true society, and in ite place warfare of clasees and individuals, abnormal development of ame to the detriment of others, and consumption of the organism by masses of social parasites. If the word disease is applicable anywhers, I sbould say it isboth in its direct and its derived sense-to the oivilised sorities of to-day.

Again, mentally, la not our condition anything but sotis factory 1 I am not allnding to the number and importance of the lunatio asglams which cover our land, nor to the faot that maladies of the braia and nervous system are now so common; but te the strange sense of mentsi unrest whioh marks our jopulations, and which amply justifies Ruskin's cutting epigram : thet our two objects in life are, "Whatever we have-to get more; and wherever we are-to go somewhere else." This aense of unrest, of disesse, penetrates down even Into tho doepest regions of man's being-into his moral nature -diselosing itself there, as it has done in all nations notably at the time of their full oivilisation, as the sense of Sin. All down the Christinn centuries we find this strange sense of inward atrife and discond developed, in marked contrast to the nuive insouciance of the pagan and primitive world; and, what is atrangeat, we even find people glorying in this consciousness -which, while it may be the harbinger of better things to come, is and can be in itself only the evidence of loss of unity sud therofore of ill-health, in the very centre of human life.

Of course we are aware with regard to Civilisation that the Ford is sometimes used in a kind of ideal sense, as to indicate a atate of future culture towards which we are tonding-the implied assumption being that a auffieiently long course of top hutx and telephones will in the end bring us to this ideal conditisn; while any little drawbacks in the procese, auch as wo have just pointed out, are explained as being meroly accidental and temporary. Men wmetimes apeat of eivilising and
ennobling infuences as if the two terms were interchangesble, and of course if they like to use the mord Civilisation in this sense they have a right to; but whether the actual tendencies of modern lifo taken in the mass are ennobling (except in a quite indirect way hereafter to be dwelt upon) is to say the least a doubtiul question, Any one who fould get an idoa of the glorious being that is as a nintier of fact being turned out by the present process shond read Mr, Kay Robinson's article in the Nineteent/ Century for May, 1883, in which he prophesies (quite solemuly and in the name of science) that the human being of the future will be a toothless, bald, toeless cresture with flaccid muscles and limbs almost incapable of loconntion!

Perhaps it is safer on the whole not to use the word Civilisation in such ideal senst, but to limit ita ase (as is dous today by all writers on primitive zeciety to a definite historical stage through which the various nations pasa, and in which we actually find ourselyes at the present time. Though there is of course a difficulty in marking the consmencement of any period of historical evolution very definitely, yet all students of this subject agree that the growth of property and the ideas and institutions flowing from it did at a cortain point bring about such a change in the etructure of human society that the nepr stage might fairly be distinguished from the earlier stagea of Savagery and Bartharism by a separate term. The growth of wealth, it is shown, and with it the conception of private property, brought on certain very definite new forms of social life; it destroyed the ancient system of society based upon the gens, that is, a society of equals founded upon blood-relationship, and introduced a society of elasses founded upon differences of materiai possession; it destroyed the ancient systera of mother-right and inheritence through the female line, and turned the woman

Into the property of the man; it brought with it private ownorship of land, and so oreated a class of landless aliens, and a whole syatem of rent, mortgage, interest, tec.; it introduced alavery, serfdom and wage-labor, which are only various forms of the dominanoe of one class over another; and to rivet these authorities it created the State and the policeman. Every race that we know, that has become what we call civilised, hua passed thro' these changes; and though the details may vary and have varied a little, the main order of ohange haa been practioally the aame in all cases. We are justifiod therefore in calling Civilisation a historical stage, Whuse commencement dates roughly from the division of aociety into olnses founded on propurty, and the adoption of class-government, Lewis Morgan in his Ancient Society adds the inveation of writing and the consequent adoption of writtun Ilistory und written Law; Engels in his Ursprwng der Fumili, des l'rivat-eigenthums and des Staate points out the importance of the appearance of the Merohant, even in bis most primitive form, as a mark of the civilisation-period; while the French writera of the last eentury made a good foint in invouting the term nations policete (policemanised nations) as an substitute for civilised nations; for perhapa there is no better or more univerad mark of the period we are considering, and of its souiul degradation, than the appearance of the crawling phenomenon in question. [Imagiue the rage of miy decent North Ameriean Iudians it they had been told they reyuired policemen to keep them in order !]

If we take this bistorical definition of Civilisation, we shall nee that our Euglish Civilisation began bardly more than a thousund years ago, and even so the remains of the more prinitive society lastod long after that, In the case of Rone-lf we reckon from the later times of the early kinga down to the fall of Romo-we have agsin about a thounad

Into the property of the man; it brought with it private ownership of land, and so created a class of landless aliens, and a whole system of rent, mortgage, interest, \&c. ; it introduced slavery, serfdom and wage-labor, which are only various forms of the dominance of one class over another; and to rivet these authorities it created the State and the policeman. Every race that we know; that has beoorne what we call civilised, has passed thro' these changes ; and though the details may vary and have varied a little, the main order of change has boen practicaily the same in all cases. We are jnstified therefore in calling Civilisation a historical stage, whose commencement dates roughly from the division of mociety into olasses founded on property, and the adoption of clasegovernment. Lewis Morgan in his Ancient Siniety adds the invention of writing and the consequent adoption of written History and written Law; Engels in his Orsproutg der Familie, des Privat-igenthums und des Staats pointis out tho importance of the appearance of the Merchant, even in Lis most primitive form, as a mask of the civilisation-penod; while the French writers of the lant century made a good point in inverting the term nations policeso (policemmised nations) as a substithte for civilised nations; for perisaps there is no better or more univerind mark of the period we are considering, and of its sornal degradation, than the appearance of the crawhing phenomenon in questivil. Imagise the nege of any decent Forth American Iwlians if they had been told they required policemon to kep therr in order $\}$

If we take this bistorical defnition of Civilisation, we ilent see that our English Civilisation begen hardly roore thm a thousand years ago, and even so the vemains of the more primitive society lasted ling after that, In the case of Rome-if we reckon from the later times of the early kings down to the fall of Rome-we heve agsin about a thonasod
or the tribes along the river Uaupes, 30 or 40 years back, of Wallace's Iravele on the Amason-sill tribes in what Morgan would call the middle stage of Barbarism-we undoubtedly in each case diacover a fine and (which is our point here) healliy people. Captain Cook in his first Voyage says of the natives of Otaheita, "We saw no critical disease during our stay npon the island, aud but few instances of siekness, whick were seoidental fits of the colic; " and, later on, of the New Zealanders, "They enjoy perfect and uninterrupted health. In all our visite to their towns, where young and old, men and women, orowded about us . . . we never saw a single person who appeared to bave any bodily complaint, nor among the numbers we have seen nuked did we once perceive the slightest eruption upon the skin, or any marks that an eruption had left bolind." These are pretty atrong words. Of course disonses exist smong such peopleg, even where they have never been in contsoct with civilisation, but I think we may say that among the higher types of sarages they are rarer, and nothing like so various and so prevalent as they are in our modern lifo; while the power of recovery from wounds (which are of course the most frequent form of disablement) is generally admitted to be something astonishing. Speaking of the Kullis, J. G. Wood says, "Their atate of health enables them to survive injuries which would be almoat instantly fatal to any civiliyed European." Mr. Frank Oates in his Diary' mentions the cres of a man who was condemned to death by the king. He wis hacked down with ares, and left for dead. "What must have been intended for the coup de grioe was a out in the baok of the head, which had chipped a large plece out o! the skull, and must have been meant to cut the spinal cord where it joiss the brain. It had however been made a little higher than thin, but had left such a wound as 1 ahould have

[^0]- thought that no one could have survived . . . When I held the lanthorn to inventigate the wound I started baik in amseoment to see a hole at the base of the skull, perhaje two inoben long and an inch and a balf wido, and I will not venture to say bow deep, but the depth too must have beon an affair of inches. Of course this bole penetrited into the subatance of the brain, and probably for some distance. I dare any a monse could bave eat in it." Yet the man wae not mo much disconoerted. Like old King Cole " He aeked for a pipo and a drink of brandy," and ultimately made a perfect recovery I Of course it might be said that auch a atory ouly proves the lownese of organisation of the lraine of savages; but to the Kaffirs at any rate this would not aryly; thoy aro - quick-witted race, with large braing, and exceedingly scute in argument, as Colenso found to bis cunth Another joint which indicates supertbundant bealth is the amnzing anima? apirita of thase native races! The mhouting, singing, daucing kept op nighta long among the Eaffira are exhanating merely to mituses, while the graver North American Indian exhidita a corrosponding power of lite in his eagerness for battle or bin stoio resistance of pain. ${ }^{1}$

Similarly when we come to consider the wocinl life of the wilder races-however rudimentary and undeveloped it may be-the almont univeraal, tentimony of etalente and travelera is that within its limita it in more harmonicua and onmpract than that of the civilized nation. The members of the tribe are not organically at warfare with each other; mocioty in not divided into classen which prey upon sach other ; nor is it

[^1]oonsumed by parasites. There is more true social unity, less of disease. Though the customs of each tribe are rigid, absurd, and often frightfully cruel, ${ }^{1}$ and though all outsiders are liable to be regarded as enemies, yet within those limite the mombers live peacefully together--their pursuits, their work, aro undertaken in common, thieving and violence are rare, social feeling and community of interest are strong. "In their own benda Indians are perfectly honest. In all my intercourse with them I have heard of not over half-a-dozen cases of suoh theft. But this wonderfully exceptional honesty extends no further than to the members of his immediate band. To sll outside of it, the Indian is not only one of the most arrant thieves in the world, but this quality or faculty is held in the highest estimation." (Dodge, p. 64.) If a man set out on journey (this smong the Kaffirs) "he need not tronlle himself about provisions, for he is sure to fall in with some hut, or perbape a village, and is equally sure of obtaining both food and shelter." "I have lived," says $A . R$. Wallsce in bis Malay Archipelago (voL II. p. 460), "with communities in South America and the East, who have no lawi or law courts, but the public opinion of the village . . . yet each man suripulously respects the righta of his fellows, and any infruction of those rights rarely takes place. In such a community all are nearly equal. There are none of those wife distinctions of education and ignorance, wealth and poverty, master and servant, which are the product of our civilisution." Indeed this community of life in the early mocietios, this ahsonce of division into classes, and of the contrast botween rich and poor, is now admitted on all aides as - marked fuature of difference between the couditions of the privitive and of cipilised man.*

[^2]Lastly, with regard to the mental condition of the Barbacian, probably no one will be found to dispute the cuntention that he is more easy minded and that his conecionsness of Sin is less developed than in his civilised brother. Our unrest ta the penalty we pay for our wider life. The minaionary retirea routed from the mavage in whom be can awake no sense of his supreme wickedness An Amerionn lady had a merventh a negro-woman, who on one cccasion aked loave of abmonos for the naxt morning, saying whe wished to attand the Holy Communion! "I have no objection" asid the mistress, "to grant you leave; but do you thiuk you owght to attend Communien I Yon know you have nefer aid you vere sorry sbout that goom you stole hat week." "Lar'soissus," replied the woman, "do yo think I'd let an old goose stand betwirt me and my Blessed Lord and Manter $1^{\prime \prime}$ But joking apart, and however naceskery for man's ultimate evolution may be the tomporary development of this conscioumesa of $\mathrm{Sin}_{4}$ we cannot halp meoing that the condition of the misd in which it is sument in the mont diatinotirely healihy; nor can it be concealed that wome of the greatent works of Art have been produced by people like the esrlier Greek, in whom it wat ebsent; and could not powibly have been produced where it was atrongly developed.

Though me already asid, the latent stage of Barbarism, i.e., ihat just preceding Civilisation, is unrepresented on the sarth to-day, yet we have in the Humeric and other dawn-literature of the pariout antions indirect recorda of this atage; and thene reconds assure of of a condition of man very mimilar to, though somewhat mor developed than, the oondition of the existing races I have mentioned above. Benide thil, we have in the numerons tralitions of the Golden Age, ${ }^{2}$ legonde of the Fall, te., s carions fact which auggents to ut that a great sumber of racen in advencing totrards Civilliation were orn: Bee Appradix
soious at some point or other of having lost a primitive condition of ease and oontentment, and that they embodied this consciounness, with poetical adornment and licence, in imaginative legends of the earlier Paradise. Some people indeed, seeing the universality of these stories, and the remarkable fragments of wisdom rembedded in them and other extremely anoient mythe and writings, have supposed that there really was a ganeral prehistoric Eden-garden or Atlantis ; but the necessities of the oase hardly seem to compel this supposition. That each human soul however bears within itmelf some kind of reminiscence of a more harmonious and perfoot atate of being, which it has at come time experienced, evems to nie as concluaion difficult to avoid; and this by itself might give rise to manifuld traditionn and matha

## a.

Howsyar all this may be, the question immediately before us -having eatablished the more healthy, though more limited, condition of the pre-ivilisation peoples-is, why this lapse or full that is the maaning of this manifold and intensified manifestation of Disease-physionl, social, intellectual, and mural t what is ita place and part in the great whole of human evolution !

And this involvea us in a digression, which must cocupy a fow pages, ou the nature of Health.

Whon we cone to analyse the conception of Diesase, physical or mental, in woviety or in the individual, it evidently meang, as already histed once or twice, loss of enity. Health, there fore, should wean noity, and it is curious that the history of the word entirely corroboraten this idea As is well known, the words health, whole, holy, are from the aame stock; and they indicate to ue the fact that far beok in the peat those who
oreated thia group of words bad a conooption of the meaning of Health very different from ours, and which they embolied unconsciously in the word itself and ite atrange relatives.

These are, for instance, and among others: heal, ballow, hale, holy, whole, wholesome: German heilig, Heiland (the Saviour); Latin salus (as in salutation, salvation); Greek kalo ; slso compare hail! a salutation, and, less certainly connected, the root hal, to breathe, as in inhale, exhale-French baleineItalian and French alms and free (the soul); compars the Latin spiritus, apirit or breath, and Sanakrit Atman, bruath or ゃoul.

Wholeneas, holinesa . . . "if thine aye be single, thy while body shall ba full of light." . . . "thy faith bath mode thee whole"

The ides coems to be a poeitive one-condition of the borly In which it is an entirety, s unity-a central furve maintaining that condition; and disease being the breme-up-or bremk lown -of that entirety into multiplicity.

The peculiarity about our modern conception of Ilealth ts that it seema to be purely nergative one. So imprensed ans we by the myriad presence of Disease-so numertus ita dangera, so sudden and unforetallable its attack-thet wn have come to look upon health ae the mere absence of the same. As a solitary epy picka hin way through a houtile onmp at night, sees the enenyy aitting round his fires, and trembles at the crackling of a twig benesth his fent-so the travulet thro' this world, comforter in one hand and phynic-lottle in tha other, must pick hin why, fearful leat at any time he diatnrb, the aleeping legions of death-thrice blessed if by any racaon, steering now to the right and bow to the left, and thinking only of his pernonal afety, be pass by wichout disoovery to the other aide.

Health with in is a negative thing. It is neutralisation of
opposing dangers. It is to be neither rheumatic nor gouty, consuraptive nor bilious, to be untroubled by bead-ache, backsohe, heart-ache or any of the "thousand natural shocks that tienh is heir to." These are the realities. Health is the mere negation of them.

The mudern notion, and which hes evidently in a very sultle way penetrated the whole thought of to-day, is that the essential fact of lifo is the existence of innumerable external forces, whioh, by a very delicate balance and diffoult to maintain, oonour to produce Man-who in consequence may at any moment be destroyed again by the non-coneurrence of those foroes. The older notion apparently is that the essential fact of life is Man himself; and that the extemal forces, so-called, mre in some way subsidiary to this fact-that they may aid hia oxpresaion or manifestation, or that they may hinder it, but that they can neither create nor annihilate the Man. Probably beth ways of looking at the subject are important; there is a man that can be destroyed, and there is a man that cannot be dostroyed. The old words coul and body indicate this contrast; but like all words they are subject to the defect that they are an attempt to draw a line where no line can ultimstely be drawn; they mark a contrast where, in fact, there is only continuity - for between the little mortal man who dwells here and now, and the divine and universal Man who also forms a frart uf our consoiousness, is there not a porfoct gradation of being, and whore (if anywhere) is there a gulf fired? Together they form a unit, and caok is neoessary to the other: the first cunnot do without the second, and the second cannot get along at all without the first. To use the words of Angelus Silesius (quoted by Soliopenhauer), "Ich weiss dae ohne mioh Gote nicht oin Nu kann leben."

Aowrding then to the elder conception, and perhaps woording to an alder experience, man to be really heaithy must
be sunit, an entirety-hia more oxternal and momontary cell standing in rome kind of filial rolation to his more universal and incorruptible part-wo that not only the remotent and outermost regions of the body, and all the asaimilative seerative and other prooesses belonging thereto, but oven the thoughis and passions of the mind itself, stand in direct and alowr relationship to it, the final and sboolute transparonoy of the mortal oremture. And thun this divinity in each creature, being that whioh constitutes it and causea it to cohere together, was concoived of as that creaturg's anfiour, healerhealer of wounds of body and wounde of heart-cthe Man within the man, whom it was not only posible to know, but whom to know and be united with wat the alone walvation. Thin, I take it, wan the law of health-and of holincern-an mocpted at some elder time of haman history, and by we seen as thro' aglan darkly.

And the condition of disensa, and of $\ln$, nuder the wmo view, was the reverve of this. Enfeeblement, obrouration, duplicity-the central radiation blocked; leaser and inmbordinste centres eatablishing and asserting themselvee at against it; division, discord, posesesion by devils.

Thus in the body, the entablishment of an fnabordinate centre-a boil, atumor, the introduction and apread of a germ with innumersble progeny throughout the syatem, the onlargement out of all reason of an existing organ-moans discase. In the mind, disease begind when any pasion asmerts itwell we an independent centre of thought and ection. The condition of health in the mind is loyalty to the divine Man within it. ${ }^{1}$ But if loyalty to money beoome an indopendent centre of life, of greed of knowledga, or of fama, or of drink; jealrumy, lust,

[^3]the love of approbation; or mare following after any so-salled virtue for itsalf-purity, humility, consistency, or what not-these may grow to seriously endanger the other. They are, or should be, subordinates; and though over a long period their insubordination may be a necessary condition of human progrose, yet during all such time they are at war with each other and with the central Will; the man is torn and tormented, and is not happy.

And when I speak thus separately of the mind and body, it must be remembered, as already said, that there is no strict line between them; but probably every affection or passion of the mind bas its correlative in the condition of the bodythough this latter may or may not be easily observable, . Gluttony is a fever of the digestive apparstus. What is a taint in the mind is also a taint in the body. The stomach bas ularted the original idos of becoming itself the centre of the humas system. The sexual organs may start a similar idea Here are distinct threata, menaces made against the contral
 or disuppear; it is impossible to imagine a man presided over by a Stomsch-a walking Stomach, using hands, feet, and all other members merely to carry it from place to place, and serve its sabimilative mania. We call buch an one, a Hog. [And thus in the theory of Evolution we tee the place of the bog, and all other animaly, an fore-mnners or off-shoots of special faculties in Man, and why the true man, and rightly, has anthority over wll mimals, and oan alone give them their place in creation.]

So of the Brain, or any other orghn ; for the Man in no organ, resides in no organ, but is the central life ruling and ruliating among all organs, and assigning them their parts to play.

Disease then, in body or mind, in from this point of viow the break-up of its unity, ita entirety, into multiplicity. It in
the absyance of a central power, and the growth of insubordinate centres-life in each creature being conceived of as a continual exercise of energy or conquest, by which external or antagonistic forces (and organisms) are brought into subjection aud compelled into the service of the creature, or are thrown off as harmfol to it. Thus by way of illustration, we find that plants or animals, when in good bealth, have a remarkable power of throwing off the attacks of any parasites which incline to infers them; while those that are weakly are very soon eaten up by the same. A rosetree, for instance, brought indoors, will soon fall a prey to the aphis-though when hardened out of doors the pest rakes next to no imprets. sion on it. In dry seasons when the poung turnip plants in the fillds are weakly from want of water the entire crop in sometimes destroyed by the turnip fly, which thon multiplies enormonsly; but if a shower or two of rain come before much damage is done the plant will then grow vigorously, ita tissued become more robust and resist the attacks of the fy, which in
 the functions of the white corpuscles in the blood is to devour disease-germs and bacteria present in the circulation-thus absorbing thesse organisms into subjection to the central life of the body-and that with this object they congregate in numbers toward any part of the body which is wounded or diseased. Or to take an example from society, it is clear enough that if our social life were really vivid and healthy, such parasitic products as the idle shareholder and the policeman above-mentioned would simply be impossible. The material on which they prey would not exist, and they woud either perish or be transmuted into useful forms. It seems obvious in fact that life in any organism can only be maintained by some such processes as these-by which parasitio or infesting organisms are either thrown off or sibsorbed into
subjection. To dofine the nature of the power which thus works towards and createe the distinctive unity of each organism may be difficult, is probably at present impossible, but that some suoh power exista we oan bardly refuse to sdmit. Probally it is more a subject of the growth of our consciouaness, than an object of external scientifio investigation.

In this vier, Death in simply the loosening and termination of the action of this power-over certain regions of the orgnoism; a process by which, when these superficial parts becoute hardened and osseous, as in old age, or irreparably damaged, as in cases of accidont, the inward being sloughs them off, and passee into other apheres. In the case of man there may be noble and there may be ignoble death, as there may be noble and ignoble life. The inward self, unable to maintain authority over the foroes committed to its charge, dechining from ite high prerogative, bwarmed over by parasites, and failen partially into the olutch of obscene foes, may at late with shame and torment be driven forth from the tomple in whloh it ought to have been eupreme. Or, having fulfilled a holy and wholosorne time, baving radiated divine life ani love througb all the channels of body and mind, and as a prerfuct workman uses his tools, so having with porfect mastery and nonchalance used all the materials committad to it, it may quietly end peacefully lay these down, and unchanged. (utwolutely unchanged to all but matorial eyea) pass on to other spheres appointed.

And now a few worls on the medical aspeot of the subject. If wo acoejt any theory (even ramotely similar to that just indlcated) to the effeet that Health is a positive thing, and not - mert negution of disease, it becomes pretty clear that no mere investigation of the latter will aneble as to find out what the formor $k$, or bring us nearer to it. You might as woll
try to areate the ebb and flow of the tdea by an organimed system of mope

Turn your beck upon the Sun and go forth Into the wlldernesees of apeoe till you come to thowe limita where the mye of light, faint with distance, fall dim upon the oonlinee of eternal darkneas-and phantoms and shadowa in the halflight are tho product of the wavering confliet betwixt day and nigitinvertigate these abadowi, describe them, olesify thern, record the changes which take place in them, erect in vant librarien these record into monument of human Industry and research; so mbell jou be at the end as near to a knowledge and understanding of the an iseolf-which all this time you base left bebiod yon, and on whioh you have turned your back-st the investigetors of dibease are to a lnowledge and noderstanding of what beaith in. The molar raye illumine the outer world and give to it ite anity and entirety; 00 in the inner world of anch individual posaibly in there another Bun, which illuminea and givee anity to the man, and whone warmih and light would permete his nyotem Wait upon the shining forth of this inward sun, give free socem and welcome to ita reyn $\varphi f$ love, and freo paragge for them into the common world around you, and it may be you will get to know more about health than all the bocke of medicine contain, or can tell yon.

Or to take the former aimile: it in the contral force of the Moon which zeting on the graat ocean makel all ite watern oae, and causes thern to rise and fall in timely consent. Jut take your moon away; hey 1 now the tide is finwing too far down this estuary! Station your thomends with mope; but it breaka through in channel and runlet 1 Block it here, but It overfow in s neighboring bayl Appoint an army of twabe there, but to what end I The infinitent cere along the tringe of this great mee an never do, with all imuginabie dirt
and eonfusion, what the oentral power does easily, and with unerring grace and providence.

And so of the great (the vast and wonderful) ocean which ebbs and flow within a man-take awry the central guideand not 20,000 doctors, each with 20,000 books to consult and 20,000 pbials of different contents to administer, could meet the myriad cases of disease which would ensue, or bolster up into "wholeness " the being from whom the single radiant unity had departed.

I'robably there has never been an age, nor any country (except Yankeo-land 7) in which disease has been so generally provalent as in England today; and certainly there has never (with the same exception) been an age or country in which dootors have so awarmed, or in which medical science has been so powerful, in apparatne, in learning, in authority, and in actual organisation and number of adherents. How reconcile this contradiction-if indeed a contradiation it be 1

But the fact is that medical soienoe does not contradict disease-any more than laws abolish orime. Medical soiencesud doubtless for very good reasons-makes a fetish of disease, and dances around it. It is (as a rale) only seen where disease is; it writen enormoun tomes on disease; it induces disesse in mimals (and oven men) for the purpose of studying it; it knows, to a aiarvelous extent, the symptoma of disease, its nsture, its causes, its goings out and its comings in ; its eyes are perpetually fized on disease, till dieease (for it) beoomes the main fact of the world and the main objeot of its worship. Even what is so gracefully aalled Hygiene does not get beyond this negative attitude. And the world still waits for ita Hualer, who chall tell us-disesed and auffering as we arewhat health is, where it is to be found, whence it flows; and who having touched this wonderful power within himsell ahall not rest till ho has proclaimed and imparted it to men.

No, medical science dose not, in the main, oontradict dinoase. The same oause (infidelity and decay of the central life in men) which oreaten disease and makes men liable to it, croater students and a science of the subjeot. The Moon ${ }^{2}$ having gone from over the waters, the good people rush forth with their mops; and the untimely iuundations, and the mopa and the mess and the pother, are all due to the same cause.

As to the lodgment of disesse, it is clear that this would take place easily in a disorganised system-just as seditious adventurer would easily effect a landing, and would findinoubprdinate materials ready at hand for hit use, in a land where the central government was weak. And as to the treatment of a disease so introduced there are obviously two methods : one is to reinforce the central power till it is sufficientily strong of itself to eject the insubordinste elementa and restore order; the other is to attack the malady from outaile and if possible deatroy it-(as by doses and decoctions)-independently of the inner vitality, and leaving that un it wha before. . The first method would seem the bent, most duralle and effective; but it is difficult and slow. It consiste in the sdoption of a bealthy life, bodily and mental, and will be apoken of later on. The second may be charscterised as the medical methoi, and is. valuable, or rather I thoild be inclined to nay, will be valuable, when it has found ite plece, which is to be nubidiery to the first. It in too often however regarded an superior in importance, and in this way, though eary of application, has come perhspe to be productive of more harm then good. The disesse may be broken down for the time being but the rocta of it not being destroyed it soon eprings up egain in the mame or a new form, and the patient it an badly off at over.

The great ponitive force of Health, and the power which it

[^4]has to expel disease from its neighborhood is a thing reslised 1 beliuve by few persons. But it has been realised on earth, and will be realised again when the more squalid elements of our preseat-duy civilisation have passed away.

## IIL.

Tas result then of our digression is to show that Health-in body or mind-means unity, integration as opposed to disIntegration. In the animale we find this physioal unity existing to a remarkable degree. An slmost unerring instinct and selective power rules their actions and organisation. Thus a. cat before it has fallon (say before it has become a very wheezy Gresile pussy I) is in a sense perfeot. The wonderful oonsent of its limbs as it runs or leaps, the edaptation of its muscles, the exaotnees and inevitableness of its instincts, physical and sffeotional; ite senses of aight and smell, its cleanliness, nicety as to food, motherly tact, the expression of its whole body whan euraged, or when watohing for prey-all there things are wo to npeak absolute and instantaneous-mand fill one with admiration. The oresture is "whole" or in one piece: there is no mentionable confiot or division within it. ${ }^{\text {: }}$

Similarly with the other animals, and even with the early man himself. And so it would appear-returning to our subjeot-that, if we socopt the dootrine of Evolution, there is - prugression of animated beings-which, though not perfeot, possess in the main the attribute of Health-from the lowest furms up to a heslthy and instinctive though oertainly limited man. Duriug all this stage the central lsw is in the ascendant,
${ }^{1}$ Aud with regard to dimease, though it in not maintained that among the animaln there le anything like immanity from it-since discusom of a more or leas purasitio obaracter are common in all tribes of plants and animala-still they meam to be rarer, and the organio instinet of health greator, than in the aivilized manh
and the phyaical frame of each oreature is the fairly cloan vohicle of ite expreesion-warying of eourse in complexity and degree socording to the point of unfoldment whioh hat been reached. And when thun in the long procese of development the inner Man (which han lain hidden or dormant within the animal) at last appears, and the areature consequently takes on the outer frame and facultiee of the human being, which are only an they are beounse of the inner man which they reprewent; when it has passed through rage after atage of animal life, throwing out tentative types and likenessee of what in to come, and going through innumerable preliminary esercises in epecial forms and faculties, till at lant it begins to be able to wear the full majeaty of manhood itmelf-then it would eoem that that long proces of development is draving to a clowa, and that the goal of creation must be within meamurable distance.

But then, at that very moment, and when the gral in, 60 to speak, in sight, occurs this failure of "Fholenent " of which wd have spoken, this partial bresk-up of the anity of human nature-and man, instead of going forvard ay longer in the same line at before, to all appearance falle.

What is the measing of thin lom of unity 1 What in the. cause and purpose of this fall and centuries-long exile from the earlier Paradise 1

There can be but one answer. It la self-knowledge-(which involvea in a sense the abandonment of rell). Man has to become conscione of his deatiny-to lay bold of and realise bis own freedom and blemsednesw-to transfer bis consciotumsean from the outar and mortal part of him to the inner and undy* ing.

The cat cannot do this Though perfect in the degree, tha Interior unfoldment in yet incomplete. The buman moul within it bat not yet oome forward and declared itself; wome nheathing leavee have yet to open before the divine fower-bud ceo
be clearly aeen. And when at last (epeaking as a fool) the cat becomex a man-when the human soul within the creature has climbed itself forward and found expression, transforming the outar frame in the proceas into that of humanity(which is the mesning I suppose of the evolution theory) then the creature, though perfeat and radiant in the form of Man, still lecks one thing. It lacky the knowledge of itself; it lacks its own identity, and the realisation of the manhood to which as a fact it bas attained.

In the animals conscionsnesy has never returaed upon itself. It radiates easily outwards; and the creature oboys without lot or hesitation, and with little if any eclf-consciousneas, the law of ita being. And when man first appars on the aarth, and even up to the threahold of what we call civilisation, there is much to show that he should in this respect still be classed with the animuls, Though vastly muperior to them in attainments, phyeical and mental, in power over nature, capacity of progress, nud adaptability, he still in these earlier stages was like an animal in the unconscious instinctive mature of his action; and on the otber hand, though hia moral and intelluctual structures were far less complete than those of the modern nan-as was a necessary result of the absence of aelf-knowledgo-he actually lived more in harmony with himsolt and with nature, ${ }^{1}$ than does his descendant; his impulses,

[^5]both physical and social, were clearer sud more unheaitating; and his unconsciousness of inner disoord and sin a great contrast to our modern condition of overlating strife and perplexity.

If then to this stage belong some degree of human perfection and felicity, yat there remains a muoh veatar haight to be acaled. The human woul which has wandered darkling for to many thousand of years, from ith tiny spark-like germ in wome low form of life to Its full eplendor and dignity in mant hat yet to come to the knowledge of ite wonderful heritage, has yet to become finally individualised and free, to know itself immortal, to reaume and interprat all ite past lives, and to enter in triumph into the kingdom which it has won.

It has in fact to face the frightful struggle of soll-sonveiousness, or the disentanglement of the true celf from the fiecting and perishable welf. The onimala and man, unfallen, ara healthy and trea from eare, but unaware of what they are; to attain self-knowledge man must fall; he munt become lumat. than his true self; he must, endue imperfection; divinion and atrife must enter his natars. To realise the perfect Life, to know what, how wonderful it is-mo underatand that ull blessednese and freedom consista in tis poscession-ho must for the moment suffer divorce from it; the unity, the repose of his nature must be broken op, crime, dinease and unrent must anter in, and by oontrast he must attain to knowledge.

Curious that at the very dave of the Greek and with it the Europesan oivilisation we have the myatic worda *Koow Thythe young Red Indias bsevou to the buraing hent of the man; the Dionywino fostivis anong the aarly Groeks; and Indend the merifictal paturo-ritenand carniralrand extmordinary powern of noocod-aight foond mong all primitive peoplee ; all thowe chang indickte clenrly a ficalty Which, though it had hardly beoome self-conscican enough to be what wt call religion, wan yer in truth the foundation element of religica, and the gecre of mome human powert which walt get to be developed.
self" inscribed cn the temple of the Delphic Apollo; and that first among the legends of the Semitic race stands that of Adum and Eve eating of the tree of the Knowledge of good and evil! To the animal there is no such knowledge, to the early mann there was no such knowledge, and to the perfected man of the future there will be no such knowledge. It is a temporary perversion, indioating the disunion of the presentday man-the diaunion of the outer self from the inner-the horrible dual self-consciousness-which is the means ultimately of a cuore perfect and oonscious union than could ever have been realised without it-the death that is swallowed up in victory. "For the first man is of the earth, earthy; but the seoond man is the Lord from heaven."

In order then, at this point in his Erolution, to advance any further, Man must first fall; in order to know, he must lose. In ordor to realise what Health is, how splendid and glorious a possession, be must go through all the long negative experience of Disease; in onder to know the perfeot socish life, to understand what power and happiness to mankind are involved in their true relation to each other, he must learn the misery and suffering which come from mere individualism and greed; and in order to find his true Manhood, to discover what a wonderful power it is, he must first lose it-he must become \& prey and a slave to his own passions and desires-whirled away like Phasthon by the horsea which he cannot control.

This moment of divorve, then, this parenthesis in buman progress, oovers the ground of all History; and the whole of Civilisation, and all crime and disease, are only the matorials of it. immense purpose-themselves destined to pass away as they aroes-but to leave their fruits etermal.
$\checkmark$ Accordingly we find that it has been the work of Civilisation -founded as we have seen on Property-in every way to disiutggrate and corrupt man-literally to corrupt-to broak epp
the anity of his nature. It begins with the abandonment of the pribitive life and the growth of the sense of shame (as in the myth of Adam and Eve.) From this follows the disownment of the sacrediness of sex. Sezual acts cease to be a part of religions worship; love and desire-the inner and the cuter love-hitherto undifferentiated, now become two separate things. (This no donbt a necessary stage in order for the developroent of the consciousness of love, but in itself only painful and abrormal.) It culminates and comes to an end, as to-day, in a complete divorce between the spiritual reality and the bodily fultiment-in is vast system of commercial love, bought and suld, in the brothel and in the palace. It begios with the iorsaking of the hardy nature-life, and it ends with a society brokon down and prostrate, hasdly recognisable as humath, amil every form of laxury, poverty and disease. He who had been the free child of Nature devies sis sonship; be disown the very breasts that suckled him. He deliberately turue his back upou the light of the sun, and hides himself away in boxes with breathing holes (which he calls houses), living ever more and more in darkuess and asphyzia, and only coming forth perhaps once a day to blink at the bright god, or to run back again at the first breath of the free wind for fear of eatohing cold: He muffies himself in the cast-off furs of the beasts, every century swathing himself in more and more layers, more and more fearfully and wonderfully fashioned, till he ceases to be recognisable as the Man that was once the crown of the animals, and presents a tuore ludiorous spectacle than the monkey that sits on hin own barrel organ. He ceasers to a great extent to upe his muscies, his feet become partially degenerate, his teeth wholly, bis digestion so enervated that he has to cook his food and make pulps of all his victuals, and his whole system so obviously on the dechine that at last in the end of time a Kay Robinson arises and prophesies as aforesaid.
that he will before long become wholly toothless, bald and toeless.

And so with this denial of Nature comes every form of disease; first delicatesee, daintiness, luxury; then unbalance, enervation, huge susceptibility to pain. With the shutting of himself away from the all-healing Power, man inevitably weakeus his whole manhood; the central bond is loosened, and he falls a prey to bis own organa. He who before was unaware of the existence of these latter, now becomes only too conscivus of them (and this-is it not the very objeot of the process ?); the stomach, the livor and the spleen start out into painful distiuctness before him, the hoart loses ite equable beat, the lungs their continnity with the universal air, and the brain becomes hot and fevered ; each organ in turn asserts itself abnormally and bovoman a sent of disorder, every corner and cranny of the boly bocumes the scene and aymbol of disease, and Man gazea aghast at bis own kingdom-whose extent he had never suspectad before-now all ablaze in wild revolt against him. Add then-all going with this period of his developmentsweep vast opidemic trains over the face of the earth, plagues and fevers and lunacies and world-wide festering sores, followed by arnies, ever growing, of doctors-they too with their retinues of booke and bottlen, veccinations and vivisections, and griuning death's-heady in the rear-a mad crow, knowing not what they di, yot all unconsciously, doubtless, fulfiling the groat ayro-long destiny of buraznity.

In all thia the iufluence of Property is apparent enough. It is evident that the growth of property through the increase of man's powere of production reacta on the man in three ways ; to draw him away namely, (1) from Nature, (3) from bie true Sulf, (3) from his Fellowe. In the first place it draws bin away from Nature. Theat is, that as man's power over matorinlo lncrosece ie oreaten for himelf a ephere and an
enviromment of his omm, in sore sense apart and different from the great elamenial world of the winde and the waves, the woode and the monatains, in which he has hitherto lived. He creates what we call the artificial lifc, of bouses and cities, and shutting himself up in these shute Nature out. As a growing hay at a certain point, and partiy in order to assert his independente, wrexts himsuif awat fom ihe tender care of his mother, and even displays--just for the time ineing-a spitit of opposition to her, so the growing Man finding out lis won powere nses them-for the tinu-even to do despite to. Noture, and to create hinself a world in which she shall have no part. In che second place the growth of property draws fano away fron bis true Self. Thio is clear mough. Aa his fower over meiterials and his posseasions increases, man tiuds the means of gratifyig bis sevses a will Instead of being guided any longer by that continent and "whole" instuct which characterises the animals, his chief motive is now to use his posers to gratify this or that istase or desire. Theas become abnornally magnified, and the man soon places his main good in their satisfaction; and abastons his true Self for his organa, the whole for the parts. Property draws the man outwards, stimulating the extarnai part of his boing, and for a time mastering him, overpowers the central Will, and brings about his disintegration and corruption. Lastly Property by thus stixulatiag the external and selfish nature in Mau, draws him array from his Fellows. In the anxiety to possess things for himself, in order to gratify his own bumps, he is necessarily brought into conflict with his neighbor and comes to regard him as aut enemy. For the true Self of man cousists in his organic relation with the whole body of his fellows; and when the man abandous his true Self be abautons also has true relation to his fellows. The mass-Man must rele in each unit-man, elke the unit-man sill drop of and die. But when
the outar man trice to separate himself from the inner, the unit-man from the mass-Man, then the reign of individuality begins-a false and impossible individuality of course, but the only means of coming to the conscionsness of the true individuality. With the advent of a Civilisation then founded on Property the unity of the old tribal society is broken up. The tius of blood relationship which were the foundation of the gentile syatem and the guarantees of the old fraternity and equality become dissolved in favor of powers and authorities founded on mere possession. The growth of Wealth disiutegrates the ancient Society; the temptations of power, of possestion, do., which accompany it, wrench the individual from his moorings; personal greed rules; "each man for himself" becomes the universal motto; the hand of every man is raised against his brother; and at last society itself becomes an organisation by which the rioh fatten upon the vitals of the joor, the etrong upon the murder of the weak. [It is interesting in this connection to find that Lewis Morgan makes the invention of a written alphabet and the growth of the coneoption of private property the main oharacteristios of the civilisation-period as distinguished from the periods of savagery and barbariem whioh preceded it; for the invention of writing marke perbapa better than anything eles oould do the period when Man beoomes seff-conscious-when be records his own doings and thoughts, and so commenoes History proper; and the growth of private property marka the period when he bogins to sunder himself from his fellows, when therefore the conception of sin (or separstion) frat enters in, and with it all the loug period of moral perplexity, and the denial of that community of life between himself and his fellows which is really of the essence of man's being.]

And then arises the institution of Government
Hitherto this bed not oxisted except in a quite rudimentary
form. The early communities truubled themeolves little shout Individual ownership, and what goverament they had was for the most part essontially demcoratio-an being merely a chnice of leaders among blood-rolations and nocial equal. But when the delusion that man oan exist for himself alone-his outer and an it were acidental self apart from the great inner and coamical self by which be is one with bir fellowe-when this delusion takes possession of him, it is not long before it finde expression in come sybtem of privete property. The old community of lifo and enjoyment passe away, and each man tries to grab the utmose be can, and to ratire into his own lair for its consumption. Private aosumulations arise; the natural flow of the bounties of life is dammed back, and arificial barriers of Law have to be constructed in order to premerfe the unequal levels. Outrage and Fraud follow in the wake of the deuire of possession; force has to be uned by the poseseson in order to maintain the lew-barriers against the non-posionarrs; clasen are formed; and finally the formal Governonent ariser, casinly as the expression of auch force; and premerven limelf, as best it oan, until much time at the fnequalitien which it upholds become too glaring, and the pent social watert gathering head burst through once more and regain their natural levele

Than Morgan in his "Anoient Society" points out over and over again that the civilised state resta upon tarritorial and property marka and qualifications, and not upon a pernonal batie as did the ancient gena, or the tribe; and that the civilised government correspondingly takes on quite s different character and function from the ample organisation of the gena. He eays (p. 124), Monarchy is incompatible with gentiliam" Also with regard to the relation of Property to Civiliestion and Government be make tbe following pregesnt remarka (p. 505): "Is im imponitle to overentimate the infuence of property in the eivilimation of mankind. It was
the power that brought the Aryan and Semitio nations ont of barbariam into oivilisation. The growth of the idea of property In the human mind commenoed in feebleness and onded in becoming its master passion. Governments and Laws are Instituted with primary reference to its creation, proteotion and enjoyment. It introduced human slavery as an instrument in its production; and sfter the experience of several thousand years it caused the abolition of slavery upon the discovery that a freaman was a better proparty-making maohine." And in another passage on the same subject, "The dissolution of society bids fair to become the terminution of a career of which property is the end and aim; becsuas auch a career contains the elements of self-destruction. Democracy is the next higher plane. It will be a revival in a higher form of the - liberty, equality and fraternity of the aucient gentes."

The institution of Government is in fact the evidence in social life that man has lost his inner and contral control, and therefore must resort to an outward one. Losing touch witb the lnward Man-who is his true guide-he declines upon an external law, whioh must always be false. If each man remsined in orgenic adhesion to the general body of his fellows no serious dis-harmony could ocour ; but it is when this vita unity of the body politio becomes weak that it has to be premorved by artificial means, and thus it is that with the decay of the primitive and instinctive social life there epringe up a form of gevernment which is no longer the demoeratic expreasion of the life of the whole people; but a lind of outside authority and ompulaion thrust upon them by w ruling class or oaste.

Perhap the sincerest, and often though not always the earliest, form of Government is Monarchy. The sentiment of human unity baving been already partly but not quite lost, the peopie chowse-in ordor to hold society togother-a man to rule over them who has thin tentiment in a high degree. He
represents the true Man and therefore the people. This is often a time of extensive warfare and the formation of nations. And it is interesting in this connection to note that the quite early "Kings" or leaders of each nation just prior to tha civiiisation period were generally masociated with the higheat religious functions, as in the case of the Roman rex, the Greek basileus, the early Egypijan Nings, Moses among the Israelites, the Druid laders of the Britons, and so on.

Later, and as the central authority gets more and more shadowy in each man, and the external attraction of Property greater, so it does in Society. The temporal and spiritual Yowers part cropany. The king-who at first represented the Divine Spirit or soul of socicty, recedes into the backgroum, and his nobles of high degree (wiw) may be compared to the nobler, more generous, qualities of the mind) begin to take his place. This is the Aristocracy and the Feudal Age-the Timorracy of Plato; and is marked by the appearance of large private tenures of land, and the growth of blavery and serflom-the slavery thus outwardly appearing in society being the symbol of the inward enslavement of the man.

Then comes the Commercial Age-the Oligarchy or Plutocracy of Plato. Honor quite gives place to material wealth; the rulers rule not by personal or hereditary, but by property qualifications. Parliaments and Constitutions and general Palaver are the order of the day. Wage-slavery, usury, mortgages, and other abominations, indicate the advance of the mortal process. In the individual man gain is the end of existence; industry and scientific cuaning are his topmost virtues.

Last of all the break-up is complete. The individual lowes all memory and tradition of his heavenly guide and counterpart; his nobler passions fail for want of a leader to whom to dedicste themselves; bis industry and his intellect serve but
to minister to bis little swarming desires. This is the era of anarehy-the democracy of Carlyle; the rule of the rabble, and mob-law ; caucuses and cackle, competition and universal greed, breaking out in cancerous tyrannies and plutocracies -s mere chsos and confusion of society. For just as we saw In the human body, when the inner and positive force of Hoalth has departed from it, that it falls a prey to parasites Which overspresd and devour it; so when the central inspiration departs out of social life does it writhe with the mere maggota of individual greed, and at length fall under the dominion of the most monstrous egotist who has been bred from its corruption.

Thus we have briefly sketohed the progress of the symptoms of the "disease," which, as said before, runs much (though not quite) the same course in the various nations which it attacks. And if this last stage were really the end of all, and the true Demooracy, there were indeed little left to hope for. No words of Carlyle could blast that black enough. But this it no trus Democracy. Here in this "each for himself" is no rule of the Demoa in every man, nor anything resembling it. Here is no solidarity such as axisted in the ancient tribes and primaval society, but only disintegration and a dust-heap. The true Demooracy has yet to come. Here in this present stage is only the final denial of all outward and class govern ment, in proparation for the restoration of the inner and true authority. Hore in this stage the task of civilisation comea 10 an ond; the purport and object of all theae centuries is fulfilled; the bittor experience that mankind had to pass through is completid; and out of this Death and all the torture and unrost which accompanies it, comea at last the Rosurrection. Maa has sounded the deptha of alienation from bis own divine spirit he has drunk the drege of the cup of oufforing, he hat literally dueoended inte Hell; henceforth he
turns, both in the individual and in society, and mounts doliberately and consciously back again towarde the unity which he bas lost. ${ }^{1}$

And the false demooracy parts aside for the dimelonure of the true Democracy which has been furmed beneath it-which is not an oxternal government at all, but an inward rulo-the rale of the mass-Man in each unit-man For no outward government can be anything but a makeshit-a temporary hand ohrysali-sheath to buld the grub together while the new life in forming inside-a device of the civilisation-poriod Farther than this it cannot go, aince no true life can rely upon an external support, and when the true life of society comon all ite forme will be fluid and apontaneour and voluntary.

- There in esothor potnt worth noting machareoteriatic of the ofviliman tion-period. This is the abnormal developmant of the shetrone in. tollect in comperison with the physical eanest on the one hami, and the moral wease om the other. Buch a reolt might be sxpeoted, meltag that shatrection from roality. is natamelly the great engias of that fulee individuality or apartieem, which th it the object of Civiliastion to produce. As it is during thin period man brilde himeelf an tatelloctand world apart from the great actaal aniverse around him; the "ghowte. of thinge" are atadied to bookn; the stadent liver indoorm be cennot tance the open sir-bis theoriee "many prove very well in loctare-rooma, yot sot prove at all under the apecione clovis, aod aluag the lendicape and fiowing curreatia;" children are "ediocoted" atar from actual life; hage phantom-templea of phitiowophy and welence are roarad apon the unowt mlender foundations ; and in theo he litee defendod from actand frot For as a drop of water whes it comen in contect with red-bot froa wrape itheait ta a alood of rapor, and in meved trom doatraction, to the littie miod of man, leat it should toach the banniog truth of Natare send God and be cocumaned, amives at ach point it contact a voil of inserbetential thooght which allowe it for a tion to erint apart, and besomest the nurse of itm elf.conecionamoert

And now, by way of a glimpse into the future-aiter thle long digresaion what is the route that man will take

This is a aubject that I hardly dars tackle. "The moraing wind ever blows," saya Thorasu, "the poem of creation is un-interrupted-but fow are the ears that hear it." And how can we, gulfed as we are in this present whirlpool, conceive rightly, the glory whioh awaits us \# No limits that our prusent knowledge puts need alarn us; the imposaibilities will yield very easily when the time comes; and the anatomioal difficulty as to how and where the wings are to grow will vanish when they are felt sprouting I

It can hardly be doubted that the tendenoy will be-indoed la already showing itself-towards a roturn to nature and commurity of human life. This is the way beck to the lost Eden, or rather forward to the new Eden, of which' the old was only a figure. Man has to undo the wrappinge and the mummydom of centuries, by which he has shut himself from the light of the aun and lain in seeming death, preparing silently his glorious reanrreotion-for all the world like the funy old cliryaslis that he is. He has to emerge from honses and all his other hiding plaoes wherein so long ago ashamed (as st the voios of God in the garden) he concealed himself-and Nature must onoe more become his home, as it is the home of the auimals and the angela.

As it is written in the old magical formula: "Man clothes himself to descend, unoluthes himself to ascend." Over his spicitund or wind-like body he pute on a materisl or earthy body; over bis earth-body be puts on the skins of animals and other garments; then he kides thia body in a house behind curtains and stons wall.whloh become to to at secondary
akins and prolongations of fteoll. So that between the man and bin true life there growe s dense and impenctrable bed ${ }_{5}{ }^{\circ}$; and what with the cares and anxietios connected with bla earth-body and all its skins, be moon lones the knowledge that be is a Man at all, his true self slumbern in a deep and agalong swoon.

But the instinct of all who desire to duliver the divine inago within them is, in something more than the literal mense, towarde unolothing. And the procese of evolution or oxfoliation Itself is nothing but a continual unclothing of Nature, by which the perfect human Form which is at the rout of it comes nearer and nearer to ita manifestion.

Thun, in order to restors the Health which he has lost, man bas in the future to tend in this direction Lifo indoors and in houses has to become a fraction only, fnetead of the principal part of existence a it is now. Garmente similarly have to be simplified. How far thin procene may go it in not necessary now to onquire. It in muficiently obvious that our domestic life and.clothing may be at oncé greatly ro duced in complexity, and with the greatest advantagemmade usbidiary instead of arected inte the fetinhen which they sro. And everyone miny feel masured that each gain in thit dircetion is a gain in true life-whether it be the head that gres uncovered to the air of heaven, or the feet that grema bare the maguetio earth, of the elementary raiment that allows thro' its meshes the light itself to reach the vital organ. The lifo of the open alr, familiarity with the winds and waves, cleas and pare food, the companionahip of the animals-the very wrentling with the great Mother for him food-all theme thing will tond to restore that relationship which man hat $w 0$ ling jisowad; and the consequent instreaming of energy into bia eystem will carry him to perfections of health aud redianow of bolng at prowemt unsurpected

Of course, it will be said that many of these things are diffioult to realise in our country, that an indoor life, with all Its concomitants, is forsed upon us by the climate. But if this is to some amall-though very small-extent true, it forms no reason why we should not still take advantage of every opportunity to push in the dirsotion indiasted. It must be remembered, too, that our climate is greatly of our own oreation. If the atmorphere of many of our great towns and of the landa for miles in their neighborhood is devitalised and deadly-so that in oold waather it grants to the poor mortal no compensating power of resistance, but oompels him at peril of his lifs to swathe himself in great-coats and muffers-the blame is none but ours. It is we who have covered the landa with a pall of amoke, and are walking to our own funerals under it.
That this olimate, however, at its best may not be suited to the bigbest developments of buman life is quite possible. Bocause Britain has been the scene of some of the greatest epibodes of Civilisation, it dous not follow that she will keep the lead in the period that is to follow; and the Higher Communitios of the future will perhaps take their rise in warmer lands ${ }_{2}$ where life is richer and fuller, more spontaneous and more generous, than it asn be here.

Another polut in this conneotion is the food question. For the restoration of the oentral vigor when lost or degenerate, a diet consisting mainly of fruits and grains is most adapted Auimal food often gives for the time being a lot of nervous energy-nad may be useful for special purposes; but the energy is of a spasmodio feverish kind; the food has a tendenoy to inflame the aubsidiary eentrea, and so to diminish the central contrul. Thowe who live mainly on animal fuod are specially liable to disease-and not only physically; for their minds also fall more easily a prey to desires and sorrows. In times therofore of grief or mental trouble of any kind, as well as in timea
of bodily siokness, immediate recourse should be had to the more elementary diet. The body under this diet onduroe work with less fatigue, is less sumceptible to pain, and to cold; and beals ita wounde with extraordinary celerity; all of which facts point in the same direotion. It may be noted, too, that foods of the seed kind-by which I mean all manner of truita, nuts, tubers, grains, egge, ota. (and I may include milk in ita various forms of butter, oheene, curds, and so forth), not only contain by their nature the elementa of life in their mont condensed forms, but have the additional advantage that thay can be appropriated withoat injury to any living creature-for even the cabbage may inaudibly weream when torn up by the roota and boiled, bat the strawberry plant acks un to take of ite fruit, and painta it red expressly that we may see and devour it I Both of which consideratione muet ounvince ua that this kind of food is most fitied to develop the kemel of man's life.

Which all meana cleanneste The unity of our nature being reatored, the inatinct of bodily cleannesk, boch within and without, which is such a marked characteristic of the animals, will again characterise mankind-ouly now initead of a blind instinct it will be a conmioun, joyous one; dirt being only disurder and obstruction, And thus the whole huminn being, mind and body, becoming clean and radiant from its inmost centre to its farthest circumferenoo-" tranufigured "the distinction between the words spiritual and material disappear. In the worde of Whitman, "objecte grose and the unseen soul are one."

But thil return to Nature, and identification in eotwe nort with the great common, does not involve a denial or deprecietion of haman life and interenta It is not nocommonly sapposed that there is aome kind of antagonism between Man and Nature, and that to recommend a life clover to the latter moxns mero anceticism and eromitiem; and unfortuantely thin
antagunism does exiat to-dey, though it certainly will not exist for ever. Today it ia unfortunately perfectly true that Man is the only animal who, instead of sdoroing and beautifying, makes Nature hideous by his presence. The for and the equirrel may make their homes in the wood and add to its beauty in eo doing; but when Alderman Smith plants his villa there, the gods pack up their truuks and depart; they can bear it no longer. The Bushmen can hide themselves and become Indistinguishable on a slope of bare rook; they twine their naked little yellow bodies together, and look like s heap of dead stiuks; but when the chimney-pot hat and frock-ooat appears, the birda fly acreaming from the trass. This was the great glory of the Grueks that they accepted and perfected Nature; as the Parthenon sprang out of the limestone terraces of the Acrofolis, carrying the nutural linee of the rook by gradations scarce pereoptible into the finished and human beauty of frieze and pediment, and above, it was open for tha blue air of hesven to descond into it for a habitation; so throughout in all their best werk and life did they stand in this close relation to the earth and the aky and to all instinctive and elemental things, admitting no gulf between thenselves and them, but only perfecting thoir expressiveness and beauty. And some day we shall agrin understaud this which, in the very sunrise of true Art, the Qreeks so well understood. Posibly some day we shall agsin build our houses or dwelling places to simple and elemental in character that they will fit in the nooks of the hille or along the banks of the atreams or by the edges of the woods without disturbing the harmony of the landscape or the songs of the birds. Then the great temples, beautiful onevery buight, or by the shores of the rivers and the lakea, will be the storehouses of all precious and lovely thinga. There men, women and ehildren will come to share in the great and wonderful common life, the gerden sround will be sacred to the unbarmed
and Felcome animals ; there all atore and all facilition of books and musio and art for every one, there a moeting pisse for mocial life and intercourse, there danoes and games and feasth. Every village, overy little mettlement, will heve suoh hall or halla No need for private socumulationa Gladly will ench man, and more gladly still woh woman, take hil or her treasuren, ercept what are immediately or necesamily in use, to the common centre, where their walue will be increased a hondred and a thousand fold by the greator number of those who can enjoy them, and where far more parfocily and with far less toil they can be tended than il scattered abroad in private hands. At-one etroke half the labor and all the anxiety of domentio caretaking Fill be annihilated. The private dwelling places, no longer coatly and labyrinthine in proportion to the value and number of the treasures they contain, wil] need no longer to have doort and windowa jealously clomed against fellow man or mother nature. The mun and air will have access to them, the indwallers will have unfettered egress Neither man nor women will be tied in slavery to the lodge which they inhabit; and in becraning once more a pert of ontura, the human habitation will at length cease to be what it il now for at least hall the human rece-in prison.

Men often ask abont the new Architecture-what, and of what sort, it is going to be. Lut to wuch a question there can be no answer till new understanding of life has enterod into people'a minds, and then the anmer will be clear enough. For an the Greek Templen and the Gothic Cathedraln wers built by people who themealves lived but irugally at we ahould think, and were ready to dedicate their bent work and chiel treasure to the gode and the common life; and an today when Te must need have for ourselves apeciona and lazuriona villes, we seem to be nable to denigo a decent church os
public building; so it will not be till we once more find our main intereat and life in the life of the oommunity and the gods that a new spirit will inspire our arohitecture. Then whon our Temples and Common Halls are not designed to glorify an individual architect or patron, but are built for the use of frea men and women, to front the sky and the sea and the sun, to spring out of the earth, companionable with the trees and the moks, not alien in spirit from the aunlit globe itself or the depth of the atarry night-cthen I say their form and atructure will quickly determine themselvea, snd men will have no difficulty in making them besutiful. And aimilarly with the homea or dwolling pluces of the people. Various as these may be for the various wants of mon, whether for a single individual or for a family, or for groups of individuals or families, whether to the last degree simple, or whether more or less ornate and complex, still the new conception, the new needs of life, will necesarily dominate them and give them form by a law unfolding from within.

In auch new human life then-its fields, its farme, its workshops, its cities-siways the work of man perfecting and beautifying the lands, aiding the efforts of the sun and soil, giving voice to the desire of the mute earth-in such new communal life near to nsture, so far from any asoeticism or inhospitality, we are fain to seo far more humanity and moeiability than ever before: an infinite helpfulnesa and sympathy, as between the ohildren of a common mother. Mutual help and combination will then Lave become apontaneous and fustinctive: enoh man coutributing to the gervice of his neighbor an inevitally and naturally as the right baud goes to help the left in the human body-and for precisely the mame reason. Every man-think of it!-will do the work which be likes, whioh he desires to do, which is obviously before him to do, and which he known will be useful- without
thought of wagen or reward; and the reward will come to him an inevitably and naturally min the haman body the blood flow to the member which is ezerting itwelf. All the endles burden of the adjustment of labor and wagen, of the war of duty and distaste, of want and weariness, will be thrown aside-ull the huge waste of work done againat the grain will be avoided; out of the ondless variety of human nature will spring a perfectly natural and infinit variety of occupations, all mutually contributive; Society at last will be free and the human being after long agea will have sttained to deliverance.

This is the Commonism which Civilisation has alwaya hatel, as it hated Christ. Yet it in inevitable; for the oramical man, the instinctive elemental man accepting and arowning natture, necestarily fulfis the aniversal lsw of neture. An to Extermul Government and Law, they will dianppear; for they are only the travestien and transilory substitulem of Inward Government and Order. Soclety in ite final state is neither a. Monarchy, nor an Aristocracy, nor a Democracy, nor an Anarchy, and yet in another sense it is all ofthees. It is an Aaurchy becanme thers is no outward rele, but only an inward and invisible spirit of life; it is a Democrscy beouse it is the rule of the Mass-man, or Demos, in sech gnit man; it th an Aristacracy becanse there are degreee and ranke of much inward power in all men; and it io a Monarchy becanse all theme rank and poweri merge in a perfect anity and central control at lact. And so it eppeare that the outer forms of government which belong to the Civilisation-period are only the exprewion in separate axternal symbole of the faota of the true innor life of society.

And just as thus the verious external formi of goverament during the Civiliastion-period find their juatification and interpretation in the encuing period, wo will it be with the mechanical and cther prodacte of the prowent time; they will
be taken np, and find their proper place and uee in the time to come. They will not be refused; but they will have to be brought into subjection. Our locomotives, machinery, telegraphic and poatal systems; our houses, furniture, clothes, books; our icarful and wonderful cookery, strong drinks, teas, tobaccon; our medical and aurgical appliances; high-faluting suiences and philosophies, and all other engines hitherto of bumen bewilderment, have simply to be reduced to abject eubjection to the real man. All these applisnces, and a thourand others such as we hardly dream of, will come in to perfect his power and inarease his freedom; but they will not be the objecta of a mere fetish-worship as now. Man will use them, iustasd of their asing him. His real life will lie in a region far beyond them. But in thus for a moment donying and "mastaring" the producta of Civilisation, will he for the first time discover their true value, and reap from them an enjoyment unknown before.

The samo with the morel powers. As ssid before, the knowledge of good and evil at a certsin point passes awiy, or becomes absorbed into a highar knowledge. The perception of Sin goes with a certain weakness in the man. As long as there is oonfliat and division within bim, so long doea he seem to perceive conflicting and opposing principles in the world without. As long as the objects of the outer world excite emotions in him which pass beyond his control, so long do these objects stand as the aigasls of evil-of disondor and sin. Not that the object are bad in themselves, or even the emotions which they excite, but that sll through this period these things serve to the man as indications of his weakness. But when the central power la regtored in man and all things are reduced to his burvioe, It ia impossible for him to see badness in anything. The bodily is no longer entagonistic to the apiritual love, but
thaberbed into ft. All hin prenione take their placee perfootly naturally, and become when the occaions arise the vehiolen of bis expression. Vices under exinting conditiona are vices aimply because of the inordinate and disturbing influence they exercise, but will cease agsin to be vicee when the man regains his proper command. Thus Socrutee having a cleas soul in a clean body oould drink his boon companioni under tha table and then go out himself to take the morning sirwhat was a blemish and defeot in them being timply an added power of enjoyment to himsolf!

The point of difference thronghout (baing the transference of the centre of gravity of life and consciounnese from the partial to the nniversal man), is symbolized by the gradual reaumpition of mors nniversal conditions. That is to eay that during the divilisation-period, the body being ayatematically wrappod in clothef, the head slone representa man-the little innikin, intellectual, welf-conscious man in contra-dintinction to the cosmical man represented by the ontirety of the bodily organm. The body han to he dulivered from ita swathings in oriar thut the coumical conscioumess may once more reside in the human breast. We have to become "all face" again-ar the anvage said of himself. ${ }^{1}$

Where the cosmic elf is, there in no more sell-onncionmess. The body and whit it ordinarily called the mell are felt to te only parts of the true alf, and the ordinary dintinctions of inner and outer, egotimm and altruism, eto., lose a good deal of their value. Thought no longer returns apon the local self an the chief object of regand, but consciouspes in continually radiant from it, gilling the body and overflowing upon external Nature. Thus the Son in the physical world in the allegery of

[^6]the true self. The worshipper must adore the Sun, he must saturate bimelf with sunlight, and take the physical Sun into himself. Those who live by fire and candle-light are filled witb phantoma; thoir thoughts are Will-a'th'-wiep-like images of themselves, and they are tormented by a horrible selfoonsciourness.

And when the Civilisation-period has passed away, the old Nature-religion-perhapt greatly grown-will come back. This immense atream of religious life which beginning far boyond the horizon of earliest history has been deflected into varions motaphysical and other chanvels-of Judaism, Christianity, Buddhism, and the like-during the bistorical period, will once more gather itself together to float on its bosom all the arks and sacred vessels of human progress. Man will once mors feal his unity with his fellows, he will foel his uuity with the animals, with the mountains and the ntreams, with the earth itself and the slow lapse of the constellationa, not as an abstract dogma of Science or Theology, but as aliving and ever-present fact. Ages back this has been undorstood better than now. Our Christian ceremonial is satursted with sexual and astronomical symbols; and long hofure Chrietianity existed, the sexual and astronomionl were the main forms of religion. That is to say, men instinctively folt and worshipped the great life coming to them through Sex, the graat life ooming to them from the doeps of Heaven. They deifiod both. Thoy placed their gods-their own human furms-in eex, they placed them in the sky. And not only so, but wherever they telt thia kindred human life-in the animais, in the ibis, the bull, the lamb, the swake, the orvaculle; in the trees and flowera, the oak, the ash, the luurel, the hyauinth; in the streams and water-falle, on the mountaingidos or in the depther of the ses-they placed them. The whale univarse wat full of a life which, tho not alway
friendly, was human and hindred to their own, folf by them, not reasoned sbout, but simply parceived. To the onrly man the notion of his heving a eeperate individuality oould only with diffioulty ocour; hence ho troubled hinwelf not with the suicidal queetionings ooncerning the whenoe and whither which now ver the modern mind.' For what cesuses theme questions to be anked is simply the wretched feeling of isolation, actual or proepectiva, which man necessarily hea when he contemplates himsalf at separate atom in thin immense univarse-the gulf which lite below seetningly rasdy to swallow him, and the anxiety to find come mode of secape. But when be feela onoe more thet he, that ho bimself, in ebeolutely indivisibly and indestrnotibly a part of this great whole-why then there is no gulf into whioh he can powsibly fall ; when he is sensible of the faot, why then the how of its realisation, tho' losing none of its tatereat, becomes a matter for whoe wolution he can wait and work in faith and cart tentment of mind. The Sun or Sol, visible image of his very Soul, closeat and most vital so him of all mortal things, occupying the illimitable hesven, feeding all with ite life; the Moon, emblem and narse of his own refective thought, the conscions Man, measurer of Time, mirror of the Sun; the, planetary passions rendering to and fro, yet within bounde; the starry deatinies; the chenges of the carth, and the sessons; the upward growth and unfoldment of all organio life; the emergence of the periect Man, towardn whose birth all creation groane and trsvaile- all these thinge will retury to become realities, and to be the frame or setting of his supramundune life. The meening of the cld religions will oome tack to him. On the high tope ono more gethering be will colebrate with naked dnnees the glory of the human form and the great

[^7]prosesgions of the stars, or greet the bright horn of the young moon which now after a hundred centuries comes back laden with such wondroas associations-sill the yearnings and the drearas and the wonderment of the generations of mankindthe worship of Astarte and of Dians, of Isis or the Virgin Mary; once more in eacred groves will he reunite the pession and the dolight of human love with his deepent feelinge of the sanotity and beauty of Nature; or in the open, standing uncovered to the Sun, will adore the emblem of the everlasting splendor which shines within. The same sense of vital perfeotion and exaltation which oan be traced in the early and pre-oiviliation peoples-only a thousand times intensified, definod, illustrated and purified-will return to irradiate the rodeemed sud delivered Man.

In auggesting thus the part whioh Civilisation has played in history, I am aware that the word itgelf is diffioult to defineis at best only one of those phantom-generalisations which the mind is forced to employ; also that the account I have given of it is sadly imporfoct, leaning perbaps too much to the mervily nugative and destructive aspect of this thousand-year loug lapes of humen evolution. I would also remind the reader that though it is perfectly true that under the dissolving infuence of civilisation empire after empire has gone nnier and disappeared, and the current of human progress time ufter time has ouly beon restored again by a fresh influx of asvayery, yet its ocrruptive tendenoy has never had a quite unlimited fling; but that all down the eges of ita dominance over the earth we can trace the tradition of a healing and relleeming power at work in the human breast and an antioipetion of the eecoud edvent of the son of man. Certain instituthus, two, such ant and the Family (though it aemen not
unlikely that both of these will greatly change when the special conditions of their preseat existence have disappeared), have served to keep the sacred flame alive; the latter proserving in island-miniatures, as it were, the ancient communal humanity when the seas of individualism and greed covered the goneral face of the earth; the former keeping up, so to epeak, a navel-cord of contact pith Nature, abd a means of utterance of primal emotions else unsatisfiable in the world around.

And if it scem extravagaist to supnose that Society will ever emerge from then chsotic condition of strife and ferplexity in which we find it all down the lapse of historical time, or to hope that the civilisation-process which has terminated fatally so invariably in the past will ever eventuate in the establishment of a higher and more perfect heallh-condition, we may for our consolation rewnmber thst today there are features in the problem which have never been present before. In the first place, to day Civilisation is no longer isolated, as in the ancient world, in surrounding floods of savagery and barbarism, but it practically covers the globe, and the outlying savagery is so feeble as not possibly to be a menace to it. This may at first appear a drawback, for (it will be said) if Civilisation be not renavated by the influx of external Savagery it own inherent flaws will destroy society all the sooner. And there would be some truth in this if it were not for the following consideration: Namely, that while for the first time in History Civilisation ds now practically contimuous over the globe, now also for the first time can we descry forming in continuous line within its very structure the forces which are destined to destroy it and to bring about the new order. While hitherto isolated communisms, as suggested, have existed here and there and from time to time, now for the first time in History both the masses and the thinkers of all the advanced nations
of the world ars consciously feeling their way towards the establiahment of a हcialistio and communal life on a vast scale. The present competitive society is more and more rapidly becoming a mere dead formula and husk within which the outlinos of the new and human society sre already discernible. Simultaneoualy and as if to match this growth, a move towards Natare and Saragery is for the first time taking place from within, instead of bsing forced upon socisty from without. The nature-movement begun years ago in literature and art is now anong the more advanced sections of the civilised world rapidly renlising itself in actual life, going so far even at a denial, anong some, of machinery and the complex products of Civilisation, and developing among others into gospel of salvation by sandals and sunbaths I It is in these two move-ments-towards oomplex human Communism and towards indivilual freedom and Savagery-in some sort balancing and corroding each other, and both visibly growing up withintho' utterly foreign to-our present-day Civilisation, that we bave fair grounde I think for looking forward to ita cura.

## APPENDLX.

[8na p. 9] The following romarks by Mr. H. B. Cotterill on the nativee around Leke Nyasa, among whom ho lived at i time, $1876-8$, when the region was almost anvisited, may be of intereat. "In regard of morely 'anmal ' dovelopment and well-being, that is in the doliaste perfection of bodily facultien (percoptive), the African mavags in as a sule incomparably anperior to an. One feels like a ohild, nttorly depondent on them, when travoling or hunting with them. It is true that many may be fond (eepecially mongat the weaker tribee that hure buan alave-bunted or drivan itoto berron corners) who are half maryod and wisoned, bot as a rule thoy are splendid aviranie. In character there in a great want of that atrength which in the educated oirilimed man to wourod by the roota striting ont into the Past and Future-and in spite of thair immense poroeptive moperiority they feal and adkowlodge the mperior force of obersoter fin the white man.


They are the very converse of the Stoic self-sufficient sage-like children in their "orlmiration' Mad wormhip of the Unknorn. Hence their absolato want of Concit, thouet they possese self-command snd dignity, They are, to those they love and respects faithful and devoted -their faithfulness and mothfniness are dictated by wo 'categorical imgerative; but by persoisal affection. Towards sa enemy they can be, without any conseientions scruples, treacherous and inhumanly cruel. I should say that there is scarcely any possible iden that is so foreign to the savtige African mind as that of general philanthropy or enemy-love."
"In enduronce the Airivan savage beats no hollow fexctpt trnined athletes). On one occasion my mea rowed my boat with 10 foot oast againat the wind it a cheppy sea for $\frac{8}{5}$ howa at one go, across Kuwirwe Say, about fo miles. They never once gtapped or left their seatsjust handed rounci a bandiul of rice now ann tien. I was the helm all the time-and had enomesh of it:. . ' they oarry so ibs me their heade for 10 hours through swamps ant jangles. Pori of niy men carried a gick man weighing la stanes in a hammock for 200 milon, right across the dreaded Malikata Swamp. Bot for sudien entergoecies, squalls, etc, they are nowhere."
[See p. 10] "So lovely a sceme madv ensily credible the suggeation, otherwise bighly probable, that the Golden Age was no merc fancy of the phets, but a reminiscence of the facte of social life in its primitive urganisation of village and house-communities," \{J. S. Stuart-Glonnie's Everope and Asia, ch. I., Servia.)
[See ç. 4fi] "It was only on the up-break of the primitive socishisms that the fassionate desire of, and therefore belief $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{f}}$ individusi Inmortality arose, With an intense feeling, not of an indepentent individual tife, but of a dopendent common Hife, there is no passionate denire of, though there may be nore or less of belief in, a oontinuance after dedith of iadividual axistence." (ibicl, p. 161.)

# MODERN SCIENCE: 

## A CRITICISM.

savtì $\lambda$ áyч $\lambda$ óyos ívos ávtıкêtтam
Ir is ous of the difficultios whioh meet anyone who magests that modern soience is not wholly satisfactory, that it is immediatoly assumed that the writer is covertly defending what Ingersoll oalla the "rib-story," or that he wishes to restore belief in the literal inspiration of the Bible. But, religious controversy apart, and while admitting that Science has done a great work in oleaning away the kitchen-middens of superatition and opening the path to clearer and saner views of the world, it is possible-and there is already a growing feeling that way-that her positive contributions to our comprehension of the order of the universe have in late times been disappointing, and that even her methods are only of limited applicability. After a glorious burst of porhaps fifty years, amid great soolamations and good hopes that the erafty old univeras was going to be osught in her careful net, Science, it must be confessed, now finda herself in almoat every direction in the most hopeless quandaries ; and, whether the ribstory be true or not, has at any rate provided no very satisfactory substitute fur ith And the reason of this failure is very obvious It goes with a cortsin defect in the haman mind, which, as we bave pointed out (nota, p. S4), nesessarily belongs to the Civilisation-period-the tendenoy, namely, to aeparato the
logical and intellectual part of man from the emotional and insiactive, and to give it a lows standi of its orm. Science bas failed because she las attempted to carry out the investigation of nature from the intellectual aide alone-neglecting the other constituents netessafily invoived in the problem. Sho has failed because sbe has atterupted an impossibla lask; for the discovery of a purmanemtly valid and purely intellectual representation of the universe is simply impossible. Such a thing does not exist.

The various theories and views of nature which we hold are merely the fugitive envelopes of the succossive stages of human growth-each 5 to of theories and views belonging orgizically to tho moral and emotional stage which has been reached, and being in some sort the expression of it; so that the attempt at any given time to set up an explanation of phenumena which shall be valid in itselfand without reference to the mental coudition of those who set it up, necessarily ends in failure ; and the present state of confusion and contradiction in which modern Science finds itself is merely the result of such attempt.

Of course this limitation of the validity of Science has been recognised by most of those who have thought about the watter; ${ }^{2}$ but it is so commonly overlooked, and latterly the notion has so far gwined ground that the "laws" of science are immutable facts and etemal statements of verity, that it may be worth while to treat the subject a little more in detail.

The mothod of Science is the method of all mundane knowledge; it is that of limitation or actual ignorance. Placed in free of the great uncontained unity of Nature we can oniy deal with it in thought by selecting certain details and isolating those (either wilfully or unconseiousif) from the rest, That is right enough. But in doing so-in isclating such and such details-we practically beg the question we are ${ }^{1}$ See notios p .81
in search of; and, moreover, in supposing such isolation we mppose what is false, and therefore vitiate our oonclusion. From these two radical defects of all intellectual inquiry we cannot eboape. The views of Science are like the views of a mountain; eaoh is only possible as long as you limit yourself to a oertain stand-point. Move your position, and the view is ohanged.

Perbaps the word "species" will illustrate our meaning as woll as say word; and, in a sense, the word is typianl of the mothod of Science. I see a dog for the first time. It is a foxhound. Then I ses a second fox-hound, and a third and a fourth Prewently I form from these few instances a general noncoption of "dog." But aftor a time I see a grey-hound and e terrier and a mastiff, and my old conception is destroyed. $A$ new one has to be formed, and then a new one and a new one. Now I overlook the whole race of civilised dogs and am sutisfied with my wisdom; but presently 1 come upon some wild dogs, and study the habits of the wolf and the fox. Geology turne me up some links, and my conception of dog uefte awhy like a lump of ice into surrounding water. My apecies exista no more. As long as I knew a few of the facts I could talk very wise about them; or if I limited myself arbitrarily, as we will say, to a study only of animals in England at the prosent day, I could classify them; but widen the bounds of my knowledge, the area of observation, and all my work has to be done over again. My apecies in not a palid fuct of Nature, bute fiction arising out of my own ignaranoe or arbitrary isolatiun of the objects obsarved.

Or to take an instance from Astronomy. We are accustomed to say that the path of the moon is an ellipse. But this is a very lowse statement. On enquiry we find that, owing to perturiations supposed to be produced by the sun, the path deviates conaiderably from an sllipse. In faot in atriot
calculations it is taken an being a certain eflipee only for an instant-we nert instant it is supposed to be portion of another ellipse. We might then call the path an irregular curve somewhat resembling an ellipee. Thill in new view, But on further enquiry it appears that, while the moon in going sound the earth, the earth itsell in speeding on through space about the aun-in consequenoe of which the setual path of the mon does not in the least resemble an ellipse! Finally the mun itself is in motion with regard to the fixed stars, and they are in movement tco. What then is the path of the moon I No one knowe; we have not the faintest ides-the word itself ceases to have any assiguable meaning. It in true that if we agree to ignore the perturbations produced by the eun-es in fact we do ignore perturbation produced by the planets aud other bodies-and if we agree to ignore the motion of the earth, and the aight of the colar eystem through apace, and. oven the. morement of any contre round which that may be speeding, we may then ary that the moon moven in en ellipue. But this has obviously nothing to do with actual facta. The moon doee not move in an ellipsen-not even "relatively to the earth"and probably never has done and never will do ao. It may be a convenient view or fiction to asy thet it would do so under such and much circumatancew-but it is atill only a fiction. To attempt to isolate a amall portion of the phenomens from the reat in a univerve of which the muity is one of Science's mont cheriahed convictions, is obviously selfetultifying and meelem.

But you say it can be proved by mathematice that the ollipse would be the path under these conditions; to which I reply that the mathematical proof, though no doubt cogent to the human mind (as at present constituted in mont penple), if open to the mame objection that it doen not deal with actual tacts. It deals with a mental supponition, i.e., that there are only two bodien acting on each other-a case which never hat
vocurred and never can ocour-and then, assuming the law of gravitation (whioh is just the thing which has to be proved), it arrives at a mental formuls, the ellipse. But to argue from this process that the ellipse is really a thing in Nature, and that the heavenly bodies do move or even tend to move in ellipses, is obviously a most unwarrantable leap in the dark. Finally you argue that the loap is warranted because, by assuming that the moon and planeta move in ellipses, you oun actually foretell things that happen, as for instance the ocourrence of eclipses; and in reply to that I can only say that Tycho Brabe foretold eolipses almost as well by assuming that the heavenly bodies moved in epicycles, and that modern astronomers actually do apply the epicycle theory in their mathematical formula. The epioydes were an assumption made for a cortain purpose, and the ellipses are an assumption made for the same purpose. In some respects the ellipse is a more oonvenient fiotion than the epicyele, but it is no less a fietion.

In other words-with regard to this "path of the moon" (na with regard to any other phenomenon of Nature)-our knowledge of it must be either absolute or relative. But we cunnot know the absolute path; and as to the relative, why all we can ary is that it does not exist (any more than apecies exists)-we cannot break up Nature so; it is not a thing in Nature but in our own mindo-it is a view and a fetion.

Again, let un take an example from Phyaics-Boyle's law of the compressibility of gases. This law states that, the cemperature remaining constant, the volume of a given quantity of gas is inversely proportional to its pressure. It is a law which has been made a good deal of, and at one time was thought to be true, ie., it was thought to be a otatement of fact. A more extended and careful observation, however, uhowe that it is only true under so many limitationa, that, like
the ellipee in Astronomy, it must be regarded a a convenient fiction and nothing more. It appears that air followe the supposed law protty well, but not by any mean exactly axoopt within very narrow limite of preseury; other gesea, suoh as cartonic acid and bydrogen, deviste from it very considerably -wome more than others, and tome in one direotion and some in the opposite. It wea found, among other thinge, thet the nearer a gas wat to it liquefying point, the greatar wat the deviation from the cupposed law, and the condusion wat jumped at that the law wat true for perfect gases only. Thia idem of a perfect gas of conrsa involved the ampmption that games, as they get farther and farther romoved from their liquefying point, reach as Inet a fixed and etable condition, When no further change in their qualition takes pleco-mit any rate for a vory long time-and Boylo's law wan apposed ta sppif to this condition. Since then, however, it hen beesi discovered that there is an ultregameous state of matter, and on all sides it in becoming abundantly clear that the change in the condition of matter from the liquid state to the altragaeeous state is perfecty continuous-through all modificetiung of liquidity sorl condensation and every dogree of perfection and imperfection of genineat to tha vimont rarity of the fourth etate $\Delta t$ what point, them, does Boyle's law really apply' Obvioanly it applies exactly at only one point in this loug seconding acalo-at ons metaphysical point-and at every other point it in incorrect. Bnt no gen in Netaro rmaine or can be maintained juet at one point in the acale of itt inanmersble changes. Consequeatly all we en may in that out of the innomerable different atates that gases are capelie of, and the innumerable differvent law of compremibility which they therefore follow, we could theoretically fiod one tite tu Fhicb woald correapond the law of compremibility anlled Boyle's law; and that if we could promerye a gea in that atate
(which we can't) Boyle's law really would be true just for that osse, In other words, the law is metaphyaical. It has no real axistence. It is a convenient view or fiction, arising in the first place out of ignorance, and only tenable as long as further observation is limited or wilfully ignored.

Thif then is the Method of Soience. It consists in forming a law or statement by only looking at samall portion of the facte; then when the other facts come in the law or statement graluaily fadea away again. Conrad Geasner and other early noolugists began by classifying animaln acoording to the number of their horns ! Politioal Economy begina by olasaifying social aotion under a law of Supply and Demand. When poople believed that the earth was flat they generalised the fucts connected with the fall of beary bodies into a conception of "up and down." Those were two opposita directions in apace. Heapy bodies took the "downyard;" it was their niture. But in time, and as fresh fucts oame in, it beosme impossibla to group animals any longer by their horns; "up and down" coased to have a meaning when it was known that the ourth was round. Then fresh lawa and statementa had to be formed. In the last-mentioued ase-it being conceived that the earth was the centre of the universe-the now law suppersed was that all heavy bodies teaded to the centre of the earth es such. This wa all right and aatisfactory for a while; lut presently it appeared that the earth was not the centre of the universo, aud that some beavy bodies-sucb as the satellites of Jupiter-did not in fect tend to the centre of the eurth at all. Another hump of ignoranee (which had enabled the old generalisation to exist) was removed, and a new generaligation, that of universal gravitation, was after a time formed. But it is probuble that this lap is ouly oonceived of as true thro' our ignornuce; nay it is certain that belief in ite truth prosente the gravest dificultien

In fact here we oome upon an important poinh. It is eometimes said that, granting the above argumenta and tho partiality and defectiveneas of the lave of Soience, atill they are approximations to the truth, and as asch fresh fact if introduced the cousequent modification of the old law bring" un mearor and nearar to limit of rigoroun exactness which we shall reach at last if we only have patience enough. But is this soi What kind of rigorous statement shall we reach when we have got all the facte in Remembering that Nature is onc, and that if wo try to get \& rigorous etatement for one set of phenonena (as say the lunar theory) by isolating them from the rest, we are chereby condemning ourtelves beforehand to a false conolusion, in it not evideat that our limit in at ell times infinitely far off If one knew all the facte relating to a given inquiry except two or threa, one might reasonably suppose that one was near a limit of exactness in one's knowledge; but seeing that in our inventigatioń of Nature we ouly know two or three, eo to speak, out of a million, it is obvious that at any moment the fresb law arining from increased experience may completely upwet our formor calculations. Thers is a difference between approximating to ${ }^{\circ}$ a wall and approximating to the North Star. In the ove case you are tending to a epeedy conclution of your laborm, in the other case you are only going in a certain direction. The theoriee of Science generglly belong under the second head. They mark the direction which the human mind is taking at the moment in queation, but they mark no limits. At each point the appearance of a limit is introiuced-which becomen, like a mirage in the desert, an object of zeen pursuit ; but the limit is not really there-it in only an effect of the standroint, and disappears again after a time as the otwerver moves. In the case of gravitation there in for the moment an appearance of finality in the law of the inverse equare of the diatance,
but this arises probably from the feot that the law is derived from a limited srea of observation only, namely the move ments (at grest distances from each other) of some of the hoaveuly bodies, ${ }^{1}$ The Cavendish and Schehallien experiments do not show more than that the law at ordinary distances on the earth's euriace does not vary very much from the above; while the so-oalled molecular forces compel us (unless we make the very artificial assumption that a variety of attractions and repulsions coexist in matter alongside of, and jet totally distinct from, the attrastion of gravitation) to suppose very great modifications of the law for small distances. In fact, as we saw of Boyle's law before-the Newtonian law is probably metaphysical-true under certain limited conditions-and the appearance of finality has been given to it by the fact that our observations have been made under suoh or aimilar conditions. When wo extend our obearvation into quite other regions of space, the law of the inverse aquare ceases to appear as even an uyproximation to the truth-as, for instanoe, the law of the inverse fifth power has been thought to be nearer the mark for emsil moleoular distances

And indeed the state of the grest theories of Science in the present day-the confuaion in which the Atomio theory of physios finds itself, the dismal insufficienoy of the Darwin theory of the survival of the fittest ; the collapse in late times

[^8]of one of the fundemental theoriee of Astronomy, namely that of the atability of the lunar and planetary orbita; the catm dysm and convulsions which Geology seeme just now to be undergaing; the appalling and indeed inmormountable dificultien which attach to the Undulatory theory of Light; the final wreck and abandonment of the Valuetheory, the lioundationtheary of Political Biconomy-sil theee thing do not weem to point to very near limita of rigorous exactnets I An impregnable theory, or one nearing the limit of impregnability, is in fact as great an abeurdity as an impreguable armor-piate. Certainly, given the cannoo-bally, you can generally find an armor-plate which will be proof againat them; but given the armor-plate, jou can alway find cannon-balls which wilt umash it up.

The method of Science, an being a method of artificial limp. tation or ectusl ignorance, is curiuualy illustrated by a coirsideration of ita various branchee. I have taken movie examiling from Aetronomy, which is considered the mont exact of the phyaical sciences. Now does it not neem curioun that Aatronomy -the study of the freaveoly bodien, which are the most dintant from un of all bodies, and most difficult to obwerve-should yet' be the moat perfect of the sciencen f Yet the reamon in olvious. Astronomy is the most perfect ecience because se knote least abrut it-becanse our ignorance of the ectual phemanens is momt profound. Situsted in fact an we are, on a sjeck in apmee, with our observations limited to periods of time which, comr pared with the etupendons flight of the ntarn, are merely momentary and ovanescent, we are in somewhet the position of a mole murveying a railway track and the gight of lnoomo tiven. And as man seeing a very sonall arc of a very vant circle eanily mirtake it for a straight line, 0 wo are eanily satisfied with cbeap deduction and solation in Astronomy, which a more extended oxperience would cause na to reject.

The man may have a long way to go along his "straight line" before he discovers that it is a curve; he may have much farther to go along his curve before he discovers that it is not a circle; and much farther still to go before he finds out whether it is an ellipse or a spiral or a parabola, or none of these; yet what ourve it is will make an enormous difference in his ultimate destination. So with the satronomer; and yet Astronomy is allowed to pass as an exact soience ${ }^{12}$

Well then, an in Astronomy we get an "exsot science" beoause the fucta and phenomens are on such a trewendous sosle that we only see a minute portion of them-just a few detailn so to speak-and our ignorance therefore sllowe us to

[^9]Iogratise; so at the other end of the acale in Chemistry and Physion we get quadiexact teienoes, because the frota and phenomens are on muoh a minute soale that wo overlook all the detaile and see only certain general effects here and there. When a solution of cuprio sulphate is treated with ammonis a mase of flocoulent green precipitate is formed. No one has the faintest notion of all the various movements and combinations of the molecules of shese twofluide which accompany the appearance of the precipitate. They are no doubt very comples. But emong all the changes that are taking place, one change has the advantage of being visible to the eye, and the chomist ningles that out an the main phenomenon. So chamistry st large conaiste in a faw, very few, facts taken at randon as it were (or because they happen to be of such s. nature as to be observeble) ont of the enormous mase of faoter really concerned : and because of their fewness the chemist is sble to arrange them as he think in some order, that is, to generalise sbout tbem. But it ir eertain as ean be that he only has to oxtend the number of hil frote, or his powers of obecrration, to get all hir generalisations upset. The came may be axid of maguetium, light, heat, and the other physical sciences-but it is not necessary to prove in detail whet is sufficiently obvions.

But now, roughly apeaking, there is sthird region of human observation-m region which doen not, like Astronomy (and Geology), lie 6 far beyoud and above ut that we only wee a very emall portion of it; nor, like Chemistry and Physicn, to far below us and under such minate conditions of apace and time that wo can only catch ita gouerd effocte; but which lies more on alevel with mas himself-the mo-cilled organic world-the mody of man, as an individual and in cociety, his history, his devalopment, the stndy of the animals, the plante even, and the lawe of life-the solences of Biology, Sociology, Hitorg, Prychology, and the reet. Now this region is ob
viously that whioh man knows most of. I don't say that he genoralises most about it-but he knows the facts best. For one observation that he makes of the habits and behavior of the stars, or of chemical solutions-for one observation in the remote regions of Astronomy or Chemistry-he makes thousands and millions of the habits and bobavior of his fellowmen, and hundreds and thousands of those of the animals and plasts. Is it not curious thon that in this region he is least sure, least dogmatic, most doubtful whether there be a law or nof Or, rather, is it not quite in accord with our contention, namuly thit Science, like an uninformed boy, is most definite and dogmatic just where sctual knowledge is least

It will however be replied that the phenomena of living belugs are far more complex than the phenomene of Astronomy or Ihywios-and that is the reason why exact soience makes so little way with them. Though man knowe many million times more about the habits of his fellow-men than about the habits of the stars, yet the former subjeot is so many million times more complicated than the latter that all his additional knowledge does not arail him. This is the plea. Yet it does not hold water. It is an entire assumption to say that the phenomona of Astronomy are less complicated than the plenomens of vitality. A moment's thought will show that the phenomens of Astronomy are in reality infinitely oomplex. Take the movement of the moon : even with our prosent acquaintance with that aubject we know that it has mome relation to the position and mass of the aarth, including Ita osean tides; also to the position and mass of the sun; also to the poaition and mass of every one of the planets; also of the ocmets, numerous and anknown an they are; also the meteorio rings; and finslly of all the stars I The problem, as everyone knowt, is absolutely insoluble even for the shortent period; but when the clement of Time entera in, and we owr
alder that to do anything like justice to the problem in an astronomical sense we should have to wolva it for at least e million years-during whioh interval the earth, sun, and other bodias conoerned would themselves have been changing thoir relative positions, it becomes obvious that the whole quention is infinitely complex-and yet this is only amall fragment of Aatronomy. To debaie, therefore, whether the infinite complexity of the movemente of the atarn is greater or less than the infinite complexity of the phenomene of life, is like debating the precedence of the thres persons of the Trinity, or whether the Holy Ghoet wat begotten or proceeding: we are talking about things which we do not understand.

Nature is one; bhe is not, we mey guess, leas profound and wonderful in one department than snother; but from the fact that we live under eortain conditions and limitations wo see most deeply into that portion which in, 60 it were, on the sams level with us. In bumapity we look her in the facs; thers our glance pierves, and we see that she is profound and wonderfal beyond all imagination; what we leern there in the most valuable that we an learn In the regiona where Scienoe rejoicee to disport itself wo see only the skirts of her gartuenti, no to speak, and though we maenure them never to precisely, we still see them and nothing more.

There is another point, bowever, of which mach is often made an a plea for the subutantial socuracy of the scientifio laws and generalinations, namely that they enable us to predict ovents But this need not dotain us long. J. S. Mill in bim "Logic" has pointed ont-and a little thought maken it obvioul-thet the cuccess of a prediction does not prove the truth of the theory on which it is founded. It only yroven the theury wal good enough for that prediction.

There wes a time when the man wat a god going forth in bil ahariot every morning and there wac a lime when the arth
was the centre of the universe, and the sun aball of fire revolving round it. In those times men could predict with oertainty that the man would rise next moraing, and could even name the hour of its appearance; but we do not therefore think that their theorien weretrue. When Adarasand Leverrier foretold the appearance of Neptune in a certain part of the aky, they made a briof prediotion to an unknown planet from the obwerved relation of the movements of the knowa planets; that doee not show bowever that the grand generalisation of thene movements, called the "lew of gravitation," is correct. It merely showe that it did well onough for this very brief step-brief indeed compared with the real problems of Astronomy, for which latter it is probably quite inadequate.

Tycho Brahé, oxcellent astronomer as be wes, kept es we saw to the epioyola theory. He imagined that the moon's path round the earth wat fixed combination of oycle and opicycle. Kepler introduced the conception of the ellipse. Later on the motion of the perigee and other deviations compolled the absadonment of the ellipse and the supposition of an endless curve, similar to an ellipes at any one point, and maintaining a fixed mesu distance from the earth, but never returning on itself or making a definite closed Ggure of ant kind. Finally the researchee of Mr. George Darwin have dostroyed the conception of the fixed mean distance, and introduced that of a coatinually enlarging spiral. Certainly no four theories could well be more distinct from each other than these: yet if an eclipse had to be calculated for next gear it would acarcely matter whioh theory was used. The truth is that the actual problem is so vest that a prediction of a few years in ulvanee only tonche the fringe of it so to speak; yet if the fulfilment of the prediction were taken ata proot of the theory in eacb of these different onses, it would lesed in the end to the mot bopolowaly ountradictory reaults.

The sucuess of a prodiction therefore only sbows that the theory on whish it is fonnded has had practical value so far an i working bypothesis. As working hypotheses, and as long as they are kept down to brief steps which can be verified, the scientife theones are very valugble--indeed we conld not do without them; but when they are treated as ofjective facts-when, for instance, the "law of gravitation"-derived as it is from a brief study of the heavenly bodies--has a universal tuth ascribed to it, and is made to apply to phenomena extomding over millions of years, and to wamant unvericoble prophecies abont the panetary orbits, or statements about the age st the carth and the duration of the solat systera-all one van asy is that those who argue so are flying of at a tangent. from autual facts. For sis the taugent represents the dirvetion of a curve over a mall are, so these tueories represent the bouring of foots well enougliger a small region of ohservation ; but is following the tangent we soon lose the curs, so following these theories for any distance beyond the region of netual observation we speedily part company with fiets. ${ }^{1}$

To proeed with a few more words about the general method of Scieucc. Science passes from phenomena to laws, from individual details which can be seen and felt to large generalisaticns of an intangible and phantom-ike character.
"All our thoughta, theories, "laws," be., way perhops be alill to tuich Nature-ms the tangent toucies the surve-at a point. They giree direviou-and are tras-at that point. Rat make the alightest move, and they all hava to be remonatructed Tho tangents are infinite in nuriber, but the carve is one. This may not only ilnstrate the celation of Naturs to theienos, lut slon of art to the materiais it :ses. The poet radiates theaghta: Ine he sete no rece by thenn. He knows his thonghts ara rat true for thenselves, but tiry inith the Trath. Hiz linas are the esvelicpe of tha curve wisich is his peesy.

That is to say, that for convenience of thonght we classify objects. How is this classification effected I It is effected through the perception of identity amid difference. Among a lot of objects I perceive certain attributes in common; this group of common attributes serves, so to speak, as a band to tie these objects together with-into a bundle convenient for thought. I give a name to the band, and that serves to denote any unit of the bundle by. Thus perceiving oommon attributes among a lot of dogs-as in an example already given-I give the name forhound to this group of attributes, and thenceforth use the name foxhound to connect these objects by in my mind; again porceiving other common attributea among othez similar objeots, I invent the word greyhound to denote these latter by. The ooncept forhound differs from the objeots which it denotes, in this reapect that these latter are (as we say) real dogs with thousands and thousands of attributes each : one of them has a broken tooth, another is nearly all white, another answers to the name "Sally," and so on; while the concept is only an imaginary form in my mind, with only sfer attributes and no individual peouliarities-a kind of tiny G.C.M. arising from the contemplation of a long row of big figures.

Now having orasted these concepts "forhound," "greyhound," and a lot of other similar ones, I find that they in their turn have a few attributes in common and thus give rise to a new ooncept "dog." Of oourse this "dog" is more of an abstraction than ever, the coneept of a concept. In fact the peouliarity of this whole process is that, as sometimes stated, the bruader the generalisation becomes the less is ita depth; or in other words and obviously, that as the number of objecta compared increases, the number of attributes common to them all decreases. Ultimately an wo saw at the beginning, when - suffiolent number of objeota are taken in, the concept (" ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{n}{ }^{n}$
or whatever it may be) fade sway and ceases to have any meaning. This therefore tis the filemme of Scieno and indeed of all human knowledge, that in carrying out the prooem which is peculiar to it, it necessarily leaves the dry gronnd of reality for the watery region of sbatrections, which abatractions become ever more tenuous and ungraspable the farther it goen, and altimately fade into mere ghoets. Nevertheless the process is a quite necessery one, for ouly by it san the mind deal with thing.

To dwell for a moment over this lat point: it is clear that overy objeot has relation to every other object-aiste in lact only in virtue of such relation to other objects; it hat therefore an infinite number of attributes, the mind onnequently ia powerless to deal with urob objeot-it annot by any possibility think it. In order to deal with it, the mind is forced to single out s few of its attributes (the method of ignorames or abmeraction already alluded to)-that in afow of ita relation to othor objects, and to think them firtu. The othors it will .think aftervards-sill in good time. In thus stripping or abatracting the great mase of its attributen from our object, and leaving moly a few, which it combinet into aoncept the mind practically abandons the. real article and takes up with a shadow; but in return for this it gete monsthing which it can handla, which is light to earry about, snd which like papermoney, for the aime and wader artain conditicme doen really represent value. The only danger is leat it-the mindcarried away by the extenaive applicability of the partial concept which it has thos formed, shonld credit it with an actual value-mbould project it on the beckground of the external vorld and asoribe to it that raality which belonga only to objecis themedrea, ie., to thinge embodying an infinice range of attributen.

The peculiar method of Scionce is now cleer to us, sed ena
be sbundantly illustrated from modern ropults. Our experience consist in sensations, we feel the weight of heavy bodies, we tee them fall when let go, we have sensations of heat and cold, light and darkness, and so forth. But these sensations are more or less local and variable from man to man, and we neturally seek to find some common measure of them, by which we can talk about and describe them exactly, and independently of the peculiaritise of individual observers. Thus we seek to find some common phenomenon which underlies (as we say) the mensationa of heat and cold, or of light and darkness, or something which explains (i.e is always present in) the ases of klling bodics-and to do this we adopt the method of generalisation above desoribed, t.4., we observe a great number of individual cases and then see what qualitien or attributea they have in common. So far good. But it is just here that the fallacy of the ordinary soientifio procedure comes in; for, forgetting that these common qualities are mere abstractions from the real phenomena we aradit them with a real existence, and regard the actual phenomena as secondary reaults, "effeots" or what-not of these "causes." This in plain language is putting the eart before the horse-or rather the shadow before the man. Thus finding that a vast number of variously shaped and colored bodies tend to fall towards the earth, we ereot this common attribute of falling into an independent existonos which we all "attraction" or "gravitation"-and uhimately poait a univeral gravitation acting on all bodiea in Nature $1-0$ finding that a number of different zubetanoss, suoh un water, air, wood, se, convey to ne the sonsation we call sound, and that in all these cases the common element in vibration, we detuch the attribute vibration, oredit it with a epparate existence, and opeek of it at the oaves of sound. But though we may thus chink of the shadow sa separste from the man, the ahadum oanuot be meparsto from the man; and tho' we
may try to think of the falling or the vibration an exarate from the wood or the stone, ach falling and vibration cannot exist apart from these and other such materials, end the effort to apeak of it as 80 existing enda in mere nonsenca More stragge etill is the fatuity, when, an in the case of the undulatory Theory of light or the Atomio theory of phyaica, the oonoepts thes eracted into sctualitien are composed of purely imagiaary attributes-of which to one has had any experienceman undulatory ether in the one case, a hard and perfectly elantio atom in the other. The total rasult is of course-just what we mee-Science landing itall in pure absurdities in every direction. Beginning by detaching the attribute of falling from the bodien that, fall-beginning that is by an ebatraction, whioh of course th alwo a falsity-it generaliese and generalisat thil abotraction till at lest. it reaches perfectly generalised sbeurdity and thing without any meaning-the law of gravitation. The statement that "every particls to the univerne attracta every other particla with force proportional to the mana of the attructing particle and inversely proportional to the equare of the distance between the 5 wo ${ }^{\text {" }}$ is devoid of meming-tho human mind can give no definite meanings to the words " mans," "attract, ${ }^{n}$ and "force," which do not stoltify each other. The lew to every way baffiee intelligence. Nowtor, who invented it, declared that no philosophic mind would muppose that bodies could thue act on one another ${ }^{4}$ without the mediation of anything elee by and through which their action might be conveyed; "cientifio men today entain to mee that s material modiation of thim kind would only mate the law still more unintelligible than it fa, while, on the other hand, an immuterial mediation or a fourth-dimensional medistion, anch at mome propose, woald nimply remove the problem cat of the regions of scientifo analynia Again the form of the law to declared to be the

Inverse square of the distanoe; but this is the law by the nature of apace itself of any perfect radiation, and if true of gravitation involves the conclusion that that rediation of force (whatever its nature may be) takes plane without loss or dissipation of any kind. This would make gravitation absolutely unique among phenomens, Mare tban this, its propagation is mupposed to be instantareowe over the most enormous distances of apace, and to take place always unhindered and unretarded whatever be the number or the nature of the bodies between! What can be more clear than that the law ls simply meta physiaal-a projection into a monstrous universality and abstraction, of partially understood phenomens in a particular region of observation-a Brocken-shadow on the bsokground of Nature of the observer's own momentary attitude of thought ! Again, the undulatory theory of Light. Studying the phenomena of a vast number of colored and bright bodies, Soience finds that lt can thluk about these phenomena-can generalise and tie them into bundles best by assuming that the bodies are all in a state of vibration; a vibration so minute that (unlike the vibrations connected with Sound) it cannot be directly perceived. So far good. There is no harm in the assumption of vibration as long as it is understood to be a mere asumption fur a temporary convenience of thought. But now Science goea farther than this, and not only supposes a common attribute to all visible bodies, but oredits this common attribute with a real existence independent of the visible bodies in which it was aupposed to mhere-and makes this the cause of their viaibility I Obviously now a common and universal medium is required for this common and universal sssumed vibration (just at Nowton required a medium for his universal "falling")and so, hey prestol we have the Undulatory Ether. And having got it we find that to fulfil our requirementa it munt have a pressure of 17 million million pounds on the
equare inch, and yet be 50 rare and tanvour an not to hiader the lighteet breath of air ; that while it th thum rave enougb to murpass all our powers of direct torutiny, its vibrations munt yet be capable of agitating and breaking up the wolidest bodien; that it must pan freely through wome dense and oloes atructuree like gian, and yet be exoluded by wome light and porous, like cork, and so on and on! In taot we find that it in unthinkable. Against thia adamanting, impalpable Ether, as againet thim instantancous, untranslatemble gravitation, Boienos bagg ita devoted head in vin. Having created thome absurdities by the method of "personification of abatractions" ${ }^{3}$ or the "raification of concopts," it. woriounly and in all good faith trien to onderstand them; having dremod up ita own Mumbo Jambo (which it once jeered at religion for doing) it piounly chuta in eyen and endenvore to believe in it.

The Atomie Theory sfords a good example of the "method of igrorance." When we try to think sboul materinal objects grearally-to generalies sbout them-that in, to Bad some sttribute or attributen common to them, we are at first pualed. They preseat mach an lmmenee veriety. But after a time, by dint of stripping off or abstracting all suoh attribatet or qualition se we think we perceive in one body and not in another-as for oxampla, rednena, blnenewn, warmih, altneen, life, intelligonce, or what not-we find an attribute left, nasualy recirtanoe to tonch, whoh is common to all material bodies. Thil quality in the body we call "man," and sioce it in only known by motion, mave ad mution beorme oorrelative attribate which we find usoful to alase bodien by, not because they reprewent the variou bodie particulariy well, but bectase they are found in all bodien; juat wh you might alat people by their boots-not beceum boote are $s$ Fary

$$
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valuable method of classification, but simply beoause every one wars boots of one kind or another. So far there is no great harm done. But now having by the method of ignorance thought areay all the qualities of bodies, except the two correlatives of mass and motion, we set about to explain the phenomens of Nature generally by these two "thinks" that are left. We oredit these "thinks" (mass and motion) with an Independent existence and proceed to derive the rest of phenomens from them. The proceeding of course is absurd, and ends by exposing its own absurdity. Thinking of mass and motion as existiug in the various bodies apart from color, amell, and so forth-which of course is not the casewe combine the two attribute into one concept, the atom, which we thus assume to exist in all bodies. The atom has aeither oolor, smell, warmth, tnste, life or intelligence; it has only mase and motion; for it came by the method of divesting our thought of everything but masa and motion. It is a projection of a "think" upon the baokground of nature. And it is an absurdity. No such thing exists in all the wide universe as mass and motion divested from oolor, smell, warmeth, life and Intelligence. The atom is unthiakable. It is perfoctly hard and it la perfectly elastio-which is the asme as saying that it bends sad it doosn't bend at the name time; it han form, snd it hasn't form; it has affinities and yet is perfectly indifferent To justify to men the ways of their Mumbo Jumbo has corely exercised the votaries of the Atom. One philosopher says that it is mere matter, passive, exeroising no foros but resistance; anotber says that it is a oentre of fores without matter; a third suggests that it in not itself matter, but only a vortex in other matter 1 All agree that it is not an objoct of sense, and there remaina no conoluaiun but What it in nunsense ${ }^{1}$


And so on in all directiona. Human thougtt dying off at Ite tangente from Nature lande itsalf in infuito nothinge afar off, poor ghowly skeletone and abatraotions from Naturewhich indeed is all right, for human thought an yot oan only soe ghosts and not realitien; but let there be no molatake, let these ghoste not be mintaken for realitie -for they are not even compatible with esch other. The Atom that maite the physicist doer not suit the chemist. The Ether that does for the vebicle of Light will not do for the vehicle of undvernal Gravitation.

It would be hardly worth while entering into these arith cisms, were it not evident that Soience in modern timen, either tacitly or explicitly, has been seeking, an I anid at the begibning to ensunce facta independent of Man, the obsorvor. Seeing that the ordinery statemente of daily life are obviounly inexact and relative to the obwerver-charged with buman mensation in lact-Soience has naturally tried to produce nomething which should be exact and independent of haiman ceneation; but here it ban of courne condemned itself beforohand to failure ; for no atatement of inclated phenomena or. groupe of phenomena aas be exect except by the method of lgnorance aforeasid, and no atatement obvioualy can be really independent of human eensation. When a man say! it is cold, his atatement, it murt be confesed, ia deplorably buman and vague. Ih-what is that ! Is-do you moan in 1 or do you solectile an tuprored apoe by gir Williem Thomeon ; it th deneribed $m$ follows; "A heery man connected by mamleme aprtagn with o mamenem enolocing ahell; or there oney be several abeilin excioring rech other connected by opringe with a dense mane in the oestre (fin zucro dense then the other)." It in not, of course, ecricounly mestritined thet thin moneosnical aromion exiote-bet that it is did exiet it woold
 Hight, ik.
mean feels, appears $t$ Cold-in what sensel Cold to yourself, or to other people, or to polar bears, or by the thermometer 1 And so on. Science therefore steps in with an air of authority and sets him right. It says the temperature is $30^{\circ}$ Fahrewheit, an if to settle the matter. But does this really settie the matter $\{$ Temperature-who knows what that is $\mid$ What is the scientific definition of it 1 I find (Clerk-Maxwell' Theory of Heat, p. 2.) "the temperature of a body is a quantity which indicates how hot or how cold the body is." This sounds very much like saying, "the color of a body is a quantity which indicates how blue, red, or yellow the body is." It does not bring us muoh farther on our way. But in the nert paragraph Maxwell shows the objeot of his definition (which of oourse is only preliminary) by saying, "By the use, therefore, of the word temperature, we fix in our minds the ounviotion that it is possible not only to feel, but to mearurc, how hot a body is." That is to say he clearly maintalna that it is possible to find an absolute standard of hotness or coldness-or rather of the unknown thing celled temperature-outside of ourselves and independent of human sensation. When the man said be was cold he was probably juat desoribing his own senaations, but here Science indicater that it is in searoh of romething which has an independent existence of itf own, and which therefore when found we can measure exactly and onee for all. What then is that thing What in temperature i say, what is it i

We cudgel our braing in vain. Perhape the remainder of the sentence will belp us. "The tempersture is $30^{\circ}$ Fuhranheit." "The unknown thing is thirty degrees." What then is a degreel That is the next question. When the Theary of Heat went out from sensation and laft it behind, one of ita first landing placem was in the expansion of Hlquids-an in thermonoter tuben Fere for some time was
thought to be a setiafactory register of "temperature." But before long it becames spparent that the degree-Fahrenbeit, Reaumur, or whatnot-wan an ontirely arbitrary thing also that it wan not the ammi thing at one ond of the ecale a the other, and findly that the acale iteelf had no aterting point $\mid$ This was awk ward, 20 a move wat made to the sir thermometer, and there wai mome talk about an sbiolute wero and ebeolute temperatures; it was thought that the Unknown thing showed iteelf mont clearly and simply in the expanaion of air and other gasea, and that the "degres" might fairly be meacured in terme of thin expansion. But in elittle time thia kind of thermometer-chiefly beceuse no gas furned ont to be "theoretically perfect"-broke down, absolute sero and all, and another atep hed to be made-mamaly, to the dynamical theory. It was announced that the Unknown thing might be menured in tarms of mechanical energy, and Jonle it Manchenter proclaimed that the work dome by any quantity of water falling there a distence of 772 leet in capable of rajning that Fater one degree Fahrenhoit.' Hore seemed evinathing definite. To meadure tempersture by man and velocity, to measure a degree by the fight of a atone, or the heat in tha" haman body by the fall of a factory chimney-if rather zoundsbout and eluaive of the main question-soemed at any rate promising of axaot remults I Unfortunately the difficulty was to pass from the theory to ite application. The complicated nature of the problem, the "imperfection" of the gamee and other bodies under consideration, the latent and specific beata to be sllowed for, the elusive nature of heat in experiment,
 opece divisione abows that they mon beep a morying relation to tha total rolume of Hiquid oe that expands from one and of the trutbe to the cther.

[^10]and the variable value of the degree Itself-all render the conclusions on thls subject most precarious ; and the general equations connecting the Fabrenheit or other temperstures with a thermo-dynamic scale-while they become so unvieldy as to be practioslly uselese-are thomselvea after all only approximate.

Finally, to give a last form to the meohanical theory of hoat, the conception of fying atoms or molecules was introduced, and a number of neat generalisations were deduced from dynamical considerations. Of course it was inevitable, having once started with a meohanioal theory, that one should arrive at the Atom some time or other-and (from what has already been esid) it was also inevitable that the result should be unsatisfanotory. It is sufficient to say that the moleoular theory of hast is not in accordance with facta. Such thinga as the law of Charles and the law of Boyle, which according to it should be strietly acourate and of geveral application, are known to be true only over a most limited range. This failure of the theory may be said to aribe partly from its be ing pursued by the atatistical method; but if, on the other hand, we wore to try and follow out the individual movement of each moleoule we should be landed in a problem far exceed ing in complexity the wildest flights of Astronomy-and should have exchanged for the original difficulty about "temperature" - difficulty far greater.

The result of all this has been that notwithatanding the tulk sbout energy and atoma, Scienoe has eadly to coufess that it can still give no valid meaning to the word temperature: the unknown thing is still anknown, the independent existence round the corner still escapes us By the very effort to arrive at something independent of human eensation, Science bas, in a roundabont way, arrived at an abourdity. When the man said be was cold, bie atatement-deplorably vague as is
was-had som masing: he wat deacribing hia foelingt on posaibly he had seen tome mow or wome ioe on the road; bui when, in the ondeavor to leave out the human and to any mornething abeolute, Soience deelared that the tempersturs was thirty degreen, it committed iteelf to remark whiot posaibly wer exact in form, but to whioh it has never given and never can give any meaning. ${ }^{1}$

Similarly with other generalities of Saienoe: the "law" of the Conservation of Energy, the "law "of the Burvival of thi Fittest-the more you think sbout them the less possible is it to give any raslly intalligible wense to them. The very word Fittest really begw the question which la under considerstion, and the whole Coneervation $1 \times w$ ferely an ettennation of the slready much attenuatod "law" of Gravitation. The Cbernical Elemente themelven ere nothing but the projection on the external world of concepta consiating of three or four attributem, each ; they are not more real, buit very much less real then the individual objecte which they are supposed to socount for; and their "olementary" ohsacter is merely fictional. It probably is in foot an abrurd to speak ol pare earbon ur pure gold, * : of a pare monkey or a pare doz, Thers are no much things, exoept an they may be arrived at by arbitrary defnition and the method of ignorance.

In the search for exsetnees then Science has been oontinn. ally led on to discard the buman and pernonal elementa in phenomena, in the hope of finding some reciduum as it were behind them which should not be personal and human but abeolute
${ }^{1}$ I am not, of courme, here arguing apotuet the use of thermomstern er other instroments for praction purposen This le cortainly th Sogitimate fold of Scianom But, wh in the ane of prodietion before meationed, the exactnem of oertain practical rumulte ofteined in a vory differest matter fivem the trath of the generslition which are seppowed
 montion the Ford "tmapurnturs. ${ }^{2}$
and invariable. And the tendency has been (hitherto) in all the soiences to get rid of such terms as blue, red, light, heavy, hot, oold, concord, discord, health, vitality, right, wrong, \&c., and to rely on any less human elements discoverable in each case ; as for instance in Sound, to deal less and less with the judgments and sensations of the ear, and to rely more and more on measurements of lengths of strings, numbers of vibrethous, do. Each science has been (as far as possible) reduced to its lowest terms. Ethios has been made a question of utility and inherited experience. Political Economy has been exhausted of all conceptions of justioe between man and man, of oharity, affeotion, and the instinct of solidarity; and has been founded on its lowest discoverable factor, namely self-interest. Biology has been denuded of the force of personality in plants, animais, and men; the "gelf" here has been set aside, aud the attempt made to reduce the science to a question of ohemical. and cellular affinitice, protoplasm, and the laws of osmose. Chemioal affinities, again, and all the wonderful phenomens of Phyaics are omptied down into a flight of atome; and the flight of atoms (and of astronomio orbs as well) is reduced to the lawe of dynamios-which the atudent sitting in his chamber may write down on a piece of paper. Thus the idea, formulated by Comto, of a great scale of aciences arising from the simplest to the most complex, has tacitly underlain modern soientitio work. It-Science-has sought to "explain" each stage by reference to a lower stage-" blueness" by vibrations, and vibrations by fying atoms-the buman always by the sub-human. Going out from humanity dissatisfed, it has wanderod through the animal and vegetable kingdoms, through the regions of Chemistry and Physice, into that of Mechanics. " Llere at last, in Mecbanics, is something outaide humanity, sonething exact in itsoll, womething substanthal," it has said. "Lot us build agrain on this as on a foundation, and in time
we shall find ont what homanity is." This I way has beon the dream of Modern Science; yet the fillsoy of it is obvious. We have not get outaide the human, but only to the outer. most verge of it. Mase and motion, whioh in thin procoss aro taken to be real entities and the first progenitorl of all phenomena, are simply the last abstractions of senaible exporience, and our emptieat concepts. The material explanation of the universe is simply an sttempt to aocount for phenomena by those attributes which appear to us to be common to them all -which is, mesaid befora, like socounting for men by their boots;-it may be posibible to get an oxact formula this way, bat ite contenta have little or no meaning.

The whole process of Science and the Comtian olassification of ita branoher-reganied thon as an attempt to explain Man by Meohanice-is a huge violons circle. It protesess to start with something simple, exact, and lavarisble, and from thin point to monat step by step till it comen to Man himsell; bit indeed it starta Fith Man. It plante itself on eensatione low down (mass, motion, ke), and endeavort by means of them to explain sensatioas higb up, which reminds one of nothing, to much an that process vulgarly deecribed as "climbing up ladder to comb your haip" In truth Science has neter left the great vorld, or common, of Man, bor over reelly found a locse standi without it; bat daring the lant two or three centuries it has gone in this direction, outwarda, continually. Leaving the contral besia and facte of humanity at too vant and unmadagenble, and also as apparently variable from man to man and therefore afforling no certain consent to work upon, it has wandered gradually outwardi, seeking something of more definite and nniversal applicetion Discarding thus one by one the interior phases of womation-at the sense of perworal selatimskip, the sense of juetios, daty, finew in things er whet-not (as too uncertain, or perbape develojed to an us
squal degree in different persons, embryonio in one and matured in another, drifting past the more apeolalised bodily senses, of color, sound, taste, amell, to., as for similar reasons uusvailable-Science at last in the primitive consciousness of muscular contraction and its abstraction "mass" or "matter" oomes to a paree. Here in this last nense, common probably to man and the lowest animals, it finds its widest, most univarasl ground-ita farthest limit from the Contre. It has reached the outermost shell, se it were, of the great Manoosmos. Even this shell is partisuly bumen; it is not ontirely osseous, and so far not entirely exsot and invariable; but Science oan go no farther-and there, for the present, it may remain!

Some day perhape, when all this showy vesture of soientifio theory (whioh has this peculisrity that only the learned can eed it) bes been quasi-completed, and Humanity is expeoted to walk solemnly forth in its new garment for all the world to mimire-ses in Anderssen's atory of the Emperor's New Clothes - some little ohild standing on a door-tep will ory out: "But ho has got nothing on at all," and axoid same confusion it will be seen that the ohild is right.

## NOTR

"I 'wr I have very Imperfoctly mucoeded in axpreasing my atrong conviotion thint, before - Higorous logical acratiny, the Roign of Law will prove to be at ubverifled hypothouis, the Uniformity of Nature an ambiguod expruaion, the certalaty of our ecientifio inferenoen to e grent sxtant a delunion." (Standey Jovoan. Principler of Sciemet, p. ix.l

## THE SCIENCE OF THE FUTURE:

## A FORECAST.

Ooce let that [the human ideal] slip ont of the thought, and mivece la of so ware use than the invocations in the Egyptian papiri. Richard Jefferien.

Ir would appear then, from the preceding paper, thut in some sense a mistate bus leen made in the method of molera secentific nurk; not that the vast amount of labor expended in it has been altogether wasted, for in retura for this there is a mass of practical results and dutailed observations to show ; but that in attempting to solve the problem of science by the futellect alone, a radical mistake has been made whioh could only land us in absurdity, and that this mistake has for the time being aleo fitiated the results that have been attained. For-in reference to this last point-the divorce of the intellectual from the emotional bas caused a great portion of our scientific observations to become merely pedantic and trifling; while it has turued the practical results-as industrial and military machinery, de.-into engines of evil as often as into engines of good.

Science in searching for a permanently valid and purely intellectnal representation of the universe bos, as already said, been searching for a thing which does not exist. The rery facts of Nature, as we call them, are at least balf feeling. If
we try to clean the feeling out of anat and to produce a statoment which shall be devoid of the human or mense element, it simply amounta to oleaning the meaning out; and though our resulting statement may be exach it is nugatory and of no value. We might as well try to take the clay out of a brick. It must never be forgotten that the logical pro-cesses-important an they aremannot atand by themselves, have no standing ground of their own. They presuppose assumptions and are the expression of things that are unressoning, perhaps illogical. The striotest logio is a mere booking together of links in sohain, and the last link is of no use-you can put no stress on it-unless the first is secured somewhere. The strength of the intelleotual chain is no greater than that of the steple from whioh it hange-and that is a human feeling. The streagth of Eualid is no greater than that of the exiome-and they are foelings; they are urreasoning statements of which all that we can say is, "I feel like that." In faot, all the propositions of Geometry are nothing but the anslyeia and elaborate expression, 60 to speak, of these primary oonvictions-and the Geometry-structure stands and falls with them. There is no suoh thing sos intelleotual truth-that in, I moan, a truth which aan be stated as exiating apart from foeling. $I_{\text {, }}$ ior instance, a proposition in Geonuetry can be really shown to be besed on the axioms, it in trus, not intelleotuslly or shsolutely, but as an expression of my primary Geometrieal sense; and if my giving a fow pence to a crossing aweeper is based not on mere impression of duty, or an enxiety to appear charitable, or wish to esoape hic importunity, but on genuine regard for the mss, then it is true, not in any absolute tignifiontion, but just as an exprescion of what it professe to represent-namely my primary sense of humanity. Indoed the trueat truth if that which in the axprecaion of the deepest feeling and if thare is an abeolate
truth it an only be known and expreend by him who hat the abeolute feeling or Being within bimsolf

This being 00 -and the nature of the Intelleotual procesene being, like the links in a ohain, transitional-it beoomen obvious that the intelleotusi reaulta may figure a mant but nevar an an end in themselves. To bang soy weight of reliance on them in the latter sense in liks the Chinces Trick deecribed by Marco Polo-nt throwing a rope'f ond up in the alr and then climbing up the rope. Hence it appeare that our scientifio theories are perfectly legitimuts as long withey are formed as a means towands proctical spplications. In that conee they are transitional; they are formed not at abbatantiad truthe but marely a links in a chatn towarda some definite preotioal reaulth For this purpose we may form whatever theoriea are conveniont: if we are calculating the atrength of bridgen, we may edopt whet generalisations we like concerning mechanioal structura, se long a they give ne actual and proo tical resultu; it we are predicting eclipwan, we may make ume of any theory that will do. The theory doen not mstiter at long an it hanls the prectical remult after it, jost a it doom not matter whether your cable in of iron or bemp or wilk an loog as yon an get your ahip into dock with it. In this enne our Modern Science is, I conceive, admirabla For practical reculte and brief predictions it affords a quantity of unoful generalisations-sorthand noten and conventional ymbole and pocket mumarie of phenomens-which bear abont the eame relation to the sctual rorld that a map doee to the country it is suppoed to represent. It eannot be said to have any resemblance to the real thing-but when you underntand the prizciple on which it in formed it in exceedingly usefal for finding your way about. Ae long en Science therefore keepm the practical and in viow, and starting from serwe seoks to rotarn to eanve again, the fntermedinte theoriaing in porfocily
legitimate ; but the moment it credita its theory with a positive and authoritative existence, as an actual representation of lacts-and endeavors to pass by means of it into unverifiable and abstract regions, as of invisible germs or atoms, or far distances of space, or the remote past or future-it is simply throwing its ropo's end into the sky and trying to climb up !

That "the wish is father to the thought" is in its wide sense profoundly true. In the individual, feeling preceden thinking-si the body precedes the clothes. In history, the Ronsseau preoeden the Voltairs. There in, I believe, a physiological parallel; for behind the brain and determining ita aotion atands the great aympathetic nerve-the organ of the emotions. In fact here the brain appears as distinctly transitional. It standa betwees the nerves of sense on the one band and the great aympathetio on the other.

Change the feeling in an individual, and his whole method of thiuking will be revolutionised; ohange the axiom or primary sensation in a soience, and the whole atrncture will havie to be reoreated. The ourrent Political Economy is founded on the axiom of individual greed; but let a new axiomatic emotion apring up (as of justice or fair play instead of unlimited grab), and the base of the science will be altered, and will necessitate a new construotion.

So when people argue (on pulitios, morality, art, do.) it will generally be found that they differ at the base; they go out, perbape quite unconsciously, from different axioms and hence they cannot agree. Ocoasionally of course a atrict examination will show that, while agreeing at the base, one of them has made a false step in deduotion; In that oase his thought does not represent his primary feeling, and when this is pointed out he la forced to alter it. But more often it is found that the difference liee deep down at a point beyond the reach of reason; and they dieagree to the end. In this case neither in
right and neither in wrong. They vimply fsel diferently; they are different permona.

The Thougbt then in the expremion, the ontgrowth, the covering, of underlying Feeling. And in the great life of Man as a whole, es in the lesser life of the individual, hin continual new birth and inwand growth causen his thought-ayotema also continually to ohange and be replaced by new onee. Like the bud-sheathe and humk in a growing plant or tree thay give form for a time to the life within; then they fall off and are replaced. The buak prepares the bud anderneath which in to throw it off. The thought prepares and protecta the feeling underneath which growing will inevitably rejeot it; and when a thought has boen formed it is already fales, ia, reedy to fall.

Wo are now, then, in a position to come back to the question of a genuine Soienoe, truly mo-called.

As there is no invariable and aboolute datum on the fringe of Humanity-no definable flying atom on which we can found our reasoning-and ar Modern Soionce, conaidered an an cotual representation of the anivarse, falle miserably to' pienes in consequenco-ja it posesible that we bave made a mintake in the direction in which we bave aought for our datam; ind may it be that we ahould look for that in the very Centre of Hnmanity instead of in ite remoteat cireumferebce! In that direction evidently, if we could penetrate, we should expeot to find, not a ahadowy intellectad gezeralimation, but the very opposite of that-an intence impantable feeling or states, an axiomatio condition of Being. Is it ponsiblo that here, blaxing like a man (it we could only 100 it-and the oun in ita allegory in the phycical world), there oxista within us abeolately ouch athing-the one foat in the aniverse of which all elve are shadows, to whiok oterything bae relation, and round which, ittell unanalymbla, all thought circlen and all pheociaman stand an indirsct moder of erpremion I

In it possible: That is the question-the question which each one of us has to solve. At any rate, let us throw this out as a suggestion. Let us auggest that as we have got nothing satiafactory by cleaning the sense-lement out of phenomena, we should take the opposite course and put as nuch sense into them ss we can I
"Fsots" ars, st least, half feelings. Let us acknowledge this and not empty the feeling out of them, but deepen and eulargs that which we already have in them. Who knowa whether we have ever ecen the blue sky! Who knows whether we have evar seen each other! Is it not a commonplace to say that one man aees in the common objects of Nature what another is wholly unconscious of 1 "The primrose on the river's brim a yellow primrose is to him-and nothing more." To what extent may the facta of Nature thus be deepened and made more substantis to us-and whither will this process lead us i

Do we not want to feel mors, not less, in the presence of phenomena-to onter into a living relation with the blue aky, and the incense-laden air, and the planta and the animalsnay, eqen with poisonous and hurtful thinga to have a keener weme of their hurtfulness ? Is it not a strange sind of scienos, that which wakes the mind to pursue the shadows of thinge, but dulls the seusea to the reality of them-which oauses a man to try to bottle the pure atmosphere of heaven and then to shut himeslf in a gae-reeking, ill-ventilated laboratory while he analyees it ; or allown him to viviseot a dog, nnoonscious that he ia blaspheming the pure and holy relation between man and the animala in doing soi Surely the man of Soience (in ite higher sonse, that la) should be lynx-eyed as an Indian, keen-sconted sa a hound-with all senses and feelinge trained by constant use and spure and hoalthy life in clowe contact with Nature, and with s heart beating in eympathy with every areature. Such a man wrold have at oommand, 50 to epeak,
the key-board of the naiverse; but the meohaliod, nubealthy, Indoor-living student-is he not really igmorast of the factel-m Certainly, since be has not folt them, he ins

The procest of the true Soienoe oonsiets firt in the naming and defning of phenomena (ies, the facte of human conaniournese), sud seoondly, in the discovery of the trus relation of theer phenomens to each other; and since the defnitions of phenomena and their relationa keep rarying with the standpolnt of the observer, the process evidently involvee all expertence, and ultimstaly the dinoovery of that lant fact of axpertonce to which and through which all the other facta are related. It is tharefore an agolong proceca, and han to do with the emotional and morsl part of man an well an with the logical and Intellectual. It in in fact the dincovery of the nature of Man himealf, and of the true order of hir being.

Modern Sclonce-thongh seaking for a unity in Naturefails to find it, becausa, from the nature of the caee, any large body of knowledge in which all people will agree is Hmited to certain amall regiom of human experience-regioni in whoh' very likely no unity is disooverable. It take the emorald, and bresky it up; treata of ita color and light-refracting qualitien on the one hand; of ita cryetalline etructure and hardness on the other; of its weight and density; and of lif chemical propertien; all separately, and prodacing long atrings of generalisation from each aspert of the mbject. But how all these qualitio are conjoined ingether, whet their relation is Which comatituctes the amerald-yea, even the cosallent bit of emerald dast-lt (rimely) does not attempt to may. It takes the man and diseecte blm; treate of hia blood, hil norven, his bones, bia brain; of hin sensee of night, of touch, of hearing; but of that whioh bind theme together into sanity, of thoir true relation to each other in the man, it in ailent.

Yet the man knowi of himelt that he in enity; by kow
that all parts of his body bave relation to him, and to each other; he knows that his senses of aight and bearing and touch and taste and tomell are conjoined in the foous of his indridusi life, in his "I am;" he knows that all his faculties and powers, however much they may belong to difforent planes, epiritual or material, or may coms under the inquisition of different Soiences, have an order of their own among each other-that there is an ultimate Sclence of them-even though he be not yot wholly versed in it. And he known moreover that in a grain of dust, or in an emersld, or in an oragge, or in any objeot of Nature, the different attributes of the objeot-which the Soiences thus treat of separately-are only the reflexion of his different senses; so that the problem of the conjunotion of different ettributes in a body comes back to the same problem of the union of various senses and powers In himsolf-each individual object being only a case, externalised as it ware, and mede a matter of consciouspess, of the genersl relation to each other of his own sensations and feelings. Knowing all this-I say-he soes that the understanding of Nature in general and of the lawe or reletions which be thinke be perceives among external things, must always depend on the relations and lawe which be tacitly assumes, or which be is directly oonsoious of, as oxisting between the various parta of his own being; and that the ultimate truth which Science-the divine Science-mis really in search of is a noral Truth-an understanding of what man is, and the disoovery of the true relation to esoh other of all his facultienlnvolving all experience, and an exeroise of every faculty, phyaical, intellectual, emotional and spiritual, instead of one bet of facultios only.

Not till we know the law of ourselves, in fact, thall we know the law of the emerald and the orange, or of Nature generally; and the law of ouraelvee is not learnt, except nubordinateky,
by intellectual invertigation; it ie mainly larrut by life. The relation of grovity to vitality is learnt not so much by outer experiment in a laboratory an by long experionce within our melves from the day when me ininte we cennot lift ourmelrsa above the flocr, through the years of the proud atrength of manhood scaling the loftient monntain, to the hour when ous disengaged spirits finally oraroome and pata beyond the attraction of the earth; and just an the senie of veight-which first appears a quaite external sonsation-in thus at lant lound to etand in most pregnant relstion with our deepest eelves, wo of the other senses whioh feed the individual lifothe sensen of light, of warmith, of tante, of sound, of amell. Tante, which begins as it were on the tip of the tongus, be cofhen ultimately, if normally developed, a sense which Identb. Gea iteelf with the health and well-being of the whole body; the pleesure of tarte becomee vastly more then se more surfooe pleasure, and ite discrimination of food more than a mare regard for the nutrition of the ordinary oorporval funotione The tonse of Light; whick beging in the material eye, growi and deopens inwardly till the conscionanem of it perraded the whole body and mind with a kind of inward illomination or divine Reacon, showing the places of all thing and enfulding. the sense of beanty in itielf. The nevse of Warnuth in the mame manner in related to and lende up to love; and Eownd, In the voices of our friende or the divine chords of muic, hat pased away from boing an external phenomenon and ha eatabliabed itaell an the lengrage of our mowt tander and intimate emotions

All the annses thua miney develop and deepen are found to unite in tho very focus of individual life. Slonly, and through long enperienea, their relatice to each other, their sery moaning anfolds, or will anfold; and athis procem tate place the man knows himoll onct anity, of whick the veriotim
fuculties are the different manifestations. Then further through his less locslised feelings or more glorified senses the Individual finds his relation to other individuals Through hia loves and hatreds, through his senses of attraction, repulsion, cohesion, colidarity, order, justice, chsrity, right, wrong and the rest-these fealings, each like the othert deepening back more and more as time goes on-he gradually disoovere bis true and abiding relationship to other individuals, and to the divine society of which they all form a part-and to at last, if we may venture to say so, his relationship to the absolute and universal. At present, sinee our most important rolation to each other is conceived of as one of rivalry and Compatition, we of course think of the objecte of Nature as being chiefly engaged in a Struggle for Existence with each other; but when we become aware of all our senses and feelings, snd of ourselves as individuals, an haviug ralation to the Absolute and universal, prosesding from it, an the branches and twigs of a tree from the trunk-then we shall beoome awart of a Dirine or abeolute science in Nature; we shall at list understand that all objeoth have a permanent and indismoluble relation to each other, and shall see their true mean-ing-though not till then.

Is it possible then that Soience, having hitherto-and we shall see in time that this process has been really most valuable and important-gone outwards from the centre towands the very fringe of Humanity-emptying facts as far as passible as it went of sll feeling, and reducing itself at last to the most uhadowy generalisations on the very verge of aense and nonmensomis it possible, I eay, that it will now retura, and first flling op facte with feeling as fin as practicable (that is, by direot and the most living contaot with Nature in every form, loarning to enter into direct personul seneo-relationship with every phenomenon and phase), will mogradually ascend to the
grat central faot and fealing, and then at last end for the Grst time beoome fully consoious of a vant organiantion-mber lutely perfeot and intimately kit from ite centry to ita utmost circamference-(the true cosmos of Man-the conceptions of man and god combinod)-exiating inohoste or ambryonlo in every individual man, animal, plant, or other oreaturemothe object of all life, axperienoe, suffering, and toil-the ground of all sensation, and the hidden yet proper theme of all thought and atudy 1

For thin in it possible that Scienoe will, speaking broadly, bave to leave the laboratory and become one with Lifs; or that the great currenta of human life will have to be inened on into these ofen Augean atables of intellectual pruriency 1 -the inveatigation of Nature no longer a matter of the intellect slone, but of patlent liatening and the quiet eya, and of love and faith, and of all deep human experienos, bearing not super ciliously its weight towands the interpretation of the least phenomenon-every "fact ${ }^{n}$ thus deepened to its utmortm-all oxperience (rather then experiment) courted, and fillal walking with Nature, rather than tearing of veil asido-me life of the open air, and on the land and the weters, the companionstip of the animals and the triee and the atern, the knowledge of thair habite at fret hand and through Individual relationship to tham, the recognition of their voices and languages, and listening well what they thamselvea have to may; the keenent education of the eencen towards the physical powers and elements, and the eoceptance of all hnman experienoe, withont exception-till Science become a reality.

Is it poerible that in wome sense, insteed of reducing ench branch of Science to it lowest termes, we shall have to reed is in the light of tis highen factorn, and "take if up ${ }^{*}$ into the Solenceabove-that we ahall bave to take up the mechanieal sciencen into the physioal, the phyical loto the vital, the vital

Into the social and ethiosl, and so forth, before we can understand them 1 Is it possible that the phenomens of Chemistry only frod their due place and importance in their relation to living beings and processen ; that the phenomens of Vitality and the lawn of Biology and Zoology-Evolution includedcan only be "explained" by their dependenoe on self-hoodboth in planta and animals; that Political Economy and the Social Sclences (which deal with men as individual selves) must, to be understood aright be studied in the light of those grest ethical principlem and onthasiasma, which to a certain extent override the individual self; and thet, finally, Ethios or the study of moral problems is only comprehensible when the atudent bas become aware of a region beyond Ethica, into which questions of morality and immorality, of right and wrong, do not and aannot enter :

Of this reversal of the ordinary acientific method Ruskin has given a great and signal lnstance in his treatment of Political Etonomy ; it remains perhaps, for others to follow his example In the other branches of Solence. ${ }^{1}$

With regard to the absolute datum question we have seen that Science has two alternatives before it-ither to be merely intelleotund and to seek for ite start-point in some quite external (and imaginary) thing like the Atom, or to be divine and to
${ }^{3}$ Than the study of Geometry woold be primarily an edncetion of the eyo, and the mind'e oya, to the perception of geometrical forme and fanta, the juigment of angloe, the-end eccondarily monly a procesen of deductive rasaning-a body of empirical knowledge atrengthened and tied wogether hy bande of logio; the study of Nataral History would bo primarily an affootionato intimnocy with the habite of animale end planta, and olesedifioation woold be trosted ase acoondary metter and ma help to the former: Fhyniology would be atadied in the first place by the muthod of Hoaltb-the pure body-beooming gradually transparont with all the organe to the tye of the mind-and dimeotion woald be need to corroborato and correot the remalta then attaided ; and so on.
meek for ita aboolute in the innermons reoeme of bumanity. We have two aimiler alternativen in the dootrine of Evolution, which looke sither to one end of the coale or the other inr itt interpretation-either to the amoeba or to the man-to tomething it known next to nothing of, or to that which it known most of. Goethe, when gaging at a fan-palm st Padua, oonceived the ides of leaf metamorphosis, whioh he efterwards ennanciated in the now acoepted doctrine that all parta of a plant-seed-veseol, piotil, stamens, petais, sepals, tidle, to.may be regarded a modificatione of a leaf or leever. In this view the distinctions between the parta are effaced, and we bave only one part instead of meny-brat the question to "what is that part ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ It is of coure arbitrary to call it a leaf, for since it is continually varying it in at one time a leaf, and at another a stalk, and then a petal or asepal, sod so forth. What then is it 1 For the moment we are baffed.

So with the doctrin of Evolution a applied to the whole organic kingdom up to man. Like the doctrine of jeafmetamorphosis it obliterates distinotions. Geoffroy St. Hilaire propoed to show the French Academy that a Cephalopod could be asaixilated to a Fertobrate by suppowing the latter bent backwards and walking on tu hande and feet. There ia a continuous variation from the molluse to the man-ail the linet of distinction run and waver-chasman and apeciew cease to exist-and Science instead of many ween only ome thing. What then in that one thing 1 Is it sollaw, or is it a man, or what is it! Are we to eay that man mey be looked apon as a variation of a mollute or an amoebi, of that the amoebs may be looked on ae variation of man l Here are two directions of thought; which shall we cbooel But the plein truth in, the Intellect ean give no mativfactory answor. Whichever, of whatevor, it choomen the ehoice if guite arbitrary-juct an moh 00 as the choice of the "loat" In the
other case. There is no anawer to be given. And thus it in that the appearance of the doctrine of Evolution is the signal of the destruction of Science (in the ordinary acceptation of the word). For Evolution is the successive obliteration of the arbitrary distinotions and landmarks which by their existence constitule Science, and as soon as Evolution covers the whole ground of Nature-inorganio and organie (as before long it will do)-the whole of Nature runs and wavers before the eys of Science, the linter recognises that its distinctions are arbitrary, and turns upon and destroys itsolf. This has happened before, I believergee back in the history of the human raco-and probably will happen again.

The only concelvable answer to the question, "What is that whioh is now a molluse and now e man and now an inorganio atomi"s is given by man himsell-and his answer is, I fear, not "scientifia" It is "I Am." "I am that which varies" And the foroe of his answer depends on what he means by the word "L" And so also the only conoeivable answer to the absalute datum question in to be found in the meaning of the word "I"-in the deepening back of consciousness itself Man is the mesaure of all things. It we are to ube Soience as a minister to the most external part of man-to provide him with oheap boote and ahoes, so.-then we do right to meek our shsolute datum in his external part, and to taike hia foot as our first mersure. We found a science on feet and pounds, and it servea ita purpose well enough. But it we want to find a garment for his inner being-or, rather, one that ahall fit the whole man-to wear whiob will be a delight to him and as it were a very interpretation of himself-it weems obvious that we must not take our measure from outside, but from his very most central principle. The whole question is, whether

[^11]there in any aboolute datum in this direction or not. There bave been mon through all ages of bistory (and from before) who have declared that thers in They beve perbupm been conscions of it in themeelves. On the other hend there have been men who, starting from their feet, declared that con"cionaness itsoll was a mere incident of the haman machine's the whiatle of the engine-and thus the mattor atanda On the whole, at the prement day, the feet have $1 t$, and (notwithtanding their variety in sise and boot-indnoed conformation) are gonorally socepted an the bent abolute datum spailable.

Undar the foot regime the nuivarea is generally conoeived of as a medley of objeots and forees, more or leen orderiy and distinct from man, in the midgt of which man is plaoed-the purpoee and tendency of hit life being "adaptation to hit environment." To understand thin we may imagine Mre. Brown in the middle of Oxford Street, Busee and cabe are runving in different directions, oarta and draye are rattling on' all wides.of her. This is her environiment, and whe has to sdapt hertelf to ft. She has to learn the lawe of the vehiolee and their movementy, to mtand on this side or on that to run hers and stop there, ounceivebly to jump tnto one at aferable moment, to make vee of the lave of ite movement, and wo get carried to her deetination at oomlortably at may be. $A$ long conrse of this wort of thing "adapts "Mrs. Brown aonsiderably, and the becomes more active, both in mind and body, than before. That in all very well. But Mrn Brown has s dawination. (Indeed how would she ever have got into the middle of Oxford Streat at all it che had not had onsi and if she did get there with no deatination at all, but merely to akip sbont, would there be any Mrs. Brown left in a whort timel) The question is, "What is the destination of Man !"

Abous this lane queetion nulortanately we bear little. The theory in (I hope I am not doing it injustice) thet by itudying
your environment sufficiently you will find ont-that is, that by investigating Astronomy, Blology, Physics, Ethica, \&c., you will discover the desting of man. But this seems to me the same as saying that by studying the laws of cabs and Busee sufficiently you will find out where you are grolng to. These are ways and means. Study them by all means, that is right enough; but do not think chry will tell you whare to go. You have to use them, not they you.

In order thorefore for the environment to meth there nurt be a destination. This I suppose is expressed in the blological dictum, "organism is made by function as well as environment," What then is the function of Man 1 And here we come back again to the meaning of the word " 1. "

Notwithetanding then the prevalence of the foot regime, and that the heathen foriously rage together in their belief in it, let ue suggest that thers is in man a divine consciousness ay well as a foot-oonsciounness. For sa wo snw that the sense of taste may pass from being a mare local thing on the tip of the tongue to pervading and becoming synonymous with the health of the whole body; or as the blue of the sky may be to one person e mero superficial impression of color, and to another the insplration of a poem or pioture, and to a thirdas to the "gol-intozicated" Arab of the desert-a living prosonce like the ancient Dyaus or Zeus; so may not the whole of human conmolousnese gradually lift iteelf from a neere local and temporary consciousness to a divine and universalif There in in every ninu a loosl consciousness conneeted with bis quite axtemal body; that we know. Are there not also in every man the makings of aniversal eonsciousness 1 That there ars in us phasos of consoiuuaneea which transcend the limit of the bodily senses ia a matter of daily experience; that
we perceive and know things whiok are not ennered to uat hy our bodily eyes or heard by our bodily ears la oertain; that there rise in un waven of conaciousnese from those aronnd un, from the people, the race, to which we belong, is almo certain; may there not then be in ut the makings of a perception and knowledge which ohall not be relative to thin body which in here and now, but which shall be good for all time and everywhere $f$ Doee there not exist in truth as we bave alrowily kiated-an inver Illumination-dif which what we oall light in the outer world is the partial expression and manifeatationby which we can oltirnately see things as they are, beholling all creation, the animals, the angela, the planta, the figures of our friends and all the ranks and race of human kind, in their true being and order-not by any looal act of poreeption but by a commical intaition and presence, identifying ourselvea with what we wee 3 Does there not exist a parfected sense of Hearing-se of the moraing-atars singing together-an understanding of the word that are apoken all through the univario, the hidden meaning of all thinge, the word which in exeation iteelf- profound and far perrading eonas, of which our ordinary sense of sound in only the first novitiate and initimtion! Dowe not become sware of an inner senaco of Health and of holineem-the tranalation and final ontoome of the axternal vene of tasto-which hat power to determine for us abmolutoly and without any ado, withont argament and witbout donial, What in good and appropriato to be done or suffered in every oese that can arise ?

Add mon; it is not neoemary to may moro. If there are such powers in man, then there is indeed an exact wienco poseible. Short of it there is oaly a temporary and phantom science. "Whatever is known to un by (direct) conaciounaen," sayy Stourt Mill in his Syatem of Lagic, "is knows to un beyond pomibility of quection;" what in known by our loos and
temporary consciousuens is known for the moment beyond possibility of question; what in known by our permanent and aniversel consciourness is permanently known beyond posaibiltity of quention.

## DEFENCE OF CRIMINALS:

## A CRITICISM OF MORALITY.

The State is the actunlly axiting realiend moral He. For it it the saity of the naivarasl cemential Will with that of the iodividurl, and shim fo "Morality."-Hianc.

A orixisal is literally a person mocused-acoresed, and in the modern sense of the word convioted, of being barmful to Society. Bat is he thers in the dock, the patob-oosted brawlee or burglar, really harmful to Society it he more barmful than. the mild old gentioman in the wig whe pronouncee mentence upon him : That in the question. Certainly he han infringed the law: and the lew in in asene the consolidated publia opinion of Society : bat if no ose were to break the law, publia opinion would ossify, and nociety would dia. Le a matter of fact Society keepa cbanging ite opinion. How then are wo to know when it is right and when it is wrong! The Outcuat of one ago is the Hero of another. In execration they nalled Roger Bacon's mannmeripts cat the the oun and rain, to rot crucified apon plaoks-his bonen lie in an unknuwn and unhonored grave-yet to-day be is regarded an a pioneer of human thought The bated Chriatian bolding his ill-famed lovefeenta in the darkness of the estecombe has climbed on to the throne of S. Peter and the world. The Jew noney-lender whom Front-do-Aceut conld torture with impunity is become a Fonthr-child-guent of primone and instigator of cormourcial wart; and

Shylook is now a highly reapectable Railway Bondholder. And the Acoepted of one age is the Criminal of the next. All the glories of Alexander do not condone in our eyes for his oruelty in orucifying the brave defenders of Tyre by thousanda along the seb-shore; and if Solomon with his thousand wives and conoubines were to appesr in London to-morrow, even our most frivolous oircles would be shocked, and Brigham Young by contrast seem a domestic model. The judge pronounces sentence on the prisoner now, but Society in its turn and in the lapse of years pronounces sentence on the judge. It holds in its hand a new eanon, a new code of morals, and consigns Its former ropresentative and the law which he administered to a limbo of contempt.

It seems as if Society, as it progresses from point to point, forms ideals-just as the individual does. At any moment each person, consolously or unoonsciously, has an ideal in bis mind toward which he is working (hence the importance of litorature). Similarly Society has an ideal in its mind. These ideals are tangents or vanishing points of the direction in which Society in moving at the time. It does not resch its ldeal, but it goes in that direction-then, after a time, the direation of its movement changes, and it has a new ideal.

When the ideal of Society is material gain or possession, an it is largely to-day, the objeot of its apecial condemnation is the thief-not the rich thiet, for he is already in possession and therefore reapectable, but the poor thief. There is nothing to show that the poor thief is really more immoral or ansocial than the respectable money-grubber; but it is very olear that the money-grulber has been floating with the great ourrent of Sowiety, while the poor man has been awimming against it, and au has been worsted. Or when, as to-day, Socioty rests on private property in land, ith counter-ideal is the poacher. If you go in the oompany of the oounty aquire-anchy and listen
to the afterdinner tall you will soon think the poucher $a$ combination of all haman and diabolio vicee ; yet I have knowna good many pouchers, and either have boen very locky in my apecimens or singularly prejudiced in their faror, for I have generally found them very good fellown-but with jut thin oue bletrish that they invariably regard a landlord at an exnisaary of the evil one 1 The poecher is asmach in the right, probably, as the landlord, but he is not right for the time. He is asserting a right (and an instinot) belonging to a part timowhen for hunting parposea all land was beld in common-or to $a$ time in the future when such or similar rights shall be restored. Cessar saye of the Suavi that they tilled the ground in common, and had no private landa, and there in abondant evidence that all early human communition before they entered on the atage of modern aivilisation were communistio in oharacter. Some of the Pacific Lalandere today are in the seme condition. In those timee private property was theft Obvi oualy the man who attampted to retain for himelf land or goods, or who fenced off a portion of the common ground und -like the modern lepdiond-would allow so one to till it who did not pay him a tax-wan a criminal of the deepent dye. Nevertheleat the criminale pianhed their way to the front, and have become the respeotablee of modern Society. And it in quite probable that in fike manner the criminale of to-day will push to the front and become the reapectablen of a later age.

The ascotic and monastic ideal of early Christian and medimed ages is now regarded as foolish, it not wicked; and poverty, which in many timee and plecee hae been beld in honor as the only garb of boneaty, is condemned an ariminal and indecent Namadiam-il acoompanied by poverty-is criminal in modern Society. Today the gipay and the tramp are hunted down. To bave no mettled babitation, or worme still, no pince to liny your beed, are sanjicious matters. We
close even our outhouses and barns sgainst the son of man, and eo to us the son of mau comes not, And yet-at one time and in one utage of human progress-the nomadic atate is the rule; and the aettler is then the criminal. His crops are fired and his cattle driven off. What right has he to lay a limit to the hunting grounde, or to spoil the wild free life of the plains with his dirty egrioulture 9

As to the marriage relation and its attendant moralities, the forms are numerous and notorious enough. Publio opinion neems to have varied through all phases and ideals, and yet there is no indication of finality. Modern investigations show that in primitive human societies the affinities admitted or burred in marriage are most various-the relation of brother and sister being even in cases allowed; in the present day suoh a boud as the last-mentioned would be considered inhuman and monstrous. ${ }^{1}$ Polyandry prevails among one perple or at one time, polygyny prevails among another pooplo or at another time. In Central Afrioa to-day the chiuf offers you hie wife as a mark of hospitality, in India the native Prince kerp her hidden even from bis most iutimate guest. Ameng the Japanese, public opinion holds young womeneveu of good birth-singularly free in their intercourse with men, till they are married; at Paris they are free after. In the Groek and Roman antiquity marriage aeems with some brillinut exceptions to bave been a prosaio affair-mostly a matter of convenience and housekeeping - the woman an underfing-little of the ideal attaching to the relationahip of
' Yet there ia no doubt that lasting and paseionato love may exivt between two pernone thas ouarly related. The danger to the hestth of the offapring from occanional in-breeding of the kind sppeare to arine chielly from the mocentuation of infirmitios common to the two parente. In a state of cociety froo from the discasen of the oivilisation-period, anch a dangor woold be greatly reducen.
man and wife. The romance of lowe went elsewhers. Tha better clase of free women or Hetairai were those who gave a spiritual charm to the passion. They were an educated and recognised body, and possibly in their beat timen axaroined a bealthy and discríninating infuenoe apon the anle youth. The respeotiful treatment of Theodota by Socratea, and the advice which he givee her conoerning ber lovers: to keep the insolent from ber door, and to rejoice greatly when the accepted succeod in anything honorable, indioster this. That their influence was at times Immense the mere dame of Aapania is suflloient to show; and if Plato in the Symposium reporis correotly the worde of Diotima, her teaobing on the subjeot of human and divine love was protably of the noblest and profoundest that has ever been given to the world.

With the influx of the North-men over Europe came a new Ideal of the serual relation, and the wife mounted more into equality with her husband than before. The romance of love, bowevar, atill went mainly outeide marriage, and may I believe be traced in two chief forcom-that of Chivaliry, an an ideal do votion to pure Womanhood; and that of Minatreloy, which took quite a different hue, individual and mentimentel-the lover and bil mistress (bbe ir most casee the wife of another), the serenade, mecret amour, tho.-both of whioh forma of Chivilry and Minstrelay contain in themselvee anmething new and not quite familiar to antiquity.

Finally in modern time the moangamic anion has riven to pro-minenoe-the apleadid ideal of an equal and lifolong attachment between man and wife, fruitful of children to this lifa, and hopefol of continumee beyond-and han become the great theme of romantic litersture, and the climar of a thousand novels and poersa. Tet it is juit bere and today, whens this ideal after conturime of strugglo han established itmelf, and emong the natione that are in the van of civilication-tbat we

Gind the doctrine of perfeot liberty in the marrisge relationship being most successfully proached, and that the communalization of social life in the futurs seema likely to weaken the family bond and to relax the obligation of the marriage tie.

If the Greak age, aplendid as it was in itself and in its fruits to buman progress, did not hold marriage very high, it was partiy because the ideal passion of that period, and one which more than all else inspired it, was that of comradeship, or male friendship carried over into the region of love. The two figures of Harmodius and Aristogiton stand at the entrance of Greek history as the type of this passion, bearing its fruit (as Plato throughout maintains is its nature) in united self-devotion to the country's good. The heroio Theban legion, the "saored band," into which no man might enter without his lover-and which was said to have remsined unvanquished till it was annibilated at the battle of Chwronsa-proves to us how publicly this passion and its place in society were recognised; while its aniversality and the depth to which it had atirred the Greek mind are indioated by the fact that whole treatises on love, in ita spiritual aspect, exist, in whioh no other form of the sentiment seems to be contemplated; and by the maynificent panorams of Greek atatuary, which was obvioualy to a large extent inspired by is In fact the most remarkable Society known to history, and ita greatest men, can not be properly ounsidered or understood apart from this passion; yet the molern world scarcely recognises it, or if it roognises, dues ao ohiefly to condemn it. ${ }^{1}$

[^12]Other instances might be quoted to show how differently moral queations are regarded in one age and another-as in the onse of Unary, Magio, Suicide, Infanticide, to. On the whole we pride ourselvee (and justly I bolieve) on the general edvance in humanity; yet wo know that to-day the mereat anages an only shudder at a civilisation whome public opinion allows-an amongat ue-the rich to wallow in thair wealth while the poor are syatematically starring; and it is oertain that the virimection of animaly-which on the whole in approved by our educsted olasses (though not by the bealthier sentiment of the uneducated)-would hare been stigmstised at one of the mont abomineble orimes by the ancient Egyptians-il, that ia, they could have conceived ruch e practios poesible at all.

But not only do the moral judgmente of mankind thut mery from age to age and from rece to rase, but-what te equally remarkable-they wary to an axtroordinary degree from olam to clase of the aame wociety. If the landlord clan regarde the. poacher as a criminal, the poscber as suready hinted looky apon the landiord as a selfinh ruffian who hae the police on bin sile; If the reapectable mareholder, politely and rempectably subsiating on dividends, dimissen navvien and the froquenters of publichonsen as disorderly persons; the marry in return deapisen the hareholder as stoeaking thisk And it is not eary to see, after all, which is in the right. It in uselem to dismise thee disarepancie by mupponing that one clam in the nation poasesen emonopoly of morality and that the other classen simply rail at the virtue they cannot sttain to, for thil Is obvioualy not the came. It In almont a commonplece, and certainly a feot that cannot be contented, that overy clanhowever cinful or outcsat in the eyee of others-contains within its ranks a large proportion of genorous, noble, eelf-macrificing characters; so that the public opinion of one anch clase, buwovar different from that of others, cannut at least be invilifatod
on the above ground. There are plenty of clergymen at this moment who sre models of pastors-irue shepherds of the people-though a large and incressing eection of society persist In regarding priests as a kind of wolves in sheep's olothing. It is not nucommon to meet with professional thieves who are generous and open-handed to the last degree, and ready to part with thair lest penny to help a comrade in distreas; with women living outaide the bounds of conventional morality who are strongly religious in sentiment, and who regard atheists as really wioked people; with aristoorata who have as stern material in them as quarry-men; and even with bondholders and drawing-room loungers who are as capable of bravery and self-sacrifice as many a pitman or ironworker. Yet all these classes mentioned hape their codes of morality, differing in greater or lesser degree from each other; and aguin the question forces itself upon us: Which of them all is the true and abiding code 1

It may be said, with regard to this variation of codes within the arme society, that though various oodes may exist at the mame time, one ouly is really valid, namely that which hao ombolied itself in the law-wthat the others have boen rejected bocause they were unworthy. But when we come to look into this matter of law we see that the plea can hardly be maintained. Leaw represents from age to age the code of the domianat or ruling olasa, slowly acoumulated, no doubt, and slowly modified, but alwaya added to aud alwaye administered by the ruling class. To-day the oode of the dominant class may perhaps beet be denoted by the Ford Respoctability-and if wo ask why this code has to a great extent overwhelmed the codea of the other classes and got the law on its side (so far that in the main it characterises those classes wh. do not conform to it as the criminal classea), the answer cap only be: Hecanse it in the ocde of the clasten who sxe in power.

Reapeotability in the code of thoee who have the wealth and the command, and at these have also the fluent pene and tonguen, It ia the atendand of modern literature and the press. It in not necosearily a better atandard than othere, but it is the one that happens to be in the ancendant; it is the oode of the olassee that ohiefly represent modern eociety; it is the oode of the Bourgeoisia. It in different from the Feudal code of the prant, of the knightly elasase, and of Chivalry; it in different from the Democratio codo of the future-of brotharbood and of equality; it the the oode of the Commeroin ago-mad ith distinctive watchword is-proparty.

The respeotability of today is the rempeotabillty of property. There is nothing 10 respeotable as being well-off. The Law confirms this: everything is on the alde of the rich ; jurtice in too expenive a thing for the poor man. Offenoen agriunt the person hardly count for 10 mach a those against property. You may beat your wifo. within an inch of ber life and only get three monthe; but f • you steal a rabbit, you may be "mont" for yearn. So again gambling by thousandt on Change is respeotable enough, but pitch and twat for halfpenos in the atreeta is low, sad muat be dealt with by the, police; while it is a mere commonplace to way that the bighclasy swindler in "received" in society from whloh a more honest but patch-conted brother would infallibly bo rejectod. As Walt Whitman han it "There in plenty of glancour about the roost damnsble crimen and hogzish moannemen, apacial and general, of the feudal and dynatic world over thera, with its personnel of lorde and queene and courta, wo well-dremed and handaome. But the pooplo are ungrammation, untidy, and their ains gaunt and ill-bred."

Thas we wee that though there are for ifustance in the England of today a variety of olaseen, and a variety of ocrreoponding coder of pablic opinion and morality, ove of these
codes, namely that of the ruling class whose watchword is property, is atrongly in the ascendant. And we may fairly muppose that in any nation from the time when if first becomen divided into well-marked classes this is or hes been the case. In one age-the commercial age-the code of the commercial or money-luving class is dominant; in another-the military -the code of the warrior olass is dominant; in another-the religione-the code of the priestly class; and 60 on. And even before eny question of division into olasses ariseg, while races are yet in a rudimentary and tribsl state, the utmost diversity of ountom and public opinion marks the one from the other.

What, then, are we to conclude from all these variations (and the far greater number which [ have not mentioned) of the respect or stigme sttaching to the same actions, not only sinong different societies in diffarent ages or parts of the world, but even at any one time among diffarent olassen of the same sooiety i Must we conolude that there is no euch thing as a permanent moral code valid for all time; or must we still suppose that there is such a thing-though sooiety has hitherto sought for it in vain 1

I think it is obvious that there is no auch thing as a permanent moral code-at any rate as applying to actions. Probubly the respect or stigma attaohing to particular classes of actions arose from the fact that these classen of actions wero-or were thought to be-baneficial or injurious to the society of the time; but it is alao clear thet this good or bad name once oreated olings to the action long after the action hat oensed in the course of eocial progrese to be beneficial in the one asse, or injurious in the other; and indeed lony after the thinkers of the race have discovered the disorepancy. And ao in a short time erises a great confusion in the popular mind between what is really geod or evil for the race and
what in repated to be somethe bolder spirite who try to soparate the two having to stone for this confunion by their own martyrdom. It it also pretty olear thet the actionn which are beneficial or injurious to the race munt by the nature of the case vary almoet indefinitaly with the changing conditions of the life of the race-whet ia beveficial in one age or under one set of conditions baing injurious in another age or under other circumatancen- -0 that a permanent or evervalid code of moral action in not a thing to be expectert, at any rate by those who regard morality an a reault of social ex. perience, and as matter of foot is not a thing that we find existing. And, indeed, of those who regerd moraly as intuitive, there are few who have thought about the matier who would be inclined to eay that any act in itself can be either right or wrong Though ther in a tuparicisl judg. ment of this kind, yet when the matter oomet to be looked into, the more genoral eonsent neems to be that the rightueas or Froagneme in in the motice To hill (it is said) is not wrong, but to do so with murderoue fintent in; to thik money out of another pernon'l purse in in itaell naithor moral bor immoral-all depends upon whether perroistion bee beon. given, or on what the relations between the two persons are; and so on. Obviounly there is no mere aot whish noder given conditions may not be jurtifed, and equally obviously thery it no mere act which nuder given conditions may not besome mojustifisble. To talk, therefors, sbout virtues and ticen as permanent and distinot clmsee of action in illusory : there in bo much diotinotion, axcept 10 far an a superficial and transient pubse opinion create it The thestre of morality in In the penvous, and thert are (it is mid) virtuone and vicions pasaons-eterbally dietinct from each other.
nere, tinen we hare abundoned the search for a periasnant proral oode emong the ections; on the undorstanding that we
aro more likely to find such a thing among the passions. And I think it would be generally admitted that this is a move in the right direction. There are difficulties bowevar here, and the matier in not one which rendern itself up at once. Though, vaguely speaking, some passions seem nobler and more dignified than othere, we find it very difficult, in fact imposeible, to draw any strict line which shall separate one class, the virtuous, from the other clase, the vicions. On the whole we place Pradence, Generosity, Chastity, Reverence, Courage, among the virtues-and their opposites, as Rashuess, Miserliness, Incontinenoe, Arrogance, Timidity, among the vice ; yet we do not seem able to say that Prudence is always better than Rashness, Chastity than Incontinence, or Reverence than Arrogance. There are situations in which the less honored quality is the most in place; and if the extreme of this is undosirable, the extreme of its opposite is undesirable too. Courage, it is commonly said, must not be carried.over into foolhardiness ; Chastity must not go so far as the monks of the early Cluroh took it; there is a limit to the indulgence of the instinot of Reverenco. In fact the lese dignified psassions aro necessary somatimes an a counterbslanoe and set-off to the more dignified, and a character devold of them would be very insipid; just as among the members of the body, the leas bonored have their plaoe as well as the more honored, and could not well be discarded.

Hence a number of writera, abandoning the attempt to draw a fixed line between virtuous and violpus passiona, have boldiy maistained that rices bave their pleos as well as virtuea, and that the true malvation lies in the golden mean. The irneiketa and owdpooim of the Greeka seems to have pointed to the ides of a blead or harmonious adjustment of all the powers as the perfection of obaracter. Plutarch anja (Esayy on Moral Firtwe), "This, then, is the function of practical reason
following nature, to prevent our passions pither going too far or too short. . . . Thus setting bound to the emotional currents, it orestes in the unreaconing part of the sonl moral habite which are the mean between excese and deficiency:"

The English word "gentlemun" reems to have onoe ounveyed a similar idea. And Emorson, among others, maintains chat each vioe is only the "excens or ecridity of a virtue," and eays "the firat lesson of history In the gocd of evil."

According to this view rightness or wrongnove cannot be predicated of the passions themselves, but thould rather be applied to the use of them, and to the way they are proportiuned to each other and to ciroumstances. As, farther back, wo left the region of actions to look for morality in the passion that lie behind action, so now we leave the region of the pasions to look for it in the power that lige behind the parions and given them their place. This is a farther move in the serue direction as befors, and possibly will bring us to a more satimfactory concluaion. There are still dificultiem, however-che chief ones lying in the waht of definitences which neoesarily attaches to our dealings with these remoter tracts of human nature; and in our gwn defective knowledge of thene tracta.

For these reasons, and an the subject is a conplex and dificult one, I would ask the reader to dwell for a few minaten longer on the considerations which show that it in really at impossible to draw efied line botween moral and itrinoral pasgions an it is between moral and immoral actions, and which therefore force us if we are to find any ground of morality at ell. to look for it in some furtioer region of our nature.

Plato in his allegory of the mol-in the Pheodrus-thongb he epparently divides the pessions which draw the human chariot into two clasees, the beavenward and the earthward-figured hy the white horse and the black horse respectively-dues not recomand that the black borse thould be dentmyed or dir-
missed, but only that he (as well as the white horse) should be kept under due control by the charioteer. By which he seems to intend that there is a power in man which stands above and behind the pasaions, and under whose control alone the human baing can safoly move. In fact if the fiercer and so-called more earthly passions were removed, half the driving force mould be gone from the ohariot of the human soul. Hatred may be devilish at times-but after all the true value of it depende on what you hate, on the use to which the passion is put. Anger though inhuman at one time is magnificent at another. Obstinacy may be out of place in a drawing-room, but it is the latent virtue on a battle-field when an important poaltion has to be held against the full brunt of the enemy. And Lust, though maniacsl and monstrous in its aberrations, cannot in the last resort be separated from its divine companion, Lova. To let the more amiable passions have entire sway notoriously does not do: to turn your obeek, too litarally, to the amiter, in (pace Tolstoi) only to encourage smiting; and when sooiety becomes so altruistio that everybody runs to fetoh the ooal-couttle we feel sure that something bes gone wrong. The whitewashed heroes of our biographies with their many virtues and no faulta do not please us We have an imprassion that the man without faulta is, to say the least, a vague, uninteresting being-s pioture without light and abade -and the conventional semi-pious classification of oharacter into good and bad qualities (as if the good might be kept and the bad thrown away) seems both inadequate and false.

What the student of buman nsture rather has to do is not to divide the pirtues (so-called) from the vices (so-called), not to separnte the black horse and the white horse, but to find out what is the relation of the one to the other-to see the oharaoter as whole, and the mutual interdependence of ita difforunt parts-to find out whet that powar is which const;

6uten it a unity, whoee presence and contml make tha man and all his aotions "right," and in whoee absence (if it hereally posible for it to be entirely absent) the man and his sotions must be "wrong."

What we call vioes, farlts, defecta, eppear often ate tiod of limitation : eruelty, for instance, es a limitntion of human mympathy, prejadios as a blindneas, want of discernment; but it in just these limitationy-in one form or another-which are the necessary conditions of the appearance of a human being in the world. If we are to act or live st all we must sct and live under limita. There must be chanosla slong whloh the stream in forced to run, olse it will sprasd and lone itsell aimo lesmly in all directions-and turn no mill-wheols. One man in dieagrsesble and uncondiliatory-the directions in which his aympathy goee out to others are fow and limited-yet therg are sitaztion in lifs (and eyoryone must know them) when a man who is able and ailling to make himeoll diaagreable to Invaluable: when a Carlyle is worth ey number of Balanan.

Sometimes again yices, to, appear as a kind of rew material from which the other qualities have to be formed, and without which, in a manse, they could not oxist Semsulity, for inutanos, onderliee all art and the higber emotions. Timidity is the defect of the eensitive imaginative temperament. Bluntnoes, mapid oandor; and want of tact are indirpenmable in the formation of eartain typee of Reformere. But what would you keve: Would you bave a rabbit with the horns of a eow, of a donkey with the dirposition of a apaniell The reformer hea not to extirpste his bruequenese and aggressiveness, but to see that he makes good nae of thee qualitios; sad the man bat sot to sbolish hie wemality, but to hamanime it.

And 20 on. Lecky, in hif- "Eistory of Morals," shows bow In mociety certain defecte neoessurily acoompany sertain excel. lengion of charnctere "Hed tha Irish peamnta been lem chate
they would have been more prosperous," is hie blunt assertion, which he supports by the contontion that their early marriagea (which render the said virtue possible) "are the most conspicuons proofs of the national improvidence, and one of the mont fatal obstacles to industrial prosperity." Similarly he say that the gumbling table fosters a moral nerve and calmness "scarcely exhibited in equal perfection in any other sphers" $\rightarrow$ fact which Bret Harte has finely illustrated in his character of Mr. John Oakhurst in the "Outcasts of Poker Mat;" also that "the promotion of industrial veracity is probably the single form in whioh the growth of manufactures ezercises \& favorable infuence upon morala; " while, on the otber band, "Trust in Providence, content and resignation in extreme poverty and suffering, the most genuine amiability, and the most aincere readiness to assist their brethren, an adherence to their religious opinions whioh no persecutions and no bribes can shake, a gapscity for hervic, transoondent, and prolonged aelfacrifioe, may be found in aome nations, in men who are habitual liars and habitual cheats." Again he pointe out that thriftiness and forethought-which, in an industrial civilisation like ours, sre looked upon es duties "of the very highost order "-have at other times (when the teaching was "take no thought for the morrov ") been regarded as quite the reverae, and conoludes with the general remark that ase mociety adranees there is some loss for every gain that is made, and with the apecial indiotment against "civilisation" that it is not favorable to the production of "Belf-sacrifice, enthusiasm, roverence, or chastity."

The point of all which is that the so-called vices and defects-m whether wo regard them as limitations or whether we regard them an raw ninterials of character, whether we regard them In the individual solely or whether we regard them in their rolation to society-are necessary slaments of human lifo, elo-
menta withont which the so-alled virtnescould not enint; and that therefore it is quite impoenible to eeparate vicen and virtaes into distinot olasses with the latent ides involved that one olmes may be retained and the other in course of time got rid of Defecta and bad qualities will not be treated wo-they clamor for their righta and will not be denied; they effect a lodgment in ns, and we have to put ap with them. Like the grain of eand in the oyater, we are forced to make pearla of them.

Thew are the precipicen and chaame which give form to the mountain. Who wanta a mountain eprawling indiferently ont on all sides, without angle or break, like the oceanio tide-wave of which one aannot asy whether it ie a hill or a plain! And if you want to grow a lily, ohastely wito and 日lling the alr with its fragranoe, will you not bury the bulb of it deep in the dirt to begin with;

Acknowledging, then, thet it is impowible to hold permanentiy to any line of diatinotion between good snd bed prasions, thert remains nothing for it but to socept both, and to make we of them-redeeming them, both good and bad, from their narrow. neas and limitation by eo doing-to make nee of them in the service of humanity. For as dirt is only matter in the wrong. place, so ovil in man consista only in actions or pessions which are uncontrolled by the bamen within hirm, and undedicated to its earvice. The evil oonsiste not in the actiont or pactions themelves, but in the faet that they are intumanly umed. The most unblemished virtus erected into a barrier between onse sell and saffering brother or sister-the whitest mable image, hownover lovely, et up in the Holy Miee of the temple of Men, whore the apirit slone ahould dwell-becomee blasphemy and a pollution.

Whereln exsotly this hamen errice consistin forther queation. It may be, and, the reader would gether, pro bably in, matter whioh at the late eloden defnition Bat
though it may elude exact statement, that is no reason why approximations should not be made to the statement of it ; nor is its ultimate elusiveness of intellectual definition any proof that it may not become a real and vital force within the man, and underlying inspiration of his actions. To take the two considerations in order. In the firat place, as we saw from the beginning, the experience of society is continually leading it to olassify aotions into beneficial and harmful, good and bad; and thus moral codes are formed which est their way from the outaide into the individual man and become part of him. These oodea may be looked upon as approximations in each age to a statement of human sarvice; but, as we hape seen, they are by the nature of the aase very imperfect; and since the very conditions of the problem are continually changing, it seens obvious that a final and absolute solution of it by this method is impossible. The second way in whioh man works towards a solution is by the expansion and growth of his own ponsciousness, and is ultimately by far the most importantthough the two methods have doubtless oontinually to be comrectud by each other. In fact, as man sctually forms a part of sooiety externally, so he comes to know and foel bimself a part of society through his inner nature. Gradually, and in the lapse of ages, through the development of his sympsthetic relation with his fellows, the individual man enters into a wider and wider circle of lifo-the joys and sorrows, the experiences, of his fellowz become his own joys and sorrow s, his own experi-ences-he passes into a life which is larger than his own individual life-foroes flow in upon bim whioh determine his actions, not for results which return to him directly, but for reoulte which ean only return to him indireotly and through others; at last the ground of humanity, as it were, reveale itself Fithin him, the region of human equality-and his aotion come to flow directly from the rery same source
which regulaten and inspiren the whole movement of soclety. At this point the problem in solved. The growth bat taken place from within; it is not of the nsture of an external onnpulsion, but of an inward compunction. By actual conncious ness the man han taken on an ever-enlarging life, and at laat the life of hamanity, which hes no fired form, no over-wald code; but in iteelf the true lifa, surpasing definition, yet inspiring all actions and passions, all codes and torms, and datermining at last their plaoe

It in the grodual growth of this appreme life in esch tr dividual which is the great and indeed the only bope of Society-it in that for which Society exiate : a lifo which to tar from dwarfing individuality onhences immencely fta power, causing the individual to move with the weight of the universe behind him-and exalting what were once hin little peculiaritien and defects into the aplendid manifastations of hin humanity.

To return then for m morient to the practionl bearing of this on the question before ug, we mee that wo woon an we hate abandoned all codes of morala thers ramains nothing for us but to putall our qualition and defecte to human use, and to rodeem them so by doing. Our defecta are our entrancen into lifo, and the gatoway of all our dealing with others. Think whet it is to be plain and homely. The very word auggeete an exdearment, and a liberty of ascene denied to the faultisenly handsome. Our very evil pestions, wo called, wre not thinge to be ashamed of, but thinga to look straight in the face and to what they are good for-for a nas can be found for them, that is certain. The man should wee that be in worthy of bin pasaion, st the mountain should rear ita creat conformable to the height of the precipice which bounde it In it mowen let him tee that be ia magoanimoun lover. Is it ambtion let him take care that it be a grand one. In it larinom I lot it redeem him from the tolly of anrect, to become beeven-refluct
ligg, like a lake smong the hills. Is it closefistedness I let it become the nurse of a true economy.

The more complicated, pronounced, or awkward the defect is the finer will be the result when it has been thoroughly worked up. Love of approbstion is difficult to deal with. Through sloughs of duplicity, of concealment, of vanity, it leads its victim. It ancks his sturdy self-life, and leaves bim flattened and bloodless. Yet once mastared, once fairly torn out, oudgoled, and left bleeding on the road (for this probably has to be done with every vice or virtue some time or other), it will rise up and follow you carrying a magio key round its neck, meek and serviceable now, instead of dangerous and demonian ea before.

Deceit in difficult to desl with. In some sense it is the worst fault that can be. It seem to disorgenise and ultimately to destroy the oharacter. Yet I am bold to say that this defeot has it uses. Severely examined perhaps it will be fotud that no one can live a day free from it And beyond that-is not "a noble dissimulation" part and paroel of the very greatest characters: like Socrates, "the white soul in a astyr form ${ }^{7 \prime}$ When the divine has descended among men has it not always like Moses worn s veil before its face 1 and what is Nature herself but one long and organised system of deoeption!

Versoity has an opposite effect. It knits all the elements of - man's character-rendering bim solid rather than fluid; yet carried out too litarally and pragmatically it condenses and solidifes the character overmuch, making the man woodeny and angular. And even of that easential Truth (truth to the inward and ideal perfection) which more than anything else perhape conetitwies a man-it is to be remembered that even bere there muat be a limitation. No man can in act or extar ternally be quite true to the idoal-though in spirit he may
be. It he ia to live in thle world and be mortal, It must be by virtue of some partiality, some defect.

And to again-aince there to an analogy between the Indivtdual and Society-may we not conolude that an the individual has ultimately to recognise his so-called evil pasions and find a place and a use for them, noclety also ban to recignise its acoalled criminale and disoern their place and une ! The artiat doen not omit thadow from his canvat; and the wine tateteman will not try to ebolish the oriminal from evciety-leat haply he be found to bave aboliabed the driving force from hie social machine. 1

From what has now been anid it is quite clear that in geverni we calle man a criminal, not because he violater any eternal code of morality-lor there exista no auch thing-but because he violatee the ruling code of his time, and this depende largely on the ideal of the time. The Spartana appear to have permitted theft beosuse they thought-that thieving habite in the community foatered military dexterity and discouraged the nocumulation of private wealth. They booked upon the latter ma great eviL. But to-day the accumulation of private wealth. is our great good and the thief in looked upon as the evil When however we find, as the historians of to-day teach na, that nociety in now probably paseling through a parenthetical stage of private property from a atage of communism in the past to a stage of more highly developed commanism in the future, it becomes clear that the thief (and the poacher beforo mentioned) is that person who is protesting against the tooexcluaive domination of a passing ideal. Whatever chould we do without him ! He is keeping open for an, ace Hinton Ithink expressen it, the path to a regenernte society, and is more use

[^13]ful to that end than many a platform orator. He it is that make Care to sit upon the Crupper of Wealth, and so, in course of time, causes the burden and bother of private property to become so intolerable that society gladly casta it down on common ground. Vast as is the machinery of Law, and multifarious the waye in which it eeeks to crush the thief, it has signally failed, and faile ever more and more. The thief will win. He will get what he wants, but (as usual in human life !) in a way and in a form very different from what he expeoted.

And when we regard the thiel in himself, we cannot say that we find him less human than other classes of eociety. The eentiment of large bodies of thieves is highly communistic among themselves; and if they thus represent a survival from an earlier age, they might also be looked upon an the precursors of a better age in the future. They have their pals in every town, with runs and refuges always open, and are lavish and generous to a degree to their own kind. And if they look upo the rick as their natural onemiee and fair prey, a view which it might be diffioult to gainsay, many of them at any rato are animated by a good deal of the Robin Hood quirit, and are really helpful to the poor.

I need not I think quote that famous passage from Lecky in which he show how the prostitute, through centuries of suffering and ill-fime, bes borne the curse and contempt of Society in order that her more fortunate sister might rejoice in the achievement of a pure marriage. The ideal of a monogamio union has been established in a sense directly by the slur cast upon the free wroman. If, bowever, as many people think, a certain latitude in sexual relations is not only admissible but in the long run, and within bounds, desirable, it becomes clear that the prostitute is that person who against heary odds, and at the coat of a real degradation to berself, hat olung to a
tradition which, in iteell good, might otherwise have perished in the face of our devotion to the aplendid idas of the axolvsive marriage. There has been a time in history when the prostitute (if the word can properly be used in thin connection) has been glorified, conseorated to the templemervice and honored of men and gods (the hierodoulol of the Grenken, the kodeshoth and kodeshim of the Bible, do.) There bat also been a time when sho has been soouted and reviled. In the future there will come atime when, wifee companlon, reelly free from the curne of modern commercialiam, and sacred and respectad once more, she will agein be socepted by society and take ber place with the rest.

And so with other ceces. On looking beok into hintory we find that almout every human impulse hat at some age bees held in eateern and allowed full play; thus man came to rocognise ita beauty and velue. But then lest it should come. (as it surely would) to tyrannise over the reat, it has been dethroned, and $m$ in a later age the eame quality is acouted and banned. Last of all it has to find ite perfoct biman une and to take its place with the reat. Up to the age of Civillention (eccording to writers on prinitive Society) the early tribes of mankind, though limited each in their habite, wer eseontially democritical in etructure. In fact notbing had oecurred to make them otherwisa. Each member stood on a footing of equality with the rest; Individual men hed not in their handa an arbitrary power over others; and the tribal life and standard ruled rapreme. And when, in the future and on a much blgher plane, the true Democracy comes, thin equality which has molong been in sbeyasce will be restored, not only among men but almo, in a sense, among all the pesaion end qualitien of manhood: noms will be allowed to tyrannise over others, bat all will have to be arbject to the mapreme life of humanity. The chario af

Man instead of two horses will have.a thousand; but they will all be under control of the charioteer. Meanwhile it may not be axtravagant to suppose that all tbrough the Civilisationperiod the so-oalled eriminals are keeping open the possibility of a return to this state of society. They are preserving, in a rough and unattractive husk it may be, the precious beed of a life which is to come in the future; and are as necessary and integral a part of sooiety in the long run as the most respected and most honored of its members at present.

The upshot then of it all is that "morals" as a code of nction have to be discarded. There exists no such code, at any rate for permanent use. One age, one race, one clase, one family, may bave a code which the usera of it consider valid, but only they consider it ralid, and they only for a time. The Deoulogue may have been a rough and useful readyreckoner for the Israelites; but to us it admits of so many exoeptiops and interpratations that it is practioally worthless. "Thou shalt not steal." Exactly; but who is to decide, as we saw at the outset, in what "stealing" consists ? The question is two complicated to sdmit of an anawer. And when we have caught our hulf-starved tramp " anaking" a loaf, and are ready to condemn him, lo 1 Lyourgus pats him on the back, and the modern philosopher tells him that he is keeping opea the path to a regenerate nociety: If the tramp had also been a philosepher he would perhaps have done the same sct not merely for bis own benefit but for that of acciety, he would have committed a crime in order to save mankind.

There is nothing left but Humanity. Since there is no ever-valid cods of morala we must sadly confess that there in no means of proving oureelver right and our neigbbors wrong. Iu fact the very act of thiuking whether we are right (which implies a sundering of ourselveg, even in thought, from others) itcolf introduces the olement of wrongrees ; and if we are evor
to be "right" at all, it must be at mome moment when we fall to notios it-when we have furgotien our apartness from others and have entered into the great region of buman equality. Equality-in that region all buman defecta ars redeemed; they ell find their place. To love your neighbor es yournelf is the whole law and the prophets; to feel that you are "equal" with others, that their liven are an your lifg, that your life is as theirymeven in what trifing degree we may oxperience such thinge-lis to enter fato another life Which includen both siden; it is to paed beyond the aptare of moral distipetions, and to trouble onesell no more with them. Between lovern there sre no datiee and no rights; and in the life of humanity, there in only an instinctive mutual ervioe expreseing itmelf in whatever way may be beat at the time, Nothing in forbidden, there in nothing which may not errve. The law of Equality it perfectly fexible, in edaptable to all timen and pleces, finds a place for all the olemente of charmoter, justifie and redeem them all without axception; and to live by it is perfect freedom. Yot not alsw; but rathor wasid, a new life, transcending the individual lifo, working through it from within, lifting the sell into another mphere, begond corruption, far over the world of Sorrow.

The effort to make a dintinction betwean acting for sell and scting for cne's neighbor is the beais of "moralh" As long a a man feels an ultimste sntagoniam bet ween himaelf and cociety a long as he trie to hold bis own life a a thing apart from that of others, wo long must the question arisa whether he will act for sell or for thoes others. Hence flow a long errsy of terme-distinctions of right and wrong, daty, eelfishnesm, self. renunciation, altraism, otc. Bat when he dincovern that there is no nitimate antagonikm between himself and cociety; when be find that the gratification of every deeire which he bat of can have may be rendered rocial, or beaeficial to his fellowed
by belug used at the right time and place, and on the other hand that every demand made upon him by society will and must gratify some portion of his nature, some desire of his heart-Why, all the distinctions collapse again; they do not huld water any more. A larger life descends upon him, which inoludes both sides, and prompta actions in accordance with an unwritten and unimagined law. Such ections will sometimen be mcounted "selfish" by the world; sometimes they will be be accounted "unselfish"; but they are neither, or-if you like-both; and he who does them concems himself not with the nomes that may be given to them. The law of Equality includes all the moral codes, and is the stand-point which they cannot reach, but which they all aim at.

Judged by this final standard then, it may doubtless fairly be said-sinoe we all fall short of it-that we are all criminals, and desorve a good hiding; and even that some of us are graterer criminals than others. Only of thin real criminality the actusl moral and legal codes afford but ineffectual tests. I may be a far worse or more self-inoluded ("idiotio " or bratal) man than you, but the mere tact that I have violated the lawn and been clapped into prison does not prove it. There may be, probably is, a real and etamal diference represented by the words Right and Wrong, but no atatement that we can make will ever guite arail to define it. One use, however, of all theae leys and oodes in the past, imperfect though they were, may have been to gradually excite the oonsoiousness in the individual of his opposition to society, and 60 prepare the way for a true reconcilement As Paul rayy "I had not Enown ain, but by the law," and if we had not been oudgeled and bruised for conturies by this rough bludgeon of social convention we should not now be so sensitive es we are to the effect of our sotions upon our nelghbors, nor so reedy fore rocial life in the future which shall be superior so hew.

Of course the ultimate reconcilement of the individan with mociety-of the anit Man with the mane Kan-lavolven the subordination of the desires, their subjeotion to the true soll And this is a most important point It in no eny lapme that is here suggested, from morality into a mero jungle of buman passion; but a toilsome and long ascont-involving for a time at any rate a determined eell-control-into ascendancy over the passions ; it involves the complete mastory, one by one, of them all; and the recogaition and allowance of them only beoause they are mastered. And it in just this training and unbjection of the passions-as of winged hornen which are to draw the human chariot-which necossarily forms such a long and painfal process of buman evolution. The old moral codem are a part of thin process ; bat they go on the plan of astingriehing some of the passions-ceeing that it is nomotimen easiar to shoot a restive horse than to ride him. We however do not want to be lorde of dead carrion but of living powers; and every otead that we cat add to our chariot makei our progrese through ereation so moob the more aplendid, providing Phoebus indeed bold the reing, and not the incapable Phaeton.

And by beooning thus one with the mocial self, the individual instoad of being oreashed is made far vaster, far grander than before. The renumiation (if it must be wo called) which he has to ecoopt in absudoning merely indiridoal ondn in immedistely sompensated by the far zors virid life be now enters inta. For every force of his nature can now be atilined. Planting himself ont by contrast he atands all the firmor bocause be has a left foot an vell as a right, and when bo actos, he note pot half-heartedly as one afraid, but, se it were, with the whole weight of Hamanity behind him. In abeodoning bis exolusive individuality he beomen for the fret time a real and living individual; and in acoepting an his own the Life of othors be decomen aware of a life in himedre that han no limit
hand no end. That the eelf of any one man la capable of an infinite gredation from the most petty and exclusive existence to the most magnificent and haclusive seems almost a truism. The one extreme is disease and death, the other is life everlasting. When the tongue for example-which is a member of the body-regards itself as a purely separate existence for Itable alone, it makes a mistake, it auffers an illusion, and descenda into Its pettiost life. What is the consequence I Thinking that it exists spart from the other members, it selects food just such as shall gratify its most local self, it endeavors just to titillste its own sense of teste; and living and soting thus, ere long it ruing that very sense of taste, poisons the systom with improper food, and bringe sbout disease and death. Yet if hoalthy how does the tongue act i Why, it does not ran counter to its own sense of teste, or stultify itself. It doen not talk sbout seorificing ite own inolinations for the good of the body and the other members; but it just acte as being one in interest with them and they with it. For the tongue is a muscle, and therefore what feods it feeds all the other muader ; and the membrane of the tongue if a prolongation of the memlirane of the stomach, and that is bow the tongue knows what the stomach will like; and the tongue in nerves and blood, and so the tongue may aot for nerves and blood all over the body, and 60 on. Therofore the tongue may enter into a wider life than that represented by the mere local sense of tante, and experiences more plenaure often in the driuking of in glass of water which the whole body wants, than in the deintiest awestmeat which is for itself slone.

Enoutly 80 man in a healthy state does not set for himbelf alove, practically cannot do so. Nor does he talk cant about "serving his neighbors," \&o. But he simply acts for them an well as for hinself, becsuse they are part and parcel of hia lifo-bone of his hone and fouh of hil flesh; and in doing so
he enters into $s$ wider life, finds a more perfect pleanura, of becomes more really a man than over before. Every mis contains in himself the elements of all the reat of humanity: They lis in the back-ground; but they are there. In the front $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ has hir own special faculty developed-hia individual fagart with its projects, plans and purposes: but behind aloepe th Demorlife with far vaster projects and purposel. Some time or other to evary man munt come the conscioumess of tht vaster lifo.

The true Demooracy, wherein this larger life will mh wociety from within-obriating the need of an external govern ment-and in which all charscters and qualition will b reoognised and have their freedom, waits (s hidden bul necessary result of ovolution) in the constitation of bumed naturs itself. In the preCiviligation period these texad queetions of "morale" practically did not exint'; simply'be cause in that period the individual wea one with his tribe and moved (anconeciously) by the larger lifo of bis tribe. Aud in the poot-Civilination period, when the true Democravy. in realised, they will hot exist, becense than the man will know himself a pert of hamsnity at large, and will be consciously moved by forves belonging to thewe vaster regions of hir being. The moral codes and questionioge belong to Civilimation, they are psitt of tho forward effort, the struggla, the suffering, and the temporary alienation tran true lifa, which thet term ispliean.

## EXFOLIATION.

## "Croation's inceseant anrest, oxfolistion."

 Whifuar.I tyine it may perhapa be agreed, once for all, that the human mind is incapable of really defining even the smallest fact of nature. The simplest thing, or event, baffes us at the last. It is like trying to look at the front and back of a mirror at the same time. The ntmost squinting avails not. The ego and the non-ego dance eluding through orestion. To antch them both in any mortal objeot and pin them there, surpssses our powers. And yet they are there. Montaigne quotes somewhere the worde of S. Augustine: modus, quo corporibus adhacrent apiritus . . . omnino mirue ett, nee comprehandi ab homine potest; at hoc ipse homo ess. "The manner whereby spirits adhere to bodies is altogether wonderful, and cannot be conceiped of by men ; and yet this is man." Man himself contains, or rather is, the reconcilement of this and numberlessother contradiotions. We aotually every day perform and exhibit miracles which the mental part of us is utterly power less to grapple with. Yet the eolution, the intelligent solution and undorstanding of them in in us; only it involves a bigher ordar of consciousness than we usually doal with-a consciousness possibly which fuoludes and transcends the ego and the non-ego, and won onvisage both at the same time and equally
-a fourth-dimensjonal concciounese to whoee gase the interiurs of solid bodien are exposed like mere nurfaces-a consciounness to whose perception some usual antithesen like cause and affech, matter and spirit, past and futurs, uimply do not exint. I eny these highor order of consciousves, are in us waiting tor their evolution; and, until they evolve, we are powerlase really to understand anything of the world around us.

Meanwhile, since we meat have formulse and genorslisations to think by, we are tain to accept our looal views, and look on the world from thin side or from thath Sometimes we are idealiata, cometimes we are materisliste; emmetimes we believe in mechanica, sometimen in buman or spiritual forces. The science of the last fifty jeare has, mpointed out in a preceding paper, looked at thing more trom the meohenical than the distinotively human side-from the point of view of the nomego, rather than of the ego. Remoting from on extreqe tendency towards a subjective view of phenomeng, which charecterised the older apeoulationa, and fearing to be menyed by a kind of partiality towarde himell, the modern moientimt has endeavored to remove the humsn and conscious element from his obeorvations of Nature. Asd be hat done valuable work in this way-but of cource bas been betrayed into a oorresponding nerrowneas.

In fact she mein ecientifio doctrine of the day, Evolution, is jbviously anfering from this treetment, and the following remark are merely stew notet by way of auggention of oom things which may be waid on ita more specially bumen side For since each man in part of meture, and in that mense a part sho of the evolution-procecs, his own subjective experience ought at least to throw soms Hght on the oonditions andor which evolucion take plese, and to contribute womerhing towards en understanding of the problem.

If the queation is. What is the cane of Variation mong
animala $\}$ some approximatiun towards an answer ought to be got by each person asking himself, "Why do I vary $\ddagger$ " Why-he might any-am I a different person from what I was ten years ago, or wben I wess a boy; Why have I varied in one direction and my brothers and aistors fron the same nest in other directions if Though my individual consciousuess only covers the small ground of my own life, and does not extend back to that of my father or forward to that of my son, atill the intimate knowledge that I have of the forces acting on me during that short period may bolp mo to an understanding of the forous that bring alout the modification of men and animals at large, and the discovery of some lawe of my own growth may reveal to me the lawe also of racegrowth.

In answer to such a question, it would speedily appear that there were two general causes determining direction of change or growth in the individual, which might be oonveniently distiuguished from each other-an external and an internal. In the first place the aupposed person might say, "Eaternal conditions forced mo alung these lines. My fathor was a town artisum, but he apprentioed me to farmer. I grew up a farmer's boy, and beosins an agrioultural type as jou see. I did unt partioularly oane for farming, cometimes indeed I would have beonglad to be out of it ; but practically I succumbed to circumstancea, and herel am." But in the second place he might answer thus:-"My father was himself a farmer; I was early used to the araft, and should no doubt have grown up in it, had I not hated tt like poison. I loved music, broke away from home, joined a band, got on the musical staff of a amall theatre, and am now a profeesional musioian. My frame is cumpsratively elight, and my hands are of the nervous types an you see. Of course I have some of the old agricultural stock loft in ma, but I feel that thet is dying out* The one cause vould be a olunge of external sonditions, forcing the man to
acommodate himself to them; the other would be a ohange of interbal oonditions, an inward growth, expresaing itself first in the form of an intense deeire, and compelling the man to change himself and probably also his environment in obdlience to it. Two such general meta of oauses, I may, could be roughly distinguished from each other; and probably indeed are recognised less or more distinotly by everyone an soting to modify his life. Nor can the life of a man at any time be maid to be ruled by one of these forces alone. No man in modified by external conditions alone, without any play or reaction of inner neede and deniree and growth from within; nor la any man transformed in obedience to in inner expantion withont sundry lets and hindrances from without. The two forces sre in constant play upori one another; but in some ways that would appear to be the more important which proceed from the Man (or oresture) himsell, sinca thin in obvioualy vital and organio to him, and therefore the most convintent and reliable factor in his modification, while the oxternal forco-arising from various and remote causes-must rather be regarded as dimcontinuous and accidental.

I proposa, therefora, in these few paga to consider eapecially this inner force producing modificstlun in man and animalf-to try and find out of what nature it in, what is the law, and what are the limite of its metion-premining alwayn, at already suggested, that this distinction between "inner" and "outar," which is convenient and easy to bandle on certain planen of thought, may ultimately, and in the last resort, prove wary dificult or even imposeible to maintain.

It in ofton aid by Biologista that function precedes organisa-hion-that is, man fighte with hls fellow before be makuy weapocs to fight with; the rudimentary animal digents fond (as in the case of the amoebe) before it acquires atomach or organ of digestion; it meet of is sengitive to light befort it
grows en eye; in society letters are csrried by private hands before an organised postal system is created. Such facts properly considered are of vital importance. They show us, as it were by a sign-post, the direction of creation. They show how any new thing or modification of an old thing may come into being. They may be supplemented by a second statement-namely that desirs precedes fuaction. That is, man desires to injuce his follow before he actually fighte with him; he experiences the wish to communicate with distant friends before ever he thinks of sending such a thing as a letter; the amoobs araves for food first, and oircumvents its proy afterwarda. Desire, or inward change, comes first, notion follows, and organisation or outward structure is the reault.

In man this "order of oreation," if it may so be called, i.e., from within outwards, is very marked. Whenever man creater anything new be pursues it; when he builde a house for instance, or composes a poem or piecs of musio, or designs an Alpine tunnel, or whatever it may be. The order seems to be: first, feeling-a dim want or desire; then the feeling booones consoious of itself, takes shape in thought; the thought becomes more defined and issuen in a distinct plan; the plan is committed to paper, models are made, de. ; and finslly the actual work is begun and completed. The process uppears as a movement from within outwards-the earlieat and nost authentio discernible source of the movement being a feeling-(though there may lie something behind that). Pron in ordinary sotion the same order is manifest; for though of course ewery action is not preoeded by desiresince we knov that actions 800 n become habitual and more or lese uncouscious-biill s vast number of them are immediately so precedod; and in the oase of any sction that is mens, either to the indifidual or to the race, its inception is generally accompanied by effart 60 painful that it would not be axerted
unless the deeire were very strong. The difficulty which man experiences in learning any new art, and the records of the many failures, atruggles, oppositione, persecutions, to., which have attended every new invention or innovation of any kind in human history afford plonty of evidence of thil lant point. Certainly the efort that accompanien a new ection is not almays faced so much from theer dexire of the new thing itself as from fear perhapa of comething else-at it may be contended that monkeys did not cuke to climbing tress because they loved trees, but because they feared the beants below, or that the giraffe did not stretch its neck because it particularly deaired to feed on lueven, as because it could not get food any other way-but atill, oven in theme caseat the desire masy be said to exish, though it is secundary-lxing founded upon another and more elementary dasire-the desire namely of escaping pain or ottaining food. In either case a desire of some kind is a procedent oundition of the ne" action. And to as we know of no oues of a new action cining into play without being preceded by desire, we monn to be justified in suppoaing that all our actione when they wert firut initisted (in our forefathers if not in ourselvea) were 6 proceded. If this is eo, thoin wince function in slwayn preceded by denire, and organisation it preceded by function, organiontion must necesaarily be preceded by desire. And if this is the order of crention in man, should we not reamesbly lows in this direction for the key to the variation of aniroate and the order of creation in generaly'

If a farmer's son in occasionally borrs who hatew farming and loves muic, and who ultimately through the force of him
${ }^{1}$ This doem not of coarse preclode the sotion of extripal moditicon, $\omega$ imply that orgaointion to deturmined by denire alowe Ia fact orgasiention many be regarded an the explomion of duaire artirg evior cosp ditione- in in the caree of the monkey and girsfore above.
desire (driving him into oppositions and difioultiea and penturious struggles) transforma himsell into masician, is it not also likoly that occsaionally an animal forn who bates the oustoms of his tribe, and at last (almo through struggles) transform himself into something else? Even it he doee not nucoed (the animal) in entirely transforming himself, he likely transmita the desire in some degree to his descendants, and the transformation is thus carried on and completed later. For everywhere smong the enimals there is desire, of some kind or another, obviously acting; and it in man, by our own experience, desire is the preoursor and first expression of growth, is there any reason why it should not also be so mong animals $\}$ Lamarok gives the instano-among othersof a gasteropod; how the noed or desire of toushing bodies in front of it as it orawled along would result in the formation of tentsoles. The gasteropod, he aays, would keep making efforts to feel with the front of its head, and the determination of consciousness that way would be accompanied by a supply of nervous and other fluids, which would nourish the part and cause growth there-the form of the growth continuing in the sume way to be determined by need-till at last bwo or more tentacles would appear. True, the inward determinations of consciousnees may not be so vivid and varied in mimala as they are in men; but they are persistent, and by the very cumulative force of habit whioh is so strong in animals, must at length penetrate down through function into organisation and external form. Who shall say that the lark, by the nere love of saaring and singing in the face of the sun, has not altered the shape of its wings, or that the forms of the shark or of the gaselle are not the long-stored resulte of character leaning always in certain directiona, as much as the forms of the miser or the libertine are among mon i

Such modification as this is very different from the "sur
vival of the fitteat " of the Darwinian evolution theory. We may fairly suppose that both kinds of modification take place; but the lattor is a sort of easy eucceas won by an extermal accident of birth-a quoess of the kind that would readily be loat egain; while the former in the uphill figho of a uature that hat grown inwardly and wins expression for ltaelf in epite of external obstacles-sin expression which therefore In Iikely to be permanent. 'If the progenitora of man took to going ipright on two legr intead of on all fours, merely becaume atw of them by chance were born with a talent tor that position, which ensbled them to escape the fanged end purnuing beanin, then when this danger was removed thay might bave plumped down again into the old attitade; but if the change was part and paroel of e true ovolution-s trua unfolding of a highor' form latent within-an organic growth of the creature itself, then, though the moment of the ovolution of thle particulat faculty might be determined by the fanged beaste, the fact of such ovolution could not be determined by them. Bewido are we to suppose that Man, the lord and ruler of the animeln, cate merely by way of sacape from the animalif Do lorde and rulers genersily come eol Waa tit fear that made hima man ; Were it not likelier that in that case he would bave turned into a worm 1 He would have onoaped better periapa that way. In it not rather probable that it wit some noblor pewer that worked tranaforming-nome dim detire and prevision of a more perfect form, the desire itself being the finst consoionanes of the urge of growth in that directionthat prompted him to puab in the one direction rather than the other when be had to bold his own against the tigent In fact is it not thus to-day, when amabay to meot danger, thet the ideal which be hae within him determine how he olunl meet that danger, and others like th, and 00 ultimately detere minen the whole attitude and carriage of his bodyt

On the whole then, judging from man himself (and it seems most cautious and soientifio to derive our main evidence from the being that we are best aequainted with), it cortainly seems to me that though the external conditions are a very important factor in Variation, the central explanation of this phenomenon should be sought in an inner law of Growth-a law of expansion more or less common to all animate nature. Partly beoause, as said before, the anfolding of the cresture from its own ueads and inward nature is an organic process, and likely to be persistent, while its modification by external causes must be more or less fortuitous and ecoidental and somotimes in one direction and sometimes in another; partly ulsu bessuse the movement from within outwards seems to be mort like the law of oreation in general. Under this view the external conditions would be considered a secondary-though important cause of modification; and regarded rather as the influences that give form and detail to the great primal im. pulse of growth from within; while the oreature's own ingenuity and good luck would occupy the ground between the two -se the means whereby the external oonditions in ewoh individual case would be turned to account to satisfy the inner weeds, or the inner tife would be accommodated to the external conditions.

If we take the external view of Variation-which is the one most favored by modern science-modification or race-growth appears as an unconadious or acoretive process-similar to the formation of a coral reef. There is no line of growth native in the race itsell, but at any moment it le supposed to have an equal tandency to vary in eny direotion. Surrounding conditions act asluctivaly; and by a process of weeding out certain types eurvive; small successive modifications are thua acoumulatod; and gradually and in the lapse of agee a more pliable and differentiated oresture, and more adaptable to a variety of
conditions, is produced-in whom bowever mind is inoidental, and has played but anall part in the creature's prolution. This in the main is the Darvinim-evolation theory.

If we take the internal view, growth is from the frat omin ontly conscious. Every change begins in the mental rogionin felt first as a deaire gradually taking form lnto thought, pasese down into the bodily region, expresses iteelf in action (more or lee dependent on conditions), and Enally aclidifiea itself in organisation and structure. The proses in not 00 oretive but exfoliatory - continnal movament from within ontwards. When the denire or mental condition which at firat was painfully oomsoious, has overcome opponition and eatablished itself in altered bodily structure, it bas dove ite work, and become anconscious-the bodily function oontinuing for a long period to sot antomatibally, till finally it is thrown off to make room for some later davelopment. Thue race-growth or Variation is a process by which change begins in the mental region, passes into the bedily region where it becomes organised, and finally is thrown off likes busk. This may be called the theory of Exfoliation.

To illustrate our meaning. Let na take the development of as eye. In the smoebm thers is a dim parvasive sensitiveness to light over the whole body, but there in no eye, pothing that we ahould call vision. Still this vague menritivenem is of use to the emoebs The shadow of it prey falling apon the oreature and axciting a menation herdly yet differentiated from tonch belpe to guide ite movemente. Op this dim senmation it selien to mome extent; its attention is directed towards it. Gradaslly, and in mone deacendant form, there connes to be a point on the body on which thin attention in mote opecially concentrated. The foculty in localised; and from that moment a chang in effected thore, a differentiation mad apecial etracture; everytbing that favore mensitivenew is onerurgyed
at that place, everything that dulls it is removed; and before long-there is a rudimentary eye. Today we use our perfected eyes, and are hardly conecious that we are doing eo; but every power of vision that we have was thus won for us by some lowlier cresturs, step by atep, with effort and with concentration. Or to take an illustration from sooiety. To-day society is ill at ease; a dim feeling of discontent pervoulen all ranks and olissees. A new wense of justice, of fraternity, has desconded among us, which is not satisfied with mere ohatter of demand aud supply. For a long time this new sentiment or desire remaine vague and unformed, bat at last it resolves itself into shape; it takes intellectual form, books are written, plans formed; then after a time definite new organisations, for the diatinct purpose of expressing these ideas, begin to exist in the body of the old society; and before so very long the whole outer struoture of society will have been reorganised by them. After a few conturies the ideas for whose realisation *e now Gight and struggle with an intense consciousness will have becoure commonplace accepted institutions, more or less effete and realy to suocumb before fresh mental birthataking place from within.

The modorn evolution theory would maintain that among many amoebas and descendant forms, one would at last by chance be born baving the usual sensitivences localised in a particular spot, aud, surviving by foros of this advantage, would transmit this "eye" to ite posterity; or that in the progrean of acoiety, new economic conditions having arisen, that prep; would proeper beat which most effectually and rapidly adnpted itself to them. But though there is doubtless truth iu this fiew, yet it eeems when all has been said to be inadequate and even feeble; it omits at least one half of the problema. If we look at ourselres, es already pointed out, wo tof the two furow-the inner and the outar-scting and ro.
ecting on eash other. May it not be so in enimale I Lamarck, poorly off, blind, derided, was a true pook "Animuls vary from low and primitive typee ohiefly by dint of winhing"-and the world laughed and atill laughn. But it was hil deep sympathy even with the worms and insecta (which he atudied till be could diseern them with his mortal eyse no longer) that led Lemarck to cea the human nature and the human lawe that moved within them; and an his outward sight grew dire there arose before him the inward viaion of the true relationship which binds together all living creaturee-which way indeed a vision of divine thinge, and as different from the mere mechanism-theory of the survival of the fitteat sa the sight of the starry heavens in diffurent from a governesa's lesson on the use of the globes.

On the theory of Exfoliation, which was practically Lamarok' theory, thers in a force at work thronghont oreation, over urging each type onward into new and newor forma Thin force appears first id consoionsnose in the furm of desire. Within each shape of life sleap wante without number, from the lowest and simplest to the most compler and idand. As each new desire or idsal in evolved, it brings the creature into conflict with its surroundings, then gaining ita metisfaction extornalises itself in the etructure of the oreature, and leaves the way open for the birth of a new ideal. If then we pould find a key to the understanding of the expansion and growth of all spimate crestion, freh a key may exist in the nature of deaire itself and the comprohension of ita real meaning. It in not certain that it can be fonnd here; but it may be.

What then in desire in Man 1 Here we come back again, as suggented at the ontset, to Man bimsell. Though wow pretty clearly that desiry is at work in the animale, and that it is the eame in kind ase existe in man, still aroong tho wairoala it is but dim and inchoete while in man it in developed and
luminous; in ourselves too we know it immediately, while in the animals only by inference. For both reasons therefore if we went to know the nature of desire-even to know ita nature among animals-we should study it in Man. What then is desire-what is its culmination and completion-in Man ! Practically it is love. Love is the sum and the solu tion of all desires in Man-that in which they converge; the interpretation of them; for which they all exist, and without which they would be considered useleas. The more you look into thie matter, the plainer lt becomes. The other desiresthe self-preservation desires-hunger, thirst, the desire of power -exist, but when they are satisfied they empty themuelves into this one; they find their interpretation in it. The other desires are nothing by themselves-the most absorbing, avarice, ambition, deaire of knowledge, taken alone, stultify themselves-but love perpetuates itsalf: it is a flame whioh usex all the rest as ite feel. And what is Love it appears to us as worship of and deaire for the human form. In our bodios it in a desire for the bodily human form ; in our interior selvee it in a porception and worship of an ideal human forma, It is the revelation of Splendor dwelling in others, which -clouded and dimmod as it inevitably may come to be-remains after all one of the most real, perhaps the most real, of the facte of axistence. Desirs, therefore-as it exists in manlook at it how you will-as it unfolds and its ultimate aim becomes olearer and olearer to itsolf, is seen to be the desire and longing for the perfeot human Form. May it not, must it not be the eamething in animala and all thro' creation : Beginuing in the most elementary and dim shapes, does it not grow through all the stages of organic life olearer and more and more powerful, till at last it attnins to self-oonsciousness in humanity and beoomes apowedly the leading factor in our development.

The desire whioh runs through areation is ene desire. Rudf
mentary at fint and hardly conscious of itself, throwing out a tentaole here, a foot there, developing an eye, in claw, a botril, - wing, it weoks in iunumorable ahapes and with over partind succeen to realite the innage it hae dimly conceived. The animal kingdom is the gymnasiur, the schowl, the wite. chamber, of humanity; to walk thro' a soulogical garden in to see the inchoete types of man, perched on branchea, or browr ing grase, or boring bolen in the groud; it is to witnean grand rehearnal of eowe stupendous part, whose charactar we do not even yet fully see or underntand. From anoh halfcomecione beginninge the deaire growt, ita aim becomen clearar, till in the higher animale-the horse, the dog, the elephent, the bind, and thany othern-it becomen a marked and anwis. takeable force drawing them close to man, aniting them to bim in a kind of acknowledged kisahip, and an obviouty at work modiffing their atruoture an ba Fiually in man himelf it becomes an abeorbing power; love becomes acinacious worahip of the. divine form; generation itoch in the meana whereby, in time, the sopreme otject of deaire in realised. When at leat the parfoct Man appeara, the key to all nature in fuond, every creature falls into ite place and fonde ite Interpreter, and the purpose of creation in at leet made manifent

The Theary of Exfolistion then differs from that very apeodalised form of Evolution which has been adopted by modurn ecience, in this particular arong others: that it fixem the attention on that which appears last in order of Tifre, $m$ the moat important in order of canation, rather thinn oo that which appears first ; and recails to an the fect that citeo in any succesion of phesomena, that whicb is fint in order of precedence and inaportance in the leat to be extortulized Thus in the growth of a plant we find leaf aftor leal appearing, petal within potal-a continual oxfolintion of burk, epplis,
petais, stamens and what-not; but the object of all this movement, and that which in a sense sets it all in motion, namely the seed, is the very last thing of all to be manifested. Or when a voloano breaks out-first of all we have a oracking and upheaval of superficial layers of ground, then of layers below these, then the outflow of lava, and last of all the uprush of the inner fires and forces which set it all agoing. What appearn first in time, or in the onter world is-in the case of the building of a house, the making of bricks; in the case of the flower, the outermost bracta; in the case of a voloano, the stirying of the surface of the ground; and in the case of Life on the Earth, the appearance of protoplusms and primordial oellh. The bricks are not the canse of the house (if indoed the word "cause " should be ueed here at all) but rather the house--or the conception of the houseis the cause of the bricks; and the cells are not the origin of Man, but Man is the original of the cells. The rationale of sea-snemones and mud-fish and fying foxes and olephants has to be looked for in man; be alone underlies them. And man is not a vertebrate becsuse his ancestors were vertebrate; but the suimals are vertebrate, because or in so far as they are forerunners and offshoota of Man.

It has boon frequently asid that great materisl changes are succeeded by intellectual and finally by moral revolutionsas the conquests of Alerander passed on into the literary oxpansion of the Alerandrian schools and thence into the oatablishment of Christionity, or as the mechanical developments of our own time have been followed by immense literary and avientifio sotivities, and are obviously passing over now into a graat socinl regeneration; but a reconeideration of the matter might, I taks it, lead us not 80 muoh to look on the Iater changes as casped by the carlior, as to look on the earlier as the indioations and firat outward and visible nigne of the
coming of the later. When a man feele in himself the up heaval of a new moral fact be seee plainly enough that that fact cannot come into the actual world all at onco-not without first a deatraction of the existing order of sooistysuch $a$ destruction at makeo him feel satanio; then an intallectual revolution; and lastly only, ant order embudying the new impulse. When this new impulse hat thoroughly materialised itself, then after a time will come nonther inward birth, and similar changes will be pansed through again. So it might be asid that the work of erch age is not to baild on the past, but to rise out of the past and throw it off; only of course in such matters where all forme of thought are inadequate it hard to eay that one way of lookirg at the subject is truer than nnother. As befors, we ahould endeavior to look at the thing from different sidea.

We are obliged to ase limages to think by-ag. the opcuing of a flower or the acoretive growth of a coral reef-and possibly it would save a good deal of trouble if we did not disguise by long wordn the trath that all our theoriou in science and philosophy ere simply metaphore of this kindbat the fact atill lien behind and below them.

Perhape if we are to use the word Cause at all we should do well to use it in the old sense in which the firal cause and the eficient cause are one (the oidon of Aristotle)- to use it not so mach to link phenomeng or externals to each other as to link each phenomenon in 2 group to the thought or teeling which onderlies that group. The notee in the Dead March to Saul, for instance. We connot way that one nots in the canse of anotber, bat we might may that each note atande in a causal uubordination to the feeling which inupired the plece-which is the origin of the piece and the remult of it performancethe slpha and omegn of it. Similarly the ground floor in a house in not the cause of the first floor, nor the fint floor of
the seoond floor, nor that of the roof; but these actuallities and the whole house itself stand in strict relationship to a mental something which is not in the same plane with them at all, nor an actuality in the same sense.

According to this view the notion that one configuration of atoms or bodies determines the next configuration turns out to be illusive. Both configurations are determined by a third something whioh does not belong to quite the same order of oxistence as the said atoms or bodies. Chance "laws" of auccession may doubtless be found among physical events, and are valuable for practical purposes, but at any momentowing to their superficiality-they may fail. Thus an inseot observing the expansion of the petals of a chrysanthemum might frame a law of their order of sucoession in size and color, which would be valid for a time, but would fail entiruly when the stamens appoared. Or, to take another illustrution, physical soienoe zots like a man trying to find direot causal relations between the parious leaves of a tree, without first finding the relations of these to the branches and trunk-and so solving the problem indirectly. It deals only with the curface of the world of Man.

In thinking sbout suoh matters, Music, as Schopenhauer shows, is wonderfully illuatrative; becsuse in creating musio man recognises that be is creatiug a world of his own-apart from and not to be confused with that other world of Nature (iu which be doos not recognise any of his bandiwork). Supposing a non-musioal person were to examine and anslyse the score of a Beethoven symphony, he would be in the same pusition as a man examining and analysing Nature by purely scientifio or intellectuad methods. He would disoover the reourreuce of oertain groupe among the notes, he would entablish lawi of their soquenoes, mould make all kinds of ourivus generalisation about them, end point ont some
remarkable exopptions, would oven very likely be able te predict a bar or two over the page; hin treative would be very learned, and from a dertain point of view interemting almo, but how far would he be from any rasal underatanding of him subject 1 Let bim change bis wethod: let bim train his ear, let him hear the symphony performed, over and over, till ha understands its meaning and knowe it hy heart; sad thon ho will know at any rate something of why each note is there, be will see ita fitness and feel in himestif the "law" of ith occurrence, and possibly in some new case will be able to prediot several barn over the page I The aymptony is not understood by examination and compsrison of the notes aline $e$, but by experience of their relation to deepert feelings; and Nature is not explained by lawn, but by ite becoming tur rather being felt to be-the body of Man; marvellous ipr terpreter and symbol of hit inward being.

There is a kind of knowledge or consciousnese in us-'ue of our bodily parta, or affectiona, or deepseated mental beliefuwhich forme the base of our mors obvicus and selt couscosinf thought. This syatemic knowledge grows even while the brain slespa. It is not by any means almolite or infallible, but it affords, at any moment in man's bistory, the axiomatic ground on which hia thought-structurem acientific and other, are built. Thas the axioms of Euclid are part of our present nystemic knowledge, and aford the gronnd of all our geometry atructurem. But ws the ayatemic conscioumess grows, the ground shifuend the structures reared upon it fall. All our modern acience, for instance, is founded on the acceptation of mechanical cause and effect sa s basic fact of coosciounaese; but when that bree given way the entire atructore will cave in, and a now edifice will bave to be reared Similarly, when the huasa form becomen diatinotly visible to ns in the animale-an an unavoidatle pert of our oonscioumen-this consciouneses will form $A$ new base
'or axiom for all our thought on the subject, and the theory of ovolution, as hitherto conceived by science, will be entirely transformed.

Thus, although the experimental investigatory coral-reef aceretion method of modern science is very valuable within its ravge, it must not be forgotten that the human mind does not progrens mure than temporarily by this method-that itn progression is a matter of growth from within, and involves a continual breaking away of the bases of all thought-tructures; so that while this latter-ie., the progression of the systemio oonsoiousness of man-is neoessary and continuous, the rise and fall of his thought-systems is accidental, so to speak, and discontinuous.

It is then finally in Man-in our own deepest and most vital experienco-that we bave to look for the key and explanationa of the changes that we 000 going on around us in external Nniture, as wo oull it; and our understanding of the latter, and of History, must ever depend from point to point on the exfoliation of now facts in the individual consciousnoses Round the ultimate disolosure of the ideal Man, all crestion (hitherto groaning and travailing towards that perfeot birth) ranges itself, ss it were like some vast fower, in concentric cycles; rank beyond raok; first all social life and history, then the animal kingiom, then the vegetable and mineral worlds. And if the outer oiroles have been the first in fact to show themselves, it is by this leat disclusure that light is ultimately thrown on the whole plan; and, as in the myth of the Edeugarien, with the appenrance of the perfected human form that the wark of creation dofnitely completes itselt

## CUSTOM.

"Whatever in off the hingee of cartom $h$ bulieved to be aloe of the hingos of roemon; though how unrenomably, for the mout part, God known"

## Mowficion

Every hnman being grows.up inside stheath of custom, which enfolds it an the wathing clothes enfold the infant. The eacred custome of ite early home, bow fixed and immateble they appear to the child: It surely thinks that all the wrold in all times has proceeded on the same linew which bound its tiny lifo. It regarde a breach of these rulos (some of them at lesnt) as a wild atep in the dark, leading to nnikown dangers.

Nevertheless ite mental eyen have hardly opened ere it furceives, not without a shock, that wherseat in the family dining. room the ment always proceden the prading, below-ntairs and in the cottage the pudding has a way of coming before the ment; that whereas its father puts the manure on the top of his seedpotatos in epring, him neighbor invariably placen bis potatne on top of the manare. All ite confidence in the eanctity of ite home life and the trath of thinge is apwat. Surely there mumt be a right and s wrong way of eating ose's dinner of of weting potatoe, and marely, if any one, "father" or "mother" murt know what in right. The eldere bave alwayn aid (and indeed it event only reagomble) that by thin time of day overything
has been to thoroughly worked over that the best methods of ordering our life-food, dreas, domestic practices, social babits, sc., have long ago been determined. If so, why these divergencias in the simplest and most obvious matters 1

And then other things give way. The sacred seeming. nniversal oustoms in which we were bred turn out to be ouly the practicee of a small and narrow class or caste; or they prove to be confined to a very limited looality, and must be left belind when we set out on our travels; or they belong to the teucta of a feeble religious sect; or they are just the products of one age in history and no other. And the question forces itself upon us, Are there really no natural boundaries f has not our life unywhere been founded on reason and necesaity, but only on arbitmery habit $;$ What is more important than food, yet in what human matter is there more unaccountable divergence of prantice 1 The Highlander flourishes on oatmeal, which the Shefleld iroaworker would rather atarve than eat; the fat snail which the Roman country gentleman once so prited now crawls unwolested in the Gloucastershire peassit's garden; rabbits are taboo in Germany; froge are unspeakable In England; sauer-kraut is detested in France; many races and grangs of people are quite oertain they would die if deprived of mest, others thiuk spirits of some kind a necessity, while to othera again both thene things are an abomination. Every country district han its local practices in food, and the pesssnta look with the greatest suapicion on any new dish, and can rarsly he induced to adopt it. Though it has been abundantly proved that many of the British fungi are excellent eating, such is the force of eurtom that the mushroom alone is ever publicly recogtisoi, while ouriously enough it is ssid that in some other countrite wher the claims of othor agarics ary allowed the mushrom itaoli is not used! Fiwally, I feel myself (and the geutle rowior probmbly feels the zame) that I would ratber die
than subsist on ineacte, suoh is the deep-weated disgunt wo experience towerds this class of food. Yot it in notoriuns that many races of respectable people adopt a diet of this aort, end only lately a book bat been published giving detaila of the exoellent provender of the kind that we habitually overlooktasty morsels of caterpillars and beatles, and wo forth 1 And indeed, When one comes to think of it, what oan it be but prejudice which causes one to ent the periwinkle and reject the ind-anail, or to prize the lively prawn and prosoribe the cheerful grasshopper !

It is useless to may that these local and other divergencien aro roated in the necessities of the localitien and times in which they occur. They are nothing of the kind. For the mont part they are mere customs, perhaps grown originally out of some necesnity, but now perpetuated from simple habit and inherent human lariness. This can perhapa best be illuetrated by going below the human to the kingdom of the animale It customs are strong atnong men they are far stronger among animals. The abeep lives on graws, the cat liven on mice and other animal food. And it in generally seaumed that the respective diets are the most "natoral" in each case, and thone on which the suimals in question will readient thrive, mad indeed that they could not well live on any other, But nothing of the kind. For cate caso be bred up to live on oatmeal and milk with next to no meat; and a sheep bas been known to get on very comfortably on a diet of port wine and matton chope! Doys, whose "pataral" food in the wild atate is of the animal kind, are unduobtediy much healthisr (at eny rete in the domentic state) when kept on fanaceove subetancee with little or no meat, and indeed they take to kindly to a regetable diet that they monetimes become perfect nuiancem in a garden-ating atrationriew, goomeberries, peats, acc freely off the bed when they have once learned the babit. Any one in fuct whe bee
kept muny pets knows what an astonishing variety of food they may be marle to adopt, though each animal in the wild state has the most intensely narrow prejudices on the subject, and will perish rether than overatep the oustoms of its tribe. Thus pheusants will eat fern-roots in winter when snow oovers the ground, but the grouse "don't eat fern-roota," and die in consequence. A wolf of an inquiring turn of mind mould probably find strawberriea and peas as good tood as a dog does, but it is praotically certain that any ordinary member of the genus would perish in a garden full of the same if deprived of his oustomary bones.

All this seems to indicate what an immensely important part mere custom plays in the life of men and animals. The main part of the power which man acquires over the animals depends upon his establisaing habits in them which once eatnblished they nover think of violating; and the almost in. superable nature of this force in uuimals throws back light on the part it plays in buman life

Of courbe, I am not contending in the above remarka upon food that there is no physiological difference between $s$ dog and n sheep in the mattor of their digeative organs, and that the oue is not by the nature of its body more fitted for one kind of food than the other; but rather that we should not neglect the importsnce of mare habit in auch matters. Custom changed first; the ohange of physiologioal structure followed slorly after. Wbat happened was probably aomething like this. Some time in the far baok past a group of animala, driven perhepa by necessity, took to hunting in packs in the woods; it dopoloped a modified physical atructure in consequence, and special habits which in the conrae of time became deeply fired in the race. Anotber group saved its life by taking to gram ing. Grass is poor food; but it was the only chance this group had, and in tiras it got to aooustomed to eating grask
that it could not lmagine any other form of diet, and at fint would refue even oyters when placed in it way! Acother group asw an opening in treaz; it developed along necik and became the gireffe. But the fact that the giraffe lives on leaves, and the sheep on grass, and the woll on animal matter, and that custom is in asch so atrong that at first the oreaturs will refuse any other kind of diet, does not of itaelf prove that that diet is the best or most physiclogically suitable for it. In other words, It is an asumption to suppoes that "adaptation to environment " is the sole or even the main factor in the oonstitution of well-marked varietles or geners; for thim in to negleot (among othar thingr) the foren of mere use or wont, which has about the asme import in raco-growth that momentum has in dynamics; and cause the race, once started in any direction, to maintain ita line of movemant-aod often in despite of it environment-oven for thounands of yeara.

Returning to man to him avelojed in a mytiad eastoms-local customi, clase custuens, reo custown, family customs, religioue customs; cuntome in food, custuras in clothing, customs in furniture, torm of bebitation, induatrial production, art, social and municipal and untional life, to. ; and the question arises, Where is the grain of necesaity which underlien it all How much in each ease in due to a real fineen in nature, and bow much to mere otioee hatit I The first thing that meete my eye in glancing ont of the window is atile on aeighboring roof. Why are tiles mede S-sbaperi in tome localitien and flat in otbers I Surely the conditions of wind and rain are much the same in all placee. Perbaps far back there wes a reason, but now nothing remains bat-cutcom. Why do we ait on chairs insteal of the foor, at the Japaneex do, or on cushions like the Turk $\dagger$ It it a cuntorn, asd yerhape it mits with our other custome. The more wo look into ous life and connider the lmmense variety of habit in every depert,
ment of it-even undor conditions to all appearances exactly similar-the more are we impressed by the absence of any very berious necessity in the forms we ourselves are socustomed to. Esoh race, each class, each section of the population, each unit even, raunts its own habits of life as superior to the rest, st the only true and legitimate forms; and peoples and classes will go to war with each other in assertion of their own speoial beliefs and practices; but the question that rather presees upon the ingenuous and inquiring mind is, whether any of us have got hold oll much true life at all !-whether we are not rather mere multitudinous varieties of caddis-worms shufled up in the cast-off akins and alothes and debris of those who have gone before us, with very littis vitality of our own perceptible within 1 How many times a day do we perform an action that is authentio and not a mere meohauical piece of repetition Indeed, if our various actions and practices were authentio and flowing trom the true necessity, perbaps we shouldn't quarral with each other over them 80 often as we do.

And then to come to the aubject of morals. These slso are customs-divergent to the last degree among different races, at different times, or in different localities; customs for which it is often diffoult to fud any ground in reason or the "fitness of thinge." Thieving is supposed to be discountenanced among us, yot our present-day trade-morality sanctions it in a thoutand difforent forms ; and the respectable usurar (who can hardly be said to be other than athief) takes a high place at the table of life. To buut the earth for game has from time inmemorial bean considered the patural birthright and privilege of man, until the landlord clase (whom wieked Socialists now denounce I) invented the crime of poaching and hanged men for it. As to marriage customs, in different times and among difforent peopies they bave been simply innumerabla. And bere the mense of inviolsbility in each oase la most power
ful. The severset penaltios, the most striogent publis opinion, biting deep down into the individual conscience, enforse the various codes of various times and plecen; yet they all controdict each other. Polygamy in one country, polyandry in the nezt ; brothar and aistar marriage sllowed at one time, marringe with yourmother's cousin torbidden at another; prowtitntion ascred in the temples of antiquity, trampled ander foot in tha guttere of our great citios of today; monogarny rempectable in one land, mark of claca-interiority in anothor; celibecy scorned by some mections of people, secepted as the higbeet atate by others; and so on.

What are we to conclude from all this: In it ponsible, once we have fairly laced the immense varioty of human life in every department of arts, manners, and momin-a variety, too, oxisting in a vast number of case under oonditioneto all intents and purposes quite aidilar-in it posiblo over again to suppose that the particalar practioes which we are accustimed to are very much better (or, indeed, very moch woree) than the particular practicen which othern are accustomed to 1 We. heve been born, an I said at first, iuto a sheath of curtofn wich onfolds un with oar awaddling-clothea. When we begin to grow to manhood we see what ort of athing it la which murrounds ve. It is an old hask bow. It doen not bear looking into; it in rotten, it in inconaistent, it is thoronghly imefenaible; yet very likoly we have to acoept it. The coldi-wurm bae grown to ite tube and cannot leave it. a little apark of vitality amid a heap of dead matter, all it ean do in to orake its dwelling a little more oonvenient in shaje for itmelf, or (like the corsl innect) to prolong its growth in the moet davorable direction for thooe that como sfter. The clasa, the carte, the locality, the age in which we were born has determined our form of life, and it that form very likely wo must romain. Dut a change hes ocme over our minds. The vaustinge of earliter
daya wo abandon. Fe, at any rate, are no better than anybody else, and at best, alas ! are only half alive.

If these, then, are our conolusions, is it not with justice that ohildren and early raose keep so rigidly to the narrow path that custom has made for them 1 Have they not an instinotive feeling that to forsake custom would be to launch out on a trackless sea where life would cease to have any special purpose or direotion, and morality would be utterly gulfed Custom for them is the line of their growth; it is the coral-branch from the end of which the next inseet builds; it is the hardening bark of the tree-twig which determines the direction of the growing ahook. It may be merely arbitrary, this custom, but that they do not know ; ite appearanoe of finality and necessity may be quite illusive; but the illusion is necossary for life, and the arbitrariness is just what makes one life different from noother. Till ho growe to manhood, the buman being, he cannot do without it.

Add when ke grows to manhood, what then! Why he dien, and so becomes alive. The caddis-fly leaves his tube behind and soars into the upper air; the ereature abandons its bar nacle existeves on the rook and swims at large in the sea. For it is just when we die to custom that, for the first time, we riso into the true life of huraanity; it is juat when we abandon all prejudice of our own superiority over others, and become convinced of our entire iudefensibleness, that the world opens out with comrade faces in all direotions; and when we perceive how eutirely arbitrary is the setting of our own life, that the whole structure collapses on which our apartness from others resta, aud we puss easily and at once into the great ocasa of fredom and equality.

This is, as it were, a new departure for man, for which evan toulay the old work, overlaid with myriad oustoms now brought into obvious and open conflict with esch other, in
ovidently preparing. The period of baman infanog la coming to an end. Now comes the time of manhood aud true vitality.

Possibly this is a law of history, that when man has run through evary variety of custom a time comes for him to be freed from it-that is, he uses it indifferently eccording to his requirements, and is no longer a slave to it; all human practioes find their use, and none are forbiddun. Af this point, whenever reached, "morala" come to an ond aud humanity takea ita plisoo-that is to say, there in no longer any sode of zotion, but the one object of all aotion in the deliverance of the humen being and the estubinament of equality between oneself and another, the antry into ane life, whoh new life when entered into in glad and jerfect, because there is no more any effort or atrain in it ; but it ind the reoognition of onesell in others, eternally.

Far an cuatom has carried man from mann, yet when at laxt In the ever-branching seriee the complete butusn being in produced, it knowe at once ite kinsbip with all the other forms. "I have passed. my npirit in determination and compassion round the whole earth, and found only equala and lovers." More, it knows ite kitubip with the snimnis. It ween that it is only habit, an illuaion of difference, that dividet; and it perceives after all that it ie the esme human cresturs that flies in the air, and awims in the ses, or walk biped upon the leod

## THE NEED OF A RATIONAL AND HUMANE SCIENCE. ${ }^{1}$

In bringing before you this subject of a Rational and Humane Soience you will perhaps forgive me if I dwell for a few muments on some points of personal history in relation to it. After reading mathematios for some four yeare at Cambridge, it baypened to me for the nort ten years or to to be engaged in the study of the physical sciences, and in lectures on these subjects. Nuturally, during the earlier part of this period I nocepted the ourrent methods and conolusions without any questiou. But as time went on I became aware of a certain dissatibfaction; I felt that many of the lawa of Science, eneunced as universal truths, were of very limited application only, that many of the conolusions, so strongly insisted on, were of quite doubtful validity; and at last this increasing disastisfaction oulminated in a rather violent attack or oriticism of Modern Science which I wrote and published about the year 1884. ${ }^{\text {Z }}$

Nuw, looking back, at thia interval of time, though I admit that my attack was somewbat basty and crude in detail, I feel

[^14]that in ita main contention it wat thoroughly justified, and. I do not feel the least incliued to mithdram it.

What was that muin contentioni It wan an follows. Modern Science is en attempt (aud no donbt it would acceps this defuition of itself) to aurvey and clasmify the fhenomeus of the world in the pure dry light of the intellect, uncoloured by feeling; and so far is an effort to separate the intelleatunl in man from the merely perceptive, the emotional, the moral, aud so fortb. It was in this very fact that my oriticiam lny; for I contended that such a separation war in the long run quite imposuible.

But before proceeding to defend this position, let mo dmit at once that this attempt of Modern Scionce to get rid of human feeling and to look at everything in the dry lishtiof the intellect was in some' respecta a very grand one. Whens you consider what the Old-time Science was, with itw fancien and prejudices, ita dragons pasturing upon the wun and mom in eclipses, ite immolations of hundreds of buman bennge to appease some god of pentilence or arthquake, itn panice, it superstitions, and its incapability of regarding anything except from the point of view of that thing's influence m man's own comfort and bis little hopea and fearm, it wia indeed a grand advance to try and see facta, uncoloured and for themmelven alone. It wat an effort of Man an it wers to rise above himealf, to which I accord the fullest credit and bonour.

And yet, daring the time spoken of, it kept growing on me: first, that the attempt wan an imponible one; wexondly, that the Science wo-alled was not a true Science; and thimly, that in its pretence to an intellectual exactitude which it did not really possesa, thit Modern Science wat leadirg to a parrow-mindednes and a dogmatiom ss bod an the olf.

There in in faot (so I think) a fallacy in the aftempt. But how ahall I describe it 1 Our relstions to the world may, quite
roughly speaking, be divided iuto three groups - those that are sersuous aud porceptional, those that are purely intellectual, and those that are of an emotional and moral order. Take any object of Natare-a bird, for instance. We may look upon the birl as an object of sonse-perceptions-its form, its colour, its soug, and so forth. Some people attain to extraordinary skill and quickness in this departmeut, recognising in a moment the note or even the flight of a songster. Then again we may look upon the bird from the intellectual side-we may study it in relation to its surroundings-the form of its wings, the length of its leg, the character of its beak, and their adaptation to its habits, to its luoality, to its food, and so forth. Thus we may get whole series of purely intellectual resultsrelutione of the bird to the world in whioh it lives. This is the spreial field of the present-day Science. But, again, we may regard the bird in its emotional and moral relations to us. One man at the sight of it may be affected with admiration of its heauty, with tonderness towards it, or sympathy; another may be atimulated to wonder whether he can kill it, or whether it is good to eat! Modern Seience is indifferent to what this late sot of relations may be; it does not concern itself much with the lirst; but it takes the middle term, the purely infellectual, and seek to abstract that from the others, to study the bird, or whatever the object may be, in the ons espect only. But can that really be done -Th . answer is, of course, No.

To ahow my general meaning, and why I consider the claim an impossible one, let us inagiue a little cell-one of the myriadx which constitute the buman body-professing in the sume sort of why to stand outide the body and explain the laves of tho other cella aud tha body at large. It is obvious that the little cell, swept along in the currents of the body and swayed by its emotions, in alose proximity and contsct
with some portions of the organiam, and far remote from others, cannot possibly pretend to any euch impartial judg. ment. It in obvious not only that it mould not have all the clues of the problem at its coramand, but that ite own needs and axperiencea wonld prejudice it frightfully in the interprotation of such clues at it had. Yot man is such a litte cell in the body of Nature, or, if you lika, In the bady of the Society of whioh he forme a part.

There is however one way, it seeme to me, in which a coll in the buman body might come to an adequate onderntasding of the body; and that would be rather through experience than through direct reasoning. It in conceivable thet there might be some cell in the body which through the nerves, etc., Was in actual touch and sympathetic relationship with every other cell. Then it certainly would have the maforiala of the required solution. Every change in other partw of the body would register itself in this particular cell; and itil little brain (if it had one), without exactly making any great effort, would refleot sympathetically the struoture of the whole berly -would beoome, in fact, in mirror of it. Thin will perhaps give you the key to my notion' of what a true Science might be.

But before proceeding to that, I mant to go a little more in detail into the fallacy of the abolute intellectual view of Science. I asy, first, that a complete snmmary of any object or process in Natare is impossible; secondly, that meh summaty at wo do make is, and wust inevitably and necessarily be, coloured by the underlying feeling with which we approach that phase of Nature.

To take the first point. You say, Why in a complete summary not poesiblef A watch or other mathine msy be completely described and defined; why abould not (with a little more knowledge) \& fir-tree, or the hnman eye, or the soler nyitem, be completely described and defined 1

And this bringe ut to what masy be called the Machine-view of Soience. It is curious (and yet I think it will presently be seen that it it quite what might have been expected) that during this last oentury or so, in which Machinery has played suoh an importaut part in our daily and social life, meobanieal ideas have come to colour all our conoeptions of Science and the Universe. Modern Science holds it as a kind of ideal (even though finding it at times difficult to realise) to reduce overything to mechanical action, and to show each process of Nature intelligible in the same sense as a Machine is intelligible. Yet thia conoeption, this ideal, involves a complete fallacy. For the moment you come to think of it, you see that no part of Nature really even resembles a machine.

What is a meobine in the ordinary sense! It is an aggregation of parts put together to fulfil certain definite actiona and no others. A sewing-machine fulfils the purpose of sewing, a wateb fulfils that of keeping time, and they fulfil those purposes only. All their parta subserve those actions, and in that aense may be completely described-an far as just their mechanical aution in concerned-the same by a thousand mechavioiana. But I mako bold to any that no object in Nature fulfils just one action, or series of actions, and no othera. On the contrary, every objeot fulbis an endless aeries of autions.

Let us take the Human Eys. And I oboose this as an instaves most ediverse to my position, for there is no drubt that the Human Eye is one of the most highly specialised objecte in ereation. Helmholts, as you know, is said to have returked concorning it that if an Optician bad sent him an iustrument ao defective be ahould bave returned it with his compliments Helmbolts wae a great man, and I will not do him the injustioe to suppose that he did not know what be wa saying. He knew that, regarded as a machine for
fooussing rays of light, the eye was decidedly defective; but then he knew well enough, doubtless, why it was defectivenameis, because it is by no means merely such a machine, but a great deal more.

The Eye, in fact, not only fulfils the action of focussing rays of light-like an Opera Glass or a Telescope-but it might be compared to another instrument, a Photographic Camera, in respect of the fact that it forms a picture of the outer world which it throws on a sensitive plate at the back -the Retina. But then, again, it is wulike any of these "machines," in the fact thest it was never made by any Optician, human or divine, for any one definite purpose. On the contrary, as we know, it has grown, it has evolvod; it has come down to us over the centuries, and over thousands and thousands of centuries, from dim berinnings in the lowlest organisus who first conceived the faculty of Sight, continually modified, continually shapen by small increments in varions directions, in accordance with the myriad needs of a myriad creatures, living, some of them in water, some of them in air, requiring some of them to see at close quarters, some at great distances, some by one kind of light, some by arother, and so forth. So that to-day it not only contains a great range of inherited, yet latent, faculties, but it is actually, in its complex structure, an epitome and partial record of its own extraordinary history.

As an instance of this last point, let me remind you that Sight was originally a differentiation of Touch. The light, the shalows, falling on the sensitive general surface of a primitive organism provoke a tactile irritation. In the course of evolution this sense specialises itself at some point of the surface into what we call Sight. Now, to-day, when the little picture formed by the fore-part of the Human Rye falls upon the Retina at the back, it falls upon a screen formed by the
myriad congregated finger-tips, so to speak, of the optio nerve -the rode and cones, so-called-which cover like a mossic the whole ground of the Retina, and feel with their sensitive points the images of the objects in the outer world. And so Sight is still Touoh-it is the power of feeling or touchiug at a distanoe-s one ametimes in fact becomes arrare in looking at things.

But then again on and beyond all these things-beyond the focussing and photographing of rays, beyond the latent adaptations to the needs of innumerable creatures, and the epitomising of ages of evolution-the Human Eye has faculties even more far-reaching perhapa and wonderful. It is the maryallous organ of boman Expresaion. By the dilatations and contractions of the iris, by the altering feonvenities of the leus and the eyeball, and in a hundred other ways, it manages somehow to convey intelligence of Command, Coutrul, Power, of Pity, Love, Sympathy, and all those mgriad emotions whioh fit through the human mind-an endless series-s perfeot encyclopsedia. It is dificult oven to imagine the eye without this power of language. And what other funotions it mag have it is not necessary to inquire. Highly speciulised though it is, it is already obvious enough that to call it a Machine for focussing rays of light is monstrously and ludiorously inadequateeven as it would be to call the Heart (the very centre of emotion and life, and the aymbol of human love end courage) a conmon Pump.

Nature ia an infuitude, and can at no point be ciroumscribed by the humau intelleot. Nor obviously is there any sense in taking one little portion of Natare and isolating it from the rest, and then desoribing it exhaustively as if it roally were so isolated. A thousand meohaniciaus will agres, as I have said, in their description of a machine, because in
fact they will agree to view the mashine just in the one espect of its particular tection; but akk a thousend people to describe one and the same face-or, better still, get a thousand portrait-paipters, qilled $^{2}$ in their art, to palnt portraits of the same face-and you know perfectly woll that all the likenesues will be different And why will they be difforent Simply because every faca, however rude, han infinite ides, fufinite aspects, and each painter melecta whet he painta from his own point of visw. And the asme is trus of every object and process in Naturs.

Then if theen thinge are true (you ate again) how is it that ecientific men do arrive at definite conclusions, and do agree with esch other to far an they dol

It is, and obviously mpet be, by the metbod of inolation; by the method of selecting certain mpecte of the problems presented to them, and ignoring others For aince all the relations of any phenomenon of Nature cannot posioly be compansed, the only way musi be to ignort som and eoncentrate attention on others; and when there is a kind of tacit tgreement an to which mpecte aball be paveed over and which considered, there is maturally an agreernent in the renulta. Thon by this method, waiving all other especte of the problem, the Eye may bo deacribed and dofoed an an an optical inatrument, the Heart al common Pump, and the Solar Syatem as a neat illurtration of certain mechanical Inva discovered by Galileo and Mewtor.

On the subject of the Solar Syatem and Astronomy I will dwell for a fow moments, at here-in this great example of the perfection of Modern Science - we have again came appareatly mont adverse to my oontention. The genermilistions by Fich Nawton entablished the nature of the planetary orbite have been a wondor to succeeding genstations; the poritions of the plamets ean be foretuld, ecirpmed
can be caloulated with amazing accuracy. Yet every tyro in Mathenatics knows that the equations which give these resulta can only be solved by what is culled "neglecting small quantitiea" - that is, the problema cannot be solved in their entirety, but by lesving out certain torms and elumevta, which do not appear important, a solution can be approached. And naturally it bas been an important poiut to show that these smell quantitios may be safely negleated. In the case, for instance, of the orbits of the planeta round the sun, and of the moon round the earth, it was for a long time taken as proved that the small variations in the shape and position of esob elliptic orbit would never be accompanied by any permanent incresse or diminution in its aise-that is, that the mean distancer of the phanets from the sun, and of the moon from the earth, would always romain within certain limits, of late years however Professor George Darwin, taking up one of these poor little noglected quantities in the theory of the moon, found that it indioated nffer all very vast and very permanent, though of course very alow, ohanges in her mean distance from the earth; ac that now it appeare probable that the Moon's true orbit, instead of being a limited ellipse, in a continually thuugh gradually eularging Spiral, which may bome day aarry the Mion to a great distance from the earth. If an eclipse were calculated for twenty years in adzance on the Elliptic thevery or the Spiral theory, it would probably-80 slow would be the divergeuce-make no porceptible difference; but in a huudred centurice the two theories would lead to reaults utterly ditturent.

Thus the certitude of Astronomy as a Science ariaes largely from the fact that our timen are so brief compured with Celestial perioda. The propar periode of Calestial changea are to be reckoued hy thousanda, perhaps millions, of years;
but we, ignoring that aspect of the problem, fix our oimervations on one little point of time, and are quite satislied with the rasult !

As another illustration of my meaning, oonsider the Fixed Stars, so-called. These stars in their groupe and clustera, which wo know 00 well by aight, have remained apparently in the very axme, or mearly the same, relative ponition during all the 2,000 or 3,000 yeara that we have any record of the shapes of the Constellations. Yet now by minute telescopic and apectrosoopic examination we know that they are meving, and have been moving all the time, in Farious differing directions with great velocitios, amounting to miles per second. Nevertheloss, to graat are the apaces concerned, no great the times, that all this lohg period hat not ufficed to bring them into any. greatly changed attitude with regard to ewoh other! What would you think of an intelligent foreigner who, coming to England to etudy the gams if cricket, remained on the cricket fold for a quarter of is minute-during which time the players would bave hardly changed their positions-and having noted a fow points, went away and wrote a. volnme on the lawi of the gamol And what are we to think of poor little Man who, buving noted the starn for a few centuries, is so mure that be undermandn their movements, and that he is versed in all the "ordiumbeen of heaven."

Thus it would appoar thet every Nature-problem in no enormously complex thet it can only be got at by whit may be called the Method of Ignorance. Let ua take a practical Science problem like that of Vecciustion. The quention here, put in ita simpleat terms, seems to be, Whetber Vaccination, with calf or human lymph, provent or alleviatea Smalljor; and if it does, whether it does wo whout engendering other evils at least as great. At firs aight this may ajpear to you
a very simple question, and easy to solve; but the moment you come to think about it, you see its extreme oomplexity. In the first place, it is obvious that in a queation like this, individual cases afford no test. It is obvious that the fact that A. is vacoinated and has not taken small-pox proves nothing, for there is nothing to show that he would bave taken it if he had not been vacoinsted. And when you have got people vaccinated by the huudred and the thousand, you still are not oertain; for these people may belong to a certain class, or a certain looality, or may have certain habits and conditions of life, which may account for their comparative immunity, and these causes must be eliminated before any definite conclusion can be reached. Thus it is not till the great mass of the population is vaccinated that we can expect reliable statistics. But the introduction of a practice of this kind on no great a beale necesaarily takea a long period of years, and tueanwhile changes are taking place in the habits of the people, Sanitation is being improved, eustoms of Diet ars altaring, possibly (as so often happens in the bistory of an epidemic) the disease, having run its course, is beginning spontaneously to deoline. And thus another aeries of possible chuses hes to be discussed.

Theu, supposing the question, notwithstanding all these difficulties, to be so far eettled in favour of the present system -there atill ariaes that whole other eeries of difficulties with regard to the pussibility of the spread of other diseases by the pratice and with regard to the extent of suoh spread, before we cau arrive at any fiame. This aeries of questions is slmost as complex as the other; and it includes that great element of uncertainty-the question what interval of time may elapse betweon inoculation with a disesse and ita actual appearance. For if in several cases children break out with erysipelas immediatolg after vaccination, of course there is a certain
presumption that waccination han been the cause; but if the erysipelay only appeary wome your aller, it conneotion with the operation may, though real, be impomible to trace.

The matter etanding thun, it soems to an almost a mystory bow it was that the medioal anthoritios of the aarly daya of Jenneriam wert so oockure of their concluniona-vatil, ws remamber that in arriving at thoee conclunion they praotically ignorud all thee othor point that I bave mentioned, like ohanges of Senitation, apontaneon decline of 8mall-por, the apread of other diseasen, eto, and simply limited themselven to one mmall aspect of the problem. But now, after this interval of time, when the negleated facte and aspecta have memawhile forced themselvee on our attention, how remarhable le the change of attitude as evidenced by the finding of the late Roysal Commisuion ( [1896.]

From all thil do not undermand ms to deride Scienoe-lor I heve no intantion of doing that; on the contraiy, I think the debt we owe to madern inveatigation quite incalculable; but I only wish to warn you how complex all these problems ars, how impoetible that notion of aettling even one of thetm by a cut-end-dried intellectual formule.

But you will ank (for thil in the eacond point I mentioned some little time back) how people's emotions and feelinge come in to colour their ecientific conclusionst Aud the answor ism very ámply, namely, by directing their choion an to whit aspeote of the problem they will fgnore and what especte they will envisage; by determining their poiat of view, in fact. To retarn to that illustration of eveveral portrait-peintern painting the mane face; juat ae each painter in lod by bit fealing, his sympathiew, his general tompermmont, to welect eartain pointa in the face and to past over otbers, so each group of scientific men ta each gemerstion in lod by ity
sympathies, its idiosynorasies, to envisage certain aspects of the problems of the day and to ignore othere.

The whole history of Science illustrates this We are ell familiar with the way in which the predilections of religious feeling in the time of Copernicus and Galileo retarded the progress of astronomical Soience. As long as people believed that a divine drama of redemption had been enacted on this earth alune, they naturally concluded that this earth was the centre of the universe, and refused to look at facts which contradicted their conclusion. When Galleo turned his newly-made telescope on Jupiter and saw it circled by its satellites, he saw in this bn image of the Copernican system and of the planets circling round the central Sun ; but when he asked others to share his observation and bis inference, they would not. " 0 , my dear Kepler," he writes in a letter to bis fellow astronomer, "how I wish we could bave one hearty laugh together. Here at Padus is the principal Professor of Philosophy, whom I have repeatedly and urgently requested to look at the moon and planeta through my glass; but he pertipaciously refuses to do so. What shouta of luaghter we should have at this glorious folly $\left.\right|^{\prime \prime}$

And though we laugh at the folly of those before us, we do the same thinge ourselves to-day. Take the science of Political Economy. A revolution has taken place in that, almost comparable to the obange from the geocentric to the heliocentrio view in Astronomy. During the distinctively commercial period of the last 100 years, the leading students of asial science, being thenselves filled with the spirit of the time, have beon fain to look upon the acquisition of private wasith os the one absorbing motive of haman aature; and so it has come about that the economists, from Adsm Saith to John Stuart Mill, have founded their soience on self-sceking and competition, as the bese of their analysia. To-day another
eeries of economitta conning to the front-methe minde pro ocoupied with the grest fecte of Community of life and Co-operation-have dincovered that Society in in the main an illustration of these latter principles, and have क्volved a quite new phase of the woience. It is not that Society han changed mo much during this period, as that the altored point of viow of the utudente of Society hat caused them simply to fir their attention on s different atpeot of the problem and a differmit range of facts.

I bave alluded siresdy to the way in which the provalent use of Machinery in prectical ifo hat affeoted our mental outlook on the world. It in curione that during this mochenical age of the last 100 yeart or ea, we have not ooly some to regard Society in a mechanical light, at a concourse of eeparate Individuald bonnd together by mere ash-nerus, bat beve extanded the alme ides to the upiverse at large, which we look upon at a concourse of separate stoms, asociated together by gravitation, or poesibly by mere matual impaot. Fet it is oertain that both these viown are fales, tince the individuala who compose Society ere nof separate from each other; ind the theory that the poiverse, in it ultimate anafyin, is. composed of a vast number of disorete atome is nimply unthinkable.

When we come to a prictioal and modern queation like Medicins, the infuence of the spirit in which it is appronched On the course of the ecience is very eany to seen. For it the soience of Medicine is approached (an it perhapa montly in today) in a spirit of combined Fear and Selfindulgence-fear for one'm own personal safety, combined with a kind of asxiety to continue living in the indulgence of habite koown to be unhealthy-if it is approeched in this uncomfortable and contradictory state of mind, if in protty obviong that its course will be similarly uncomfortable; that it will conint for
the most part in a search for drugs which shall, without effort on our part, palliate the effects of our misoonduct; in the discovery, as in a kind of nightmare, that the sir round us is full of billions of microbes; in a terrifed study of these messengers of disease, and in a frantic effort to ward them off by inoculations, vacoinations, vivisections, and so forths, without ond.

If, on the other hand, the ecience is approached from quite a differout side-from that of the love of Health, and the deaire to make life lovely, beautiful and pure; if the student is flled not only with this, but with a great belief in the essential power of Man, and his command in creation, to contrul not only all these little miorobes whose name is Legion, but through his mind all the processes of his body; then it is obvious enough that a whole series of different facts will arise beffre his eyes and beoome the subject of his study -facts of canitation, of the laws of cleanly life, diet, clothing and so forth, methoda of control, and the details and practice of the influence of the mental upon the physical part of manfacts quite equally real with the others, equally important, equally numerous parhapa and complex, but forming a totally different range of soience.

In conclusion, you begin to see doubtless that I do not believe in a soience of mere Formulas, which osn be poured from one brain to another like water in a pot. I believe in something more organie to Humanity-whioh shall combine Sense, Intellect and Soul; which shall include the keenest training of the Senses, the exactest use of the Brain, and the abordibation of both of these to the finest and most generoun attitude of Man towards Nature.

To cume to quite practical espects, I think that Physical Sweuce, and for that matter Natural History toa, ought to be funded on the closest observation and actunl intimacy with

Nature It in notoriou* that in many reapecte the perreptions, the Nature-intuitions, of avage racen far outdo thome of civilised man. We have let that mide go slack, and too often the man of ecienoe when be cumes out of biastudy in mere baby in the external world. I look back with e kind of shame When I think that I studied the mathematical side of Aatronomy for three or four years at Cambridge and sbolately at the time hardly knew one atar from anuther in the sky. But such are the methuds of teaching that have been in use. They ought however to be reversed, and practical ecquaintanco with the facts should come long way first, and then be succeeded by induotive and deductive reasoning when the difieulties of the subject bave furced themsolves on the student's mind.

Then in Natural History and Botany I think that we have bitherto not ouly neglected the perceptive side, bul alwo what may be called the intuitive and emotiond aspecta. If any oud will attend to the enbjeat, I believe they will perceive that' there are dormant in the mind the fioest intuition and instincte of relationship to the varions mainale and planteintuitions which have played ar more important part in the life of barbario racen than they do today.' Primitive peoplom have a remarkable instiact of the medicinal and dietetic unom of berbs and plants-an instinct which we also find well developed among animals-and I believe that thin kind of knowledge would grow largely if, so to speak, it were given a cbance. The formal clasaification of animaln and plantewhich now forms the main part of thene sciencau-would then come in simply as an ajd and an auxiliary to the more dirsct and heman etury.

[^15]Again, let us take the science of Pbysiology. At present this is mainly carried on by means of Dissection or Vivisection. But both these rnethods are unsatiafsctory. Dissection, because it amounts to studying the organisation of a living orasture by the examination of its dead carcase; and Vivinection, because it is not only open to a similar objection, but beonuse it necessurily violates the highest relation of man to the suimal be is studying. There is, I believe, snother method-a method which has been known in the East for centuries, though little regarded in the Weat-which may perhapa be called the method of Health. It consists in rendering the body, by proper habits of life, pure and healthy, till it becomes, as it were, transparent to the inner eye, and then projocting the oousciousness intuard so as to become almust as eensible of the structure and function of the various internal orgnos, as it ususlly is of the outer surface of the body. Of course this is a process which oannot be effectuated st onee, and which may need help and corroboration by eiternal methois of ntudy, but I believe it is one which will lead to oonsiderable results. There is no doubt that many of the Yugie of India attain to great akill in it.

Similarly, from what we bave alresdy said about Political Economy, it is obvious that eatisfuctory resulta in that science must depend immenaely on the high degree of acoial instinct and feeling with which the student approsohes it, and on the thorougliness of hia acquaintance with the actual life of a poople; aud that the development of these faotors is fully as importsut a part of the soience as that which consists in tbe logical ordering and arrangoment of the material obtained.

I need not, I think, go any further into detail of new methods in each Scieuce. You remenber whet I said at the beginuigg about the Coll atudying the Body of which it formed

* part. We may imagine, if we like, three atagoe in thin process. In the first atage the Cell regards the other colle and the Body simply from the point of view of haw they affect if, and ite comfort and safety. Thia might be taken to correspond to the Old-time Science In the eecond atage the Cell, with ita tiny experience of the other colls and the amall part of the body in which it is placed, becomes highly intellentual, and professes to lay down the laws of the tructure of the body generally. This corresponds to the attituds of Modern Science. In the third stage the Cell, growing and evolving, and coming daily into closer sympathetio relationshtp with all parts of the body, begins to find its true relation to the other colly, not to use theth, but to fulfil ita part in the whole. Gradually drawing all the threads together and ooming more and more, so to eay, into a contral position, it at lant in it little brnic apontaneously and inevitably reffects the whole, and becomea the mirror of it This would answer to what we have called a. really rational and humane Soience.

Man bas to find and to feel hir true rolation to othor creatures and to the whole of which he it a part, and tan to use hin brain to further this. Science it, as we all know, ths search for Unity. Thet is ite ideal. It unite innuwermble phenomena under one law; and thea it unitem many lawe under one higher; always seeking for the ultimste complete integration. But (ia it not obvions ) Man canont find that unity of the Whole natil be feele his vnity with the Whole. To fonnd a Science of one-nest on the marderoun Warfare and insane Competition of mon with esch other, and on the Slaughter and Vivisection of animals-the search fur unity on the practioe of disunity-is an absurdity, which can only in the long run reveal itself a auch.

I do not know whether it seems obvious to you, bue it doet to me, that Man will never find in theory the uoity of outer

Nature till he reaches in practice the unity of his own. When he bas learnt to harmonise in himself all his powers, bodily and mental, his desires, faoulties, needs, and bring them into perfect co-operation-when he has found the true hierarchy of himself-then somehow I think that Nature round him will ruflect this order, and range itself in clear and intelligible barmony about him.

But I oan say no more. I have dragged you by the neck, as it were, through a recondite and difficult subject; and even so I do not feel that I have by eny means done justice to it. But it is possible, perbaps, that I have cast the germ of an ider among you, which, if you think over it at leisure, may dovelop into something of value.
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[^0]:    1 Yatable Land and the Victoria Ralle p. 20:

[^1]:    I A ximilar phynical bealth nod power of life are almo doveloped aroodg Encopeane who have Hved for long periode la more antive ooshitions. It hat to our rack, whick in probehly superior to any fo cuprectity. bat to the state in which wo Hive thet we mort eworibe our defset lw this particular mattor.

[^2]:    - See Col. Dolge'z One bitd Indiant.
    - Wood' Natwral History of Mam $\quad$ Se Appendix,

[^3]:    i No worde or theory even of morality and exprowe or formalute this00 anthronoment of any virtas can take ite plese; for all firtue enthroned befors our hamerity bococses vich, and wowe that wich.

[^4]:    I It in curious that this word meeme to have the mene root an the word Men. the original bies spowrentiy botne Order. or Mesuare.

[^5]:    As to the puity of theso wild racea with Nature, that fin amattar semingly beyond dispute; thoir zeennees of sease, eansitive to atmoephorte obangses, tnowledge of propartiea of plantand babita of animals, etc., have bran the aubject of froquent remarli ; but begond this, their atrong feeling of anion with the univeral apirit, probably only dimly medt convoious, bat exprussing itself yery markediy and olearly in their oustoms, in most atraupo and preganat of meaning. Tho dancot of the Andaman lilanders on the mande at night, the widd fentival of the dow monn among the Funs and othar Afriond triben, the procemaions througt thefureete the chante and dull thedding of drume the tortare-dances of

[^6]:    'See Alowso di Ovalle's Acconat of the Kingdom of Chile in Clarabilin Collection of Vopages and Trapela, 1724.

[^7]:    1 80, APModir, P- 30.

[^8]:    I It not generally raslised how feeble foroe gravitation is. It is oaloulaterd (Engyel. Brit, Art Gravitation) that two nasmes, each weigh. ing 45,000 tons, and pleced a mile epart, would exert an each other eo ettractive force of only one pound. If one, therefors, was wer Irom the other a the moon is from tho aarth, thoir attraction would
     the movemont of a boily waikhing $\$ 15,000$ tons 1 and it lasy to see
     pat undetected, thongh in the conrse of hundreis of centrurion it might beoome of the grenteat lmportanoe.

[^9]:    ' As another instance of the same thing, let me quote a paesage from Maxwoll's "Theory of Heat," p. 31 ; the italics are mine: "In our desoription of the phyaical properties of bodies as rolated to hoat we have begun with wolid bodies, an those which we can mose scridy hardle, and have gone on to liquids, which we can keep in open vessele, and have now come to gasea, which will encape from opan veseels, and which are generally inviaisle. This is the order which is most natural in out firnt atody of those different atates. But an moon an wo have been made lamiliar with the most prominent featuree of these different conditions of matter, the mont mientific course of stady in in the reverco ordar, beginning with genes, on account of the greater aimplicity of thoir lawn, then advanoing to liquide, the more complex laws of which are muob more imperfectly known, and conaluding with the little that hae been hitherto discoverod sbout the constitution of eolid bodien." That is to say that Science fidde it ansier to work among gesem-which are inviaible and which we aan know little abont-than anong solide, which wo aro familiar with and which wo can asaily handie! Thin coums atrange conclusion, but it will be found to repretent a common prosedure of Sdenop-the eruth probably boing that the laws of gesen ars not one whit simpin than the lawe of liquide and solida, but that an weount of our knowing so muoh leas about gace it it mier for na so frign lawt in thair ane than it the cese of mollde, and len ang for pur erron to be deteoted.

[^10]:    - A otetemat obrionsly spplytag-from what had beve alroedy and -aterly cee proint io the mana.

[^11]:    'Compare the Sphins-riddle: What la that whioh goee on four logut bo

[^12]:    1 Modura Friters fixing their regard on the phytion aide of thir love (neoseaty no doube here, an alsowhore, to defing and corroborsta the spiritual) have sutered their protest an against the mere obeconity into which the thing fell-for instance in the day寈 of Misutial-but have mimed the profound eigailicance of the horoid stmobnent itself. It in however, with the ildoils that we are just now conoerned and not with their dialntegration.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The derivitioa of the word " wicked " avom aneertain May it be maggented that it in connected with "wiak" or "quick" meniog alien!

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ Being a repriat of an addreas given before the Hamanitarian loagus.
    afterwarde reprinted in a modified form, as "Modern Scienco-a Critciam," in the first edition (1889) of the present book.

[^15]:    1 Elived Boclus, is hie remertable paper, La Orawh Fomith, pointu out the wido-rewhing Friendship, ear free sllintice for varions purponas, of promitive man with the animali, exiating lona belore the wo-called "doneticalion" of the latter. Soe Hwmaric Review, Jwa., JOMA.

