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## FOREWORD

This publication contains reports of two investigations which were conducted by the Institute on behalf of the Government of Bombay and which have been previously published by the Government of Bombay. Both the investigations were concerned with aspects of the working of the system of primary education in Bombay State and both related to Satara District; the investigations were also conducted within five years of each other.

One aim of this publication has been to incorporate in the series of publications of the Institute reports prepared by the Institute which had been previously published elsewhere. Further, the reports though published by the Government of Bombay are not readliy available now. They contain, I believe, materials regarding the subjects of investigation which are useful not only in themselves but also because of the great dearth of similar surveys of Indian conditions in other parts of the country. Apart from the actual findings, the definition of concepts and attempts at their measurement made in the investigations may also be found of interest. Moreover, the socio-economic data contained in the reports and their relations to educational conditions should also prove valuable.

The conduct of investigations and the writing of reports was entirely the responsibility of the Institute and the Government of Bombay bears no responsibility in the publication or the findings contained in it. I am greatly thankful to the Government of Bombay for permission granted to reprint the reports and to include them in the series of publications of the Institute.
D. R. GADGIL.
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## CHAPTER I

## INITIATION AND PROGRESS OF ENQUIRY

1. Initiation.-The investigation originated in a letter from the Director of Publie Instruction dated 1st July 1941 enquiring whether the Institute would undertake an investigation into the "Problem of Lapse into Illiteracy". This investigation, the letter indicated, was to be directed not only towards ascertaining the incidence of lapses in illiteracy in a particular area but also the causes for such lapses, social, economic, etc. In reply to this query the Institute expressed readiness to undertake the investigation and submitted a note written by the Director on the project of this enquiry. A portion of this note dealing with the plan of the project is given below.
"An enquiry into relapse into illiteracy must proceed by collecting information about a sample of the population which is expected to suffer from such relapse. This population consists obviously of persons who have passed through the public primary schools. A sample of this population can best be collected by preparing from the registers of a selected number of primary schools lists of the names of past pupils. The sample need not embrace the entire number of the ex-pupils of these schools. There is little doubt that those who have been less than 3 years in a primary school do not gain sufficient proficiency in letters to remain literate in after-life. It is, therefore, not necessary to enquire into the present attainment of those who did not put in a full year in Standard II.* On the other hand the chances of relapse of those who have continued education after passing Standard IV of the present primary system are insignificant. Also in the majority of our primary schools no provision is made for education after standard IV. Hence the ex-pupils of primary schools to be included in the enquiry should be those who fall between these two limits, i.e., being in standard II for at least a year and passing standard IV. The only way of preparing the lists of these ex-pupils is to take down their names from the registers of the schools. Definite limits may be laid down as to the period relating to which the enquiry should be conducted. Pupils who were at school less than five or three years ago need not be included in the sample; on the other hand a limit, say 1910 or 1915 may be laid down, farther back than which it is unnecessary to go. The enquiry will, of course, be confined to males.

[^0]"The above indicates the class of ex-pupils of primary schoola into whose present literacy attainment the enquiry has to be made. In order to be able to draw valid conclusions the sample enquired into should comprise pupils from all types of schools and all types of environments. This consideration will have to be borne in mind in making up the list of places from which schools are selected. The three main types of schools to be considered are (i) IV standard one-teacher schools (ii) IV standard schools with more than one teacher (iii) VII standard schools. The variations in environment are, of course, considerable. The main factors taken into account in this connection will be the size of village, its accessibility and the character of its agricultural economy. In order to bring out the difference, if any, made by urban or semi-urban conditions there should be included in the sample a few schools from a taluka town, a district town and a city.
"When the composition of this sample of village and other schools is determined the enquiry will proceed by initially compiling lists of the ex-pupils for each school who are to be the subjects of the enquiry. On the preparation of these lists it will be ascertained as to how many of these are residents of the locality and how many have either gone away or are dead. The individual enquiry form, which will be the main instrument of the enquiry, will be filled in for all those in the list who are local residents. An attempt may be made, if possible, to fill in the forms in respect also of a proportion of those who are away. In the individual enquiry form an attempt will be made to collect particulars about the following items regarding each individual. Name, age, religion and caste, earner or dependent, nature of present employment, annual income, years at school, standards attended and examinations passed, postschool educational history, occupational history, migration history, present literacy status, opportunities and habits of reading, occasions for writing.
"The crucial point in this enquiry will be the definitions of "literacy" and "relapse". It is suggested that the census definition of literacy may be adopted for this purpose and that the class of partially literate, i.e., those who are able to read but are not able to write should also be separately indicated. The details of these definitions and the test to be administered for determining the standard of literacy will have to be fixed in consultation with experts.
"While the individual enquiry form will give the bulk of the data, information will have to be collected also about the general environmental conditions of each place from which a school has been included in the sample. The information to be collected will relate
chiefly to such features as, nature of approach road, situation on or away from a motor route, distance from market centre, existence of a post office, a co-operative society or a reading-room or library, number of newspapers received in the village, nearness to any private educational or philanthropic activity centre, etc.
'It remains to say something about the size of the sample and the area to which it may refer. In order that the various types of educational, environmental, social and economic differences be adequately represented in the sample it seems necessary that the total number of persons included in the enquiry should be between 5,000 and 6,000 . On the assumption that in villages with IV standard schools the number of ex-pupils locally available will be 40 on an average and that the corresponding number will be about 100 in villages with a VII standard school, it is proposed that the sample should be made up of ex-pupils of 75 IV standard schools and 25 VII standard schools. To this will be added the number at the rate of 200 for each place of ex-pupils from selected schools of a taluka headquarters, a district town and a city.* As to the area from which these places are to be chosen, it is suggested that the area should not be larger than that of a big district. If the area is much larger than this there is the danger that the sample will include persons from very dissimilar social environments. In which case it will be difficult to draw valid conclusions from the data collected. In case it is desired to conduct a simultaneous enquiry into the various regions of the province it will be necessary to institute an enquiry into each one of the broad regional divisions of the province, i.e., Gujarat; Konkan, Maharashtra and Karnatak. Or it may be thought desirable to undertake one specimen enquiry immediately and repeat it later in the light of this experience in other parts.
"If the enquiry is entrusted to the Institute, in view of previous rural work and connections, the Satara District will be found to be the most suitable area. The Institute will be ready to undertake an all-Province enquiry also if it is to be conducted simultaneously."
2. Progress of Enquiry.-Originally it was contemplated that the Institute should conduct directly the whole of the enquiry including the field work. However, it was later decided that the Institute should plan the enquiry, supervise the collection of the data and tabulate the data and prepare the report of the enquiry, while the actual field work would be done by the Deputy Educational Inspector and his assistants, with the help of the administrative officer, and teachers in charge of Primary Schools in the villages selected for enquiry.

[^1]After the plan of the enquiry embodied in the Director's note was approved by the Director of Public Instruction the next step was to prepare questionnaires for collecting information regarding villages in which the Schools chosen were located and regarding the educational, economic, social, etc.; condition of the persons tested during the enquiry and the passages to be selected for the reading and writing tests. This was completed and the questionnaires and passages got printed by October 1941 and the first Conference of field workers in the investigation was held at Satara on 28th, 29th, November 1941. In this conference the list of schools chosen by me was discussed and adopted after a few modifications necessitated by local circumstances. The forms in which information regarding ex-pupils of schools should be obtained from the school registers were also settled at this Conference and the work of preparing the preliminary lists was undertaken immediately afterwards. In the meanwhile the Assistant Educational Inspectors were asked to obtain results of a few tests in order to discuss whether any modifications in the original plan were necessary. The results of these tests were discussed in the next conference held on 6th January 1942. At this conference $u$ number of difficulties raised by experience during field work were resolved and final instructions were given regarding the conduct of the enquiry. It was found that the non-availability of records in some schools made it desirable to substitute them with other schools where the record was complete. It was however not found possible to bring about this substitution without affecting the representative character of the sample, except in a few cases. The work of investigation proper may be said to have begun after the conference in January. It was originally planned to finish the field work completely by the end of April. This was not, however, found possible and though the bulk of the work was done during the months of February, March and April a certain amount had to be carried through later months. Some investigational forms had also to be returned to the field workers because they were not completely filled in and the final forms came in completely only by September 1942. The final conference with field workers, especially for discussing their impressions regarding reasons for lapse, etc., was held on 3rd November 1943. The actual conduct of field work was periodically supervised by the Deputy Educational Inspector, Satara. Apart from discussing the progress and resolving difficulties in the periodic conferences of workers held during the course of the investigation, I also visited a few centres and saw the actual work of the investigation. Mr. V. R. Gadgil, Senior Investigator of the Institute, also visited a few other centres.

## CHAPTER II

## THE TEST AND THE SAMPLE

1. Definition of Literacy.-The problem set for investigation was that of the lapse into illiteracy of those who had passed through the Primary School system of the Province. The first problem was, therefore, to define literacy and to construct a test by which the fact of the lapse into illiteracy could be gauged. The underlying assumption, in the first instance, was that before leaving School the students had become literate. From being at some stage of their lives literate, they had lapsed or fallen back into a state of illiteracy. The content of literacy must be defined before it is possible to say that there has been a falling off from it or a lapse into illiteracy. The definition very generally accepted in discussions of the Indian question has been the one used and framed for purposes of the Indian Census. The direction to enumerators in the census is as follows:-
"Enter against all persons who can both read and write a letter in any language, the word literate."

It has some times been suggested that ability to perform certain calculations should also be reckoned as part of the content of literacy. This, however, has not been widely accepted and it was not thought either necessary or desirable in this investigation to deviate from the commonly accepted meaning of the term. Literacy was, therefore, interpreted to include, as in the census, only the two: ability to write and the ability to read. The definition of literacy in the Census has been framed obviously with reference to the most general practical use to which it is expected literacy would be put. But the census authorities do not seem to have framed a standardised test in consonance with the definition nor does it appear that any such test is used at the time of the enumeration. Census enumerators have neither the time nor, in the majority of cases, the ability to administer a literacy test to persons that are being enumerated. Therefore, the practical effect of the census definition is no more than to suggest to the enumerator or to the member of the family giving information about individuals (in case the definition is communicated to him by the enumerator) the general consideration in the light of which to register attainment of literacy.

In this investigation it was not thought possible to follow the definition used in the census. In the first instance, it would be difficult to devise a test regarding the reading or writing of a letter
which could be standardised to meet all kinds of conditions and which would ensure the necessary degree of uniformity in application. The reports of the censuses, on account of the fact that the definition has been theoretically conceived and not, to any marked or systematic extent practically applied, do not give any help regarding the formulation of a proper test based on the definition. Secondly, in respect, especially, of reading ability the reading of letters need not be considered the main field of operation of the ability in the later life of the pupil. The reading of printed matter whether as books or leaflets, newspapers or other periodicals, or various types of circulars and notifications would constitute very much the larger bulk of reading matter presented or available to the literate individual even in rural areas. From the point of view, therefore, of both the aim of the test and the devising of it, it was thought that reading ability should best be interpreted as ability to read printed matter. In the ability to read mere acquaintence with rudiments of the alphabet might enable one labouriously to make out words. From the practical point of view, however, such ability was of little use and reading ability had, therefore, to be interpreted as ability to read with understanding. A comprehension of printed matter presented had, therefore, to be also tested and reading ability attributed only when the printed matter was not merely read but when the reader was able to convey, in the main, what the passage communicated. In respect of writing the test had to direct itself towards finding ability to write in order adequately to convey meaning. In this respect the test could have been set with reference to matter which the person under the test independently composed and sought to convey, as in the writing of a letter. However, it was thought that uniform judgment regarding degree of attainment would be difficult if this approach was adopted. The requirement to compose sentences independently on the spur of the moment might unnecessarily upset some of the persons under test. Also the matter written could not be supposed to be equally unfamiliar to all under the test. Therefore, the administration of the test would be non-uniform. It was consequently decided to direct the writing ability test to finding out whether a person could write any matter to dictation so as to convey to a reader what had been dictated. Writing ability is much less used and required than reading ability in rural India. The most general use to which writing ability is and can be put is that of signing one's name; but the ability to sign is no real index of the ability to write in general. And this was confirmed during the course of the investigation when it was found that there were cases of people subjected to the test who being able to sign were able not only to write nothing beside but also being able to sign their names were not even able to read printed
matter intelligibly. The definition of literacy evolved by us was thus somewhat as follows. Literacy meant ability ( $i$ ) to read printed matter with understanding and (ii) to write matter dictated so as to convey to a reader what had been dictated.
2. Lapse Test.-After having settled the definition in this manner it was necessary to devise a concrete test which would measure the fact of the lapse into illiteracy. The test, of course, was directed to attainment in the language, in this case Marathi, which the pupils had learned at School. In respect of reading printed matter the main problem was to select suitable passages in relation to which the reading test could be administred. Passages of undue difficulty had to be avoided. Also, it was necessary to postulate similar degree of unfamiliarity with them in respect of the bulk of the persons to whom the test would be administered. The first requirement, it was supposed, would be met by choosing the passages from a series of recent publications of government designed for the use of those made literate by the adult literacy campaign. Matter which was written for those who had passed through the adult literacy classes could be supposed to be of properly the type, in respect of difficulty for both reading and understanding, required for a test meant for ex-pupils of rural primary schools; three small passages were chosen from these publications. They were printed on one sheet in a fairly bold type and a copy of this sheet was supplied to each officer administering the test. The test consisted in a person reading any one, or parts of more than one, passage with understanding. More than one passage was thought to be necessary in order to enable the person administering the test to test again in case he was unable to form a complete judgment in respect of the reading or understanding of any one passage. The series of publications from which the passages were chosen had been newly brought out by Government and had not yet acquired any general circulation in the District in which the investigation was being carried out. And as the matter was new, i.e., specially written out and not taken from any of the older books, text or otherwise, general unfamiliarity with the contents of the passages could be presumed. The officer administering the test was, of course, asked to keep the sheet with himself and not allow it to be circulated or read generally in advance so that previous knowledge was not gained of the passages by individuals to whom the test was being administered.

For purposes of the writing test it was directed that two lines from any of the passages selected for the reading test should be dictated. It was laid down that the person undergoing the test should not be allowed to have a look at the printed matter while he was writing. It was suggested that the time taken by each person
for writing the lines might be noted on the script on which the matter was written. All officers, however, did not find it possible to do this. Wherever it was done the note was found helpful in determining the extent of the retention of the writing ability. The whole test was deliberately put at a very low level of attainment. Officers were instructed not to pay much attention to fluency or to correct pronunciation in reading. It was laid down to be sufficient, if while a person was reading loudly others could follow what he was reading and if he was able to convey, after the reading, the purport of what he had read. Obviously a precise definition of the above was impossible and there might have occurred some variation in the standards in this regard adopted by one officer and another. In the general supervisory visits no marked differences of this type were, however, discovered and the officers also did not report any difficulties in interpreting the directions. The determination of whether reading ability had been retained or not was found, on the whole, to be comparatively easy. While considerable variation would be found regarding the ease with which passages were read and understood the retention of the ability could always be fairly satisfactorily distinguished from a case of non-retention. The task was much more difficult where writing ability was concerned. As has been indicated.above some of even those who have been classed as complete relapses could yet sign their names and there was very considerable measure of gradation between those who could only sign their names and those who could write out dictated matter so as to convey what had been dictated to them. In the test almost no attention was, of course, paid to correct orthography. Not only was the correct writing of compound letters or of long and short vowels and nasals neglected but also any corruption in the writing of words which followed from the current rural usage was also neglected. The omission of a letter in writing was also condoned if this was clearly the result of insufficient attention and not an indication of the inability to write the latter. Given all this, it was yet difficult in many cases to determine whether writing ability, so interpreted had been retained or not. The difficulty was increased by the fact that in some cases officers had omitted to follow instructions completely and had not dictated the full two lines required or had dictated matter other than that in the passages. Such cases, however, were not numerous. The decision whether writing ability had been retained or not was, of course, initially made on the spot by the officer actually administring the test. But as the individual writing scripts were returned by the officers with the recorded information regarding each individual it was possible later to compare the extent to which uniformity had been maintained in the test. On a comparative review of all the
scripts the original classification of the officer was changed in a small number of cases. A change was avoided as far as possible and was made only when it was absolutely called for in the light of the recorded information and the writing on the script. It must be said that the writing ability test defined a very low level of attainment and that with even a slightly more rigorous measure perhaps as many as 5 per cent. of the total number of persons would have, in addition, been adjudged as not retaining writing ability. In justification of the measure actually adopted it might be pointed out that specially in the case of writing ability there had been, with the bulk of individuals tested, long periods of entire disuse. Therefore, their first attempts after a long period were bound to be unsatisfactory. So long as the performance of a person at the test indicated that he could without any further instruction or guidance be able to write if he had only the requisite practice; the ability was not supposed to have lapsed. The measure adopted was thought to be fairly indicative of this stage of attainment.
3. Reliability and Validity of Test.-In relation to any test the questions that are usually asked are about its proper and uniform administration and its reliability and validity for the purpose intended. Regarding the competence of the persons administering the test no question need arise. The officers had all some experience and training and the test was a simple one. It had been discussed with them and its operation demonstrated to them before they started work and any special problems or doubts were cleared from time to time in conferences during its course. Regarding the appropriateness of the test enough has been said above. -It has been indicated how the phrase 'lapse into illiteracy' was interpreted and how the particular test was framed in the light of this interpretation. It has also been made clear how a comparatively large degree of uniformity could be maintained in the administration of the test. Also no refined gradation of ability, attainment or retention was attempted in this investigation. Given the definition of ability and the test the determination was only as to whether there had been retention or not. As regards validity the comparatively simple nature of the problem leads to no considerable difficulty being raised. The test was directed towards finding out the retention of what might be called a skill rather than towards the retention of the knowledge of a mental process or of the extent of information regarding a subject. As the test had to measure not the content of knowledge or information but the possession of a skill, the problem was greatly simplified. There was in this case what might be called direct measurement. The reading of the unfamiliar printed passage might be taken to indioate general ability to read printed matter and the particular writing test,
though this raises more difficulties, might be taken to indicate general ability to write so as to convey meaning. The test might thus be taken to be sufficiently valid for the purpose and the manner in which it was framed and administered might also be taken to lead adequately reliable results.
4. Other Survey and Census Data.-To my knowledge no other data exist in relation to which the measure of lapse indicated by our investigation could be judged. The only field investigation which had similar aims was one conducted as part of the Educational Survey of certain districts in Mysore by Mr. Kini and whose results are noted in chapter 12 of the report of that survey.* Mr. Kini's intensive survey of lapse was confined to one village and the total percentage of lapse into illiteracy for males in the village as a whole is given as 19 per cent. (Table 1, page 210). It has, however, to be noted that Mr. Kini's sample evidently contained students who had left school even in the earliest standard as well as those whose education was continued after the Primary stage. Also the report does not indicate the nature of the test by which Mr. Kini judged the capability to read and write nor was the test actually administered to all. Mr. Kini's data are, therefore, not strictly comparable with ours. It is, however interesting, in the light of our results, to note the following observation of Mr. Kini. "It was found that many of those who became illiterate had not gone beyond the 2nd primary class while at school". (page 212).

In this connection it is necessary to refer to the statistics of literacy included in the Indian Census. Till 1911 the instructions to census enumerators regarding the division of the population into the two classes literate and illiterate were not very specific, and were not uniform from census to census or for the whole of India. Since 1911 the test of literacy has been both uniform and continuous. Any person who can both read and write any language is to be classed as literate and only those persons are supposed to be able to read and write a language who could write a letter to a friend and read his reply. It has been taken for granted by most census authorities and by other writers who have dealt with these statistics that the data regarding literacy available since 1911 are of a uniform type and are comparable from period to period. It is, however, difficult to accept this opinion. It has already been pointed out above that no practicable test had been laid down by the census authorities which enumerators should or could apply to the persons enumerated. In the absence of a test the information recorded could be based only on information given by the person enumerated or any member of

[^2]his family or on certain assumptions made by the enumerator himself. For example, the report of the census of India 1921, (India Vol. I) has the following. "In the North-West Frontier Provinces where the sword is more respected than the pen there is said to have been some reluctance on the part of the tribesmen to confess to so unmanly a quality as literacy. While there seems in various Provinces to have been an inclination for the census staff to interpret the simple and practical census criterion, in the less elastic terms of the school term, and to allow literacy only to those who had passed the 4th primary course", (page 175). That a uniform criterion was not necessarily being adopted in census enumeration was brought out when literacy in various age groups was compared. The report of the census of India 1911 already raises the question as to why the proportion of literates among persons aged 20 and over is larger than for persons in the age period 15-20. The Census Commissioner is in this case driven to such explanations as that even at the age of 15 a boy may not be sufficiently literate in the sense of being able to write a letter and read a manuscript, in order to explain this anomaly (Report 1911, Census, page 293). The anomaly continued to be evidenced in many directions in 1921 and the report of the Indian Census for that year, reaches the following conclusion in this regard. "All that we are in a position to say, if there is, as may be expected on general considerations, any lapse from literacy for higher age period at any rate it does not appear in the Census statistics" (page 184). In connection with this question the following remarks included in the report of the Bombay Province Census, 1931, will be found interesting :-
"The Census definition of literacy is not fully satisfactory. It must necessarily be left to the enumerator to decide whether the standard has been reached. There are many ways in which the work can be scrapped quite apart from the difficulty of ever knowing for certain that a person can both read and write a letter.... The loss of literacy is a formidable problem. But there is no method of knowing how far it extends unless a special educational Census is taken for the purpose.... The chief influences affecting the accuracy of the Census figures of literacy must be summarised as follows :-(1) The tendency on the part of the enumerators to adopt too lenient a standard of literacy; (2) the acceptance of school children as literate : (3) the concealment of loss of literacy : (4) the genuine difficulty of finding out whether a person can really read and write a letter. The cumulative effect of these sources of inaccuracy is probably considerably to over-estimate the number of persons who are literate in the sense the word is used in the Census." (page 288).

It should be noted in this connection that the remarks of the Superintendents of the Census-Imperial and Provincial-regarding the methods of enumerators and the results of their activities are themselves largely in the nature of personal impressions and opinions. While they cannot be taken to prove anything they illustrate the divergent possibilities of the interpretation and working of the census definition of literacy. The points to be emphasized are that in the absence of a test the Census record depends on either ( $i$ ) the opinion of the person himself or of any other member of his family giving the information to the enumerator regarding literacy or (ii) judgment formed by the enumerator independently, based chiefly on information regarding the educational career of the person. It is obvious that neither of these sources of information can be held to be reliable in the measurement of such a phenomenon as the lapse into illiteracy. It was found, during the course of our investigation, sometimes very difficult to 'say definitely after even an elaborate test whether a person was literate or illiterate. On the actual administration of the test it was also sometimes found that persons who professed occasionally to write letters could not even pass the reading test laid down by us. Therefore, it is difficult to believe that the Census definition of literacy, which is really not a simple one, could have been interpreted in a uniform manner from enumerator to enumerator or from period to period. While the Census literacy statistics might, therefore, be useful in giving an indication regarding the broad facts of total numbers of the literate they could not be properly used for measuring the phenomenon of the lapse into illiteracy. No reference is, therefore, made to them at this or any later stage of the report.
5. Selection of Sample.-After defining literacy and devising a test the next step in investigation was to select a sample of the total population. What was being investigated was obviously, in the ultimate analysis, the durability of results of or efficacy of the system of Public Instruction. The operation of the system in one fairly homogeneous District would, it was thought, shed proper light on the various aspects of the problem. The entire population who had passed through the educational system in a District could not, of course, be subjected to the test. A sample of this population had to be taken and the next stage in this investigation was to determine how the selection was to be made. The School System is made up of a variety of types of schools and it has been freely postulated that the efficiency of the different types differs greatly. Therefore, in the composition of the sample regard had to be paid not only to an adequate number or representative individuals but also to a proper representation of those who had passed through various types of schools. This aspect
was reinforced by the consideration that the products of particular schools represented, with the general rule of only one school in a village, also those who had been subjected to particular environment. It was, therefore, decided to base the sample on a selection of schools of various types and to include in the investigation the entire population of the available ex-pupils of the selected schools instead of attempting to sample a small proportion from the products of all or a very large number of schools in the district. Obviously this approach made also for convenience and efficiency in the actual work of investigation. The ability to retain reading or writing skill might be correlated with the length of time passed since the pupil had received instruction. In order to determine whether this was so or not and also in order to ensure a fair test for individual schools whose working and efficiency might vary from time to time, it was necessary to cover a long period over which students had passed out of the system. The question, it was considered, could be fairly determined if a whole generation of students was passed under review. On the other hand, it was thought that the liability to relapse might not be properly evidenced if only a short time had passed since the students had left the school. Therefore, all those who had left school during the five years previous to the investigation were not included in the test sample. The sample was made to cover ex-pupils of a period of 25 years, which was thought to be long enough. The sample thus consisted of all those who had left school since 1911 but not later than 1936. Another consideration in the-determination of the sample was continued maintenance of the character of school over the whole period. If a school had belonged to one type during part of the period and had changed over for part of it to another the data regarding its ex-pupils could not be useful in interpreting differences between various types of schools. Therefore, it was thought necessary to include in the sample, as far as possible, only such schools as had not changed their type during the period 1911 to 1936. This latter consideration considerably narrowed the possibility of choice. It, however, did not materially affect the character of the distribution of the schools chosen over the whole area. In a small number of cases it was not possible to adhere strictly to the requirement regarding continuity of type. This was specially so where such adhesion meant leaving unrepresented some particular types of areas. But such cases were not many. The sample included, it will be seen, ex-pupils only of schools that were in existence in 1911. As the equipment, teachers, type of pupils, etc., are, however, similar in schools established in later years by public authority the fact does not detract from the representative character of the sample. In Table No. 1 is given a classification of the schools in each taluka of

TABLE 1.
Clasification of sohoolo for males in Satara Dietrict by category of teachore in 1911 and by standard and eategory of teachers. in 1940.

the district by category of teachers in 1911 and by standards and by category of teachers in 1940. In the last column of the table is shown the number of schools selected from each taluka for the purpose of the investigation.

In making up the total sample considerations already indicated in the preliminary note were specially borne in mind. First, was the size of sample. Second its composition in respect of types of schools and in respect of types of areas. An attempt was made to get a roughly proportionate sample in both these directions. The sample was composed of schools of various types broadly in proportion to the number of such types as it existed in 1911. Also the sample contained a number of schools from each taluka, which was taken as the primary area division, broadly in proportion to the number of schools in the taluka in 1911. Even within the sample for each taluka an attempt was made to have a proper representation of various types of schools and also to distribute the sample as widely as possible over the area of the taluka. For this distribution over the taluka the groups made for settlement purposes were taken to indicate the different types of regions within the taluka. It might, however, be noted that absence of an adequate number of schools in many settlement groups in 1911 made it impossible to meet this requirement properly in all cases. Other factors regarding distribution to which some attention must be paid were those indicating accessibility of the village from outside. The attempt to distribute by settlement groups automatically met this requirement in part. Its complete fulfilment was, however, made difficult by the consideration indicated above.

The efficacy of the school system was being judged in this investigation by the extent to which persons who passed through the system retained certain skills which had presumably been inparted to them while at school, in their after life. The total population to be brought under an exhaustive investigation for the purpose would have been all the ex-pupils of all the schools, in any area, who were alive. As has been indicated previously, the investigation actually tested only a sample of these and in making up that sample certain assumptions were initially made. Most of these have already been mentioned at one or another place above. In the first instance, it was thought that less than five years would be too short a period for properly allowing for a lapse and therefore nobody was included in the sample who was still at school in 1936. Also it was thought unnecessary to go further back than those who had left school in 1911. Again those who had left school either in the infant class or in the first standard were presumed not to have properly acquired
reading and writing ability and therefore, as not constituting subjects of an investigation regarding lapse. On the other hand it was assumed that those who had continued their education after passing the 4th standard examination would not in any circumstances be liable to relapse. That both these assumptions were justified is shown by the high proportion of lapse among those who left school in the 2nd standard and by the record of only a single case of partial lapse among those who had passed the 4th standard but not continued their schooling further. On the given assumptions the total population to be investigated consisted of those who had left school in the 2nd, the 3rd, or the 4th standard or had ceased to receive instruction after passing the 4th standard examination at any time during the period 1911 to 1936. The sample of schools chosen indicated that the total sample population was the population of ex-pupils of the selected schools satisfying the above conditions.
6. Composition of Sample.-In order to ascertain the actual composition of this sample population resort had, of course, to be had to school registers. A preliminary list was made of all those who would constitute the sample population in respect of the particular school as revealed by the register of the school. The next stage was to ascertain who among this population of ex-pupils would at the time of the projected investigation be in the village. Immediately after the lists had been prepared this local enquiry was conducted by the teachers of each selected school. The headmaster of the local school was entrusted with the work of ascertaining the names of those who were actually in the village at the time of the preparation of the lists. 'These were taken to be the available part of the total population revealed by registers. There were no means of checking whether any errors of inclusion or omission were made in the preparation of these lists. Presumably the liability to error would be greater in the larger villages where contacts were not very close and the difficulty in tracing an ex-pupil might be large. Also the errors of omission would thus be more numerous than those of inclusion. It had been planned in the beginning to follow up some of those who had left the village temporarily or permanently. It was later found that there were considerable difficulties in following them up and that it was really not necessary to do this. The difference between the numbers of those on the registers and those available would, of course, be accounted for by (i) death and (ii) leaving the village temporarily or permanently after leaving school. It was not possible to get accurate information regarding the numbers that had died. No data are also available on the basis of which it would be possible to estimate the numbers who would be living of the total number of ex-pupils as obtained from the school registers. It might
be noted that the proportion of the persons available to the number as revealed by the registers varied considerably from school to school. It is likely that the extent of this variation indicates, in the main, the variation in tendency to migrate in the different parts of the dis. trict. Reliance was placed on the evidence of the school registers in all matters in which it was available. The year when a student left school and the standard in which he was when he left school were matters determined by the school register. It was initially contemplated to collect information regarding the time that a pupil had spent in the standard in which he was at the time of leaving school. The idea had, however, to be given up because the time of the annual promotions in past years was neither fixed nor uniform in all schools. Also it was realised that the period indicated in the record as that of the student's leaving school would not in a large number of cases represent the actúal time of his ceasing attendance at school. The removal of the name from the school register occurred ordinarily after the lapse of considerable time after the pupil had actually ceased to attend.

It might in this connection be noticed that the record was not available in many schools for either a small or large part of the period covered. This caused considerable difficulty in the progress of the investigation. The absence of the record made it impossible to prepare the preliminary list and in this case the persons tested were selected and called on the basis of personal knowledge and enquiry by local teachers. Obviously, the total number of ex-pupils or the total numbers available in the village could not in such cases be accurately known. The lack of records caused difficulty in filling up of individual questionnaire also. Important information regarding the school career of the persons tested could be accurately known only from the registers. The standard in which a pupil was at the time of leaving school, the year in which he left school, the numbers of years at school and even his age were matters regarding which information could be obtained from the registers. The information so obtained was also likely to be much more reliable than the information that the individual himself could supply in this regard. However, where the school record was missing the information had to be put down as obtained from the individual.

The number of persons actually tested was much smaller than the number of persons reported to be available. The failure to persuade a substantial number to submit themselves to the test resulted in the actual numbers tested falling short to a considerable extent of the numbers aimed at. The investigation had been planned so as to cover about 5,000 persons. Actually, only a little over half of this number was reached. The main reason reported by all the
investigating officers for the reluctance of considerable numbers to submit themselves to the test was the fear that the enquiry might have something to do with the efforts at recruiting people for the army. As it happened, the type of person included in the investigation, i.e., males chiefly between ages of 15 and 45 was the type specially affected by recruiting activities. Hence it was found very difficult by the investigating officers to allay suspicions in this matter when they were once aroused. The measure of the lack of response was widely different from village to village. In some the response was extremely meagre, the investigation having really failed to progress materially in those centres. On the other hand, in a number of instances the persons actually tested amounted to over 90 per cent. of those reported to be available, which is as high a percentage as might reasonably be expected in an investigation of this type. One point to be noticed in regard to the variation in response is that there was no general common feature about it, i.e., the degree of the response was not related generally to either a regional, environmental or size factor in the village. This shows that its distribution was largely accidental depending purely on temporary local reactions. While this made it the more difficult effectively to deal with the situation as it arose, it has resulted in affecting the investigation much less than if the reaction had been general or had been associated with a particular type of village or region. In the latter event the representative character of the data might have been seriously affected. As it happens, the random distribution of the lack of response leaves the actual numbers included in the investigation, though much smaller than aimed at or expected, almost equally well distributed over all types of regions or classes. It might be specially emphasized that reluctance to submit to the test was not only not associated with special types of villages or regions but also not evinced by any special class or caste group. It was clearly the experience of all the investigating officers that among those who would not come forward to submit themselves to the test all types of castes and classes, economic and social, were almost equally represented.

In Table Nos. 2 to 6 we give the number of cases listed from the school registers, number available in the villages and the number actually tested in villages classified by various categories. In Table No. 2, the villages are classified according to the number of cases tested as a percentage to those available in the village. It will be seen that in nearly 25 per cent. of the villages, only less than half of the cases available in the village could be tested. In Table No. 3, the villages are classified according to the number of cases tested in each. In Table No. 4, they are classified by the population in 1931 Census. In Table No. 5, an attempt is made to classify the villages

TABLE 2.
Partioulars of investigation for villages olasaifed nocording to the proportion of cases tested to those available.

| Percentage of tested to total available $\%$ | Villages <br> No. | Cases noted from school register No. | Cases available in village <br> No. | Cases tested <br> No. | available to those from register | Percentage of case testad to those from register | tested to those available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-10 | 1 | 697 | 123 | 10 | 17.65 | 1.43 | 8.18 |
| 11-20 | 2 | 1183 | 297 | 53 | 25.11 | 4.48 | 17.85 |
| 21-30 | 2 | 286 | 91 | 24 | 81.82 | 8.39 | 26.37 |
| 31-40 | 9 | 1491 | 572 | 198 | 38.36 | 18.27 | 34.62 |
| 41-50 | 11 | 1258 | 650 | 252 | 42.30 | 20.11 | 47.55 |
| 51-60 | 18 | 1773 | 732 | 402 | 41.29 | 22.67 | 54.92 |
| 61-70 | 7 | 1051 | 294 | 199 | 27.97 | 18.93 | 67.69 |
| 71-80 | 19 | 2792 * | 912 | 688 | 82.66 | 24.64 | 75.44 |
| 81-90 | 11 | 697 | $267$ | 228 | 88.31 | 32.86 | 85.77 |
| 91-100 | 18 | 1237 | 459 | 455 | 37.11 | 36.78 | 99.13 |
| Not given | 6 | - | $\cdots$ | 168 | - | - | - |
| Total | 104 | 12460 | 4277 | 2678 | 84.38 | 21.49 | 62.61 |

TABLE 3.
Particulars of invostigation for villages elassified according to the number of pupils tested.

| Number of pupils tested | Villages <br> No. | Cases noted from register <br> No. | Cases available Cases tested in village |  |  Percentage of cases <br> available to <br> thoseded to <br> those from <br> register those from <br> register |  | tested to those available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | No. |  |  |  |
| 1-10 | 15 | 1263 | 301 | 112 | 23.83 | 8.87 | 37.21 |
| 11-20 | 35 | 2403 | 759 | 513 | 31.59 | 21.35 | 67.59 |
| 21-30 | 28 | 4422 | 1404 | 722 | 31.75 | 16.33 | 51.42 |
| 31-40 | 12 | 1620 | 609 | 435 | 37.59 | 26.85 | 71.43 |
| 41-60 | 8 | 910 | 505 | 398 | 55.49 | 43.74 | 78.81 |
| $71-85$ \& 103 | 6 | 1842 | 699 | 498 | 37.95 | 27.04 | 71.24 |
| Total | 104 | 12460 | 4277 | 2678 | 34.33 | 21.49 | 62.61 |

TABLE 4.
Particulars of investigation for villages classified according to the population of the village. (1981 Census).

| Population | villages No. | Cases noted from school register No. | Cases available in village No. | Cases tested No. | available to those from register | Percent of cases tested to those from register | tested to those available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 600 | 10 | 316 | 125 | 111 | 39.56 | 35.13 | 88.80 |
| 600- $\quad 800$ | 19 | 1389 | 485 | 325 | 34.92 | 23.40 | 67.01 |
| 800- " 1000 | 14 | 1204 | 384 | 217 | 31.89 | 18.02 | 56.51 |
| 1000- " 1500 | 25 | 2426 | 928 | 616 | 38.25 | 25.39 | 66.38 |
| 1500- 2000 | 11 | 1217 | 398 | 343 | 32.70 | 28.18 | 86.18 |
| 2000- " 3000 | 10 | 2448 | 610 | 335 | 24.92 | 13.68 | 54.92 |
| 3000 \& over | 14 | . 3421 | 1323 | 709 | 38.67 | 20.72 | 53.59 |
| Not given | 1 | 89 | 24 | 22 | 61.54 | 56.41 | 91.67 |
| Total | 104 | 12460 | 4277 | 2678 | 34.33 | 21.49 | 62.61 |

TABLE 5.
Particularn of investigation for villagen clasaified acoording to the communication classes.

| Communication class | Villages <br> No. | Cases noted from register No. | Cuses available in village <br> No. | Cases teated <br> No. | available to those from register | Percentage of cases tested to those from register | tested to those available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11 | 3501 | 996 | 514 | 28.45 | 14.68 | 51.61 |
| II | 11 | 1788 | 520 | 365 | 29.08 | 20.41 | 70.19 |
| III | 11 | 1858 | 694 | 405 | 87.35 | 21.80 | 58.38 |
| IV | 10 | 681 | 327 | 242 | 48.02 | 35.54 | 74.01 |
| v | 9 | 726 | 280 | 170 | 88.57 | 23.42 | 60.71 |
| VI | 10 | 818 | 291 | 195 | 35.78 | 28.99 | 67.01 |
| VII | 11 | 961 | 306 | 200 | 81.84 | 20.81 | 65.36 |
| VIII | 10 | 757 | 815 | 175 | 41.61 | 23.12 | 55.56 |
| IX | 11 | 756 | 320 | 240 | 42.33 | 31.75: | 75.00 |
| X | 10 | 619 | 228 | 172 | 36.83 | 27.79 | 75.44 |
| Total | 104* | 12460 | 4277 | 2,678 | 34.83 | 21.49 | 62.61 |

TABLE 6.
Partieulars of investigation for villages clansified aceording to the typs of achool.

by taking into account several factors of communication of the villages with the outside world. The communication classes shown in the table are in descending order of the communication facilities, For particulars of this classification, reference may be made to section 6 of Chapter III. Finally in Table No. 6 the schools are classified by school types particulars of which are given in section 7 of Chapter III.
7. Sample Compared with District Population.-Some idea of the extent to which the sample included in the test represents properly the population of the district may be obtained from the comparison set out in Table Nos. 7 and 8 regarding distribution by age

TABLE 7.
Percentage distribution of malea in Satora District betwoen 16 and 40 by age groups in the censut statistics (1931 and 1941) and in Sample Population.


TABLE 8.
Percentage distribution of males in Satara District by castes and religions in the sample and in the censuses (1931 and 1941)

$\dagger$ Not shown separately.
and the composition by caste and religion of the population of the District as revealed by the census, with the constitution in these respects of the population of the sample. The data of the 1941 Census
are not available for the whole District population in all details. The data of the 1931 census have, therefore, been included in the table. It will be observed that the constitution of the sample differs from that of the District population chiefly in two respects, of having a somewhat lesser representation of the higher age groups and of some social groups like the scheduled castes. This, however, need not be considered as detracting from the representative character of the sample. The sample, of course, seeks to represent not the entire population of the district but rather the population which passed through the school system. The number of pupils in each school has been increasing over the period covered by the investigation. Therefore, in so far as more pupils have passed out of the schools in recent years, the total population of ex-pupils must contain a larger proportion of younger persons than the total population of the district. With the expansion of the school system and because special efforts have been directed towards this end, the schools have in recent years had larger complements of students from such classes as the depressed than formerly. Even today, however, the proportion of total de pressed class students in the school population is less than their proportion in the total population and the disparity was markedly larger in former years. Broadly, the school system includes larger proportions of the socially and economically better placed classes among its pupils than the total population. Conversely, the classes economically and socially depressed are not adequately represented among those receiving instruction. Even though in recent years some efforts have been made to counteract this tendency, they have not been completely successful and, of course, the total population of ex-pupils necessarily continues to be affected in its composition by the older proportions to a very large extent. The comparison with census data relating to ages is rendered a little difficult by the fact that these are classified in the census tables only by 10 yearly age groups above 20 and that our data includes a full sample only upto the age 45. If the age groups between 15 and 40 in the two populations are considered by themselves, it will be seen that in our sample the age period 20 to 30 bears a somewhat higher proportion to the total than in the census, while the periods 30 to 40 and 15 to 20 are somewhat less represented. The difference in either case is, however, not large. For the higher age groups it is easily explained by the fact of the continued expansion of the school system noted above. For the lower age group the lesser representation might be due either to a more general lengthening of the schooling age or to a lesser tendency in recent years to leave school from standards 2nd, 3rd and 4th. No data are, however, available to indicate definitely whether these suggestions are valid or not.

In regard to the composition of the population by religion and caste, comparison can be instituted only in the case of a few groups. The comparison shows that our sample has a slightly smaller representation of the advanced classes than the district population. This can be easily explained by the fact that the District population includes urban population within it and that the ratio indicated is affected by this inclusion. The rural population from which alone the sample is taken would have necessarily a lesser representation of the advanced classes than the population of the whole district. Also pupils of these classes are more likely to continue their education after the 4th standard than those of others. The Maratha population is also a little less represented in the sample than in the District as a whole. It is likely that this is due to the fact that the total enrolment in schools of the cultivating and agricultural labour classes, which largely make up this population, has been proportionately less, specially in the earlier part of the period covered, than that of other classes. It will be observed that the non-agricultural classes such as the artisan and service castes are better represented in the sample than in the district population. According to the concensus of opinion this reflects a condition of affairs which actually obtains in regard to the school system as a whole. The depressed classes are represented in a slightly less proportion in the sample owing to reasons sufficiently explained above. It is noteworthy that the sample though confined to Marathi schools and not including any Urdu schools contains a higher proportionate number of Muslims than the District population. This is all the more remarkable because the number of Muslims included in the sample shows a definite tendency to fall in the lower age groups.

This comparison would have revealed that the variation in the constitution of the sample population either by ages, religions or castes, is not material and that such differences as exist can be adequately explained as being due to the differences between the total district population and the population of ex-pupils of the Primary school system. In the light of this discussion it may be safely claimed that the population actually included in the test represents adequately the total population regarding which the investigation was conducted.

## CHAPTER III

## SURVEY RESULTS

1. Complete Lapse, Partial Lapse, and Total Lapse.-We may now turn to the presentation and examination of the results obtained during the course of the investigation. The investigation related to the retention of the ability to read and the ability to write, as defined, of the ex-pupils of the primary school system. The possession of the two abilities together was supposed to indicate possession of literacy. The non-possession of both of these skills (as revealed by the test) was taken to indicate lapse into illiteracy. As the tests for the two skills were 'independent it was possible to find that in a number of cases a person possessed one of the skills without possessing the other. It was assumed that in case a person could write to dictation he could also read the matter dictated. During the course of the investigation also no case of being able to write but not to read was recorded. Reading ability unaccompanied by ability to write was, however, recorded in many cases. A special category of persons was, therefore, defined by us, i.e., of those who possessed the ability to read and passed the reading test but failed in the writing test and were, therefore, not taken as having writing ability. Those who passed both the tests were classed as literates and were taken as not having lapsed. Those who failed to pass either test were classed illiterates and were taken to have completely lapsed. Those who passed the reading test but not the writing test were classed as semi-literates and were taken to have lapsed partially. The data regarding the results have all been shown according to these three classes.

In the discussion of the results the percentages of complete and partial lapse have mostly been considered together. The failure to pass even the very low test of writing ability laid down by us must be considered as indicating a clear lapse from a presumed attainment. In measuring total lapse, therefore, both complete and partial lapse cases were added together. The number of individual cases in each sub-group when the two measures are independently considered are so small that it becomes difficult to rely on results relating to particular groups with any confidence. Therefore, whereas the data relating to partial lapse and complete lapse are shown separately in all tables the comments have reference, in the majority of cases, only to total lapse, that is, the percentages and numbers of complete and partial lapse taken together. One method to keep the
distinction between the cases of complete and partial lapse while at the same time to obtain a joint measure of the lapse, would be to attribute certain numerical scores to literates, semi-literates, and illiterates respectively which would enable the computation of average scores for subjects in various categories. For instance we could attribute the scores of $1, \frac{1}{2}$ and 0 to literates, semi-literates and illiterates respectively. A certain statistical reasoning explained in an appendix, suggested the scores of $1,0.2146$ and 0 respectively. By making use of this scale, average scores have been computed and shown in subsequent tables for subjects in various categories. For the statistical basis determining this scale of scores and the interpretation of the resulting average scores, reference may be made to the Appendix.

One factor which could not be allowed for in the interpretation of the results was the presence of backward pupils in the sample. Some cases of mentally backward persons must inevitably have been included in the population tested. These persons, though they naturally stagnate a good deal in the primary system, do not necessarily fail to be promoted from standard to standard if they remain in school for a long enough period. It is, however, highly doubtful how far they really benefit in the way of lastingly acquiring any abilities as a result of their school training. A lapse in their cases might be presumed inevitable, so long as there is no special provision for dealing with them. It is likely that a significant proportion of the cases of lapse, especially those occuring from the higher standards would be accounted for by the presence of backward pupils. However, no data are available in any direction which could enabie us to say anything definitely regarding the presence of this element.
2. Lapse by Standards.-One of the first features to be noticed in an examination of the data is the very large difference in lapse, according to the standard in which the pupil was when he left school. It has already been pointed out that an attempt made by us at obtaining information regarding the time passed by a pupil in the standard in which he was when he left school was not successful. Therefore, our classification of the data in this regard is confined to only four broad groups, three according to the standard, i.e., 2nd, 3rd and 4th in which the student's name appeared in the school register at the time when it was finally removed from it and the last, 4th group, of those who passed the 4th standard, also as shown by the school register. In some cases students had migrated from one school to another or records for particular periods were not available and the person tested could also not give definite information in this regard. These cases, therefore, had to be left unclassified. F...

There was, of course, some variation in the extent of instruction that various members of each classified group had received at school. Some might have remained for a long time, even some years, in the standard from which they finally left school; others might have been just promoted to it at the time of leaving school. Presumably little difference was made to their real attainment by this difference in time. The most important criterion in the division is the standard successfully completed, which, in the vast majority of instances, was the one previous to the one in which the pupils were recorded as having left school. It should, however, be noticed that in some instances where students left immediately at the close of the year the students might have just passed the examination of the standard in which they were recorded as having left school. The number of such cases was, however, very small.

TABLE 9.
Survey results classificd by the standard at the time of leaving achool.

| Standard |  | Literate | Semi-literate | Illiterate | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd Standard | Number | 503 | 132 | 117 | 752 |
|  | Percent | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 100 |
| 3rd | Number | 741 | 69 | 34 | 844 |
|  | Percent | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 100 |
| 4th \% | Number | 818 | 17 | 9 | 844 |
|  | Percent | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 100 |
| 4th Pass | Number | 230 | 1 | - | 231 |
|  | Percent | 99.6 | 0.4 | - | 100 |
| Not given | Number | 5 | - | 2 | 7 |
|  | Percent | 71.4 | - | 28.6 | 100 |
| Total | Number | 2,297 | 219 | 162 | 2,678 |
|  | Percent | 85.8 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 100 |

In Table No. 9, the survey results are given by the standards at the time of leaving school. The variations in the percentage of lapse according to the standard attained by pupils at school are, of course, to be expected. Those who had passed the 4th standard examination did not show any lapse when tested. The singlo case, of apparent partial lapse was due to the inability of the tested person to write owing to injury to arm. In the class of persons who left school while in the 4th standard, it is seen that the total extent of lapse is very small. Cases of complete lapse are negligible among them and are curiously enough largely concentrated in the period 1931-35. Complete lapse within a short period after having passed the 3rd standard examination might perhaps indicate innate backwardness. Partial lapse is also inconsiderable and on the whole it might be said that literacy is lasting among pupils who
have passed the 3rd standard and have gone into the 4th. The extent of lapse is considerable, more than 12 per cent., in those who leave school in the 3rd standard and large, more than 33 per cent., in those who leave school in the 2nd standard. These marked differences in the tendency to lapse according to the standard of schooling that the pupil had received makes it necessary to consider the data, not as a whole, but according to the standard attainments. That is, in enquiring into the causes of lapse the data relating to different standards must be treated separately. Consequently in the large majority of tables an attempt has been made to indicate the resuits relating to different standards separately. The measure by standards gives us a broad indication of the phenomenon to be studied. - It tells us that while the student who passes the 4th standard is not at all liable to lapse and the student who passes the 3rd and leaves in the 4th only vary slightly so, the student who leaves school in the 3rd standard has a significant tendency to lapse and the one who leaves only in the 2nd a very pronounced liability in that direction. In view of this, in subsequent discussion we shall omit all cases where the students had passed the 4th standard and therefore showed no lapse. We shall also omit the few cases where the standards at the time of their leaving school could not be ascertained.
3. Faetors Responsible for Lapse.-In an a priori consideration of the phenomenon a number of factors seem indicated. The factors may be environmental, i.e., the lapse might be due to the general environment, the physical and other circumstances, of the village in which the pupil was taught and in which he continued to stay after leaving school. Lack of contact with the outside world, a small size or lack of facilities for reading and occasions for writing might lead to lapse and if this were so, lapse would be associated more largely with certain types of environments than with others. In the alternative or in addition lapse might be due to school conditions or individual conditions. It has been often urged that the one teacher rural school is the weakest link in the chain of Indian Educational Institutions and the lack of training on the part of teachers has been taken to be a very unsatisfactory feature of the system. Now, if the pupils of some types of schools receive a worse training or are less well grounded than the pupils of other types of schools then this would be presumably reflected in the extent to which abilities acquired at school are retained by the pupils in after-life. Difference in scholastic career might also lead to difference in liability to lapse. For example, pupils who have stagnated more, i.e., who have stayed at school for a longer period before completing given standards may be or may not be specially liable to lapse. The age at which a pupil leaves school may also prove an important factor in the
retention of literacy. Individual factors, are, of course, numerous. There is the social class to which an individual belongs, the occupation which he follows, the income which he earns, the extent to which and the duration for which he goes away from his village and stays in more populous centres. Finally, the lapse may be progressively larger according to the period passed since leaving school. An attempt is made in the following sections to sift and discuss the investigational data in order to see whether they throw any light on these various types of considerations.
4. Bias in Sample.-Before going on to a consideration of the various factors that might affect lapse it is necessary to examine whether any feature of the composition of the sample for individual schools might lead to giving a bias to the results. The two features which might be singled out for examination in this regard are (1) the proportion of the number actually tested to the number available in each village and (2) the absolute size of the sample for each school. Table Nos. 10 and 11 give the results of the survey classified in relation to these features. It' will be readily seen that apart from the small numbers affected till the percentage of the tested to availabie reaches 30 , the results of total lapse and of complete and of partial lapse do not show a trend associated with either a decrease or an increase of the percentage. It may thus be taken for granted that this feature, i.e., the variation in the proportion of tested to available for individual schools has not given a bias to the results. Similarly except for the samples below 10 which for some reason show particularly low percentage results, samples of other sizes show no variation associated with the variation in size.
5. Environmental Factors.-The factors affecting lapse may be considered in the following order (i) Physical environment, (ii) School type and school career, (iii) Individual social and economic circumstances. This order has been fixed so as to proceed from the more general to the specific factors. The general environmental factors may relate to the size of the village, the extent of the contact of the village with the outside world or the presence, within it, of institutions which might be considered to be helpful in the maintenance of literacy. Table Nos. 12 and 13 set out the results of the survey according to different characteristics of the villages in which the selected school was located. Table No. 12 which classifies the results by the population of the village in 1931 shows no marked correlation with the variation in size. It may, however, be noticed that the sample from villages of middling sizes, i.e., with a population between 1,000 and 2,000 shows, on an average, the best results. The population groups below 1,000 show a level of results very similar to

## TABLE 10.

Survey results classificd according to the proportion of pupile tested to thowe available in the village and the standard at the time of leaving sehool.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-mliterate]

| Percentage of pupils tested to total |  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| available in a village | Villages <br> No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\%}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{\%}}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{.}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{\%}}$ | Total No. |
| 0-10 | 1 | 66.7 | - | 33.8 | 8 | 100 | - | - | 2 | 100 | - | - | 5 | 90.0 | - | 10.0 | 10 |
| 11-20 | 2 | 58.3 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 24 | 85.7 | 14.8 | - | 7 | 95.5 | - | 4.5 | 22 | 77.4 | 9.4 | 13.2 | 53 |
| 21-30 | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 4 | 100 | - | - | 7 | 100 | - | $\cdots$ | 9 | 90.0 | 10.0 | - | 20 |
| 31-40 | 9 | 78.4 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 51 | 85.0 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 60 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 78 | 88.0 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 184 |
| 41-50 | 11 | 68.8 | 18.7 | 11.5 | 61 | 88.2 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 85 | 95.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 86 | 85.8 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 232. |
| 51-60 | 19 | 68.3 | 16,7 | 15.0 | 126 | 86.2 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 128 | 95.7 | 4.3 | - | 115 | 83.0 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 364 |
| 61-70 | 7 | 69.4 | 16.8 | 14.3 | 49 | 84.7 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 59 | 97.5 | 2.5 | - | 81 | 86.2 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 189 |
| 71-80 | 19 | 69.6 | 16.9 | 18.5 | 207 | 88.6 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 212 | 96.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 206 | 84.8 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 625 |
| 81--90 | 11 | 68.6 | 11.4 | 20.0 | 70 | 81.7 | 14.1 | 4.2 | 71 | 100 | - | - | 62 | 82.7 | '8.9 | 8.4 | $203{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 91-100 | 18 | 57.7 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 104 | 92.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 174 | 98.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 121 | 85.0 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 399 |
| Not given | 6 | 58.5 | 28.3 | 13.2 | 58 | 86.4 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 44 | 96.9 | 8.1 | - | 64 | 81.4 | 18.0 | 5.6 | 161 |
| Total | 104 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

TABLE 11.
Survey renulta clasaified according to number of pupila tested in the village and the atandard at the time of leaving achool. [L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| Cases tested | village |  | 2nd Std. |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ | Total No. | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\%}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. |
| 1-10 | 15 | 79.1 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 24 | 93.2 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 44 | 96.9 | 3.1 | - | 32 | 91.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 100 |
| 11-20 | 35 | 68.4 | 23.5 | 13.1 | 145 | 87.0 | 10.3 | 2.7 | 184 | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 125 | 83.0 | 11.7 | 5.3 | 454 |
| 21-30 | 28 | 67.0 | 15.7 | 17.3 | 185 | 84.3 | 10.2 | , 5.5 | 235 | 96.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 230 | 83.5 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 650 |
| 31-40 | 12 | 61.1 | 15.0 | 28.9 | 113 | 87.4 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 111 | 95.8 | 8.5 | 0.7 | 142 | 82.5 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 366 |
| 41-60 | 8 | 69.5 | 18.0 | 12.5 | 128 | 89.4 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 104 | 96.7 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 153 | 85.7 | 9.1 | 5.2 | 385 |
| 71-85 \% | 1036 | 70.1 | 17.8 | 12.1 | 157 | 91.6 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 166 | 96.9 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 162 | 86.4 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 485 |
| Total | 104 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

TABLE 12.
Survey results clasaified according to the population of the village ( 1981 consus) and the standard at the time of laving school. [L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, L.-Illiterate]

| Population | Villages |  | 2nd Std. |  |  | Total No. | $\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\%}$ | 8rd Std. |  | 1 |  | 4th Std. |  | Total No. | $\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\%}$ | Total |  | Total <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{L}}$ | ${ }_{\%}^{S . L}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\%}$ |  |  | $\underset{\%}{S . L}$ | $\stackrel{l}{c / n}$ | Total No. | $\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\%}$ | S.L | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Below | 600 | 10 | 64.5 | 22.6 | 12.9 | 31 | 94.0 | 6.0 | - | 50 | 95.0 | 5.0 | - | 20 | 85.1 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 101 |
| 600- * |  | 19 | 64.1 | 18.5 | 17.4 | 92 | 88.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 100 | 100.0 | - | - | 79 | 83.4 | 10.0 | 6.6 | 271 . |
| 800-" | 1000 | 18 | 69.6 | 16.1 | 14.3 | 56 | 84.9 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 78 | 96.7 | 8.3 | - | 61 | 84.2 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 190 |
| 1000 |  | 25 | 69.9 | 17.9 | 12.2 | 156 | 90.2 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 215 | 99.0 | 1.0 | - | 192 | 87.5 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 563 |
| 1500- | 2000 | 11 | 69.4 | 11.8 | 18.8 | 85 | 91.8 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 98 | 98.2 | 1.8 | - | 109 | 87.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 292 |
| 2000-- | 3000 | 10 | 62.5 | 20.5 | 17.0 | 88 | 76.4 | 16.9 | 6.7 | 89 | 93.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 132 | 79.6 | 12.3 | 8.1 | 309 |
| 3000 \& ove |  | 14 | 66.7 | 17.7 | 15.6 | 237 | 87.7 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 204 | 96.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 251. | 83.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 692 |
| Not $\cdot \mathrm{gi}$ |  | 2 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 7 | 86.7 | - | 13.3 | 15 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 77.3 | 4.5 | 18.2 | 22 |
| Tot |  | 104 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 9 G .9 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

TABLE 13.
Survey results classified according to the communication class of the village and the standad at the time of leaving school [L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Conmmuni- } \\ \text { cation } \\ \text { class } \end{gathered}$ | Villages |  | 2nd Std. |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\stackrel{i}{\%}_{\mathbf{L}_{6}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{T}}{\%}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { S.L }}{\%}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | S.L | $\stackrel{I}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbf{I}}{\%}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 11 | 65.6 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 180 | 85.7 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 147 | 94.6 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 165 | 81.3 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 492 |
| II | 11. | 66.3 | 21.1 | 12.6 | 95 | 79.1 | 13.9 | 7.0 | 86 | 94.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 159 | 82.6 | 10.9 | 6.5 | 340 |
| III | 11 | 70.8 | 18.6 | 16.2 | 111 | 92.2 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 116 | 97.5 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 159 | 88.1 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 388 |
| IV | 10 | 67.9 | 21.4 | 10.7 | 56. | 96.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 92 | 100.0 | - | - | 61 | 90.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 209 |
| V | 9 | 63.6 | 14.6 | 21.8 | 55 | 89.1 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 46 | 97.7 | 2.3 | - | 43 | 82.0 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 144 |
| VI | 10 | 75.5 | 20.4 | 2.1 | 49 | 92.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 76 | 100.0 | - | - | 54 | 89.9 | 7.3 | 2.8 | 179 |
| VII | 11 | 63.5 | 19.2 | 17.3 | 52 | 87.8 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 74 | 100.0 | - | - | 42 | 83.3 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 168 |
| VIII | 10 | 67.9 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 53 | 85.5 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 62 | 95.5 | 4.5 | - | 44 | 82.4 | 10.7 | 6.9 | 159 |
| IX | 11 | 68.2 | 12.1 | 19.7 | 66 | 87.8 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 74 | 98.7 | 1.3 | - | 77 | 85.7 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 217 |
| X | 10 | 57.1 | 25.7 | 17.2 | 35 | 80.3 | 16.9 | 2.8 | 71 | 100.0 | ー | - | 40 | 80.1 | 14.4 | 5.5 | 146 |
| Total | 104 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

each other but somewhat worse than the results for the middling size villages, while the groups of places with a population of above 2,000 show definitely the worst results. It is not possible to say whether this broad classification of results definitely points to any consideration which can affect school policy.

In considering the extent of the contact of the village with the outside world four factors have been noted. These are distances from (i) the nearest Railway Station, (ii) the Bazaar Village, (iii) Motor Road, and (iv) the period of months during the year in which bullock-cart transport was possible upto the village. In an examination of these factors singly no definite relation between lapse and the extent of the contact is indicated. The presence of a post office or of co-operative societies and the number of newspapers coming into a village might also be considered important in connection with maintenance of literacy. Here again, when these factors are examined singly they fail to show any influence. - It was, therefore, thought worthwhile considering these various factors jointly and classifying the villages on the basis of what might be described as a composite index of communication. In Table No. 13, as also in the earlier Table No. 5, the villages have been so classified, the classes being in the descending order of these communication facilities. Thus villages in classes I, II and III all have a post office and most of them have either a co-operative bank or a society. The villages in classes I and II are also themselves bazaar villages though only villages in class I are directly on a motorable road. Villages in classes IV and V are distinguished by having a co-operative bank or a society. However, they have no post office and most of them are not on a motorable road. Most of these villages are also not bazaar villages though the villages in class IV lie within two miles from a bazaar village. The villages in the remaining five classes have neither a post office nor a co-operative bank or a society. Most of them are also not on a motorable road and also are not bazaar villages. Villages in class VI, however, lie within two miles from a bazaar village and most of them also receive a newspaper. The remaining four classes of villages have unsatisfactory communications in an increasing order. It will be obvious from Table No. 13, that even when these factors are considered jointly in this manner, they indicate little influence. On the whole it might be said that the approach by way of a consideration of individual physical and other feaures of a village does not prove fruitful in the interpretation of the results of our investigation. At least, there is little to warrant a conclusion establishing a relation of the extent of lapse to the presence or absence of any of the general features considered above.
6. Educational Factors.-The most important of the educational factors is obviously the type of school in which the pupil was taught. The type of primary schools included in the sample vary from schools with one untrained teacher teaching upto the 3rd standard to fully equipped primary schools teaching upto the 7th standard with a large staff of trained and untrained teachers. We divided the total number of schools in the sample in the following 9 types. (I) 3rd standard schools with one untrained teacher for the whole period covered by the survey. (II) 4th standard schools with one untrained teacher for the whole period covered by the survey. (III) 4th standard schools with one trained teacher for the whole period covered by the survey. (IV) All other 3rd or 4th standard schools with one teacher, trained or untrained. (V) 4th standard schools with 2 teachers. (VI) 5th and 6th standard schools of all types. (VII) Schools which were 4th or 5th standard schools with less than 100 pupils in 1911 and were 7th standard schools at the time of the investigation. (VIII) Schools which had more than 100 pupils in 1911 and which were 7th standard schools at the time of the investigation. (The large majority of these were also 7th standard schools in 1911). (IX) Schools which were 7th standard schools at the time of the investigation, not included in types VII and VIII. This classification aims at distinguishing types of schools by standards taught and is also intended to bring out the effects of the employment of untrained teachers especially in single teacher schools. Table No. 14 gives data relating to the school types. Attention might be concentrated in considering this table on the lapse in pupils who left in the 2nd and 3rd standards. The most remarkable feature revealed by this table is the comparatively good account which expupils of one untrained teacher 3rd standard schools (type I) give of themselves. According to this table the 5th and 6th standard schools (type VI) show the best results and the 7th standard schools (type VII and VIII) the worst. Both in the case of those who left in the 2nd standard and those who left in the 3rd standard, ex-pupils of type VI schools show a specially low percentage of lapse. On the other hand, types VII and VIII schools show particularly bad results especially in relation to pupils who left schools in the 3rd standard. However, in respect of the pupils of 2nd standard, the one teacher schools of types II and III show worse results. Nevertheless, the one teacher schools of types II, III and IV, as a whole do better than the 7th standard schools of types VII and VIII. Type V, that is, 4th standard schools with 2 teachers, show better results than 3rd or 4th standard one teacher schools belonging to types II, III and IV. However, the showing of type $V$ is not better than that of the one teacher schools of type I. It is not of course possible to pronounce

TABLE 14.
Survey results elassified according to the school types and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Wemi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| School type | Villages |  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\sigma / /}{L_{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | S.L | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total: No. |
| I | 28 | 68.5 | 17.7 | 13.8 | 130 | 92.5 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 186 | 97.8 | 2.2 | $\cdots$ | 93 | 86.1 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 403 |
| II | 17 | 58.9 | 19.7 | 21.4 | 56 | 80.6 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 108 | 100 | - | $\cdots$ | 79 | 81.9 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 238 |
| III | 1 | 69.1 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 22 | 100 | - | - | 12 | 100 | - | - | 11 | 80.0 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 45 |
| IV | 10 | 66.0 | 23.4 | 10.6 | 47 | 84.6 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 52 | 100 | - | - | 31 | 81.5 | 12.3 | 6.2 | 130 |
| V | 10 | 67.5 | 15.0 | 17.5 | 80 | 90.2 | 9.8 | - | 61 | 98.8 | 1.2 | - | 82 | 85.2 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 223 |
| VI | 15 | 73.4 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 94 | 93.3 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 135 | 97.6 | 2.4 | - | 163 | 90.3 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 399 |
| VII | 4 | 63.9 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 86 | 68.3 | 24.4 | 7.3 | 41 | 96.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 68 | 80.0 | 12.1 | 7.9 | 140 |
| VIII | 10 | 64.2 | 20.6 | 15.2 | 151 | 79.6 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 127 | 92.8 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 166 | 79.3 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 444 |
| IX | 9 | 69.1 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 136 | 94.5 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 127 | 96.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 156 | 87.1 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 419 |
| Total | 104 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

completely valid decisions on the strength of these data. However, they definitely seem to indicate at least certain negative results. The one teacher schools do not seem to be as largely responsible for lapse as is generally maintained. The lack of training of teachers is also not evidently an important factor in this problem. Very large schools might, it would seem, even be less efficient than very small schools.

This table suggests some other reflections regarding the educational system which might be noted. It is curious that the proportion of ex-pupils who have passed the 4th standard should be specially large in samples of the smaller schools. Evidently ex-pupils of 3rd standard schools go on to a 4th standard in a nearby school to a very considerable extent. Also, possibly because of the fact that they have no access easily to schools with standards beyond the 4th, their education largely stops at that stage. In schools up to the 7th standard the proportion of those who have passed the 4th standard included in the sample is low, because having the facility for continuing education in the school itself a large proportion of those who pass the 4th standard avail themselves of it and continue their education. It has to be noted, however, that in contrast to the proportion in the sample of those who have passed the 4th standard the proportion of those who left in the 4th standard, having failed at the examination or before appearing at it is as large for the 7th standard schools as for any other type.

Other factors of an educational character which might influence lapse are ( $i$ ) the extent of later education received by the student, (ii) the period for which he stays at school and (iii) the age at which he leaves school. Table No. 15 gives data relating to pupils who received some further education after leaving school. It will be seen that only a very small proportion of the total number of persons tested received such education. The small number shown to have continued in the ordinary school system has been included either in disregard of the instruction not to include students who had continued their education after passing the 4th standard examination or indicates students who, at the time of the investigation, reported having continued education in a school other than the school included in the sample after passing the 4th standard examination but regarding the extent of which further education no definite information was available to the investigating officers. The group of adult class students indicates chiefly those who had attended the recently opened adult educational classes in the villages. In respect of both these groups little lapse might obviously be expected. The two most numerous groups are, however, those who received some kind of technical or craft education and those who received education

TABLE 15.
Survey resulta classified according to the tumo nf adunatinn manoived after leaving school and the standard at the time oy obevng sonool.
[L-LLiterate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

other than that included in any of the well-defined groups. It is clear that such further education of the latter type as was reported, was of a miscellaneous fragmentary type and made no material difference to lapse into illiteracy. The extent of the lapse in both the 2nd standard and the 3rd standard pupils of those who had received some other undefined type of education is almost the same as of the persons who had not received any further education. The group of those who had received some technical training shows a smaller percentage of total lapse than the average of total lapse, but this average is obviously due to the group containing a large proportion of students who had left school in the higher standards. This is made clear by the fact that in this group the lapse among those who had left in the 2nd standard is not significantly less than the average percentage for that group. However, the almost total absence of lapse in cases of those who had left in standards higher than the 2nd standard would indicate that craft or trade education, even a little and of the most elementary sort, might help somewhat in the retention of literacy.

The two other types of considerations of educational character relate to periods and ages. Table No. 16 gives the period spent at schools by pupils. The data in this table are divided into two classes showing periods that were continuous and discontinuous. The data in this table have to be interpreted with considerable caution. In the first instance it would seem (this is the opinion of most officers having knowledge of the practical working of the primary school system) that the period indicated by the school register regarding the time spent at school by a pupil is not to be taken as indicating the actual time spent by him there. Further, even when the school register shows continuity this does not mean that attendance of the pupil was really continuous. Moreover, the data were not definitive in case of pupils who had migrated from one school to another. Because of many difficulties no attempt was made to trace the school career of a migatorry student in registers of the schools to which he had migrated. Beyond the register of the school actually included in the sample the information taken down was such as was given by the person tested himself. The non-availibility of many school registers was also a considerable handicap in compiling information in this regard. The number of those whose schooling has been shown in the table as discontinuous is so small that they might be disregarded in commenting upon the investigational results. In considering this table the average total results by years are not very significant. The percentage of lapse decreases progressively with the number of years put at school. But this is chiefly because the pupils who left in thf. higher standards are more dominant in the longer period than pupila

TABLE 16.
Survey results classificd aceording to the duration of school-life of the mupil and the standard at the time of leaving sehool.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, 1.-Illiterate]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { school-life } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{/}{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\underset{\neq 6}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\frac{1}{\psi}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $1 \underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { s.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | S.L | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| I. CONTINUOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 years | 64.2 | 13.5 | 22.3 | 251 | 88.9 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 99 | 100 | - | - | 20 | 72.7 | 11.3 | 16.0 | 870 |
| 4 | 64.1 | 23.3 | 12.6 | 167 | 87.8 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 150 | 94.4 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 70 | 78.6 | 15.0 | 6.4 | 387 |
| 5 | 64.2 | 21.7 | 14.1 | 120 | 88.3 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 168 | 96.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 136 | 82.1 | 11.1 | 6.8 | 424 |
| 6 | 63.8 | 19.0 | 17.2 | 58 | 91.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 136 | 98.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 154 | 89.4 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 348 |
| 7 | 81.1 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 37 | 80.6 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 103 | 97.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 129 | 88.9 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 269 |
| 8 | 76.3 | 19.1 | 4.6 | 21 | 91.3 | - | 8.7 | 46 | 97.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 85 | 92.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 152 |
| 9 \& over years | 61.5 | 15.4 | 23.1 | 13 | 97.6 | - | 2.4 | 42 | 96.8 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 107 | 98.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 162 |
| II DISCONTINUOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 \& less years | 72.7 | 27.3 | - | 11 | 100 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 86.4 | 13.6 | - | 22 |
| 4 \& 5 | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 6 | 66.7 | - | 38.3 | 3 | 77.8 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 9 | 66.7 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 18 |
| 6 \& 7 | 75.0 | 25.0 | - | 8 | 66.7 | 33.3 | - | 6 | 100 | - | - | 10 | 83.3 | 16.7 | - | 24 |
| $8 \& 9$ | 66.7 | 33.3 | - | 6 | 71.4 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 7 | 100 | - | - | 10 | 82.6 | 18.1 | 4.3 | 23 |
| 10 \& 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | 5 | 100 | - | - | 5 |
| 12 \& over years | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | 2 | 100 | - |  | 1 | 100 | - | - | 3 |
| III Not given | 83.3 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 54 | 94.4 | 5.6 | - | 71 | 99.1 | - | 0.9 | 108 | 94.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 233 |
| Grand total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

who have left in the lower standards. The statistics have, therefore, to be interpreted in relation to the standard in which students left school. The need for caution in interpretation is reinforced by such facts as presence of pupils who were reported to have put in only 3 years at school among those who passed the 4th standard or left in the 4th standard. This might, of course, be due to the students having received education for earlier standards at home, but is most. probably due to their having put in some years at school other than the one included in the sample. Among the group of students who had left in the 2nd standard the proportion of lapse is greater among those who put in only 3 years at school than among those who were in school for either 4 or 5 years. Between the two durations, 4 and 5 little difference is seen in the results. The duration of 6 years, which is also a large group, shows remarkably low percentage of lapse while from the 7th year the extent of lapse seems to increase with the num. ber of years put at school. The last class is obviously of those who are backward. In relation to the 3 rd standard it is to be noticed that those who put in 3 years at school show a smaller percentage of lapse than those who put in 4 years, who in their turn show better results than those who had put in 5 years. Those who were at school for 6 years show in this category also the best results; while those who put in 7 years show worse results than any of the earlier categories of years. Nothing very definite can be said regarding the relation between years at school and the retention of literacy on the basis of these statistics. It is obvious that mere years do not count. On the other hand, if the specially short durations in relation to the standard of attainment might indicate the inclusion of a certain proportion of hasty and ill-judged promotions, then this would explain the better results given by the moderately long periods.

The last factor which might be considered in the educational category is the age at the time of leaving school of the student. Many of the statistical limitations due chiefly to lack of certain school registers mentioned above apply almost equally to a consideration of this factor. Table No. 17 gives the data relating to the age of pupils at the time of leaving school and the standards in which they left school. The results are very similar to those yielded by the data relating to the number of years at school. The average results for the different age groups show a progressive lowering of the percentage till the group 13-15. In the 16-18 group, however, the percentage is higher than in the earlier age group. Examining the data by standards it is similarly observed that there is a progressive lowering of the lapse percentages in each standard for the first 3 groups of ages. The difference in percentages between two neighbouring groups is marked but not large. The age group 16 to 18 is seen to be worse off

TABLE 17.
Survey results classified according to the age of the pupil at the time of leaving, gchool and the atandard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| Age at | 2nd Std. |  |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  | 1 | 4th Std. |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the time of leaving achool (years.) | $\underset{\mathcal{F}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{r}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{S . L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I Total } \\ \% \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\nsim / 2}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\mathscr{\%}}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |


| 9 and less | 63.0 | 16.9 | 20.1 | 154 | 77.3 | 13.7 | 9.0 | 44 | 90.0 | 10.0 | - | 10 | 67.3 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 208 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-12 | 66.1 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 369 | 86.4 | 10.2 | 3.4 | 374 | 96.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 274 | 81.6 | 10.7 | 7.7 | 1017 |
| 13-15 | 72.7 | 18.0 | 9.3 | 194 | 90.8 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 346 | 97.7 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 431 | 90.2 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 971 |
| 16-18 | 57.0 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 28 | 86.4 | 10.6 | 3.0 | 66 | 96.6 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 118 | 88.2 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 212 |
| 19-21 | 100 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | 4 | 100 | - | - | 6 |
| 22 \& over | 100 | - | - | 1 | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | 2 | 100 | - | - | 1 | 75.0 | - | 25.0 | 4 |
| Not given | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | 4 | 100 | - | - | 12 | 100 | - | - | 6 | 90.9 | - | 9.1 | 22 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 2440 |

in each standard than the age group 13 to 15 . The only general tendency that seem to be exhibited by these statistics is that, as a rule, there is less chance of a lapse, for each standard, the higher the age up to which the student is retained within the school system. This does not, however, apply to age groups of about 16 and above at which the continuance of the student in the primary stage may be taken to be an indication of definite mental backwardness.
7. Social and Economic Circumstances.-Among individual social factors the most important, obviously is the caste and religion of the person tested. Table No. 18 sets out the data relating to religion and castes tabulated according to standards at leaving school. The advanced classes have been grouped into two ( $i$ ) the priest and writer class, such as Brahmins, Prabhus, etc., and (ii) the trading classes, such as Wani, Sonar, etc. Among these the trading classes show the better results and show, as a matter of fact, the best results of all the religions and social groups. The small Brahmin-Prabhu group shows 2 cases of partial lapse and one case of complete lapse. (The fourth case, of the person who had passed the 4th standard, has to be neglected for reasons stated above). The results of this group are thus better than that of any other Hindu group but are slightly worse than that of the Muslim sample. The sample of Muslims shows much better results than that of any Hindu group except that of the trading communities, both in the average and for all individual standards! Amongst Hindus the group showing results next to the advanced classes are the groups of Lingayats, of Gujarati-Marwadis, and of the artisan castes. The first two groups engage very largely in trading activities and presumably, members belonging to the artisan castes have also to do business or trading in a small way. It will be observed that the average lapse in the case of these classes is low because of a rather higher proportion leaving school in upper standards than contained in the whole sample. The extent of the lapse by standards does not show the same generally favourable position in their case as the average result. Thus in relation to the lapse from the second standard the showing of the Gujarati-Marwadi group is worse than the average and that of the artisan castes worse than that of the service castes. The small numbers involved in the specific sub-groups make it, however, difficult to postulate a definite gradation. Negatively, at least, it may be said that the better showing of this intermediate group of trading or quasi-trading communities is more due to their members ordinarily continuing schooling up to a higher standard than to any special ability to retain literacy even when they had left school in earlier standards. The same feature is noticeable on a consideration of the data relating to the other substantial groups, i.e., the Marathas, the scheduled castes and the ser-

TABLE 18
Survey results classified according to caste and religion of the pupil and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

vice castes. The average result of the scheduled castes is worse than that of the Marathas, but the lapse shown by the Maratha sample leaving the 2nd standard is greater than that shown by the similar sample of the scheduled castes. The composition of the total sample obviously plays in this case a very important part. The scheduled castes sample contains a much smaller percentage of 4th passed students, for example, than the sample of any other substantial group. It follows also that there is a high concentration in the schedule castes sample of those leaving in the lower standards. These data therefore indicate that except in the case of the advanced Hindus castes and that of the Muslims and, perhaps, the Lingayats, the community factor does not materially affect the extent of the lapse of persons who had left school in specific standards, and that in the other groups there is a marked variation in average results largely because there is a marked variation in the composition of the sample. The chief difference made in the extent of literacy is thus the difference made by the fact that students of some communities continue their school education, on an average, up to higher standards than those of others.

Apart from the special factor of caste the fact of occupation might have the most considerable importance. The concentration of the sample, Table No. 19, in the occupation of agriculture did not make it possible to obtain many sub-groups for comparative purposes. The numbers in most groups other than agriculture are not large. The very small sub-group of those actually engaged in writing or accounting work, of course, shows no case of lapse. It is, however, to be noted that there are lapses recorded amongst owners of shops. Most rural shops are very small affairs and the business of a majority of them can get on without the maintenance of any written record or accounts or correspondence. The landless labour class shows the highest proportion of lapse, higher than that of the agriculturists, both in the average and for individual standards. Those engaged in labour, other than agricultural, such as service at shop etc., show a smaller degree of lapse than the agricultural labourers but larger than that of the group of agriculturists. Those engaged in the artisan occupations, on the other hand, show a percentage of lapse which is less than that of the agriculturists, both in the average and for specific standards. None of these resuits are of an unexpected nature. As the bulk of the rural population belongs to the agriculturist and labouring class, it is their problem with which we are chiefly concerned. But it is noteworthy that being engaged in even artisan or trading pursuits does not necessarily lead to prevention of a lapse into illiteracy.

TABLE 19.
Survey resulte classificd according to the present occupation of the pupil and the standard at the time of leaving achool.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

|  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present occupation | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \cdot \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\underset{\%_{\%}}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | ${\underset{\%}{w}}^{L_{1}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} . \mathbf{L} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. |
| Agriculture | 64.8 | 18.6 | 16.6 | 488 | 86.5 | 9.5 | 4.0 | 569 | 96.0 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 506 | 82.8 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 1568 |
| Shopowner | 89.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 19 | 94.4 | 5.6 | - | 36 | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 66 | 96.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 121 |
| Clerical, accounts, etc. | 100 | - | :- | 1 | 100 | - | - | 8 | 100 | - | - | 1 | 100 | - | - | 6 |
| Agricultural labour | 62.0 | 20.0 | 28.0 | 25 | 84.6 | 3.8 | 11.6 | 26 | 100 | - | - | 17 | 76.5 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 68 |
| Shop-assistants, etc. | 62.9 | 22.3 | 14.8 | 27 | 87.1 | 9.7 | 3.2 | 31 | 100 | - | $\cdots$ | 17 | 81.3 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 75 |
| Artisans | 72.1 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 89 | 92.4 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 105 | 97.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 166 | 89.7 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 360 |
| Others | 75.8 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 87 | 90.6 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 64 | 98.4 | 1.6 | - | 61 | 86.8 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 212 |
| Not given | 75.0 | -- | 25.0 | 4 | 100 | - | - | 2 | 100 | - | - | 2 | 87.5 | - | 12.5 | 8 |
| Non-earners * uncmployed | 52.9 | 95.3 | 11.8 | 17 | 75.0 | $\cdots$ | 25.0 | 8 | 87.6 | - | 12.6 | 8 | 66.7 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 33 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |
| Persons with subsidiary occupations | 69.9 | 16.5 | 13.6 | 206 | 89.0 | 6.9 | 4.1 | 245 | 96.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 255 | 86.3 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 706 |

The next feature of economic importance is the annual income of the person tested. It might be stated at the outset that information relating to this could not be easily calculated and was not very freely given; and it is, perhaps, subject to a large margin of error. On the whole, however, the information makes it possible to classify results in broad groups. Table No. 20 shows the results of this classification. The average literacy progressively increases as income mounts up till the figure of $\mathrm{Rs}, 300$ of annual income is reached. The individual standard results are largely similar. The lapse in the second standard cases is progressively lower till the Rs. 200 annual income limit is reached; but as between those whose income varies between Rs. 100 and 199 and those whose income lies between Rs. 200 and 299 the higher income group shows a slightly greater percentage of lapse. The same is the case with the two groups Rs. 51 to 74 and Rs. 75 to 99 . But if the whole group with an annual income of Rs. 51 to 99 is considered together then the percentage of lapse that it shows is markedly greater than the lapse in the group Rs. 100 to 199. Also, the lapse in the group of people whose income is shown to be specially low, i.e., below Rs. 50, is very high not only in the average but also in the 2nd and the 3rd standards. A feature that was brought out in the discussion of the factor of castes is further emphasized by this table. This relates to the composition of the sample. In the high income groups the concentration of the sample in the higher standards is marked. This naturally affects average results. It is, however, to be noted that the variations in the individual standard results are also definitely more marked in the case of income groups than in the case of caste groups; so that among persons leaving school in the same standard persons in the lower income groups seem to tend to suffer from a larger liability to lapse. The progressive tendency is not, however, to be marked after the average income of about Rs. 200 per annum has been reached. Lapse is specially pronounced only in lower ranges i.e. in the class of the very poor.

Other facts of economic importance are earning status and unemployment. Our enquiry revealed that less than 1 per cent. of the persons tested were non-earners and the total number of unemployed recorded was only 8 . There is, therefore, no point in discussing these features in relation to our statistics.

A fact which is presumably of some importance in the retention of literacy is the question of migration to outside places or a stay at them for definite periods. The permanent migrants could, of course, not be included in our sample. It included only those who had gone out for some time and had later returned to the village. The vast majority of those who thus migrated temporarily did so seeking

TABLE 20.
Survey results classified according to the annual income of the pupil and the standard at the time of leaving achool.
[L.-Literate, S, L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

|  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual income | $\begin{aligned} & L \\ & \neq 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{S} . \mathrm{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{L}_{\%}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbf{I}}{\%}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L} \\ & \boldsymbol{\%} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. |
| Rs. 50 \& below | 58.3 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 60 | 84.5 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 58 | 97.6 | - | 2.4 | 41 | 76.1 | 13.8 | 10.1 | 159 |
| Rs. 51-74 | 66.0 | 18.6 | 20.4 | 162 | 85.0 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 120 | 94.5 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 111 | 70.9 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 388 |
| Rs. 75-99 | 62.5 | 18.0 | 19.5 | 72 | 85.1 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 101 | 95.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 81 | 81.9 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 254 |
| Rs. 100-199 | 72.2 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 270 | 88.6 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 817 | 98.0 | 2.0 | - | 843 | 87.3 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 980 |
| Rs. 200-299 | 71.1 | 21.1 | 7.8 | 90 | 92.5 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 120 | 97.8 | 2.2 | - | 134 | 88.9 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 344 |
| Rs. 300-399 | 66.7 | 26.6 | 6.7 | 15 | 83.4 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 30 | 97.1 | 2.9 | - | 35 | 86.3 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 80 |
| Rs. $400-499$ | 71.4 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 7 | 100 | - | - | 13 | 100 | - | - | 15 | 94.4 | 2.8 | - 2.8 | 35 |
| Rs. 500-749 | 81.8 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 11 | 100 | - | - | 15 | 100 | - | - | 14 | 95.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 40 |
| Rs. 750 \& over | 100 | - | - | 3 | 100 | - | - | 2 | 100 | - | - | 7 | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 12 |
| Not given | 80.0 | 20.0 | - | 5 | 92.9 | 7.1 | - | 14 | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 12 | 93.5 | 6.5 | - | 31 |
| Common income earners, 'noncarners, unemployed | 50.8 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 57 | 81.5 | 13.0 | 5.5 | 54 | 92.2 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 51 | 74.1 | 14.2 | 11.7 | 162 |
| - Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | , 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

employment of some type or another. Of those who had stayed outside the village for a period of some months or more at some time in their previous career 95 per cent. did so in order to earn their living. Table Nos. 21-22 give details relating to the places to which migrants had gone and the periods for which they had stayed away. The bulk of the migrants went to Bombay and the Bombay migrants sample, which is the only substantial one, shows results which are on the average and for specific standards only a little better than the average of the whole sample. Similarly the period table does not' give any definite indication. While, for example, in the lapse of 2nd standard pupils those who had stayed away for less than a year show larger lapse than those who had gone away for between one year and 2 years, those who had stayed away for more than 4 years do not show a lapse significantly less than those who had stayed away for only between a year and two. On the whole, the table would indicate that occasional or periodic migrantion to places like Bombay for earning a living does not help the retention of literacy or the prevention of lapse to any significant extent.
8. Reading and Writing Habits.-Other important factors of individual circumstance in relation to the retention of writing and reading skills are writing and reading habits formed by individuals. It is obvious that to the extent that reading habits have been formed or occasions for exercising skill in writing are available this would prevent a lapse into illiteracy. Information relating to both these facts were, of course, taken down as reported by the person tested himself and could not be separately checked. The information has been tabulated in Table Nos. 23 and 24. It is noteworthy that quite a substantial number of those who professed to have occasions for reading domestic correspondence etc. were found not to be possessed of any writing ability and that this was true to a small extent even of those who professed to write letters occasionally. Some of the latter could not pass the writing test administered to them. Apart from this the data are important as revealing the extent to which reading and writing skills remain unutilised in after life. A very substantial proportion confessed to having no occasions either for reading or writing after they had left school. If we consider that those who reported that their reading and writing was confined to domestic correspondence also fall within the category of general nonuse of ability (and this assumption can be made easily because of the great infrequency of rural correspondence) then those who do not find any ordinary use for the skills acquired during school formed evidently a majority in the case of reading and a large majority in the case of writing, of the total population of ex-pupils. This emphasizes the fact that without any special effort being made to see

TABLE 21.
Survey reaulte classified acoording to the place of migration and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| Place of migration | 2nd std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{L}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbf{I}}{\%}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. |
| Bombay | 74.3 | 17.1 | 8.6 | 70 | 86.4 | 13.6 | - | 96 | 96.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 88 | 86.4 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 849 |
| Poona, Sholapur, etc. | 66.7 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 6 | 100 | - | - | 16 | 100 | - | - | 14 | 94.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 36 |
| Satara, etc. | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | 2 | 100 | - | - | 4 | 100 | - | - | 10 | 98.7 | 6.8 | - | 16 |
| More than one of above | 90.0 | - | 10.0 | 10 | 100 | - | - | 7 | 100 | - | - | 16 | 97.0 | - | 3.0 | 93 |
| Others | 65.9 | 19.2 | 14.9 | 47 | 90.9 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 44 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 71 | 86.4 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 162 |
| Total migrants | 71.9 | 17.0 | 11.1 | 135 | 89.8 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 167 | 97.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 194 | 87.9 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 496 |
| Non-migrants | 65.8 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 617 | 87.3 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 677 | 96.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 650 | 83.6 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 1944 |
| Grand total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

TABLE 22.
Survey results classified according to the pariod of stay outaide the village and the standard at the time of leaving school,
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]


## TABLE 28.

Survey resulte olatsified according to the prosent roading habit of the pxpil and the standard at the time of leavixa achool. [L.-Literate, S. L.-Wemi-literate, I.-IIIIterate]

| Present reading habit | - 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 8rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | S.L | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Tothl | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | S. | $\underset{\%}{\text { I }}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{K} . L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L}_{\boldsymbol{\%}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Privata correspondent | 92.5 | 7.5 | - | 172 | 96.6 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 269 | 99.8 | 0.7 | - | 269 | 96.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 710' |
| News-papers | 98.4 | 1.6 | - | 64 | 98.4 | 1.6 | - | 124 | 98.6 | 1.4 | - | 208 | 98.5 | 1.5 | $\cdots$ | 396 |
| Religious books | 95.8 | 4.2 | - | 24 | 94.3 | 5.7 | - | 35 | 98.1 | 1.9 | - | 55 | 96.5 | 3.5 | - | 114. |
| Miscellaneous (oceasional) | 89.3 | 10.7 | - | 181 | 90.5 | 9.5 | - | 168 | 100 | - | - | 164 | 93.5 | 6.5 | - | 463 |
| None | 38.9 | 28.6 | 32.5 | 361 | 70.1 | 16.6 | 18.3 | 248 | 86.5 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 148 | 58.5 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 757 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2' | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

## TABLE 24.

Survey results classifed according to the present occasions for writing and the standard at the time of leaving school. [L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-Iiterate, I.-Illiterate]

|  |  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| occasions for writing | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}_{6}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{I}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{\%}}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$.L } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathscr{\%}}{\mathbf{I}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $L_{\%}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{T}}$ | Total No. |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occasional correspondenc | 95.3 | 4.7 | - | 244 | 97.9 | 2.1 | - | 381 | 100 | - | - | 495 | 98.2 | 1.8 | - | 1120 |
| Accounts | 100 | - | - | 41 | 97.3 | 2.7 | $\cdots$ | 75 | 89.1 | 0.9 | - | 108 | 98.7 | 1.8 | - | 224 |
| Accounts \& correspondene | 66.7 | 38.3 | - | 3 | 91.7 | 8.3 | - | 12 | 100 | - | $\cdots$ | 7 | 80.9 | 9.1 | - | 22 |
| Nia | 49.4 | 25.4 | 25.2 | 464 | 75.5 | 15.5 | 9.0 | 876 | 89.3 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 283 | 67.1 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 1073 |
| Not given | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | 1 | 100 | - | . | 1. |
| Total | 66.8 | 17.6 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

that reading habits are developed and kept up or that occasions for writing are provided the abilities acquired at school are in the majority of instances unused even though they might not be lost. The case of non-use of an ability throughout life is only theoretically different from actual loss of that ability. A potential that is never realised cannot be considered to have any special significance. Thus while the investigation might be said to reveal a remarkable degree of retention of ability in spite of general non-use, it seems to emphasize that the main problem is not so much relating to the extent of lapse as that relating to making provision for the general use of the faculties with which school education endows pupils.
9. Period of Leaving School.-We may now go on to consideration of the question of the possibility of the increase in lapse in relation to the duration of the period after leaving school. Table No. 25 gives data relating to the different periods of -years during which the pupils left school classified according to the standards in which they left it. The latest period is the single year 1936, and the earliest period is the quinquennium 1911-1915. The most important feature of this table is the lapse shown by the group of students leaving school in 1936. This lapse, for the average, is of almost the same degree as the average lapse for the whole sample for all periods. The examination of the data by standards reveals, however, some peculiar features. The lapse amongst students leaving school in the 2nd standard in 1936 is appreciably smaller than the average lapse of students in this class; whereas this feature is not noticeable amongst students leaving in the 3rd standard in 1936. Curiously enough the lapse in students leaving in the 3rd standard is slightly larger than the corresponding percentage for students leaving in the 2nd standard for the group of students leaving schooi in 1936. This curious reversal in proportions, which is not exemplified elsewhere, may be taken as a statistical accident. But the very large lapse among the 3rd standard students of 1936 indicates that most of the lapse into illiteracy takes place within 5 years of the student's leaving school. Our data do not enable us to say definitely whether a further worsening of the situation takes place between the 5 year and the 10 year period. The very considerable difference in the percentage of lapse between students who left school in 1936 in the 2nd standard and those who left it in the 2nd standard between the years 1931-35 presumably points to such a worsening of the situation. In the data, no further accentuation of the lapse is shown to come about after 10 years of leaving school. The lapse among the group of students leaving school in 1931-35 is the highest among those leaving in the 2nd standard and the 2nd highest among those leaving in the 3 rd standard. It is also higher for those leaving it in 4th stan-

TABLE 25.
Survey results classified aecording to the period of leaving school and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

|  | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 8rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th \$td. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | $\underset{\boldsymbol{L}_{\%}}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{r}}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L}_{\%} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S.L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\%}{\%}$ | Total No. |
| 1911--15 | 63.8 | $\cdot 15.0$ | 21.2 | 113 | 92.5 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 106 | 94.9 | 5.1 | - | 98 | 83.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 317 |
| 1916-20 | 76.3 | 14.5 | 9.2 | 131 | 90.7 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 150 | 96.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 126 | 87.7 | 7.9 | 4.4, | 407 |
| 1921-25 | 66.1 | 18.6 | 15.3 | 124 | 83.8 | 10.2 | 6.0 | 117 | 98.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 117 | 82.4 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 358 |
| 1926-30 | 69.5 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 174 | 86.8 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 189 | 97.2 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 215 | 85.5 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 578 |
| 1931-35 | 58.4 | 21.9 | 10.7 | 137 | 85.5 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 186 | 96.7 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 213 | 83.0 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 536 |
| 1936 | 66.6 | 24.7 | 8.7 | 69 | 88.2 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 85 | 98.6 | 1.4 | - | 70 | 84.8 | 11.6 | 3.6 | 224 |
| Not given | 50.0 | - | 50.0 | 4 | 100 | - | - | 11 | 100 | - | - | 5 | 90.0 | - | 10.0 | 20 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 782 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | $844{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

dard than for the groups of students leaving school between 1921-30. The data do not thus point to any further progressive increase of lapse with the progress of years. In the period groups before 1931 the results do not show any arrangements which can be related to sequence in time. Among students leaving school in the 2nd standard the period 1916-20 shows the best result; whereas for those leaving school in the 3 rd and the 4th standards the corresponding periods are 1911-15 and 1921-25. The 'very broad conclusion which the table may seem to indicate is that the bulk of the lapse takes place within 5 years of leaving school and that while some further increase in the proportion may take place between the period of 5 and 10 years no progressive increase seems to take place after a group of students has left school for a 10 year period.

It is likely that the interpretation of the data contained in this table is complicated by other educational factors influencing lapse than the mere passage of time. It may perhaps be contended that the quality of teaching may deteriorate or that the composition of the student population may change with different periods and that this would lead to changes in results which may conceal the fact of progressive lapse with passage of time. With the data available it is not possible to deal completely with suggestions of this type. It might, however, be pointed out that if there has been a progressive. deterioration in the quality of teaching the fact should be evidenced in the results by some regular trend. As pointed out above, however, there is no definite relation, inverse or direct, to be seen with progressive periods in the table. The results for each quinquennium do not differ from the preceding and the succeeding quinquenniums always in given directions. Unless, therefore, it is postulated that deterioration in teaching standards, and consequently of the attainment of students at leaving school, varied from period to period in a hap-hazard manner the suggestion of this factor affecting the statistics materially does not seem to be tenable. An individual factor, information regarding. which is available in our data, is the present age of persons in the sample. The data relating to this classified by standards is set out in Table No. 26. The only remarkable feature about this table is that the younger age groups for all standards show large percentages of lapses which are in some cases even larger than the average. It shows further that there is no tendency for the lapse to increase with advance in age. On the other hand, it is not necessarily the oldest age groups which show the most considerable retention of literacy. The present age of students cannot be directly connected with the phenomenon of lapse but has to be connected with it through the two factors of the age at leaving school and the length of time since a pupil left school. More detailed com-

TABLE 26.
Survey results classified according to the present age of the pupil and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L-Literate, S. L.-Semi-literate, I.-Illiterate]

| Present age | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{I}}$ | Total No. | ${ }_{\%}^{1}$ | $\underset{\%}{S . L_{1}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{t}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & L_{1} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | S.L | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{I}}$ | Total No. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{L}_{\%} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\alpha}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. |
| 11-15 years | 56.0 | 20.0 | 24.0 | 25 | 77.8 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 9 | 100 | - | - | 4 | 65.8 | 15.8 | 18.4 | 38 |
| 16-20 \# | 58.6 | 24.1 | 17.3 | 133 | 87.6 | 8.8 | 3.6 | 187 | 93.5 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 107 | 79.0 | 12.2 | 8.8 | 877 |
| 21-25 | 66.2 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 157 | 85.9 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 198 | 98.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 222 | 85.4 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 577 |
| 26-30 | 69.4 | 18.9 | 16.7 | 144 | 86.3 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 161 | 97.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 170 | 85.3 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 476 |
| 31-35 | 74.5 | 16.2 | 9.3 | 108 | 86.7 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 113 | 98.3 | 1.7 | - | 118 | 86.7 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 339 |
| 36-40 | 69.4 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 118 | 91.9 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 124 | 96.2 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 126 | 86.1 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 368 |
| 41-45 n | 69.8 | 12.7 | 17.5 | 68 | 91.0 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 88 | 94.4 | 5.6 | - | 89. | 86.7 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 240 |
| 46 \& over years | - | 33.8 | 66.7 | 3 | 92.3 | $\cdots$ | 7.7 | 13 | 100 | - | - | 7 | 82.6 | 4.3 | 13.1 | 23 |
| Not given | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 100 | - | - | 1 | 100 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1 | 100 | $\cdots$ | - | 3 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

ments have therefore been made in paragraphs dealing with those features of the situation.
10. Talukas.-The talukas are, of course, not in themselves homogeneous and from each, as has been pointed out above, are included samples of different types of schools and different types of physical environment. However, in some broad respects the characteristics of the sample for the taluka as a whole may be uniform within the taluka and differ from the samples of other talukas. It would be interesting, therefore, to see whether the results show any marked difference between taluka and taluka which could be significantly interpreted. Table No. 27 gives data relating to talukas classified by standards. Its most arresting feature is perhaps the large differences in the results as between the different talukas. It is almost equally surprising that the talukas which do the best or the worst have not necessarily much in common. The best results are shown by talukas of such diverse types as Wai, Man and Shirala. On the other hand the worst two, i.e., Jaoli and Khanapur are equally divergent in characteristics. The somewhat random distribution of results, i.e., their non-association with any specific types of taluka is further brought out on an examination of the results by standards. Those who do the worst for pupils leaving school in the 2nd standard do not necessarily show the worst results for pupils leaving in the 3rd standard. For example, Khanapur which has the 2nd worst result for second standard pupils has a better showing than the average for pupils of the 3rd standard while Man which has a remarkably low percentage of lapse among 2nd standard pupils (by far the least among talukas) has a percentage of lapse among 3rd standard pupils which is much higher than the average. Among all the talukas the Jaoli group, however, shows a consistentiy bad result. Whether interpreted by standards or by types of schools the Jaoli data are seen to be among the worst in each sub-group. In its composition the Jaoli sample is not overloaded with such groups as that of the scheduled castes. It would, therefore, seem that the ensemble of Jaoli conditions whatever they might be, has definitely led to a greater lapse than in the other talukas. Elsewhere, no such conclusion, favourable or unfavourable, can evidenty be drawn from the data. The taluka data might thus modify our previous conclusion to this extent that whereas single features of environment might not affect the situation a large conjunction of unfavourable physical, social and economic circumstances might lead to lapse being specially pronounced for a particular region.
11. Conclusion.-We may now summarise the composite results of the various factors affecting lapse which have been separately F... 5

TABLE 27.
Survey results olassified according to the talukas and the standard at the time of leaving school.
[L.-Literate, S. L.-Semi-iiterate. I.-Illiterate]

| Taluka | 2nd Std. |  |  |  | 3rd Std. |  |  |  | 4th Std. |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%_{\%}^{\mathbf{L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\%$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{L}$ | ${\underset{\sigma}{S} . \mathrm{L}}^{\text {L }}$ | $\underset{\%}{\mathbf{I}}$ | Total No. | ${ }_{\%}^{L}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total No. | $\underset{\%}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{\%}{\text { S.L }}$ | $\stackrel{I}{\%}$ | Total No. |
| Jaoli | 52.6 | 10.6 | 36.8 | 19 | 70.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 20 | 85.3 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 34 | 72.6 | 11.0 | 16.4 | 73 |
| Karad | 60.3 | 19.1 | 20.6 | 68 | 83.3 | 13.9 | 2.8 | 72 | 96.7 | 8.3 | - | 91 | 81.8 | 11.3 | 6.9 | 231 |
| Khanapur | 47.5 | 32.8 | 19.7 | 61 | 88.2 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 68 | 95.4 | 4.6 | - | 43 | 75.6 | 15.7 | 8.7 | 172 |
| Khatav | 66.6 | 20.3 | 13.1 | 84 | 82.9 | 15.8 | 1.3 | 76 | 97.0 | 8.0 | - | 67 | 81.1 | 13.6 | 5.3 | 227 |
| Koregaon | 63.8 | 8.5 | 27.7 | 47 | 90.2 | 9.8 | - | 61 | 93.7 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 48 | 88.3 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 156 |
| Men | 88.0 | - | 12.0 | 50 | 84.5 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 71 | 98.8 | 1.2 | - | 84 | 01.2 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 205 |
| Patan | 79.2 | 11.9 | 8.9 | 67 | 92.8 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 70 | 100 | - | - | 65 | 90.6 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 202 |
| Satara | 45.4 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 33 | 81.6 | 18.4 | - | 49 | 96.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 75. | 80.9 | 12.7 | 6.4 | 157 |
| Shirala | 74.5 | 15.3 | 10.2 | 59 | 98.3 | 1.7 | - | 58 | 100 | - | - | 64 | 91.2 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 181 |
| Tasgaon | 59.4 | 22.4 | 18.2 | 116 | 88.4 | 2.3 | 9.3 | 129 | 95.8 | - | 4.2 | 71 | 79.4 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 316 |
| Wai | 84.1 | 2.3 | 13.6 | 44 | 90.4 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 63 | 97.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 67 | 91.4 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 174 |
| Walwa | 72.1 | 22.1 | 5.8 | 104 | 89.7 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 107 | 98.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 135 | 87.9 | 9.5 | 2.6 | 346 |
| Total | 66.9 | 17.5 | 15.6 | 752 | 87.8 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 844 | 96.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 844 | 84.5 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 2440 |

discussed so far. The important negative results may in the first instance be reiterated as they are somewhat remarkable. Our investigational data do not indicate that one-teacher schools are specially responsible for lapse of ex-pupils of the school system into illiteracy. To the extent that it has been possible to classify our results in that direction, the lack of training on the part of teachers does not also seem to be responsible for any special degree of such lapse. Confining ourselves to the specific phenomenon investigated and to the data collected during investigation we might state that oneteacher schools and untrained teachers do not in this connection seem to constitute problems of major importance.

The most important positive result of the investigation is to show a sharp fall in the lapse into illiteracy with the progressive increase of the standard in which a student leaves school. To a small extent the lapse seems also to be affected by the age at which a student leaves school, the lapse being smaller the higher the age at leaving. The most important obvious step towards preventing lapse is thus to keep the pupil at school up to as high a standard as possible. The investigational data would indicate that, as a minimum, it is necessary for a pupil to complete a 4-year course at school in order to ensure the retention of literacy through all his later life. Compulsion in this regard seems to be the only remedy that is likely to prove effective.

A feature of the situation brought out by this investigation which appears to be even more important than the extent of lapse is the large current non-use of ability or skill acquired at school. It appears that in the majority of instances reading and writing habits are neither developed nor maintained and that the educational effort is effectively wasted even though there is no actual lapse into illiteracy. It is to be noted that a small proportion of even those who have passed the 4th standard examination report no current use at all of reading ability and that a very substantial proportion of them report no use of it other than that required in domestic correspondance. This indicates that the problem is not one to be met by mere increase of standards of years at school. Similarly it would appear that mere provision of passive agencies such as libraries could not materially affect the results. The percentages of lapse among those belonging to 7th standard schools, and among those inhabiting villages with a population of 2,000 and above and among those who have stayed at cities like Bombay, make it clear that mere increase in facilities or equipment would not solve the problem. If the abilities acquired at school are to be used and to prove fruitful their constant utilization must be stimulated by some active external efforts. These considerations are further emphasized by the fact that the incidence of lapse
into illiteracy is specially high among the so called intermediate and backward classes, among agriculturists and agricultural labourers and among the very poor. A further intensification of educational effort will increase the proportion of students in the primary school system belonging to the above categories. It is highly unlikely that such persons will of themselves take steps to prevent a lapse or a nonuse of abilities acquired at school. Therefore, in order to ensure that expenditure incurred on the system of Primary Education is not wasted the authorities must also accept the responsibility of providing for the stimulation and continued use of powers attained during a pupil's career at school. Our investigation indicates that a lapse into illiteracy, when it takes place, does so within a comparatively early period after leaving school. The efforts intended to be supplementary to the school system must, therefore, be planned to begin almost immediately after the pupil's leaving school. The work aimed at preventing non-use of ability acquired at school will thus have two aspects (i) some type of continuation work in the period immediately after a pupil leaves school and (ii) activity aiming at stimulation and maintenance of reading and writing, etc., habits among adult expupils. The continuation work should obviously be in the nature of extension of the work of the school itself. In places where the present number of pupils handled per teacher is low this activity can be undertaken without any addition to the school staff. In other places additional staff would be necessary but the results would repay the expenditure. The second type of effort mentioned above would mean the branching out of adult education into aspects other than the mere imparting of literacy. Special classes for adults could of course, be conducted and adult education also must, in any event, be linked on to the work of the rural school. Activities such as special classes for adults might not, however, be administratively or financially pos. sible in all areas and localities. It would, therefore, be of the greatest help if the aim of the stimulation of reading and writing ability is constantly borne in mind in all the activities of the State. All kinds of rural development programmes might, if properly directed, help towards this end. What is really most required is that there should constantly flow into the village a stream of literature of the casual sort circulars, notifications, leaflets, broad-sheet, etc., printed in attractive manner, in bold type, containing material that would really interest the rural adult. It might even be suggested that this aspect of the stimulation of reading might be borne in mind even in the drawing up and printing of ordinary official notices publicly exhibited, such as those of the revenue department. And it should be defined as the business of some agency, preferably of the rural school, to get
as large a bulk of the population as possible interested in the literature so made available.

It-does not fall within the scope of this report to enter into any details of the problem of educational reconstruction. It might, however, be claimed that the situation revealed by our investigation indicates the need of a minimum period of compulsory schooling being integrated with continuation and adult education activities. This in its turn leads to the conclusion that the future plan of educational reconstruction should not be based on the planting of a small number of highly developed central institutions in a large area, but should aim at continuing and developing educational activity as far as possible in each locality. The results pertaining to one-teacher schools and small villages in this investigation lead to the expectation that such a programme will not only be feasible but will also prove fruitful

## APPENDIX 1.

## A Statistical Note on the Numerical Scoring of the CategoriesLiterate, Semi-literate and Illiterate.

As was explained in Chapter III, the investigation reiated to the retention of the ability to read and ability to write. The possession of the two abilities together, as revealed by a certain test procedure, was supposed to indiate possession of literacy. The non-possession of both these skills was taken to indicate lapse into illiteracy. There appeared, however, many cases where the reading ability was unaccompanied by ability to write. A special and intermediate category of persons was therefore defined and called semi-literates. They were considered as cases of partial lapse into illiteracy. However, though this distinction between the complete and partial lapse was made and was retained in the several statistical tables presented, on account of analytical difficulties, during the discussion on the tables, the complete and the partial lapse cases were often added together to obtain a measure of total lapse. A method to keep the distinction between the cases of complete and partial lapse while at the same time to obtain a joint measure of the total lapse, would be to attribute certain numerical scores to literates, semi-literates and illiterates respectively which would enable the computation of average scores for subjects in various categories. A statistical basis of determining such numerical scores is explained in the following note.

To begin with we might note that obviously the literate must be assigned the maximum and the illiterate the minimum of the scores and that the semiliterate should have a score intermediate between the two. Next, in every system of numerical scoring, there is need to determine what is called the origin or zero point and the unit of measurement. In the present context, this means that we must decide initially to what degree of literacy we should assign the score zero and what difference in literacy should be called a unit difference. For instance, we might assign the 'illiterates' that is those who could neither read nor write, the score 0 and assign the 'literates' that is those who could both read and write the score 1, so that the difference between the two abilitics is regarded as the unit difference. It is easy to see that both these decisions are arbitrary and are not more than a matter of convenience so that any other choice of the zero point and the unit of measurement would do equally well. For instance, we might assign the score 10 to the illiterate and the score 11 to the semi-literate. This would mean that the difference between the degree of the literacy of the 'illitrate' and the 'semi-literate' was to be regarded as a unit and the zero point of literacy was to be placed 10 such units below the degree of literacy of the 'illiterate'.

It may be readily seen that the choice of a zero point and a scale of measurement does not affect the comparison between two groups of subjects. In the first place the difference between the scores of two subjects or average scores of two groups of subjects is not at all affected by the choice of the zero point just as the distance between two places on a road is not affected by the choice of a zero point wherefrom the mile stones have been laid. On the other hand the choice of the scale of measurement affects this difference but only in the same way as is affected the distance between the two places by our choice to measure it either in miles or in kilometers. It is obvious therefore that the
choice of the zero point and the unit or scale of measurement may be made according to convenience and we propose that we might take the degree of literacy of the 'illiterate' as the zero and the difference between the 'illiterate' and the 'literate' as the unit difference.

The problem in scoring now to resolve is that if we regard the difference between the illiterate and the literate to be unity, what should be the difference between the semi-literate and the literate on the one hand and the semi-literate and the illiterate on the other. In other words, the scoring problem to resolve is that having placed the illiterate and the literate at the 0 and 1 points of a scale respectively, where should we place the semi-literate. Obviously, it should be placed somewhere between the two. we have therefore to determine the precise score between 0 and 1 that we should assign to the semi-literate.

This decision may be taken quite arbitrarily such that the semi-literate might be assigned the score $\frac{1}{2}$. It might also be taken on the basis of a more objective principle. We propose the following :-

Among the many factors we have considered as possibly affecting the retention of literacy, the most important, of course, is the standards from which the persons left the school. While determining the score between 0 and 1 to be assigned to the semi-literates, we might, therefore, so fix it as would maximise the differences between the average scores between groups of persons who left the schools in different standards. The actual computation of such a score is a matter of standard statistical procedure and we shall give below only the main computationsl steps.

If we assign the scores $0, x$, and 1 to the illiterate, semi-illiterate and literate respectively, the average scores of persons who left the school in 2nd, 3rd and 4th standards turn out to be $(503+132 x) / 752,(741+69 x) / 844$, and $(818+17 x) / 844$, respectively. The average score of all the persons together is $(2062+218 \mathrm{x}) / 2440$.

A statistical measure of the variation in the scores of all persons is called the Total Sum of Squares (Total S. S.) which in the present case is given by :Total S. S. $=2062+218 x^{2}-\frac{(2062+218 x)^{2}}{2440}$
This measure of total variation is analysed into two components, namely, variation between groups of persons who left school in different standards and variation within these groups. The component of variation between groups is called the Between Sum of Squares (Between S.S.) and in the present case it is given by :
Between S.S. $=\frac{(508+182 r)^{2}}{752}+\frac{(741+69 x)^{2}}{844}+\frac{(918+17 x)^{2}}{844}-\frac{(2062+218 x)^{2}}{2440}$
The other component, namely, varaition within groups is obtained by subtracting the Between S.S. from the Total S. S. and is called the Within Sum of Squares (Within S. S.).

Now it is proposed to determine $x$, that is the score to be assigned to the semi-literate, so as to maximise the variation between the groups who left the school in different standards as compared with the variation within these groups. For this purpose it is necessary to determine the value of $x$ such as to maximise the ratio between the Between S.S. and the Within S.S.

The mathematical solution to this problem yields the value 0.2146 for x and that is the score we propose to assign to the semi-literate. It will be seen
that thus determined the semi-literste is placed much nearer to the illiterate than to the literate.

In a series of tables numbered from No. 10-A to No. 27-A and given at the end of this appendix are.given the average scores of persons classified by several factors earlier discussed. It will be seen that these tables are only extensions of the correspondingly numbered tables appearing in Chapter III. Hence for the details of the classifying factors, reference may be made to that chapter.

In order to judge the effect of any factor on the retention of literacy, it is, however, not enough to compare the average scores of groups of persons classified by the factor under examination. As we have seen, the main factor affecting the retention of literacy was the standard in which the persons left the school. The average scores of the groups of persons who left the school in II, III and IV standards respectively turn out to be $0.7066,0.8955$ and 0.9735 . Now the average scores of groups of persons classified by any factor such as say age at leaving school, might be different for no other reason except that the composition of the different groups in respect of the standards in which the persons left the school was different. Thus, the average score of persons who left the school at a younger age might be low for no other reason except that a larger proportion of them left the school in lower standards. Hence in order to compare different groups of persons classified by any factor, we must make due allowance for possible differences in their compositions in respect of the standards in which the persons left the school. A method to do this is to suppose that the factor under consideration was in fact not effective and that the differences in the average scores of different groups based on the eactor under consideration were entirely due to the different composition of these groups in respect of the standards of leaving the school. We could then compute the average scores for the different groups to be expected on the basis of the above hypothesis. If the actual average scores are in close agreement with the average scores to be expected on the hypothesis, the hypothesis must be regarded as substantially true and hence the factor under consideration as ineffective. On the other hand, if the actual average scores do not agree with the expected scores, there is reason to suppose that the factor under consideration is effective.

In Tables from No. 10-A to No. 27-A, we have shown, along with the actual average scores, the average scores to be expected on the hypothesis that the factor under consideration was not effective. In those groups where the actual score is more than the expected, the conditions might be regarded as more favourable to the retention of literacy. In order to facilitate the comparing of the actual average scores with the expected scores, the former are expressed as perentages of the latter. An examination of these resulta would show that they are in general agreement with the observations earlier made in Chapter III and that in some cases they would enable the earlier analysis to be carried a step further.

Tables showing actual scores and the average scores to be expected on the hypothesis that the factors under consideration were not effictive in retaining literacy.
TABLE No. 10-A

| Percentage of <br> Pupils Tested to <br> total available <br> in Village | Villages <br> no. |  | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Average score <br> ss percent of <br> expected score |
| :---: |
| 0—10 |

TABLE No. 11-A

| Cases tested | Villages <br> no. | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected acore |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 15 | . | .919 | .875 |
| $11-20$ | 25 | .856 | .857 | 105.0 |
| $21-30$ | 28 | .856 | .869 | 99.8 |
| $31-40$ | 12 | .845 | .867 | 98.4 |
| $41-60$ | 8 | .877 | .864 | 97.5 |
| $71-85$ | 6 | .879 | .860 | 101.5 |
| and 103 |  | -864 | 102.2 |  |
| Total | 104 | .864 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE No. 12-A

| Populstion <br> (1981 Census) | Villages no. | Average score | Expected score | Average score as percent of expected score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 600 | 10 | . 875 | . 858 | 102.6 |
| 3 800 | 19 | . 855 | . 854 | 100.1 |
| - \% 1000 | 13 | . 866 | . 865 | 100.1 |
| - 1500 | 25 | . 892 | . 870 | 102.5 |
| - 2000 | 11 | . 890 | . 870 | 102.3 |
| - $\quad 3000$ | 10 | . 823 | . 875 | 94.1 |
| 3000 \& over | 14 | . 854 | . 869 | 99.4 |
| Not given | 2 | . 782 | . 835 | 98.7 |
| Total | 104 | . 864 | . 864 | 100.0 |


| Communication <br> class of village | Villages <br> no. | TABLE No. 13-A <br> Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected scors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 11 | .835 | .853 | . |
| II | 11 | .850 | .879 | 97.9 |
| III | 11 | .894 | .873 | 102.7 |
| IV | 10 | .914 | .868 | 105.3 |
| V | 9 | .834 | .847 | 98.6 |
| VI | 10 | .915 | .867 | 105.5 |
| VII | 11 | .852 | .857 | 99.4 |
| VIII | 10 | .847 | .854 | 99.2 |
| IX | 11 | .874 | .866 | 100.9 |
| X | 10 | .832 | .872 | 95.4 |
| Total | 104 | .864 | .864 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 14-A

| School type | Villages <br> no. | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percento of <br> expected score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 28 | .880 | .853 | 103.2 |
| II | 17 | .837 | .877 | 95.4 |
| III | 1 | .819 | .822 | 99.6 |
| IV | 10 | .842 | .846 | 99.5 |
| V | 10 | .870 | .856 | 101.6 |
| VI | 15 | .916 | .883 | 103.7 |
| VII | 4 | .826 | .882 | 93.7 |
| VIII | 10 | .816 | .860 | 94.9 |
| IX | 9 | .888 | .863 | 102.8 |
| Total | 104 | .864 | .864 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 15-A

| Further education <br> received | Cases <br> no. |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| I Technical craft | 95 |
| II School (ordinary) | 33 |
| III Adult education | 5 |
| IV Other education | 286 |
| V None | 2,021 |
|  |  |
| Total | 2.440 |


| Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as pereent of <br> expected scot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.919 | .878 | 104.7 |
| 1.000 | .839 | 119.2 |
| 1.000 | .005 | 110.5 |
| 0.873 | .864 | 101.0 |
| 0.858 | .864 | 99.3 |
| 0.864 | .864 | 100.0 |

## TABLE No. 16-A

| Duration of |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| school-life | Cases |
|  | no. |

## Average score

Expected
score

Average score as percent of expected score

I Continuous

| 3 | years | 370 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $"$ | 387 |
| 5 | $"$ | 424 |
| 6 | $"$ | 348 |
| 7 | $"$ | 269 |
| 8 | $"$ | 152 |
| $9 \&$ | over years | 162 |

II Discontinuous

| 3 \& less years |  |  |  | 22 | . 893 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 48 | 5 | " | 18 | . 714 |
|  | 68 | 7 | " | 24 | . 869 |
|  | 8 \& | 9 | " | 23 | . 854 |
| 10 \& 11 |  |  | " | 5 | 1.000 |
| 12 \& over |  |  | " | 3 | 1.000 |
|  | Not giv | iven |  | 233 | . 947 |

TABLE No. 17-A

| Age at the time <br> of leaving school <br> (years) | Cases <br> no. | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected score |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 and less | 208 | .707 | .759 | 93.1 |
| $10-12$ | 1,017 | .839 | .848 | 98.9 |
| $13-15$ | $\mathbf{9 7 1}$ | .915 | .892 | 102.6 |
| $16-18$ | 212 | .898 | .914 | 98.2 |
| $19-21$ | 6 | 1.000 | .885 | 113.0 |
| $22 \&$ over | 4 | .750 | .868 | 86.4 |
| Not given | 22 | .909 | .882 | 103.1 |
| Total | 2,440 | .864 | .864 | 100.0 |


| TABLE No, 18-A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caste \& religion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Average score | Expected score | Average scor as percent of expected scor |
| 1 Hindus |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Brahmins, |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Wani, |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Marathas 1 | 1;186 | . 840 | . 863 | 97.3 |
| 4 Malt | 35 | . 814 | . 871 | 93.5 |
| 5 Artisan castes | 146 | . 914 | . 892 | 102.5 |
| 6 Service castes | 152 | . 842 | . 835 | 100.8 |
| 7 Lingayats | 145 | . 914 | . 893 | 102.4 |
| 8 Gujaratis \& |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Scheduled |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Other Hindus | 275 | . 908 | . 871 | 104.2 |
| II Muslims | 115 | . 929 | . 858 | 108.3 |
| III Christans | 2 | 1.000 | , 801 | 124.8 |
| - |  | - |  |  |
| Grand total | 2,440 | . 864 | . 864 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 19-A

| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Present occupation } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cases } \\ \text { no. }\end{array}\end{array}$ | Average score | Expected score | Average score as percent of expected score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture 1,558 | . 850 | . 862 | 98.6 |
| Shop owners 121 | . 972 | . 908 | 107.0 |
| Clerical, accounts, etc. 5 | -1.000 | . 873 | 114.5 |
| Agricultural labour 68 | . 784 | . 846 | 92.7 |
| Shop-assistants, etc. 75 | . 839 | . 845 | 99.3 |
| Artisans 360 | . 910 | . 885 | 102.8 |
| Others 212 | . 884 | . 840 | 105.2 |
| Not given 8 | . 875 | . 821 | 106.6 |
| Non-earners \& unemployed | . 706 | . 817 | 86.4 |
| Total $\quad \mathbf{2 , 4 4 0}$ | . 864 | . 864 | 100.0 |
| Persons with subsidiary occupations 706 | . 880 | . 869 | 101.8 |

TABLE No. 20-A

| Annual income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Average score |  | Expected | Average score as percent of expected score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rs. 50 \& below | 159 | . 791 |  | . 844 | 93.7 |
| " 51-74 | 393 | . 818 |  | . 848 | 97.4 |
| n 75-99 | 254 | .840 |  | . 867 | 96.9 |
| " 100-199 | 930 | . 890 |  | . 869 | 102.4 |
| ;, 200-299 | 344 | . 908 |  | . 876 | 103.7 |
| " 300-399 | 80 | . 879 |  | . 894 | 98.3 |
| " 400-499 | 35 | . 949 |  | . 891 | 106.5 |
| , 500-749 | 40 | . 955 |  | . 871 | 109.6 |
| , 750 \& over | 12 | 1.000 |  | . 894 | 111.9 |
| Not given | 31 | . 849 |  | . 895 | 106.0 |
| Common income earners, non-earners, unemployed | 162 | . 771 | \% | . 854 | 90.3 |
| Total | 2.440 | . 864 |  | . 864 | 100.0 |

- TABLE No. 21-A

| Place of migration | Cases <br> no. | Average <br> Bcore | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected score |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bombay | 249 | .887 | .868 | 102.2 |
| Poona, Sholapur, etc. | 36 | .950 | .894 | 106.3 |
| Satara, etc. | 16 | .951 | .921 | 103.3 |
| More than one of above 33 | .970 | .876 | 10.7 |  |
| Others | 162 | .881 | .875 | 100.7 |
| Total migrants | 496 | .897 | .875 | 102.5 |
| Non-migrants | 1,944 | .856 | .862 | 99.8 |
| Grand total | 2,440 | .864 | .864 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 22-A

| Period of stay <br> outside the <br> yillage | Cases <br> no. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Below 1 year | 105 |
| $1-2$ years | 81 |
| $3-4$ " | 77 |
| 4 and over years | 215 |
| Not given | 18. |
| Total migrants | 496 |


| Average <br> score | Expected <br> score |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| .893 | .871 |
| .888 | .865 |
| .872 | .886 |
| .908 | .876 |
| .944 | .880 |
| .897 | .875 |

Average scors as percent of expected score
102.5
102.7
98.4
103.7

107:3
102.5

## Present reading Cases habits no.

| Private <br> correspondence | $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| News-papers | 396 |
| Religious books | 114 |
| Miscellaneous <br> (occasional) | $\mathbf{4 6 3}$ |
| None | .757 |
| $\quad$ Total | 2,440 |


| Present occasions <br> for writing | Cases <br> no. |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Occasional <br> correspondence | 1,120 |
| Accounts | 224 |
| Accounts and <br> correspondence | 22 |
| Nil | 1,078 |
| Not given | 1 |
| Total | 2,440 |

TABLE No. 24-A

| Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| .986 | .889 | 110.9 |
| .989 | .899 | 110.0 |
| .929 | .895 | 103.8 |
| .710 | .831 | 85.4 |
| 1.000 | .974 | 102.7 |
| .864 | .864 | 100.0 |

TABLE No. 25-A

| Period | Cases <br> no. | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> ss percent of <br> expected score |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1911-1915 | 317 | .848 | .852 | 99.5 |
| $1916-1920$ | 407 | .894 | .859 | 104.1 |
| $1921-1925$ | 358 | .846 | .856 | 98.8 |
| $1926-1930$ | 578 | .873 | .868 | 100.6 |
| $1931-1935$ | 536 | .849 | .878 | 96.7 |
| 1936 | 224 | .873 | .862 | 101.3 |
| Not given | 20 | .900 | .877 | 102.6 |
| Total | 2.440 | .864 | .864 | 100.0 |


\left.|  | APPENDIX |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TABLE No. 26-A |  |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

TABLE No. 27-A

| Taluka | Cases <br> no. | Average <br> score | Expected <br> score | Average score <br> as percent of <br> expected score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaoli | 73 | .750 | . | .883 |
| Karad | 231 | .842 | .871 | 84.9 |
| Khanapur | 172 | .789 | .848 | 96.7 |
| Khatav | 227 | .840 | .849 | 93.0 |
| Koregaon | 156 | .850 | .863 | 98.9 |
| Man | 205 | .918 | .881 | 98.5 |
| Patan | 202 | .918 | .858 | 104.2 |
| Satara | 157 | .836 | .893 | 107.0 |
| Shirala | 181 | .923 | .862 | 93.6 |
| Tasgaon | 316 | .814 | .844 | 107.1 |
| Wai | 174 | .920 | .878 | 96.4 |
| Walwa | 346 | .899 | .869 | 104.8 |
| Total | 2,440 | .864 | .864 | 103.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

## Village Information Questionnaire (Lapse into Illiteracy)

Village
(1) Diatance from the nearest motor road
(2) Distance from the railway station
(3) Distance from the Bazaar village
(4) Distance from the taluka head-quarter
(b) Is there a motorable road upto the village?
(6) Is the cari-tract to the village serviceable throughout the year?
(7) If not, for how many months is it serviceable?
(8) Is there a post-office?
(9) Are there any co-operative and/or multi-purpose societies, banks; or other financial institutions?
(10) Is there a Gram-Panchayat?
(11) Are there reading-rooms and libraries?
(12) Number of news-papers and periodicals coming into the village.
(13) Is there a weekly or fortnightly Bazaar?
(14) Particulars of fairs, annual or otherwise
(15) Are there any schools and dispensaries in or near the village conducted by Missionaries, and other public or private bodies?
(16) Population (Census) in 1911, 1921, 1931, and 1941 $\qquad$
(17) History of the School

Date

## APPENDIX III

Questionnaire for the ex-pupils of Primary School (Lapse into Illiteracy)
Village
(1) Name of ex-pupil
(2) Age
(3) Religion
(4) Caste
(5) (i) Literate(ii) Semi-literate
(iii) Mliterate.
(6) Year of leaving school
(7) Number of years in school.
(8) Standard at the time of leaving school:
(i) 2nd standard.... $\square$
(ii) 3rd standard....
(iii) 4th standard... $\qquad$
(9) Number of years in the standard at the time of leaving school...........
(10) Did he pass the 4th standard before leaving school?
(11) Post-school education
(12) Habit of reading written and printed matter.
(13) Habit of writing and occasions for the same
(14) (i) Earner
(ii) Non-earner(iii) Unemployed $\square$
(15) Period of unemployment.
(16) Occupation: main subsidiary
(17) Total annual income Rs.
(18) Nature of various occupations followed after leaving school $\qquad$
(19) Details of migration from the village on account of aervice or otherwise:-
Turns Place :. Period Reason

Date Investigator's signature.
F... 6

## Appendix III-(contd.)

Instructions to investigators flling in the questionnaire for the ex-pupils of Primary School.

## General:

This questionnaire is not to be filled in in cases of those who had passed the 4th standard examination and continued higher education.

## Specific Items:

(5) Nate the result of reading test and writing test.
( 6 to 10) Primarily these are to be filled in from school register and later verified by personal interview. If the person was educated in more than one school, all the relevant registers may have to be consulted.
(11) Note clearly the period and nature of post-school vocational, industrial, adult, etc. education undertaken.
(12) Specify fully the reading of religious and other types of books, pamphlets, newspapers, periodicals, monthlies, etc., printed material either owned or borrowed from neighbours, libraries, etc. and also the reading of personal letters and other hand written material.
(13) Mention clearly specific occasions of writing letters, accounts, etc. personal or otherwise.
(15) Note the present period of continuous unemployment.
(16) Note the main and subsidiary occupations of those who are earners; in the case of unemployed note the occupation that was followed immediately before the present period of unemployment.
(17) Give here the total annual income of the person tested from all sources but exchuding the income of other members of his family. When the person tested is solely dependent, write 'no income'.
(18) When occupational pursuits after leaving school have been more than one, list various occupations followed.
(19) List the occasions of stay outside the village for more than a month specifying the reasons for the same. In the case of seasonal migration, note the number of years for which the person was so migrating.

## APPENDIX IV

## साक्षरता-लोप-संशोघन

## वाच्याकरिता उतारे

१. नא माळी माळवार्दींत राहात होता. नरून्या घरी शेत नन्हतें, मळा संह्हता, कांहीं नन्हतें. तो श्येतामल्यांत मोळानें काम करीत होता. तो उन्हाब्यांत नांगरावर जाई़. पावसाळ्यांत ल्याला पेरणी करावी हागे. हिवाळ्यांत तो मोटा हाकी. नरूची बायको जाई. ती खुरपणी, मिरन्यांची तोढणी, दारें घरणें अर्खी कामें करी. नरूला रोज ६-आणे मिळत छोते. जाईला रोज तीन आणे मिळत असत. स्यांची आठवख्याकाठी तीन रूपे मजूरी चुकत नन्हती.
२. दिवस बराच सालीं गेला आदे असें पाूून स्टेश्रान गांठण्यासाठीं गणू तडक निषाल, सढकेष लागतो तोंच त्याला स्याच्या खिबघदीचा रामा मोसला भेटला, ल्यालाहि मुंर्र्ईखच जावयाचे होतें. सहज एकाला दोषे झाल्यामुळें बोल्त बोल्त ते रस्ता चालं लागले, संध्याकाळ झाल्यामुने ब्यांनी एका गांवीं वस्ती केली.
३. पिण्याचें पाणी निर्मळ पारिजे. आबारी असतां पाणी गरम कस्न अपण पितों. पाणी उकळल्यानें त्यांतील धाण नाईाँची होवे. मझामारी-पटकीसारखे रोग धाण पाप्यानें होतात. पाण्यांबून या रोगांचे जंडु आपल्या घरिरांत जातात. तेर्ये ते झ्भपाख्यानें वाढतात. मग माणूस द्गावप्याचा संमव असतो.

Instructions to investigators administering writing and reading tests.
Make use of the selected passages printed in bold type and take care that these are not available for prior perusal to those who are to be tested.
If the pronounciation is not correct, so long as it is clear enough to convey the full meaning of the passage read, it should be admitted for passing the reading test. One or two sentences each from any two of the passages may be asked to be read.
For writing test at least two sentences from one of the passages be dictated. The hand-writing need be no more than legible in order to pass the test. But mere capacity to put ones signature should not be regarded as adequate writing ability. Attach written slip of paper to the appropriate form.
Literates are those who can read the printed passage and write at least two lines.
Semi-literates are those who can read the printed passage but cannot write anything except his own signature.
Illiterates are those who cannot both read and write.
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## INTRODUCTORY

1. Initiation.-In 1942, the Institute conducted, on behalf of the Government of Bombay, an investigation in to the Problem of Lapse into Illiteracy, the report of which was published by the Government in 1945. Immediately after the completion of this investigation, the Government considered the possibility of a similar inquiry into another important phenomenon concerning primary' education, namely, the "Wastage in Primary Education" and by a letter from the Director of Public Instruction dated the 6th October, 1944, enquired whether the Institute could undertake to conduct such an investigation. On 22nd January, the Institute proposed that "it could undertake to plan the investigation, supervise its conduct, tabulate the data and write the report'. At the same time, the Director of the Institute gave a brief idea as to the scope and method of the contemplated enquiry. In the following is given an extract from his note:-
"An investigation into wastage will have to start with an assumed definition. In the light of the results of the earlier investigation, it might be taken for granted that students who leave school in the infant, first or second standards fail to gain lasting literacy and that expenditure of public funds on their training has been practically wasted. Investigation will, therefore, be directed to finding out the conditions under which and the reasons for which students leave schools at these stages. It would not be possible to obtain reliable information in these respects, relating to pupils who left school some years ago. Therefore, the investigation must be confined to a sample of such pupils who had left school not more than 3 years previously. The number of individuals included in the sample should at least be 5,000 . It is not known what numbers leave school per year, on an average, in these standards, and, therefore, what the total number of schools to be included in the investigation will be. This point could be determined after obtaining the relevant statistics from a small sample of schools. As in the former investigation the school sample will be made of a variety of types of schools spread over areas with different natural and other conditions.
"The sample of pupils will be, all the ex-pupils, as defined above, of the selected schools. As in the previous investigation the staff of each selected school could prepare the lists of these pupils and
could ascertain which of them are locally available. There will be no test administered in this investigation. The enquiry will be directed to ascertaining in detail the reasons of withdrawal of the student from school and into the present occupation and conditions, social and economic of the ex-student and his guardian.' Appropriate questionnaires will have to be drawn up for this purpose. In the larger schools it might be possible to entrust the Headmasters with this enquiry and only a sample check by A.D.E.I.'s would perhaps suffice. Elewhere it might be better if the A.D.E.I.s themselves conduct the enquiry:"

By their letter dated the 3rd May, 1945, the Government accepted these proposals and entrusted the work to the Institute. On 19th June, the Institute further proposed that "the problem of stagnation is usually considered in connection with that of wastage and that some investigation into it could be very usefully combined with the projected investigation into wastage." The Government approved of this suggestion on the 13th October, 1945 and agreed to combining of the two enquiries.

As to the area in which the investigation might be undertaken it was proposed and agreed to that, as in the previous enquiry, it should be the Satara District. In the present case, however, the investigation was to be confined to only the northern six talukas of the district, as the political situation then, was rather disturbed and unsettled in the southern talukas.

The questionnaire schedules were prepared and sent to the Government on the 20th October, for getting them printed. There were two schedules, one relating to the individual student and his guardian and the other relating to the conditions in the selected village and the school. The questionnaire relating to the individual student completely covered both the Wastage and Stagnation enquiries; only in the latter case, some of the questions would not be required to be asked.

Copies of the printed questionnaire schedules were ready and received on the 13th of December, 1945 and the first conference with the Assistant Deputy Educational Inspectors was held on the 14th December in the office of the Deputy Educational Inspector at Satara.
2. Sample of Schools.-In the meanwhile a sample of primary schools was selected for the purpose of the investigation. As will be clear from the definitions of the problems under study it was necessary that the selected schools were working at least from 1940 and were providing instructions at least upto the third primary
standard. A list of such Local Board Schools was available from the office of the Deputy Educational Inspector, Satara. The schools were classified according to the standard up to which instruction was provided in them. This is given in Table No. 1.

TABLE No. 1
District Local Board Primary Schools in Six Talukas of Satart Distriet distributed according to the Standard up to which tuition was provided for.


Omitting all schools not providing instruction up to the third standard a 40 per cent sample was selected out of the remaining schools. The number of schools of various categories selected from the different talukas are given in Table No. 2.

TABLE No. 2
Number of Local Board Schools selected for investigation distributed by talukas and by school types.

| Taluka | Standard up to which tuition provided |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | III. | IV. | V, VI, VII. | Total |
| Jaoli | 9 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| Khatao | 10 | 8 | 8 | 26. |
| Koregaon | 10 | 14 | 12 | 36 |
| Man | 5 | 5 | 5 | 15 |
| Satara | 8 | 9 | 13 | 30 |
| Wai | 9 | 8 | 7 | 24 |
| Total | 51 | 49 | 48 | 148 |

Besides the Local Board Schools, there are in this district a large number of voluntary schools managed by the Rayat Shikshan Sanstha of Satara. It was thought that a sample of these voluntary schools might be usefully included. In Table No. 3 are given the
total number of working voluntary schools in the six talukas and the number selected out of them.

TABLE No. 3
Total number of Vohintary Schools and the number selected for investigation distributed by talukas.

| Taluks | Total Number | Number selected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaoli | 36 | 18 |
| Khatao | 57 | 28 |
| Koregaon | 8 | 4 |
| Man | 52 | 26 |
| Satara | 26 | 18 |
| Wai | 26 | 13 |
| Total | 204 | 102 |

3. The Preliminary Conference.-At the first conference with the Assistant Deputy Educational Inspectors held at Satara on the 14th December, 1945, which was also attended by the supervisors of the voluntary schools, the questionnaire schedules and the list of selected villages were discussd. The questions in the schedules and the manner of answering them were explained to the ADEI's and the supervisors. No change was made in the list of selected villages except the omitting of a few, where it was reported that the schools were not working for sometime past, either due to the village sites having been acquired by military or due to plague which was then prevalent in an epidemic form in many parts of the district. The ADEI's. and the supervisors were each given a few copies of the questionnaire schedule relating to the individual student and they were requested to fill them in for any students convenient to them, so that the schedule might be discussed at the next conference in greater detail and from the point of view of the field work. Secondly, separate lists of the selected schools falling in the beats of the several ADEI's and the supervisors were prepared and handed over to the respective officers and they were requested to require the headmasters to prepare lists of students requiring further investigation either as Wastage or as Stagnation cases, as per definitions.
4. Definitions.-For the purpose of the investigation the following definitions were decided upon and explained at the con-ference:-

## Wastage:-

A pupil who without passing the third standard left the school between 1st June, 1942 and 31st December, 1945, both days inclusive, should be considered a wastage case.

## Stagnation:-

The following four types of pupils were to be regarded as cases of stagnation :-
(i) One who was first admitted to the school on or before 30th April, 1944 and was in January, 1946 on roll of the Infant class.
(ii) One who was first admitted to the school on or before 30th April, 1943 and was in January, 1946 on roll of the First Standard.
(iii) One who was first admitted to the school on or before 30th April, 1942 and was in January, 1946 on roll of the Second Standard.
(iv) One who was first admitted to the school on or before 30th April 1941 and was in January, 1946 on roll of the Third Standard.

In all cases, the investigation was to be restricted only to the boys.
5. The Second Conference.-The second conference with the ADEI's and the supervisors was held at Satara on the 1st of February, 1946. A few of the ADEI's had brought with them the trial questionnaires filled in. With the aid of these, the questions and the manner of answering them were discussed over again. A few list of wastage and stagnation cases prepared by headmasters were also available and provided an opportunity to clarify the definitions. On the whole, however, it seemed that the response of the ADEI's and the headmasters of the selected schools was rather cold. It may be remembered that at that time considerable agitation regarding the payscales and other allowances receivable by the primary teachers was in progress throughout the province. The ADEI's actually hinted that it would be impossible to proceed with the enquiry unless the school teachers concerned were adequately remunerated for the work of the investigation. It was proposed that a remuneration on the basis of one rupee per ten completed schedules might be found acceptable. The Institute by its letter dated 12th February, 1946 requested the Government for sanction. This was subsequently obtained.
6. Subsequent Difficulties.-The agitation among the primary school teachers regarding the scales was daily growing in strength and in March, 1946, they actually went on strike. When in April they returned to the schools, the teachers and ADEI's were busy with the annual examinations and no progress could be made. Conditions in the voluntary schools were comparatively normal and F... 7
an attempt was made to proceed with the investigation in voluntary schools. In February and March, I toured along with the supervisors and visited a number of voluntary schools. Conferences with the headmasters of the selected schools were arranged in groups at a number of suitable places and the questionnaire schedules and the basic definitions were explained to them. In June and July the procedure was repeated in respect of the Local Board Schools. However, from these Conferences it soon became clear, that the investigation could not proceed satisfactorily on these lines. In the first instance, it was discovered that the ADEI's could not explain to the teachers the basic definitions so that the two lists of Wastage and Stagnation cases prepared by them were in most cases incomplete and erroneous. The same was true regarding the questionnaire, with this additional difficulty that one important tabular question relating to the school career of the pupil was found to be not in a form suitable for both a clear understanding and entering. Finally, on account of the recent agitation, the general attitude of and relations between the teachers and the ADEI's were not on the whole conducive to an efficient and speedy completion of the enquiry. On the one hand, a few ADEI's were a little sympathetic towards the general agitation among the teachers and were inclined to ignore lapses on their part, regarding any additional work. On the other hand, many teachers were not in a mood to listen to the directives and reminders from the department and in many cases ignored and in some actually defied the instructions. It was decided therefore, to abandon the attempt at conducting the enquiry through the departmental agency and through the aid of the ADEI's. Instead, it was thought that the investigation could proceed better if conducted directly through the teachers.
7. Revised Plan of Investigation.-With this in view the Institute addressed to headmasters of all the selected schools, a circular letter dated 1st September, 1946 requesting them to cooperate with the Institute. After explaining briefly the objects of the investigation and giving precise definitions of the basic concepts involved, the letter contained detailed instructions for preparing the two lists of Wastage and Stagnation cases from the school registers. Prescribed forms in duplicate for making the lists were enclosed and the headmasters were requested to prepare the lists and return one copy to the office of the Institute as soon as possible. The letter concluded with a short sketch of the future lines of work involved and an assurance that the labour of the teachers in this connection would be adequately paid for directly by the Institute. The letter is reproduced in full in Appendix $I$.

The response to this letter was not altogether poor and we started receiving the requisite lists. Reminders were issued in the case of defaulters and finally by the end of December, 1946, a majority of the schools had returned the lists prepared. These were examined and in a few cases were actually checked. On the whole they appeared complete and accurate.

In the meanwhile, the two questionnaire schedules, one for the individual student and the other for the village and the school, were revised, rearranged and reprinted so as to suit the convenience of the teachers. On 15th January, 1947, another circular letter was addressed to them. It contained detailed explanation of all the questions in the two schedules and instructions as regards the manner of answering them. Along with this letter were enclosed sufficient copies of the printed questionnaire forms. The headmasters were requested to complete the forms for each one of the Wastage and Stagnation cases in their lists and return them to the Institute. The letter is reproduced in Appendix II. The revised questionnaire schedules are given in Appendix III.

The forms slowly started coming in. As soon as received, they were immediately checked for completeness and consistency. In cases where the work done was found satisfactory, the teachers concerned were paid directly by money orders at the agreed rate of one rupee per ten completed schedules plus all postage charges. It may be noted incidentally that there were several letters from the school teachers complaining that the remuneration was totally inadequate for the amount of labour involved. Not in all cases was the work satisfactory nor was the response very encouraging. Frequent reminders were issued through the office of the Deputy Educational Inspector, the last of these being in the middle of June, 1947.

It was soon realised that any further reminders were of no avail. In many cases the reminders were apparently ignored. In some cases, although the school teachers appeared to have informed the office of the Deputy Educational Inspector that the forms were returned duly completed, actually none were received in this office. Nothing could be done except convincing ourselves that they were lost in transit. Some others, having received the reminders, returned the forms either blank or in a worse condition. It was, therefore, thought neither useful nor advisable to continue the investigation any longer and it was decided to close it by the end of June, 1947.

In Table No. 4 is shown the final position regarding the returns. It will be seen that only about half of the selected Local Board Schools returned the forms satisfactorily completed.

About thirty per cent did not return them at all, while the remaining twenty per cent returned them, but in a very unsatisfactory manner and in some cases actually blank. The position regarding the voluntary schools is about the same and is shown in Table No. 5.

TABLE No. 4
Returns from the Local Board Schools.

| Taluka | No. of selected <br> schools | No. with satis- <br> factory <br> returns | No. with <br> bad returns | No. with <br> no return |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaoli | 17 | 8 | 4 | 10 |
| Khatao | 26 | 16 | 3 | 7 |
| Koregaon | 86 | 18 | 0 | 14 |
| Man | 15 | 12 | 1 | 2 |
| Satars | 30 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Wai | 24 | 18 | 5 | 6 |
|  | 148 | 72 | 27 | 49 |

TABLE No. 5
Returns from the Voluntary Schools.

| Taluka | No. of selected <br> schools | No. Writh satis- <br> factury <br> returns | No. with <br> bad returns | No. with <br> no return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 18 | 7 | 4 | 7 |  |
| - | Jaoli | 28 | 16 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Khatao | 4 | - | 2 | 2 |
|  | Koregaon | 26 | 21 | 8 | 12 |
|  | Man | 18 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Satara | 18 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Wai | 102 | 45 | 19 | 88 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |

8. Nature of the Default.-It will thus be seen that due to circumstances beyond our control and mainly due to the rather militant spirit then prevalent among the primary school teachers, the response to the investigation was not very satisfactory. Nevertheless, even as it is, the number of schedules we admitted as satisfactorily completed is not altogether small. We have in fact 1,778 cases of wastag'e and 1,264 cases of stagnation studied. However, due to the partial response, the representative character of the residual sample becomes highly doubtful. We shall presently discuss this point in greater detail, but plainly it must be admitted that there is no way of judging whether any serious bias has been introduced and
if so in which direction. We may note, however, that it is not true, as might at first be imagined, that it is only the worse types of schools with rather incapable teachers that failed to respond. Of course in certain cases when the returned forms were very unsatisfactorily filled in, there were indications that the school teacher, even though he meant to do an honest job, failed because he could not follow instructions in the circular letter. But equally there were cases where the teachers were qualified men of good standing and apparent ability, who either did not return the forms or returned them either blank or filled in in a very scrappy manner. It appears, therefore, that it is not only the better or worse types of schools that were the defaulters so that if there is any bias in the final residual sample, it is at least not so obvious.
9. Representative Chanacter of the Residual Sample.-We present below a series of tables in respect of the Local Board Schools designed to indicate the representative character of the residual sample of these schools.

TABLE No. 6
Distribution of all Schools and the Residual Sample Schools according to Talukas.

| Taluka | Total number of <br> schools | Number of schools in <br> the Residual sample | Percentage in the <br> sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaoli | 44 | 3 | 6.8 |
| Khatao | 68 | 16 | 23.5 |
| Koregaon | 79 | 13 | 18.4 |
| Man | 40 | 12 | 30.0 |
| Satara | 81 | 15 | 18.5 |
| Wai | 65 | 13 | 20.0 |
| Total | 395 | 12 | 18.2 |

As will be seen fromTable No. 6, we have obviously too few schools from Jaoli taluka, so much so that we may as well say that our investigation did not cover that area. Therefore, in the tables that follow, we shall omit all schools from this taluka.

TABLE No. 7
Distribution of all Schools and the Residual Sample Schools according to the atandards taught.

| Up to standards | Total number <br> of schools | Number of schools in <br> the Residual sample | Percentage in <br> the sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIx, IV | 230 | 43 | 18.7 |
| V. VI, VII | 121 | 26 | 21.5 |
| Total | 351 | 69 | 19.6 |

TABLE No. 8
Distribution of all Schools and the Residual Sample Sehoole according to the teacher type.

| Teacher type | Total number <br> of schools | Number of schools in <br> the Residual sample | Percentage in <br> the sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One untrained | 126 | 26 | 20.6 |
| One trained | 33 | 5 | 15.2 |
| Two teachers | 76 | 15 | 19.7 |
| Three or more | 116 | 23 | 19.8 |
| teachers | 16 | 69 | 19.6 |
| Total | 361 |  |  |

TABLE No. 9
Distribution of all Schools and Residual Sample Schools according to the number of students.

| Number of <br> Students | Total number <br> of schools | Number of schools in <br> the Residual sample | Percentage in <br> the sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-39$ | 127 | 22 | 17.3 |
| $40-79$ | 103 | 20 | 19.4 |
| 80 and more | 121 | 27 | 21.6 |
| Total | 351 | 69 | 19.6 |

We have a practically 20 per cent sample of Local Board Schools from the remaining five talukas. Considered from the point of view of the three characteristics, namely, the standards taught, teacher type and number of students, the sample is seen to be very well distributed and if the partial response has introduced any bias, its nature is by no means obvious. There appears, therefore, no obvious reason to believe that the results of the investigation even though based on a partial response are not representative of the schooling conditions in the five talukas.

As to the Voluntary Schools, they are most of them a homogeneous lot. It is unlikely, therefore, that the residual sample suffers from any bias. We do not propose, therefore, to consider more than the geographical distribution of the sample. This is done in Table No. 10. It is clear that except in Koregaon taluka, the residual sample of voluntary schools is well distributed.
10. Final Data.-In Table Nos. 11 and 12 are given the final data in our hand of which an analysis is attempted in this report. It will be clear that in spite of the partial response, the number of wastage and stagnation cases in the sample is not altogether small.

TABLE No. 10
Voluntary Schools.

| Taluka | Total No. of <br> Voluntary schools | Number of schools in <br> the Residual sample | Percentage in <br> the sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaoli | 86 | 7 | 19.4 |
| Khatao | 67 | 16 | 28.1 |
| Koregaon | 8 | - | - |
| Man | 52 | 11 | 21.2 |
| Satara | 26 | 4 | 15.4 |
| Wai | 25 | 7 | 28.0 |
| Total | 204 | 45 | 22.0 |

TABLE No. 11
Number of wastage cases in the final amples.

| Standard in which <br> left the School | Cases from Local <br> Board Schools | Cases from Volun- <br> tary Schools | Total No. of <br> Cases. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 695 | 244 | 939 |
| First | 254 | 84 | 338 |
| Second | 178 | 68 | 246 |
| Third | 171 | 84 | 255 |
| Total | 1,298 | 480 | 1,778 |

TABLE No. 12
Number of Stagnation Cases in the final Sample.

| Present Standard | Cases from Local <br> Board Schools | Cases from Volun- <br> tary Schools | Total No. of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 199 | 137 | 336 |
| First | 248 | 85 | 383 |
| Scond | 274 | 44 | $\mathbf{3 1 8}$ |
| Third | 243 | 34 | 277 |
| Total | 964 | 300 | 1,264 |

11. Plan of the Report.-The existence of the two phenomena wastage and stagnation in primary education was perhaps first noted by the Hartog Committee. The second chapter of this report be gins with an examination of the observations of the Committee in this respect. Later, by means of a somewhat different approach than that adopted by the Committee, and on the basis of the statisti-
cal returns of the Director of Public Instruction, we obtain estimates of wastage for the Bombay Province, separately for the two periods $1927-28$ to $1935-36$ and 1936-37 to 1944-45. It is noteworthy that though the conditions in Bombay Province in this respect appear substantially better than the general conditions in India they seem to remain about the same throughout the seventeen year period from 1927-28 to 1944-45. This is followed by an examination of the wastage conditions in Satara District, which in comparison with the average conditions in the provinces, again, appear somewhat better.

In subsequent chapters are presented the results of the investigation.

In Chapters III and IV we obtain the estimates of Wastage and Stagnation in the Local Board Schools. In Chapter III, while obtaining the estimates of wastage, we discover sufficient internal evidence to indicate that the reporting of wastage cases in our investigation was very nearly complete. In Chapter IV, on the other hand, while deriving estimates of stagnation, we feel doubtful as to the completeness of enumeration of such cases. A certain statistical reasoning is seen to confirm these doubts and actually to indicate the extent of such underenumeration.

In Chapter V, we examine the conditions in the Voluntary Schools and compare them with those prevailing in the Local Board Schools. Due to the smallness of our sample from these schools, it is not found possible to obtain separate estimates of wastage and stagnation. Nevertheless, sufficient evidence is presented to indicate that the extent of wastage in Voluntary Schools is actually smaller in the infant class, but that it is increasingly greater in higher standards. On the other hand, stagnation appears more prevalent in the infant class, but less so in the higher standards.

Causes underlying the two phenomena are discussed in chapter VI. A major analytical difficulty arises due to the fact that our investigation does not provide for anything like a normal or control group with which to compare the wastage and stagnation cases. Nevertheless, much coherent internal evidence is presented to indicate the existence of several causes underlying the phenomena of wastage and stagnation.

In the appendices are given details of the investigational procedure and certain technical points of statistical analysis.

CHAPTER II

## NATURE OF THE PHENOMENA

1. The Hartog Committee.-It was perhaps the Hartog Committee in 1929, which for the first time drew pointed attention to the phenomena of Wastage and Stagnation in Primary Education. We quote below from the Committee's observations in this respect:-
"Primary Education is ineffective unless it at least produces literacy and the only definite material for ascertaining the prevalence of literacy in India is that provided by the census. . . . Unfortunately for our purpose, this census was taken as far back as 1921 and, therefore; it is not possible to estimate with any accuracy the effect which the large quantitative expansion of education during the last seven years had on literacy. For the period subsequent to 1921, all that can be done is to estimate the probable effect of the schools on literacy by examining the conditions which prevail in them. Are these conditions such as to justify the belief that a larger number or a larger proportion of the pupils who attend them are attaining effective and permanent literacy?. We think it justifiable to assume that, on the average, no child who has not completed a primary course of at least four years will become permanently literate; and for our purpose, we shall, therefore, examine the enrolment of each class, to find out whether the pupils are progressing satisfactorily from class to class and whether in large numbers they reach class IV.
"The following table shows the successive diminution in numbers as we pass from class $I$ to class IV and $V$ at the primary stage." (Only the figures for British India and the Bombay Presidency are quoted below).
"The diminution is enormous. . . . The diminution is mainly due to two causes, which we shall term "Wastage" and "Stagnation". By "Wastage" in what follows, we mean the premature withdrawal of children from school at any stage before completion of the primary course. There is of course a diminution in numbers from class to class due.to natural causes, such as death and illness, but the mortality figures show that such diminution must be small compared to the total diminution. By "Stagnation" we mean the retention in a lower class of a child for a period of more than one year. Such stagnation obviously leads to the disproportionate size of the lower as compared with the

Number of Pupile in Boys Schools by Stages.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Class } \\ \text { (Bombay } \\ \text { terminology) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ <br> Infant | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { I Itd. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \stackrel{\text { II }}{\text { Std. }} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { III } \\ \text { Std. } \end{gathered}$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1922-23 | 1923-24 | 1924-25 | 1925-26 | 1926-27 |
| No. of pupils, British India | 3,453,046 | 1,218,758 | 897,512 | 656,101 | 398,465 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } 1,000 \text { in } \\ & \text { infant class } \end{aligned}$ | 1,000 | 353 | 260 | 190 | 144 |
| Bombay Presidency | 252,274 | 134,513 | 121,607 | 102,506 | 90,638 |
| Per 1,000 in infant class | 1,000 |  | 482 | 408 | 859 |

higher classes. The figures taken by themselves do not indicate how far the excessive diminution in numbers from class to class is due to "Wastage" and how far it is due to "Stagnation", but our enquiries show that by far the more potent factor is "Wastage".
"In interpreting the figures, it is true that some allowance must be made for special circumstances. A period of rapid expansion naturally results in an abnormal enlargement of class I and as a consequence a temporary disproportion between the numbers in class I and those in the higher classes. Again in many provinces a certain number of new admissions are usually made towards the end of the school year with the result that the new recruits while swelling the enrolment of class I cannot hope to obtain promotion till after the completion of the following year. But even when we make all possible allowances and discount the figures liberally the hard facts of wastage and stagnation are shocking."

It will be noted that the proportion of pupils in class IV, to that in class I, for the British India is only 19 per cent, the same for the Bombay Presidency is 41 per cent. The Committee then proceeds to observe as follows:-
"On the assumption which we have made that on the average no child who has not completed a primary course of at least four years will become permanently literate, we find that taking British India as a whole, the present system produced in 1925-26 only eighteen potential literates out of every hundred who joined class I in 1922-23."

A similar statement for the Bombay Presidency would be that the present system produced in 1925-26 only 41 potential literates
out of every hundred who joined class I (that is infant class) in 1922-23.

The principal defect from which the Committee's analysis seems to suffer is their inability to make due allowance for the phenomenon of "Stagnation" and their consequent failure to appreciate the relationship between the number in infant class in 1922-23 and the number in III standard in 1925-26. The Committee recognise that the figures taken by themselves do not indicate how far the excessive diminution in numbers from class to class is due to "Wastage" and how far it is due to "Stagnation". Nevertheless, they proceed on the assumption that "by far the more potent factor is wastage" and actually attribute the whole of it to that cause. As to the relationship between the numbers in different classes in different years, it was obviously difficult to have grasped it without a more detailed analysis for which the Committee, of course, could not have time enough to go into. With more time and fuller statistics, we attempt below-a somewhat different approach to the problem.
2. Statistics of Public Instruction.-We give below two tables compiled from the "Annual Reports on Public Instruction" for the Bombay Presidency. In Table No. 13 are given number of boys in different classes at year ends for the period from 1927-28 to 1944-45.

TABLE No. 13
Number of boys in different classes of primary schools in Bombay Presidency at year ends for the period from 1927-28 to 1944-45.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Infant | I | II | III | IV |
| $1927-28$ | 269,048 | 143,888 | 126,713 | 102,393 | 86,842 |
| $1928-29$ | 274,842 | 143,752 | 130,867 | 105,814 | 89,484 |
| $1929-30$ | 285,800 | 145,786 | 130,188 | 105,800 | 90,569 |
| $1930-31$ | 293,178 | 147,809 | 131,569 | 107,184 | 91,629 |
| $1931-32$ | 289,334 | 154,320 | 136,715 | 111,014 | 96,225 |
| $1932-33$ | 271,090 | 154,383 | 140,669 | 114,203 | 98,070 |
| $1933-34$ | 278,111 | 152,583 | 141,921 | 116,966 | 101,066 |
| $1984-35$ | 283,915 | 158,372 | 143,815 | 119,924 | 104,582 |
| $1986-36$ | 288,715 | 163,062 | 148,952 | 122,648 | 107,620 |
| $1986-37$ | 257,112 | 149,074 | 136,997 | 112,640 | 97,329 |
| $1937-38$ | 262,974 | 155,699 | 143,812 | 117,071 | 102,698 |
| $1938-39$ | 357,850 | 162,230 | 147,198 | 117,624 | 100,890 |
| $1939-40$ | 405,225 | 200,586 | 155,838 | 126,872 | 104,677 |
| $1940-41$ | 368,114 | 218,951 | 180,379 | 136,036 | 112,585 |
| $1941-42$ | 348,496 | 203,005 | 190,076 | 151,215 | 116,415 |
| $1942-43$ | 326,127 | 192,150 | 177,311 | 157,258 | 126,462 |
| $1948-44$ | 329,490 | 187,536 | 169,536 | 150,583 | 181,864 |
| $1944-45$ | 347,602 | 187,201 | 161,508 | 142,625 | 127,031 |

In Table No. 14 are given the number of boys who during this period passed and were promoted from the several classes to the upper classes. The numbers up to 1935-36 include those for Sind, while from 1936-37 onwards, Sind, having been formed into a separate province, has been excluded. We shall, therefore, consider this period in two portions-one from 1927-28 to 1935-36 and another from 1936-37 to 1944-45.

TABLE No. 14
Number of boys passing from different classes to upper classes of primary schools in Bombay Presidency each year during the period from 1927-28 to 1943-44.

|  |  | Infant | I | II | III | IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1927-28 |  | 133,926 | 98,037 | 83,046 | 68,793 | 51,229 |
| 1928-29 | - | 134,784 | 96,758 | 84,093 | 67,345 | 49,587 |
| 1929-30 |  | 122,050 | 95,820 | 80,238 | 67,066 | 49,806 |
| 1930-81 |  | 140,244 | 103,181 | 88,605 | 76,815 | 56,026 |
| 1931-32 |  | 138,564 | 106,097 | 88,329 | 74,592 | 57,014 |
| 1932-33 |  | 135,791 | 112,648 | 94,663 | 79,108 | 59,845 |
| 1938-34 |  | 186,963 | 111,832 | 98,175 | 82,698 | 63,576 |
| 1934-35 |  | 131,129 | 104,095 | 90,639 | 78,624 | 61,378 |
| 1936-36 |  | 143,445 | 114,021 | 98,126 | 82,162 | 66,602 |
| 1936-87 |  | 184,884 | 103,673 | 87,137 | 74,360 | 60,690 |
| 1937-38 |  | 134,335 | 106,416 | 91,968 | 77,829 | 62,586 |
| 1938-39 |  | 156,484 | 111,798 | 101,968 | 82,473 | 67,352 |
| 1939-40 |  | 195,475 | 129,788 | 106,852 | 88,484 | 69,775 |
| 1940-41 |  | 202,130 | 149,610 | 121,130 | 96,191 | 71,653 |
| 1941-42 |  | 200,946 | 142,269 | 136,893 | 107,979 | 81,077 |
| 1942-43 |  | 178,983 | 136,585 | 130,413 | 110,891 | 84,864 |
| 1943-44 |  | 172,689 | 132,313 | 120,327 | 111,919 | 88,635 |

3. Wastage during 1927-28 to $1935-36$.-We now note that during the period of eight years from 1927-28 to 1934-35, in all $1,073,441$ boys were promoted from the Infant Class to I standard. Similar numbers from other standards are given in Table No. 15.

TABLE No. 15
Number of boys promoted from various classes during 1927-28 to 1934-85.

| Class | Number promoted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | $1,073,441$ |  |
| II | 828,368 |  |
| III |  | 707788 |
| IV | 894,841 |  |

We have on the other hand the following number of boys in different classes at the beginning and at the end of the period:-

TABLE No. 16
Number of boys in different classes at year ends.

| Class | $1927-28$ | $1935-36$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 143,888 | 163,062 |
| II | 126,713 | 148,952 |
| III | 102,393 | 122,648 |
|  | 86,842 | 107,620 |

Thus at the end of 1927-28 there were 143,888 boys in I standard. During next eight years, $10,73,441$ were promoted from the infant class and the same passed into this class; on the other hand 828,368 boys passed out of this class. If there were no wastage from any causes whatsoever, that is all those who were in I standard at the end of 1927-28, and all those who passed into it subsequently would not leave that class without passing out then we would expect that there would be $143,888+1,073,441-828,368=388,961$ students in this class at the year end 1935-36. Actually there were only 163,062 boys in this class. Hence the difference,

$$
388,961-163,062=225,899
$$

must be presumed to have left I standard during the course of eight years.

The estimated wastage is from all causes and thus includes deaths as well. On the other hand it is based on the assumption that there is no direct recruitment to I standard, that is, not except through promotion from the infant class. This presumption is of course substantially true; nevertehless, in that sense the estimated wastage is the minimum to be expected. Similar estimates of wastage from different classes during the eight year period are given in Table No. 17.

TABLE No. 17
Estimates of Wastage from Various Clasees during eight years 1928-29 to 1935-36

| Class | Expected No. at the end <br> of 1935-36 | Actual | Difference <br> Wastage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| I | 388,961 | 163,062 | 225,899 |
| II | 247,293 | 148,952 | 98,341 |
| II | 215,340 | 122,648 | 92,692 |
| IV | 239,222 | 107,620 | 125,602 |

This, therefore, is the estimated wastage in the course of eight years. Dividing these figures by eight we get the average wastage per year. We shall relate this average wastage from each class to the average number in that class at the end of a year. Taking the average of the year end numbers for the years 1928-29 to 1935-36, we have the following :-

TABLE No. 18
Average number in various classes at the year end for the period 1928-29 to 1935-36 and the average wastage every year through these classes.

| Class | Average <br> Number <br> at an <br> year end | Average <br> wastage <br> during the <br> year | Average No. <br> at the begin- <br> ning of a <br> year | Annual wastage <br> as percentage of <br> the number at <br> the beginning. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 283,123 | - | - | - |
| I | 152,508 | 28,237 | 180,745 | 15.62 |
| II | 138,087 | 12,293 | 150,380 | 8.17 |
| III | 112,942 | 11,587 | 124,529 | 9.30 |
| IV | 97,406 | 15,700 | 113,106 | 13.88 |

Thus it appears that during the period from 1928-29 to 1935-36 on an average, of the students who were in I standard at the beginning of an year, 15.62 per cent left the school before the end of the year. Similarly, of those at the beginning of an year in II standard, 8.17 per cent left the school during the year. These wastage percentages are given in the last column of the above table. It is obvious that a similar estimate of wastage through the Infant class could not be obtained. Provisionally we put it at 20 per cent. This is merely for a formal completeness of analysis.

This is the story of a single year, that is to say, of the 10,000 students who are in I standard, 1,562 leave the school during the year, leaving only 8,438 on roll of that class at the year end. Not all of these, however, pass the standard and are promoted. Some of these pass while others fail. Those who fail, have to spend another year in the same standard and 15.62 per cent of them again leave the school. The process continues and in order to find exactly how many of the original 10,000 in I standard actually pass that standard and how many leave the school without even completing that standard we must trace this process to its end. Before we do it we must know the average passing percentages during this period. On the basia of the figures for the period 1927-28 to 1935-36, we have the following passing percentages.

TABLE No. 19
Average passing percentages in Different classes, during the period 1927-28 to 1935-36

| Class |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Infant | Average passing percentage |
| I | 68.02 |
| III | 69.09 |
| IV | 65.45 |

Thus during this period, on an average, of the boys who were in Infant Class at an year end, only 48.02 per cent passed and were promoted to the higher class; similarly for the other standards.

With the aid of these wastage and passing percentages, we can work out the total wastage through each class. The arithmetical procedure is given in Appendix IV. In Table No. 20 are given the net wastage through each class :-

TABLE No. 20
Total Wastage through each class during 1928-29 to 1935-36.

| Class | Total wastage percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 34.24 |
| II | 21,13 |
| III | 11.97 |
| III | 13.22 |

The meaning of these figures should be clearly understood. They mean that of 100 boys who enter the Infant Class, only 66 pass and are promoted to I standard while 34 leave the school from the infant class itself; similarly; of 100 boys who enter I standard, only 79 pass and are promoted to II standard while 21 leave the schooI from I standard itself, and so on for the other standards.

Applying these total wastage percentages to a cohort of 10,000 boys freshly entering the school we may now estimate the number out of them who reach various standards and the numbers who leave the school at various stages.

Thus of 10,000 fresh entrants only 3,117 complete the primary course, while 6,883 leave the school at various stages as shown above. This appears to be the appropriate form to describe the phenomenon of wastage.

TABLE No. 21
Numbers out of 10,000 fresh"entrants in the Infant Class who pass various standards, and who leave the school from various standards.

| Class | Number who pass this <br> clasand are promo <br> ted to the next | Numbers who leave <br> the school from <br> this class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 6,576 | $\mathbf{3 , 4 2 4}$ |
| I | 5,186 | 1,390 |
| II | 4,566 | 620 |
| II | 3,962 | 604 |
|  | 3,117 |  |
|  |  | Total |

The other phenomenon, namely, that of stagnation, consists in the fact that, of the boys who complete the primary course up to various stages, some take more than the normal period to reach that stage. Thus, for instance, of the 6,576 boys who passed out of the Infant Class, some might have spent only one year in that class, but others might have spent more than one year. Published statistics are inadequate to discuss the extent of this phenomenon. Therefore, we postpone its discussion, to a subsquent section, where we shall present the investigational material.
4. Wastage During 1936-37 to 1944-45.-For comparison with the conditions in the earlier period, we shall now estimate, by the same method, the extent of wastage during the period from 1936-37 to 1944-45.

In Table No. 22, are given the estimates of the average annual wastage and the passing percentages for this period corresponding to those derived in the last column of Table No. 18 and in Table No. 19 for the earlier period.

TABLE No. 22
Average Annual Wastage and Passing Percentage in different clasees for the period from 1936-37 to 1944-45.

| Class | Annual wastage <br> as percent of <br> the number on <br> roll of the class <br> at the beginning <br> of the year | Average passing as <br> percent of the <br> number on roll <br> at the end <br> of the year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | - | 51.83 |
| II | 17.75 | 68.91 |
| III | 6.44 | 68.87 |
| IV | 9.64 | 70.17 |

We have no estimate for wastage in Infant class, and as before we take it to be $\mathbf{2 0}$ per cent. On the basis of these annual wastage and passing percentages, we have the following estimates of the numbers out of an initial cohort of $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ fresh entrants in the Infant class, who pass the various stages and the number who leave the school at the varous stages.

TABLE No. 23
Numbers out of 10,000 fresh entrants in the Infant class, who pase various standards and who leave the school from various standards

| Class | Number who pass the <br> class and are promo- <br> ted to the next | Number who lesve <br> the school from <br> this class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 6,746 | 3,254 |
| I | 5,137 | 1,609 |
| II | 4,670 | 467 |
| III | 4,053 | 617 |
|  | 3,323 | 730 |

Comparing this with the Table No. 21, it appears that the average wastage conditions during the period from 1936-37 to 1944-45 were only slightly better than the average conditions during the period from 1927-28 to 1935-36.
5. Wastage Conditions in Satara District.-We shall now briefly examine the wastage conditions prevalent in Satara District.

From the point of view of primary education Satara District forms about 6 per cent of the whole province. Thus we have the following :-

TABLE No. 24
Total number of Male Students in the primary schoole (Infant to standard IV).

| Year | Province. | Satara | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $1931-32$ | 684,523 | 47,769 | 6.98 |
| $1936-37$ | 753,152 | 54,594 | $\mathbf{7 . 2 5}$ |
| $1938-39$ | 885,292 | 64,646 | 7.30 |
| $1990-41$ | $10,15,065$ | 60,620 | 5.97 |
| $1941-42$ | $10,10,107$ | 61,544 | 6.09 |
| $1942-43$ | 979,308 | 60,348 | 6.16 |
| $1943-44$ | 969,008 | 66,506 | $\mathbf{5 . 8 3}$ |
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The proportion that Satara District bears to the whole province has somewhat decreased after 1938-39. This proportion is more or less steady during the four years from 1940-41 to 1943-44 In order to compare the wastage conditions in Satara District with that in the whole province, we shall, therefore, depend only on figures for this period. In Table No. 25, we compare the average distribution of students among different classes during the period from 1940-41 to 1943-44 for the province and the district.

TABLE No. 25
Average distribution of students in different classes for 10,000 in Infant class during the period 1940-41 to 1943-44.

| Class | Province | Satara District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| I | 6,842 | 6,329 |
| II | 6,234 | 6,130 |
| III | 4,329 | 5,308 |
| IV | 3,672 | 4,860 |

It is obvious that the decrement in numbers from class to class is considerably less in Satara than the whole province. We should expect, therefore, that the wastage in Satara District would be smaller than in the whole province.

We do not possess sufficient statistical material for Satara Dis trict to make the comparison more precise. The above figures are, however, probably sufficient to indicate that the wastage in Satara District is considerably smaller than in the whole province.

We now proceed to present the results of the sample investiga. tion.

## WASTAGE IN THE LOCAL BOARD SCHOOLS

1. Estimates of Annual Wastage by Standards.-As has been said, returns from only 72 Local Board Schools have been admitted for the purpose of this report. Table No. 26 gives, year by year, and for each standard separately, the total strength at each year end, of the 72 schools combined, and the number of students passed and promoted to the higher standard.

TABLE No. 26
Numbers on year end rolls of different standards and the numbers who passed and were promoted to the next claso-by years, in 72 Local Board Primary Schools.

| Year | Infant <br> Total |  | Pass | Total | Pass | Total | Pass | Total | Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1941-42$ | 1,475 | 714 | 1,006 | 727 | 885 | 652 | 884 | 636 |  |
| $1942-43$ | 1,490 | 754 | 911 | 656 | 935 | 670 | 846 | 619 |  |
| $1943-44$ | 1,428 | 687 | 954 | 663 | 828 | 574 | 862 | 599 |  |
| $1944-45$ | 1,501 | 680 | 935 | 607 | 883 | 584 | 791 | 536 |  |
| $1945-46$ | 1,616 | 627 | 895 | 535 | 838 | 508 | 733 | 443 |  |

From the number on roll at the year end in any standard, and from the numbers who were promoted from the lower standard to that standard and from that standard to the next one, it should be possible to obtain some idea as to the number who left the school in that standard during the year. For instance, there were 1,006 students on roll of the first standard at the year end 1941-42. Of these 727 passed and were promoted to the second standard. On the other hand, 714 were at the same time promoted from the infant class to the first standard. If none left the school in the first standard during 1942-43, we would expect $1,006-727+714=993$ students to be on roll of this standard at the year end 1942-43. Actually it is reported that there were only 911 students. The difference 933$911=82$ should have, therefore, left the school in this standard during 1942-43.

This would be a fairly good estimate of the wastage cases if we could make two assumptions. Every year a number of students leave the school only temporarily and come back the next year. Their names are thus only temporarily, removed from the roll at the year
end and re-entered the next year. We shall assume, in the first instance, that evers year such cases of temporary leaving and reentry mutually balance. This may not be so with respect to each school every year, but should not be far from the fact when a total of as many as 72 schools is considered. Secondly, there are a few cases every year when students leave one school to join another. It should be noted that this phenomenon is by no means as frequent or as numerous as the one previously mentioned. In this case also we shall assume that in a large group of schools such cases mutually balance. We have no factual material either to upport or to substantiate these two assumptions. Both of them, nevertheless, appear reasonable, especially when applied to a group of as many as 72 schools. We shall proceed on this basis.

82 is therefore the estimated number of students who left the school permanently in the first standard, during 1942-43. Similar estimates for all the standards, except the infant class, for each year, are given in Table No. 27. It is obvious that no such estimates could be obtained for the infant class nor could they be obtained for any class for the year 1941-42.

TABLE No. 27
Estimates of Wastage Casss by Standards.

| Year | I | II | III | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $1942-43$ | 82 | 25 | 64 | 161 |
| $1943-44$ | 55 | 93 | 35 | 183 |
| $1944-45$ | 43 | 34 | 46 | 128 |
| $1945-46$ | 118 | 68 | 106 | 287 |
| Total | 293 | 220 | 241 | 754 |

2. The Reported Wastage Cases.-As against this estimated total of 754 wastage cases who left the school permanently from the standards I, II and III during the four years from 1942-43 to 1945-46, only 603 wastage cases have been reported from these standards. The difference between the estimated and the reported cases of wastage is, as will be apparent from the following table, solely due to the fact that the investigation period, that is the period of reporting wastage cases, did not cover the whole of the school year 1945-46, that is up to the end of May, 1946. Instead it covered the period only up to the end of December, 1945. It will be clear from Table No. 28 that the reporting of wastage cases, for the first three years has been very complete.

TABLE No. 28
Comparison of the Estimated and Reported Wastage Cases by yeare.

| Period | Estimated | Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1942-45$ | 467 | 468 |
| $1945-46$ | 287 | 135 |
| Total | $\overline{754}$ | 603 |

Thus the only difference is in the year 1945-46 and that, as explained above, is due to obvious reasons.

We may now compare the estimated and reported wastage cases by their distribution according to standards. This is done in Table No. 29

TABLE No. 29
Comparison of the Estimated and Reported Wastage Cases by Standards.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline & \text { Class } & \text { Estimated } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Per cent } \\ \text { of Total }\end{array} & \text { Reported }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Per cent } \\ \text { of Total }\end{array}\right]$
3. Estimates of the Wastage from Infant Class.-The two distributions according to standards are seen to be in good agreement. We might, therefore, take this as the basis for estimating the wastage in the infant class. Corresponing to the 603 wastage cases reported in I, II and III standards together, there are reported 695 wastage cases from infant class. On this basis we might expect an estimated wastage of 869 from the infant class, comparable with the estimated total of $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ for the three standards together.

We shall now try to so distribute these estimated 869 cases over the four years, that for each year the estimated wastage in infant class would bear approximately the same ratio to the estimated wastage in the three standards as bears the reported wastage in infant class to the reported total for the three standards. This is done in Table No. 30.
4. Estimates of fresh entrants in the infant class.-From these estimates of wastage in infant class, we shall now try to obtain
estimates of fresh entrants in this class, year by year. This may be done as follows: At the year end 1941-42, for instance, there were 1,475 students on roll of this class. Of these 714 passed and were promoted to the first standard. Further, during 1942-43, 179 students have been estimated to have left the school in this class, leaving a total of 1,490 on the roll at the year end. In order that this should be so, it is necessary that $1,490-1,475+714+179=903$ students should have freshly entered the school during the year 1942-43. Figures for other years may be similarly worked out. They are given in Table No. 31.

TABLE No. 30
Estimated Wastage from the Infant Class during the four years 1942-43 to 1945-46.

| Year | Wastage from <br> Infant Class |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1942-43$ | 179 |  |
|  | $1943-44$ | 204 |  |
| $194-45$ | 349 |  |  |
|  | Total | 869 |  |

TABLE No. 31
Estimated Fresh Entrants in the Infant Class during the four years 1942-43 to 1945-46

| Year | Fresh entrants <br> during the year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1942-43$ | 908 |
| $1943-44$ | 896 |
| $1944-45$ | 897 |
| $1945-46$ | 1,148 |

Except for the last year all the estimates are in good mutual agreement. In 1945-46, both the number of new entrants and the number who left the school during the year are very high. The abnormal circumstances of this year, are due to the system of compulsory primary education that was being initiated in this district during the year. Even in villages where compulsion was not brought into force, due to ignorance, large numbers of children were got enrolled into the school registers. Many of these never attended the school and their names were subsequently removed. This of course;
happened in the infant class. A part of both the high numbers of fresh entrants and those who left the school mutually cancel. It may also be noted that the number standing on roll of this class at the end of the year is also higher than similar numbers for other years. These figures, therefore, do not represent normal conditions.

We shall now summarise the argument so far. From the numbers on roll of each standard at each year end and the number who passed and were promoted to the higher standard, we obtained estimates of wastage cases, that is of those who left the school permanently, in each standard and for each year. Such estimates could not of course be obtained for the infant class. There were obtained by means of a comparison of the estimated wastage in I, II and III standards with the corresponding reported cases. Having satisfied that the reporting of wastage cases from the selected schools was very complete, we compared the estimated and the reported wastage by standards. The distribution of the estimated and the reported wastage cases according to the three standards were in good agreement. This therefore was made the basis of estimating the wastage in the infant class. On this basis was estimated a total of 869 wastage cases in infant class during the four years. These were distributed over the four years so that in each year the ratio of wastage in the infant class to the wastage, in the three standards would be the same for the reported and the estimated.

From these estimated wastage cases in the infant class, were further estimated, year by year, the numbers of new entrants in this class. All such estimates, except those for the last year, were found to be mutually consistent. In the case of the last year, the estimated figures, both for the new entrants and wastage cases, were substantially higher than similar estimates for other years. It was pointed out that this excess was attributable to the initiation of compulsory education in this district during the year.
5. Summary of working of different classes.-The working of the infant class in the 72 Local Board Schools, during the five year period, is presented in Table No. 32.

The infant class working for the year 1942-43 reads thus :-thus:-

There were 761 students on roll at the beginning of the year, being the remnants of the previous year. To these were added during the year 908 new entrants, making a total of 1,669 enrolled. Of these 179 left the school during the year, leaving 1,490 on roll at the end of it. This forms 89.3 per cent. of the total enrolled, namely, 1,669 . Thus of all those who ever entered the roll during the year,
89.3 per cent. stayed to the end of the year. Out of these 754, that is 50.6 per cent. passed the annual examination at the end of the year, and were promoted to the next standard. The remaining 736 are to be carried forward to the infant class roll for the next year, namely 1943-44.

TABLE No. 32
Working of the Infant Class during the five year period, in 72 Local Board Schoole.

| Year | $1941-42$ | $1942-43$ | $1943-44$ | $1944-45$ | $1945-46$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Old students from the previous year | - | 761 | 736 | 741 | 821 |
| New entrants during the year | - | 908 | 896 | 897 | 1,143 |
| (estimated). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total enrolled during the year | - | 1,669 | 1,632 | 1,638 | 1,964 |
| Of whom left during year | - | 179 | 204 | 137 | 349 |
| (estimated). |  |  |  |  |  |
| On roll at year end | 1,475 | 1,490 | 1,428 | 1,501 | 1,615 |
| \% at the end to total enrolled | - | 87.3 | 87.5 | 91.6 | 82.2 |
| Promoted to the next standard | 714 | 754 | 687 | 680 | 627 |
| \% promoted to total on roll at end | 48.4 | 606 | 48.1 | 45.3 | 38.8 |
| Remainder | 761 | 736 | 741 | 821 | 988 |

Similar statements for the other years may be read from the Table No. 32.

Similar tabulated statements for the other standards follow. The only difference between these and the above statement for the infant class is that while the new entrants to the infant class are fresh students to the school, similar entrants in the other standards are those passed and promoted from the lower standard to this standard.

TABLE No. 33
Working of the First Standard during the five year period in 72 Local Board Schoole.

| Year | 1941-42 | 1942-43 | 1943-44 | 1944-45 | 1945-46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old students from previous year | - | 279 | 255 | 291 | 328 |
| New entrants promoted from infant Class | - | 714 | 754 | 687 | 680 |
| Total enrolled during the year | - | 993 | 1,009 | 978 | 1,008 |
| Of whom left during yesr (estimated). | - | 82 | 55 | 43 | 113 |
| On roll at year end | 1,006 | 911 | 954 | 935 | 895 |
| \% at the end to the total enrolled | - | 91.7 | 94.5 | 95.6 | 88.8 |
| Promoted to the next Standard | 727 | 656 | 663 | 607 | 535 |
| \% promoted to total on roll at end |  | 72.0 | 69.5 | 64.9 | 69.8 |
| Remainder | 279 | 255 | 291 | 328 | 360 |

TABLE No. 34<br>Working of the Second Standard daring the five year period in 72 Local Board Schools.

| Year | $1941-42$ | $1942-43$ | $1943-44$ | $1944-45$ | $1945-46$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old students from previous year | - | 233 | 265 | 254 | 299 |
| New entrants promoted from | - | 727 | 656 | 663 | 607 |
| II standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total enrolled during the year | - | 960 | 921 | 017 | 906 |
| Of whom left during year | - | 25 | 93 | 34 | 68 |
| (estimated) |  |  |  |  |  |
| On roll at year end | 885 | 935 | 828 | 883 | 838 |
| \% at the end to the total enrolled | - | 97.4 | 89.9 | 96.3 | 92.5 |
| Promoted to the next standard | 652 | 670 | 574 | 584 | 508 |
| \% promoted to total on roll at end | 73.7 | 71.7 | 69.3 | 66.1 | 60.6 |
| Remainder | 233 | 265 | 254 | 299 | 330 |

TABLE No. 35
Working of the Third Standard during the five year period in 72 Local Board Schools.

| Year | $1941-42$ | $1942-43$ | $1943-44$ | $1944-45$ | $1945-46$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old students from previous year | - | 248 | 227 | 263 | 255 |
| New entrants promoted from Std. | II | - | 652 | 670 | 574 |
| Total enrolled during the year | - | 900 | 897 | 837 | 839 |
| Of whom left during year | - | 54 | 35 | 46 | 106 |
| (estimated). | 884 | 846 | 862 | 791 | 733 |
| On roll at year end | - | 94.0 | 96.1 | 94.5 | 87.4 |
| \% at the end to the total enrolled | 636 | 619 | 599 | 636 | 443 |
| Promoted to the next standard | 69. |  |  |  |  |
| \% promoted to total on roll at end | 71.9 | 78.2 | 69.5 | 67.8 | 60.4 |
| Remainder | 248 | 227 | 263 | 255 | 290 |

The working of any standard may be best summarised by means of two percentages-one, which we might call the retention percentage, is the pecrentage of the total enrolled during a year that remain on roll at the end of the year; and secondly, the percentage of the total on roll at the end that passes and is promoted to the next standard. In Table No. 36 are brought together these sets of percentages for the infant class and the three standards.
6. The average passing and retention percentgaes.-It.is clear that there has been a definite decline in the passing percentages during the course of the five years. This trend is apparent in all the standards. It may be either due to a deterioration of the
scholastic standard or to a stiffening of the passing standard. The decline begins definitely from the year 1943-44 and continues to the end of the period studied. From what is generally known was the political atmosphere prevalent in this area during the three years 1943-46, a definite deterioration in the scholastic standards rather than any raising of the examining standard, appears most likely to be the cause of this phenomenon. The sharp deterioration in 194546 should be attributable to the conditions then prevailing among teachers, to which a reference has already been made.

TABLE No. 36
Retention and Passing percentages for the Infant Class and the Three Standards.

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { per cent } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { per cent } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \text { Reten- } \\ \text { tion- } \\ \text { per cent } p \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pass } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { per cent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1941-42 | - | 48.4 | - | 72.8 | - | 73.7 | - | 71.9 |
| 1942-43 | 89.3 | 50.6 | 91.7 | 72.0 | 97.4 | 71.7 | 94.0 | 73.2 |
| 1943-44 | 87.5 | 48.1 | 94.5 | 69.5 | 89.9 | 69.3 | 96.1 | 69.5 |
| 1944-45 | 91.6 | 45.3 | 95.6 | 64.9 | 96.8 | 66.1 | 94.6 | 67.8 |
| 1945-46 | 82.2 | 38.8 | 88.8 | 59.8 | 92.5 | 60.6 | 87.4 | 60.4 |
| Average | 89.5 | 49.0 | 93.9 | 71.3 | 94.5 | 71.5 | 94.8 | 71.5 |

The fact of a deterioration in the scholastic standard rather than raising of the examining standard, is also suggested when it is noted that not only fewer passed the annual examination, but also fewer appeared for them. The passing percentages as given above might be corrected for this factor, by considering percentages of those passed to those who appeared rather than to the total on roll at the year end. This is done in Table No. 37.

TABLE No. 37
Number of passes as percentages of those who appeared for examination

|  |  |  |  | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Infant | I | II | II |
| $1941-42$ | 66.4 | 81.6 | 77.7 | 78.1 |
| $1942-43$ | 66.3 | 81.8 | 79.4 | 79.8 |
| $1943-44$ | 66.5 | 81.7 | 79.1 | 78.1 |
| $1944-45$ | 63.4 | 79.3 | 77.5 | 78.9 |
| $1945-46$ | 58.5 | 73.3 | 74.7 | 75.7 |

It is clear that so presented the passing percentages are remarkably steady during the first thee years. There is a slight
decline in them in 1944-45 and a definite deterioration in 1945-46. The position in the latter two years is understandable in the light of the then prevailing agitation among teachers. The passing percentages during first three years may, therefore, be taken as the normal examining standard. To obtain the normal passing percentages in the different standards, we have taken the averages for these three years.

Coming to retention percentages, namely, the percentages of the total enrolled that remain on roll to the end of the year, we note that these are not available for the first year. In the case of the last year there is some decline in them for all standards. The abnormality of this year has already been noted. To obtain the normal percentages, therefore, we take the averages for the three years 1942-45.

As in all these cases we are averaging percentages, the geometric mear rather than the usual arithmetic mean has been employed. They are given in the Table No. 38.

TABLE No. 38
Average Retention and Passing Percentages

| Class | Average percentage of the total enrolled that remain on roll at the year end | Average percentage of the total on roll promoted to the next standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 89.6 | 49.0 |
| 1 | 93.9 | 71.3 |
| II | 94.6 | 71.5 |
| III | 94.8 | 71.5 |

7. Expected distribution of students in different classes.This summarises the normal working of the Local Board Primary Schools. A system working under such normal conditions as represented by the set of percentages above, for a period of about twelve to fifteen years, if not violently disturbed in the meantime, would stabilise, in the sense that if the number of new entrants in the infant class is relatively steady from year to year, the total number of students that it may hold on its rolls at an year end as well as its distribution among the different standards, would attain stability and would continue to remain unchanged thereafter. Considering a school system for which the number of new entrants in the infant class every year is 10,000 , and which is subjected to normal percent-
ages given above, we may now work out the stabilised conditions to which it would reach after a few years of normal working.

Under stabiliser conditions, the number on roll of each standard at an year end continues to remain unchanged. For the infant class, for instance, this number must be such that when 49.0 per cent of it is passed and promoted to the next standard, and when 10,000 fresh entrants are added to the remainder next year, 89.5 per cent. of this total which remains on roll of the class at the year end, must be equal to the original number. A little arithmetic shows that this number should be $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 6 6}$. On similar conditions the stabilised number on roll of each standard, at an year end, may be worked out. These are given in Table No. 39. Arithmetical details of calculation are given in Appendix $V$.

TABLE No. 39
Expected distribution of students in different classes.

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of new entrants in the infant class } 10,000 . \\ \text { Cxpected on roll at an year end }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of total on roll |  |  |$]$

We may now compare these stable conditions as we expect them to be, with the actual aferage conditions during the five year period. The average number of students on roll of each standard during the five year period is given in Table No. 40.

TABLE No. 40
Average number on roll at an year ond.

| Class | No. | Percent of the <br> total on roll. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 1,502 | 36.8 |
| I | 940 | 22.7 |
| II | 874 | 21.1 |
| III | 823 | 19.9 |
| Total | 4,139 | 100.0 |

Thus the actual distribution of the total number of students into the various standards, is seen to be in excellent agreement, with what we would expect if the school system were in fact working, for a considerable period, under relatively undisturbed normal conditions, as represented by the set of pecentages in Table No. 38.

The actual total strength which is 4,139 of course depends upon the number of new entrants in the infant class, every year. If this were 10,000 , we expect at an year end, a total of 45,284 in the four classes. On this basis, it would require about 914 new entrants in the infant class, every year, to have the actual total of 4,139 . The actual estimated numbers of new entrants in the infant class during the four years 1942-46 are $908,896,897$ and 1,143 respectively. The required number of 908 new entrants every year appears, therefore, reasonable and in good agreement with the estimated new entrants during the four years. This is particularly so, when we note, that, the number of new entrants in the last year was abnormally high for reasons already noted.

This remarkable agreement between the actual and the exexpected total numbers and their distributions among the different standards appear to be a complete justification of the reasoning so far followed.
8. A slight revision of rates.-The agreement is somewhat improved if we slightly change the average percentages of the total enrolled that remain on roll, given in Table No. 38. We, therefore, propose the following modification in this respect:-

TABLE No. 41
Average Retention percentages.

| Class | Average per cent given <br> in Table 38 | Modification <br> proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 89.5 | 89.5 |
| II | 93.9 | 93.6 |
| III | 94.5 | 95.0 |

In the following we work with these percentages; the passing percentages are the same as given in Table 38. On this basis, we have the following stable composition for a school system, in which 10,000 fresh students enter every year.

The improvement in agreement with the actual distribution as given in Table 40 is obvious. The modification is so slight that there appears no harm in working with these figures.

TABLE No. 42
Expected distribution of students in different clases.

|  |  | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Per cent |  |
| Infant | 16,466 | 36.8 |
| II | 10,825 | 22.8 |
| III | 9,591 | 21.2 |
| Total | 8,934 | 19.7 |
|  | 46,816 | 100.0 |

9. Total wastage.-We shall now express the final conclusion in a somewhat different but perhaps in a more direct form. We note that in a school system subject to these percentages, for every $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ new entrants in the infant class every year there are found 8,934 students on roll of the third standard; 71.5 per cent of these that is 6,388 students pass the third standard every year. This means that 3,612 students leave the school every year, without completing the third standard. Similarly, out of the 9,591 students on roll of the second standard at an year end, only 71.5 per cent., that is 6,858 pass that standard, which means that 3,142 students leave the school every year without passing the second standard. Similarly, for the other classes. In short, we may say, that if we follow the progress of 10,000 students newly entering the infant class in a given year, 3,612 will be found to have left the school, without completing the third standard, 3,142 without completing the second, 2,638 without completing the first standard and 1,932 without even completing the infant class.

Otherwise erpressed, this means that out of every 10,000 students entering the school system 3,612 leave the school at various stages without completing the third standard. The number out of the initial cohort of $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$, that leave the school at various stages are given in Table No. 43.

TABLE No. 43
Total wastage at various stages.

| Class | Number out of 10,000 <br> leaving the school <br> from thia class | Per cent of <br> the total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 1,932 | 53.5 |
| I | 706 | 19.5 |
| II | 504 | 14.0 |
| III | 470 | 13.0 |
|  | Total | 8,812 |

It would thus appear that of the total wastage from classes upto the third more than half is through the Infant Class. Detailed percentages are given in a parallel column of the above Table. In Table No. 44, we give the total reported wastage cases by classes.

TABLE No. 44
Repoted wastage cases by classes.

| Class | Number | Per cent in <br> the total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 695 | 53.5 |
| II | 178 | 19.6 |
| III | 171 | 13.7 |
| Tatal | 1,298 | 13.2 |

It will be noted that the percentage distribution of the reported cases is in excellent agreement with derived total wastage. We consider, therefore, the estimates of total wastage given in Table No. 43, as satisfactory. These, it will be observed, are much less than the corresponding provincial estimates earlier derived and given in Table No. 23. That wastage in Satara District would be smaller in comparison with the provincial conditions, was, of course, anticipated earlier.

## CHAPTER IV

## STAGNATION IN THE LOCAL BOARD SCHOOLS

1. Stagnation.-We shall now turn to the phenomenon of stagnation. Stagnation consists, as already said, in the fact that many of the boys who pass out of a class, take more than the normal period to do so. The distribution of students according to the number of years taken to complete a certain standard is, therefore, fundamental to a consideration of this phenomenon. In the last section we estimated, for instance, that out of every 10,000 students entering the infant class, 1932 leave the school from that class, while the remaining 8,068 boys pass through that class to the next one. In this section we shall be concerned with estimating the varying number of years taken by the 8,068 boys to complete the infant class.
2. Stagnation in the infant class.-As a preliminary we shall first examine more closely the wastage cases from the infant class. According to our estimates of wastage, in this class there are 1,932 wastage cases per 10,000 new entrants. This does not mean that out of every 10,000 new entrants, 1,932 leave the school in the first year of their schooling. Some of the wastage cases, of course, leave the school during the first year of their schooling, but some others might do it in the second year, some in the third year and so on. We shall first obtain the distribution of the 1932 wastage cases according to the number of years they spend in school prior to their leaving it in the infant class. We shall do this on the basis of the information regarding the actually reported wastage cases from this class.

As already stated, we have 695 cases of reported wastage from the infant class. In Table No. 45 is given their distribution according to the number of years they spent in school before leaving it.

In a parallel column is given the percentage distribution. It is reasonable to assume that this distribution will be substantially true for the estimated cases of wastage from the Infant Class. On that basis, Table No. 46 gives, out of every 10,000 that enter the Infant Class, the numbers that leave it without passing through, in the first, second, third, etc., year of their schooling.

Thus out of 10,000 fresh entrants in infant class, 573 leave the school quite in the first year of their schooling, leaving on roll only 9,427 at the year end. Just how many of these do pass the

TABLE No. 45
Distribution of the Reported Waetage Cases from infant olass actording to the number of years spent in achool.

| Number of years spent in school before leaving the infant class | Number of reported wastage cases | Percentage distribution. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 206 | 29.64 |
| 2 | 239 | 34.39 |
| $s$ | 114 | 16.40 |
| 4 | 82 | 11.80 |
| 5 | 29 | 4.17 |
| 6 | 14 | 2.01 |
| 7 | 9 | 1.29 |
| 8 | - | - |
| 9 | 2 | 0.29 |
| Total | 695 | 99.99 |

TABLE No. 46
Distribution of the Estimated Wastage Cases from infant class according to the number of years apent in school.

| Year of schooling | Number out of 10,000 leaving the sehool from the infant clase in this year. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 573 |  |
| II | 664 |  |
| III | 817 |  |
| IV | 228 |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 81 |  |
| VI | 39 |  |
| VII | 25 |  |
| - VIII | 3 |  |
| IX | 1 |  |
| X | 1 |  |
| Total | 1,932 | - |

annual examination and are promoted to the next standard? We have earlier seen that the normal passing percentage in the infant class is 49 per cent of those who are on roll at the year end. A class, of course, consists of fresh students who are enrolled only this year, students who are one year old, students two year old and so on. The passing percentage given above is, therefore, only average for all such students. In order to know the number who pass at the end F... 0
of the first year of schooling we need the passing percentage for thc fresh entrants and this might, quite conceivably, be very different from the one given above. Similarly, in order to know the number who pass the infant class at the end of two years of schooling, we need to know the passing percentage specifically for such repeoters; and so on. In short, in order to estimate the number, out of 10,000 , who pass out after one, two, three, etc., years of schooling, we need to know not the average passing percentage, but passing percentages for freshers, for one year olds, for two year olds and so on. In the absence of such specific passing percentages we shall assume, to begin with, that they are the same for all boys, old and new. Later on we shall suitably modify this assumption.

On this basis we expect that out of the 9,427 students who remain on roll at the end of the first year, 49 per cent, that is, 4,619 pass, leaving behind 4,808 as repeaters. Some of these repeaters leave the school during the second year. From Table No. 46, we have that 664 leave during the second year, leaving 4,144 on roll at the year end. If, again, 49 per cent of these pass, we have that 2,031 pass at the end of the second year leaving behind 2,113 to repeat for the third year. The process continues until all of the initial cohort of 10,000 students exhausts, either because the students leave the school or pass and are promoted to the next class. In Table No. 47, we outline this progress of the 10,000 students through the infant class.

The table outlines the progress of 10,000 students through the infant class. We know that 1,932 of them leave the school without passing. The third column gives the numbers leaving in the first, second, third, etc., year of their schooling, and is based on the facts of the actually reported wastage cases. In the last column, we have the estimates of the numbers passing after one, two, three, etc., years of schooling. These are based on the assumption that the same passing percentage is applicable to freshers and repeaters of any length of duration in school.

Consider now a school system in which every year 10,000 students enter the infant class who later progress through this class as outlined in the table. We have already seen that such a school system will soon accumulate in its infant class a total of 16,466 students and will continue thereafter to hold this number on its rolls at the year end every year. In the fourth column of Table No. 47, we ber of years they have been in school. Thus 9,427 of them are freshers, 4,144 one year repeaters and so on.

According to the definition of "stagnation in infant class" that wé have adopted, we would regard as stagnants only those in the

TABLE No. 47
Progress of 10,000 students through the infant class.

| Year of <br> schooling | On roll at the <br> beginning of <br> the year | Leave school <br> during the <br> year | On roll at the <br> end of the <br> year | Pass at the <br> end of the <br> year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 10,000 | 573 | 9,427 | 4,619 |
| II | $-4,808$ | 664 | 4,144 | 2,031 |
| III | 2,113 | 317 | 1,796 | 880 |
| IV | 916 | 228 | 688 | 337 |
| V | 351 | 81 | 270 | 132 |
| VI | 138 | 39 | 99 | 49 |
| VII | 50 | 25 | 25 | 12 |
| VIII | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 |
| IX | 5 | 1 | 4 | -3 |
| X | - | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Total | - | 1,932 | 16,466 | 8,068 |

infant class who have been for more than two years in the school. Thus from the fourth column of Table No. 47, we would have all boys beginning with the entry 1,796 onwards, as stagnant cases. As already noted, the schools, from which we have received returns contain only 1,500 boys in their infant class. On the basis of the fourth column of Table No. 47, therefore, we would expect the following numbers of stagnation cases from the infant class as shown in Table No. 48. In a parallel column of the same table, we give the actual numbers of reported stagnation cases.

TABLE No. 48
Estimated and Reported Stagnation Cases from infant class distributed according to number of years spent in school.

| Year of schooling | Estimated | Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 164 | 105 |
| IV | 63 | 60 |
| VI | 25 | 22 |
| VII | 9 | 7 |
| VIII | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 268 | 2 |

It is obvious that the discrepancy between the estimated and the reported numbers is great. Thus, while we estimate a total of 263 stagnation cases from this class, actually only 199 are reported.

The discrepancy is largest in the stagnation cases who have been in infant class for the third year of their schooling.

It will be remembered that the estimated number of stagnation cases is ultimately based on the assumption that we made, namely, one of uniform passing percentages for all boys, old and new. - It: is likely that the discrepancy between the estimated and the reported numbers is due to this assumption being wrong. That is, of course, a possibility. In that case, it should be possible to minimise this discrepancy by appropriate choice of the passing percentages for the freshers and the successive repeaters. With this possibility in mind, we have allowed some variation in the passing percentages. In sin doing, while the aim was to minimise the discrepancy between the estimated and the reported number of stagnation cases, it was borne in mind that the variation in the passing percentages for freshers and repeaters could not be erratic, but that it must be gradual and understandable. The result of such an attempt is given in Table No. 49.

TABLE No. 49
Progress through Infant Class.

| Year of schooling | No. at the beginning | Leave during the year |  | Remain on roll at the end | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & \text { out } \end{aligned}$ | Per centat the end | Per cent pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 10,000 |  | 573 | 9,427 | 4,542 | 94.3 | 48.2 |
| II | 4,885 |  | 664 | 4,221 | 2,121 | 86.4 | 60.8 |
| III | 2,100 |  | 317 | 1,783 | 900 | 84.9 | 50.5 |
| IV | 883 | - | 228 | 655 | 330 | 74.2 | 50.4 |
| V | 325 |  | 81 | 244 | 123 | 75.1 | 50.4 |
| VI | 121 |  | 39 | 82 | 35 | 67.8 | 42.7 |
| VII | 47 |  | 14 | 33 | 18 | 70.2 | 39.4 |
| VIII | 20 |  | 7 | 18 | 3 | 65.0 | 23.1 |
| IX | 10 |  | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | - |
| X | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| XI | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| XII | 1 |  | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - |  | 1,932 | 16,466 | 8,068 | - | - |

The table outlines as before the progress of an initial cohort of 10,000 students through the infant class. In the last two columns are given the retention and the passing percentages respectively. By retention percentage is meant, the number remaining on roll at an year end expressed as percentage of the number at the beginning of the year. This number is seen to decrease every year. This is, of course, what we would expect and it means that the proportion
of the wastage is larger among boys who have been in infant class for a longer time. As to the passing percentages, though variation in them was allowed, actually as it appears, the variation is not great. Passing percentage for boys who remain in the class for two, three, four or five years is almost the same. It is only afterwards that it decreases. The passing percentage for freshers is a little lower. The difference is slight and not altogether inconceivable. The almost steady passing percentage upto the fifth year is notable. It means that the class, though it consists of freshers and repeaters of all durations, is a remarkably homogenous lot as regards its scholastic attainments.

We shall now examine the effect of the variation allowed in passing percentages on the agreement between the estimated and the reported numbers of stagnation cases. As before, the fourth column of Table No. 49, gives the distribution of $\mathbf{1 6 , 4 6 6}$ students on roll at an year end and according to the number of years they have been in school. Reducing this column to a total of 1,500 , which is the actual total number of students in infant class of the selected schools, we obtain their distribution according to the number of years spent in school. From this we obtain the estimates of stagnation cases. In Table No. 50, we give the revised estimates. In a parallel column are also given the reported numbers.

TABLE No. 50
Estimated (revised) and Reported Stagnation Cases from Infani Clase distributed according to the number of years spent in school.

| Year of schooling | Estimated | Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 162 | 105 |
| IV | 60 | 60 |
| V | 22 | 22 |
| VI | 7 | 7 |
| VII | 5 | 6 |
| Total | 256 | 199 |

It is obvious that the disagreement between the total of estimated and reported is substantially the same as before. Nevertheless, now the estimated and the reported numbers differ only in one class. While we estimate 162 stagnation cases who have been in the infant class for the third year of their schooling, only 105 such cases have been reported. No conceivable variation in the passing percentages can reduce this discrepancy. We must, therefore, doubt the completeness of reporting of stagnation cases in our enquiry.

It should be noted that the estimated and reported numbers agree excellently in all classes except one and that the under-reporting, if any, is apparent in only one class. It now appears that such under-reporting was not altogether unexpected. In the definition of stagnation, the reference was to academic years spent in school, so that a boy who enrolled himself even in February must be said to have spent one year in school, by the end of May. This interpretation, even though made sufficiently clear, was not, it appears, strictly adhered to by a number of teachers. This obviously results in underreporting in the third year of schooling, which is the marginal year for the definition of stagnation in infant class. We are inclined to accept this explanation and believe that there has in fact been considerable under-reporting in this category. We shall, therefore, finally accept our estimated numbers of stagnation cases as being closer to facts.

We may now summarise the position as regards wastage and stagnation in the infant class as follows :-

Of every 10,000 students entering this class :-
4,542 pass in the first year of schooling.
2,121 pass in the second year of schooling.
1,405 pass in the third or subsequent year, of schooling.
8,068 pass through the infant class.
1,932 Leave the school without passing through the infant class.
Thus while there are 1,932 wastage cases in the infant class, there are 1,405 stagnant cases as per our definition. In other words, there is 19.32 per cent wastage and 14.05 per cent stagnation in the infant class.

The position regarding wastage might be summarised in a somewhat different manner. Thus, from Table No. 49, it appears that the 10,000 students entering the infant class, together spend 18,398 years in that class. Of these 4,645 years are spent by the 1,932 students who finally leave the school without passing through the infant class. We may consider, therefore, 4,645 student-years out of a total of 18,398 , or about 25.25 per cent as wasted. This way of looking at the problem is probably the more appropriate when, wastage is considered in terms of financial costs.

The balance of 13,753 years was spent by the 8,068 students who passed through the infant class. Thus on an average, the boys who pass the Infant class require about 1.7 years to do so. On the other hand, the boys who leave the school without passing this class. spend on an average about 2.4 years in it. In so far as the boys who leave, spend on an average considerably more years in this class than
do the boys who pass, stagnation might be considered a cause contributory to wastage. The same is indicated by the fact that the retention percentages as given in the sixth column of Table No. 49, continuously diminish, which means that wastage among stagnant boys is increasingly larger. We shall return to this point later.
3. Stagnation in the first standard.-Proceeding on exactly similar lines, we may derive the progress of the 10,000 boys through I standard. This is given in Table No. 51 :-

TABLE No. 51
Progress through I standard.

| Year of <br> schooling | No. at the <br> beginning | Leave <br> during the <br> year | Remain on <br> roll at the <br> end | Pass out | Per cent at <br> the end | Per cent <br> pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| II | 4,542 | 125 | 4,417 | 3,477 | 97.25 | 78.72 |
| III | 3,061 | 167 | 2,894 | 1,959 | 94.54 | 67.48 |
| IV | 1,841 | 158 | 1,683 | 1,130 | 91.42 | 67.14 |
| V | 883 | 125 | 758 | 508 | 8.84 | 67.02 |
| VI | 873 | 64 | 309 | 186 | 82.84 | 60.19 |
| VII | 158 | 28 | 130 | 65 | 82.28 | 50.00 |
| VIII | 78 | 16 | 62 | 26 | 79.49 | 40.32 |
| X | 40 | 9 | 31 | 10 | 77.50 | 32.26 |
| X | 22 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 81.82 | 22.22 |
| XI | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 71.43 | 20.00 |
| XII | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| XIII | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| XIV | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| XV | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| XVI | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - | 706 | 10,325 | 7,362 | - |

It should be noted that of the 10,000 boys only 8,068 ever reach the first standard. Of these 706 leave the school without passing through this standard. Their distribution according to their year of schooling is given in the third column of the table. This has been derived on the basis of a similar distribution of the 254 reported wastage cases from this class. It should be noted that the year in the first column is the year in the school and not the year in the class. Passing percentages in the last column have been adjusted so as to minimise the difference between the estimated and reported stagnation cases. On their basis, the distribution of the 10,325 students to be found in I standard, according to the year of their schooling is given in the fourth column. According to definition of stagnation,
all those who are found in I standard in the fourth or subsequent year of their schooling are to be regarded as stagnant. Omitting the first two figures in the fourth column, we have, therefore, the estimates of stagnant cases in I standard out of a total of 10,325 in the class. In the sample of schools under study, we have only a total of 940 boys in I standard. On the basis of the above estimates of stagpation, we would, therefore, expect the following numbers of stagnant cases reported from I standard. In a parallel column are given the actual reported cases.

TABLE No. 52
Estimated and Reported Stagnation in I standard by year of achooling.

| Year of schooling | Estimated number | Reported number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV | 153 | 127 |
| V. | 69 | 69 |
| VI | 28 | 28 |
| VII | 24 | 24 |
| Total | 274 | 248 |

Thus in place of the estimated 274 stagnant cases, only 248 have been reported. The defect again is in the first group, namely, among the students who have been in I standard during the fourth year oz their schooling and thus are to be regarded as stagnant by definition. The group is marginal and the possibilities of misinterpretation of the definition and the consequent under-enumeration have already been mentioned. We are, therefore, inclined to believe that there has in fact been under-reporting and that the estimated numbers of stagnant cases are substantially true.

We may now summarise the position as regards wastage and stagnation in I standard as follows :-

Of every 10,000 students entering school, only 8,068 reach I standard. Of these.

3,477 pass I standard in the second year of their schooling.
1,953 pass I standard in the third year of their schooling.
1,932 pass in the fourth or subsequent year.
7,362 pass I standard.
706 Leave school in I standard.
8,068 Total number entering I standard.
Thus there are 706 wastage cases and 1,932 stagnant cases.

The 8,068 who reach I standard spend altogether 24,784 student years in the school before they pass $I$ standard or leave the school. Of these 2,963 or about 11.96 per cent are spent by students who finally leave the school in I standard. This, therefore, is the wastage proportion at this stage as considered from the point of view of financial costs.
4. Stagnation in the second standard.-We have seen that of the initial 10,000 only 7,362 pass I standard and reach II standard. Their progress through this class is given in Table No. 53. Underlying considerations and the structure of this table are the same as before.

In II standard of the selected schools, there are only 874 students. On the basis of the fourth column of the above table, we derive the estimate of stagnant cases in this standard and compare them with the actual reported cases. This is done in Table No. 54.

TABLE NO. 53
Progress through II Standard

| Year of schooling | No. at the beginning | Leave during the year | Remaining on roll at the end | Pass out | Per cent at the end | Per cent pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| II | $=$ | - | - | $\ldots$ | - |  |
| III | 3,477 | 54 | 3,428 | 2,514 | 98.45 | 73.44 |
| IV | 2,862 | 105 | 2,757 | 1,954 | 96.83 | 70.87 |
| V | 1,933 | 102 | 1,881 | 1,296 | 94.72 | 70.78 |
| VI | 1,048 | 102 | 941 | 666 | 90.22 | 70.78 |
| VII | 461 | 62 | 899 | 282 | 86.55 | 70.68 |
| VIII | 182 | 42 | 140 | 98 | 76.92 | 70.00 |
| IX | 67 | 16 | 51 | 30 | 76.12 | 58.82 |
| X | 81 | 7 | 24 | 12 | 77.42 | 50.10 |
| XI | 16 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 68.75 | 36.36 |
| XII | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| XIII | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| XIV | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| XV | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| XVI | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| XVII | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | 504 | 9,591 | 6,858 | - | - |

Here too there has been some under-reporting and though it is not entirely confined to the marginal group, we are inclined to be-
lieve that there has been under-reporting rather than to doubt seriously the estimates.

TABLE No. 54
Estimated and Reported stagnation in II standard by year of schooling.

| Year of schooling | Estimated number | Reported number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 167 | 145 |
| VI | 86 | 72 |
| VII | 36 | 87 |
| VII | 18 | 11 |
| IX | 9 | 9 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Thus to summarise the position as regards wastage and stagnation in II standard, we have that of every 10,000 students entering school, only 7,362 reach II standard. Of these,

2,514 pass II standard in the third year of their schooling.
'1,954 pass II standard in the fourth year of their schooling.
2,390 pass II standard in the fifth or subsequent year.
6,858 pass II standard.
504 leave the school in II standard.
7,362 Total number entering II standard.
Thus there are 504 wastage and 2,390 stagnation cases at this stage.

The 7,362 who reach II standard spend altogether 31,916 stu-dent-years in the school before they pass III standard or leave the school. Of these 2,865 or about 8.98 per cent are spent by students who finally leave the school in II standard. This, therefore, is the wastage proportion at this stage, as considered from the point of view of financial costs.
5. Stagnation in the third standard.-The progress of the 6,858 boys reaching the third standard, through that standard is outlined in Table No. 55.

In Table No. 56, we compare the estimated and actual reported stagnant cases by year of schooling.

As judged by our estimates, stagnation in this class must be considered as grossly under-reported in our investigation. There are two possible causes leading to such an under-reporting. Firstly, as a rule many of the school records are not preserved for more than

TABLE No. 56
Progrese through III standard.

| Year of schooling | No. at the beginning | Lenve during the year | Remain on roll at the end | Pass out | Per centat the end | Per cent pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| II | - | - | - | . - | - | - |
| III | - | - | - | .- | - | - |
| IV | 2,514 | 50 | 2,464 | 1,810 | 98.01 | 73.46 |
| V | 2,608 | 113 | 2,495 | 1,768 | 95.67 | 70.86 |
| VI | 2,023 | 96 | 1,927 | 1,364 | 95.25 | 70.78 |
| VII | 1,229 | 77 | 1,162 | 815 | 93.73 | 70.75 |
| VIII | 619 | 68 | 556 | 393 | 89.82 | 70.68 |
| IX | 261 | 36 | 225 | 157 | 86.21 | 69.78 |
| X | 98 | 27 | 71 | 51 | 72.45 | 71.83 |
| XI | 32 | 8 | 24 | 15 | - | - |
| XII | 13 | - | 13 | 9 | - | - |
| XIII | - 5 | - | 5 | 4 | - | - |
| XIV | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - |

TABLE No. 56
Estimated and reponted sfagnation in $1 I I$ standard by year of achooling.

| Year of schooling | Eatimated number | Reported number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VI | 177 | 107 |
| VII | 106 | 86 |
| VIII | 51 | 24 |
| IX | 32 | 26 |
| Total | 366 | 243 |

five years and hence in many schools no records prior to 1939-40 were at all available. Secondly, even if the records were available, it should be obvious from the definition of stagnation and the detailed procedure required to determine stagnation in a given case, that it was only a very conscientious teacher who would take all pains to report stagnation fully. Under these circumstances, it appears quite possible that there has in fact been very serious under-reporting. We would, therefore, rather take our estimates as closer to the truth.

Thus to summarise the position as regards wastage and stagnation in III standard, we have that of every 10,000 students entering school, only 6,858 reach III standard. Of these,

1,810 pass III standard in the fourth year of their schooling.
1,768 Pass III standard in the fifth year of their schooling.

2,810 pass III standard in the sixth or subsequent year of their schooling.
6,388 pass III standard.
470 Leave the school in III standard.
6,858 Total number entering III standard.
Thus there are 470 wastage and 2,810 stagnation cases at this stage.

The 6,858 who reach III standard spend altogether 38,455 student-years in the school before they pass III standard or leave the school. Of these, 3,066 or about 7.97 per cent are spent by students who finally leave the school in III standard. This, therefore, is the wastage proportion at this stage, as considered from the point of view of financial costs.
6. Summary.-We shall now summarise the position regarding wastage and stagnation.

Of every 10,000 students entering the school system.
6,388 pass III standard.
3,612 Leave the school without passing III.
Of these,
1,932 leave in Infant Class. 706 leave in I standard. 504 leave in II standard. 470 leave in III standard.
Of the 6,388 who pass III standard.
1,810 pass without a single failure in the school career, that is pass in the fourth year of schooling.
1,768 pass with one failure that is pass in the fifth year of schooling.
2,810 pass with two or more failures, that is in the sixth or subsequent year.
Of these,
1,405 meet their second failure in Infant Class. 527 meet their second failure in I standard. 458 meet their second failure in II standard. 420 meet their second failure in III standard.
Considered from the point of view of financial costs, we might summarise the position as under :-

Every 10,000 students entering the school system spend altogether 48,928 student-years in the school before either they pass out of the third standard or leave the school earlier. The distribution among different classes, of the total student-years thus spent is as under:-

| Infant Class |  | 18,398 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| I Standard |  | 11,031 |
| II Standard |  |  |
| III Standard | $\cdots$ | $\mathbf{9 , 4 0 4}$ |
|  | Total |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Of the total student-years spent, 35,389 are spent by the 6,388 boys who finally complete the third standard, the remaining 13,539 student-years, forming more than 27 per cent of the total, are spent by those who fail to complete the third standard. This is the net wastage from the point of view of financial costs. Considered from the point of view of number of students failing to complete the third standard, we saw that 36.12 per cent students are to be considered as wastage cases. Thus, it would appear that wastage, considered from the point of view of financial costs is considerably smaller than is apparent.

The total wastage of 13,539 student-years is distributed in various classes as under :-

4,645 student-years are spent by those who leave the school in the Infant Class:
2,963 by those who leave in I standard.
2,865 by those who leave in II standard.
3,066 by those who leave in III standard.
13,539 Total wastage.
As for stagnation, we have seen that 6,388 boys require in all 35,389 student-years to complete III standard. Had they kept normal progress, they would need $6,388 \times 4=25,552$ student-years. The extra 9,837 student-years taken by them might, therefore, be taken as a measure of stagnation.

Thus, to conclude, we might say that of the total educational effort expended on the infant class and the first three standards, about 28 per cent is ultimately wasted, while, of the remaining, about 28 per cent is due to the phenomenon of stagnation.

## CHAPTER V

## WASTAGE AND STAGNATION IN THE VOLUNTARY SCHOOLS

1. Returns from Voluntary Schools.-In this section we shall, examine the conditions in the Voluntary Schools and shall compare them with those prevailing in the Local Board Schools.

As has already been pointed out, returns from only 42 Voluntary Schools have been admitted for the purpose of this report. Table No. 57 gives, year by year, and for each standard separately, the total strength at each year end, for the 42 schools combined.

TABLE No. 57
Number of boys in differext classes in the sample of 42 Volentary Schools.

| year | Infant | I | II | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1941-42$ | 722 | 392 | 392 | 268 |
| $1942-43$ | 620 | 353 | 333 | 316 |
| $1943-44$ | 566 | 269 | 255 | 271 |
| $1944-45$ | 626 | 205 | 214 | 194 |
| $1945-46$ | 698 | 230 | 142 | 204 |
| Average | 626 | 290 | 267 | 251 |

Our returns from the Voluntary Schools are not sufficiently numerous to justify separate and independent estimates of wastage and stagnation. In the first instance, we have too few schools with satisfactory reutrns and secondly, these schools are on the whole very much smaller than the Local Board Schools, so that our final sample from the Voluntary Schools is rather limited. In Table No. 58 we compare the average year-end strengths in the 72 Local Board and the 42 Voluntary Schools.

TABLE No. 58
Average number of boys in different classes in the samples of Local Board and Voluntary Schools.

| Class | 72 Local Board Schools | 42 Voluntary Schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 1,502 | 626 |
| I | 940 | 290 |
| II | 874 | 267 |
| III | 823 | 261 |

Under these circumstances, we shall content ourselves with comparing the conditions in the Local Board and the Voluntary Schools, by comparing the actual reported number of wastage and stagnation cases from the Voluntary Schools with the corresponding numbers estimated on the basis that the conditions in the two schools were about the same.
2. Wastage in the voluntary schools.-The average number of students on roll of Infant Class in the 72 Local Board Schools was 1,502, while in the 42 voluntary schools it was only 626. From the Infant Class of the Local Board schools we have 695 wastage cases reported. We have also seen that the reporting of wastage cases from the Local Board Schools has been very complete. Therefore, on that basis, we would expect 290 wastage cases from the infant class of the voluntary schools. We have actually only 244 cases reported. Table No. 59 gives similarly estimated and actually reported wastage cases from all classes of the voluntary schools.

TABLE No. 59
Estimated and reported wastage cases from the voluntary achools.

| Class | Estimated <br> number | Reported <br> number | Reported as <br> percentage of <br> estimated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 290 | 244 | 84.14 |
|  | I | 78 | 84 |
|  | III | 54 | 68 |

Thus it appears that, as compared with the Local Board schools, the Voluntary schools have, starting with an actually smaller wastage in the Infant Class, increasingly larger wastage in higher standards.

The smaller wastage in infant class of the voluntary schools is understandable. During the course of this investigation it was noted that the authorities of these schools made great efforts to maintain the strength of the schools and that the teachers also showed considerable personal interest in the matter. Such efforts were, of course, partly due to the social standing of the authorities of these schools; perhaps they were also partly due to the manner in which these schools were aided by Government. Bulk of the response to such efforts was naturally confined to the infant class and, in fact, often it had its compensation in the higher standards. In this connection, a typical argument between the schools authorities and parents might be cited. When a farmer withdrew both of his sons
from the school on the plea that he needed them on his farm, the authorities argued, with considerable success, that they would not let at least the younger of the two, to be out of the school. The argument was, of course, good common sense and the parents had to oblige. It was also noted that, perhaps owing to the same set of social and financial circumstances, the school authorities were not always prompt in removing from the roll, the names of boys who were absent from the schools even for considerably long periods.

This somewhat artificially supported strength of the infant class, could not of course be maintained in the higher standards. As a consequence we have comparatively higher wastage in these standards. Compared with that in the Local Board schools the wastage in Voluntary schools is more than 7.5 per cent higher in the first standard, more than 25 per cent higher in the second standard and more than 60 per cent higher in the third standard.
3. Stagnation in the voluntary schools.-The composition of the different standards, as resulting from the smaller wastage in infant class and higher wastage in the higher standards is very well reflected in the passing percentages in these standards. In Table No. 60 we give the passing percentages, that is, percentage of the total on roll at an year end that passes or is promoted to the higher standard, in Voluntary schools, averaged for the period 1941-45. In a parallel column are given comparable percentages in the Local Board schools.

Thus, the passing percentage is considerably lower in the infant class and increasingly higher in the higher standards. The passing and the wastage percentages thus go hand in hand. This is as it should be.

TABLE No. 60
Passing percentages in different classes of the Voluntary and the Local Board Schools.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Voluntary Schools | Local Board Schools |
| Infant |  | 41.0 |
| I | 75.8 | 49.0 |
| P II | 1 | 82.4 |

As an immediate consequence of the low wastage and passing percentages in the infant class, we must expect stagnation to a greater extent, in this class. On the other hand, the increasingly larger wastage and larger passing percentages in higher atandards.
should result in, relatively speaking, increasingly smaller stagnation in these standards. While examining the returns from Local Board Schools, we expressed doubts regarding the completeness of the stagnation reporting. There are stronger reasons to suspect the same in the case of voluntary schools. No fruitful comparison between the two can, therefore, be made on the basis of the reported stagnation cases.

We might summarise the relative position of the Voluntary schools thus: As compared with the Local Board achools, the wastage in Voluntary schools is smaller in the infant class, but increasingly larger in the higher standards. The smaller wastage in the infant class is, however, somewhat artificially brought about as indicated by the smaller passing percentage in this class. This must result in a greater degree of stagnation in this class. On the other hand, the larger wastage in the higher standards is partly due to the relatively unpromising boys leaving the school and results in increasingly better passing percentages and consequently in a smaller degree of stanation in these standards.

## CHAPTER VI

## UNDERLYING CAUSES

1. Underlying Causes.-We have so far discussed the magnitude and extent of the two phenomena of wastage and stagnation. In this section we shall proceed to discuss the underlying causes.

It is perhaps worthwhile pointing out that though we have so far considered them separately Wastage and Stagnation are indeed not two distinct phenomena but are perhaps the results of the same set of socio-economic causes. It is obvious for instance, that wastage in some cases might be a direct result of stagnation and in many cases might be very definitely induced by stagnation. This will be clear from the tables in the last section outlining the progress of 10,000 students through the school. In the sixth columns of these tables, we have the retention percentages, that is the number who remain on roll at the end of the year expressed as percentage of those on roll at the beginning of the year. Their complements are the wastage percentages giving the number of students who leave the school during a year as percentage of those at the beginning of the year. In Table No. 61 we give these wastage percentages for all classes and for the successive years spent in the school.

TABLE No. 61
Percentage of wastage in different classes according to the number of years spent in school before leaving.


It is obvious that wastage percentage increases for every additional year spent in a class. On the other hand, it is notable that for any year of schooling, the wastage is less in higher classes; that
is to say, a boy who in the fourth year of his schooling is still in infant class, is much more likely to leave the school, than a boy who in the same year of his schooling is in a higher class. It is thus clear that stagnation is a contributory cause leading to wastage and that progress in school very definitely reduces wastage.

The same point might be demonstrated from a somewhat different approach. In the Table No. 62 we give the average number of years spent in school by boys before passing a certain standard or leaving the school in that standard.

TABLE No. 62
Average number of years spent in sehool.

| Stanadard | By those who pass <br> the standard | By those who leave <br> the school in that <br> standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 1.705 | 2.404 |
| I | 2.961 | 4.197 |
| III | 4.236 | 5.685 |

Thus it will be observed that at all stages the boys who leave the school at any stage spend more years in the school than the boys who successfully complete that stage. There is, therefore, a clear indication that stagnation, in many cases, is a contributory cause leading to wastage. Hence, while discussing the causes underlying the two phenomena, it would be perhaps appropriate to regard wastage merely as an extreme form of stagnation.
2. Age.-Among the complex of causes that might be at work, one of the most obvious is the age of the pupil. We shall, therefore, begin with an examination of its nature. Considering the phenomenon of wastage first, the possibility is obvious that the boys leave school because they come of an age that makes it impossible for them to continue in the school; on the one hand, it is economically impossible to continue schooling after an age; on the other, perhaps, it is mentally uncomfortable to continue to sit with other students very much younger in age. The reasons why these boys grow up to this limiting age are again two-fold. Perhaps they entered the school rather late so that even with normal progress it was too late for them to complete the primary course; or, though they joined in time, they did not or could not maintain the normal progress and were too late to complete the primary course. In the latter event, we might say, that it was primarily a case of stagnation that led to wastage.

As regards stagnation, age of the pupil might be a cause of his stagnation, either because he was too young or too old for the class in which he sat. These are the possibilities. We shall now examine the available data.

A kind of material which should throw some light on this problem, is the age composition of pupils in different classes. The Annual Report on Public Instruction does not publish these statistics. In our sample investigation, we failed to note it because we concerned ourselves with the wastage and stagnation cases only. Though, therefore, we know the age composition of these cases, we do not have the age composition of all pupils in different classes. We could, however, obtain the necessary information from the office of the Deputy Educational Inspector, Satara. In Table No. 63 is given the age-distribution, in percentages, of the boys in different classes. The table is based on figures for all the Local Board Schools in Satara District and represents average conditions in the two years 1942-44.

TABLE No. 63
Percent age-distribution of boys in different standarde (Local Board Schools, Satara District, 1942-44).

| Age in Yeary | Infant | I. | $\text { II. }{ }^{\text {Stan }}$ | III. | IV. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 17.43 | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | 81.13 | 7.61 | 0.98 | 0.90 | - |
| 8 | 22.86 | 20.66 | 11.08 | 1.72 | 0.04 |
| 9 | 15.61 | 28.99 | 17.46 | 7.09 | 1.47 |
| 10 | 8.39 | 17.15 | 23.74 | 12.59 | 6.62 |
| 11 | 3.40 | 16.93 | 22.49 | 27.80 | 11.88 |
| 12 | 1.10 | 5.05 | 12.67 | 25.88 | 28.13 |
| 18 | 0.05 | 2.74 | 6.97 | 12.75 | 22.91 |
| 14 | 0.08 | 0.72 | 3.14 | 6.54 | 18.69 |
| 15 and over | - | 0.25 | 1.49 | 4.73 | 10.26 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Average age | 7.81 | 9.47 | 10.47 | 11.56 | 12.68 |
| Difference | - | 1.66 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.12 |

The average age of boys in different standards is given at the bottom of the table. Thus the average age in the infant class is 7.81 and that in the first standard is 9.47 . The difference between these two is 1.66. Similar differences between the average ages in the successive standards is given in the last line of the table. Thus the difference between the average ages in the first and second standard is 1.00 , that is just one year.

Now, if age were not a causal factor of wastage, that is to say, if it were not that older boys were more liable to leave the school, then the difference between the average ages in two standards, such as the first and the second, would also be the average number of years required to pass the lower of the two standards, that is, the first standard in this case.

The average difference between the first and the second, the second and the third and the third and the fourth standard, is 1.00 , 1.09 and 1.12 years respectively, which is roughly one year in all cases. It is obvious that the average time taken to complete these standards could not be just one year. In fact, as we have seen earlier, it is considerably more than one year. The reason why the difference between the average ages in different standards should be less than the average time taken to complete these standards, appears to be that in these standards the older boys leave the school in larger proportions than do the younger boys.

The situation is somewhat different in the infant class. From Table No. 62 it appears that the average time taken to complete this class is 1.7 years. The difference between the average ages in the infant class and the I standard is 1.66 years, which is very nearly the same as 1.7 years. It appears, therefore, that age is not a causal factor for wastage in the infant class.

We shall-now examine the age-returns of our sample enquiry. In Table No. 64 we give the average ages of the wastage and the stagnation cases according to the standards in which they either left school or were studying at the time of enquiry.

TABLE No. 64
Average ages of wastage and stagnation cases in different classes.

| Class | Wastage cases | Stagnation cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant | 9.28 | 9.80 |
| I | 11.17 | 10.92 |
| II | 11.98 | 11.76 |
| III | 12.92 | 12.71 |

It is clear that the average ages in all the cases both for wastage and stagnation are above the average ages of all boys in the respective standards. Perhaps the more remarkable feature of the above table is that the average ages of wastage cases are, in all standards, except in the infant class, above the average ages of the stagnant cases. That growing age induces wastage in these
standards is, therefore, obvious. That the average age of those who leave the school in infant class should be more than average of all boys in that class might be taken as an indication that in this case also, the growing age is a causal factor. It should be noted, nevertheless, that in this class, the stagnation cases have a still higher average age and that, therefore, to this extent the growing age might not be a direct cause of wastage.

In so far as the average age of the stagnation cases is more than the average age of all boys in that class, it is clear that it is not that the stagnant boys are stagnant because they are too young for their class. It appears unlikely that age is directly associated with stagnation as it seems to be with wastage.

We shall now proceed to consider a series of socio-economic factors that might underlie the phenomena of wastage and stagnation.
3. Caste.-Among the socio-economic factors, caste is perhaps the most important as it summarises, at any rate in the present state of our rural society, the main sociological facts including heredity, hereditary occupations and consequent economic circumstances of the pupils. In the Table No. 65 we give the percentage distribution of the 1,778 wastage and 1,264 stagnation cases, distributed into principal caste groups.

TABLE No. 65
Percentage distribution of wastage and stagnation cases among principal castes.

| Caste | Wastage cases | Stagnation cases | Stagnation percentage as a multiple of wastage percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brahmins, Jain, Lingayat, Vani, etc. | . 0.84 | 3.09 | 367.86 |
| Mustims | 2.62 | 2.77 | 137.13 |
| Maratha and Mali | 65.58 | 62.78 | 112.86 |
| Other cultivating castes | 5.96 | 6.80 | 114.09 |
| Artisans | 8.16 | 9.26 | 113.48 |
| Mahar | 11.58 | 7.12 | 61.75 |
| Chambhar and Mang | 7.42 | 4.98 | 67.12 |
| Dhanagar | 4.16 | 1.98 | 47.60 |
| Ramoshi, Kaikadi, etc. | 4.83 | 1.27 | 29.33 |

Clearly, the castes fall into two broad groups: One in which stagnation is more prevalent than wastage; the other in which wastage is more than stagnation. It means that in the first group of castes conditions are such that the boys would rather continue schooling thar: leave it, even in the face of obviously retarded progress in school. On the other hand, it means that in the other group of castes, the
conditions are not conducive to education of boys, and certainly not so when the boy is unable to keep the normal progress.

In the last column of Table No. 65 the stagnation percentages are expressed as multiples of the wastage percentages. It then appears that the share in stagnation of the literate castes like Brahmins, Jain, Lingayat and Vani, is almost four times as large as their share in wastage. It means that among these castes the absolute minima in education of their children are kept considerably higher than the primary third standard, so that even in the face of rather disappointing progress, the children are not usually withdrawn from school. That makes their share in stagnation very large, in relation to their share in wastage. Incidentally, we may note that these castes, in rural areas of our investigation, are a negligible minority.

We have then a second group of castes consisting of the main bulk of rural population, namely the Marathas, Malis and other cultivating castes and the Artisans. We might also include the Muslims in this group. - The share of this group in stagnation cases is only slightly more than their share in wastage cases. This suggests that the conditions in these castes generally are not such as to deny a minimum of primary education to their children, but that perhaps no absolute minima in education have yet been firmly accepted, so that little special effort is made to ensure that children do not drop out in the middle.

Finally we come to the Iowly stratum of the rural society, consisting of such castes as Mahar, Chambhar, Mang, Ramoshi, Kaikadi and others. In this group of castes wastage must be considered as more prevalent than stagnation. It means that education of children is difficult to obtain and almost impossible when the children, for one reason or the other, fail to keep the normal progress. It is only by sheer merit that a boy might hope to reach any standards.

Dhangars, as a caste, do not really go with the above group of castes. Their position in regard to wastage and stagnation is, however, very similar. In fact, it is actually worse than Mahars and Chambhars. Among Dhanagars, wastage is much more prevalent than stagnation. This should arise from the nature of their occupation and their nomadic habits. There is perhaps no other occupation than rearing of sheep and goat where children are more useful. Naturally, therefore, unless a boy is positively promising, there is little chance that he is allowed to continue to be in school.

These results are, of course, very much what could be expected. We shall nevertheless make two observations in respect of these. One is the remarkable manner in which our material has brought
out these well-known facts. As was noted, we, in our sample investigation, failed to gather any information regarding all the school children, such as, for instance, their caste distribution; we have this information only in respect of the wastage and stagnation cases. No comparison of the wastage and stagnation cases with what might be called a "control" or normal group was thus possible. Therefore, in order to discuss the nature of the underlying factors, we were forced to depend upon whatever internal evidence we could discover. Here, for instance; we merely compare the caste distributions of the wastage and stagnation cases. The relative positions of different cases then appear in the third column of the Table No. 65 where the respective shares of the different castes among stagnation cases are expressed as percentages of their shares in wastage cases. This manner of presenting the material might at first be thought of as rather very roundabout and perhaps of questionable utility. However, the remarkable manner in which the method places the various castes on a well known social ladder, might now be considered as a full justification of the statistical procedure.

Perhaps the most interesting feature of this arrangement is that the method has not only arranged the various castes in an order, but has actually placed them at certain distances. The second of the two observations which we would make about these resuits concerns these relative distances between the castes. As will be seen, Marathas, Malis, and other cultivating castes as well as the artisans, forming the bulk and the central core of the rural society, are all a homogeneous lot. In all cases, their shares in stagnation are about 113 or 114 per cent of their shares in wastage. This also is the section which enjoys a reasonable amount of social intercourse and even occupational mobility. On one side of this main group lies a negligible minority, a fraction of the society, very far removed and very much better of than the major group. On the other lies a minority group, but not negligible, again very far removed, but in this case, very much worse than the major group. This three tier structure of our society, with a negligible minority at the top, a two-third in the centre and one-third at the bottom, is clearly brought out even in this relatively scanty material of our sample survey. Further the distances revealed by this analysis between the top, the centre and the bottom are astonishing and perhaps unexpected; they are a clear proof of the rigidity with which the caste system has been working until quite recent times, especially at the two levels, one at the top, where it distinguishes the privileged few from the common man and another at the bottom, where it segregates a large section of the society. The shares in stagnation of Maratha, Mali and other cultivating castes and also the artisan castes, in relation to their shares
in wastage, are about 113 or 114 per cent. The same for Mahars is about 62 per cent and for Chambhars is 67 per cent. Now, it is remarkable that there is no caste for which this percentage is anywhere between 70 and 110. This is a glaring proof of how thorough and complete the segregation has been.
4. Income.-In Table No. 66 is given the income distribution of the wastage and stagnation cases in percentages. Incomes, of course, refer to the family incomes.

In the last column of the table we have as before the stagnation percentages expressed as multiples (in per cent) of the wastage percentages.

TABLE-No. 66
Income distribution of wastage and atagnation cases in per cents.

| Income in Ra. | Wastage Cases | Stagnation Cases | Stagnation percentage as a multiple of wastage percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 6.97 | 2.80 | 40.17 |
| 100 | 11.33 | 7.07 | 62.40 |
| 150 | 8.74 | 7.26 | 83.07 |
| 200 | 14.64 | 11.98 | 81.83 |
| 250 | 7.69 | 8.44 | 109.76 |
| 300 | 13.43 | 13.52 | 100.67 |
| 400 | 14.00 | 14.98 | 107.00 |
| 500 | 9.06 | 13.80 | 152.32 |
| 1,000 | 12.62 | 16.70 | 132.33 |
| Above 1,000 | 1.54 | 3.45 | 224.03 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |
| Total Cases | 1,778.00 | 1264.00 | 71.09 |

Arguing on the same lines as before, we see that income shows an obvious relation to the phenomena of wastage and stagnation. The proportions of lower income groups among stagnation cases are smaller than those in wastage cases. The two proportions are equal at about the annual income of Rs. 250 or Rs. 300. The proportions in stagnation cases are afterwards uniformly and increasingly larger than similar proportions in wastage cases. Thus there is a clear indication that wastage is more prevalent among the lower income groups.

In order to ascertain annual incomes accurately, considerable effort on the part of the investigators is needed. That was not. of
course, possible in the present investigation. Nevertheless, it may be stated that as judged by the income distributions both of wastage and stagnation cases, the information obtained appears reasonable. At any rate, there does not appear any gross under-statement of the incomes.

In so far as the wastage and stagnation proportions are equal at about the annual income of Rs. 250 or Rs. 300 we might say that this is the absolute minimum of annual income which the parents must have so that their children may have education at least upto the primary stage.
5. Occupation.-We shall now turn to consider the occupaion of parents or guardians as a causal factor. In Table No. 67 is given the distribution of the wastage and stagnation cases according to the occupation of the guardian.

Thus only two occupational groups are proportionately more numerous among stagnant cases than among wastage cases; they are agriculture, and business and salaried employment. The conditions in the latter occupational group, namely business and salaried employment, are obviously more favourable for continuance of education than in agriculture. The other occupational group, agriculture, is of course very heterogeneous consisting of both big and small cultivators. We shall consider it in greater detail in the next section.

TABLE No. 67
Percentage distribution of wastage and stagnation cases according to occupation of the guardian.

|  | Occupation | Wastage Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 47.02 | Stagnation Cases |
| Artisans \& Village Servants hereditory | 20.90 | 53.30 |
| Casual Labour | 18.37 | 17.97 |
| Business \& Salaried Employment | 6.85 | 13.61 |
| Others | 6.86 | 10.11 |
|  | 100.00 | 5.01 |

All other occupational groups are proportionately more numerous among wastage cases than among stagnant cases and as such it is suggested that conditions in them are not sufficiently favourable for continuance of education. The conditions are perhaps the most anfavourable among casual labourers; this was, of course, to be expected. It is noteworthy, however, that the conditions are not good enough among the artisans and the hereditary village servants.

Except perhaps for the two major artisans, namely, the carpenter and the blacksmith, most others have usually to combine their hereditary occupations with petty cultivation or casual labour. Therefore, though they receive an assured income in kind; they can hardly be classed among the better off in the village. Among them are also included, it should be noted, the three major scheduled castes, namely, the Mahar, Mang and Chambhar, who in addition suffer from social disabilities. The better off artisans, namely the carpenter and the smith, form, of course, a small fraction in this occupational group.
6. Size of agricultural holding.-The occupational category "Agriculture" mentioned in the last section was rather heterogenous and included big as well as small cultivators. It would be worthwhile, therefore, to go into this category in greater detail and consider the cultivator in relation to the size of his holding. In Table No. 68 is given the distribution of wastage and stagnation cases according to the size of the agricultural holding of the family.

As in the case of income, the proportion of holders with holding of less than three acres is more among the wastage cases than among the stagnation cases. We might say, therefore, that a minimum of three acres of agricultural holding is needed to make possible continued education of boys upto the primary stage.

TABLE No. 68
Distribstion of wastage and stagnation cases according to the size of agricultural holding.

| Size of holding in Acres | Wastage Case3 | Stagnation Cases | Stagnation percentage as multiple of wastage percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 15.53 | 10.41 | 67.0 |
| -2 | 13.76 | 11.42 | 88.0 |
| -3 | 9.09 | 10.04 | 110.5 |
| -4 | 9.97 | 9.79 | 98.2 |
| -5 | 7.96 | 8.16 | 102.5 |
| More than 5 | 43.69 | 50.19 | 114.9 |

7. Livestock.-The number of plough cattle gives a good though broad indication of the size of the agricultural holdings. The number of milch cattle gives a good indication of the well-being of a rural family. In Table No. 69 and 70 we give the distribution of the wastage and stagnation cases according to the plough cattle and the milch cattle respectively.

TABLE No. 69
Distribution of wastage and stagnation cases aocording to the number of bullocks owned by the family.

| Number of bullocks | Westage cases | Stagnation Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 32.32 | 28.91 |
| 1 | 27.87 | 26.61 |
| 2 or more | 39.81 | 4.48 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

TABLE No. 70
Distribution of wostage and stagnation cases according to the number of milch cattle owned by the family.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Cattle | Wastage Cases | Stagnation Cases |
| 0 | 16.63 | 13.44 |
| 1 | 32.24 | 29.74 |
| 2 or more | 51.13 | 66.82 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Thus the proportion of those with none or one bullock is more among the wastage cases than among stagnation cases. We might say, therefore, that among the agriculturists it is only among those with two or more bullocks that the conditions are favourable enough to a continued education of the boys. This is in good agreement with the earlier indication that a minimum agricultural holding of three acres is needed for the purpose. It is also in accordance with our observation in general socio-economic surveys in rural areas that it is only farmers with two or more bullocks that can look to farming as a full-time employment. Smaller cultivators have to depend upon the casual employment of themselves, their women folk and children as hired labour. Conditions at this level of economic existence are obviously not very favourable for the continued education of the boys.

Results regarding the number of milch cattle are analogous. Perhaps the number of milch cattle go very much hand in hand with the number of plough cattle. It appears that it is only among those possessing two or more milch animals that economic conditions permit the retention of the boys in schools for a sufficiently long period to ensure full primary education.

Livestock in sheep and goat is in a fundamentally different economic category. Larger numbers of sheep and goats do not so
much indicate better economic status of the family as a specific occupation with positive handicaps for education of boys. It is perhaps in the rearing of sheep and goat that children find the earliest economic employment. Moreover, the nature of the occupation demands that the families leave the native village for quite long periods in the year and wander about with their flocks. The occupation is thus particularly unfavourable to the education of children. These facts are borne out by the results given in Table No. 71.

TABLE No. 71
Distribution of wastage and stafnation cases according to the number of sheep and goats owned by the family.

| Number of sheep <br> and goat | Wastage Cases | Stagnation Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0 | 38.18 | 34.72 |
| -5 | 46.60 | 55.52 |
| More than 5 | 20.22 | 9.76 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Thus the position is reversed. Here it is the proportion of those with flocks of five or more sheep or goats, that is larger among wastage cases, than among stagnation cases. It is clear, therefort, that larger numbers of sheep and goats come in the way of the education of boys.
8. Relation of the head of the family.-Among other sociological factors affecting the education of children, family composition is important. From the point of view of education of young boys, the relation of the head of the family with the educand is perhaps the most important single aspect of family composition. Table No. 72 is instructive from this point of view.

TABLE No. 72
Distribution of wastage and stagnation cases according to the relationship of the head of the family.

| Relationship of the head | Wastage Cases | Stagnation |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Father (Working) | 63.50 | 71.43 |
| Father (old or otherwise disabled) | 14.39 | 11.59 |
| Mother - | 11.71 | 8.90 |
| Any other | 10.40 | 8.08 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

It is remarkable that only the proportion of those with a father and he too in a working condition, is smaller among wastage cases than among stagnation cases. All other proportions are larger among wastage than among stagnation cases. It is thus clear that a strong and actively interested guardianship is essential for the retention of the boy in the school for a period sufficiently long to complete the primary educatin.
9. Stated reasons of wastage and stagnation.-We shall finally examine the reasons of wastage and stagnation as stated by the teacher. In Table No. 73 is given the distribution of wastage and stagnation cases according to the reason given.

TABLE No. 73
Distribution of wastage and stagnation cases according to reasons noted by the teachers.

| Reason | Wastage-Cases | Stagnation Cases |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Migrated to other place | 18.67 | 3.01 |
| Employed on the family farm | 13.44 | 7.91 |
| Employed in the non-agricultural occupation | 7.26 | 6.88 |
| of the family |  |  |
| Seeks casual employment in the village | 4.67 | 1.11 |
| Tends the cattle or sheep and goat of the family | 29.25 | 41.14 |
| Truant | 1.41 | 8.09 |
| Intellectually Subnormal | 1.46 | 10.36 |
| Other causes | 28.84 | 26.50 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

It is thus clear that it is in the first four categories where the boys migrate to other places or find employment in the farm or nonfarm business of the family or seek casual or hired employment in the village, that the wastage cases are predominant. A considerable migration to other places is itself in search of employment and as such we might say that wastage is predominant in those categories where, for considerations of family economy, the boys must begin to earn as more or less full earners.

In the three other categories, the boys are reported to be either truant or intellectually subnomal or where they spend most of their day tending the cattle or the sheep. In these three categories stagnation is more predominant. In many cases the three are not quite distinct. Tending of cattle or sheep is not always an economic occupation of the family. Boys are put on to this job, because it is too occupation for boys except, are put on to this job, because it is too uneconomic to put an adult on to it or when they are truant or intel-
lectually sub-normal and the parents not keen about their education. In such cases the boys are not necessarily withdrawn from the school; they continue to be on the roll and their attendance is extremely irregular and inevitably leads to stagnation.
10. School-and teacher-type and related causes.-It would be useful to discuss the influence of a number of factors relating to school, such as the number of trained and untrained teachers, method of allocation of classes among teachers, school building and accommodation and communication facilities and cultural activities in the village. For reasons of analytical difficulties such comparisons, unfortunately, could not be made.

Firstly, with regard to wastage, this involves estimating differential wastage in different categories of schools and the sub-samples are too small for the purpose. Secondly, due to our own doubts in respect of the completeness of stagnation reporting, it was not thought worthwhile attempting any differential estimates of stagnation in different categories. We have nevertheless attempted, in an earlier section, a comparison between the Local Board and the Voluntary schools and it is hoped that the results indicated therein might be found to be of wider application.

## APPENDIX I

First circular letter to school teachers: (Translation).
An investigation into wastage and atagnation in Primary Education.
To

> The Headmaster, Primary School,

Sir,
I have the honour to address this to you in connection with an investigation into "wastage and stagnation" in primary education. Sometime ago, the Institute conducted, on behalf of the Government of Bombay an allied enquiry, namely an investigation into "Lapse into Illiteracy".

The main object of that enquiry was to ascertain the minimum educational attainments needed to ensure retention of literacy after leaving school. The results indicated that it was desirable to complete at least the third primary standard. From this point of view, educational effort in the case of those who regarded as "wastage". One of the objects of the present investigation is to discover causes leading to such "wastage".

There is yet another category of students worth investigating into. These are the students who, in spite of their long schooling, fail to make the normal progress. For instance, there might be found boys, who have been in school for as many as five or even seven years and yet who have not progressed much beyond the infant class. We might call these students "Stagnant". The second object of the present investigation is to try to understand causes underlying "Stagnation".

The present investigations thus concerns two distinct phenomena. Its first object is to discover causes leading to "Wastage"; the second object is to try to understand causes underlying "Stagnation".

## Defnitions.

The two concepts basic to the investigations are "Wastage" and "Stagnation." In order that they are understood and used with uniform connotation it is necessary to define them clearly. For the purpose of the present investigation, the following definitions are adopted.

At the outset, it should be understood that the inveatigation is restricted to boys only and that, therefore, the girl students are excluded.

## Wastage

If during the period from 1st June 1942 to 31st December 1945 (both days inclusive) a boy student has left the school without completing the third primary standard he should be regarded as a case of wastage.

## Stagnation

Following four categories of students are to be regarded as cases of Htagnation:-
(1) A student, who during January, 1946, is on roll of the infant class though he joined the school for the first time on or before 80th April 1944.
(2) A student who during January, 1946, is on roll of the first standard, though he joined the echool for the first time on or before 30th April 1943.
(3) A student who during January, 1946, is on roll of the second-standard, though he joined the school for the first time on or before 30th April 1942.
(4) A student who during January, 1946 is on roll of the third standard, though he joined the school for the first time on or before 30th April 1941.

It is in respect of these two categories of students, namely, those who as per definitions above are to be regarded either as ceses of wastage or of stagnation, that we shall need more information. A first task, therefore, is to prepare exhaustive lists of such students from your school.

Perhaps you have already prepared such lists before. We have received them through the Assistant Depaties. Due to certain misunderstandings regarding definitions, those lists have been found incomplete. The old lists should, be regarded as cancelled and fresh lists prepared on the prescribed forms enclosed herewith.

It is absolutely easential that the two lists are exhaustive and complete. In the following is outlined a detailed procedure for the purpose. It should be followed to the word, withont variation and without any sttempt at short cutting. Any apparently simpler procedure is tikely to resułt in incomplete lists.

## Procedure for making list of wastage oases

Yon have to begin with the General Register of the School that is to say, school form No. 1. If the opening date of this register is on or before ist January 1942, it will be found sufficient for making the list of wastage cases. Otherwise the earlier register would have to be looked up. Most probably, the opening date of this earlier register woald be one before 1st January, 1942, so that the two registers will be found sufficient. If, however, this were not sos the still earlier register would be needed. In short, you will need the register which was opened on or before ist January 1942 and all subsequent books of the same.

Please take out the needed books and go through them one by one as prescribed below.

On the right hand of the register is a column headed "date of leaving school". When a boy leaves the school, the date of school leaving is entered in this column. Go through this column and stop at an entry if the date of schoolleaving is between 1st June 1942 and 31st December 1945, both days inclusive. In the next column is given the class or standard at the time of school leaving. If it is either infant, first, second or third standard, it is a wastage case; if it is third pass, fourth, fifth, sixth or seventh standard, it is not a wastage case, and you have to proceed further. In the cases of wastage thus determined, please look up the next columns in which cause of school leaving and other remarks are entered. We shall exclude two types of wastage cases. Firstly, we shall exclude the case, if the canse of school-leaving is death. Secondly, if the school-leaving certificate has been issued (as mentioned in the remarks columan) and there is positive knowledge that the boy joined some other school, we shall also exclude him from the list of wastage cases. Enter all other wastage cases in the enclosed form prescribed for the purpose. All columns of this form, namely Registered number, name, date of school leaving, all these should be entered Irom the General Register,

In this manner, go through all the books, entering the wastage cases in the prescribed form. Please do not enter the girl students; so also do not enter the same boy twice.

Finally take out the roll-call registers (School Form No. 2 A) of January 1946, for all classes and check that no boy included in the wastage list is on roll of any class. If a case is found, cancel the entry from the wastage list, by drawing across it a clean line.

This completes the list of wastage cases.

## Procedure for making list of stagnation cases

Take out the roll-call registers (School Form No. 2A) of January, 1946 for infant, first, second and third standards. Beginning with the infant classes, go through all the registers. Here the names of students are preceded by their register numbers, with the help of which it is possible to trace their respective entries in the General Register, that is, School Form No. 1. When a boy is registered for the first time as a new student in the school, in the last column of the left hand side of the General Register is entered the word "new" against his name. Otherwise, in the same column is entered his previous register number. With the help of this number, it is possible to trace back the name of the boy in the General Register when he was registered as a "new" atudent. It is necessary to trace every student as far back as that. Here, in the first column of the right hand of the General Register is given the date of first registration. Enter this date in pencil against the name of the boy in the roll-call register of January, 1946. Do this for all boys; it will give you the date of first registration for every boy on roll of infant, first second and third standard in January, 1946. In the roll call register of each class is entered against the name of each boy the date of his entering that class. Please do not confuse this date with the date of his first registration in the school. We need the latter, and it can only be found out from the General Register.

Please note that you have to exclude the girl students. While you are taking down from the General Register, the date of his first registration you should also note in which class the stadent was first registered. This is given in the immediate column of the General Register. In most cases, boys will be found to have been registered in the infant class. Occasionally, it is possible, however, that a boy migrated from another school to your school. In that case, he could be registered, though for the first time in your school, in a class other than the infant class. In these and such other classes, when the first registration is found in a class other than the infant class, please exclude him from further consideration.

Now turn to the roll-call (form No. 2-A) of the infant class, for the month of January, 1946. Herein you have already noted in pencil, against each boy student, the date of his first registration in school. If this date is either 30th April 1944 or one before that, you have to regard the boy as a case of stagnation and enter his name in the list of stagnant cases.

Repeat the process for I, II and III standards. In order to be considered as a stagnant case, for a boy on roll of I standard, date of his first registration should be on or before 30th April 1948; for a boy on Roll of II standard, on or before 30th April 1942; and for a boy on roll of III standard, on or before 30th April 1942; and for a for a boy on roll III standard, it should be on or before 30th April 1941. Names of all such boys should be entered in the list of stagnant cases.

In this list, there are columns made for registered number, name, date of first registration in school, and class or standard in which he is enrolled in January, 1946. In every case, all the columns should be neatly entered.

With this, you have a complete list of stagnant cases.

## Indications regarding future lines of work.

Please prepare the two lists of wastage and stagnant cases in their respective forms as per above instructions. You will find the space on the prescribed forms mostly sufficient for the purpose. However, in case it is found insufficient, please attach a blank paper appropriately ruled into columns for the parpose. Two copies of each of the prescribed forms are enclosed for the lists. You should retain one as an "Office copy" for your reference; the other, you should send to the Institute. An addressed and stamped envelope is enclosed for the purpose.

The Institute is undertaking this investigation on behalf of the Education Department of the Government af Bombay. In respect of every wastage and stagnation case in your school, we shall need to collect certain detailed information bearing on the problem. Prescribed and printed forms are designed for the purpose. Soon after receiving from you the lists of wastage and stagnation cases in your school, you will be sent sufficient numbers of these prescribed forms together with detailed instructions for filling them in. You or your colleagues' efforts in this regard will be remunerated at the rate of one rapee per ten completed forms. The payment will be made by the Institute by direct money order, immediately after receiving from you the completed forms.

It is obviously impossible to conduct this investigation into an important problem of primsry education without the active and willing co-operation from you. Your co-operation is, therefore, earnestly solicited.

[^3]Yours faithfully,
V. M. Dandexar, Investigator.

## APPENDIX II

Second Circular Letter to school teachers: (Translation).
An investigation into Waetage and Stagnation in Primary Education.
To

> | The Headmaster, |
| :--- |
| Primary School, |
| $\quad$ Village, etc., | Sir,

Thank you for the lists of Wastage and Stagnation cases from your school. I hope you have retained with you an office copy of the same. As indicated in the earlier circular letter, dated the 1st September, 1946, I am now enclosing herewfith sufficient copies of questionnaire schedules to obtain detailed information in respect of each one of the Wastage and Stagnation cases listed. We shan also need some general information regarding the village and the school. I am enclosing a copy of the prescribed form designed for the purpose. You are requested to return these schedules duly filled in as per instructions below.

General information regarding village and school.
Only one copy of this schedule is enclosed. Questions on this schedula are sufficiently clear and perhaps do not need any further explanation. Please read the questions carefully before answering. If the school is conducted in more than one building, questions from 28 to 27 are to be answered in respect of each school building separately. The space against these questions is ruled Into three columns; one column for each building. In case there are more than three achool buildings, please attach an extra paper.

## Wastage and stagnation schedules.

There is some difference between the two schedules, one for the wastage cases and anothor for the stagnation cases. The difference is slight and is likely to be overlooked. For distinction, the top left hand corner of the stagnation schedule is cut. Please use the appropriate schedule for each case.

It is most important that for every boy listed in the two lists you have necessarily to use one appropriate form. Even when no further information is obtainable, you should write the name of the boy on an appropriate form and leave it blank. This is absolutely necessary. We must receive one form for every boy listed in your wastage and stagnation lists.

Most of the questions are clear enough. Below are given fuller explanations where they are thought necessary.

Question 10.-Date of first registration of the pupil into the school. You have already found out this date in the case of stagnant pupils. You have now to to the same for the wastage cases. Detailed instructions in this regard ard given on page 4 of the circular letter, dated 1-9-1946.

Annual scholastic record for the twelve year period 1934-55 to 1945-46.
This is an important tabular statement. Note that answers to questions 11 to 20 are to be given for each of the twelve years separately in their respective columns. For instance, consider question 12. Against this question, you have to note, for each of the twelve yeara separately in their respective columns, the class or standard in which the pupil was enrolled during that year.

Before you start to fill in this tabular statement, please go through the following instructions carefully. That should give you a clear anderstanding of the structure of this table.

In question 10, we ask for the date of first registration of the pupil into the school. If this date falls in the year 1933-34 or earlier, obviously the apace against question 11 will be left blank. On the other hand, if the date falls in 1934-35 or later, then you have to write the same date against question 11 in the proper column for the year. Thus if the date of first registration is 20-7-1937, the same should appear in the tabular statement against question 11 and in the column for the year 1937-38. A pupil can be first registered in the school only once so that question 11 will be answered either nowhers or in only one column of the tabular statement. If a pupil after leaving the achool has been registered again, such a date of registration is not the date of first registration and hence such a date must not appear against question 11. It will appear against question 20.

After question 11 has been answered, you have to answer question 12 for every year from the year of first registration. Thus, if the date of first registratoin is in $1934-35$ or before that, question 12 will have to be answered for all the twelve years. On the other hand, for instance, if the pupil was first registered during 1937-38, question 12 will be answered only for that and subsequent years. Answers to question 12 will be of three different kinds.
(i) If, for any year, the requisite school records are not available to answer the question, note down accordingly.
(ii) If the requisite records for the year are available the boy will be found to be on the rolls of infant, first, second or third standards. Hence note the class or standard. It is not necessary, for this purpose that the boy wais in the school, during the whole of that year. Even if he is found on the rolls of any class only for one month, he might be deemed to be in that class during the year.
(iii) If the boy were not in the school at all during the year, note as "not in school".

For every year, during which the pupil is noted, against question 12, to be in some class or standard, you have to enter agsinst question 13 his date of entry into that class or standard. Such a date is given against the name of the pupil in the class-roll for every month. (Form No. 2-A).

Question 14, 15,16 and 17 are self-explanatory.
If from the entries made against question 12, it appears that in a certain year the pupil has changed his class or standard, it would usually mean that in the previous year, he passed the annual examination and was promoted to the higher standard. In such cases the corresponding entries are to be made against questions 16 and 17. For instance, if against question 12, a certain pupii is noted to be in first standard during the year 1940-41 and in the second standard during 1941-42, it is necessary that in the column for the year 1840-41 the fact that he appeared for the aniual examination and passed, is reoorded
against questions 16 and 17. There might be exceptions; for instance, a papil might not have appeared for the annual examination, or might have failed to pass it and in spite of it he might have been promoted to the next standard. In such cases the circumstances under which the exception was made should be noted against questions 12,16 and 17 .

Answers to question 18 will be of the following type:-
(i)-Pupil left the school before annual examination for the year.
(ii) Did not appear for-the annual examination due to illness.
(iii) Did not appear for the annual examination as he was absent from the village at that time.
(iv) Did not appear for the examination for either no reason or unknown reasons.

Question 19 is sufficiently clear. If from the entries against question 12 it appesrs that the pupil was not in the school during any year, it means that sometime during the previous year, his name must have been removed from the register. The corresponding entry should appear against question 19. In exceptional circumstances, a pupil after once withdrawing from the school might re-enter and withdraw during the same year. In such cases, two or more dates of withdrawal from the school in the same year should appear against question 19. In respect of wastage cases, the date of final withdrawal from the school will appear against question 19 in the year 1942-43 or some subsequent year. This date would be course be the same as the one entered against question 8.

If after once withdrawing; the pupil never entered the school again, there will be no entry against question 20. In case, however, he entered, the date of his re-entry should appear against question 20 . It should be noted that such a date is not the date of his first registration and hence cannot appear against question 11.

If there is an entry against question 12 to indicate that the pupil withdrew and joined again, the same must be supported by an appropriate entry against question 20.

While making an entry against question 20 please note the class or standard into which he joined. If this class or standard is the same as the one in which he left the school as indicated by entry against question 19, there is no need for further explanation. It might happen, however, that the standard in which he re-joined is not the same as the one he left from. For instance, a boy might leave the school in the first standard, go to another school to complete that standard and return to the old school in the second standard. In such cases, in our tabular statement, there will be no reference to his having passed the first standard. The appropriate explanation should, therefore, appear against question 20.

It should appear from the above instructions that answers to questions 11 to 20 in the tabular statement, are all inter-related. It is necessary, therefore, to go through the above instructions carefully and try to understand the structure of the tabuiar statement as a whole. This should avoid giving mutually inconsistent information in this statement of school record of the pupil.

All questions on the reverse side of the schedule are simple. For your convenience, some possible answers to question 28 are given immediately below that question. You might indicate the one which is true in each particular case.

However, it is not necessary to confine yourself to the given alternatives. . If the true answer is somewhat besides the possibilities given, you should note it in full.

In order to answer question 33, you will need certain information from the revenue records of the village. Instructions have been given to the Talathis in this respect. If you meet with any difficulties, please write to us immediately.

In question 38 , you are required to give certain details regarding family members in a tabular form. In the left half of this table, enter all earning members of the family; in the right half, enter all the non-earning members. Enter all members of the family including the pupil and his guardian. Ages will of course be approximate. Under education, you have to note each person as either illiterate, can read, can read and write, educated upto such and such standard or in school in such and such standard.

## Very important

Please note that every question must be answered. If for any question no information is available, please make such a remark. Only questionnaires so filled in will be considered as complete. If a question is not answered, nor is it remarked that no information is available, the questionnaire shall be deemed as incomplete.

Finally, please scheck that you have a questionnaire filled in for every boy in the lists of wastage and stagnation cases. Then enter item No. 30 on the schedule for "Village and School information". There you have to note the total work done by yourself.

After completing, you should keep all the work with yourself. I shall personally try to see you sometime during February and March. That will give us an opportunity to clarify any points over which you feel any difficulty or doubts. Nevertheless, if you come across any difficulties, please do not wait for me, but write immediately. All your postage expenses on this account will be met by this office.

As already said, I shall try to see you sometime before the end of March; keep until then all the work with you. Whether or not I am able to see you, on the 1st of April please send all your work, that is, the schedule for village and school information, and all schedules for the wastage and stagnant casea, by Registered Book-Post to Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona, 4.

Your remuneration at the rate of one rupee per ten completed forms together with all your postage expenses in thia connection will be sent to you by money order during the last week of April.

This is an investigational work. The resuits will entirely depend upon the accuracy with which you will supply the requisite information. You are therefore earnestly requested to be accurate in whatever information you supply. If on any point information is not available, you can plainly say so. No one loses thereby. But please do not furnish any information which you knew not to be reliable.

I have no doubts that all your work will be very neat and clean.

> Yours faithfully, Vinayak Maradeo Danderar, Inveatigator.

Gokhale Institute of Politics snd Economics, Poons 4.
Dated: 15th January 1947.

## APPENDIX III

## Revised Questionnairs schedules.

Below are given the translations of the revised questionnaire schedules. Approximate layout of the questions on the schedules have been maintained on the translated forms.

There are three different schedules :-
(1) A schedule for information regarding the village and the sehool (pages 81, 82).
(2) A schedule for information regarding each pupil reported to be a wastage case. (Pages 83, 84).
(3) A schedule for information regarding each pupil reported to be a stagnant case.

The questionnaire for the stagnant cases differs only slightly from the one for the wastage cases. It has not, therefore, been appended apparately. The following points of its differences from the questionnaire for wastage cases might be noted.

Question 8, does not exist.
Question 3 reads as: "Which class or standard was the pupil on the roll of, during January, 1946."

Questions 23 and 24 stand but refer to any temparary withdrawals of the pupils.

Questions 25 and 26 are different and read as under :-
Question 25: "What is your own opinion (teacher's) regarding the sub-normal progress of the pupil?"

Question 26: "If the pupil is irregular in the school attendance, what has the guardian to say about it?"

Questions 27 and 29 are omitted

## INFORMATION REGARDING VILLAGE AND SCHOOL

[Information below relatea to March, 1946]

Wastage and Stagnation in Primary Education.

1. Village.
2. Taluka.
3. Talukawtown and distance therefrom.
4. Post and distance therefrom.
5. Railway Station and distance therefrom.
6. Weekly bazaar and distance therefrom.
7. Motormbus road and distance therefrom.
8. Has the village a motorable approach road?
9. Is the approach to village cartable throughout the year? If not for how many months it is cartable?
10. Has the village a Government, missionary, public or private dispensary?
11. Has the village a Panchayat?
12. If there any credit or other co-operative society?
13. Is there any public reading-room or library?
14. Does anybody in the village get a newspaper regularly? Which?
How many?
15. Is the village school within the village boundaries?
16. Village population,

$$
\text { in } 1981
$$

in 1941
17. Foundation year of the mehool.
18. Since its foundation to March, 1946, was the school closed down at any time? If no, when and why?
19. Working classes and standards.
20. Number of trained teachera.
21. Number of untrained teachers.
22. Are the classes held in one or more buildings?

Information regarding each one of the school buildings.

| 23. | Bulinding owned, rented, free or <br> public <br> monthly rent. <br> place? <br> rented, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24. |  |
| Description of the structure. |  |
| 25. | Description of the roof. |
| 26. | Number of rooms. |
| 27. Total area. |  |$|$

28. Details of class arrangements as in March 1946.

| Clans | Working hours. | Any other classes held in the same room along with this class. | Teacher trained or untrained. | Is the ame temcher in charge of another class simultaneously If 80 , which? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant. |  |  |  |  |
| I Standard. |  |  |  |  |
| II Standard. |  |  |  |  |
| III Standard. |  |  |  |  |
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INFORMATION TO BE OBTAINED FROM GENERAL REGISTER, CLASS ROLLS \& OTHER SCHOOL PAPERS

| 1. Village. <br> 2. Taluka. | 3. Name <br> 4. Date of Birth. <br> 5. Age in years (as completed on 31-12-1945). |  |  |  |  | 6. Religion. <br> 7. Caste. |  | 8. Date of School leaving. <br> 9. Standard in which left School. <br> 10. Date of first Registration into School. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saholeatic Record for the 12 yeara from 1934-35 to 1945-46. | $\begin{aligned} & 1934 . \\ & 1985 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1935 . \\ & 1936 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1996 . \\ & 1937 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1937 . \\ & 1938 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 . \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1939 . \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1840 . \\ & 1941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1941 . \\ & 1948 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1942 . \\ { }_{1943} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1943 . \\ & 1944 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1944 . \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1945 . \\ & 1946 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. Was the student registered in school for the first time ? If so, date. |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. In which standard was he enrolled this year? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Date of his entry into the above class. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Number of working days during the year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Of which number of days the student was present in the class. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Did he appear for the annual exam. at the end of this year? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. It so, did he pass or fail? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. If not, reason for it. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 .During this year, was he at any time withdrawn from the achool, if if so, date of withdrawal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. During the year did he re-enter the school, if so, date and class of his re-entering. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## INFORMATION TO BE OBTAINED FROM THE PUPIL AND HIS GUARDIAN

## Reganding the pupil

21. Does the pupil suffer from any permanent physical disability ?
22. Is he mentally backward ?
23. Why did he leave the school ? What has the pupil to say?
24. What has the guardian to say about it?
25. Why did he leave the school? What is your own (teacher's) opinion?
26. After leaving school did he undergo any course of technical education?
27. Since leaving the school is he staying in the village or has he left the place?
28. IF HE IS IN THE VILLAGE HOW DOES HE SPEND TIME?
29. If he has left the village, where has he gone ? What does he do there?

Possible answerg-
(i) Does nothing.
(ii) Rears cattle or sheep or goat.
(iii) Is employed on family tarm.
(iv) Is employed in the family non-farm occupation.
(v) Is employed as casual labourer.
(vi) Any other-write in detail.

Note.-It is absolutely necessarry to see personally the pupil and the guardian to answer the above questions. If you fail to see them, note the fact and reasons thereof.

## Regarding the guardian

30. Relation of the guardian with the pupil.
31. Age of guardian.
32. Occupation of guardian.
33. Owned land to be taken from Area village form VIII-A

## Assessment.

34. Ie the guardian a cultivator ${ }^{9}$.
35. If the family has any non-farm occupation? Which ?
36. Livestock owned by guardian-

Bullocks.
Cows.
Buffalos.
Sheep and goat.
37. Estimate of total annual income (farms, no l-
farm, remittances, etc.)
38. Members of the family.-

EARNERS.

| S. No. | Relation to guardian | Age | Education | S. No. | Relation to guandian | Age | Edu. cation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | guardian |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX IV

Derivation of total wastage from annual wastage and paseing porcentages for a elass.

Of the number on roll of a class at the beginning of a year, a cortan proportion leaves the school during the year. Let this proportion by W. Of those who remain on roll to the end, a certain proportion passes and is promoted to the higher standard. Let this proportion be $p$, so that those who pass form a proportion (1-W) $p$ of those on roll at the beginning of the year. This happens every year. Hence, of 100 boys who enter a class, the total number who leave the school without passing that standard is given by

$$
\frac{W}{W+(1-W) p} \times 100
$$

Thus for the first standard the total wastage percentage works out to be

$$
\frac{\cdot 1562}{\cdot 1562+-8488 \times \cdot 6909} \times 100=21 \cdot 13
$$

Similarly for other standarda.

## APPENDIX V

Derivation of expected distribution of students in different closses.

Of those on roll of a class at the beginning of a year, a certain proportion leaves the school during the year. Let this proportion be $W$. Of those who remain on roll to the end, a certain proportion passes and is promoted to the higher standerd. Let this proportion be p. Let the numbers of fresh entrants every year in this class be $n$. If a class is supposed to be working under thesu conditions for a sufficiently long period, we are required to find the number that it will hold on its rolls at an year end. Let $N$ be the required number.

Then a number Np pass every year and are promoted to the higher atandard leaving behind $N(1-p)$ to repeat in the same class. To this is added $n$ fresh entrants next year, so that the number on roll at the beginning of the year is

$$
\mathbf{N}(1-p)+n
$$

Of these a proportion $W$ leaves the school during the year, leaving behind a proportion (1-W) to remain on roll to the end of the year. Hence

$$
(1-\mathbf{W})[\mathbf{N}(1-p)+n]
$$

is the number on roll at the end of an year. But this is what we have taken to be N. Hence we have that

$$
(1-W)[N(1-p)+n]=N
$$

Hence

$$
N=\frac{(1-W) n}{1-(1-W)(1-p)}
$$

Thus, for the infant class, if we take $n$, the number of fresh entrants to be 10,000 , the number on roll or infant class at an year end will be given by

$$
\mathrm{N}=\frac{-895 \times 10,000}{1-.895 \times \cdot 510}=16,466
$$

In order to work out a similar figure for the first-standard, we need know the number of fresh entrants every year in this standard. We saw above that if there are every year 10,000 fresh entrants in the infant class the class will hold 16,466 students on its roll at an year end. Of these, 49.0 per cent that is 8,068 pass and are promoted to the first standard. Therefore, W, the number of new entrants every year in the first, standard is 8,068 . The number on its roll at an year end, will, therefore, be given by

$$
N=\frac{.989 \times 8068}{1-.939 \times .287}=10,871
$$

Similarly for other standarda.
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