



INDIAN TARIFF BOARD


STATUTORY ENQUIRY—1933

Steel Industry

Volume III

Written Statements received by the Indian
Tariff Board from those interested in—

- (1) Re-Rolled Steel
- (2) Fabricated Steel
- (3) Tinplate
- (4) Wire and Wire Nails
- (5) Iron and Iron Products



List of Agents from whom Government of India Publications are available.

ENGLAND.

THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR INDIA, INDIA HOUSE, ALDWYCH, LONDON, W.C. 2.

PALESTINE.

Steinmatsky, Jerusalem.

INDIA.

(a) PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BOOK DEPOTS.

MADRAS :—Superintendent, Government Press, Mount Road, Madras.
BOMBAY :—Superintendent, Government Printing and Stationery, Queen's Road, Bombay.
SIND :—Library attached to the Office of the Commissioner in Sind, Karachi.
UNITED PROVINCES OF AGRA AND OUDH :—Superintendent of Government Press, United Provinces of Agra and Oudh Allahabad.
PUNJAB :—Superintendent, Government Printing, Punjab, Lahore.
BURMA :—Superintendent, Government Printing, Burma, Rangoon.
CENTRAL PROVINCES AND BERAR :—Superintendent, Government Printing, Central Provinces, Nagpur.
ASSAM :—Superintendent, Assam Secretariat Press, Shillong.
BIHAR AND ORISSA :—Superintendent, Government Printing, Bihar and Orissa, P. O. Gulzarbagh, Patna.
NORTH-WEST FRONTIER PROVINCE :—Manager, Government Printing and Stationery, Peshawar

(b) PRIVATE BOOK-SELLERS.

Aero Stores, Karachi City.*
Albert Library, Dacca.
Association Press, Calcutta.
Banerjee & Bros., Ranchi, Messrs. G. Banerjee & Co., Ltd., Kuchel Road, Ajmer.
Bengal Flying Club, Dum Dum Cantt.*
Bhawanani & Sons, New Delhi.
Bombay Book Depot, Girgaon, Bombay.
Book Company, Calcutta.
Booklover's Resort, Talkad, Trivandrum, South India.
Burma Book Club, Ltd., Rangoon.
Butterworth & Co. (India), Ltd., Calcutta.
Calcutta Book Agency, 16-1, Shama Charan Dey Street, Calcutta.
Chatterjee & Co., 3, Baoharam Chatterjee Lane, Calcutta.
Chukerverty, Chatterjee & Co., Ltd., 13, College Square, Calcutta.
City Book Co., Madras.
City Book House, Meston Road, Cawnpore.
Commercial Book Co., Lahore.
Das Gupta & Co., 64/3, College Street, Calcutta.
Deccan Bookstall, Poona 4.
Delhi and U. P. Flying Club, Ltd., Delhi.*
English Book Depot, Ferozepore.
English Book Depot, Taj Road, Agra, and Saddar Bazar, Jhansi.
English Book Depot, Bank Road, Ambala Cantonment, Kasauli.
English Bookstall, Karachi.
Fakir Chand Marwah, Peshawar Cantonment.
Fono Book Agency, New Delhi and Simla.
Gaya Prasad & Sons, Agra.
Gopalakrishna Kone, Fudumandapam, Madras, Messrs. K. M.
Grantha Mandir, Cuttack.
Higginbotham, Madras.
Hindu Library, 137/F, Balaram De Street, Calcutta.
Hyderabad Book Depot, Chaderghat, Hyderabad (Deccan).
Imperial Book Depot and Press, near Jama Masjid (Machhlivalan), Delhi.
Indian Army Book Depot, Dayalbagh, Agra.
Indian Army Book Depot, Jullundur City and Daryaganj, Delhi.
Indian Book Shop, Benares City.
Indian School Supply Depot, 309, Bow Bazar St., Calcutta.
Insurance Publicity Co., Ltd., Lahore.
International Book Service, Poona 4.
Jaina & Bros., Mori Gato, Delhi, Messrs. J. M. James Murray & Co., 12, Govt. Place, Calcutta (for Meteorological publications only).
Kali Charan & Co., Municipal Market, Calcutta.
Kamala Book Depot, 15, College Square, Calcutta.
Kamala Book Stores, Pankipore, Patna.
Karnataka Publishing House, Bangalore City.
Keale & Co., Karachi.
Kothari, Raipura Road, Baroda, Messrs. M. C. Krishnaswami & Co., Teppakulam P. O., Trichinopoly Fort, Messrs. S.
Lahiri & Co., Calcutta, Messrs. S. K.
Law Printing House, 11, Mount Road, Madras.
Law Publishing Co., Mysapore, Madras.
Lawrence and Mayo, Ltd., Bombay (for Meteorological publications only).
Local Self-Govt. Institute, Bombay.
London Book Co. (India), Arab Road, Peshawar, Murree, Nowshera and Rawalpindi.
London Book Depot, B. I. Bazar, Bareilly, U. P.
Mahotra & Co., Quetta, Messrs. U. P.
Moholal Dasabhai Shah, Rajkot.

Nandkishore & Bros., Chowk, Benares City.
Nateson & Bros., Teppakulam P. O., Trichinopoly, S. India, Messrs. L. S.
Nateson & Co., Publishers, George Town, Madras, Messrs. G. A.
New Book Co., "Kitab Mahal", 192, Hornby Road, Bombay.
Newman & Co., Ltd., Calcutta, Messrs. W.
North India Christian Tract and Book Society, 18, Clive Road, Allahabad.
Oriental Book Supplying Agency, 15, Shukrawar, Poona City.
Oxford Book and Stationery Company, Delhi, Lahore. Simla, Meerut and Calcutta.
Pandia & Co., Bombay, Messrs. J. M.
Parikh & Co., Baroda, Messrs. B.
Pioneer Book Supply Co., 20, Shib Narayan Das Lane, Calcutta and 219, Cloth Market, Delhi.
Popular Book Depot, Grant Road, Bombay.
Punjab Religious Book Society, Lahore.
Punjab Sanskrit Book Depot, Saidmitha Street, Lahore.
Rajgurunth Prasad & Sons, Patna City.
Ram Chandra Govind & Sons, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay.
Ram Chandra & Sons, Ambala, Kasauli.
Ram Krishna Bros., Opposite Bishrambag, Poona City.
Ram Narain Lal, Katra, Allahabad.
Rama Krishna & Sons, Booksellers, Anarkali, Lahore.
Ramesh Book Depot, Stationery Mart, Kashmir Gate, Delhi.
Ray & Sons, 43, K. & L. Edwardes Road, Rawalpindi, Murree and Peshawar, Messrs. J.
Ray Chowdhury & Co., 68/5, Ashutosh Mukherjee Road, Calcutta.
Rochouse & Sons, Madras.
Rose & Co., Karachi.
Roy Chowdhury & Co., 11, College Square, Calcutta, Messrs. N. M.
Sampson William & Co., 127-B, The Mall, Cawnpore.
Sarcar & Sons, 15, College Square, Calcutta, Messrs. M. C.
Sarkar & Co., 2, Shama Charan Dey Street, Calcutta, Messrs. P. C.
Scientific Publishing Co., 9, Taitola Lane, Calcutta.
Seehachalam & Co., Masulipatam, Messrs. M.
Shivji & Co. P. O. Chauliganj, Cuttack.
Shri Shankar Karnataka Pustaka Bhandara, Malamuddi, Dharwar.
S. P. Bookstall, 21, Budhwar, Poona.
Standard Book Depot, Lahore, Dalhousie and Delhi.
Standard Bookstall, Karachi.
Standard Bookstall, Quetta and Lahore.
Standard Law Book Society, 5, Hastings Street, Calcutta.
Standard Literature Company, Ltd., Calcutta.
Students' Popular Depot, Kachari Road, Lahore.
Surat and District Trading Society, Surat.
Taraporevals Sons & Co., Bombay, Messrs. D. B.
Thacker & Co., Ltd., Bombay.
Thacker, Spink & Co., Ltd., Calcutta and Simla.
Tripathi & Co., Booksellers, Princess Street, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay, Messrs. N. M.
Union Stores, Indore City.
University Book Agency, Kachari Road, Lahore.
Upper India Publishing House, Ltd., Literature Palace, Ammuddaula Park, Lucknow.
Varadachary & Co., Madras, Messrs. P.
Vijapur & Co., Visagapatam.
Wheeler & Co., Allahabad, Calcutta and Bombay, Messrs. A. H.
Young Man and Co., Ajmer and Egerton Road, Delhi.

* Agents for publications on Aviation only.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page.
1. Resolution issued by the Government of India, Department of Commerce, No. 260-T.(8)/33, dated the 26th August, 1933	1
2. Press communique issued by the Tariff Board on the 4th September, 1933	3
<i>Re-Rolled Steel.</i>	
3. Representations received from—	
(1) The India Co., Ltd., Madras—	
(i) Letter dated the 16th September, 1933, submitting that they propose to start a rolling mill and requesting to keep the <i>ad valorem</i> duty on billets at the present level	7
(ii) Letter dated the 28th November, 1933, submitting their views on the representation of the Tata Iron and Steel Company	10
(2) The Lakshmi Iron and Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Ghaziabad—	
Letter dated the 21st September, 1933, submitting the difficulties under which the firm is labouring at present	11
(3) Mr. Gayadin Ram, Calcutta—	
Letter dated the 22nd September, 1933, submitting their views regarding railway freights, the prices of scrap and the duty on billets	15
(4) Mukund Steel Rolling Mills, Lahore—	
Letter dated the 28th September, 1933, submitting the kind of protection they require for the expansion of their business	17
(5) Cawnpore Rolling Mills, Ltd., Cawnpore—	
Letter dated the 25th November, 1933, submitting that the duty on imported billets be entirely abolished	21
(6) Mr. S. K. Sawday, Calcutta—	
Letter dated the 16th September, 1933, criticising the policy of the Tata Iron and Steel Company with regard to the disposal of scrap	21
4. Letter from the Tariff Board No. 439, dated the 4th October, 1933, to certain re-rolling mills asking for certain information	23
5. Replies to the above letter received from—	
(1) Mukund Steel Rolling Mills, Lahore—	
(i) Letter dated the 1st November, 1933	25
(ii) Letter dated the 14th November, 1933	29
(2) Lakshmi Iron and Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Ghaziabad—	
Letter dated the 2nd November, 1933	29
(3) Mr. Gayadin Ram, Calcutta—	
Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933	32

	PAGE.
(4) The India Co., Ltd., Madras—	
(i) Letter dated the 10th November, 1933	33
(ii) Letter dated the 2nd December, 1933	34
<i>Fabricated Steel.</i>	
6. National Federation of Iron and Steel Manufacturers in the United Kingdom, Westminster—	
(1) Letter dated the 9th November, 1933, forwarding representation from the iron and steel industry of the United Kingdom in connection with the Board's enquiry	39
(2) Letter dated the 12th December, 1933, forwarding supplementary representation	51
(3) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, forwarding a statement showing the current invoice prices of British tested bars c.i.f. Bombay without landing charges or duties	57
(4) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, submitting views in regard to the possibility of the evasion of duty leviable on articles imported	57
(5) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, submitting views regarding the adjustment required to give effect to the difference in prices of tested and untested steel	58
(6) Letter dated the 26th January, 1934, regarding the comparative incidence of depreciation, interest on working capital and overheads on the cost per ton in the British steel practice	58
(7) Letter dated the 3rd February, 1934, submitting certain proposals for the safeguard of the Indian structural engineering industry against competition by certain British firms and in this connection forwarding a memorandum submitted by Messrs. Dorman Long & Co., Ltd., Calcutta	59
7. Messrs. Dorman Long & Co., Ltd., Calcutta—	
Letter dated the 26th February, 1934, forwarding estimates of fair selling prices for common types of fabricated steelwork	77
8. Indian Engineering Association, Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 21st October, 1933, drawing attention to the anomaly that arises in connection with the importation of steel lattice work towers for electrical transmission system	82
(2) Letter dated the 12th December, 1933, pointing out the anomaly that exists in connection with the importation of rivetted steel chimneys	82
9. The Hindusthan Construction Co., Ltd., Bombay—	
Letter dated the 4th October, 1933, recommending the kind of steel that should be used in the construction of the proposed Howrah Bridge.	82
10. Messrs. Braithwaite & Co. (India), Ltd., Calcutta—	
(1) Letter to the Government of India, Department of Commerce, dated the 30th August, 1933, submitting a representation regarding the proper classification of towers required for electrical transmission systems	86

	PAGE.
(2) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 437, dated the 4th October, 1933, asking for certain information regarding fabricated steel required by the engineering firms	87
(3) Letter dated the 4th November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	88
(4) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 520, dated the 3rd November, 1933, asking for information regarding the prices of imported machinery	103
(5) Letter dated the 7th November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	103
(6) Letter dated the 9th November, 1933, submitting representation	103
(7) Letter dated the 21st November, 1933, explaining some of the figures used in the representation	123
(8) Letter dated the 27th November, 1933, elucidating certain statements made in the representation	123
(9) Letter dated the 2nd December, 1933, explaining the effect of an increased tariff on the cost of a representative hydro-electric scheme	124
(10) Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, submitting views regarding the suggestions for an agreement with British fabricators as regards quotation of prices of fabricated steel work	126
(11) Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, quoting examples showing dumping of foreign plain materials fabricated in India by foreign rolling-mill-owned fabricating shops.	128
(12) Letter dated the 13th December, 1933, submitting that their estimates regarding cost of transmission towers may be suitably amended if fair selling price for Indian plain material delivered in a fabricator's works in India is found to be otherwise than the figure quoted by them.	128
(13) Letter dated the 19th January, 1934, submitting their views on the proposals of the National Federation of Iron and Steel Manufacturers of the United Kingdom regarding agreement between the Indian and the British fabricators	129
(14) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, submitting the effect of foreign competition on Indian fabricators	131
 11. Messrs. Jessop & Co., Ltd., Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 23rd September, 1933, submitting their representation	132
(2) Letter dated the 23rd October, 1933, submitting their views on the representation from the Hindusthan Construction Co., Ltd., regarding the new proposed Howrah Bridge	145
(3) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 433, dated the 4th October, 1933, asking for certain information regarding fabricated steel	146
(4) Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	147
(5) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 520, dated the 3rd November, 1933, asking for certain information regarding the extent to which the prices of imported machinery have varied between the years 1926 and 1933.	143
(6) Letter dated the 5th November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	153

	Page.
12. Messrs. Burn & Co., Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 23rd October, 1933, submitting their views on the representation from the Hindusthan Construction Co., Ltd., regarding the new proposed Howrah Bridge .	154
13. Messrs. Burn & Co., Ltd., Jessop & Co., Ltd., and Braithwaite & Co., (India), Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 16th February, 1934, submitting their joint views on the proposals made by the National Federation of Iron and Steel Manufacturers of United Kingdom .	154
14. Messrs. Henry Williams India (1931), Ltd., Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 22nd September, 1933, asking for the total abolition of the existing duty on billets imported from abroad	164
(2) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 432, dated the 4th October, 1933, asking for certain information	166
(3) Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	167
(4) Letter dated the 6th January, 1934, submitting costs of typical items of manufacture from billets	175
(5) Letter dated the 6th January, 1934, regarding agreement with the Tata Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., in connection with the supply of billets	189
(6) Memorandum dated the 9th January, 1934, forwarding supplementary memorandum regarding sleeper position	190
(7) Letter dated the 8th February, 1934, regarding fair selling prices of various engineering articles manufactured in India	191
15. Messrs. George Turton Platts & Co., Ltd., Sheffield— Letter dated the 19th September, 1933, submitting that in the measure of protection for the Steel industry some discrimination should be made between the British and Continental manufacturers	201
16. Messrs. Guest Keen and Nettlefolds, Ltd., London— Letter dated the 1st February, 1934, submitting views in respect of the present protective duties on certain railway materials	201
17. Messrs. Richardson and Cruddas, Bombay— Letter dated the 12th December, 1933, submitting their views in connection with the protection of the Steel industry	208
18. Messrs. Alcock Ashdown & Co., Ltd., Bombay— Letter dated the 16th December, 1933, supporting the remarks made by Messrs. Richardson and Cruddas in the letter referred to above	209
19. Letter from the Tariff Board No. 438, dated the 4th October, 1933, to the Governments of Madras and the Punjab, and His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore regarding the possible effect of an increase in import duty on steel towers used for transmitting high tension electricity	210
20. Replies to the above letter received from—	
(1) the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore— Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933	210

	PAGE.
(2) Government of Madras—	
Letter dated the 20th November, 1933	210
(3) Government of the Punjab—	
Letter dated the 17th March, 1934	212
21. Port Commissioners, Calcutta—	
(1) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 453, dated the 10th October, 1933, to the Calcutta Port Commissioners asking for certain information in connection with the proposed Howrah Bridge	213
(2) Letter dated the 16th October, 1933, in reply to the above letter	213
(3) Letter dated the 23rd October, 1933, supplying further information	213
22. Indian Stores Department, Simla—	
(1) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 435, dated the 4th Octo- ber, 1933, to the Indian Stores Department asking for certain information regarding the orders for fabricated steel placed through the Department which have been lost to the Indian engineering firms since 1925-26	214
(2) Letter dated the 10th November, 1933, in reply to the above letter	215
<i>Tinplate.</i>	
23. The Tinplate Company of India, Ltd., Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 29th September, 1933, forwarding their representation	225
(2) Supplementary Statements Nos. 1 to 8	244
(3) Letter dated the 16th March, 1934, regarding interpreta- tion of the Company's agreement with the Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited regarding steel prices	252
24. Metal Box Co., Ltd., London—	
Letter dated the 6th March, 1933, asking for permission to import tinplates either free of duty or on substantially revised basis	253
25. Welsh Plate and Sheet Manufacturers' Association, London—	
(1) Letter dated the 1st November, 1933, submitting their representation	254
(2) Letter dated the 9th November, 1933, submitting addi- tional information	255
26. The National Petroleum Company, Bombay—	
Letter dated the 19th December, 1933, opposing the claim of the Tinplate Company of India, Ltd., for protection by the imposition of differential duties which would be tantamount to preferential duty in favour of foreign oil companies	256
27. The Indian Merchants' Chamber, Bombay—	
Letter dated the 19th February, 1934, opposing the claim of the Tinplate Company of India, Ltd., for protection	257
28. The Buyers and Shippers Chamber, Karachi—	
Letter dated the 22nd March, 1934, opposing the enhancement of duty on non-British tinplates	257
29. The United Provinces Chamber of Commerce, Cawnpore—	
Letter dated the 9th April, 1934, opposing the enhancement of duty on non-British tinplates	262

	Page.
<i>Wire and Wire Nails.</i>	
30. Questionnaire issued by the Tariff Board regarding wire and wire nails	365
31. The Indian Steel and Wire Products, Tatanagar—	
(1) Letter dated the 30th September, 1933, submitting their representation	266
(2) Letter dated the 18th November, 1933, submitting statement on the recent developments regarding the supply of billets by the Steel Company	269
(3) Letter dated the 12th December, 1933, submitting further statements	307
(4) Letter dated the 13th December, 1933, submitting details of materials required for the manufacture of wire and wire nails	310
(5) Letter dated the 14th December, 1933, submitting cost sheets for the month of May, 1933, for all the products manufactured in the Works	312
(6) Letter dated the 9th January, 1934, forwarding further correspondence between the Steel Company and the firm on the supply of billets	316
(7) Letter dated the 20th January, 1934, forwarding revised freight rates introduced by the North-Western Railway Administration	318
(8) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, forwarding cost sheets of rod mill for the months of November and December, 1933	319
(9) Letter dated the 1st February, 1934, submitting representation regarding the protection of barbed wire	320
(10) Letter dated the 27th February, 1934, forwarding copy of letter from the Steel Company regarding supply of billets	323
(11) Letter dated the 3rd March, 1934, forwarding copies of further correspondence between the firm and the Steel Company regarding supply of billets	324
32. Messrs. Devidas Jethanand & Co., Karachi—	
(1) Letter dated the 16th September, 1933, submitting representation for the continuance of the present concession enjoyed by the Company in the shape of rebate of duty on imported wire rods required for the manufacture of wire nails	327
(2) Letter dated the 17th September, 1933, submitting representation for the protection of the wood screw making industry	330
33. The Indian Hume Pipe Co., Ltd., Bombay—	
(1) Letter dated the 21st September, 1933, submitting representation regarding maintenance of the present position of the importation of wire rods, wire and wire nails	331
(2) Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, submitting note explaining their position regarding drawing wire	334
(3) Letter dated the 5th January, 1934, submitting a note giving description of wire required by the Company	334
(4) Letter dated the 8th January, 1934, forwarding copies of letters from the Bengal Nagpur Railway and the Indian Steel and Wire Products regarding freights on wire rods	334

	PAGE.
34. The Pioneer Wire Nail Manufacturing Company, Calcutta— Letter dated the 30th November, 1933, submitting representation asking for substantive protection to the wire and wire nails industry	336
35. Messrs. Vishram Narsi and Brothers, Bombay— (1) Letter dated the 8th December, 1933, submitting representation for the protection of the wire and wire nail industry (2) Letter dated the 11th December, 1933, forwarding further representation on the above subject	336 337
36. Imperial Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi— Letter dated the 11th December, 1933, representing the necessity for keeping the cost of agricultural appliances including wire fencing and netting as low as possible	337
37. Upper India Metal Works, Amritsar— Letter dated the 6th December, 1933, submitting their representation	338
38. Mr. B. N. Gupta, Shikohabad— Letter dated the 20th September, 1933, requesting that certain bright drawn wires be exempted from import duties	339
39. Associated United Kingdom Manufacturers of Wire and Wire Products— Letter dated the 1st February, 1934, submitting their views	340
<i>Iron and Iron Products.</i>	
40. The Bengal Iron Co., Ltd., Calcutta— (1) Letter dated the 29th August, 1933, forwarding copy of a joint representation with the Mysore Iron Works to the Government of India on the subject of the protection of the iron and steel pipe industry (2) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 475, dated the 14th October, 1933, asking for certain information (3) Letter dated the 21st October, 1933, in reply to the above letter (4) Letter dated the 12th December, 1933, submitting further information (5) Letter dated the 14th December, 1933, forwarding statement showing freight disadvantages compared with imported price (6) Letter dated the 17th January, 1934, regarding domestic prices of pig iron	349 351 351 352 355 358
41. The Mysore Iron Works, Bhadravati— (1) Letter dated the 20th September, 1933, submitting their representation (2) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 475, dated the 14th October, 1933, asking for certain information (3) Letter dated the 10th November, 1933, in reply to the above letter (4) Letter dated the 26th October, 1933, forwarding analyses of the principal ores used on the Bhadravati works	358 408 408 409

	Page.
(5) Letter dated the 14th November, 1933, forwarding statements showing manufacturing cost of pig iron and balance sheet, etc.	410
(6) Letter dated the 15th November, 1933, forwarding an estimate for putting up afresh a wood distillation and bye product plant	434
(7) Letter dated the 25th November, 1933, forwarding analysis of working capital of the works as on 1st July, 1933	438
(8) Letter dated the 29th December, 1933, forwarding certain statements regarding prices	438
42. The Indian Hume Pipe Co., Ltd., Bombay—	
(1) Letter dated the 23rd October, 1933, asking for protection of Hume steel pipes from non-Indian competition	441
(2) Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, forwarding a note showing the advantages of steel pipes over cast iron pipes	443
(3) Letter dated the 13th December, 1933, forwarding statements regarding value of orders booked, etc.	445
(4) Letter dated the 5th January, 1934, forwarding statements showing comparative prices of iron and steel pipes	447
(5) Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, forwarding statements showing costs of manufacture of Hume steel pipes	449
(6) Letter dated the 5th February, 1934, forwarding a supplementary note on the advantages of Hume steel pipes	452
(7) Letter dated the 7th February, 1934, forwarding the actual cost statement of the latest Hume steel order in hand	453
(8) Letter dated the 8th February, 1934, forwarding a note regarding rigidity of Hume steel pipes	453
(9) Letter dated the 15th February, 1934, forwarding a copy of their letter to the Tata Iron and Steel Co., regarding price of plates for Hume steel pipes	453
43. Tatanagar Foundry Co., Ltd., Tatanagar—	
(1) Letter dated the 23rd September, 1933, forwarding their representation	454
(2) Letter dated the 16th January, 1934, submitting a supplementary memorandum	458
44. Sikdar Iron Works, Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 14th November, 1933, forwarding their views regarding the continuance of protection to the Iron and Steel Industry	475
(2) Supplementary Memorandum dated the 23rd December, 1933	494
(3) Letter dated the 8th January, 1934, submitting proposals regarding pig iron supplies	497
(4) Letter dated the 8th January, 1934, urging that some bounty may be recommended for the works	499
45. The Indian Iron and Steel Co., Ltd., Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 20th September, 1933, regarding pig iron prices	500
(2) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 460, dated the 11th October, 1933, asking for detailed costs covering production of pig iron	501
(3) Letter dated the 6th November, 1933, expressing their inability to supply the information asked for	501

	PAGE.
(4) Letter dated the 15th January, 1934, regarding prices of foundry pig iron in India	501
(5) Letter dated the 18th January, 1934, submitting an analysis of the despatches of pig iron by the Company for the last 6 years	504
(6) Letter dated the 1st February, 1934, regarding the approximate consumption of pig iron in India	504
46. Messrs. W. Leslie & Co., Calcutta— Letter dated the 24th January, 1934, pointing out the disadvantages in regard to the manufacture of cast iron cooking stoves	508
47. Messrs. Martin & Co., Calcutta— Letter dated the 1st December, 1933, intimating the relinquishment of their agency for the Japanese cast iron pipes	508
48. Messrs. Stewarts and Lloyds, Ltd., Calcutta— (1) Letter from the Tariff Board No. 494, dated the 30th October, 1933, asking for certain information about cast iron pipes	509
(2) Letter dated the 5th December, 1933, in reply to the above letter	509
<i>Miscellaneous.</i>	
49. Sur Enamel and Stamping Works, Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 20th September, 1933, asking for protection of the enamelled iron wares against the Japanese competition	515
50. Messrs. Burn & Co., Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 11th January, 1934, protesting against the proposal of Tata Iron and Steel Co., to erect their own plants for the manufacture of firebricks required at their works	517
51. The Sheetmakers' Conference of the United Kingdom, London— (1) Letter dated November, 1933, submitting representation regarding import duty on galvanized sheets	519
(2) Letter dated the 11th December, 1933, intimating certain correction in the price of Belgian galvanized sheets referred to in the representation	525
(3) Letter dated the 29th December, 1933, submitting supplementary information	525
(4) Letter dated the 9th January, 1934, submitting suggestions regarding scheme of co-operation in regard to galvanized sheets	525
52. Khan Bahadur H. S. Mahomed, Bombay— Letter dated the 19th September, 1933, protesting against grant of further protection to the Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.	526
53. Indian Mining Federation, Calcutta— (1) Letter dated the 22nd September, 1933, submitting views on the question of continuance of protection to the Steel industry	527
(2) Letter dated the 3rd January, 1934, regarding future price of coal	529

	Page.
54. Calcutta Iron Merchants' Association, Calcutta—	
(1) Letter to the Government of India, Department of Commerce, dated September, 1932, submitting views regarding protection of galvanized sheets	530
(2) Letter dated the 22nd September, 1933, submitting further views on the subject	535
(3) Letter dated the 18th December, 1933, representing that the reduction of duty on galvanized sheets is needed for the relief of the poor Bengali peasants	536
55. The Cawnpore Iron Merchants' Association, Cawnpore—	
Letter dated the 20th September, 1933, submitting views on the question of the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	538
56. United Provinces Chamber of Commerce, Cawnpore—	
Letter dated the 25th September, 1933, submitting views regarding continuance of protection to the Steel industry	543
57. Bengal National Chamber of Commerce, Calcutta—	
Letter dated the 27th September, 1933, forwarding views on the admissibility of continuance of protection to the Steel industry	544
58. Mr. Naresh Nath Mookherjee and other Indian importers and merchants of galvanized sheets in Bengal—	
(1) Letter dated the 29th September, 1933, submitting views regarding continuance of protection to galvanized sheets	550
(2) Letter dated the 12th February, 1934, forwarding supplementary statements regarding prices of Continental galvanized sheets	554
59. Bengal Industries Association, Calcutta—	
(1) Letter dated the 16th October, 1933, submitting views regarding continuance of protection to the Steel industry	559
(2) Letter dated the 2nd March, 1934, supporting the claim of the enamel industry for protection	561
60. The Indian Merchants' Chamber, Bombay—	
(1) Letter dated the 25th October, 1933, supporting the claim for protection made by the Steel Company	562
(2) Letter dated the 19th February, 1934, submitting views on the representation of the National Federation of Iron and Steel Manufacturers in the United Kingdom	563
61. Labour Federation, Jamshedpur—	
(1) Memorandum dated the 25th October, 1933, submitting views on the condition of labour in the Jamshedpur Steel Works	564
(2) Letter dated the 29th November, 1933, opposing the grant of protection to the Steel industry	568
62. The Maharashtra Chamber of Commerce, Bombay—	
Letter dated the 31st October, 1933, submitting that various kinds of high class steel at present excluded from the scheme of protection should also be brought under the new scheme of protection	569

	PAGE.
63. The All-India Swadeshi Sangha, Bombay— Letter dated the 4th November, 1933, supporting the claim for protection by the Steel industry subject to certain stipulations	570
64. Iron and Steel Consumers' Association, Lahore—	
(1) Letter dated the 10th November, 1933, submitting views on the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	571
(2) Letter dated the 20th December, 1933, submitting further views on the subject	572
(3) Letter dated the 21st December, 1933, submitting further views	576
(4) Letter dated the 22nd December, 1933, submitting views regarding labour cost in the Steel Works at Jamshedpur.	579
65. Karachi Chamber of Commerce, Karachi— Letter dated the 4th November, 1933, submitting views on the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	585
66. The Buyers' and Shippers' Chamber, Karachi—	
(1) Letter dated the 15th November, 1933, urging that the railways should continue extending their co-operation with the Steel industry of the country	586
(2) Letter dated the 10th March, 1934, submitting views on the representation of the National Federation of Iron and Steel Manufacturers in the United Kingdom	587
67. The Karachi Indian Merchants' Association, Karachi— Letter dated the 18th November, 1933, submitting views regarding continuance of protection to the Steel industry	587
68. Indian Chamber of Commerce, Calcutta— Letter dated the 27th November, 1933, submitting views on the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	591
69. The Merchants' Chamber of United Provinces, Cawnpore— Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, suggesting that protection should be given to the Steel industry on a scale sufficient and adequate for the future requirements of the development of the industry	593
70. The Bombay Shareholders' Association, Bombay— Letter dated the 4th December, 1933, supporting the claim for adequate protection for the Indian Steel industry	594
71. The Bihar and Orissa Chamber of Commerce, Patna—	
(1) Letter dated the 15th December, 1933, submitting views regarding the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	598
(2) Letter dated the 26th March, 1934, forwarding a copy of the resolution passed in their annual general meeting held on the 20th March, 1934	602
72. The Iron and Steel Traders and Consumers' Association, Bombay— Letter dated the 26th December, 1933, submitting views on the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	603
73. Gwalior Chamber of Commerce, Laskar— Letter dated the 26th December, 1933, urging that the consumers' interest should be safeguarded before affording protection to the Steel industry	607

	PAGE.
74. The Deccan Merchants' Association, Bombay— Letter dated the 10th January, 1934, recommending that only so much protection as is absolutely necessary for the development of the steel industry should be given to the Steel Company	607
75. Messrs. Kristo Dhon Gangooly & Co., Calcutta— Letter dated the 23rd September, 1933, suggesting that the protective duties on steel should be abolished in respect of British goods	608
76. Pandit Nilkantha Das, Puri— Letter dated the 14th October, 1934, submitting his views on the continuance of protection to the Steel industry	609
77. The Singh Engineering Works, Cawnpore— Letter dated the 21st October, 1933, intimating that the firm is not a party to the drawing up of the memorandum submitted to the Board by the Cawnpore Iron Merchants' Association	615
78. Dr. S. K. Sarkar, Calcutta— Letter dated the 20th November, 1933, submitting an article on the admissibility of granting further protection to the Steel industry	616
79. French Trade Commissioner in India, Burma and Ceylon— Letter to the Government of India, Department of Commerce, dated the 22nd November, 1933, suggesting that the proposed rate of protective duties may be fixed in such a manner as not to cause elimination of imports of French iron and steel into India	618
80. Chief Mining Engineer, Calcutta— Letter dated the 9th January, 1934, giving the rates at which the State Railways purchased coal for 1932-33	619
81. Letter from the Tariff Board No. 457, dated the 10th October, 1933, to certain iron merchants in Calcutta and Bombay regarding steel prices	619
82. Replies to the above letter received from—	
(1) Messrs. Balmer Lawrie & Co., Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 25th October, 1933	620
(2) Messrs. Geo. Service & Co., Bombay— Letter dated the 31st October, 1933	622
(3) Messrs. Richardson Cruddas, Bombay— Letter dated the 31st October, 1933	623
(4) Messrs. Martin & Co., Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 2nd November, 1933	623
(5) Messrs. Jessop & Co., Ltd., Calcutta— Letter dated the 2nd November, 1933	624
(6) Messrs. Anandji Haridas & Co., Ltd., Calcutta—	
(i) Letter dated the 2nd November, 1933	624
(ii) Letter dated the 9th November, 1933	627
83. Letter from the Tariff Board No. 449, dated the 5th October, 1933, to all railways in India regarding the sale of steel scrap	628

	PAGE.
84. Replies to the above letter received from—	
(1) Eastern Bengal Railway— Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933	629
(2) North Western Railway— Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933	631
(3) Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway Co., Ltd.— Letter dated the 3rd November, 1933	643
* (4) Assam Bengal Railway Co., Ltd.— Letter dated the 4th November, 1933	643
(5) East Indian Railway— Letter dated the 4th November, 1933	646
(6) South Indian Railway Co., Ltd.— Letter dated the 6th November, 1933	646
(7) Bombay, Baroda and Central India Railway Co., Ltd.— Letter dated the 6th November, 1933	646
(8) Burma Railways— Letter dated the 8th November, 1933	647
(9) Bengal Nagpur Railway Co., Ltd.— Letter dated the 9th November, 1933	647
(10) Great Indian Peninsula Railway— Letter dated the 14th November, 1933	647