the end of 1913-14 (paragraph 55) so that the whole of these 51 lakhs has been remitted. I surmise that the remissions shown in column 6 of Appendix O2 are on account of patasthal. If these are omitted, the remissions are equal to 4 years' average demand, as calculated from Appendix O2. If we include the patasthal remissions and calculate the average annual demand from columns 8 and 8 of Appendix O', the total remissions are equal to 4.7 times one year's revenue. The significance of these figures is not to be missed. I find from Mr. Garrett's report on the Ahmednagar Taluka that the total remissions there have also been 47 times one year's revenue. In the Sirur Táluka, after allowing for collections of arrears in 1914-15, but not for subsequent collections on account of Rs. 33,000 still under suspension at the end of 1914-15, the figure is exactly the same, 4.7. These figures indicate that weak monsoons reduce the three talukas more or less to an equality. In Junnar Taluka, immediately to the west of Parner, the remissions since 1896-97 were only 0.9 times one year's revenue. I draw particular attention to the case of the 19 Deshi villages (excluding Nalauna in the hills) which are in the extreme east of this taluka and which in my settlement report I proposed to group at Rs. 2-4-0. The remissions in them for the 18 years beginning 1896-97 were 2.1 times one year's demand. I argue from this that Parner is distinctly inferior to eastern Junuar as regards certainty of rainfall, that eastern Junuar

may get a 12-anna crop when Parner gets only an 8-anna crop, and that, in short, on crossing the border from Junnar to Parner, we must be prepared to make another decrease in rates. No one who knows this part of the country could expect anything else.

- 11. It throws a little more light on the situation when I mention that in the seven villages of north-east Haveli which the Collector is against promoting from Re. 1-6 to Re. 1-9, the remissions for the period under discussion were 3.1 times a year's revenue. The remissions for the whole of Haveli were 1.1 times and for Purandhar Taluka 1.5 times a year's revenue.
 - 12. The revenue history till 1896-97 is clean. Since 1896-97 it has become clear that the taluka suffers severely when the monsoon is below normal. It is not the case that this weakness is met by the suspension and remission rules. Omitting years when suspensions are necessary it can hardly be doubted that the average harvest in Parner is below that of eastern Junnar.
 - 13. I have few remarks to make on the proposed grouping. In its broad lines it agrees with my knowledge of the neighbouring tracts. Both east and south of Group I it is doubtless correct that conditions become less favourable for agriculture. From what I know of the neighbouring parts of Junnar I have no doubt that Mr. Garrett's surmise that the broken country in the north of his proposed Group I has the compensation of a rainfall better than that in the southern part of the group.
 - 14. As regards the dry-crop rates of the new groups I venture to press the need of caution. Mr. Garrett asserts in his paragraph 69 that there was little increase in the pitch of the assessment at the first revision settlement. There seems to me to be little foundation for the suggestion that the taluka escaped unduly lightly in 1884-85. The broad fact is that the settlement resulted in an increase of revenue amounting to 19.8 per cent. The new assessments doubtless were moderate, but we lay claim to all our assessments being moderate, and it is most unlikely that a Survey Officer of Colonel Laughton's experience failed to bring the pitch of the assessments in Parner far short of the recognized standard.
- 15. In his paragraph 58 Mr. Garrett says that the rise in prices during the lease ought to be followed by a proportionate rise in assessment. I do not think this argument can be accepted. It may look well on paper; it would never work in practice. In his paragraph 68 he refers to an increase of 200 per cent. in prices during the original lease and in paragraph 50 he evidently puts the increase during the first revision lease at about 60 per cent. These figures are sufficient to show how rude a guide the price records are in determining the increment at a revision settlement. Similar arguments were advanced in the early seventies, and rates, then proposed in settlement reports but afterwards cut down, are found to be too high even at recent second revision settlements. The Settlement Report on Pábal and other tálukas in Government Selection CLI will bear this out.
- 16. It is only 10 or 11 years since reports for a number of Deccan Talukas (e.g., Sirur, Purandhar, Haveli and some Nasik Talukas) were submitted and no increases in the assessment were found practicable. Since 1905 there has been a wave of high prices and increased prosperity. It would be most unsafe to take increased assessments with both hands in the confidence that no trough will follow the crest on which the agriculturist is now swimming. If any mistake is made it must be in the direction of taking too little. The result may be some loss of revenue; but if the assessments are too high the manifold evils which will ensue are not to be compared with the loss of a few thousand rupees.
- 17. Group I is bordered on the west by Junnar Taluka. In the north is a plateauton which lies the large inam village of Ana now rated at Re. 1-12-0. It is not prosperous, neither has it been well managed, and it would be incorrect to institute too close a comparison between it and Group I, Parner. Below the ghat are Junnar villages, from Belha southwards, for which proposals for a maximum dry-crop rate of Rs. 2-4-0 are now before Government. If that rate

is accepted the question arises whether on crossing the border the fall to Rs. 2-2-0 proposed by Mr. Garrett is sufficient. I have compared the revenue history of the Junnar group with that of Parner Taluka and both areas are known to Government.

- 18. Sirur Táluka in the south-west has a group, rated at Re. 1-8-0, touching all the three proposed groups in Párner. In the north of the Sirur group, opposite Shirápur, there are one or two good villages which have undoubtedly been let off lightly. Further south the Sirur villages have very poor soils. On general grounds I should expect the Párner villages north of the Ghod river to be more highly rated than the Sirur villages on the southern bank, but it seems to me that the difference between the rate of Re. 1-8-0 sanctioned for the Sirur villages and the rates of Rs. 2-0-0 and 1-14-0 proposed for Groups II and III of Párner is too great.
- 19. Comparison with neighbouring talukas are apt to be false, and the comparison with the adjoining parts of Sirur should certainly not be pushed too far, for I am persuaded that the valuation of the soil in Sirur made in the early seventies is higher than the valuations made in Junnar in the eighties. (The Government villages south of the Ghod in Sirur are, however, old Parner villages revised in 1884-85). Despite equally bad revenue histories in all these cases there are good grounds—for instance in selling and letting values and fluctuations in population and cattle-for considering Parner as distinctly more prosperous, on the whole, than the Nagar Taluka, and the latter as somewhat more prosperous than Sirur. But I do not think that the Parner Taluka can be put on a level with eastern Junnar. The Assistant Settlement Officer describes Parner as a sterile tract (paragraph 17) and its rainfall is certainly precarious (paragraph 27). Both in general fertility and certainty of rainfall eastern Junnar is superior. Both talukas were last settled about the same time by the same Superintendent. The difference between the rates in eastern Junnar and western Parner is now 23 per cent. I doubt whether it should be less than 122 per cent. (two annas in the rupee), so that if Rs. 2-4-0 is accepted as a correct rate for eastern Junnar, the rate for Group I Parner should be Rs. 2-0-0. The rates for Groups II and III would then be Re. 1-14-0 and Rs. 1-12-0: these would accord fairly well with the rates recently sanctioned for Sirur. With much deference for the opinion of Messrs. Garrett and Mead I suggest the lower rates for the consideration of Government.
- 20. If these rates are accepted the total assessment of the soil will be Rs. 9,200 less than the figure proposed by Mr. Garrett and the total increase in the revenue of the taluka will become 21½ per cent. On independent grounds this seems quite as much as it is sound to take from a non-cotton growing, not very fertile taluka with a precarious rainfall and a bad revenue history.
- 21. Mr. Garrett proposes to leave the water share of the existing patasthal assessments unchanged. The present scale is an 8-rupee one on water and soil combined, but most of the pats are of a low class. In such a case the pitch of the assessments depends on the jantri that was used; I have been unable to secure a copy of this jantri in Poona. The result of Mr. Garrett's proposal will be that the revised assessments on soil and water combined will not be on a uniform basis, neither will the water cess if considered separately. It is not, for instance, correct to show the patasthal rate of village No. 30 in Appendix Q as Rs. 8-0-0 in column 21, when the soil share of the assessment has been raised. The new patasthal rate is really Rs. 8-8-0. It would be somewhat pedantic, in this taluka, to object to the Settlement Officer's proposal on this ground. If the patasthal rate is to be retained at Rs. 8-0-0, the water cess on each patasthal number should be diminished by the same amount as the soil share of the combined assessment is raised. I mention this here because this course seems to me a good one to meet the case of the precarious patasthal which is retained in the inam villages of the taluka; and it is a suggestion which certainly deserves consideration in connection with the patasthal in inam villages of the Nagar Táluka.
- . 22. The rice rates, of which no mention is made in the report, are practically dry-crop assessments (paragraph 45 of Colonel Laughton's report, a 351-18

Selection CLXXVII, page 12). Unlike ordinary rice rates they apparently require no separate consideration and may be raised in each village to the same extent as the dry crop-rates.

23. The proposals for the inam villages may be accepted subject to any modifications which Government may see fit to make in the maximum dry-orop rates of the Government villages, and to their orders on my suggestion that the combined patasthal assessment, not merely the water cess, should remain unaltered. There cannot be much doubt that most of the water cess, which amounts to Rs. 1,555 on the pats retained, is burdensome, and if the soil share is raised the water share should be diminished pro tanto.

Your most obedient servant,

R. D. BELL.